

# MIT Open Access Articles

*Distinguished conjugacy classes and elliptic Weyl group elements*

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *[Please](https://libraries.mit.edu/forms/dspace-oa-articles.html) share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

**Citation:** Lusztig, G. "Distinguished Conjugacy Classes and Elliptic Weyl Group Elements." Representation Theory of the American Mathematical Society 18.8 (2014): 223–277. © 2014 American Mathematical Society

**As Published:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S1088-4165-2014-00455-2

**Publisher:** American Mathematical Society (AMS)

**Persistent URL:** <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/105136>

**Version:** Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

**Terms of Use:** Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.



## **DISTINGUISHED CONJUGACY CLASSES AND ELLIPTIC WEYL GROUP ELEMENTS**

#### G. LUSZTIG

ABSTRACT. We define and study a correspondence between the set of distinguished  $G^0$ -conjugacy classes in a fixed connected component of a reductive group G (with  $G^0$  almost simple) and the set of (twisted) elliptic conjugacy classes in the Weyl group. We also prove a homogeneity property related to this correspondence.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

**0.1.** Let **k** be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  and let G be a (possibly disconnected) reductive algebraic group over **k**. Let  $\overline{W}$  be the Weyl group of  $G^0$ . (For an algebraic group H,  $H^0$  denotes the identity component of H.) We view W as an indexing set for the orbits of  $G^0$  acting diagonally on  $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$  where  $\mathcal{B}$ is the variety of Borel subgroups of  $G^0$ ; we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_w$  the orbit corresponding to  $w \in W$ . Note that W is naturally a Coxeter group; its length function is denoted by  $\underline{l} : W \to \mathbb{N}$ . Let I be the set of simple reflections of W; for any  $J \subset I$  let  $W_J$ be the subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $J$ .

Now any  $\delta \in G/G^0$  defines a group automorphism  $\epsilon_{\delta}: W \to W$  preserving length, by the requirement that

$$
(B,B')\in \mathcal{O}_w, g\in \delta \implies (gBg^{-1}, gB'g^{-1})\in \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon_{\delta}(w)}.
$$

The orbits of the W-action  $w_1 : w \mapsto w_1^{-1}w \epsilon_{\delta}(w_1)$  on W are said to be the  $\epsilon_{\delta}$ conjugacy classes in W. Let  $\underline{W}_{\delta}$  be the set of  $\epsilon_D$ -conjugacy classes in W. We say that  $C \in \underline{W}_{\delta}$  is elliptic if for any  $J \subsetneqq I$  such that  $\epsilon_D(J) = J$  we have  $C \cap W_J = \emptyset$ . For any  $C \in \underline{W}_{\delta}$  let  $C_{min}$  be the set of elements of C where the length function  $l : C \to \mathbb{N}$  reaches its minimum value. Let **c** be a  $G^0$ -conjugacy class of G. Let  $\delta$ be the connected component of G that contains **c** and let  $C \in \underline{W}_{\delta}$  be elliptic. For any  $w \in C_{min}$  we set

$$
\mathfrak{B}_w^{\mathbf{c}} = \{ (g, B) \in \mathbf{c} \times \mathcal{B}; (B, gBg^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w \}.
$$

Note that  $G^0$  acts on  $\mathfrak{B}_w^{\mathbf{c}}$  by  $x : (g, B) \mapsto (xgx^{-1}, xBx^{-1})$ . We write  $C \clubsuit c$  if the following condition is satisfied: for some/any  $w \in C_{min}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}_w^{\mathbf{c}}$  is a single  $G^0$ -orbit for the action above (in particular it is nonempty). The equivalence of "some" and "any" follows from  $[L5, 1.15(a)]$  $[L5, 1.15(a)]$  (which is based on results in  $[GP]$ ).

Received by the editors September 13, 2013 and, in revised form, June 9, 2014.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20G99.

The author was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-0758262.

**0.2.** For an algebraic group H we denote by  $\mathcal{Z}_H$  the center of H; for  $h \in H$  we denote by  $Z_H(h)$  the centralizer of h in H. An element  $g \in G$  or its  $G^0$ -conjugacy class is said to be *distinguished* if  $Z_G(g)^0/(\mathcal{Z}_{G^0} \cap Z_G(g))^0$  is a unipotent group. The notion of distinguished element appeared in  $[BC]$  in the case where  $g$  is unipotent and  $G = G^0$ .

The following is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 0.3.** Assume that  $G^0$  is almost simple and that  $|G/G^0| < 2$ . If  $G^0$  is of exceptional type assume further that  $G = G^0$  and that p is either 0 or a good prime for G. Then for any distinguished  $G^0$ -conjugacy class **c** in G contained in a connected component  $\delta$  of  $G$ , there exists an elliptic  $C \in \underline{W}_{\delta}$  such that  $C \clubsuit c$ .

In the case where **c** is unipotent the theorem is known from [\[L1,](#page-55-3) Theorem 0.2]. In particular, the theorem holds when  $p = 2$ . Thus we may assume that  $p \neq 2$ . We may also assume that  $G/G^0 \to \text{Aut}(W)$ ,  $\delta \mapsto \epsilon_{\delta}$  is injective. It is enough to verify the theorem assuming that  $G^0$  is simply connected (the theorem then automatically holds without that assumption). If  $G^0$  is of type A and  $G = G^0$ , then **c** must be a regular unipotent class times a central element and we can take  $C$  to be the Coxeter class. The case where  $G = G^0$  is of type B or C is treated in §1. The case where  $G^0$ is of type D is treated also in §1. (In this case we may assume that  $|G/G^0| = 2$ .) The case where  $G^0$  is of type A and  $|G/G^0| = 2$  is treated in §2. (In this case we may assume that  $\mathbf{c} \notin G^0$ .) The case where G is of exceptional type is treated in §3.

We will show elsewhere that C in the theorem is unique (in the case where **c** is unipotent this is known from [\[L1\]](#page-55-3)).

**0.4.** The results of this paper have applications to the study of character sheaves. We will show elsewhere how they can be used to prove that an irreducible cuspidal local system on **c** (a distinguished  $G^0$ -conjugacy class in a connected component  $\delta$ of G), extended by 0 on  $\delta - c$ , is (up to shift) a character sheaf on  $\delta$ . In the case where  $\delta = G^0$  this gives a new, constructive proof of a known result, but in the case where  $\delta \neq G^0$ , it is a new result.

**0.5.** For any integers x, y such that  $y \ge 0$  we set  $\binom{x}{y} = x(x-1)\dots(x-y+1)(y!)^{-1}$ . Thus  $\binom{x}{0} = 1$ .

#### 1. ISOMETRIES

**1.0.** In this section we assume that  $p \neq 2$ . Let  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ . Let V be a **k**-vector space of finite dimension **n** with a given nondegenerate bilinear form (,) :  $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ such that  $(x, y) = \epsilon(y, x)$  for all x, y; we then say that (,) is  $\epsilon$ -symmetric. Let  $Is(V)$ be the group of isometries of (,).

Assume that we are given  $g \in Is(V)$ . For any  $z \in V$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we set  $z_i = g^i z \in V$ V. Similarly, for any line L in V and  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  we set  $L_i = g^i L \subset V$ . For any  $z, z'$  in V and any  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

(a) 
$$
(z_{i+k}, z'_{j+k}) = (z_i, z'_j).
$$

Let  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \ldots, b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \ldots$  be two sequences in **N** such that

if  $i \geq 1$ ,  $a_i = a_{i+1}$ , then  $a_{i+1} = 0$ , if  $i \geq 1$ ,  $b_i = b_{i+1}$ , then  $b_{i+1} = 0$ , if  $a_i > 0$ , then  $(-1)^{a_i} = -\epsilon$ , if  $b_i > 0$ , then  $(-1)^{b_i} = -\epsilon$ .

It follows that  $a_i = 0$  for large i and  $b_i = 0$  for large i. Thus,  $(a_i)$ ,  $(b_i)$  are strictly decreasing sequences of integers  $\geq 0$  of fixed parity as long as they are nonzero. We assume that

$$
\mathbf{n} = (a_1 + a_2 + \dots) + (b_1 + b_2 + \dots).
$$

Define  $\kappa \in \{0,1\}$  by  $\mathbf{n} - \kappa \in 2\mathbf{N}$ . Note that if  $\epsilon = -1$  we have  $\kappa = 0$ . Define  $k \geq 0$ by  $\{i \geq 1; a_i b_i > 0\} = [1, k]$ . For  $i \geq 1$  we set  $c_i = a_i + b_i$ . We have  $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \ldots$ . We define  $p_i \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $i \geq 1$  as follows.

If  $\epsilon = -1$  we have  $c_i \in 2\mathbb{N}$  and we set  $p_i = c_i/2$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

If  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $i \in [1, k]$  we again have  $c_i \in 2\mathbb{N}$  and we set  $p_i = c_i/2$ .

If  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $i > k$  we have  $c_i \in 2\mathbf{N} + 1$  or  $c_i = 0$  and we define  $p_i$  by requiring that for  $s = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$  we have:

$$
(p_{k+s}, p_{k+s+1}) = ((c_{k+s} - 1)/2, (c_{k+s+1} + 1)/2)
$$
 if  $c_{k+s} \ge 1, c_{k+s+1} \ge 1$ ,  
\n
$$
(p_{k+s}, p_{k+s+1}) = ((c_{k+s} - 1)/2, 0)
$$
 if  $c_{k+s} \ge 1, c_{k+s+1} = 0$ ,  
\n
$$
(p_{k+s}, p_{k+s+1}) = (0, 0)
$$
 if  $c_{k+s} = 0, c_{k+s+1} = 0$ .

We define  $\sigma$  as follows. We have  $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \cdots \geq p_{\sigma}$  where  $p_i \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  for  $i \in [1, \sigma]$ ,  $p_i = 0$  if  $i > \sigma$ . This defines  $\sigma$ . If  $\mathbf{n} = 0$  or  $\mathbf{n} = 1$  we have  $\sigma = 0$ . We set  $p'_t = p_t$  if  $t \in [1, \sigma], p'_t = 1/2$  if  $\kappa = 1, t = \sigma + 1$ . We have

$$
2(p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_{\sigma}) + \kappa = 2(p'_1 + p'_2 + \dots + p'_{\sigma + \kappa}) = \mathbf{n}.
$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  be the set of all  $g \in Is(V)$  such that  $g^2: V \to V$  is unipotent and such that on the generalized 1-eigenspace of  $g$ ,  $g$  has Jordan blocks of sizes given by the nonzero numbers in  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  and on the generalized (−1)-eigenspace of g,  $-g$  has Jordan blocks of sizes given by the nonzero numbers in  $b_1, b_2, \ldots$  (Note that the union of the sets  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  where  $a_*,b_*$  as above vary is exactly the set of elements of  $Is(V)$  which are distinguished in the sense of 0.2.)

For  $g \in C^V_{a_*,b_*}$  let  $\tilde{C}^V_{g;a_*,b_*}$  be the set consisting of all  $L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma+\kappa}$  where  $L^t(t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa])$  are lines in V (the upper scripts are not powers) such that for  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$  we have:

$$
(L_i^t, L_j^t) = 0 \quad \text{if } |i - j| < p_t, (L_i^t, L_j^t) \neq 0 \qquad \text{if } j - i = p_t (t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]),
$$
\n
$$
(L_i^t, L_j^r) = 0 \quad \text{if } i - j \in [-p_r, 2p_t - p_r - 1] \quad \text{and } 1 \leq t < r \leq \sigma + \kappa.
$$

Here  $L_i^t = g^i L^t$ . We then have:

(b)  $V = \bigoplus_{t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p'_t - 1]} L_i^t$ . (See [\[L3,](#page-55-4) 1.3].) Let  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  be the set of all  $(g,L^1,L^2,\ldots,L^{\sigma+\kappa})$  such that  $g \in$  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  and  $(L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma+\kappa}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{g;a_*,b_*}^V$ . Now  $Is(V)$  acts on  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  by  $\gamma:g\mapsto (\gamma g\gamma^{-1})$  and on  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  by

(c) 
$$
\gamma : (g, L^1, L^2, \dots, L^{\sigma + \kappa}) \mapsto (\gamma g \gamma^{-1}, \gamma(L^1), \gamma(L^2), \dots, \gamma(L^{\sigma + \kappa})).
$$

Let  $\mathcal{I}' = \prod_{t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]} \{1, -1\}$ . If  $\epsilon = -1$  let  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}'$ . If  $\epsilon = 1$  let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{I}'$  consisting of all  $(\omega_t)_{t\in[1,\sigma+\kappa]}$  such that  $\omega_t = \omega_{t+1}$  for any t such that  $\{t, t+1\} \subset$  $[k+1, \sigma + \kappa], t = k+1 \mod 2$ . Thus *I* is a finite elementary abelian 2-group. The following is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 1.1.** (a)  $\tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  is nonempty;

(b) the action 1.0(c) of  $Is(V)$  on  $\tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  is transitive;

(c) the isotropy group in  $Is(V)$  at any point of  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  is canonically isomorphic to I.

The proof (by induction on **n**) is given in 1.2–1.20.

**1.2.** We start with the case where  $a_*, b_*$  have a single nonzero term. Let  $a \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{N}$ **N**,  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  be such that  $a + b = 2p$ . We set  $-\epsilon = (-1)^a = (-1)^b$ . For  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $n_e \in \mathbf{Z}$  by  $(1-T)^a (1+T)^b = \sum_{e \in \mathbf{N}} n_e T^e$ . We have  $n_0 = 1, n_{2p-i} = -\epsilon n_i$ for  $i \in [0, 2p]$ ,  $n_e = 0$  if  $e > 2p$ . We define  $x_e \in \mathbf{Z}$  for  $e \in \mathbf{N}$  by  $x_0 = 1$  and  $n_0x_e + n_1x_{e-1} + \cdots + n_ex_0 = 0$  for  $e \ge 1$ .

**1.3.** In the setup of 1.2, let V be a **k**-vector space with basis  $\{w_i; i \in [0, 2p-1]\}$ . Define  $g \in GL(V)$  by

$$
gw_i = w_{i+1} \text{ if } i \in [0, 2p - 2], \quad gw_{2p-1} = \epsilon \sum_{i \in [0, 2p - 1]} n_i w_i.
$$

We have the identity  $(1 - g)^a (1 + g)^b = 0 : V \rightarrow V$ , that is (setting  $\tau =$  $\sum_{i\in[0,2p]} n_i g^i : V \to V$ , we have  $\tau = 0$ . Define a bilinear form (,) on V by

$$
(w_i, w_j) = 0 \text{ if } i, j \in [0, 2p - 1], |i - j| < p,
$$
\n
$$
(w_i, w_j) = x_s \text{ if } i, j \in [0, 2p - 1], j - i = p + s, s \ge 0,
$$
\n
$$
(w_i, w_j) = \epsilon x_s \text{ if } i, j \in [0, 2p - 1], i - j = p + s, s \ge 0.
$$

Clearly  $(x, y) = \epsilon(y, x)$  for all  $x, y$  and (,) is nondegenerate; the determinant of the matrix  $((w_i, w_j))$  is  $\pm 1$ . We show that g is an isometry of (,). It is enough to show that

$$
(gw_i, gw_j) = 0 \text{ if } |i - j| < p,
$$
  
\n
$$
(gw_i, gw_j) = x_s \text{ if } j - i = p + s, s \ge 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
(gw_i, gw_j) = \epsilon x_s \text{ if } i - j = p + s, s \ge 0.
$$

This is obvious except if one or both i, j are  $2p-1$ . If  $i = 2p-1, p-1 < j < 2p-1$ , we must check that

$$
(\epsilon \sum_{i' \in [0, 2p-1]} n_{i'} w_{i'}, w_{j+1}) = 0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{i' \in [0,j+1-p]} n_{i'} x_{j+1-i'-p} = 0,
$$

which is true since  $j + 1 - p > 0$ . If  $i = 2p - 1, 0 \le j < p - 1$ , we must check that

$$
(\epsilon \sum_{i' \in [0, 2p-1]} n_{i'} w_{i'}, w_{j+1}) = \epsilon x_{2p-1-j-p},
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{i' \in [j+1+p,2p-1]} n_{i'} x_{i'-j-1-p} = \epsilon x_{p-1-j},
$$

that is,

$$
-\epsilon \sum_{i' \in [j+1+p,2p-1]} n_{2p-i'} x_{i'-j-1-p} = \epsilon x_{p-1-j},
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{i' \in [j+1+p,2p]} n_{2p-i'} x_{i'-j-1-p} = 0,
$$

which is true since  $p - j - 1 > 0$ .

If  $i = 2p - 1, j = p - 1$ , we must check that

$$
(\epsilon \sum_{i' \in [0, 2p-1]} n_{i'} w_{i'}, w_p) = \epsilon x_0,
$$

that is,  $n_0x_0 = x_0$ , which is obvious. The case where  $j = 2p - 1$ ,  $i < 2p - 1$  is entirely similar. It remains to show (in the case where  $i = j = 2p - 1$ ) that

$$
(\epsilon \sum_{i' \in [0, 2p-1]} n_{i'} w_{i'}, \epsilon \sum_{i' \in [0, 2p-1]} n_{i'} w_{i'}) = 0.
$$

If  $\epsilon = -1$  this is obvious since  $(x, x) = 0$  for any x. Now assume that  $\epsilon = 1$ . We must show

$$
2\sum_{i' \in [0,p-1]} \sum_{u \in [0,p-1-i']} n_u n_{u+p+i'} x_{i'} = 0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{u \in [0, p-1]} n_u \sum_{i' \in [0, p-1-u]} n_{p-u-i'} x_{i'} = 0.
$$

We have  $\sum_{i' \in [0,p-u]} n_{p-u-i'} x_{i'} = 0$  if  $p > u$  hence it is enough to show that

$$
\sum_{u \in [0, p-1]} n_u n_0 x_{p-u} = 0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{u \in [0, p-1]} n_u x_{p-u} = 0.
$$

We have

$$
\sum_{u \in [0,p]} n_u x_{p-u} = 0
$$

since  $p > 0$ . Hence it is enough to show that  $n_p = 0$ . This follows from  $n_p = -\epsilon n_p$ . (We use that  $\epsilon = 1$ .)

Now  $g \in GL(V)$  is regular in the sense of Steinberg and satisfies  $(g-1)^a(g+1)^b$  = 0 on V. Hence  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$  where g acts on  $V^+$  as a single unipotent Jordan block of size a and  $-g$  acts on  $V^-$  as a single unipotent Jordan block of size b. Note that if  $\epsilon = 1$  we have  $\det(g) = (-1)^b = -1$ . It follows that, if L is the line spanned by  $w_0$  and  $a_* = (a, 0, 0, \ldots), b_* = (b, 0, 0, \ldots),$  then  $(g, L) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*, b_*}$ . In particular,  $\tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V \neq \emptyset.$ 

**1.4.** In the setup of 1.2, let  $V_1$ , be as in 1.0. (Recall that  $-\epsilon = (-1)^a = (-1)^b$ .) Let  $g \in Is(V)$ . We assume that  $\dim V = 2p$  and that on the generalized 1eigenspace of g, g is a single unipotent Jordan block of size a or is 1 (if  $a = 0$ ) and on the generalized  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of g,  $-g$  is a single unipotent Jordan block of size b or is 1 (if  $b = 0$ ). Moreover, we assume that we are given  $w \in V$  such that (with notation of 1.0) we have for  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ :

$$
(w_i, w_j) = 0 \text{ if } |i - j| < p; (w_i, w_j) = 1 \text{ if } j - i = p.
$$

We show:

(a) The following equalities hold for any  $i, j$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$ : (a1)  $(w_i, w_j) = 0$  if  $|i - j| < p$ , (a2)  $(w_i, w_j) = x_s$  if  $j - i = p + s, s \ge 0$ , (a3)  $(w_i, w_j) = \epsilon x_s$  if  $i - j = p + s, s \ge 0$ .

Note that (a3) follows from (a2). In (a1) and (a2) we can assume that  $i = 0$ . (We use 1.0(a).) Since  $(w_0, w_j) = \epsilon(w_0, w_{-j})$  for any j we can also assume in (a1) that  $j \geq 0$  so that  $j \in [0, p-1]$  and (a1) holds. We prove (a2) with  $i = 0, j = p + s$ by induction on  $s \geq 0$ . If  $s = 0$  the result is already known. Assume now that  $s \geq 1$ . Applying  $(1-g)^a(g+1)^b = 0$  to  $w_{s-p}$  we obtain  $\sum_{e \in [0,2p]} n_e w_{s-p+e} = 0$ . Taking  $(w_0)$ , we obtain  $\sum_{e \in [0,2p]} n_e(w_0, w_{s-p+e}) = 0$ . For e in the sum we have  $s-p+e \geq -p+1$ ; hence by (a1) we can assume that we have  $s-p+e \geq p$ . Thus  $s-p+e \geq -p+1$ ; hence by (a1) we can assume that we have  $s-p+e \geq p$ . Thus  $\sum_{e \in [0,2p]$ ;  $s-p+e \geq p} n_e(w_0, w_{s-p+e}) = 0$ . By the induction hypothesis this implies

$$
\sum_{e \in [0,2p-1]; s-p+e \ge p} n_e x_{s-2p+e} + (w_0, w_{s+p}) = 0.
$$

It is then enough to show that

$$
\sum_{e \in [0,2p-1]; s-p+e \geq p} n_e x_{s-2p+e} + x_s = 0
$$

or that

$$
\sum_{e \in [0,2p]; s-p+e \ge p} n_{2p-e} x_{s-2p+e} = 0
$$

or that

$$
\sum_{h\geq 0,h'\geq 0; h+h'=s} n_h x_{h'} = 0.
$$

But this holds by the definition of  $x_e$  since  $s \geq 1$ .

**1.5.** Let  $p \geq 0$ . For  $e \geq 0$  we set

$$
n_e = (-1)^e \binom{2p+1}{e}
$$

so that  $(1-T)^{2p+1} = \sum_{e \ge 0} n_e T^e$ . For  $e \ge 1$  we set  $x_e = 2(p+e)(2p+1)(2p+1)$ 2)...(2p + e - 1)e!<sup>-1</sup> (note that  $x_1 = 2p + 2$ ). We set  $x_0 = 1$  if  $p > 0$  and  $x_0 = 2$ if  $p = 0$ . If  $p > 0$ , then for any  $u \ge 2$  we have

(a) 
$$
\sum_{j \in [0,u]} n_j x_{u-j} = 0.
$$

(See [\[L3,](#page-55-4) line 4 of p. 134]) This shows by induction on e that  $x_e \in \mathbb{N}$  for any  $e \ge 0$ . For  $u \in \mathbf{Z}$  we set  $f_p(u) = 0$  if  $|u| < p$  and  $f_p(u) = x_e$  if  $|u| = p + e$  with  $e \geq 0$ . For  $u \in \mathbf{Z}$  we have

(b) 
$$
f_p(u) = 2(2p)!^{-1} \prod_{k \in [0, p-1]} (u^2 - k^2).
$$

For example,  $f_0(u) = 2$ . Also,  $f_p(p) = 1$  if  $p \ge 1$ .

Setting  $A_p = \sum_{e \geq 0} f_p(p+e)T^e = \sum_{e \geq 0} x_e T^e$  (where T is an indeterminate) we have, by (a),  $(1 - T)^{2p+1}A_p = 1 + T$  hence

(c) 
$$
A_p = (1 - T)^{-2p-1}(1 + T).
$$

**1.6.** In the setup of 1.5 let E be a **k**-vector with basis  $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{2p}$ . We define a symmetric bilinear form  $(,) : E \times E \to \mathbf{k}$  by  $(w_i, w_j) = (-1)^p f_p(i - j)$  for  $i, j \in$ [0, 2p]. We define  $g \in GL(E)$  by  $gw_i = w_{i+1}$  if  $i \in [0, 2p-1]$ ,  $gw_{2p} = \sum_{j \in [0, 2p]} n_j w_j$ .

We have  $(g-1)^{2p+1} = 0$  hence  $g : E \to E$  is unipotent (with a single Jordan block). We show that g is an isometry of (, ). We can assume that  $p > 0$ . It is enough to show that  $(w_{i+1}, g w_{2p}) = (w_i, w_{2p})$  for  $i \in [0, 2p-1]$  and  $(g w_{2p}, g w_{2p}) = 0$ . Thus we must show that

(a) 
$$
\sum_{j \in [0, 2p+1], e \ge 0, |i+1-j| = e+p} n_j x_e = 0 \text{ if } i \in [0, 2p-1],
$$

(b) 
$$
\sum_{j,j'\in[0,2p], e\geq 0, |j-j'|=e+p} n_j n_{j'} x_e = 0.
$$

Now (a) for i is equivalent to (a) for  $2p-1-i$  (we use the substitution  $j \mapsto$  $2p+1-j$ ; hence it is enough to prove (a) for  $i \in [p, 2p-1]$ . Now (a) for  $i = p$  reads  $x_1 - (2p+1)x_0 - x_0 = 0$ , that is,  $x_1 = 2p+2$ , which is true. For  $i \in [p+1, 2p-1]$ , (a) reads  $\sum_{j \in [0,2p+1], i+1-j \ge p} n_j x_{i+1-j-p} = 0$ , that is (setting  $u = i + 1 - p$ ),  $\sum_{j\in[0,u]} n_j x_{u-j} = 0$ . This follows from 1.5(a) since  $u \geq 2$ . This proves (a).

We prove (b). The left hand side of (b) equals

$$
\sum_{j' \in [0,2p]} n_{j'} \sum_{j \in [0,2p], e \ge 0, |j-j'| = e+p} n_j x_e
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in [0,2p], e \ge 0, |j| = e+p} n_j x_e + \sum_{j' \in [1,2p]} n_{j'} \sum_{j \in [0,2p], e \ge 0, |j-j'| = e+p} n_j x_e
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j \in [0,2p], e \ge 0, |j| = e+p} n_j x_e + \sum_{j' \in [1,2p]} n_{j'} \sum_{j \in [0,2p+1], e \ge 0, |j-j'| = e+p} n_j x_e
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{j' \in [1,2p]} n_{j'} \sum_{e \ge 0, |2p+1-j'| = e+p} n_{2p+1} x_e.
$$

In the last expression the second sum over  $i$  is zero by (a) and the second sum over  $j'$  becomes (setting  $j = 2p + 1 - j'$ )

$$
\sum_{j\in[1,2p]} n_j \sum_{e\geq 0,|j|=e+p} x_e.
$$

Hence the left hand side of (b) equals

$$
\sum_{j \in [0,2p], e \ge 0, |j| = e + p} n_j x_e - \sum_{j \in [1,2p]} n_j \sum_{e \ge 0, |j| = e + p} x_e = \sum_{e \ge 0, |0| = e + p} x_e
$$

and this is zero since  $e + p > 0$ . Thus (b) holds.

For any  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  we set  $w_i = g^i w_0$ . This agrees with the earlier notation when  $i \in [0, 2p]$ . We show:

(c) 
$$
(w_i, w_j) = (-1)^p f_p(i-j)
$$
 if  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $p = 0$  there is nothing to prove since  $q = 1$ ; thus we can assume that  $p \geq 1$ . We will prove (c) assuming only the identities

(d1)  $(w_{p-1}, w_j) = 0$  if  $j \in [0, 2p-2]$ , (d2)  $(w_{n-1}, w_{2n-1})=(-1)^p$ .

#### 230 G. LUSZTIG

If  $|i-j| < p$ , then (c) follows from (d1); if  $|i-j| = p$ , then (c) follows from (d2). Thus we can assume that  $|i - j| \geq p + 1$ . We can also assume that  $i = 0$  and  $j \geq 0$ (hence  $j \geq p+1$ ). We must only prove that

$$
(w_0, w_j) = (-1)^p x_{j-p}
$$
 if  $j \ge p$ .

We argue by induction on j. For  $j = p$  the result is known. Assume that  $j \geq p+1$ . From  $(g-1)^{2p+1}w_{j-2p-1} = 0$  we deduce  $\sum_{h \in [0,2p+1]} n_h w_{j-2p-1+h} = 0$ . Hence  $\sum_{h' \in [0,2p+1]} n_{h'} w_{j-h'} = 0$  and  $\sum_{h \in [0,2p+1]} n_h(w_0, w_{j-h}) = 0$ . If  $j = p+1$  we can assume that  $h = 0, h = 1$  or  $h = 2p + 1$  (the other terms are zero); thus,

$$
n_0(w_0, w_{p+1}) + n_1(w_0, w_p) + n_{2p+1}(w_0, w_{-p}) = 0.
$$

We see that  $(w_0, w_{p+1})-(2p+1)(-1)^p-(-1)^p=0$  so that  $(w_0, w_{p+1})=(-1)^p(2p+1)$ 2) as required. Now assume that  $j \geq p+2$ . We have

$$
\sum_{h \in [0, 2p+1]; j-h \ge p} n_h(w_0, w_{j-h}) = 0.
$$

Using the induction hypothesis this implies

$$
\sum_{h \in [1, 2p+1]; j-h \ge p} n_h(-1)^p x_{j-h-p} + (w_0, w_j) = 0
$$

hence it is enough to show that

$$
\sum_{h\in[0,2p+1];j-h\geq p}n_hx_{j-h-p}=0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{h \in [0,j-p]} n_h x_{j-h-p} = 0.
$$

This follows from 1.5(a) with  $u = j - p$  since  $j - p \ge 2$ .

