

Lecture 17

Lecturer: Dan Spielman

Scribe: Ronnie Misra

Recap

Last time, we discussed the class of “Arthur/Merlin games”. Recall that:

Definition 1 $L \in \overline{AM}(k(n))$ if \exists a PTIME verifier A and a polynomial $p(n)$ s.t.
 $x \in L \implies \exists$ a $p(n)$ -prover P s.t. $\Pr[(A \leftrightarrow P) \text{ accepts}] > \frac{2}{3}$
 $x \notin L \implies \forall p(n)$ -provers P , $\Pr[(A \leftrightarrow P) \text{ accepts}] < \frac{1}{3}$

In this lecture, we will show some applications of IP, and discuss the class PCP.

Graph Isomorphism

Although there are no known PTIME algorithms for graph isomorphism, we can show that ISO is probably not NP-complete:

Theorem 2 If ISO is NP-complete, then $\Sigma_3^P = \Pi_3^P$.

Proof In the problem set, we showed that $MA \subseteq AM$ (proved as $NP \cdot BP \cdot P \subseteq BP \cdot NP \cdot P$). In fact, the AM speedup theorem says that:

$$\begin{aligned} AM(k(n)) &\subseteq AM\left(\frac{k(n)}{2} + 1\right) \\ \implies AM(k) &\subseteq AM \quad \forall \text{ constants } k \\ \implies MAM &\subseteq AM \end{aligned}$$

We also showed in the problem set that $AM (= BP \cdot NP \cdot P) \subseteq NP/poly$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ISO is NP-complete} \\ \implies \text{NISO is coNP-complete} \\ \implies \text{coNP} &\subseteq \text{MAM} \\ \implies \text{coNP} &\subseteq \text{AM} \\ \implies \text{coNP} &\subseteq \text{NP/poly} \\ \implies \Sigma_3^P &= \Pi_3^P. \end{aligned}$$

■

Probabilistically checkable proofs

We would like to describe a “tough verifier” that accepts a proof that can be “spot checked”.

Definition 3 A $(R(n), Q(n))$ verifier is a probabilistic, PTIME oracle TM M^P that

- gets $R(n)$ random bits
- is limited to $Q(n)$ bits from its oracle P

Definition 4 $L \in PCP(R(n), Q(n))$ if \exists a $(R(n), Q(n))$ verifier V s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} x \in L &\implies \exists \Pi \text{ s.t. } \Pr[V^\Pi(x) \text{ accepts}] = 1 \\ x \notin L &\implies \forall \Pi, \Pr[V^\Pi(x) \text{ accepts}] < \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Here, Π is the probabilistically checkable proof (PCP). Note that we don’t really think of Π as an oracle. Instead, we are using OTM notation just as a way to show that V gets access to random bits of Π .

Theorem 5 $NEXP = PCP(Poly(n), Poly(n))$

Even though proofs for languages in NEXP are exponentially long, randomized access allows such proofs to be verified in PTIME.

Theorem 6 $NP = PCP(O(\log n), O(1))$

As a consequence, we can use PCP to show that some approximation problems are NP-hard.

3SAT approximation is NP-hard

$3SAT \subseteq NP = PCP(O(\log n), O(1))$

$\implies \exists$ a $(O(\log n), O(1))$ verifier for 3SAT.

Assume V is non-adaptive, or that it flips $R = O(\log n)$ coins, then queries the proof at $Q = O(1)$ places determined only by these random bits. (If V is adaptive, we can construct a non-adaptive version; this version may use exponentially more queries, but this is still a constant.)

On input Φ , assume V gets random bits $r \in \{0, 1\}^R$. Let $q_{r,1} \dots q_{r,Q}$ denote the indices of the Q bits of Π that V queries. Thus, if $\Pi = \Pi_1 \Pi_2 \dots \Pi_N$, V reads $\Pi_{q_{r,1}} \dots \Pi_{q_{r,Q}}$.

For each $r \in \{0, 1\}^R$, construct a small 3SAT instance ϕ_r on inputs $\Pi_{q_{r,1}} \dots \Pi_{q_{r,Q}}$ and some auxiliary bits. Assume ϕ_r has at most S clauses. Since $Q = O(1)$, $S = O(1)$. ϕ_r should be satisfied when $\Pi_{q_{r,1}} \dots \Pi_{q_{r,Q}}$ have values that would cause V to accept.

Let $\Phi' = \bigwedge_{r \in \{0,1\}^R} \phi_r$

Φ' is polynomial in the size of Φ , and has inputs $\Pi_{q_{r,1}} \dots \Pi_{q_{r,Q}}$ as well as all the auxiliary bits. Φ' also has some special properties:

- $\Phi \in 3SAT \implies \Phi' \in 3SAT$, since V will accept Φ for any $r \in \{0, 1\}^R$
- $\Phi \notin 3SAT \implies \Pr_{r \in \{0,1\}^R} [V \text{ rejects}] > \frac{1}{2}$
 - $\implies \forall \Pi$, at most $\frac{1}{2}$ of the ϕ_r clauses are satisfiable (from aux bits)
 - $\implies \forall \Pi, \forall aux$, there is at least one unsatisfied clause in at least $\frac{1}{2}$ of the ϕ_r clauses
 - \implies at least a $\frac{1}{2S}$ fraction of the clauses of Φ' are unsatisfiable
 - \implies the maximum fraction of satisfiable clauses of Φ' is $(1 - \frac{1}{2S}) \implies$ approximating 3SAT within a factor of $\frac{1}{4S}$ is NP-hard, where S is some constant dependant on Q