Erratum to: Melts of garnet lherzolite: experiments, models and comparison to melts of pyroxenite and carbonated lherzolite

Erratum to: Melts of garnet lherzolite: Experiments, models and comparison to melts of pyroxenite and carbonated lherzolite

Timothy L. Grove[1], Eva S. Holbig[1], Jay A. Barr[2], Christy B. Till[3] and Michael J. Krawczynski[4]

[1]Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139

[2]ExxonMobil Exploration Company, Houston, TX 77210

[3]School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University, PO Box 876004 Tempe, AZ 85287-6004
Tempe AZ
85287-6004

[4]Department of Earth and Space Sciences, Washington University, Campus Box 1169, St. Louis, MO 63130-4899

Erratum

An unfortunate coding error led to erroneous predicted abundances of Na_2O in the melting models presented in Table 5 of Grove et al. (2013). The source of this error has been discovered and corrected; the cause of the discrepancy was an error in assignment of the partition coefficient expressions used for orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene. The values presented in a corrected Table 5 are the ones obtained using the appropriate partition coefficients for Na_2O. Our models of garnet lherzolite melts now have Na_2O abundances that are similar to the melts predicted by Longhi (2002) and the experimentally produced melt of Walter (1998).

References

Grove, T.L., Holbig, E.S., Barr, J.A., Till, C.B., Krawczynski, M.J. (2013) Mantle melting in the garnet stability field: Experiments and predictive models. Contrib. Mineral. Petrol. 166, 887-910. doi: 10.1007/s00410-013-0899-9.

Hart SR, Zindler A (1986) In search of a bulk-Earth composition. Chem Geol 57(3-4):247-267

Kinzler RJ, Grove TL (1992) Primary Magmas of Midocean Ridge Basalts 2. Applications. J Geophys Res 97(B5):6907-6926

Longhi J (2002) Some phase equilibrium systematics of lherzolite melting: I. Geochem Geophys Geosyst 3:art. no.-1020

Walter MJ (1998) Melting of Garnet Peridotite and the Origin of Komatiite and Depleted Lithosphere. J Petrol 39(1):29-60

Corrected Table 5. Comparison of garnet lherzolite melting model of Longhi and test of

	Primitive H&Z 10 % and 1 % melts				Depleted H&Z 10 % and 1 % m			
	10%a	L '02	1%	L '02	10%	L '02	1%	L '02
SiO2	45.7	46.2	46.0	45.6	45.8	46.0	45.4	44.9
TiO2	1.14	0.98	2.09	1.43	1.04	0.97	2.09	1.61
Al2O3	13.0	13.0	14.9	15.1	12.8	12.8	13.1	14.3
Cr2O3	0.18	0.32	0.13	0.22	0.18	0.34	0.15	0.29
FeO	10.8	9.60	9.66	9.60	10.6	9.70	10.6	10.1
MgO	17.4	18.1	14.3	15.3	18.0	18.5	16.6	17.2
CaO	9.58	9.76	7.85	6.91	9.86	10.1	8.48	8.34
Na2O	1.96	1.57	2.82	2.68	1.80	1.32	2.93	1.96
K2O	0.29	0.28	2.27	2.13	0.07	0.07	0.59	0.54

	40.07*	model#
SiO2	45.5	45.6
TiO2	1.27	0.89
Al2O3	10.3	11.1
Cr2O3	0.25	0.16
FeO	10.7	10.9
MgO	19.9	20.0
CaO	9.31	9.70
Na2O	1.08	0.94
K2O	0.7	0.65
T oC	1610	1624

a Melts of Hart and Zindler primitive (Hart and Zindler, 1986) and depleted (Kinzler and Grove, 1992) mantle compositions.
Model calculation at 3 GPa and 10 % and 1 % melting from this study and Longhi (200

*40.07 shows the composition and temperature of a Lherzolite melt from Walter (1998 at 4 GPa and 13 wt. % melting.
#Forward model of melting using the Walter (1998) lherzolite composition and 13 % melting at 4 GPa. Temperature is that predicted by the model.