**1.7.** We preserve the setup of 1.6. The subspace E' of E spanned by  $\{w_i; i \in$  $[0, 2p-1]$  is clearly nondegenerate for (,) hence there exists  $\tilde{w} \in E$  such that  $(w_i, \tilde{w}) = 0$  for  $i \in [0, 2p - 1]$  and  $(\tilde{w}, \tilde{w}) = 2$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{w}$  is unique up to multiplication by  $\pm 1$ . We have  $\tilde{w} \notin E'$ . We can write  $\tilde{w} = \sum_{i \in [0,2p]} c_i w_i$  where  $c_i \in \mathbf{k}$  are uniquely defined and  $c_* := c_{2p} \neq 0$ . Taking  $(w_h)$  and setting  $\bar{c}_i = c_i/c_*$ we obtain

(\*) 
$$
\sum_{i \in [0,2p]} \bar{c}_i f_p(i-h) = 0 \text{ for } h \in [0,2p-1].
$$

We show (setting  $l_j = \binom{2p+1}{j}$ ):

$$
\bar{c}_i = (-1)^{i-1} (l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_i) \text{ if } i \in [0, p-1],
$$
  
\n
$$
\bar{c}_i = (-1)^i (l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_{2p-i}) \text{ if } i \in [p, 2p].
$$

We can assume that  $p \geq 1$ . Clearly (\*) has a unique solution  $\bar{c}_i (i \in [0, 2p-1])$ . Note that  $\bar{c}_{2p} = 1$ . If  $h = p$ , then  $(*)$  is  $\bar{c}_0 + 1 = 0$ . If  $h \in [p + 1, 2p - 1]$ , then  $(*)$  is  $\sum_{i\in[0,h-p]} \bar{c}_i f_p(i-h) = 0.$  If  $h \in [0,p-1]$ , then  $(*)$  is  $\sum_{i\in[h+p,2p]} \bar{c}_i f_p(i-h) = 0.$ It is enough to show:

(a) 
$$
\sum_{i \in [0,h-p]} (-1)^{i-1} (l_0 + \dots + l_i) x(h - i - p) = 0 \text{ if } h \in [p+1, 2p-1],
$$

CONJUGACY CLASSES AND ELLIPTIC WEYL GROUP ELEMENTS 231

(b) 
$$
\sum_{i \in [h+p,2p]} (-1)^i (l_0 + \dots + l_{2p-i}) x(i-h-p) = 0 \text{ if } h \in [0,p-1].
$$

We rewrite equation (b) (using  $i \mapsto 2p - i$  and  $h \mapsto 2p - h$ ) as

(c) 
$$
\sum_{i \in [0, h-p]} (-1)^{i} (l_0 + \dots + l_i) x (h - i - p) = 0.
$$

Here  $h \in [p+1, 2p]$ . Note that (c) contains (a) as a special case. Thus it is enough to prove (c). We prove (c) by induction on h. If  $h = p + 1$ , then equation (c) is  $l_0x_1 - (l_0 + l_1)x_0 = 0$ , that is,  $2p + 2 - (2p + 2) = 0$ , which is correct. If  $h \geq p + 2$ we have  $\sum_{i\in[0,h-p]}(-1)^i l_i x(h-i-p) = 0$ . Hence in this case (c) is equivalent to  $\sum_{i\in[1,h-p]} (-1)^i (l_0 + \cdots + l_{i-1}) x(h - i - p) = 0$  which is the same as equation (c) with h replaced by  $h-1$  (this holds by the induction hypothesis). This proves (c) hence  $(a), (b)$ .

We show:

$$
(d) \qquad (w_{2p}, \tilde{w})c_* = 2.
$$

Indeed, we have

$$
2 = (\tilde{w}, \tilde{w}) = (\sum_{i \in [0, 2p]} c_i w_i, \tilde{w}) = c_{2p}(w_{2p}, \tilde{w}),
$$

as desired. We show:

(e)  $c_*^2$ 

$$
c_*^2 = 2^{-2p}.
$$

We have

$$
2 = (w_{2p}, \tilde{w})c_* = (w_{2p}, \sum_{i \in [0, 2p]} c_i w_i)c_* = \sum_{i \in [0, 2p]} c_i (-1)^p f_p(2p - i)c_*.
$$

Thus

$$
2c_*^{-2} = \sum_{i \in [0,p]} \bar{c}_i (-1)^p f_p(2p - i).
$$

If  $p = 0$ , this reads  $2c_*^{-2} = \bar{c}_0 f_0(0) = 2$  hence (e) follows. If  $p \ge 1$ , we have  $(w_0, \tilde{w}) = 0$  hence  $0 = \sum_{i \in [0, 2p]} \bar{c}_i(-1)^p f_p(i)$  hence  $0 = \sum_{i \in [p, 2p]} \bar{c}_i(-1)^p f_p(i)$ , that is,

$$
0 = \sum_{i \in [0,p]} \bar{c}_{2p-i}(-1)^p f_p(2p-i).
$$

Adding to

$$
2c_*^{-2} = \sum_{i \in [0,p]} \bar{c}_i (-1)^p f_p(2p - i)
$$

we get

$$
2c_*^{-2} = \sum_{i \in [0,p]} (\bar{c}_i + \bar{c}_{2p-i})(-1)^p f_p(2p-i).
$$

Now  $\bar{c}_i + \bar{c}_{2p-i} = 0$  if  $i \in [0, p-1]$  hence

$$
2c_*^{-2} = 2(-1)^p \bar{c}_p = 2(l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_p) = 2^{2p+1}
$$

and (e) follows.

From (e) we see that, by replacing if necessary,  $\tilde{w}$  by  $-\tilde{w}$  we can assume that

$$
c_* = 2^{-p}.
$$

This condition determines  $\tilde{w}$  uniquely.

We show that for  $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ :

$$
\text{(g)}\qquad \qquad (w_h, \tilde{w}) = 2^{p+1} \binom{h}{2p}.
$$

We must show that for  $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ :

$$
\sum_{i \in [0, 2p]} c_i (-1)^p f_p(i - h) = 2^{p+1} \binom{h}{2p}
$$

or that

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,2p]} \bar{c}_i (-1)^p f_p(i-h) = 2^{2p+1} \binom{h}{2p}.
$$

It is enough to prove this equality in  $Z$ . The left hand side is a polynomial in  $h$ with rational coefficients of degree  $\leq 2p$  which vanishes for  $h \in [0, 2p - 1]$  in which the coefficient of  $h^{2p}$  is

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,2p]} \bar{c}_i (-1)^p 2(2p)!^{-1} = (-1)^p \bar{c}_p 2(2p)!
$$
  
=  $(l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_p) 2(2p)!^{-1} = (-1)^p 2^{2p} 2(2p)!^{-1}.$ 

Hence it is equal to the right hand side.

For any  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\tilde{w}_h$  is defined as in 1.0. We show:

(h)  $(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h) = 2(-1)^h$  if  $h \in [0, p]; \quad (\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_{p+1}) = 2(-1)^{p+1} + (-1)^p 2^{2p+2}.$ 

We can assume that  $h \geq 1$ . We have

$$
(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h) = \left( \sum_{i \in [0, 2p]} c_i w_i, \tilde{w}_h \right) = \sum_{i \in [0, 2p]} c_i (w_{i-h}, \tilde{w}_0) = \sum_{i \in [0, 2p]} 2\bar{c}_i \binom{i-h}{2p}
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i \in [0, h-1]; i \neq p} 2(-1)^{i-1} (l_0 + \dots + l_i) \binom{i-h}{2p} + \delta_{h, p+1} 2(-1)^p (l_0 + \dots + l_p) \binom{p-h}{2p}
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i \in [0, h-1]} 2(-1)^{i-1} (l_0 + \dots + l_i) \binom{i-p}{2p} + 2\delta_{h, p+1} 2(-1)^p (l_0 + \dots + l_p).
$$

Now  $4(-1)^p(l_0 + \cdots + l_p) = (-1)^p 2^{2p+2}$ . It remains to show that

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,h-1]} (-1)^{i-1} (l_0 + \dots + l_i) \binom{h-i+2p-1}{2p} = (-1)^h
$$

for  $h \in [1, p+1]$ , or setting  $h' = h - 1, u = h' - i$ :

$$
\sum_{i\geq 0, u\geq 0, i+u=h'} (-1)^i (l_0 + \dots + l_i) \binom{u+2p}{2p} = (-1)^{h'}
$$

for  $h' \in [0, p]$ . We shall actually show that this holds for any  $h' \geq 0$ . It is enough to show that for an indeterminate  $T$  we have

$$
\sum_{i\geq 0, u\geq 0} (-1)^{i} (l_0 + \dots + l_i) T^{i} {u + 2p \choose 2p} T^u = \sum_{h'\geq 0} (-1)^{h'} T^{h'}
$$

or that

$$
\sum_{i\geq 0} (-1)^i (l_0 + \dots + l_i) T^i (1-T)^{-2p-1} = (1+T)^{-1}
$$

or that

$$
l_0(1 - T + T^2 - \dots) + l_1(-T + T^2 - T^3) + \dots)(1 - T)^{-2p-1} = (1 + T)^{-1}
$$

or that

$$
(1+T)^{-1}(l_0-l_1T+l_2T^2-\dots)(1-T)^{-2p-1}=(1+T)^{-1}.
$$

This is obvious.

**1.8.** We preserve the setup of 1.7. For  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$  we show

(a)  $(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h) = \sum_{r \in [0,p]} (-1)^r 2^{2r} f_r(h)$ . In particular,  $(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ .

We must prove the equality

(a') 
$$
\sum_{i \in [0,2p]} 2\bar{c}_i \binom{i-h}{2p} = \sum_{r \in [0,p]} (-1)^r 2^{2r} f_r(h)
$$

in **k**. It is enough to prove that (a') holds in **Z**. Let  $F_p(h)$  be the left hand side of (a'). It can be viewed as a polynomial with rational coefficients in h of degree  $\leq 2p$ in which the coefficient of  $h^{2p}$  is

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,2p]} 2\bar{c}_i(2p)!^{-1} = 2\bar{c}_p(2p)!^{-1} = 2(-1)^p(l_0 + \dots + l_p)(2p)!^{-1} = 2(-1)^p 2^{2p} (2p)!^{-1}.
$$

(We have used that  $\bar{c}_i + \bar{c}_{2p-i} = 0$  if  $i \neq p$ .) Thus

$$
F_p(h) = (-1)^{p} 2^{2p+1} (2p)!^{-1} h^{2p} +
$$
 lower powers of h.

In the case where  $p = 0$  this implies that  $F_p(h) = 2$  so that  $(a')$  holds. We now assume that  $p \geq 1$ . Note that  $F_p(-h) = F_p(h)$  for  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; an equivalent statement is that  $(\tilde w_0, \tilde w_n) = (\tilde w_0, \tilde w_{-h}),$  which follows from the definitions. We see that  $F_p(-h) = F_p(h)$  as polynomials in h. Now  $F_p - F_{p-1}$  is a polynomial of degree 2p in h whose value at  $h \in [0, p-1]$  is  $2(-1)^h - 2(-1)^h = 0$ . Using this and  $F_p(-h) = F_p(h)$  we see that

$$
F_p(h) - F_{p-1}(h) = (-1)^p 2^{2p+1} (2p)!^{-1} h^2(h^2 - 1) \dots (h^2 - (p-1)^2).
$$

From this we see by induction on  $p$  that  $(a')$  holds.

It follows that, if L is the line spanned by  $w_0, L'$  is the line spanned by  $\tilde{w}_0$  and  $a_* = (2p + 1, 0, 0, \ldots), b_* = (0, 0, 0, \ldots),$  then  $(g, L, L') \in \tilde{C}^E_{a_*, b_*}$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^E_{a_*,b_*} \neq \emptyset.$ 

**1.9.** In the setup of 1.5, we consider a **k**-vector space E of dimension  $2p + 1$  with a given nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form  $(,) : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$  and a unipotent isometry  $g: E \to E$  of (,) such that g is a single unipotent Jordan block (of size  $2p + 1$ ). Moreover, we assume that we are given  $\tilde{w} \in E$  and (if  $p \ge 1$ )  $w \in E$  such that (with notation of 1.0) for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have:

$$
(w_i, w_j) = 0 \text{ if } |i - j| < p; (w_i, w_j) = (-1)^p \text{ if } |i - j| = p \text{ (with } p \ge 1),
$$
\n
$$
(w_i, \tilde{w}_j) = 0 \text{ if } i - j \in [0, 2p - 1],
$$
\n
$$
(\tilde{w}_i, \tilde{w}_j) = 2 \text{ if } i = j.
$$

We show:

(a) After possibly replacing  $\tilde{w}$  by  $-\tilde{w}$ , the following equalities hold for any i, h in **Z**:

(a1)  $(w_i, w_h) = (-1)^p f_p(i-h)$  if  $p \ge 1$ ,

(a2) 
$$
(w_h, \tilde{w}_0) = 2^{p+1}h(h-1)(h-2)...(h-2p+1)(2p)!^{-1}
$$
 if  $p \ge 1$ ,

- (a3)  $(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h) = \sum_{r \in [0,p]} (-1)^r 2^{2r} f_r(h)$ .
- Now the proof of (a1) is exactly as in 1.6. We show:

(b) if  $p \geq 1$ , then  $\{w_i; i \in [0, 2p]\}$  is linearly independent.

Assume that this is not true. Then  $w_{2p}$  belongs to E', the span of  $\{w_i; i \in$  $[0,2p-1]$ ; hence E' is a g-stable hyperplane. Note that g acts on E' as a unipotent linear map with a single Jordan block (of size 2p). By (a1), (, ) $_{E'}$  is nondegenerate. Hence  $g: E \to E$  has a Jordan block of size 2p and one of size 1; this contradicts our assumption that g has a single Jordan block of size  $2p + 1$ . This contradiction proves (b).

By (b) we can write uniquely (assuming  $p \ge 1$ )  $\tilde{w}_0 = \sum_{i \in [0,2p]} c_i w_i$  where  $c_i \in \mathbf{k}$ . Note that  $c_{2p} \neq 0$ . (Otherwise,  $\tilde{w}_0$  would be contained in E'; on the other hand,  $\tilde{w}_0$ is perpendicular to E' contradicting the nondegeneracy of (,)| $_{E'}$ .) We set  $c_* = c_{2p}$ ,  $\bar{c}_i = c_i c_*^{-1}$   $(i \in [0, 2p])$ . By repeating the arguments in 1.7 we see that  $c_* = \pm 2^{-p}$ . Replacing if necessary  $\tilde{w}$  by  $-\tilde{w}$  we can assume that  $c_* = 2^{-p}$ . Now (a2) and (a3) are proved exactly as in 1.7 and 1.8. If  $p = 0$ , then  $\tilde{w}_h = \tilde{w}_0$  for any  $h \in \mathbf{Z}$  hence  $(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h)=(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_0)=f_0(0)=2.$  Thus (a3) holds again.

**1.10.** We fix two integers  $p_1, p_2$  such that  $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq 1$ . Let  $V', V''$  be two **k**-vector spaces of dimension  $2p_1 + 1$ ,  $2p_2 - 1$ , respectively. Let  $V = V' \oplus V''$ . Assume that V' has a given basis  $z_0, z_1, \ldots, z_{2p_1}$  and that V" has a given basis  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{2p_2-2}$ . We define a symmetric bilinear form  $(,)$  on  $V$  by

$$
(z_i, z_j) = (-1)^{p_1} f_{p_1} (i - j) \text{ for } i, j \in [0, 2p_1],
$$
  
\n
$$
(v_i, v_j) = (-1)^{p_2 - 1} f_{p_2 - 1} (i - j) \text{ for } i, j \in [0, 2p_2 - 2],
$$
  
\n
$$
(z_i, v_j) = (v_j, z_i) = 0 \text{ for } i \in [0, 2p_1], j \in [0, 2p_2 - 2].
$$

(Notation of 1.5.) We define  $g \in GL(V)$  by

$$
gz_i = z_{i+1} \text{ if } i \in [0, 2p_1 - 1],
$$
  
\n
$$
gz_{2p_1} = \sum_{j \in [0, 2p_1]} (-1)^j \binom{2p_1 + 1}{j} z_j,
$$
  
\n
$$
gv_i = v_{i+1} \text{ if } i \in [0, 2p_2 - 3],
$$
  
\n
$$
gv_{2p_2 - 2} = \sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2 - 2]} (-1)^j \binom{2p_2 - 1}{j} v_j.
$$

Note that  $g: V \to V$  is unipotent and that  $V', V''$  are g-stable (g has a single Jordan block on V' and a single Jordan block on V''). By 1.6,  $g: V \to V$  is an isometry. For  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  we set  $z_i = g^i z_0 \in V', v_i = g^z v_0 \in V''$ . This agrees with our earlier notation. By 1.6 we have for  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ :

$$
(z_i, z_j) = (-1)^{p_1} f_{p_1}(i - j), \quad (v_i, v_j) = (-1)^{p_2 - 1} f_{p_2 - 1}(i - j).
$$

As in 1.7, 1.8, there is a unique vector  $\tilde{z}_0 \in V'$  and a unique vector  $\tilde{v}_0 \in V''$  such that for any  $h \in \mathbf{Z}$  we have

$$
(z_h, \tilde{z}_0) = 2^{p_1+1}h(h-1)(h-2)\dots(h-2p_1+1)(2p_1)!^{-1},
$$
  

$$
(\tilde{z}_0, \tilde{z}_h) = \sum_{r \in [0, p_1]} (-1)^r 2^{2r} f_r(h),
$$

$$
(v_h, \tilde{v}_0) = 2^{p_2} h(h-1)(h-2) \dots (h-2p_2+3)(2p_2-2)!^{-1},
$$
  

$$
(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_h) = \sum_{r \in [0, p_2-1]} (-1)^r 2^{2r} f_r(h).
$$

For  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  we set  $\tilde{z}_i = g^i \tilde{z}_0 \in V', \tilde{v}_i = g^i \tilde{v}_0 \in V''.$  By 1.7 we have  $(z, z) = 2(1)h$  if  $h \in [0, n]$ 

$$
(z_0, z_h) = 2(-1)^n \text{ if } h \in [0, p_1],
$$
  
\n
$$
(\tilde{z}_0, \tilde{z}_{p_1+1}) = 2(-1)^{p_1+1} + (-1)^{p_1} 2^{2p_1+2},
$$
  
\n
$$
(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_h) = 2(-1)^h \text{ if } h \in [0, p_2 - 1],
$$
  
\n
$$
(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_{p_2}) = 2(-1)^{p_2} + (-1)^{p_2-1} 2^{2p_2}.
$$

We fix  $\zeta \in \mathbf{k}$  such that  $\zeta^2 = -1$ . We set

$$
\xi = 2^{-p_2}(\tilde{z}_{-p_2} + \zeta \tilde{v}_0) \in V.
$$

Let  $h \in \mathbf{Z}$ . We have

$$
(z_h, \xi) = 2^{-p_2}(z_h, \tilde{z}_{-p_2}) = 2^{-p_2}(z_{h+p_2}, \tilde{z}_0) = 2^{p_1-p_2+1} {h+p_2 \choose 2p_1}.
$$

In particular, we have  $(z_h, \xi) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ ; moreover,

$$
(\zeta_h, \xi) = 0 \text{ if } h \in [-p_2, 2p_1 - p_2 - 1].
$$

Let  $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We set  $\xi_h = g^h \xi$ . Using the definitions we see that

$$
(\xi_0, \xi_h) = 2^{-2p_2} ((\tilde{z}_0, \tilde{z}_h) - (\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_h)).
$$

From this we deduce using the formulas above that

$$
(\xi_0, \xi_h) = 0 \text{ if } h \in [-p_2 + 1, p_2 - 1],
$$
  

$$
(\xi_0, \xi_h) = (-1)^{p_2} \text{ if } h = p_2,
$$
  

$$
(\xi_0, \xi_h) = \sum_{r \in [p_2, p_1]} (-1)^r 2^{2r - 2p_2} f_r(h) \text{ for } h \in \mathbf{Z}.
$$

It follows that, if L is the line in V spanned by  $z_0$ , L' is the line in V spanned by  $\xi$  and  $a_* = (2p_1 + 1, 2p_2 - 1, 0, 0, \ldots), b_* = (0, 0, \ldots),$  then  $(g, L, L') \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*, b_*}$ . In particular,  $\tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V \neq \emptyset$ .

**1.11.** Let  $p_1, p_2$  be as in 1.10; let  $V, \epsilon, (,)$  be as in 1.0. Let  $g \in Is(V)$ . We assume that  $\epsilon = 1$ , dim  $V = 2p_1 + 2p_2$  and that g is unipotent with exactly two Jordan blocks: one of size  $2p_1 + 1$  and one of size  $2p_2 - 1$ . Moreover, we assume that we are given  $z \in V$ ,  $\xi \in V$  such that (with notation of 1.0) we have for  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ :

$$
(z_i, z_j) = 0 \text{ if } |i - j| < p_1, (z_i, z_j) = (-1)^{p_1} \text{ if } |i - j| = p_1, (\xi_i, \xi_j) = 0 \text{ if } |i - j| < p_2, (\xi_i, \xi_j) = (-1)^{p_2} \text{ if } |i - j| = p_2, (z_i, \xi_j) = 0 \text{ if } i - j \in [-p_2, 2p_1 - p_2 - 1].
$$

We show:

(a) After possibly replacing  $\xi$  by  $-\xi$ , the following equalities hold for any  $u \in \mathbf{Z}$ and any  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $i - j = u$ .

(a1) 
$$
(z_i, z_j) = (-1)^{p_1} f_{p_1}(u),
$$
  
\n(a2)  $(z_i, x_j) = 2^{p_1 - p_2 + 1} {u + p_2 \choose 2p_1},$   
\n(a3)  $(\xi_i, \xi_j) = \sum_{r \in [p_2, p_1]} (-1)^{r} 2^{2r - 2p_2} f_r(u).$ 

(Notation of 1.5.) Let  $\alpha_u, \gamma_u, \beta_u$  be the left hand side of (a1), (a2), (a3), respectively. (These are well defined by 1.0(a).) Note that  $\alpha_u = a_{-u}, \beta_u = \beta_{-u}$ . When  $z_i, \xi_i$  are replaced by the vectors with the same name in 1.10, the quantities  $\alpha_u, \beta_u, \gamma_u$  become  $\alpha_u^0, \beta_u^0, \gamma_u^0$  (which were computed in 1.10). Then (a1)-(a3) are equivalent to the equalities  $\alpha_u = \alpha_u^0$ ,  $\beta_u = \beta_u^0$ ,  $\gamma_u = \gamma_u^0$ .

We prove (a1). (See also the proof of 1.6(c).) If  $|u| \leq p_1$ , then (a1) is clear. Thus we can assume that  $|u| \geq p_1 + 1$ . We can also assume that  $u \geq 0$  (hence  $u \geq p_1 + 1$ ). We must only prove that  $(z_0, z_u) = (-1)^{p_1} x_{u-p_1}$  if  $u \geq p_1$  where  $x_h$  is as in 1.5 (with  $p = p_1$ ). As in the proof of 1.6(c) we argue by induction on u. For  $u = p_1$  the result is known. Assume that  $u \geq p_1 + 1$ . We have  $(q-1)^{2p_1+1} = 0$  on V hence  $(g-1)^{2p_1+1}z_{u-2p_1-1}=0$ , that is,

$$
\sum_{h \in [0, 2p_1+1]} n_h z_{u-2p_1-1+h} = 0.
$$

Hence

$$
\sum_{h' \in [0, 2p_1 + 1]} n_{h'} z_{u - h'} = 0
$$

and

$$
\sum_{h \in [0, 2p_1+1]} n_h(z_0, z_{u-h}) = 0.
$$

If  $u = p_1 + 1$  we can assume that  $h = 0, h = 1$  or  $h = 2p_1 + 1$  (the other terms are zero); thus,

$$
n_0(z_0, z_{p_1+1}) + n_1(z_0, z_{p_1}) + n_{2p_1+1}(z_0, z_{-p_1}) = 0.
$$

We see that  $(z_0, z_{p_1+1}) - (-1)^{p_1} (2p_1 + 1) - (-1)^{p_1} = 0$  so that

$$
(z_0, z_{p_1+1}) = (-1)^{p_1} (2p_1 + 2),
$$

as required. Now assume that  $u \geq p_1 + 2$ . We have

$$
\sum_{h \in [0, 2p_1 + 1]; j - h \ge p_1} n_h(z_0, z_{u-h}) = 0.
$$

Using the induction hypothesis this implies

$$
\sum_{h \in [1,2p_1+1]; u-h \ge p_1} n_h(-1)^{p_1} x_{u-h-p_1} + (z_0, z_u) = 0,
$$

hence it is enough to show that

$$
\sum_{h \in [0, 2p_1 + 1]; u - h \ge p_1} n_h x_{u - h - p_1} = 0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{h \in [0, u-p_1]} n_h x_{u-h-p_1} = 0.
$$

This follows from 1.5(a) with u replaced by  $u - p_1$  since  $u - p_1 \geq 2$ .

The proof of  $(a2)$  and  $(a3)$  will be given in 1.12–1.16 where the setup of this subsection is preserved.

## **1.12.** We show:

(a) the set  $\{z_i; i \in [0, 2p_1]\}$  is linearly independent.

Assume that this is not true. Then  $z_{2p_1} \in E$ , the span of  $\{z_i; i \in [0, 2p_1 - 1]\}.$ Hence E is g-stable and its perpendicular  $E^{\perp}$  is g-stable. By assumption we have  $\xi_{p_2} \in E^{\perp}$ . Since  $E^{\perp}$  is g-stable we see that  $\xi_i \in E^{\perp}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $E'$ , the span of  $\{\xi_i; i \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]\},$  is contained in  $E^{\perp}$ . By assumption, E' has dimension  $2p_2$  which is the same as dim  $E^{\perp}$ . Hence  $E' = E^{\perp}$ . Since  $V = E \oplus E'$ , we see that  $V = E \oplus E^{\perp}$  with both summands being q-stable. Now q acts on E as a single unipotent Jordan block of size  $2p_1$ . Thus  $g: V \to V$  has a Jordan block of size  $2p_1$ . This contradicts the assumption that the Jordan blocks of  $q: V \to V$  have sizes  $2p_1 + 1$ ,  $2p_2 - 1$ . This proves (a).

We set  $N = g - 1, e = p_1 - p_2$ . Let  $\mathcal L$  be the span of  $\{N^i z_0; i \in [2p_2, 2p_1]\}$  or equivalently the span of  $\{N^{2p_2}z_i; i \in [0, 2e]\}.$  We show:

(b) 
$$
\dim \mathcal{L} = 2e + 1.
$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}'$  be the span of  $\{N^i z_0; i \in [2p_2, 2p_1 - 1]\}$ . We have  $\dim \mathcal{L}' = 2e$  since  $\{N^iz_0; i \in [0, 2p_1-1]\}$  is a linearly independent set. If (b) is false we would have  $N^{2p_2}z_0 \in \mathcal{L}'$ . Then the span of  $\{N^iz_0; i \in [0, 2p_1-1]\}$  is N-stable. Hence the span of  $\{g^i z_0; i \in [0, 2p_1 - 1]\}$  is g-stable. This contradicts the proof of (a).

We show:

(c) 
$$
N^{2p_2}\xi_0 \in \mathcal{L}.
$$

From the structure of Jordan blocks of  $N: V \to V$  we see that dim  $N^{2p_2}V = 2e+1$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{L} \subset N^{2p_2}V$ . Hence using (b) it follows that  $\mathcal{L} = N^{2p_2}V$  so that (c) holds.

Using (c) we deduce

(d) 
$$
N^{2p_2}\xi_0 = \sum_{i \in [0,2e]} c_i N^{2p_2} z_i
$$

where  $c_i \in \mathbf{k}$  ( $i \in [0, 2e]$ ) are uniquely determined.

**1.13.** For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we set  $m_j = (-1)^j {2p_2 \choose j}$  so that  $N^{2p_2} = \sum_{j \in [0,2p_2]} m_j g^j$ . From  $1.12(d)$  we deduce

(a) 
$$
\sum_{j \in [0,2p_2]} m_j \xi_j = \sum_{i \in [0,2e], j \in [0,2p_2]} c_i m_j z_{i+j}.
$$

Taking  $(z_u)$  with  $u \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we deduce

(b) 
$$
\sum_{j \in [0,2p_2]} m_j \gamma_{u-j} = \sum_{i \in [0,2e], j \in [0,2p_2]} c_i m_j \alpha_{u-i-j}.
$$

We show:

(c1) If  $u \in [p_2, 2p_1 - p_2 - 1]$ , then the left hand side of (b) is 0.

(c2) If  $u = 2p_1 - p_2$ , then the left hand side of (b) is  $\gamma_{2p_1-p_2}$ .

For (c1) it is enough to show: if u is as in (c1) and  $j \in [0, 2p_2]$ , then  $u - j + p_2 \in$ [0, 2 $p_1$  – 1]. (Indeed, we have  $u - j + p_2 \leq 2p_1 - p_2 - 1 + p_2 = 2p_1 - 1$  and  $u - j + p_2 \ge p_2 - 2p_2 + p_2 = 0.$  For (c2) it is enough to show: if  $j \in [1, 2p_2]$ , then  $2p_1 - p_2 - j + p_2 \in [0, 2p_1 - 1]$ . (Indeed, we have  $2p_1 - j \leq 2p_1 - 1$  and  $2p_1 - j \geq 2e \geq 0.$ 

If  $u \in [p_2, p_1 - 1]$ , then in the right hand side of (b) we have  $u - i - j < p_1$ ; we can assume then that  $u - i - j \leq -p_1$  hence  $i \geq u - j + p_1 \geq p_2 - 2p_2 + p_1 = e$ . Thus in this case (b) becomes (using (c1) and setting  $u = p_1 - t$ ):

$$
\sum_{i \in [e, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_2]} c_i m_j \alpha_{p_1 - t - i - j} = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1, e].
$$

Setting  $c'_h = c_{2e-h}$  for  $h \in [0, e]$  and with the change of variable  $j \mapsto 2p_2 - j$ ,  $i \mapsto 2e - i$  we obtain

(d) 
$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e], j \in [0,2p_2]} c'_i m_j \alpha_{-p_1-t+i+j} = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1,e].
$$

In the last sum we have  $-p_1 - t + i + j < p_1$ . Indeed, we have

$$
-p_1 - t + i + j \leq -p_1 - 1 + p_1 - p_2 + 2p_2 = p_2 - 1 < p_1.
$$

Hence we can restrict the sum to indices such that  $-p_1 - t + i + j \leq -p_1$ , that is,  $-t+i+j=-s$  where  $s\geq 0$ . Thus we have

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e], j \ge 0, s \ge 0; i+s+j=t} c'_i m_j \alpha_{-p_1-s} = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1,e].
$$

Hence

$$
(\sum_{i \in [0,e]} c'_i T^i)(\sum_{j \ge 0} m_j T^j)(\sum_{s \ge 0} f_{p_1}(p_1 + s)T^s) = c'_0 + \text{terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T.
$$

Thus

$$
(\sum_{i \in [0,e]} c'_i T^i)(1-T)^{2p_2} A_{p_1} = c'_0 + \text{terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T,
$$

where  $A_{p_1}$  is as in 1.5. Using 1.5(c) we obtain

$$
(\sum_{i \in [0,e]} c_i' T^i)(1-T)^{2p_2}(1+T)(1-T)^{-2p_1-1} = c_0' + \text{terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T
$$

hence

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e]} c'_i T^i = (1+T)^{-1} (1-T)^{2e+1} (c'_0 + \text{terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T).
$$

We have  $(1-T)^{2e+1} = \sum_{j \in [0, 2e+1]} (-1)^j l_j T^j$  where  $l_j = \binom{2e+1}{j}$ . Hence

$$
(1+T)^{-1}(1-T)^{2e+1} = \sum_{j \in [0,e]} (-1)^j (l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_j) T^j + \text{terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T.
$$

We see that

(e) 
$$
c'_{i} = (-1)^{i} c'_{0} (l_{0} + l_{1} + \cdots + l_{i}) \text{ for } i \in [0, e].
$$

In the remainder of this subsection we assume that  $e > 0$ . If  $u = p<sub>1</sub>$ , then in the right hand side of (b) we have  $u-i-j \in [-p_1, p_1]$ ; we can then assume that  $u-i-j$ is  $-p_1$  or  $p_1$ . Hence  $i + j$  is  $2p_1$  or 0 and  $(i, j)$  is  $(2e, 2p_2)$  or  $(0, 0)$ . Thus in this case (b) becomes (using (c1))  $c_0 + c_{2e} = 0$ , that is,  $c_0 = -c'_0$  (to apply (c1) we use that  $e > 0$ ).

If  $u \in [p_1+1, 2p_1-p_2-1]$ , then in the right hand side of (b) we have  $u-i-j > -p_1$ ; we can assume then that  $u - i - j \ge p_1$  hence

$$
i \le u - j - p_1 \le 2p_1 - p_2 - 1 - p_1 = e - 1.
$$

Using this and (c1) we see that (b) becomes (setting  $u = p_1 + t$ ):

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e-1], j \in [0,2p_2]} c_i m_j \alpha_{p_1+t-i-j} = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1,e-1].
$$

Note that in the sum we have  $p_1 + t - i - j > -p_1$ . Indeed, we have

$$
p_1 + t - i - j \ge p_1 + 1 - p_1 + p_2 + 1 - 2p_2 = -p_2 + 2 > -p_1.
$$

Hence we can restrict the sum to indices such that  $p_1 + t - i - j \geq p_1$ , that is,  $p_1 + t - i - j = p_1 + s$  where  $s \ge 0$ . Thus we have

$$
\sum_{i \in [0, e-1], j \ge 0, s \ge 0; i+s+j=t} c_i m_j \alpha_{p_1+s} = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1, e-1].
$$

For such  $t$  we have also

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e-1], j \geq 0, s \geq 0; i+s+j=t} c'_im_j \alpha_{-p_1-s} = 0
$$

as we have seen earlier; the index i cannot take the value e since  $i \leq t$ . Adding the last two equations and using  $\alpha_{p_1+s} = \alpha_{-p_1-s}$  we obtain

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e-1], j \ge 0, s \ge 0; i+s+j=t} (c_i + c'_i) m_j \alpha_{-p_1-s} = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1, e-1].
$$

Thus,

$$
(\sum_{i \in [0,e-1]} (c_i + c'_i)T^i)(\sum_{j \ge 0} m_j T^j)(\sum_{s \ge 0} f_{p_1}(p_1 + s)T^s) = c + \text{terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T,
$$

where  $c \in \mathbf{k}$ . We see that

$$
(\sum_{i \in [0,e-1]} (c_i + c'_i)T^i)(1-T)^{2p_2} A_{p_1} = c + \text{terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T.
$$

Using again  $1.5(c)$ , we obtain

$$
(\sum_{i \in [0,e-1]} (c_i + c'_i)T^i)(1-T)^{2p_2}(1+T)(1-T)^{-2p_1-1} = c + \text{terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T
$$

hence

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e-1]} (c_i + c'_i) T^i = (1+T)^{-1} (1-T)^{2e+1} (c + \text{terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T),
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e-1]} (c_i + c'_i) T^i = c + \text{terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T.
$$

We see that  $c_i + c'_i = 0$  for  $i \in [1, e-1]$ . Using also (e) we see that

(f) 
$$
c_i = (-1)^{i+1} c'_0 (l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_i) \text{ for } i \in [0, e-1].
$$

(In the case where  $i = 0$  this is just  $c_0 = -c'_0$  which is already known.)

**1.14.** If  $u = 2p_1 - p_2$  then, using 1.13(b) and 1.13(c2), we have

(a) 
$$
\gamma_{2p_1-p_2} = \sum_{i \in [0,2e], j \in [0,2p_2]} c_i m_j \alpha_{2p_1-p_2-i-j}.
$$

Taking  $(\xi_{p_2})$  with 1.13(a) we obtain

$$
\sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2]} m_j \beta_{p_2 - j} = \sum_{i \in [0, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_2]} c_i m_j \gamma_{i+j-p_2}.
$$

In the left hand side only the contribution of  $j = 0$  and  $j = 2p_2$  is  $\neq 0$ ; it is  $(-1)^{p_2}$ ; in the right hand side we can assume that  $i + j - p_2 \geq 2p_1 - p_2$  (since  $i+j-p_2 \ge -p_2$ ; hence we have  $i+j \ge 2p_1$  and  $i=2e, j=2p_2$  and the right hand side is  $c_{2e}\gamma_{2p_1-p_2} = c'_0\gamma_{2p_1-p_2}$ . Thus

(b) 
$$
2(-1)^{p_2} = c'_0 \gamma_{2p_1-p_2}.
$$

We see that  $c'_0 \neq 0$  and using (a),(b) we have

$$
2(-1)^{p_2}c'_0{}^{-1} = \sum_{i \in [0,2e], j \in [0,2p_2]} c_i m_j \alpha_{2p_1 - p_2 - i - j}.
$$

In the right hand side we have  $2p_1 - p_2 - i - j \ge -p_1$ ; we can assume then that either  $2p_1 - p_2 - i - j = -p_1$  (hence  $i = 2e, j = 2p_2$ ) or  $2p_1 - p_2 - i - j \geq p_1$  (hence  $i \leq e$ ). The first case can arise only if  $e = 0$ , hence it is included in the second case. Thus

(c) 
$$
2(-1)^{p_2}c'_0{}^{-1} = \sum_{i \in [0,e], j \in [0,2p_2]} c_i m_j \alpha_{2p_1-p_2-i-j}.
$$

Assume now that  $e > 0$ . From 1.13(d) with  $t = e$ , we have

(d) 
$$
0 = \sum_{i \in [0,e], j \in [0,2p_2]} c'_i m_j \alpha_{-2p_1+p_2+i+j}.
$$

We now add (c) and (d) and use that  $c_i + c'_i = 0$  if  $i \in [0, e-1]$  and  $c_e = c'_e$ . We get

$$
2(-1)^{p_2}c_0'^{-1} = 2c_e' \sum_{j \in [0,2p_2]} m_j \alpha_{p_1-j}.
$$

If  $j \in [1, 2p_2]$  we have  $p_1 - j \in [-p_1 + 1, p_1 - 1]$  hence  $\alpha_{p_1 - j} = 0$ . Thus  $2(-1)^{p_2}c'_0{}^{-1} = 2c'_e\alpha_{p_1} = 2(-1)^{p_1}c'_e.$ 

By 1.13(e) we have  $c'_e = (-1)^e c'_0 (l_0 + l_1 + \cdots + l_e) = (-1)^e c'_0 2^{2e}$  hence  $2(-1)^{p_2}c'_0{}^{-1} = 2(-1)^{p_1}(-1)^{e}c'_0 2^{2e}$ 

so that  $c_0^{\prime\,2} = 2^{-2e}$  and  $c_0^{\prime} = \pm 2^{-e}$ . Changing if necessary  $\xi$  by  $-\xi$  we can therefore assume that

(e) 
$$
c'_0 = 2^{-e}
$$
.

Assume now that  $e = 0$ . We have  $c'_0 = c_0$  and (c) becomes

$$
2(-1)^{p_2}c_0^{-1} = \sum_{j \in [0,2p_2]} c_0 m_j \alpha_{p_1-j},
$$

that is,  $2(-1)^{p_2}c_0^{-1} = 2c_0(-1)^{p_1}$  hence  $c_0^2 = 1$  and  $c_0 = \pm 1$ . Changing if necessary  $\xi$  by  $-\xi$  we can therefore assume that  $c_0 = 1$ . Thus (e) holds without the assumption  $e > 0$ .

Using (e) we rewrite  $1.13(e)$ ,  $1.13(f)$  as follows:

(f) 
$$
c_{2e-i} = (-1)^i 2^{-e} (l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_i) \text{ for } i \in [0, e],
$$

(g) 
$$
c_i = (-1)^{i+1} 2^{-e} (l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_i) \text{ for } i \in [0, e-1].
$$

When  $z_i, \xi_i$  are replaced by the vectors with the same name in 1.10, the quantities  $c_i$  become the quantities  $c_i^0$ . (Here  $i \in [0, 2e]$ .) We show that

(h) 
$$
c_i = c_i^0
$$
 for  $i \in [0, 2e]$ .

By the analogue of (b) we have  $2(-1)^{p_2} = c_{2e}^0 \gamma_{2p_1-p_2}^0$ . By results in 1.10 we have  $\gamma_{2p_1-p_2}^0 = 2^{e+1}$ . Hence  $c_{2e}^0 = (-1)^{p_2} 2^{-e}$ . Using this and the analogues of 1.13(e), 1.13(f), we see that  $c_i^0$  are given by the same formulas as  $c_i$  in (e) and (f). This proves (h).

**1.15.** Let  $C = \sum_{s\geq 0} \gamma_{2p_1-p_2+s} T^s$ ,  $C^0 = \sum_{s\geq 0} \gamma_{2p_1-p_2+s}^0 T^s$ . If  $u = 2p_1-p_2+t$ ,  $t \geq$ 0, then for any j that contributes to the left hand side of 1.13(b) we have  $u-j \ge -p_2$ (indeed,  $u - j \geq 2p_1 - p_2 - 2p_2 \geq -p_2$ ) hence we can assume that in the left hand side of 1.13(b) we have  $u - j \geq 2p_1 - p_2$ . Muliplying both sides of 1.13(b) by  $T<sup>t</sup>$ and summing over all  $t \geq 0$  we thus obtain

$$
\sum_{t\geq 0}\sum_{j\in [0,2p_2]; t-j\geq 0} m_j \gamma_{2p_1-p_2+t-j} T^t = \sum_{t\geq 0}\sum_{i\in [0,2e], j\in [0,2p_2]} c_i m_j \alpha_{2p_1-p_2+t-i-j} T^t.
$$

The left hand side equals

$$
(\sum_{j\in[0,2p_2]} m_j T^j)(\sum_{t'\geq 0} \gamma_{2p_1-p_2+t'} T^{t'}) = (1-T)^{2p_2} C.
$$

Thus

$$
C = (1 - T)^{-2p_2} \left( \sum_{t \ge 0} \sum_{i \in [0, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_2]} c_i m_j \alpha_{2p_1 - p_2 + t - i - j} T^t \right).
$$

Similarly we have

$$
C^{0} = (1 - T)^{-2p_{2}} \left( \sum_{t \geq 0} \sum_{i \in [0, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_{2}]} c_{i}^{0} m_{j} \alpha_{2p_{1} - p_{2} + t - i - j}^{0} T^{t} \right).
$$

By 1.14(h) we have  $c_i = c_i^0$ . By 1.11(a1) we have  $\alpha_{2p_1-p_2+t-i-j} = \alpha_{2p_1-p_2+t-i-j}^0$ for any *i*, *j*, *t*. It follows that  $C = C^0$ . Hence

(a) 
$$
\gamma_{2p_1-p_2+s} = \gamma_{2p_1-p_2+s}^0
$$

for any  $s \geq 0$ . We set  $C' = \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma_{-p_2-1-t} T^t$ ,  $C'^{0} = \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma_{-p_2-1-t}^{0} T^t$ . If  $u =$  $p_2 - 1 - t, t \ge 0$ , then for any j that contributes to the left hand side of 1.13(b) we have  $u - j \leq 2p_1 - p_2 - 1$  (indeed  $u - j \leq p_2 - 1 - j \leq p_2 - 1 \leq 2p_1 - p_2 - 1$ ) hence we can assume that in the left hand side of 1.13(b) we have  $u - j \le -p_2 - 1$ . With the substitution  $j \mapsto 2p_2 - j$  the previous inequality becomes  $j - t \leq 0$  and the left hand side of 1.13(b) becomes

$$
\sum_{j \in [0,2p_2]} m_j \gamma_{u-2p_2+j} = \sum_{j \in [0,2p_2]} m_j \gamma_{-p_2-1+j-t}.
$$

Muliplying both sides of 1.13(b) by  $T<sup>t</sup>$  and summing over all  $t \ge 0$  we thus obtain

$$
\sum_{t\geq 0,j\geq 0;t-j\geq 0} m_j \gamma_{-p_2-1+j-t} T^t = \sum_{t\geq 0} \sum_{i\in [0,2e],j\in [0,2p_2]} c_i m_j \alpha_{p_2-1-t-i-j} T^t.
$$

The left hand side equals

$$
(\sum_{j\in[0,2p_2]} m_j T^j)(\sum_{t'\geq 0} \gamma_{-p_2-1-t'} T^{t'}) = (1-T)^{2p_2}C'.
$$

Thus

$$
C' = (1 - T)^{-2p_2} \left( \sum_{t \ge 0} \sum_{i \in [0, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_2]} c_i m_j \alpha_{p_2 - 1 - t - i - j} T^t \right).
$$

Similarly we have

$$
C'^0 = (1-T)^{-2p_2}(\sum_{t\geq 0}\sum_{i\in [0,2e],j\in [0,2p_2]}c^0_i m_j \alpha_{p_2-1-t-i-j}^0 T^t).
$$

By 1.14(h) we have  $c_i = c_i^0$ . By 1.11(a1) we have  $\alpha_{p_2-1-t-i-j} = \alpha_{p_2-1-t-i-j}^0$  for any *i*, *j*, *t*. It follows that  $C' = C'^0$ . Hence

(b) 
$$
\gamma_{-p_2-1-t} = \gamma_{-p_2-1-t}^0
$$

for any  $t \geq 0$ . Clearly (a) and (b) imply 1.11(a2).

**1.16.** We set  $B = \sum_{s\geq 0} \beta_{p_2+s} T^s$ ,  $B^0 = \sum_{s\geq 0} \beta_{p_2+s}^0 T^s$ . Let  $t \geq 1$ . Taking  $(\sqrt{s_{p_2+t}})$ with  $1.13(a)$  we obtain

(a) 
$$
\sum_{j \in [0,2p_2]} m_j \beta_{p_2+t-j} = \sum_{i \in [0,2e], j \in [0,2p_2]} c_i m_j \gamma_{i+j-p_2-t}.
$$

For any j that contributes to the left hand side of (a) we have  $p_2 + t - j \geq -p_2 + 1$ (indeed,  $p_2 + t - j \geq p_2 + 1 - 2p_2 = -p_2 + 1$ ) hence we can assume that in the left hand side of (a) we have  $p_2 + t - j \geq p_2$ , that is,  $t \geq j$ . Multiplying both sides of (a) by  $T<sup>t</sup>$  and summing over all  $t \ge 1$  we thus obtain

$$
\sum_{t\geq 1}\sum_{j\in [0,2p_2]; t\geq j}m_j\beta_{p_2+t-j}T^t=\sum_{t\geq 1}\sum_{i\in [0,2e], j\in [0,2p_2]}c_im_j\gamma_{i+j-p_2-t}T^t.
$$

The left hand side equals

$$
-(-1)^{p_2} + \left(\sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2]} m_j T^j\right) \left(\sum_{t' \ge 0} \beta_{p_2 + t'} T^{t'}\right) = -(-1)^{p_2} + (1 - T)^{2p_2} B.
$$

Thus

$$
B = (1 - T)^{-2p_2}((-1)^{p_2} + \sum_{t \ge 1} \sum_{i \in [0, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_2]} c_i m_j \gamma_{i+j-p_2-t} T^t).
$$

Similarly we have

$$
B^{0} = (1 - T)^{-2p_{2}}((-1)^{p_{2}} + \sum_{t \geq 1} \sum_{i \in [0, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_{2}]} c_{i}^{0} m_{j} \gamma_{i+j-p_{2}-t}^{0} T^{t}).
$$

By 1.14(h) we have  $c_i = c_i^0$ . By 1.11(a2) we have  $\gamma_{i+j-p_2-t} = \gamma_{i+j-p_2-t}^0$  for any *i*, *j*, *t*. It follows that  $B = B^0$ . Hence  $\beta_{p_2+s} = \beta_{p_2+s}^0$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . This clearly implies 1.11(a3).

**1.17.** In the setup of 1.1 we show that 1.1(a) holds by induction on **n**. If  $\mathbf{n} = 0$  we have  $V = 0$  and  $a_i = b_i = c_i = p_i = 0$  for all i. We take  $g = 0$  and  $(L<sup>t</sup>)$  to be the empty set of lines. We obtain an element of  $\tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$ . Now assume that **n** > 0.

Assume first that either  $a_1 \geq 1, b_1 \geq 1$  or that  $\epsilon = -1$ . We can find a direct sum decomposition  $V = V' \oplus V''$  such that  $(V', V'') = 0$  and dim  $V' = a_1 + b_1 = 2p_1$ . Let  $a'_*$  be the sequence  $a_1, 0, 0, \ldots$ ; let  $b'_*$  be the sequence  $b_1, 0, 0, \ldots$ ; let  $a''_*$  be the sequence  $a_2, a_3, \ldots$ ; let  $b''_*$  be the sequence  $b_2, b_3, \ldots$  By the induction hypothesis we have  $\tilde{C}_{a'_*,b''_*}^{V''} \neq 0$ . By 1.3 we have  $\tilde{C}_{a'_*,b'_*}^{V'} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(g',L^1) \in \tilde{C}_{a'_*,b'_*}^{V'}$  and let  $(g'', L^2, L^3, \dots) \in \tilde{C}^{\tilde{V}''}_{a''; b''_*}$ . Clearly,  $(g' \oplus g'', L^1, L^2, \dots) \in \tilde{C}^{\tilde{V}}_{a_*,b_*}$  hence 1.1(a) holds in this case. Thus we can assume that  $\epsilon = 1$  and either

- (i)  $a_1 > 0$  and  $b_1 = 0$  or
- (ii)  $a_1 = 0$  and  $b_1 > 0$ .

Assume that we are in case (i). We have  $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = 0$  and g is unipotent. If  $a_2 = 0$ , then 1.1(a) holds by 1.6 with  $p = (a_1 - 1)/2$ . If  $a_2 > 0$  we can find a direct sum decomposition  $V = V' \oplus V''$  such that  $(V', V'') = 0$  and dim  $V' = a_1 + a_2$ . Let  $a'_*$  be the sequence  $a_1, a_2, 0, \ldots$ ; let  $a''_*$  be the sequence  $a_3, a_4, \ldots$ ; let  $b'_* = b''_*$  be the sequence  $0, 0, \ldots$  By the induction hypothesis we have  $\tilde{C}_{a''_*,b''_*}^{V''} \neq \emptyset$ . By 1.10 we have  $\tilde{C}_{a'_*,b'_*}^{V'} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(g', L^1, L^2) \in \tilde{C}_{a'_*,b'_*}^{V'}$  and let  $(g'', L^3, L^4, \dots) \in \tilde{C}_{a''_*,b''_*}^{V''}$ . Clearly  $(g' \oplus g'', L^1, L^2, \dots) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  hence 1.1(a) holds in this case. This completes the proof in case (i).

Assume now that we are in case (ii) so that  $-g$  is unipotent. It is easy to check that  $\tilde{C}_{g;a_*,b_*}^V = \tilde{C}_{g;b_*,a_*}^V$  and the last set is nonempty by the earlier part of the argument. Hence  $\tilde{C}_{g;a_*,b_*}^V \neq \emptyset$ . This completes the inductive proof of 1.1(a).

In the following result (which is needed in the proof of  $1.1(b)(c)$ ) we preserve the setup of 1.1.

**Proposition 1.18.** Let  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma+\kappa}) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$ . Let  $f_r$  be as in 1.5. There exist vectors  $z^t \in L^t - \{0\}$  for  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]$  such that (i), (ii), (iii) below hold for any  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

(i) Assume that either  $t \in [1, \sigma], \epsilon = -1$  or  $t \in [1, k].$  Then  $(z_i^t, z_j^t) = 0$  if  $|i-j| \, < p_t, \; (z_i^t, z_j^t) \, = \, x_s \; \; if \; j-i \, = \, p_t + s, s \, \geq \, 0 \; \; (x_s \; \; as \; \; in \; \; 1.5 \; \; with \; p \, = \, p_t);$  $(z_i^t, z_j^{t'}) = 0 \text{ if } t' \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], t' \neq t.$ 

(ii) Assume that  $\{t, t+1\} \subset [k+1, \sigma + \kappa], t = k+1 \mod 2$  and  $\epsilon = 1$ . We set  $δ = 1$  if  $a_t > 0$ ,  $δ = -1$  if  $b_t > 0$ . Then

$$
(z_i^t, z_j^t) = (-1)^{p_t} \delta^{i-j} f_{p_t}(i-j),
$$
  
\n
$$
(z_i^{t+1}, z_j^{t+1}) = \delta^{i-j} \sum_{r \in [p_{t+1}, p_t]} (-1)^r 2^{2r-2p_{t+1}} f_r(i-j),
$$
  
\n
$$
(z_i^t, z_j^{t+1}) = \delta^{i-j} 2^{p_t - p_{t+1}+1} {i-j+p_{t+1} \choose 2p_t},
$$
  
\n
$$
(z_i^t, z_j^t) = 0 \text{ if } t' \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], t' \notin \{t, t+1\}.
$$

(iii) Assume that  $\epsilon = 1, \kappa = 1, t = \sigma + 1$ . We set  $\delta = 1$  if  $a_t > 0$ ,  $\delta = -1$  if  $b_t > 0$ . (We have  $p_t = 0$ .) Then

$$
(z_i^t, z_j^t) = 2\delta^{i-j},
$$
  

$$
(z_i^t, z_j^{t'}) = 0 \text{ if } t' \in [1, \sigma].
$$

We argue by induction on **n**. When  $n = 0$  the result is obvious. Now assume that  $n > 1$ .

Case 1. Assume first that either  $a_1 \geq 1, b_1 \geq 1$  or that  $\epsilon = -1$ . We have  $a_1 + b_1 =$ 2*p*<sub>1</sub>. Let  $V' = \bigoplus_{i \in [0, 2p_1-1]} L_i^1 \subset V$ . We show that

.

(a) gV -= V -

It is enough to show that  $gL_{2p_1-1}^1 \subset V'$ , that is,  $g^{2p_1}L_0^1 \subset V'$ . Since  $g^iL_0^1 \subset V'$  for  $i \in [0, 2p_1 - 1]$  and  $a_1 + b_1 = 2p_1$ , it is enough to show that  $(g-1)^{a_1}(g+1)^{b_1}L_0^1 = 0$ . It is also enough to show that  $(g-1)^{a_1}(g+1)^{b_1}=0$  on V. But this follows from the fact that  $g \in \mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$ .

Now let 
$$
V'' = \bigoplus_{t \in [2, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p_t - 1]} L_i^t \subset V
$$
. We show that

(b) 
$$
V'' = V'^{\perp}
$$
 (the perpendicular to V') and  $V = V' \oplus V'^{\perp}$ .

For  $t \in [2, \sigma], i \in [0, 2p_1 - 1]$  we have  $(L_i^1, L_{p_t}^t) = 0$ ; thus  $L_{p_t}^t \in V'^{\perp}$ . Since  $V'^{\perp}$  is gstable it follows that  $L_i^t \subset V'^{\perp}$  for  $t \in [2, \sigma], i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\kappa = 1$  we have  $(L_i^1, L_0^{\sigma+1}) = 0$ for  $i \in [0, 2p_1 - 1]$ ; thus  $L_0^{\sigma+1} \subset V'^{\perp}$ . Hence  $V'' \subset V'^{\perp}$ . But these two vector spaces have the same dimension so that  $V'' = V'^{\perp}$ . Since  $V = V' \oplus V''$  it follows that  $V = V' \oplus V'^{\perp}$ . This proves (b).

Let  $g' = g|_{V'}, g'' = g_{V''}.$  We show:

(c) g' restricted to the generalized 1-eigenspace of g' is unipotent with a single Jordan block of size  $a_1$ ;  $-g'$  restricted to the generalized  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of g' is unipotent with a single Jordan block of size  $b_1$ ;  $g''$  restricted to the generalized 1-eigenspace of  $g''$  is unipotent with Jordan blocks of sizes given by the nonzero numbers in  $a_2, a_3, \ldots$ ;  $-g''$  restricted to the generalized  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of  $g''$  is unipotent with Jordan blocks of sizes given by the nonzero numbers in  $b_2, b_3, \ldots$ .

As we have seen earlier we have  $(g-1)^{a_1}(g+1)^{b_1}=0$  on V' (even on V). Also  $g' \in GL(V')$  is regular in the sense of Steinberg and dim  $V' = a_1 + b_1$ . This implies  $(c).$ 

Let  $a'_*$  be the sequence  $a_1, 0, 0, \ldots$ ; let  $b'_*$  be the sequence  $b_1, 0, 0, \ldots$ ; let  $a''_*$  be the sequence  $a_2, a_3, \ldots$ ; let  $b''_*$  be the sequence  $b_2, b_3, \ldots$ 

Now the proposition holds when  $(g, L<sup>1</sup>, L<sup>2</sup>,...)$  is replaced by  $(g'', L<sup>2</sup>, L<sup>3</sup>,...) \in$  $\tilde{C}_{a''_*,b''_*,b''_*}^{V''}$  (by the induction hypothesis) or by  $(g', L^1) \in \tilde{C}_{a'_*,b'_*}^{V''}$  (we choose any  $z^1 \in$  $L^{1} - \{0\}$  such that  $(z_{i}^{1}, z_{j}^{1}) = 1$  for  $|i - j| = p_{1}$  and we apply 1.4). Hence the proposition holds for  $(g, L<sup>1</sup>, L<sup>2</sup>,...)$  (since  $(V', V'') = 0$ ).

Case 2. Next we assume that  $k = 0, \epsilon = 1, a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0$ . Then  $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = 0$ . We have  $a_1 = 2p_1 + 1, a_2 = 2p_2 - 1$ . Let  $V' = \bigoplus_{t \in [1,2], i \in [0,2p_t-1]} L_i^t \subset V$ . We show that

(d) 
$$
gV' = V'.
$$

Let  $N = g-1$ . Then  $V = \bigoplus_{t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p'_t-1]} N^i L_0^t$  is a direct sum decomposition into lines and  $p_i = p'_i$  if  $i \in [1, 2]$ . Now  $N^{2p_2-1}(V)$  contains the lines:

(\*) 
$$
N^{2p_2-1+i}L_0^1(i=0,1,\ldots,2p_1-2p_2)
$$
 and  $N^{2p_2-1}L_0^2$ 

(whose number is  $2p_1 - 2p_2 + 2$ ); moreover, since N has Jordan blocks of sizes  $a_1 = 2p_1 + 1, a_2 = 2p_2 - 1$  and others of size  $\lt a_2$ , we see that dim  $N^{2p_2-1}(V)$  =  $2p_1 - 2p_2 + 2$  so that  $N^{2p_2-1}(V)$  is equal to the subspace spanned by  $(*)$  and  $N^{2p_2-1}(V) \subset V'$ . Now V' is the subspace of V spanned by the lines  $N^{i}L_0^{t}$  with

 $t \in [1, 2], i \in [0, 2p_t - 1]$ . It is enough to show that  $NV' \subset V'$  or that  $N^{2p_t}L_0^t \subset V'$ for  $t = 1, 2$ . But for  $t = 1, 2$  we have  $N^{2p_t} L_0^t \subset N^{2p_2-1} V \subset V'$  since  $2p_t - 2p_2 + 1 \ge 0$ . This proves (d).

- Let  $V'' = \bigoplus_{t \in [3,\sigma+\kappa], i \in [0,2p'_t-1]} L_i^t \subset V$ . We show that
- (e)  $V'' = V'^{\perp}$  (the perpendicular to V') and  $V = V' \oplus V'^{\perp}$ .

For  $t \in [1,2], r \in [3, \sigma], i \in [0, 2p_t - 1]$  we have  $(L_i^t, L_{p_r}^r) = 0$ . Thus  $L_{p_r}^r \subset V'^{\perp}$ for  $r \in [3, \sigma]$ . Since  $V'^{\perp}$  is g-stable it follows that  $L_i^r \subset V'^{\perp}$  for  $r \in [3, \sigma], i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\kappa = 1$  we have  $(L_i^t, L_0^{\sigma+1}) = 0$  for  $i \in [0, 2p_t - 1], t \in [1, 2]$ . Thus  $L_0^{\sigma+1} \in V'^{\perp}$ . Hence  $V'' \subset V'^{\perp}$ . But these two vector spaces have the same dimension so that  $V'' = V'^{\perp}$ . Since  $V = V' \oplus V''$  it follows that  $V = V' \oplus V'^{\perp}$ . This proves (e).

Let  $g' = g|_{V'}, g'' = g_{V''}.$  We show:

(f)  $g'$  is unipotent with exactly two Jordan blocks of size  $a_1, a_2$ . Moreover,  $g''$  is unipotent with Jordan blocks of sizes given by the nonzero numbers in  $a_3, a_4, \ldots$ .

Since V' is the direct sum of the lines  $N^{i}L_{0}^{t}$ ,  $t \in [1,2], i \in [0, 2p_{t}-1]$  and V' is N-stable, we see that the kernel of  $N: V' \to V'$  has dimension  $\leq 2$ . Hence  $N: V' \to V'$  has either a single Jordan block of size  $2p_1 + 2p_2 = a_1 + a_2$  or two Jordan blocks of sizes  $a'_1 \ge a'_2$  where  $a'_1 + a'_2 = a_1 + a_2$ . The first alternative does not occur since the Jordan blocks of  $N: V' \to V'$  have sizes  $\leq a_1$  (by (e)). Thus the second alternative holds. Since  $a'_1, a'_2$  must form a subsequence of  $a_1 > a_2 > a_3 > \dots$  and  $a'_1 + a'_2 = a_1 + a_2$  it follows that  $a'_1 = a_1, a'_2 = a_2$ . This implies (f).

Let  $a'_*$  be the sequence  $a_1, a_2, 0, \ldots$ ; let  $a''_*$  be the sequence  $a_3, a_4, \ldots$ ; let  $b'_* = b''_*$ be the sequence  $0, 0, \ldots$ . Now the proposition holds when  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots)$  is replaced by  $(g'', L^3, L^4, \dots) \in \tilde{C}_{a''_*,b''_*}^{V''}$  (by the induction hypothesis) or by  $(g', L^1, L^2) \in \tilde{C}_{a'_*,b'_*}^{V'}$ <br>(we choose any  $z^1 \in L^1 - \{0\}$  such that  $(z_i^1, z_j^1) = (-1)^{p_1}$  for  $|i - j| = p_1$  and any  $z^2 \in L^2 - \{0\}$  such that  $(z_i^2, z_j^2) = (-1)^{p_2}$  for  $|i - j| = p_2$  and we apply 1.11 by possibly changing  $z^2$  to  $-z^2$ ). Hence the proposition holds for  $(g, L^1, L^2, \dots)$  (since  $(V', V'') = 0$ .

Case 3. Next we assume that  $k = 0, \, \epsilon = 1, \, a_1 > 0, a_2 = 0$ . Then  $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = 0$ and  $\sigma = 1, \kappa = 1$ . We have  $a_1 = 2p_1 + 1, p_2 = 0, p'_2 = 1/2$ . We choose any  $z^1 \in L^1 - \{0\}$  such that  $(z_i^1, z_j^1) = (-1)^{p_1}$  for  $|i - j| = p_1$  and any  $z^2 \in L^2 - \{0\}$ such that  $(z_i^2, z_j^2) = 2$  for  $|i - j| = p_2$  and we apply 1.9 by possibly changing  $z^2$  to  $-z^2$ . We see that the proposition holds for  $(g, L^1, L^2, \dots)$ .

Case 4. Finally assume that  $k = 0, \epsilon = 1, b_1 > 0$ . Then  $(-g, L^1, L^2, ...) \in \tilde{C}^V_{b_*,a_*}$ is as in Case 2 or 3. Let  $(z<sup>t</sup>)$  be the corresponding sequence of vectors in V. This sequence is the desired sequence for  $(g, L<sup>1</sup>, L<sup>2</sup>, \ldots)$ . This completes the proof.

**1.19.** In the setup of 1.1, we show that 1.1(b) holds. We must show that

(a) any two elements  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma+\kappa}), (g', L'^1, L'^2, \ldots, L'^{\sigma+\kappa})$  of  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  are in the same  $Is(V)$ -orbit.

Since  $Is(V)$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  we can assume that  $g = g'$ . Let  $z^t \in L^t$  $(t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa])$  be as in 1.18. Let  $z'^t \in L'^t$   $(t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa])$  be the analogous vectors for  $(g, L'^1, L'^2, ...)$  instead of  $(g, L^1, L^2, ...)$ . By 1.18 we have

(b) 
$$
(z_i^t, z_j^{t'}) = (z'^t, z'^t_j)
$$

for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and any  $t, t' \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]$ . Since  $\{z_i^t; t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p_t^t - 1]\}$ and  $\{z^{\prime t}_{i}; t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p_{t}^{\prime} - 1]\}$  are bases of V (see 1.0(b)) we see that there is a unique  $\gamma \in GL(V)$  such that  $\gamma(z_i^t) = z_i'^t$  for any  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p_t' - 1]$ . From (b) we see that  $\gamma \in Is(V)$ . We show that

(c) 
$$
\gamma(z_{i+1}^t) = z_{i+1}^{\prime t}
$$
 for any  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p_t^t - 1]$ .

When  $i + 1 \in [0, 2p'_t - 1]$  this follows from the definition of  $\gamma$ . Thus we can assume that  $i = 2p'_t - 1$  and we must show that  $\gamma(z_{2p'_t}^t) = z_{2p'_t}^{t}$  for any  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]$ . It is enough to show that  $(\gamma(z_{2p'_t}^t), z'^{t'}_j) = (z'^{t}_{2p'_t}, z'^{t'}_j)$  for any  $t' \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], j \in [0, 2p'_t - 1]$ (we use again that  $\{z_i^t; t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p_t - 1]\}$  is a basis of V). We have  $(\gamma(z_{2p'_t}^t), z'^{t'}_j) = (\gamma(z_{2p'_t}^t), \gamma(z_j^{t'})) = (z_{2p'_t}^t, z_j^{t'})$  and this is equal to  $(z'^{t}_{2p'_t}, z'^{t'}_j)$  by (b). Thus (c) holds. From (c) we see that  $\gamma(g(z_i^t)) = g(\gamma(z_i^t))$  for any  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in$ [0, 2p'<sub>t</sub> - 1]. It follows that  $\gamma g = g\gamma$ . From the definition it is clear that  $\gamma(L^t) = L'^t$ for  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]$ . Thus (a) holds (with  $g' = g$ ). This proves 1.1(b).

**1.20.** In the setup of 1.1, we show that 1.1(c) holds. Let  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma+\kappa}) \in$  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  and let I be the set of all  $\gamma \in Is(V)$  such that  $\gamma g \gamma^{-1} = g, \gamma (L^t) = L^t$  for  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]$ . Let  $z^t \in L^t$   $(t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa])$  be as in 1.18. Let  $\gamma \in I$ . If  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]$ , we have  $\gamma(z^t) = \omega_t^{\gamma} z^t$  where  $\omega_t^{\gamma} = \pm 1$ . If  $\{t, t+1\} \subset [k+1, \sigma + \kappa], t = k+1 \mod 2$ and  $\epsilon = 1$ , we have  $\omega_t^{\gamma} = \omega_{t+1}^{\gamma}$ . Indeed, for some  $i \in \{1, -1\}$  we have

$$
t2^{p_t - p_{t+1} - 1} = (z_{-1}^t, z_{p_{t+1}}^{t+1}) = (\gamma(z_{-1}^t), \gamma(z_{p_{t+1}}^{t+1}))
$$
  
=  $\omega_t^{\gamma} \omega_{t+1}^{\gamma} (z_{-1}^t, z_{p_{t+1}}^{t+1}) = \omega_t^{\gamma} \omega_{t+1}^{\gamma} t2^{p_t - p_{t+1} - 1}$ 

hence  $\omega_t^{\gamma} \omega_{t+1}^{\gamma} = 1$  and our claim follows. Thus,  $\gamma \mapsto (\omega_t^{\gamma})$  is a homomorphism  $\psi : I \to \mathcal{I}$  (notation of 1.0). Assume that  $\gamma$  is in the kernel of  $\psi$ . Then  $\gamma$  restricts to the identity map  $L^t \to L^t$  for  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]$ . Since  $\gamma$  commutes with g it follows that  $\gamma$  restricts to the identity map on each of the lines  $g^i L^t$  ( $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Since these lines generate V (see 1.0(b)) we see that  $\gamma = 1$ . Thus  $\psi$  is injective. Now let  $(\omega_t) \in \mathcal{I}$ . We define  $\gamma \in GL(V)$  by  $\gamma(z_i^t) = \omega_t z_i^t$  for  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p_t' - 1]$ . From the definitions we see that

(a) 
$$
(\omega_t z_i^t, \omega_{t'} z_j^{t'}) = (z_i^t, z_j^{t'})
$$

for any  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$  and any  $t, t' \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]$ .

From (a) we see that  $\gamma \in Is(V)$ . We show that

(b) 
$$
\gamma(z_{i+1}^t) = \omega_t z_{i+1}^t
$$
 for any  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p_t' - 1]$ .

(This is similar to 1.19(c).) When  $i+1 \in [0, 2p'_t-1]$  this follows from the definition of  $\gamma$ . Thus we can assume that  $i = 2p'_t - 1$  and we must show that  $\gamma(z_{2p'_t}^t) = \omega_t z_{2p'_t}^t$ for any  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]$ . It is enough to show that  $(\gamma(z_{2p'_t}^t), \omega_{t'} z_j^{t'}) = (\omega_t z_{2p'_t}^t, \omega_{t'} z_j^{t'})$  for any  $t' \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], j \in [0, 2p'_t - 1]$  (we use again that  $\{z_i^t; t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p'_t - 1]\}$ is a basis of  $V$ ). We have

$$
(\gamma(z_{2p'_t}^t), \omega_{t'} z_j^{t'}) = (\gamma(z_{2p'_t}^t), \gamma(z_j^{t'})) = (z_{2p'_t}^t, z_j^{t'})
$$

and this is equal to  $(z_{2p'_i}^t, z_j^{t'})$  by (a). Thus (b) holds.

From (b) we see that  $\gamma(g(z_i^t)) = g(\gamma(z_i^t))$  for any  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa], i \in [0, 2p_t' - 1]$ . It follows that  $\gamma g = g\gamma$ . From the definition it is clear that  $\gamma(L^t) = L^t$  for  $t \in [1, \sigma + \kappa]$ . Thus  $\gamma \in I$ . We see that  $\psi$  is surjective hence an isomorphism. This proves 1.1(c). **1.21.** In the setup of 1.1, assume that **n** is even  $\geq 2$  and  $\epsilon = 1$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the set of  $Is(V)^0$ -orbits on the set of  $(n/2)$ -dimensional subspaces of V which are isotropic for (,); note that  $|\Omega| = 2$ . If  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma}) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$ , then the  $(\mathbf{n}/2)$ -dimensional subspace  $\bigoplus_{t\in [1,\sigma], i\in [p_t,2p_t-1]} L_i^t$  of V is isotropic for (,). Hence we have a partition

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{a_*,b_*}^V = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{O} \in \Omega} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{a_*,b_*,\mathcal{O}}^V
$$

where for  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega$ ,  $\tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*,\mathcal{O}}^V$  is the set of all  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma}) \in \tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  such that  $\bigoplus_{t\in[1,\sigma],i\in[p_t,2p_t-1]}L_i^t\in\mathcal{O}$ . Now

(a) the action 1.0(c) of  $Is(V)$  restricts for any  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega$  to an action of  $Is(V)^0$  on  $\tilde {\cal C}^V_{a_*,b_*,\mathcal O};$ 

(b) if  $\gamma \in Is(V) - Is(V)^0$  then the action of  $\gamma$  on  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  maps  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*;\mathcal{O}}$  onto  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*,;\Omega-\mathcal{O}}$ .<br>For any  $\mathcal{O} \in \Omega$  we have the following variant of Theorem 1.1:

(c)  $\tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*,\mathcal{O}}^{V} \neq \emptyset;$ 

(d) the action (a) of  $Is(V)^0$  on  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*,\mathcal{O}}$  is transitive;

(e) the isotropy group in  $Is(V)^0$  at any point of  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*,\mathcal{O}}$  is canonically isomorphic to I.

Now (c) follows immediately from (b) and 1.1(a). We prove (d). Let

$$
(g, L1, L2, \dots) \in \tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*,\mathcal{O}}^V, \quad (g', L'1, L'2, \dots) \in \tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*,\mathcal{O}}^V.
$$

By 1.1(b) we can find  $\gamma \in Is(V)$  which carries  $(g, L^1, L^2, \dots)$  to  $(g', L'^1, L'^2, \dots)$ . By (b) we have automatically  $\gamma \in Is(V)^0$ . Hence (d) holds.

To prove (e) it is enough to show that if  $\gamma$  is in the isotropy group in  $Is(V)$  at  $(g, L^1, L^2,...),$  then  $\det(\gamma) = 1$ . Let  $(\omega_t) = \psi(\gamma)$  be as in 1.20. From the proof in 1.20 we see that  $\det(\gamma) = \prod_{t \in [1,\sigma]} \omega_t^{2p_t}$ . Since  $\omega_t = \pm 1$  we see that  $\det(\gamma) = 1$ , as required.

We now show:

(f) If  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $b_1 > 0$  and  $(g, L^1, L^2, ...) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*,\mathcal{O}}$ , then there exists  $\gamma \in I'$ (the isotropy group in  $Is(V)^{0}$  at  $(g, L^{1}, L^{2},...)$ ) such that for  $\delta \in \{1, -1\}$ , the restriction of  $\gamma$  to the generalized  $\delta$ -eigenspace of g has determinant -1.

Define  $(\omega_t)$  by  $\omega_1 = -1, \omega_t = 1$  for  $t \in [2, \sigma]$ . In our case we have  $k \geq 1$ hence  $(\omega_t) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $V' = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} L_i^1$ ,  $V'' = \sum_{t \in [2, \sigma], i \in \mathbf{Z}} L_i^t$ . By 1.18,  $V = V' \oplus V''$ (orthogonal direct sum). Define  $\gamma \in I'$  by  $\psi(\gamma) = (\omega_t)$  (notation of 1.20). Then  $\gamma$ acts as identity on  $V''$  and as  $-1$  times the identity on  $V'$ . It is enough to prove that the restriction of  $\gamma$  to the generalized  $\delta$ -eigenspace of  $g_V$  has determinant -1 or that this generalized  $\delta$ -eigenspace has odd dimension. But this dimension is  $a_1$ (if  $\delta = 1$ ) and  $b_1$  (if  $\delta = -1$ ) and  $a_1, b_1$  are odd.

**1.22.** In the setup of 1.1, assume that **n** is odd (hence  $\epsilon = 1$ ) and that  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V \subset$  $Is(V)^0$ . We have the following variant of Theorem 1.1:

(a) the restriction of the action 1.0(c) to  $Is(V)^0$  is transitive on  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$ ;

(b) the isotropy group in  $Is(V)^0$  at any point of  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  is canonically isomorphic to a subgroup of  $I$  of index 2.

Note that if  $\gamma \in Is(V) - Is(V)^0$ , then  $-\gamma \in Is(V)^0$ . Moreover,  $-1 \in Is(V)$ acts trivially on  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$ ; hence (a) follows from 1.1(b). Now let  $\gamma$  be in the isotropy group in  $Is(V)$  at  $(g, L^1, L^2,...)$  and let  $(\omega_t) = \psi(\gamma)$  be as in 1.20. We have

$$
\det(\gamma) = \omega_{\sigma+1} \prod_{t \in [1,\sigma]} \omega_t^{2p_t} = \omega_{\sigma+1}.
$$

Thus the condition that  $\gamma \in Is(V)^0$  is equivalent to the condition that  $\omega_{\sigma+1} = 1$ . This proves (b).

We now show:

(c) If  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $b_1 > 0$  and  $(g, L^1, L^2, ...) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  with  $g \in Is(V)^0$  then there exists  $\gamma \in I'$  (the isotropy group in  $Is(V)^0$  at  $(g, L^1, L^2, ...)$ ) such that for  $\delta \in \{1, -1\},$ the restriction of  $\gamma$  to the generalized  $\delta$ -eigenspace of g has determinant -1.

Define  $(\omega_t)$  by  $\omega_1 = -1, \omega_t = 1$  for  $t \in [2, \sigma + 1]$ . In our case we have  $k \ge 1$ hence  $(\omega_t) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $V' = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} L_i^1$ ,  $V'' = \sum_{t \in [2, \sigma+1], i \in \mathbf{Z}} L_i^t$ . By 1.18, we have  $V = V' \oplus V''$  (orthogonal direct sum). Define  $\gamma \in I'$  by  $\psi(\gamma) = (\omega_t)$  (notation of 1.20). Then  $\gamma$  acts as identity on V'' and as -1 times the identity on V'. It is enough to prove that the restriction of  $\gamma$  to the generalized  $\delta$ -eigenspace of  $g_V$  has determinant  $-1$  or that this generalized  $\delta$ -eigenspace has odd dimension. But this dimension is  $a_1$  (if  $\delta = 1$ ) and  $b_1$  (if  $\delta = -1$ ) and  $a_1, b_1$  are odd.

**1.23.** In the setup of 1.1, assume that  $n \geq 3$  and  $\epsilon = 1$ . When **n** is odd we assume that  $\mathcal{C}^V_{a_*,b_*} \subset Is(V)^0$  and let  $\pi : \Gamma \to Is(V)^0$  be a surjective morphism of algebraic groups with kernel of order 2 such that  $\Gamma$  is connected and simply connected. When **n** is even let  $\pi : \Gamma \to Is(V)$  be a surjective morphism of algebraic groups with kernel of order 2 such that  $\pi^{-1}(Is(V)^0)$  is connected and simply connected.

Let **c** be a  $\gamma^0$ -conjugacy class contained in  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V)$ . (If  $a_1b_1 > 0$  we have **c** =  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V)$ ; if  $a_1b_1 = 0$  there are two choices for **c**.) For **n** odd let X be the set of all  $(\tilde{g}, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma+1})$  where  $\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{c}$  and  $(\pi(\tilde{g}), L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma+1}) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$ . For **n** even let X be the set of all  $(\tilde{g}, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma})$  where  $\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{c}$  and  $(\pi(\tilde{g}), L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma}) \in$  $\tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*,\mathcal{O}}^V$ . Note that  $X \neq \emptyset$ . Now  $\gamma^0$  acts on X by

$$
\gamma: (\tilde{g}, L^1, L^2, \dots, L^{\sigma + \kappa}) \mapsto (\gamma \tilde{g} \gamma^{-1}, \pi(\gamma) L^1, \pi(\gamma) L^2, \dots, \pi(\gamma) L^{\sigma + \kappa}).
$$

We show:

(a) This action is transitive.

If  $a_1b_1 = 0$ , then (a) follows trivially from 1.21(d), 1.22(a). Assume now that  $a_1b_1 > 0$ . Let  $(\tilde{g}, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma+\kappa}) \in X$  and let c be the nontrivial element in ker  $\pi$ . Let  $g = \pi(\tilde{g})$ . We define  $\gamma$  in terms of  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma+\kappa})$  as in 1.21(f) or 1.22(c). Let  $\tilde{\gamma} \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma)$ . Since  $\gamma g \gamma^{-1} = g$  we see that either  $\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{g} \tilde{\gamma}^{-1} = \tilde{g}$  or  $\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{g} \tilde{\gamma}^{-1} = c\tilde{g}$ . In the first case  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is in the centralizer in  $\gamma^0$  of  $\tilde{g}_s$  (the semisimple part of  $\tilde{g}$ ). This centralizer is a connected algebraic group (by a result of Steinberg). Thus its image under  $\pi$  is connected hence it is contained in the connected centralizer of  $q_s$  (the semisimple part of g) in  $Is(V)^0$ . Thus  $\gamma = \pi(\tilde{\gamma})$  is contained in the connected centralizer of  $g_s$  in  $Is(V)^0$ . But then the restriction of  $\gamma$  to the 1-eigenspace of  $g_s$ would have determinant 1, contradicting the choice of  $\gamma$ . We see that we must have

(b)  $\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{g} \tilde{\gamma}^{-1} = c \tilde{g}.$ 

Using 1.21(d), 1.22(a), we see that any  $\gamma^0$ -orbit on X contains either  $(\tilde{g}, L^1,$  $L^2,\ldots,L^{\sigma+\kappa}$  or  $(c\tilde{g},L^1,L^2,\ldots,L^{\sigma+\kappa})$ . From (b) and the definition of  $\tilde{\gamma}$  we see that the action of  $\tilde{g}$  takes  $(\tilde{g}, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma+\kappa})$  to  $(c\tilde{g}, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma+\kappa})$ . This shows that (a) holds.

$$
^{248}
$$

**1.24.** As in [\[L1,](#page-55-3) §3], [\[L5,](#page-55-0) §3] we see that 1.23 (resp. 1.1) implies that Theorem 0.3 holds when G is  $\Gamma$  in 1.23 (resp.  $G = Is(V)$  with  $\mathbf{n} \geq 2$ ,  $\epsilon = -1$ ).

#### 2. Bilinear forms

**2.0.** For any subset S of **Z** we write  $S'' = S \cap (2\mathbf{Z}), S' = S \cap (2\mathbf{Z} + 1)$ .

Let V be a **k**-vector space of finite dimension n. Let  $(,) : V \times V^* \to \mathbf{k}$  be the obvious pairing. Let  $G_V = GL(V)$  and let  $G_V^1$  be the set of all vector space isomorphisms  $V \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} V^*$ . Note that an element of  $G_V^1$  can be viewed as a bilinear form  $V \times V \to \mathbf{k}$ . For  $\gamma \in G_V$  we define  $\gamma \in G_{V^*}$  by  $(\gamma(x), \dot{\gamma}(\xi)) = (x, \xi)$  for all  $x \in V, \xi \in V^*$ . For  $g \in G_V^1$  we define  $\check{g} \in G_{V^*}^1$  by  $(\check{g}z', gz) = (z, z')$  for any  $z \in V, z' \in V^*$ . There is a well-defined group structure on  $G := G_V \sqcup G_V^1$  denoted by  $*$  such that for  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma'$  in  $G_V$  and  $g$ ,  $g'$  in  $G_V^1$  we have

$$
\gamma * \gamma' = \gamma \gamma' \in G_V; \quad \gamma * g' = \check{\gamma} g' \in G_V^1; \quad g * g' = \check{g} g' \in G_V; \quad g * \gamma' = g \gamma' \in G_V^1.
$$

Now let  $g \in G_V^1$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $g^{*i}$  be the *i*-th power of g for the multiplication  $*$ . In particular, we have  $g^{*2} = g * g = \check{g}g$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime\prime}$  we have  $g^{*i} \in G_V$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime\prime}$ we have  $g^{*i} \in G_V^1$ . For any  $z \in V$  and  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  we set  $z_i = g^{*i}z$ ; we have  $z_i \in V$ if  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime\prime}$  and  $z_i \in V^*$  if  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$ . Similarly, for any line L in V and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we set  $L_i = g^{*i}L$ ; this is a line in V if  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and a line in  $V^*$  if  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

For any  $z, z'$  in V and any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}^r, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we show:

(a) 
$$
(z_{i+k}, z'_{j+k}) = (z_i, z'_j),
$$

(b) 
$$
(z_i, z'_j) = (z'_{-i}, z_{-j}).
$$

Indeed, we have

(c) 
$$
(z_i, z'_j) = (z_i, gz'_{j-1}) = (z'_{j-1}, (\check{g})^{-1} z_i) = (z'_{j-1}, g(\check{g}g)^{-1} z_i)
$$

$$
= (z'_{j-1}, gz_{i-2}) = (z'_{j-1}, z_{i-1}).
$$

Repeating this we get  $(z'_{j-1}, z_{i-1}) = (z_{i-2}, z'_{j-2})$ . Combining with (c) we get  $(z_i, z'_j) = (z_{i-2}, z'_{j-2});$  hence  $(z_i, z'_j) = \phi(i-j)$  where  $\phi : \mathbf{Z}' \to \mathbf{k}$ ; by (c) we have  $(z'_i, z_j) = \phi(j - i)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ . In particular, (a) and (b) hold.

Let  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \ldots, b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \ldots$  be two sequences of integers  $\geq 0$  in **N** such that

if  $i \geq 1$ ,  $a_i = a_{i+1}$ , then  $a_{i+1} = 0$ ; if  $i \geq 1$ ,  $b_i = b_{i+1}$ , then  $b_{i+1} = 0$ ; if  $a_i > 0$ , then  $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}'$ ; if  $b_i > 0$ , then  $b_i \in \mathbf{Z}''$ ;

$$
(a_1 + a_2 + \dots) + (b_1 + b_2 + \dots) = n.
$$

It follows that  $a_i = 0$  for large i and  $b_i = 0$  for large i. Define  $k \geq 0$  by  $\{i \geq 1; a_i b_i > 0\} = [1, k]$ . We define  $p_i \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $i \geq 1$  as follows. If  $i \in [1, k]$ , we have  $p_i = (a_i + b_i + 1)/2$ . If  $i > k$  we define  $p_i$  by requiring that for  $s = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$ we have:

$$
(p_{k+s}, p_{k+s+1}) = (b_{k+s}/2, (b_{k+s+1} + 2)/2) \text{ if } b_{k+s} > 0,
$$
  

$$
(p_{k+s}, p_{k+s+1}) = ((a_{k+s} + 1)/2, (a_{k+s+1} + 1))/2) \text{ if } a_{k+s} > 0, a_{k+s+1} > 0,
$$
  

$$
(p_{k+s}, p_{k+s+1}) = ((a_{k+s} + 1)/2, 0) \text{ if } a_{k+s} > 0, a_{k+s+1} = 0,
$$
  

$$
(p_{k+s}, p_{k+s+1}) = (0, 0) \text{ if } a_{k+s} = a_{k+s+1} = 0.
$$

We define  $\sigma$  as follows. If  $n = 0$  we set  $\sigma = 0$ . If  $n \ge 1$  let  $\sigma$  be the largest i such that  $p_i > 0$ . We have  $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq p_{\sigma}$  and

$$
(2p_1 - 1) + (2p_2 - 1) + \cdots + (2p_\sigma - 1) = n.
$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  be the set of all  $g \in G_V^1$  such that  $g^{*4} \in G_V$  is unipotent and such that on the generalized 1-eigenspace of  $g^{*2}$ ,  $g^{*2}$  has Jordan blocks of sizes given by the nonzero numbers in  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  and on the generalized (−1)-eigenspace of  $g^{*2}, -g^{*2}$ has Jordan blocks of sizes given by the nonzero numbers in  $b_1, b_2, \ldots$  (Note that the union of the sets  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  where  $a_*,b_*$  as above vary is exactly the set of elements of  $G_V^1$  which are distinguished in G in the sense of 0.2.)

For  $g \in \mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  let  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{g;a_*,b_*}^V$  be the set consisting of all  $L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma}$  where  $L^t(t \in$  $[1,\sigma]$  are lines in V (the upper scripts are not powers) such that for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have:

$$
(L_i^t, L_j^t) = 0 \text{ if } i - j \in [-2p_t + 3, 2p_t - 3]',
$$
  
\n
$$
(L_i^t, L_j^t) \neq 0 \text{ if } |i - j| = 2p_t - 1 \ (t \in [1, \sigma]),
$$
  
\n
$$
(L_i^r, L_j^t) = 0 \text{ if } j - i \in [1 - 2p_r, 4p_t - 2p_r - 3]', 1 \leq t < r \leq \sigma.
$$

Here  $L_i^t = g^{*i} L^t$ . We then have:

(d) 
$$
V = \bigoplus_{t \in [1,\sigma], i \in [0,2p_t-2]} L_i^t
$$
.

(See [\[L5,](#page-55-0) 4.8(a)].) Let  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  be the set of all  $(g,L^1,L^2,\ldots,L^{\sigma})$  such that  $g \in$  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  and  $(L^1,L^2,\ldots,L^{\sigma}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{g;a_*,b_*}^V$ .

Note that  $G_V$  acts on  $G_V^1$  by "twisted conjugation" that is by  $\gamma : g \mapsto \gamma g \gamma^{-1}$ . Also  $G_V$  acts on  $\tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  by

(e) 
$$
\gamma : (g, L^1, L^2, \dots, L^{\sigma}) \mapsto (\check{\gamma}g\gamma^{-1}, \gamma(L^1), \gamma(L^2), \dots, \gamma(L^{\sigma})).
$$

Now let  $\mathcal I$  be the subgroup of  $\prod_{t\in[1,\sigma]} \{1,-1\}$  consisting of all  $(\omega_t)_{t\in[1,\sigma]}$  such that  $\omega_t = \omega_{t+1}$  for any t such that  $\{t, t+1\} \subset [k+1, \sigma], t = k+1 \mod 2, b_t > 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal I$  is a finite elementary abelian 2-group.

The following is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.1.** (a)  $\tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  is nonempty;

(b) the action 2.0(e) of  $G_V$  on  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  is transitive;

(c) the isotropy group in  $G_V$  at any point of  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  is canonically isomorphic to I.

The proof (by induction on  $n$ ) follows the same lines as that of Theorem 1.1; it is given in 2.2–2.20. The numbering of the subsections is such that the material in 2.2, 2.3,  $\ldots$ , 2.20 is analogous to the material in 1.2, 1.3,  $\ldots$ , 1.20, respectively.

**2.2.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{N}'$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{N}''$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$  be such that  $a + b = 2p - 1$ . For  $e \in \mathbb{N}''$  we define  $n_e \in \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$
(1 - T2)a (1 + T2)b = \sum_{e \in \mathbf{N}''} n_e Te.
$$

We have  $n_0 = 1, n_{4p-2-e} = -n_e, n_e = 0$  if  $e > 4p-2$ . We define  $x_e \in \mathbf{Z}$  for  $e \in \mathbf{N}''$ by  $x_0 = 1$  and

(a) 
$$
n_0 x_e + n_2 x_{e-2} + \dots + n_e x_0 = 0 \text{ for } e \ge 2.
$$

For  $h \in \mathbb{Z}'$  we set  $x'_{h} = 0$  if  $|h| < 2p - 1$ ,  $x'_{h} = x_{|h| - 2p + 1}$  if  $|h| \ge 2p - 1$ . We show:

(b) 
$$
\sum_{e \in \mathbf{N}''} n_e x'_{e-j-1} = 0 \text{ for any } j \in [0, 4p-4]''.
$$

Assume first that  $j \in [2p, 4p-4]$ ". We have

$$
e - j - 1 \le e - 2p - 1 \le 4p - 2 - 2p - 1 \le 2p - 3.
$$

Hence we can assume that  $e - j - 1 \le -2p + 1$  so that  $x'_{e-j-1} = x_{j+1-e-2p+1}$  and we must show that

$$
\sum_{e:e\leq j+1-2p+1} n_e x_{j+1-e-2p+1} = 0.
$$

This holds since  $j + 1 - 2p + 1 \geq 2$ . Assume next that  $j \in [0, 2p - 4]''$ . We have  $e - j - 1 \ge e - 2p + 4 - 1 \ge -2p + 3$ . Hence we can assume that  $e - j - 1 \ge 2p - 1$ so that  $x'_{e-j-1} = x_{e-j-1-2p+1}$  and we must show that

$$
\sum_{e:e\geq j+1+2p-1} n_e x_{e-j-1-2p+1} = 0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{e:e\geq j+1+2p-1} n_{4p-2-e} x_{e-j-1-2p+1} = 0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{e':4p-2-e'\geq j+1+2p-1}n_{e'}x_{4p-2-e'-j-1-2p+1}=0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{e' \le 2p-2-j} n_{e'} x_{2p-2-e'-j} = 0,
$$

and this holds since  $2p-2-j \geq 2$ . Assume next that  $j = 2p-2$ . In the sum over e we can assume that  $e-j-1 \geq 2p-1$  or  $e-j-1 \leq -2p+1$ , that is,  $e \geq 4p-2$  or  $e \leq 0$ . Thus  $e = 0$  or  $e = 4p-2$ . Thus the sum is  $n_0x'_{-2p+1} + n_{4p-2}x'_{2p-1} = n_0 + n_{4p-2} = 0$ .

 $e';$ 

**2.3.** In the setup of 2.2 let V be a **k**-vector space of dimension  $2p - 1$ . Assume that we are given a basis  $\{w_i; i \in [0, 4p-4]^{\prime\prime}\}\$  of V. Let  $\{w_i; i \in [1, 4p-3]^{\prime}\}\$  be the basis of  $V^*$  such that

$$
(w_i, w_j) = x'_{i-j} = x'_{j-i} \text{ if } i \in [0, 4p-4]^{\prime\prime}, j \in [1, 4p-3]^{\prime}.
$$

Thus  $(w_i, w_j) = 0$  if  $|i - j| < 2p - 1$ . We define  $g \in G_V^1$  by  $gw_i = w_{i+1}$  for  $i \in [0, 4p-4]''$ . Let  $\check{g} \in G^1_{V^*}$  be as in 2.0. We have

$$
\check{g}w_i = w_{i+1} \text{ if } i \in [1, 4p-5]';
$$

we must check that  $(w_{i+1}, w_{j+1}) = (w_j, w_i)$  for  $i \in [1, 4p-3]', j \in [0, 4p-4]''$ ; we use that  $|i + 1 - (j + 1)| = |j - i|$ .

We show:

$$
\check{g}w_{4p-3} = \sum_{i \in [0,4p-4]''} n_i w_i,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{i\in[0,4p-4]''} n_i(w_i,w_{j+1}) = (w_j,w_{4p-3})
$$
 for any  $j \in [0,4p-4]''$ ,

that is,

$$
\sum_{i \in [0, 4p-4]''} n_i x'_{i-j-1} = x'_{4p-3-j} \text{ for any } j \in [0, 4p-4]''
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]''} n_i x'_{i-j-1} = 0
$$
 for any  $j \in [0, 4p-4]''$ .

This has been seen in 2.2(b).

We have  $g^{*2}(w_i) = w_{i+2}$  for  $i \in [0, 4p-6]''$ ,  $g^{*2}(w_{4p-4}) = \sum_{i \in [0, 4p-4]''} n_i w_i$ . Hence  $(g^{*2} - 1)^a (g^{*2} + 1)^b = 0$  on V. Indeed this holds on  $w_0$  and then it holds automatically on  $w_i, i \in [0, 4p-4]''$ . Now  $g^{*2} \in G_V$  is regular in the sense of Steinberg and satisfies  $(g^{*2} - 1)^a (g^{*2} + 1)^b = 0$  on V. Hence  $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$  where  $q^{*2}$  acts on  $V^+$  as a single unipotent Jordan block of size a and  $-q^{*2}$  acts on  $V^$ as a single unipotent Jordan block of size b.

It follows that, if L is the line in V spanned by  $w_0$  and  $a_* = (a, 0, 0, \ldots),$  $b_* = (b, 0, 0, \dots),$  then  $(g, L) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$ ; in particular,  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*} \neq \emptyset$ .

We now consider a variant of the situation above. Let  $V'$  be a **k**-vector space of dimension  $2p-1$  with a given element  $g \in G_V^1$  such that  $g^{*4} = 1$ , on the generalized 1-eigenspace of  $g^{*2}$ ,  $g^{*2}$  is a single unipotent Jordan block of size a and on the generalized  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of  $g^{*2}$ ,  $-g^{*2}$  is a single unipotent Jordan block of size b. Moreover, we assume that we are given  $w \in V'$  such (with notation of 2.0) we have

$$
(w_i, w_j) = 0
$$
 if  $i \in \mathbf{Z''}$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z'}$ ,  $|i - j| < 2p - 1$  and  
\n $(w_i, w_j) = 1$  if  $i \in \mathbf{Z''}$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z'}$ ,  $|i - j| = 2p - 1$ .

We show:

(a) for any  $i \in \mathbf{Z}''$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}'$  we have  $(w_i, w_j) = x'_{i-j}$ .

We can assume that  $i = 0$  and  $j \ge 1$ . The equality in (a) is already known if  $j \leq 2p - 1$ . It is enough to show that  $(w_0, w_{2p-1+2t}) = x_{2t}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . We argue by induction on t; for  $t = 0$  the result is already known. Now assume that  $t \geq 1$ . Applying  $(g^{*2}-1)^a(g^{*2}+1)^b=0$  to  $w_{2t-2p+2}$  we obtain  $\sum_{e\in[0,4p-2]^{\prime\prime}} n_e w_{2t-2p+2+e}=0$ . Taking  $(v_1)$  we obtain

$$
\sum_{e \in [0, 4p-2]''} n_e(w_{2t-2p+2+e}, w_1) = 0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{e \in [0, 4p-2]''} n_e(w_0, w_{2t-2p+1+e}) = 0.
$$

For e in the sum we have  $2t - 2p + 1 + e \ge -2p + 3$ ; hence we can assume that we have  $2t - 2p + 1 + e \geq 2p - 1$ . Thus

$$
\sum_{e \in [0, 4p-2]''; 2t-2p+1+e \ge 2p-1} n_e(w_0, w_{2t-2p+1+e}) = 0.
$$

By the induction hypothesis this implies

$$
\sum_{e \in [0,4p-4]''; 2t-2p+1+e \ge 2p-1} n_e x_{2t-4p+2+e} - (w_0, w_{2t+2p-1}) = 0.
$$

It is then enough to show that

$$
\sum_{e \in [0, 4p-4]''; 2t-2p+1+e \ge 2p-1} n_e x_{2t-4p+2+e} - x_{2t} = 0,
$$

or that

$$
\sum_{e \in [0,4p-2]''; 2t-2p+1+e \ge 2p-1} n_{4p-2-e} x_{2t-4p+2+e} = 0,
$$

or that

$$
\sum_{h,h' \in \mathbf{N}''; h+h'=2t} n_h x_{h'} = 0.
$$

But this holds by the definition of  $x_e$  since  $2t \geq 2$ .

**2.4.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ . We define  $n_e$  for  $e \in \mathbb{N}^{\prime\prime}$  by  $n_e = \binom{2p}{e/2}$ . We define  $x_e$  for  $e \in \mathbb{N}^{\prime\prime}$ by  $x_0 = 1, x_2 = -(2p+1)$ , and  $n_0x_e + n_2x_{e-2} + \cdots + n_ex_0 = 0$  for  $e \ge 4$ . For  $e = 2$ we have

$$
n_0x_e + n_2x_{e-2} + \dots + n_ex_0 = n_0x_2 + n_2x_0 = -(2p+1) + 2p = -1.
$$

For  $d \in \mathbf{Z}'$  we set  $\phi_p(d) = 0$  if  $|d| < 2p - 1$ ,  $\phi_p(d) = x_{|d| - 2p + 1}$  if  $|d| \ge 2p - 1$ . We show for any  $h \in \mathbf{Z}'$ :

(a) 
$$
\sum_{e \in [0,4p]''} n_e \phi_p(e+h) = 0
$$

Assume that  $h \leq -1$ . We set  $h = -j - 1$  so that  $j \in \mathbb{N}^{\prime\prime}$ . Assume first that  $j \ge 2p + 2$ . We have  $e - j - 1 \le e - 2p - 2 - 1 \le 4p - 2p - 2 - 1 \le 2p - 3$ . Hence we can assume that  $e - j - 1 \leq -2p + 1$  so that  $\phi_p(e - j - 1) = x_{j+1-e-2p+1}$  and we must show

$$
\sum_{e \in \mathbf{N}''; e \le j+1-2p+1} n_e x_{j+1-e-2p+1} = 0.
$$

This holds since  $j + 1 - 2p + 1 \geq 4$ .

Assume next that  $j \leq 2p - 4$ . We have  $e - j - 1 \geq e - 2p + 4 - 1 \geq -2p + 3$ . Hence we can assume that  $e - j - 1 \geq 2p - 1$  so that  $\phi_p(e - j - 1) = x_{e-j-1-2p+1}$ and we must show:

$$
\sum_{e \in \mathbf{N}''; e \ge j+1+2p-1} n_e x_{e-j-1-2p+1} = 0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{e \in \mathbf{N}''; e \ge j+1+2p-1} n_{4p-e} x_{e-j-1-2p+1} = 0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{e' \in \mathbf{N}''; ap-e' \ge j+1+2p-1} n_{e'} x_{4p-e'-j-1-2p+1} = 0,
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{e' \in \mathbf{N}; e' \le 2p-j} n_{e'} x_{2p-e'-j} = 0
$$

and this holds since  $2p - j \geq 4$ .

Assume next that  $j = 2p - 2$ . In the sum we can assume that  $e - j - 1 \geq 2p - 1$ or  $e - j - 1 \le -2p + 1$  that is  $e \ge 4p - 2$  or  $e \le 0$ . Thus  $e = 0$  or  $e = 4p - 2$  or  $e = 4p$ . Thus the sum is

$$
n_0\phi_p(-2p+1) + n_{4p-2}\phi_p(2p-1) + n_{4p}\phi_p(2p+1) = x_0 + 2px_0 + x_2 = x_2 + 2p + 1 = 0.
$$

Assume next that  $j = 2p$ . In the sum we can assume that  $e - j - 1 \geq 2p - 1$  or  $e - j - 1 \leq -2p + 1$ , that is,  $e \geq 4p$  or  $e \leq 2$ . Thus  $e = 0, 2$  or  $4p$ . Thus the sum is

$$
n_0\phi_p(-2p-1) + n_2\phi_p(-2p+1) + n_{4p}\phi_p(2p-1) = x_2 + 2p + 1 = 0.
$$

Thus the desired formula holds when  $h \leq -1$ . Now assume that  $h \geq 1$ . We have

$$
\sum_{e \in \mathbf{N}''} n_e \phi_p(e+h) = \sum_{e \in [0,4p]''} n_{4p-e} \phi_p(e+h)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{e \in [0,4p]''} n_e \phi_p(4p-e+h) = \sum_{e \in [0,4p]''} n_e \phi_p(-4p+e-h)
$$

and this is 0 by the first part of the proof since  $-4p - h \le -1$ .

We have

$$
\sum_{e \in \mathbf{N}^{\prime\prime}, j \in \mathbf{N}^{\prime\prime}} n_e T^e x_j T^j = 1 - T^2,
$$

hence

$$
(1+T^2)^{2p} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}''} x_j T^j = 1 - T^2
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}''} x_j T^j = (1 - T^2)(1 + T^2)^{-2p} = (1 - T^2) \left( \sum_{k \ge 0} (-1)^k \binom{2p - 1 + k}{2p - 1} T^{2k} \right).
$$

Thus,

$$
x_{2k} = (-1)^k {2p - 1 + k \choose 2p - 1} - (-1)^{k-1} {2p - 1 + k - 1 \choose 2p - 1}
$$
  
= 
$$
(-1)^k {2p - 2 + k}!(2p - 1 + k + k)
$$
  

$$
k!(2p - 1)!
$$
  
= 
$$
(-1)^k (2p + 2k - 1)(2p - 2 + k)(2p - 2 + k - 1) \dots (k + 1)(2p - 1)!^{-1}.
$$

We show for any  $h \in \mathbf{Z}'$ :

(b) 
$$
\phi_p(h) = (-1)^{(h+2p+1)/2} 2h(h+2p-3)(h+2p-5) \dots (h-2p+3)(4p-2)!!^{-1}
$$
  
where

$$
(4p-2)!! := 2 \times 4 \times \dots (4p-2) = 2^{2p-1}(2p-1)!
$$

Assume first that  $h = 2d + 1 \geq 2p - 1$ . We have

$$
\phi_p(h) = x_{2d+1-2p+1} = x_{2d-2p+2}
$$
  
=  $(-1)^{d-p+1}(2p+2d-2p+2-1)(2p-2+d-p+1)$   
 $\times (2p-2+d-p+1-1)\dots (d-p+2)(2p-1)!^{-1}$   
=  $(-1)^{d-p+1}(2d+1)(p+d-1)(p+d-2)\dots (d-p+2)(2p-1)!^{-1}$ 

so that the result holds in this case. Now both sides of (b) are invariant under  $h \mapsto -h$ . Hence (b) also holds if  $h \leq -2p+1$ . If  $h \in [-2p+3, 2p-3]$ ', both sides of (b) are zero. Hence (b) holds for any  $h \in \mathbb{Z}'$ .

In particular we have  $\phi_p(2p+1) = -(2p+1)$ .

**2.5.** In the setup of 2.4, let E be a **k**-vector space of dimension 2p. Assume that we are given a basis  $\{w_i; i \in [0, 4p-2]^{\prime\prime}\}\$  of E. We define a basis  $\{w_i; i \in [1, 4p-1]^{\prime}\}\$ of  $E^\ast$  by

$$
(w_i, w_j) = \phi_p(i - j) = \phi_p(j - i) \text{ for } i \in [0, 4p - 2]'', j \in [1, 4p - 1]'
$$

Thus  $(w_i, w_j) = 0$  if  $i \in [0, 4p - 2]^{\prime\prime}$ ,  $j \in [1, 4p - 1]^{\prime}$ ,  $|i - j| < 2p - 1$ . We define  $g \in G_E^1$  by  $gw_i = w_{i+1}$  for  $i \in [0, 4p-2]''$ . We have

$$
\check{g}w_i = w_{i+1} \text{ if } i \in [1, 4p-3]';
$$

we must check that  $(w_{i+1}, w_{j+1}) = (w_j, w_i)$  for  $i \in [1, 4p-1]$ ',  $j \in [0, 4p-2]$ ''; we use that  $|i + 1 - (j + 1)| = |j - i|$ .

We show:

$$
\check{g}w_{4p-1} = - \sum_{i \in [0, 4p-4]''} n_i w_i.
$$

We must show for any  $j \in [0, 4p-2]$ " that

$$
-\sum_{i\in[0,4p-2]''} n_i(w_i,w_{j+1})=(w_j,w_{4p-1}),
$$

that is,

$$
-\sum_{i\in[0,4p-2]''}n_i\phi_p(i-j-1)=\phi_p(4p-1-j),
$$

that is,

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,4p]''} n_i \phi_p(i-j-1) = 0;
$$

note that  $n_{4p} = -1$ . This has been seen in 2.4(a).

We have

$$
g^{*2}(w_i) = w_{i+2} \text{ for } i \in [0, 4p-4],
$$
  

$$
g^{*2}(w_{4p-2}) = - \sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]''} n_i w_i.
$$

Hence

(a) 
$$
(g^{*2} + 1)^{2p} = 0 \text{ on } E.
$$

Indeed this holds on  $w_0$  and then it holds automatically on  $w_i, i \in [0, 4p-2]''$ . Now  $g^{*2} \in GL(E)$  is regular in the sense of Steinberg and satisfies (a). Hence  $-g^{*2}$  acts on  $E$  as a single unipotent Jordan block of size  $2p$ .

**2.6.** For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we write  $w_i$  instead of  $(w_0)_i$ . This agrees with our earlier notation for  $w_i$  when  $i \in [0, 4p-1]$ . We show:

(a) 
$$
(w_i, w_j) = \phi_p(i - j) = \phi_p(j - i) \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{Z}^n, j \in \mathbb{Z}^n.
$$

 $e \in$ 

By 2.0(a) there exists a function  $f : \mathbf{Z}' \to \mathbf{k}$  such that  $(w_i, w_j) = f(i - j)$  for any  $i \in \mathbf{Z}'', j \in \mathbf{Z}'$ . We must show that  $f(h) = \phi_p(h)$  for  $h \in \mathbf{Z}'$ . We set  $f'(h) =$  $f(h) - \phi_p(h)$ . We must show that  $f'(h) = 0$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{Z}'$ . This is clearly true when  $h \in [-2p+1, 2p-1]'$ . Applying  $\sum_{e \in [0,4p]''} n_e g^{*e} = 0$  to  $w_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}''$ , we deduce

$$
\sum_{\varepsilon [0,4p]''} n_e w_{i+e} = 0;
$$

hence

$$
\sum_{e \in [0,4p]''} n_e(w_{i+e}, w_j) = 0 \text{ for } i \in \mathbf{Z}'', j \in \mathbf{Z}'.
$$

Thus,  $\sum_{e \in [0,4p]^{\prime\prime}} n_e f(i-j+e) = 0$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime\prime}$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$  and  $\sum_{e \in [0,4p]^{\prime\prime}} n_e f(h+e) = 0$ for  $h \in \mathbf{Z}''$ . Combining this with  $\sum_{e \in [0,4p]^{\prime\prime}} n_e \phi_p(h+e) = 0$  for  $h \in \mathbf{Z}''$  (see 2.4(a)), we deduce  $\sum_{e \in [0,4p]^{\prime\prime}} n_e f'(h+e) = 0$  for  $h \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime\prime}$ . We show that  $f'(h) = 0$  for  $h \geq 2p-1$  by induction on h. For  $h = 2p-1$  this is already known. Now assume that  $h \geq 2p + 1$ . We have  $\sum_{e \in [0,4p]^{\prime\prime}} n_e f'(h + e - 4p) = 0$ . If  $e \in [0,4p-2]^{\prime\prime}$  we have  $h + e - 4p \in [-2p + 1, h - 2]$  hence  $f'(h + e - 4p) = 0$  and the sum over e becomes  $n_{4p}f'(h) = 0$  so that  $f'(h) = 0$ . This completes the induction. We now show that  $f'(h) = 0$  for  $h \leq -2p+1$  by descending induction on h. For  $h = -2p + 1$  this is known. Now assume that  $h \leq -2p - 1$ . If  $e \in [2, 4p]''$  we have  $h+e \in [h+2, 2p-1]$  hence  $f'(e+h) = 0$  and the equation  $\sum_{e \in [0,4p]^{\prime\prime}} n_e f'(h+e) = 0$ becomes  $n_0 f'(h) = 0$  so that  $f'(h) = 0$ . This completes the descending induction and completes the proof of (a).

## **2.7.** We preserve the setup of 2.5. Let  $\tilde{w}$  be a nonzero vector in E such that

(a)  $(\tilde{w}, w_i) = 0$  for  $i \in [1, 4p - 3]'.$ 

Note that  $\tilde{w}$  is uniquely determined up to a nonzero scalar. Then  $\tilde{w}_i$  is defined for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  as in 2.0; in particular,  $\tilde{w}_0 = \tilde{w}, \tilde{w}_1 = g\tilde{w}$ . We have

(b)  $(w_i, \tilde{w}_1) = 0$  for  $i \in [2, 4p - 2]''$ .

Indeed, using 2.0(a),(b) we have  $(w_i, \tilde{w}_1)=(\tilde{w}_{-i}, w_{-1})=(\tilde{w}_0, w_{i-1})$  and this is zero since  $i - 1 \in [1, 4p - 3]'.$ 

We show that  $(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1) \neq 0$ . Let  $E_1$  be the span of  $\{w_i; i \in [2, 4p - 2]^{\prime\prime}\}\$  and let  $E'_1$  be the span of  $\{w_i; i \in [1, 4p-3]\}$ . The canonical pairing  $(,) : E \times E^* \to \mathbf{k}$ restricts to a nondegenerate pairing  $E_1 \times E'_1 \to \mathbf{k}$  (by the formulas for  $(w_i, w_j)$  in 2.5). Since  $\tilde{w}_0$  is in the annihilator of  $E'_1$  in E, it follows that  $\tilde{w}_0 \notin E_1$ . Since  $\tilde{w}_1$  is in the annihilator of  $E_1$  in  $E^*$ , it follows that  $\tilde{w}_0$  is not in the annihilator of  $\tilde{w}_1$  in E. The claim follows.

If  $\tilde{w}$  is replaced by  $a\tilde{w}$  with  $a \in \mathbf{k}^*$ , then  $(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1)$  is replaced by  $a^2(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1)$  which, for a suitable  $a$ , is equal to 1. Thus we can assume that

$$
(c) \qquad \qquad (\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1) = 1.
$$

Then  $\tilde{w}_0$  is uniquely determined up to multiplication by  $\pm 1$ . We have

$$
\tilde{w}_0 = \sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]''} c_i w_i
$$

where  $c_i \in \mathbf{k}$  are uniquely determined. Since  $\tilde{w}_0 \notin E_1$  we see that  $c_* := c_{4p-2} \neq 0$ . We set  $\bar{c}_i = c_i c_*^{-1} \in \mathbf{k}$ . Note that  $\bar{c}_{4p-2} = 1$ . We have the following result (with  $n_i$ as in 2.4):

(d) 
$$
\bar{c}_i = -(n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_i) \text{ if } i \in [0, 2p - 2]''
$$

(e) 
$$
\bar{c}_i = (n_0 + n_2 + \cdots + n_{4p-2-i})
$$
 if  $i \in [2p, 4p-2]''$ ,

$$
c_* = \pm 2^{-p}.
$$

We can rewrite (a) as follows.

(\*) 
$$
\sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]''} \bar{c}_i \phi_p(i-h) = 0 \text{ for } h \in [1, 4p-3]'.
$$

If  $h = 2p - 1$ , then  $(*)$  is  $\bar{c}_0 + 1 = 0$ . If  $h \in [2p + 1, 4p - 3]$ ', then  $(*)$  is

$$
\sum_{i\in[0,h-2p+1]''}\bar{c}_i\phi_p(i-h)=0.
$$

If  $h \in [1, 2p - 3]$ ', then  $(*)$  is

$$
\sum_{i \in [h+2p-1, 4p-2]''} \bar{c}_i \phi_p(i-h) = 0.
$$

To prove (d) and (e) it is enough to show:

(d') 
$$
- \sum_{i \in [0,h-2p+1]''} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_i) \phi_p(i-h) = 0 \text{ if } h \in [2p+1, 4p-3]',
$$

(e') 
$$
\sum_{i \in [h+2p-1, 4p-2]''} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{4p-2-i}) \phi_p(i-h) = 0 \text{ if } h \in [1, 2p-3]'
$$

We rewrite equation (e') using  $i \mapsto 4p - 2 - i$  and  $h \mapsto 4p - 2 - h$  as

$$
\sum_{i\in[0,h-2p+1]''} (n_0+n_2+\cdots+n_i)\phi_p(h-i)=0 \text{ if } h\in[2p+1,4p-3]',
$$

which is the same as  $(d')$ . Thus it is enough to prove  $(d')$ . We argue by induction on h. If  $h = 2p + 1$ , equation (d') is

$$
n_0\phi_p(-2p-1) + (n_0 + n_2)\phi_p(-2p+1) = 0,
$$

that is,  $-(2p+1) + (1+2p) = 0$ , which is correct. If  $h \ge 2p+3$  we have

$$
\sum_{i \in [0, h-2p+1]''} n_i x_{h-i-2p+1} = 0
$$

since  $h - 2p + 1 \geq 4$ . Hence in this case (d') is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{i\in[2,h-2p+1]''} (n_0+n_2+\cdots+n_{i-2})\phi_p(i-h)=0,
$$

which is the same as equation (d') with h replaced by  $h-2$  (this holds by the induction hypothesis). This proves (d) and (e).

The equation  $(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1) = 1$  can be written as

$$
1 = (\tilde{w}_0, \sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]''} c_i w_{i+1}) = (\tilde{w}_0, c_{4p-2} w_{4p-1}),
$$

that is,

(g) 
$$
1 = c_*(\tilde{w}_0, w_{4p-1}).
$$

We deduce that

$$
1 = c_* \sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]''} c_i(w_i, w_{4p-1}),
$$

that is,

$$
c_*^{-2} = \sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]''} \bar{c}_i \phi_p(4p-1-i).
$$

We have  $4p-i-1 \geq -2p+3$  hence we can assume  $4p-i-1 \geq 2p-1$ . Thus

$$
c_*^{-2} = \sum_{i \in [0,2p]''} \bar{c}_i \phi_p (4p - 1 - i)
$$
  
= 
$$
-\sum_{i \in [0,2p-2]''} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_i) \phi_p (4p - 1 - i) + n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2p-2}
$$
  
= 
$$
-\sum_{i \in [0,2p-2]''} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_i) x_{2p-i} + n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2p-2}.
$$

Thus,

$$
c_{*}^{-2} = - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}'', j \in \mathbb{N}''; i+j \leq 2p, j \geq 2} n_{i}x_{j} + n_{0} + n_{2} + \dots + n_{2p-2}
$$
  
\n
$$
= - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}'', j \in \mathbb{N}''; i+j \leq 2p} n_{i}x_{j} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}''; i \leq 2p} n_{i} + (n_{0} + n_{2} + \dots + n_{2p-2})
$$
  
\n
$$
= - \sum_{k \in [0,2p]''} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}''; j \in \mathbb{N}''; i+j=k} n_{i}x_{j} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}''; i \leq 2p} n_{i} + (n_{0} + n_{2} + \dots + n_{2p-2})
$$
  
\n
$$
= - \sum_{k \in [0,2p]''; k=0,2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}''; i \in \mathbb{N}''; i+j=k} n_{i}x_{j} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}''; i \leq 2p} n_{i} + (n_{0} + n_{2} + \dots + n_{2p-2})
$$
  
\n
$$
= -1 + n_{0}x_{2} + n_{2}x_{0} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}''; i \leq 2p} n_{i} + (n_{0} + n_{2} + \dots + n_{2p-2})
$$
  
\n
$$
= -1 - (n_{2} + 1) + n_{2} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}''; i \leq 2p} n_{i} + (n_{0} + n_{2} + \dots + n_{2p-2})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}''; i \leq 2p} n_{i} + (n_{0} + n_{2} + \dots + n_{2p-2})
$$
  
\n
$$
= n_{0} + n_{2} + \dots + n_{2p} + n_{2p+2} + \dots + n_{4p} = 2^{2p}.
$$

Thus  $c_*^{-2} = 2^{2p}$  and (f) follows.

If  $\tilde{w}$  is replaced by  $-\tilde{w}$ , then  $c_*$  is changed to  $-c_*$ . Hence  $\tilde{w}$  can be chosen uniquely so that

$$
(f') \t\t\t c_* = 2^{-p}.
$$

**2.8.** We preserve the setup of 2.5. For  $h \in \mathbb{Z}'$  we show

(a) 
$$
(\tilde{w}_0, w_h) = (-1)^{(h+1)/2} 2^p (h-1)(h-3) \dots (h-4p+3)(4p-2)!!^{-1} \in 2\mathbb{Z}.
$$

We have  $(\tilde{w}_0, w_h) = \sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]^{\prime\prime}} c_i \phi_p(i-h)$ . Since  $c_i = 2^{-p} \bar{c}_i$  it is enough to prove

(b) 
$$
\sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]''} \bar{c}_i(-1)^{(h+1)/2} \phi_p(i-h) = 2^{2p}(h-1)(h-3) \dots (h-4p+3)(4p-2)!!^{-1}.
$$

It is also enough to prove this equality in **Z**. For fixed i,  $(-1)^{(h+1)/2}\phi_p(i-h)$  is a polynomial in h with rational coefficients of degree  $\leq 2p - 1$ . Hence the left hand side of (b) is a polynomial in h with rational coefficients of degree  $\leq 2p - 1$ . Since  $(\tilde{w}_0, w_h) = 0$  for  $h \in [1, 4p - 3]$ , this polynomial is zero for  $h \in [1, 4p - 3]$  (that is for  $2p - 1$  values of h). It follows that

$$
(-1)^{(h+1)/2} \sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]^{\prime\prime}} \bar{c}_i \phi_p(i-h) = a(h-1)(h-3)\dots(h-4p+3)
$$

for some rational number a. (The left hand side is  $(-1)^{(h+1)/2} 2^p(\tilde{w}_0, w_h)$ .) For  $h = 4p - 1$  we have  $(\tilde{w}_0, w_h) = c_*^{-1} = 2^p$  (see 2.7(g)), hence

$$
2^{2p} = a(4p - 2)(4p - 4) \dots 2 = a(4 - 2)!!
$$

that is,  $a = 2^{2p}(4p-2)!!^{-1}$ . It remains to show that

$$
(-1)^{(h-1)/2}2^p(h-1)(h-3)\dots(h-4p+3)(4p-2)!!^{-1}\in 2\mathbf{Z}.
$$

Setting  $h = 2s + 1$  it is enough to show that

$$
2^{p}(2s+1-1)(2s+1-3)...(2s+1-4p+3)(4p-2)!!^{-1} \in 2\mathbb{Z}
$$

or that

$$
2^p s(s+1)\dots(s-2p+2)(2p-1)!^{-1} \in 2\mathbf{Z}.
$$

This is obvious since  $p \geq 1$ .

**2.9.** We preserve the setup of 2.5. We will show:

(a) 
$$
(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h) = \sum_{k \in [1,p]} 2^{2k-2} \phi_k(h) \in \mathbf{k} \text{ for } h \in \mathbf{Z'};
$$

(b) 
$$
(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h) = 1
$$
 if  $h \in [-2p + 1, 2p - 1]'$ ;

(c) 
$$
(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_{2p+1}) = 1 - 2^{2p}
$$
.

We prove (a). We have

$$
(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h) = \sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]''} c_i(\tilde{w}_0, w_{i+h}) = \sum_{i \in [0, 4p-2]''} (-1)^{(i+h+1)/2} c_i 2^p (i+h-1)
$$
  
(d)  $\times (i+h-3) \dots (i+h-4p+3) \times (4p-2)!!^{-1}.$ 

Thus, (a) would follow from the equality

$$
\sum_{\substack{i \in [0, 4p-2]''}} (-1)^{i/2} \bar{c}_i (i+h-1)(i+h-3) \dots (i+h-4p+3)(4p-2)!!^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
(e) \qquad = \sum_{k \in [1,p]} (-1)^{(h+1)/2} 2^{2k-2} \phi_k(h)
$$

in **k**. It is enough to prove that (e) holds in **Z**. We will do that assuming that (b) holds. Let  $F_p(h)$  be the left hand side of (e). It can be viewed as a polynomial with rational coefficients in h of degree  $\leq 2p-1$  in which the coefficient of  $h^{2p-1}$  is

$$
(4p-2)!!^{-1} \sum_{i \in [0,4p-2]''} \bar{c}_i(-1)^{-i/2}
$$
  
= - $(4p-2)!!^{-1} \sum_{i \in [0,2p-2]''} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_i)(-1)^{i/2}$   
+  $(4p-2)!!^{-1} \sum_{i \in [2p,4p-2]''} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{4p-2-i})(-1)^{i/2}$   
= - $(4p-2)!!^{-1} \sum_{i \in [0,2p-2]''} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_i)(-1)^{i/2}$   
+  $(4p-2)!!^{-1} \sum_{i \in [0,2p-2]''} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_i)(-1)^{(4p-2-i)/2}$   
= -2 $(4p-2)!!^{-1} \sum_{i \in [0,2p-2]''} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_i)(-1)^{i/2}$   
= -2 $(4p-2)!!^{-1}(-1)^{p-1}(n_{2p-2} + n_{2p-6} + n_{2p-10} + \dots)$   
= -2 $(4p-2)!!^{-1}(-1)^{p-1}2^{2p-2}$   
=  $(-1)^p 2^{2p-1}(4p-2)!!^{-1} = (-1)^p (2p-1)!^{-1}.$ 

Thus,

$$
F_p(h) = (-1)^p (2p - 1)!^{-1} h^{2p-1} +
$$
 lower powers of h.

Note that  $F_p(-h) = -F_p(h)$  for  $h \in \mathbb{Z}'$ . An equivalent statement is that

$$
(-1)^{(h+1)/2}(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h) = -(-1)^{(-h+1)/2}(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_{-h})
$$

which follows from  $(\tilde w_0, \tilde w_h)=(\tilde w_0, \tilde w_{-h});$  see 2.0. It follows that  $F_p(-h) = -F_p(h)$ as polynomials in h. Specializing this for  $h = 0$  we see that

$$
F_p(0) = 0.
$$

In the case where  $p = 1$ , from (f) and (g) we see that  $F_1(h) = -h$  so that (e) holds in this case (we have  $(-1)^{(h+1)/2}\phi_1(h) = -h$ ). We now assume that  $p \geq 2$ . Now  $F_p - F_{p-1}$  is a polynomial of degree  $2p-1$  in h whose value at  $h \in [-2p+3, 2p-3]^n$ is  $(-1)^{(h+1)/2} - (-1)^{(h+1)/2} = 0$  (we use (b) for p and p – 1) and whose value at 0 is 0 (see (e)); moreover, the coefficient of  $h^{2p-1}$  in  $F_p - F_{p-1}$  is  $(-1)^p(2p-1)!^{-1}$ (see (f)). It follows that  $F_p - F_{p-1} = (-1)^{(h+1)/2} 2^{2p-2} \phi_p(h)$ . From this we see by induction on  $p$  that (e) holds.

It remains to prove (b) and (c) (without assuming  $(a)$ ). To prove  $(b)$  we can assume that  $h \ge 1$  (we use that  $(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h) = (\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_{-h})$ , see 2.0). Thus it is enough to prove (b) for  $h \in [1, 2p-1]'$  and (c). If  $h = 1$ , (b) holds by the definition of  $\tilde{w}_0$ . Assume now that  $h \in [3, 2p+1]'.$  In the right hand side of (e) the sum over i can be restricted to those i such that  $i + h \notin \{1, 3, \ldots, 4p - 3\}$  hence such that  $i + h \ge 4p - 1$ ; for such i we have  $i \ge 4p - 1 - h \ge (4p - 1) - (2p + 1)$  hence

$$
i \ge 2p - 2
$$
. Moreover, if  $i = 2p - 2$ , then we must have  $h = 2p + 1$ . Thus we have

$$
(\tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_h) = (-1)^{(h+1)/2} \sum_{i \in [4p-1-h,4p-2]''} (-1)^{i/2} \bar{c}_i (i+h-1)
$$
  

$$
\times (i+h-3) \dots (i+h-4p+3) (4p-2)!!^{-1}
$$
  

$$
= (-1)^{(h+1)/2} \sum_{i \in [4p-1-h,4p-2]''; i \ge 2p} (-1)^{i/2} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{4p-2-i})
$$
  

$$
\times (i+h-1) (i+h-3) \dots (i+h-4p+3) (4p-2)!!^{-1}
$$
  

$$
- (-1)^{(h+1)/2} \delta_{h,2p+1} (-1)^{(2p-2)/2} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2p-2})
$$
  

$$
= (-1)^{(h+1)/2} \sum_{i \in [4p-1-h,4p-2]''} (-1)^{i/2} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{4p-2-i})
$$
  

$$
\times (i+h-1) (i+h-3) \dots (i+h-4p+3) (4p-2)!!^{-1}
$$
  

$$
- (-1)^{p+1} \delta_{h,2p+1} (-1)^{p-1} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2p})
$$
  

$$
- (-1)^{p+1} \delta_{h,2p+1} (-1)^{p-1} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2p-2})
$$
  

$$
= x - \delta_{h,2p+1} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2p} + n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2p-2})
$$
  

$$
= x - \delta_{h,2p+1} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2p} + n_{2p+2} + \dots + n_{4p})
$$
  

$$
= x - \delta_{h,2p+1} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2p} + n_{2p+2} + \dots + n_{4p})
$$

where

$$
x = (-1)^{(h+1)/2} \sum_{i \in [4p-1-h, 4p-2]''} (-1)^{i/2} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{4p-2-i})
$$
  
 
$$
\times (i+h-1)(i+h-3)\dots(i+h-4p+3)(4p-2)!!^{-1}.
$$

It remains to show that  $x = 1$ . Setting  $h = 2h' + 1$ ,  $i = 4p - 2 - 2i'$  we have

$$
x = \sum_{i' \in [0,h']} (-1)^{i'+h'} (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2i'}) \binom{2p-i+h'-1}{2p-1}
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i' \ge 0, u \ge 0; i'+u=h'} (-1)^u (n_0 + n_2 + \dots + n_{2i'}) r_u
$$

where  $r_u = \binom{u+2p-1}{2p-1}$ . Note that

$$
\sum_{i \ge 0, u \ge 0; i+u=e} (-1)^i n_{2i} r_e = \delta_{e,0}
$$

for any  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence

$$
x = \sum_{i' \ge 0, j \ge 0, r \ge 0, u \ge 0; i' = j + r, i' + u = h'} (-1)^{h' + j + r} n_{2j} r_u
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{r \in [0, h']} (-1)^{h' + r} \sum_{j, u \ge 0; j + u = h' - r} (-1)^j n_{2j} r_u
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{r \in [0, h']} (-1)^{h' + r} \delta_{h' - r} = (-1)^{h' + h'} = 1.
$$

This completes the proof of (a), (b), (c).

**2.10.** We fix two integers  $p_1, p_2$  such that  $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq 1$ . Let  $V', V''$  be two **k**-vector spaces of dimension  $2p_1, 2p_2 - 2$ , respectively, and let  $V = V' \oplus V''$ . We identify  $V^* = V'^* \oplus V''^*$  in the obvious way. Let  $(,) : V \times V^* \to \mathbf{k}$  be the obvious pairing. Assume that V' has a given basis  $\{z_i; i \in [0, 4p_1 - 2]''\}$  and that V'' has a given basis  $\{v_i; i \in [0, 4p_2 - 6]''\}$ . There is a unique basis  $\{z_i; i \in [1, 4p_1 - 1]'\}$  of  $V'^*$  and a unique basis  $\{v_i; i \in [1, 4p_2 - 5]'\}$  of  $V''^*$  such that

$$
(z_i, z_j) = \phi_{p_1}(i - j) \text{ for } i \in [0, 4p_1 - 2]^{\prime\prime}, j \in [1, 4p_1 - 1]^{\prime},
$$
  

$$
(v_i, v_j) = \phi_{p_2 - 1}(i - j) \text{ for } i \in [0, 4p_2 - 6]^{\prime\prime}, j \in [1, 4p_2 - 5]^{\prime}.
$$

(Notation of 2.4; the basis of  $V''$  and  $V''^*$  is empty when  $p_2 = 1$ .) We define  $g \in G_V^1$ by  $gz_i = z_{i+1}$  for  $i \in [0, 4p_1 - 2]''$ ,  $gv_i = v_{i+1}$  for  $i \in [0, 4p_2 - 6]''$ . We have

$$
g^{*2}(z_i) = z_{i+2} \text{ for } i \in [0, 4p_1 - 4], \quad g^{*2}(v_i) = v_{i+2} \text{ for } i \in [0, 4p_2 - 8],
$$

$$
(g^{*2} + 1)^{2p_1} = 0 \text{ on } V', \quad (g^{*2} + 1)^{2p_2 - 2} = 0 \text{ on } V''.
$$

(See 2.5.) Hence  $-g^{*2}$  acts on V' as a single unipotent Jordan block of size  $2p_1$  and on  $V''$  as a single unipotent Jordan block of size  $2p_2 - 2$ . (When  $p_2 = 1, -g^{*2} = 0$ on  $V'' = 0.$ )

For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we write  $z_i$  instead of  $(z_0)_i$  (as in 2.0); when  $p_2 \geq 2$  we write  $v_i$  instead of  $(v_0)_i$ . This agrees with our earlier notation for  $z_i$  when  $i \in [0, 4p_1 - 1]$  and  $v_i$  for  $i \in [0, 4p_2 - 5]$ . We have

$$
(z_i, z_j) = \phi_{p_1}(i - j) \text{ for } i \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime\prime}, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime};
$$

 $(v_i, v_j) = \phi_{p_2-1}(i-j)$  for  $i \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime\prime}$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$  (assuming  $p_2 \ge 2$ ).

(See 2.6(a).) If  $p_2 \geq 2$  we clearly we have

$$
(z_i, v_j) = 0, (v_i, z_j) = 0 \text{ for } i \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime\prime}, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}.
$$

As in 2.7 and 2.8, there is a unique vector  $\tilde{z} \in V'$  such that for any  $h \in \mathbb{Z}'$  we have

$$
(\tilde{z}_0, z_h) = 2^{p_1}(-1)^{(h+1)/2}(h-1)(h-3)\dots(h-4p_1+3)(4p_1-2)!!^{-1},
$$

Similarly, if  $p_2 \geq 2$ , there is a unique vector  $\tilde{v} \in V''$  such that for any  $h \in \mathbf{Z}'$  we have

$$
(\tilde{v}_0, v_h) = 2^{p_2 - 1} (-1)^{(h+1)/2} (h-1)(h-3)...(h-4p_2 + 7)(4p_2 - 6)!!^{-1}.
$$

(Notation of 2.0.) If  $p_2 = 1$  we set  $\tilde{v}_i = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As in 2.9, we have

(a) 
$$
(\tilde{z}_0, \tilde{z}_h) = \sum_{k \in [1, p_1]} 2^{2k-2} \phi_k(h),
$$

(b) 
$$
(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_h) = \sum_{k \in [1, p_2 - 1]} 2^{2k - 2} \phi_k(h), \text{ (if } p_2 \ge 2),
$$

(c) 
$$
(\tilde{z}_0, \tilde{z}_h) = 1
$$
 if  $h \in [-2p_1 + 1, 2p_1 - 1]'$ ;  $(\tilde{z}_0, \tilde{z}_{2p_1+1}) = 1 - 2^{2p_1}$ ,

(d)  $(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_h) = 1$  if  $h \in [-2p_2 + 3, 2p_2 - 3]'; \quad (\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_{2p_2-1}) = 1 - 2^{2p_2-2}$  (if  $p_2 \ge 2$ ). Let  $\zeta \in \mathbf{k}$  be such that  $\zeta^2 = -1$ . We set

$$
\xi = 2^{-p_2 + 1} \tilde{z}_{-2p_2} + 2^{-p_2 + 1} \zeta \tilde{v}_0 \in V.
$$

Let  $h \in \mathbf{Z}'$ . We show:

$$
(\xi_0, z_h) = 2^{p_1 - p_2 + 1} (-1)^{(h+2p_2+1)/2} (h+2p_2-1)(h+2p_2-3)\dots
$$
  
×  $(h+2p_2 - 4p_1 + 3)(4p_1 - 2)!!^{-1} \in 2\mathbb{Z}.$ 

Indeed,

$$
(\xi_0, z_h) = 2^{-p_2+1}(\tilde{z}_{-2p_2}, z_h) = 2^{-p_2+1}(\tilde{z}_0, z_{2p_2+h}) = 2^{-p_2+1}2^{p_1}(-1)^{(2p_2+h+1)/2}
$$
  
 
$$
\times (2p_2 + h - 1)(2p_2 + h - 3) \dots (2p_2 + h - 4p_1 + 3)(4p_1 - 2)!!^{-1},
$$

as desired. In particular, we have

$$
(\xi_0, z_h) = 0 \text{ if } h \in [1 - 2p_2, 4p_1 - 2p_2 - 3]'
$$

Let  $h \in \mathbf{Z}'$ . From the definitions we have  $(\xi_0, \xi_h)=2^{-2p_2+2}((\tilde{z}_0, \tilde{z}_h)-(\tilde{v}_0, \tilde{v}_h)).$ From this we deduce using  $(a)$ – $(d)$  that

$$
(\xi_0, \xi_h) = \sum_{k \in [p_2, p_1]} 2^{2k - 2p_2} \phi_k(h) \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ for } h \in \mathbf{Z}',
$$
  

$$
(\xi_0, \xi_h) = 0 \text{ if } h \in [-2p_2 + 3, 2p_2 - 3]'; (\xi_0, \xi_{2p_2 - 1}) = 1.
$$

It follows that, if L is the line in V spanned by  $z_0$ , L' is the line in V spanned by  $\xi_0$  and  $a_* = (0, 0, 0, \ldots), b_* = (2p_1, 2p_2 - 2, 0, \ldots),$  then  $(g, L, L') \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*};$  in particular,  $\tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V \neq \emptyset$ .

**2.11.** Let  $p_1, p_2$  be integers such that  $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq 1$ . We consider a **k**-vector space V of dimension  $2p_1 + 2p_2 - 2$  with a given bilinear form  $g \in G_V^1$  such that (with notation of 2.0)  $-g^{*2} \in G_V$  is unipotent with a single Jordan block of size  $2p_1$  (if  $p_2 = 1$ ) or with two Jordan blocks, one of size  $2p_1$  and one of size  $2p_2-2$  (if  $p_2 \geq 2$ ). We assume given two vectors  $z, \xi$  in V such that (with notation of 2.0), setting for  $h \in \mathbf{Z}'$ :

$$
\alpha_h = (z_i, z_j), \beta_h = (\xi_i, \xi_j), \gamma_h = (\xi_i, z_j)
$$
 where  $i \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime\prime}$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$ ,  $h = j - i$ ,

we have

$$
\alpha_h = 0 \text{ if } h \in [-2p_1 + 3, 2p_1 - 3]', \alpha_{2p_1 - 1} = 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta_h = 0 \text{ if } h \in [-2p_2 + 3, 2p_2 - 3]', \beta_{2p_2 - 1} = 1,
$$
  
\n
$$
\gamma_h = 0 \text{ if } h \in [1 - 2p_2, 4p_1 - 2p_2 - 3]'
$$

We show:

(a) After possibly replacing  $\xi$  by  $-\xi$ , the following equalities hold for any  $h \in \mathbf{Z}'$ : (a1)  $\alpha_h = \phi_{p_1}(h) \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $(a2)$   $\beta_h = \sum_{k \in [p_2, p_1]} 2^{2k-2p_2} \phi_k(h) \in \mathbf{Z},$ (a3)  $\gamma_h = 2^{p_1-p_2+1}(-1)^{(h+2p_2+1)/2}$  $\times \frac{(h+2p_2-1)(h+2p_2-3)\dots(h+2p_2-4p_1+3)}{(4p_1-2)!!}$  ∈ 2**Z**.

 $(\phi_p$  as in 2.4.) We prove (a1) (see also 2.6). If  $|h| \leq 2p_1 - 1$ , then (a1) is clear. Thus we can assume that  $|h| \geq 2p_1 + 1$ . Since  $\alpha_h = \alpha_{-h}$  we can also assume that  $h \geq 1$  (hence  $h \geq 2p_1 + 1$ ). We must only prove that

(b) 
$$
\alpha_h = x_{h-2p_1+1}
$$
 if  $h \ge 2p_1 - 1$  is odd,

where  $x_e$  is as in 2.4 (with  $p = p_1$ ). We have  $(g^{*2} + 1)^{2p_1} = 0$  on V hence applying to  $z_0$ , we have  $\sum_{j \in [0,2p_1]} r_j z_{2j} = 0$  where  $r_j = {2p_1 \choose j}$ . Taking  $(z_{2p_1+2s-1})$  we get  $\sum_{j\geq 0} r_j \alpha_{2p_1+2s-1-2j} = 0$ . The coefficient of  $T^s$  ( $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ) in

$$
(\sum_{j\in \mathbf{N}}r_jT^j)(\sum_{u\in \mathbf{N}}\alpha_{2p_1-1+2u}T^u)
$$

is

$$
k_s = \sum_{j \in [0,s]} r_j \alpha_{2p_1 - 1 + 2s - 2j}.
$$

If  $s \ge 2, j > s, j \le 2p_1$ , we have  $\alpha_{2p_1-1+2s-2j} = 0$  since  $2p_1-3 \ge 2p_1-1+2s-2j \ge$  $-2p_1 + 3$ ; hence  $k_s = \sum_{j\geq 0} r_j \alpha_{2p_1-1+2s-2j}$  for  $s \geq 2$ . We have

 $r_0 \alpha_{2p_1+1} + r_1 \alpha_{2p_1-1} + r_{2p_1} \alpha_{-2p_1+1} = 0$ 

hence  $\alpha_{2n_1+1} = -(2p_1 + 1)$  and

$$
k_1 = r_0 \alpha_{2p_1+1} + r_1 \alpha_{2p_1-1} = -1.
$$

Also,  $k_0 = 1$ . Thus  $\sum_{s \geq 0} k_s T^s = 1 - T$ . The left hand side is

$$
(\sum_{j\geq 0}r_jT^j)(\sum_{u\geq 0}\alpha_{2p_1-1+2u}T^u).
$$

Thus  $\sum_{u\geq 0} \alpha_{2p_1-1+2u} T^u = (1-T)(1+T)^{-2p_1}$ . On the other hand, from the definition of  $x_{2u}$  we have  $\sum_{u\geq 0} x_{2u}T^u = (1-T)(1+T)^{-2p_1}$ . This proves (b) hence (a1).

Note that

(c)  $\{z_i; i \in [0, 4p_1 - 4]^{\prime\prime}\}\)$  together with  $\{\xi_i; i \in [0, 4p_2 - 4]^{\prime\prime}\}\)$  form a basis of V.

**2.12.** We show:

(a)  $\{z_{2i}; i \in [0, 2p_1 - 1]\}$  are linearly independent.

Assume that this is not true. Then  $z_{4p_1-2} \in E$ , the span of  $\{z_i; i \in [0, 4p_1-4]''\}$ hence E is  $g^{*2}$ -stable and the annihilator  $(gE)^{\perp}$  of  $gE$  in V is  $g^{*2}$ -stable. For  $i \in$  $[0, 2p_1 - 2]$  we have  $(\xi_{2p_2}, z_{2i+1}) = 0$  hence  $\xi_{2p_2} \in (gE)^{\perp}$ . Since  $(gE)^{\perp}$  is  $g^{*2}$ -stable we see that  $\xi_i \in (gE)^{\perp}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime\prime}$ . Thus E', the span of  $\{\xi_i; i \in [0, 4p_2 - 4]^{\prime\prime}\}$ , is contained in  $(gE)^{\perp}$ . Now E' has dimension  $2p_2-1$  which is the same as  $\dim(gE)^{\perp}$ . Hence  $E' = (gE)^{\perp}$ . Since  $V = E \oplus E'$  (see 2.11(c)) we see that  $V = E \oplus (gE)^{\perp}$  with both summands  $g^{*2}$ -stable. Now  $-g^{*2}$  acts on E as a single Jordan block of size  $2p_1 - 1$ . Thus  $-q^{*2}: V \to V$  has a Jordan block of size  $2p_1 - 1$ . This contradicts the assumption that the Jordan blocks of  $-q^{*2}: V \to V$  have even sizes. This proves (a).

We set  $N = g^{*2} + 1, e = p_1 - p_2$ . Let  $\mathcal L$  be the span of  $\{N^i z_0; i \in [2p_2 - 1, 2p_1 - 1]\}$ or equivalently the span of  $\{N^{2p_2-1}z_i; i \in [0, 4e]^{\prime\prime}\}\$ . We show that

(b) dim  $\mathcal{L} = 2e + 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}'$  be the span of  $\{N^i z_0; i \in [2p_2 - 1, 2p_1 - 2]\}$ . We have  $\dim \mathcal{L}' = 2e$  since  $\{N^iz_0; i \in [0, 2p_1-2]\}$  is a linearly independent set. If (b) is false we would have  $N^{2p_1-1}z_0 \in \mathcal{L}'$ . Then the span of  $\{N^iz_0; i \in [0, 2p_1-2]\}$  is N-stable. Hence the span of  $\{g^{*(2i)}z_0; i \in [0, 2p_1 - 2]\}$  is  $g^{*2}$ -stable. This contradicts the proof of (a). We show:

(c)  $N^{2p_2-1}\xi_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ .

From the structure of Jordan blocks of  $N: V \to V$  we see that dim  $N^{2p_2-1}V =$  $2e + 1$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{L} \subset N^{2p_2-1}V$ . Hence using (b) it follows that  $\mathcal{L} = N^{2p_2-1}V$  so that (c) holds.

Using (c) we deduce

(d) 
$$
N^{2p_2-1}\xi_0 = \sum_{i \in [0,2e]} c_{2i} N^{2p_2-1} z_{2i}
$$

where  $c_{2i} \in \mathbf{k}$  ( $i \in [0, 2e]$ ) are uniquely determined.

**2.13.** For  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we set  $m_j = \binom{2p_2-1}{j}$  so that  $N^{2p_2-1} = \sum_{j \in [0,2p_2-1]} m_j g^{*(2j)}$ . From 2.13(d) we deduce

(a) 
$$
\sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} m_j \xi_{2j} = \sum_{i \in [0, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} c_{2i} m_j z_{2i+2j}.
$$

Taking  $(z_u)$  with  $u \in \mathbf{Z}'$  we deduce

(b) 
$$
\sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} m_j \gamma_{u-2j} = \sum_{i \in [0, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} c_{2i} m_j \alpha_{u-2i-2j}.
$$

We show:

- (c1) If  $u \in [2p_2 1, 4p_1 2p_2 3]'$ , then the left hand side of (b) is 0.
- (c2) If  $u = 4p_1 2p_2 1$ , then the left hand side of (b) is  $\gamma_{4p_1-2p_2-1}$ .

For (c1) it is enough to show: if u is as in (c1) and  $j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]$  then  $u - 2j$  +  $2p_2 \in [1, 4p_1 - 3]$ . Indeed we have

$$
u - 2j + 2p_2 \le 4p_1 - 2p_2 - 3 + 2p_2 = 4p_1 - 3
$$

and

$$
u - 2j + 2p_2 \ge 2p_2 - 1 - 4p_2 + 2 + 2p_2 = 1.
$$

For (c2) it is enough to show: if  $j \in [1, 2p_2 - 1]$ , then  $4p_1 - 2p_2 - 1 - 2j + 2p_2 \in$  $[1, 4p_1 - 3]$  or that  $4p_1 - 1 - 2j \in [1, 4p_1 - 3]$ . This is clear.

If  $u \in [2p_2-1, 2p_1-3]$ ', then in the right hand side of (b) we have  $u-2i-2j <$  $2p_1 - 1$ ; we can assume then that  $u - 2i - 2j \le -2p_1 + 1$  hence

$$
2i \ge u-2j+2p_1-1 \ge 2p_2-1-(4p_2-2)+2p_1-1=2e
$$

and  $i \geq e$ . Thus in this case (b) becomes (using (c1) and setting  $u = 2p_1 - 1 - 2t$ :

$$
\sum_{i \in [e, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} c_{2i} m_j \alpha_{2p_1 - 1 - 2t - 2i - 2j}
$$

for  $t \in [1, e]$ . Setting  $c'_{h} = c_{4e-h}$  for  $h \in [0, 2e]''$  and with the change of variable  $j \mapsto 2p_2 - 1 - j$ ,  $i \mapsto 2e - i$  we obtain

(d) 
$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e], j \in [0,2p_2-1]} c'_{2i} m_j \alpha_{-2p_1+1-2t+2i+2j} = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1,e].
$$

In the last sum we have  $-2p_1 + 1 - t + 2i + 2j < 2p_1 - 1$ . Indeed, we have

$$
-2p_1+1-2t+2i+2j\leq -2p_1-1+2p_1-2p_2+4p_2-2=2p_2-3<2p_1-1.
$$

Hence we can restrict the sum to indices such that  $-2p_1+1-2t+2i+2j \leq -2p_1+1$ , that is,  $-t + i + j = -2s$  where  $s \geq 0$ . Thus we have

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e], j \ge 0, s \ge 0, i+j+s=t} c'_{2i} m_j \alpha_{-2p_1+1-2s} = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1,e].
$$

Hence

$$
(\sum_{i \in [0,e]} c'_{2i} T^i)(\sum_{j \ge 0} m_j T^j)(\sum_{s \ge 0} \alpha_{-2p_1+1-s} T^s) = c'_0 + \text{ terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T.
$$

Using results in 2.11 this can be written as

$$
(\sum_{i \in [0,e]} c'_{2i} T^i)(1+T)^{2p_2-1}(1-T)(1+T)^{-2p_1} = c'_0 + \text{ terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T,
$$

that is,

$$
(\sum_{i \in [0,e]} c'_{2i} T^i)(1+T)^{-2e-1}(1-T) = c'_0 + \text{ terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T,
$$

hence

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e]} c'_{2i} T^i = (1 - T)^{-1} (1 + T)^{2e+1} (c'_0 + \text{ terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T).
$$

We have  $(1+T)^{2e+1} = \sum_{j \in [0,2e+1]} l_j T^j$  where  $l_j = \binom{2e+1}{j}$ . Hence

$$
(1-T)^{-1}(1+T)^{2e+1} = \sum_{j \in [0,e]} (l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_j)T^j + \text{ terms of degree} > e \text{ in } T.
$$

We see that

(e) 
$$
c'_{2i} = c'_0(l_0 + l_1 + \cdots + l_i)
$$
 for  $i \in [0, e]$ .

In the remainder of this subsection we assume that  $e > 0$ . If  $u = 2p_1 - 1$ , then in the right hand side of (b) we have  $u - 2i - 2j \in [-2p_1 + 1, 2p_1 - 1]$ ; we can then assume that  $u - 2i - 2j$  is  $-2p_1 + 1$  or  $2p_1 - 1$ . Hence  $i + j$  is  $2p_1 - 1$  or 0 and  $(i, j)$ is  $(2e, 2p_2 - 1)$  or  $(0, 0)$ . Thus in this case (b) becomes (using (c1))  $c_0 + c_{4e} = 0$ , that is,  $c_0 = -c'_0$ . (The left hand side of (b) is 0 by (c1); here we use that  $e > 0$ .)

If  $u \in [2p_1 + 1, 4p_1 - 2p_2 - 3]$ , then in the right hand side of (b) we have  $u - 2i - 2j > -2p_1 + 1$ ; we can then assume that  $u - 2i - 2j \ge 2p_1 - 1$  hence

$$
2i \le u - 2j - 2p_1 + 1 \le 4p_1 - 2p_2 - 3 - 2p_1 + 1 = 2e - 2
$$

and  $i \leq e-1$ . Using this and (c1) we see that (b) becomes (setting  $u = 2p_1 - 1 + 2t$ ):

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e-1], j \in [0,2p_2-1]} c_{2i} m_j \alpha_{2p_1-1+2t-2i-2j} = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1,e-1].
$$

Note that in the sum we have  $2p_1 - 1 + 2t - 2i - 2j > -2p_1 + 1$ . (Indeed we have  $2p_1 - 1 + 2t - 2i - 2j \geq 2p_1 + 1 - 2p_1 + 2p_2 + 2 - 4p_2 + 2 = -2p_2 + 5 > -2p_1 + 1.$ Hence we can restrict the sum to indices such that  $2p_1 - 1 + 2t - 2i - 2j \geq 2p_1 - 1$ , that is,  $2p_1 - 1 + 2t - 2i - 2j = 2p_1 - 1 + 2s$  where  $s \ge 0$ . Thus we have

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e-1], j \ge 0, s \ge 0; i+s+j=t} c_{2i} m_j \alpha_{2p_1-1+2s} = 0 \text{ for } t \in [1,e-1].
$$

For such  $t$  we have also

$$
\sum_{i \in [0,e-1], j \geq 0, s \geq 0; i+s+j=t} c'_{2i} m_j \alpha_{-2p_1+1-2s} = 0
$$

as we have seen earlier; the index i cannot take the value e since  $i \leq t$ . Adding the last two equations and using  $\alpha_{2p_1-1+2s} = \alpha_{-2p_1+1-2s}$  we obtain

(\*)  

$$
\sum_{i\in[0,e-1],j\geq 0,s\geq 0;i+s+j=t}(c_{2i}+c'_{2i})m_j\alpha_{-2p_1+1-2s}=0 \text{ for } t\in[1,e-1].
$$

We show that  $c_{2i} + c'_{2i} = 0$  for  $i \in [0, e-1]$ . For  $i = 0$  this is already known; the general case follows from  $(*)$  by induction on i. Using also  $(e)$ , we see that

(f) 
$$
c_{2i} = -c'_0(l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_i) \text{ for } i \in [0, e-1].
$$

(In the case where  $i = 0$ , this is just  $c_0 = -c'_0$  which is already known.)

**2.14.** If  $u = 4p_1 - 2p_2 - 1$ , then using 2.13(b) and 2.13(c2) we have

(a) 
$$
\gamma_{4p_1-2p_2-1} = \sum_{i \in [0,2e], j \in [0,2p_2-1]} c_{2i} m_j \alpha_{4p_1-2p_2-1-2i-2j}.
$$

Taking  $(\xi_{2p_2-1})$  with 2.13(a) we obtain

$$
\sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} m_j \beta_{2p_2 - 1 - 2j} = \sum_{i \in [0, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} c_{2i} m_j \gamma_{2i + 2j - 2p_2 + 1}.
$$

In the left hand side only the contribution of  $j = 0$  and  $j = 2p_2 - 1$  is  $\neq 0$ ; it is 1; in the right hand side we have  $2i + 2j - 2p_2 + 1 \ge -2p_2 + 1$  hence we can assume that  $2i + 2j - 2p_2 + 1 > 4p_1 - 2p_2 - 3$ , that is,  $2i + 2j \ge 4p_1 - 2$ ; hence we have  $i = 2e, j = 2p_2 - 1$  and the right hand side is  $c_{4e} \gamma_{4p_1-2p_2-1}$ . Thus

(b) 
$$
2 = c'_0 \gamma_{4p_1 - 2p_2 - 1}.
$$

We see that  $c'_0 \neq 0$  and using (a) and (b) we have

$$
2c_0'^{-1} = \sum_{i \in [0, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} c_{2i} m_j \alpha_{4p_1 - 2p_2 - 1 - 2i - 2j}.
$$

In the right hand side we have  $4p_1 - 2p_2 - 1 - 2i - 2j \ge -2p_1 + 1$ ; we can assume then that either  $4p_1 - 2p_2 - 1 - 2i - 2j = -2p_1 + 1$  (hence  $i = 2e, j = 2p_2 - 1$ ) or  $4p_1 - 2p_2 - 1 - 2i - 2j \geq 2p_1 - 1$  (hence  $i \leq e$ ). The first case can arise only if  $e = 0$  hence it is included in the second case. Thus

(c) 
$$
2c_0'^{-1} = \sum_{i \in [0,e], j \in [0,2p_2-1]} c_{2i} m_j \alpha_{4p_1-2p_2-1-2i-2j}.
$$

Assume now that  $e > 0$ . From 2.13(d) with  $t = e$  we have

(d) 
$$
0 = \sum_{i \in [0,e], j \in [0,2p_2-1]} c'_{2i} m_j \alpha_{-4p_1+2p_2+1+2i+2j}.
$$

We now add (c) and (d) and use that  $c_{2i} + c_{2i}' = 0$  if  $i \in [0, e-1]$  and  $c_e = c_e'$ . We get

$$
2c_0'^{-1} = 2c_{2e}' \sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} m_j \alpha_{2p_1 - 1 - 2j}.
$$

If  $j \in [1, 2p_2 - 1]$  we have  $2p_1 - 1 - 2j \in [-2p_1 + 3, 2p_1 - 3]$  hence  $\alpha_{2p_1-1-j} = 0$ . Thus  $2c'_0{}^{-1} = 2c'_{2e} = 2c'_0 2^{2e}$  and  $c'_0{}^{2} = 2^{-2e}$ . Changing if necessary  $\xi$  by  $-\xi$  we can therefore assume that

(e) 
$$
c'_0 = 2^{-e}
$$
.

Assume now that  $e = 0$ . We have  $c'_0 = c_0$  and (c) becomes

$$
2c_0^{-1} = \sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} c_0 m_j \alpha_{2p_1 - 1 - 2j},
$$

that is,  $2c_0^{-1} = 2c_0$  hence  $c_0^2 = 1$ . Changing if necessary  $\xi$  by  $-\xi$  we can therefore assume that  $c_0 = 1$ . Thus (e) holds without the assumption  $e > 0$ .

Using (e) we rewrite  $2.13(e)$  and  $2.13(f)$  as follows:

(f) 
$$
c_{2e-i} = 2^{-e}(l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_i) \text{ for } i \in [0, e],
$$

(g) 
$$
c_i = -2^{-e}(l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_i)
$$
 for  $i \in [0, e-1]$ .

When  $z_i, \xi_i$  are replaced by the vectors with the same name in 2.10, the quantities  $c_{2i}$  become the quantities  $c_{2i}^0$ . (Here  $i \in [0, 2e]$ .) We show that

(h) 
$$
c_{2i} = c_{2i}^0
$$
 for  $i \in [0, 2e]$ .

By the analogue of (b) we have  $2 = c_{4e}^0 \gamma_{4p_1-2p_2-1}^0$ . By results in 2.10 we have  $\gamma_{4p_1-2p_2-1}^0 = 2^{e+1}$ . Hence  $c_{4e}^0 = 2^{-e}$ . Using this and the analogues of 2.13(e), 2.13(f) we see that  $c_{2i}^0$  are given by the same formulas as  $c_{2i}$  in (e) and (f). This proves (h).

**2.15.** Let  $C = \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma_{4p_1-2p_2-1+2t} T^t$ ,  $C^0 = \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma_{4p_1-2p_2-1+2t}^0 T^t$ . If  $u = 4p_1 2p_2 - 1 + 2t, t \geq 0$ , then for any j that contributes to the left hand side of 2.13(b) we have  $u - 2j \geq -2p_2 + 1$ . Indeed,

$$
u - 2j \ge 4p_1 - 2p_2 - 1 - 2j \ge 4p_1 - 2p_2 - 1 - 4p_2 + 2 \ge -2p_2 + 1
$$

hence we can assume that in the left hand side of 2.13(b) we have  $u - 2j \ge 4p_1 2p_2 - 1$ . Muliplying both sides of 2.13(b) with  $T<sup>t</sup>$  and summing over all  $t \ge 0$  we thus obtain

$$
\sum_{t\geq 0} \sum_{j\in [0,2p_2-1]; t-j\geq 0} m_j \gamma_{4p_1-2p_2-1+2t-2j} T^t
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{t\geq 0} \sum_{i\in [0,2e], j\in [0,2p_2-1]} c_{2i} m_j \alpha_{4p_1-2p_2-1+2t-2i-2j} T^t.
$$

The left hand side equals

$$
(\sum_{j\in[0,2p_2-1]} m_j T^j)(\sum_{t'\geq 0} \gamma_{4p_1-2p_2-1+2t'} T^{t'}) = (1+T)^{2p_2-1}C.
$$

Thus,

$$
C = (1+T)^{-2p_2+1} \sum_{t \ge 0} \sum_{i \in [0,2e], j \in [0,2p_2-1]} c_{2i} m_j \alpha_{4p_1-2p_2-1+2t-2i-2j} T^t.
$$

Similarly we have

$$
C^{0} = (1+T)^{-2p_{2}+1} \sum_{t \geq 0} \sum_{i \in [0,2e], j \in [0,2p_{2}-1]} c_{2i}^{0} m_{j} \alpha_{4p_{1}-2p_{2}-1+2t-2i-2j}^{0} T^{t}.
$$

By 2.14(h) we have  $c_{2i} = c_{2i}^0$ . By 2.11(a1) we have

$$
\alpha_{4p_1-2p_2-1+2t-2i-2j} = \alpha_{4p_1-2p_2-1+2t-2i-2j}^0
$$

for all i, j, t. It follows that  $C = C^0$  hence

(a) 
$$
\gamma_{4p_1-2p_2-1+2t} = \gamma_{4p_1-2p_2-1+2t}^0 \text{ for any } t \ge 0.
$$

We set  $C' = \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma_{2p_2-3-2t} T^t$ ,  $C'^{0} = \sum_{t \geq 0} \gamma_{2p_2-3-2t}^{0} T^t$ . If  $u = 2p_2 - 3 - 2t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , then for any j that contributes to the left hand side of 2.13(b) we have  $u - 2j \leq$  $4p_1 - 2p_2 - 3$  (indeed,  $u - 2j \le 2p_2 - 3 - 2j \le 2p_2 - 3 \le 4p_1 - 2p_2 - 3$ ) hence we can assume that in the left hand side of 2.13(b) we have  $u - 2j \le -2p_2 - 1$ . With the substitution  $j \mapsto 2p_2 - 1 - j$  the previous inequality becomes  $j - t \leq 0$  and the left hand side of 2.13(b) becomes

$$
\sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} m_j \gamma_{u-4p_2 + 2 + 2j} = \sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} m_j \gamma_{-2p_2 - 1 + 2(j - t)}.
$$

Muliplying both sides of 2.13(b) with  $T<sup>t</sup>$  and summing over all  $t \ge 0$  we thus obtain

$$
\sum_{t\geq 0, j\geq 0; t-j\geq 0} m_j\gamma_{-2p_2-1+2(j-t)}T^t=\sum_{t\geq 0}\sum_{i\in [0,2e], j\in [0,2p_2-1]} c_{2i}m_j\alpha_{2p_2-3-2t-2i-2j}T^t.
$$

The left hand side equals

$$
(\sum_{j\in[0,2p_2-1]} m_j T^j)(\sum_{t'\geq 0} \gamma_{-2p_2-1-2t'} T^{t'}) = (1+T)^{2p_2-1}C'.
$$

Thus,

$$
C' = (1+T)^{-2p_2+1} \sum_{t \ge 0} \sum_{i \in [0,2e], j \in [0,2p_2-1]} c_{2i} m_j \alpha_{2p_2-3-2t-2i-2j} T^t.
$$

Similarly we have

$$
C'^{0} = (1+T)^{-2p_{2}+1} \sum_{t \geq 0} \sum_{i \in [0,2e], j \in [0,2p_{2}-1]} c_{2i}^{0} m_{j} \alpha_{2p_{2}-3-2t-2i-2j}^{0} T^{t}.
$$

By 2.14(h) we have  $c_{2i} = c_{2i}^0$ . By 2.11(a1) we have

$$
\alpha_{2p_2-3-2t-2i-2j} = \alpha_{2p_2-3-2t-2i-2j}^0
$$

for all  $i, j, t$ . It follows that  $C' = C'^0$  hence

(b) 
$$
\gamma_{2p_2-3-2t} = \gamma_{2p_2-3-2t}^0 \text{ for any } t \ge 0.
$$

Clearly, (a) and (b) imply  $2.11(a3)$ .

**2.16.** We set  $B = \sum_{s \geq 0} \beta_{2p_2-1+2s}T^s$ ,  $B^0 = \sum_{s \geq 0} \beta_{2p_2-1+2s}^0T^s$ . Let  $t \geq 1$ . Taking  $\left($ ,  $\xi_{2p_2-1+2t}\right)$  with  $2.13(a)$  we obtain

(a) 
$$
\sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} m_j \beta_{2p_2 - 1 + 2t - 2j} = \sum_{i \in [0, 2e], j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} c_{2i} m_j \gamma_{2i + 2j - 2p_2 + 1 - 2t}.
$$

For any j that contributes to the left hand side of (a) we have  $2p_2 - 1 + 2t - 2j \geq$  $-2p_2 + 3$  (indeed,  $2p_2 - 1 + 2t - 2j \geq 2p_2 + 1 - 4p_2 + 2 = -2p_2 + 3$ ) hence we can assume that in the left hand side of (a) we have  $2p_2 - 1 + 2t - 2j \geq 2p_2 - 1$ , that is,  $t \geq j$ . Multiplying both sides of (a) by  $T<sup>t</sup>$  and summing over all  $t \geq 1$ , we thus obtain

$$
\sum_{t\geq 1} \sum_{j\in [0,2p_2-1]; t\geq j} m_j \beta_{2p_2-1+2t-2j} T^t
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{t\geq 1} \sum_{i\in [0,2e], j\in [0,2p_2-1]} c_{2i} m_j \gamma_{2i+2j-2p_2+1-2t} T^t.
$$

The left hand side equals

$$
-1 + (\sum_{j \in [0, 2p_2 - 1]} m_j T^j)(\sum_{t' \ge 0} \beta_{2p_2 - 1 + t'} T^{t'}) = 1 + (T + 1)^{2p_2 - 1}B.
$$

Thus,

$$
B = (T+1)^{2p_2-1}(1+\sum_{t\geq 1}\sum_{i\in [0,2e],j\in [0,2p_2-1]}c_{2i}m_j\gamma_{2i+2j-2p_2+1-2t}T^t).
$$

Similarly we have

$$
B^{0} = (T+1)^{2p_{2}-1}(1+\sum_{t \geq 1} \sum_{i \in [0,2e], j \in [0,2p_{2}-1]} c_{2i}^{0} m_{j} \gamma_{2i+2j-2p_{2}+1-2t}^{0} T^{t}).
$$

By 2.14(h) we have  $c_{2i} = c_{2i}^0$ . By 2.11(a3) we have

$$
\gamma_{2i+2j-2p_2+1-2t} = \gamma_{2i+2j-2p_2+1-2t}^0
$$

for any  $i, j, t$ . It follows that  $B = B^0$ . Hence

$$
\beta_{2p_2-1+2s}=\beta_{2p_2-1+2s}^0
$$

for any  $s \geq 0$ . This clearly implies 2.11(a2).

**2.17.** We preserve the setup of 2.1. We prove 2.1(a) by induction on n. If  $n = 0$ we have  $V = 0$  and  $a_i = b_i = p_i = 0$  for all i. We take  $g = 0$  and  $(L<sup>t</sup>)$  to be the empty set of lines. We obtain an element of  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$ . Now assume that  $n > 0$ .

Assume first that  $a_1 \geq 1$ . We can find a direct sum decomposition  $V = V' \oplus V''$ such that  $\dim V' = a_1 + b_1 = 2p_1 - 1$ . We identify  $V^* = V'^* \oplus V''^*$  in the obvious way. Let  $a'_*$  be the sequence  $a_1, 0, 0, \ldots$ ; let  $b'_*$  be the sequence  $b_1, 0, 0, \ldots$ ; let  $a''_*$  be the sequence  $a_2, a_3, \ldots$ ; let  $b''_*$  be the sequence  $b_2, b_3, \ldots$ . By the induction hypothesis we have  $\tilde{C}^{V'}_{a''_*,b''_*} \neq \emptyset$ . By 2.3 we have  $\tilde{C}^{V'}_{a'_*,b'_*} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(g', L^1) \in \tilde{C}^{V'}_{a'_*,b'_*}$ and let  $(g'', L^2, L^3, \ldots) \in \tilde{C}_{a''', b''}^{V''}$ . Here  $g' \in G_V^1$ ,  $g'' \in G_V^1$ . Let  $g = g' \oplus g'' \in G_V^1$ . Clearly,  $(g, L^1, L^2, ...) \in \tilde{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  hence 2.1(a) holds in this case. Thus we may assume that  $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = 0$  and  $b_1 > 0$ . We see that  $-g^{*2}$  is unipotent. We can find a direct sum decomposition  $V = V' \oplus V''$  such that dim  $V' = b_1 +$  $b_2$ . We identify  $V^* = V'^* \oplus V''^*$  in the obvious way. Let  $b'_*$  be the sequence  $b_1, b_2, 0, \ldots$ ; let  $b''_*$  be the sequence  $b_3, b_4, \ldots$ ; let  $a'_* = a''_*$  be the sequence  $0, 0, \ldots$ . By the induction hypothesis we have  $\tilde{C}^{V''}_{a''_*,b''_*} \neq \emptyset$ . By 2.11 we have  $\tilde{C}^{V'}_{a'_*,b'_*} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(g', L^1, L^2) \in \tilde{C}^{\tilde{V}'}_{a'_*, b'_*}$  and let  $(g'', L^3, L^4, \dots) \in \tilde{C}^{\tilde{V}''}_{a''_*, b''_*}$ . Here  $g' \in G^1_{V'}, g'' \in G^1_{V''}.$ Clearly,  $(g' \oplus g'', L^1, L^2, \dots) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  hence 2.1(a) holds in this case. This completes the proof of  $2.1(a)$ .

In the following result (which is needed in the proof of  $2.1(b),(c)$ ) we preserve the setup of 2.1.

**Proposition 2.18.** Let  $(g, L^1, L^2, ..., L^{\sigma}) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$ . Let  $\phi_r$  be as in 2.4. There exist vectors  $z^t \in L^t - \{0\}$  for  $t \in [1, \sigma]$  such that (i) and (ii) below hold for  $i \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime\prime}, j \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$  .

(i) Assume that  $t \in [1, \sigma], a_t > 0$ . Then  $(z_i^t, z_j^t) = x_{i-j}' (x_h' \text{ as in } 2.2 \text{ with } p = p_t);$  $(z_i^t, z_j^{t'}) = 0 \text{ if } t' \in [1, \sigma], t' \neq t.$ 

(ii) Assume that  $\{t, t+1\} \subset [k+1, \sigma], t = k+1 \mod 2$  and  $a_t = 0$ . Then

$$
(z_i^t, z_j^t) = \phi_{p_t}(i - j),
$$
  
\n
$$
(z_i^{t+1}, z_j^{t+1}) = \sum_{r \in [p_{t+1}, p_t]} 2^{2r-2p_{t+1}} \phi_r(i - j),
$$
  
\n
$$
(z_i^t, z_j^{t+1}) = 2^{p_t - p_{t+1} + 1} (-1)^{(i - j + 2p_2 + 1)/2} (i - j + 2p_{t+1} - 1)(i - j + 2p_{t+1} - 3) \dots
$$
  
\n
$$
\times (i - j + 2p_{t+1} - 4p_t + 3)(4p_t - 2)!!^{-1},
$$
  
\n
$$
(z_i^t, z_j^{t'}) = 0 \text{ if } t' \in [1, \sigma], t' \notin \{t, t+1\}.
$$

We argue by induction on n. When  $n = 0$  the result is obvious. Now assume that  $n \geq 1$ .

Case 1. Assume first that  $a_1 \geq 1$ . We have  $a_1 + b_1 = 2p_1 - 1$ . Let  $V' = \bigoplus_{i \in [0, 4n_1 - 4]^{\prime\prime}} L_i^1 \subset V$ . We show that  $i \in [0, 4p_1-4]$ "  $L_i^1 \subset V$ . We show that

$$
(a) \t\t g^{*2}V' = V'.
$$

It is enough to show that  $g^{*2}L^1_{4p_1-4} \subset V'$ . Since  $g^{*i}L^1_0 \in V'$  for  $i \in [0, 4p_1-4]''$ and  $a_1 + b_1 = 2p_1 - 1$  it is enough to show that  $(g^{*2} - 1)^{a_1}(g^{*2} + 1)^{b_1}L_0^1 = 0$ . It is also enough to show that  $(g^{*2}-1)^{a_1}(g^{*2}+1)^{b_1}=0$  on V. But this follows from the fact that  $g \in C_{a_*,b_*}^V$ .

Now let  $V'' = \bigoplus_{t \in [2,\sigma], i \in [0,2p_t-2]} L_i^t \subset V$ . We show that

(b)  $V'' = (gV')^{\perp}$ , the annihilator of  $gV'$  in V. Hence  $V''$  is  $g^{*2}$ -stable and  $V = V' \oplus (gV')^{\perp}.$ 

We have  $(L_{2p_r}^r, L_{i+1}^1) = 0$  for  $r \in [2, \sigma], i \in [0, 4p_1 - 4]''$ . Thus  $L_{2p_r}^r \subset (gV')^{\perp}$ . Since  $(gV')^{\perp}$  is  $g^{*2}$ -stable (we use (a) and 2.0(a)) it follows that  $L_i^r \subset (gV')^{\perp}$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^n, r \in [2, \sigma]$ . Thus  $V'' \subset (gV')^{\perp}$ . But these two vector spaces have the same dimension so that  $V'' = (gV')^{\perp}$  and (b) follows.

We identify  $V^* = V'^* \oplus V''^*$  in the obvious way. From (a),(b) we see that  $g \in G_V^1$ restricts to an isomorphism  $g' : V' \to V'^*$  and to an isomorphism  $g'' : V'' \to V''^*$ . We show:

(c)  $g'^{*2}$  restricted to the generalized 1-eigenspace of  $g'^{*2}$  is unipotent with a single Jordan block of size  $a_1$ ;  $-g'^{*2}$  restricted to the generalized  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of  $g'^{*2}$  is unipotent with a single Jordan block of size  $b_1$  (if that eigenspace is  $\neq 0$ ). Moreover,  $g''^{*2}$  restricted to the generalized 1-eigenspace of  $g''^{*2}$  is unipotent with Jordan blocks of sizes given by the nonzero numbers in  $a_2, a_3, \ldots$ ;  $-g^{n+2}$  restricted to the generalized  $(-1)$ -eigenspace of  $g''^{*2}$  is unipotent with Jordan blocks of sizes given by the nonzero numbers in  $b_2, b_3, \ldots$ .

As we have seen earlier we have  $(g^{*2} - 1)^{a_1} (g^{*2} + 1)^{b_1} = 0$  on V' (even on V). Also  $g'^{*2} \in GL(V')$  is regular in the sense of Steinberg and dim  $V' = a_1 + b_1$ . This implies (c).

Let  $a'_*$  be the sequence  $a_1, 0, 0, \ldots$ ; let  $b'_*$  be the sequence  $b_1, 0, 0, \ldots$ ; let  $a''_*$ Let  $a_*$  be the sequence  $a_1, b, b, \ldots$ , let  $b_*$  be the sequence  $b_2, b_3, \ldots$ . Now the proposition holds when  $(g, L^1, L^2, \dots)$  is replaced by  $(g'', L^2, L^3, \dots) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{a''_*, b''_*}^{V''}$  (by the induction hypothesis) or by  $(g', L^1) \in \tilde{C}^{\tilde{V}'}_{a'_*,b'_*}$  (we choose any  $z^1 \in L^1 - \{0\}$  such that  $(z_i^1, z_j^1) =$ 1 for  $i \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime\prime}$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$ ,  $|i - j| = 2p_1 - 1$  and we apply 2.3). Hence the proposition holds for  $(g, L<sup>1</sup>, L<sup>2</sup>,...)$  (we use (b)).

Case 2. Next we assume that  $k = 0, b_1 > 0$ . Then  $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = 0$ . We have  $b_1 = 2p_1, b_2 = 2p_2 - 2$ . Let  $V' = \bigoplus_{t \in [1,2], i \in [0,4p_t-4]^{\prime\prime}} L_i^t \subset V$ . We show that

$$
(d) \t\t g^{*2}V' = V'.
$$

Let  $N = g^{*2} + 1$ . Then  $V = \bigoplus_{t \in [1,\sigma], i \in [0,4p_t-4]^{\prime\prime}} N^{i/2} L_0^t$  is a direct sum decomposition into lines. Now  $N^{2p_2-2}(V)$  contains the lines

(\*) 
$$
N^{2p_2-2+(i/2)}L_0^1(i \in [0, 4p_1 - 4p_2]'')
$$
 and  $N^{2p_2-2}L_0^2$ 

(whose number is  $2p_1 - 2p_2 + 2$ ); moreover, since N has Jordan blocks of sizes  $b_1 =$  $2p_1, b_2 = 2p_2 - 2$  and others of size  $\lt b_2$  we see that dim  $N^{2p_2-2}(V) = 2p_1 - 2p_2 + 2$ so that  $N^{2p_2-2}(V)$  is equal to the subspace spanned by (\*) and  $N^{2p_2-2}(V) \subset V'$ . Now V' is the subspace of V spanned by the lines  $N^{i}L_{0}^{t}$  with  $t \in [1,2], i \in [0, 2p_{t}-2]$ . It is enough to show that  $NV' \subset V'$  or that  $N^{2p_t-1}L_0^t \subset V'$  for  $t = 1, 2$ . But for  $t = 1, 2$  we have  $N^{2p_t-1}L_0^t \subset N^{2p_2-2}V \subset V'$  since  $2p_t - 2p_2 + 1 \ge 0$ . This proves (d).

Let  $V'' = \bigoplus_{t \in [3,\sigma], i \in [0,4p_t-4]^{\prime\prime}} L_i^t \subset V$ . We show that

(e)  $V'' = (gV')^{\perp}$ , the annihilator of  $gV'$  in V. Hence  $V''$  is  $g^{*2}$ -stable and  $V = V' \oplus (gV')^{\perp}.$ 

We have  $(L_{2p_r}^r, L_{i+1}^t) = 0$  for  $t \in [1,2], r \in [3, \sigma], i \in [0, 4p_t - 4]''$ . Thus  $L_{2p_r}^r \subset$  $(gV')^{\perp}$ . Since  $(gV')^{\perp}$  is  $g^{*2}$ -stable (we use (d) and 2.0(a)) it follows that  $L_i^r \subset$  $(gV')^{\perp}$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime\prime}$ ,  $r \in [3, \sigma]$ . Thus  $V'' \subset (gV')^{\perp}$ . But these two vector spaces have the same dimension so that  $V'' = (gV')^{\perp}$  and (e) follows.

We identify  $V^* = V'^* \oplus V''^*$  in the obvious way. From (d) and (e) we see that  $g: V \to V^*$  restricts to an isomorphism  $g': V' \to V'^*$  and to an isomorphism  $g'' : V'' \to V''^*$ . We show:

(f)  $-g'^{*2}$  is unipotent with a single Jordan block of size  $b_1$  (if  $b_2 = 0$ ) or with two Jordan blocks of size  $b_1, b_2$  (if  $b_2 > 0$ ). Moreover,  $-g''^{*2}$  is unipotent with Jordan blocks of sizes given by the nonzero numbers in  $b_3, b_4, \ldots$ .

Since V' is the direct sum of the lines  $N^{i}L_{0}^{t}$ ,  $t \in [1,2], i \in [0, 2p_{t}-2]$ , and V' is N-stable, we see that the kernel of  $N: V' \to V'$  has dimension  $\leq 2$ . Hence  $N: V' \to V'$  has either a single Jordan block of size  $2p_1 + 2p_2 - 2 = b_1 + b_2$  or two Jordan blocks of sizes  $b'_1 \geq b'_2$  where  $b'_1 + b'_2 = b_1 + b_2$ . In the first case we must have  $b_2 = 0$  (since the Jordan blocks of  $N : V' \to V'$  have sizes  $\leq b_1$  (by (e)). In the second case, since  $b'_1, b'_2$  must form a subsequence of  $b_1 > b_2 > b_3 > ...$  and  $b'_1 + b'_2 = b_1 + b_2$  it follows that  $b'_1 = b_1$ ,  $b'_2 = b_2$ . This implies (f). This completes the proof.

**2.19.** In the setup of 2.1, we show that 2.1(b) holds. We must show that

(a) any two elements  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma}), (g', L'^1, L'^2, \ldots, L'^{\sigma})$  of  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  are in the same  $G_V$ -orbit.

Since  $G_V$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V$  we can assume that  $g = g'$ . Let  $z^t \in L^t$  $(t \in [1, \sigma])$  be as in 2.18. Let  $z'^t \in L'^t$   $(t \in [1, \sigma])$  be the analogous vectors for  $(g, L'^{1}, L'^{2},...)$  instead of  $(g, L^{1}, L^{2},...)$ . By 2.18 we have

(b) 
$$
(z_i^t, z_j^{t'}) = (z'^t, z'^{t'}_j)
$$

for any  $i \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime\prime}$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$  and any  $t, t^{\prime} \in [1, \sigma]$ . Since  $\{z_i^t; t \in [1, \sigma], i \in [0, 4p_t - 4]^{\prime\prime}\}$ and  $\{z^{\prime t}_{i}; t \in [1, \sigma], i \in [0, 4p_{t} - 4]^{\prime\prime}\}\$  are bases of V (see 2.0(d)) we see that there

is a unique  $\gamma \in GL(V)$  such that  $\gamma(z_i^t) = z_i'^t$  for any  $t \in [1, \sigma], i \in [0, 4p_t - 4]$ . We show that

(c) 
$$
\tilde{\gamma}(z_{j+1}^t) = z_{j+1}^{t} \text{ for any } t \in [1, \sigma], j \in [0, 4p_t - 4]''.
$$

It is enough to show that  $(z'^{t'}_i, z'^{t}_{j+1}) = (z'^{t'}_i, \check{\gamma}(z^{t}_{j+1})),$  that is,  $(z'^{t'}_i, z'^{t}_{j+1}) =$  $(z_i^{t'}, z_{j+1}^t)$  for any  $t, t' \in [1, \sigma]$  and any  $i, j \in [0, 4p_t - 4]''$ . This follows from (b). From (c) we see that  $\check{\gamma}(g(z_j^t)) = g(\gamma(z_j^t))$  for any  $t \in [1, \sigma], j \in [0, 4p_t - 4]''$ . It follows that  $\check{\gamma}g = g\gamma$ . From the definition it is clear that  $\gamma(L^t) = L'^t$  for  $t \in [1, \sigma]$ . Thus (a) holds (with  $g' = g$ ). This proves 2.1(b).

**2.20.** In the setup of 2.1, we show that 2.1(c) holds. Let  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma}) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$ and let I be the set of all  $\gamma \in G_V$  such that  $\check{\gamma} g \gamma^{-1} = g, \gamma(L^t) = L^t$  for  $t \in [1, \sigma]$ . Let  $z^t \in L^t(t \in [1, \sigma])$  be as in 2.18. Let  $\gamma \in I$ . If  $t \in [1, \sigma]$  we have  $\gamma(z^t) = \omega_t^{\gamma} z^t$ where  $\omega_t^{\gamma} \in \mathbf{k} - \{0\}$ . Since  $\gamma$  commutes with  $g^{*2}$ , it follows that  $\gamma(z_i^t) = \omega_t^{\gamma} z_i^t$  for  $i \in \mathbf{Z}''$ . For  $t \in [1, \sigma], j \in \mathbf{Z}'$  we have

$$
\check{\gamma}(z^t_j)=\check{\gamma}(g(z^t_{j-1}))=g(\gamma(z^t_{j-1}))=g(\omega^{\gamma}_t z^t_{j-1})=\omega^{\gamma}_t z^t_j;
$$

thus,  $\check{\gamma}(z_j^t) = \omega_t^{\gamma} z_j^t$ . For any  $t, t' \in [1, \sigma], i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime\prime}, j \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$  we have

$$
(z_i^{t'}, \omega_t^{\gamma} z_j^t) = (z_i^{t'}, \check{\gamma}(z_j^t)) = (\gamma^{-1}(z_i^{t'}), z_j^t) = (\omega_{t'}^{\gamma})^{-1}(z_i^{t'}, z_j^t).
$$

Thus,  $(\omega_t^{\gamma} - (\omega_{t'}^{\gamma})^{-1})(z_i^{t'}, z_j^{t}) = 0$ . Taking  $t' = t, i - j = 2p_t - 1$  we deduce that  $\omega_t^{\gamma} - (\omega_t^{\gamma})^{-1} = 0$  hence  $\omega_t^{\gamma} = \pm 1$ . Taking  $t' = t + 1$  (where  $\{t, t + 1\} \subset [k + 1, \sigma], t =$  $k+1 \mod 2, a_t = 0$  and using that

$$
(z_i^{t+1}, z_j^t) = (z_{-i}^t, z_{-j}^{t+1}) = \pm 2^{p_t - p_{t+1} + 1}
$$
 if  $j - i + 2p_{t+1} = -1$ 

we see that  $(\omega_t^{\gamma} - (\omega_{t+1}^{\gamma})^{-1}) 2^{p_t - p_{t+1} + 1} = 0$  hence  $\omega_t^{\gamma} - (\omega_{t+1}^{\gamma})^{-1} = 0$  and  $\omega_t^{\gamma} = \omega_{t+1}^{\gamma}$ . We see that  $\gamma \mapsto (\omega_t^{\gamma})$  is a homomorphism  $\psi : I \to I$  (notation of 2.0). Assume that  $\gamma$  is in the kernel of  $\psi$ . Then  $\gamma$  restricts to the identity map  $L^t \to L^t$  for  $t \in [1, \sigma]$ . Since  $\gamma$  commutes with  $g^{*2}$  it follows that  $\gamma$  restricts to the identity map on each of the lines  $g^{*i}L^t$  ( $t \in [1, \sigma], i \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime\prime}$ ). Since these lines generate V (see 2.0) we see that  $\gamma = 1$ . Thus,  $\psi$  is injective. Now let  $(\omega_t) \in \mathcal{I}$ . We define  $\gamma \in GL(V)$ by  $\gamma(z_i^t) = \omega_t z_i^t$  for  $t \in [1, \sigma], i \in [0, 4p_t - 4]''$ . From the definitions we see that

(a) 
$$
(\omega_t z_i^t, \omega_{t'} z_j^{t'}) = (z_i^t, z_j^{t'})
$$

for any  $i \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime\prime}$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$  and any  $t, t^{\prime} \in [1, \sigma]$ . We show that

(b) 
$$
\check{\gamma}(z_{i+1}^t) = \omega_t z_{i+1}^t
$$
 for any  $t \in [1, \sigma], i \in [0, 4p_t - 4]''$ .

It is enough to show that  $(\gamma(z_j^{t'}), \omega_t z_{i+1}^t) = (z_j^{t'}, z_{i+1}^t)$  for any  $t' \in [1, \sigma], j \in [0, 4p_{t'}-1]$ 4]'' or that  $(\omega_{t'} z_j^{t'}, \omega_t z_{i+1}^t) = (z_j^{t'}, z_{i+1}^t)$  or that

$$
(\omega_{t'}\omega_t - 1)(z_j^{t'}, z_{i+1}^t) = 0.
$$

The second factor is zero unless either  $t = t'$  or  $t' = t + 1$  (where  $\{t, t + 1\} \subset$  $[k+1, \sigma], t = k+1 \mod 2, a_t = 0$  in which case the first factor is zero. This proves (b).

From (b) we see that  $\check{\gamma}(g(z_i^t)) = g(\gamma(z_i^t))$  for any  $t \in [1, \sigma], i \in [0, 4p_t - 4]''$ . It follows that  $\check{\gamma}g = g\gamma$ . From the definition it is clear that  $\gamma(L^t) = L^t$  for  $t \in [1, \sigma]$ . Thus  $\gamma \in I$ . We see that  $\psi$  is surjective hence an isomorphism. This proves 2.1(c). **2.21.** We now assume that  $n \geq 1$ . We denote by  $\stackrel{n}{V}$  (resp.  $\stackrel{n}{V^*}$ ) the *n*-th exterior power of V (resp.  $V^*$ ); we have naturally  $\overline{V}^* = (V)^*$ . Any  $\gamma \in G_V$  induces an element  $\stackrel{n}{\gamma}: \stackrel{n}{V} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \stackrel{n}{V}$ ; any  $g \in G_V^1$  induces an element  $\stackrel{n}{g}: \stackrel{n}{V} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \stackrel{n}{V}^*$ . For any  $\theta \in V - \{0\}$  we denote by  $\theta^*$  the unique element in  $V^* - \{0\}$  such that  $(\theta, \theta^*) = 1$ . We show:

(a) For any  $g \in G_V^1$  we have  $\check{g}g \in SL(V)$ .

Let  $(e_i)$  be a basis of V; let  $(e_i^*)$  be the dual basis of  $V^*$ . We have  $ge_i = \sum_j x_{ij}e_j^*$ ,  $\check{g}e^*_{k} = \sum_{h} y_{kh} e_h$  where  $X = (x_{ij}), Y = (y_{ij})$  are square matrices. Now

$$
\delta_{ki} = (\check{g}e_k^*, ge_i) = (\sum_h y_{kh}e_h, \sum_j x_{ij}e_j^*) = \sum_h y_{kh}x_{ih}.
$$

Thus  $Y X^t = I$  where  $X^t$  is the transpose of X. We have  $\check{g} g e_i = \sum_{j,h} x_{ij} y_{jh} e_h$ . Thus the matrix of  $\check{g}g$  is XY. We have

$$
\det(XY) = \det(X)\det(Y) = \det(X^t)\det(Y) = \det(YX^t) = 1,
$$

as required.

We now fix  $\theta \in V - \{0\}$  and we set

$$
\Gamma^1 = \{ g \in G_V^1; \stackrel{n}{g} \text{ takes } \theta \text{ to } \theta^* \}.
$$

If  $g \in \Gamma^1$  then, using (a), we see that  $\stackrel{n}{g}$  takes  $\theta^*$  to  $\theta$ . We see that  $\Gamma := SL(V) \sqcup \Gamma^1$ is a subgroup of  $G_V \sqcup G_V^1$ . Let  $SL(V)' = \{\Gamma \in G_V; \det(\Gamma) = \pm 1\}.$ 

We show:

(b) Let  $g, g' \in G_V^1$ ,  $\gamma \in G_V$  be such that  $\check{\gamma} g \gamma^{-1} = g'$ . If  $g, g' \in \gamma^1$ , then  $\gamma \in SL(V)'$ . Conversely, if  $g \in \Gamma^1$  and  $\Gamma \in SL(V)'$ , then  $g' \in \Gamma^1$ .

Replacing V by V we can assume that  $n = 1$ . We have  $g\theta = \theta^*$ ,  $g'\theta = \theta^*$ ,  $\gamma\theta = a\theta$  where  $a \in \mathbf{k} - \{0\}$ . We have  $\theta^* = \gamma g \gamma^{-1}(\theta) = \gamma g a^{-1} \theta = \gamma a^{-1} \theta^* = a^{-2} \theta^*$ hence  $a^2 = 1$  and  $a = \pm 1$  proving the first assertion of (b). The second assertion is proved similarly.

**2.22.** Assuming that  $a_1 > 0$  we show:

(a)  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V \cap \Gamma^1$  is a single  $SL(V)$ -conjugacy class in  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $g, g' \in C^V_{a_*,b_*} \cap \Gamma^1$ . From Theorem 2.1(b) we see that  $\check{\gamma} g \gamma^{-1} = g'$  for some  $\gamma \in G_V$ . Using 2.21(b) we see that  $\det(\gamma) = \pm 1$ . If  $\det(\gamma) = 1$ , then g, g' are in the same  $SL(V)$ -conjugacy class, as required. Assume now that  $det(\gamma) = -1$ . We complete g to an element  $(g, L^1, L^2, ...) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  and we write  $V = V' \oplus V''$ ,  $V^* = V'^* \oplus V''^*$  as in the proof of 2.18 (Case 1). Let  $\gamma_0 \in GL(V)$  be such that  $\gamma_0|_{V'} = -1$ ,  $\gamma_0|_{V''} = 1$ . Since dim V' is odd we have  $\det(\gamma_0) = -1$ . We have  $\gamma_0 g \gamma_0^{-1} = g$  hence  $\gamma \gamma_0 g \gamma_0^{-1} \gamma^{-1} = g'$ . We have  $\gamma \gamma_0 \in SL(V)$  so that  $g, g'$  are in the same  $SL(V)$ -conjugacy class, as required.

**2.23.** Assuming that  $a_1 = 0$  (hence  $b_1 > 0$ ) we show:

(a)  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V \cap \Gamma^1$  is a union of two  $SL(V)$ -conjugacy classes in  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $g \in \mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V \cap \Gamma^1$ . Let  $C(g)$  (resp.  $C'(g)$ ) be the set of elements of the form  $\gamma g \gamma^{-1} = g'$  for some  $\gamma \in G_V$  such that  $\det(\gamma) = 1$  (resp.  $\det(\gamma) = -1$ ). It is clear that  $C(g)$  and  $C'(g)$  are  $SL(V)$ -conjugacy classes. As in the proof of 2.22 we see, using 2.1(b) and 2.21(b), that  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V \cap \Gamma^1 = C(g) \cup C'(g)$ . It remains to prove

that  $C(g) \cap C'(g) = \emptyset$ . Assume that  $C(g) \cap C'(g) \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that there exists  $\gamma_0 \in G_V$  such that  $\check{\gamma}_0 g \gamma_0^{-1} = g$  and satisfies  $\det(\gamma_0) = -1$ . Let  $g_s$  be the semisimple part of g. Then  $\gamma_0$  is in the centralizer of  $g_s$  in  $G_V$  which is a symplectic group all of whose elements have necessarily determinant 1. This contradicts  $det(\gamma_0) = -1$ .

**2.24.** Let **c** be an  $SL(V)$ -conjugacy class contained in  $\mathcal{C}_{a_*,b_*}^V \cap \Gamma^1$ . (See 2.22(a), 2.23(a).) Let X be the set of all  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma}) \in \tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  where  $g \in \mathbf{c}$ . Note that  $X \neq \emptyset$ . Now  $SL(V)'$  acts on X by the restriction of the  $G_V$ -action on  $\tilde{C}^V_{a_*,b_*}$  (see 2.21(b)). Using 2.1(b) and 2.21(b), we see that this  $SL(V)$ -action is transitive. We now restrict this action to  $SL(V)$ .

We show:

(a) This  $SL(V)$ -action is transitive.

Let  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma}) \in X$ ,  $(g', L'^1, L'^2, \ldots, L'^{\sigma}) \in X$ . We must show that these two sequences are in the same  $SL(V)$ -orbit. As we have seen, we can find  $\gamma \in SL(V)'$  which conjugates  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma})$  to  $(g', L'^1, L'^2, \ldots, L'^{\sigma})$ . If  $a_1 = 0$ this implies by the argument in 2.3 that  $det(\gamma) = 1$  so that in this case (a) holds. We can thus assume that  $a_1 > 0$ . If  $\det(\gamma) = 1$ , then the proof is finished. We now assume that  $\det(\gamma) = -1$ . Let  $\gamma_0 \in G_V$  be as in 2.22. We have  $\det(\gamma_0) = -1$  and  $\gamma_0$  conjugates  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma})$  to itself. Hence  $\gamma \gamma_0$  conjugates  $(g, L^1, L^2, \ldots, L^{\sigma})$ to  $(g', L'^1, L'^2, \ldots, L'^{\sigma})$ . We have  $\gamma \gamma_0 \in SL(V)$ . This proves (a).

**2.25.** Assume that  $n \geq 3$ . As in [\[L5,](#page-55-0) §4] we see that 2.24(a) implies that Theorem 0.3 holds for  $\Gamma$  instead of  $G$ .

## 3. Exceptional groups

**3.1.** In this section we assume that  $G = G^0$  (as in 0.2) is simple of exceptional type. In the case where **c** is a distinguished unipotent class this follows from [\[L3\]](#page-55-4) where it was proved by a reduction to a computer calculation. In the nonunipotent case the same method works but it uses instead of  $[L1, 1.2(c)]$  $[L1, 1.2(c)]$ , the more general formula [\[L6,](#page-55-5) 5.3(a)]. The needed computer calculation was actually done at the time of preparing  $[L6]$ . (I thank Frank Lübeck for providing to me tables of Green functions for groups of rank  $\leq 8$  in GAP format. I also thank Gongqin Li for her help with programming in GAP to perform the computer calculation.)

We will describe below the result in the form of a list of rows in each case; each row corresponds to an  $\epsilon_D$ -elliptic  $\epsilon_D$ -conjugacy class in W. For example, the row

$$
12; \Phi_{20}; (E_8(a_2))_{E_8}, (E_7(a_2)A_1)_{E_7A_1}, (J_{11}J_5)_{D_8}
$$

in type  $E_8$  corresponds to the elliptic conjugacy class C in W such that the characteristic polynomial in the reflection representation of any  $w \in C$  is the cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_{20}$  and the length of any element in  $C_{min}$  is  $d_C = 12$ . The row also includes the names of the three distinguished conjugacy classes **c** such that  $C$  $\&c$ (see 0.1); for example,  $(E_7(a_2)J_2)_{E_7A_1}$  is the conjugacy class of  $su = us$  where s is a semisimple element with  $Z_G(s)^0$  of type  $E_7A_1$  (in the subscript) and u is a unipotent element of  $Z_G(s)^0$  whose  $E_7$  component is of type  $E_7(a_2)$  (notation as in  $[L1, 4.3]$  $[L1, 4.3]$  and whose  $A_1$ -component has a single Jordan block of size 2 in the standard representation of  $A_1$ . On the other hand,  $(J_{11}J_5)_{D_8}$  is the conjugacy class of  $su = us$  where s is a semisimple element with  $Z_G(s)^0$  of type  $D_8$  and u is a unipotent element of  $Z_G(s)^0$  with Jordan blocks of sizes 11, 5 in the standard representation of  $D_8$ .

\nType 
$$
E_8
$$
.  
\n $8; \Phi_{30}; (E_8)_{E_8}, (E_7J_2)_{E_7A_1}, (E_6J_3)_{E_6A_2}, (J_9J_1J_4)_{D_5A_3}, (J_5J_5)_{A_4A_4}, (J_6J_3J_2)_{A_5A_2A_1}, (J_9)_{A_8}, (J_8J_2)_{A_7A_1}, (J_{15}J_1)_{D_8},$ \n

\n\n10;  $\Phi_{24}; (E_8(a_1))_{E_8}, (E_7(a_1)J_2)_{E_7A_1}, (E_6(a_1)J_3)_{E_6A_2}, (J_7J_3J_4)_{D_5A_3}, (J_{13}J_3)_{D_8}.$ \n

\n\n12;  $\Phi_{20}; (E_8(a_2))_{E_8}, (E_7(a_2)J_2)_{E_7A_1}, (J_{11}J_5)_{D_8},$ \n

\n\n14;  $\Phi_6\Phi_{18}; (E_7A_1)_{E_8}, (E_7(a_3)J_2)_{E_7A_1}, (J_9J_7)_{D_8},$ \n

\n\n16;  $\Phi_{15}; (D_8)_{E_8}, (E_7(a_4)J_2)_{E_7A_1},$ \n

\n\n18;  $\Phi_2^2\Phi_{14}; (E_7(a_1)A_1)_{E_8},$ \n

\n\n20;  $\Phi_{12}^2; (D_8(a_1))_{E_8}, (J_7J_5J_3J_1)_{D_8},$ \n

\n\n22;  $\Phi_6^2\Phi_{12}; (E_7(a_2)A_1)_{E_8}, (E_7(a_5)J_2)_{E_7A_1},$ \n

\n\n24;  $\Phi_{10}^2; (A_8)_{E_8},$ \n

\n\n28;  $\Phi_{3}\Phi_{9}; (D_8(a_3))_{E_8},$ \n

\n\n29;  $\Phi$ 

Type E7.

7; 
$$
\Phi_2\Phi_{18}
$$
;  $(E_7)_{E_7}$ ,  $(J_{11}J_1J_2)_{D_6A_1}$ ,  $(J_6J_3)_{A_5A_2}$ ,  $(J_4J_4J_2)_{A_3A_3A_1}$ ,  $(J_8)_{A_7}$ ,  
\n9;  $\Phi_2\Phi_{14}$ ;  $(E_7(a_1))_{E_7}$ ,  $((J_9J_3)A_1)_{D_6A_1}$ ,  
\n11;  $\Phi_2\Phi_6\Phi_{12}$ ;  $(E_7(a_2))_{E_7}$ ,  $(J_7J_5J_2)_{D_6A_1}$ ,  
\n13;  $\Phi_2\Phi_6\Phi_{10}$ ;  $(D_6A_1)_{E_7}$ ,  
\n17;  $\Phi_2\Phi_4\Phi_8$ ;  $(D_6(a_1)A_1)_{E_7}$ ,  
\n21;  $\Phi_2\Phi_6^3$ ;  $(D_6(a_2)A_1)_{E_7}$ .

 $\emph{Type E}_6.$ 

6; 
$$
\Phi_3 \Phi_{12}
$$
;  $(E_6)_{E_6}$ ,  $(J_6 J_2)_{A_5 A_1}$ ,  $(J_3 J_3 J_3)_{A_2 A_2 A_2}$ ,  
8;  $\Phi_9$ ;  $(E_6(a_1))_{E_6}$ ,  
12;  $\Phi_3 \Phi_6^2$ ;  $(A_5 A_1)_{E_6}$ .

Type F4.

4; 
$$
\Phi_{12}
$$
;  $(F_4)_{F_4}$ ,  $(J_6J_2)_{C_3A_1}$ ,  $(J_3J_3)_{A_2A_2}$ ,  $(J_4J_2)_{A_3A_1}$ ,  $(J_9)_{B_4}$ ,  
\n6;  $\Phi_8$ ;  $(F_4(a_1))_{F_4}$ ,  $(J_4J_2J_2)_{C_3A_1}$ ,  
\n8;  $\Phi_6^2$ ;  $(F_4(a_2))_{F_4}$ ,  $(J_5J_3J_1)_{B_4}$ ,  
\n12;  $\Phi_4^2$ ;  $(F_4(a_3))_{F_4}$ .

 $\emph{Type } G_2.$ 

$$
2; \Phi_6; (G_2)_{G_2}, (J_3)_{A_2}, (J_2J_2)_{A_1A_1},
$$
  

$$
4; \Phi_3; (G_2(a_1))_{G_2}.
$$

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I wish to thank the referee for the careful reading and suggestions.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-55-2"></span>[BC] P. Bala and R. W. Carter, Classes of unipotent elements in simple algebraic groups. I, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **79** (1976), no. 3, 401–425. M[R0417306 \(54 #5363a\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0417306)
- <span id="page-55-1"></span>[GP] Meinolf Geck and Götz Pfeiffer, Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, vol. 21, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000. M[R1778802 \(2002k:20017\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1778802)
- <span id="page-55-3"></span>[L1] G. Lusztig, From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to unipotent classes, Represent. Theory **15** (2011), 494–530, DOI 10.1090/S1088-4165-2011-00396-4. M[R2833465 \(2012g:20092\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2833465)
- [L2] G. Lusztig, On C-small conjugacy classes in a reductive group, Transform. Groups **16** (2011), no. 3, 807–825, DOI 10.1007/s00031-011-9145-6. M[R2827045 \(2012k:20091\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2827045)
- <span id="page-55-4"></span>[L3] G. Lusztig, *Elliptic elements in a Weyl group: a homogeneity property*, Represent. Theory **16** (2012), 127–151, DOI 10.1090/S1088-4165-2012-00409-5. M[R2888173](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2888173)
- [L4] G. Lusztig, From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to unipotent classes, II, Represent. Theory **16** (2012), 189–211, DOI 10.1090/S1088-4165-2012-00411-3. M[R2904567](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2904567)
- <span id="page-55-0"></span>[L5] G. Lusztig, From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to unipotent classes, III, Represent. Theory **16** (2012), 450–488, DOI 10.1090/S1088-4165-2012-00422-8. M[R2968566](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2968566)
- <span id="page-55-5"></span>[L6] G.Lusztig, On conjugacy classes in a reductive group, arxiv:1305.7168.

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 E-mail address: gyuri@math.mit.edu