

MIT Open Access Articles

*Modular Extensions of Unitary Braided Fusion Categories
and 2+1D Topological/SPT Orders with Symmetries*

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share*
how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Lan, Tian, Liang Kong, and Xiao-Gang Wen. "Modular Extensions of Unitary Braided Fusion Categories and 2+1D Topological/SPT Orders with Symmetries." *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 351, no. 2 (September 22, 2016): 709–739.

As Published: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-016-2748-y>

Publisher: Springer Berlin Heidelberg

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/110209>

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike



Communicated by Y. Kawahigashi!
Receipt: 4/3/16
Acpt: 7/9/16

Modular extensions of unitary braided fusion categories and 2+1D topological/SPT orders with symmetries

Tian Lan^a, Liang Kong^{b,c}, Xiao-Gang Wen^{a,d}, ¹

^a Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics,
Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 2Y5 Canada

^b Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824, USA

^c Center of Mathematical Sciences and Applications
Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

^d Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

Abstract

A finite bosonic or fermionic symmetry can be described uniquely by a symmetric fusion category \mathcal{E} . In this work, we propose that 2+1D topological/SPT orders with a fixed finite symmetry \mathcal{E} are classified, up to E_8 quantum Hall states, by the unitary modular tensor categories \mathcal{C} over \mathcal{E} and the modular extensions of each \mathcal{C} . In the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E}$, we prove that the set $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{E})$ of all modular extensions of \mathcal{E} has a natural structure of a finite abelian group. We also prove that the set $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{C})$ of all modular extensions of \mathcal{C} , if not empty, is equipped with a natural $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{E})$ -action that is free and transitive. Namely, the set $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{C})$ is an $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{E})$ -torsor. As special cases, we explain in details how the group $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{E})$ recovers the well-known group-cohomology classification of the 2+1D bosonic SPT orders and Kitaev's 16 fold ways. We also discuss briefly the behavior of the group $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{E})$ under the symmetry-breaking processes and its relation to Witt groups.

¹Emails: tlan@perimeterinstitute.ca, kong.fan.liang@gmail.com, xgwen@mit.edu

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Physics of topological/SPT orders with symmetries	4
3	Unitary braided fusion categories	7
3.1	Braided fusion categories	7
3.2	Algebras in a braided fusion category	8
3.3	Symmetric fusion categories	11
3.4	Braided fusion categories over \mathcal{E}	12
3.5	Unitary braided fusion categories	13
4	The group $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{E})$ of the modular extensions of \mathcal{E}	14
4.1	Modular extensions over a $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$	14
4.2	The finite abelian group structure on $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{E})$	16
4.3	Modular extensions of $\mathcal{R}ep(G)$ and group cohomologies	19
4.4	Modular extensions of $s\text{Vec}$ and Kitaev's 16-fold way	20
5	Modular extensions of $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s	23
5.1	The set $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{C})$ as a $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{E})$ -torsor	23
5.2	Symmetry breaking and group homomorphisms	26
5.3	Relation to Witt groups	27
6	Conclusions and Outlooks	28

1 Introduction

In this work, we prove some mathematical results about (unitary) braided fusion categories that are completely motivated by the physics of topological orders with symmetries (see Sec. 2). More physical discussion of the same subject will appear in a companion physics paper [LKW2]. In this introduction section, we try to keep the physics to the minimum and mainly focus on introducing our main results in mathematics.

A finite bosonic or fermionic symmetry, i.e., a finite group G or (G, z) (see Sec. 2), is uniquely determined by a symmetric fusion category (SFC) \mathcal{E} up to braided monoidal equivalences. In Sec. 2, we propose that 2+1D topological orders [W1] and symmetry protected trivial (SPT) orders [GW, CGLW] with an on-site symmetry \mathcal{E} are classified, up to E_8 quantum Hall states, by the equivalence classes of the triples $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$, which are explained below.

1. \mathcal{C} is a unitary modular tensor category \mathcal{C} over \mathcal{E} , or a $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$, which is defined by a unitary braided fusion category \mathcal{C} such that its Müger center is \mathcal{E} (see Def. 3.21). Physically, the $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} describes all the excitations in the bulk of the associated topological states. The bulk excitations, in general, are not enough to uniquely determine the topological order.

2. \mathcal{M} is a unitary modular tensor category (UMTC), and $\iota_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a braided full embedding such that the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{E} in \mathcal{M} is \mathcal{C} . The pair $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ is called a modular extension of \mathcal{C} , a notion which was first introduced by Müger [M1] (see Remark 4.5). Physically, a modular extension of \mathcal{C} amounts to a categorical way of gauging the categorical symmetry \mathcal{E} in \mathcal{C} (see Sec. 2).

We denote the set of equivalence classes of the modular extensions of a fixed $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}$ by $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ (see Def. 4.9). The simplest example of $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ is just the SFC \mathcal{E} itself. The modular extensions of \mathcal{E} always exist. For example, $(Z(\mathcal{E}), \iota_0)$, where $Z(\mathcal{E})$ is the Drinfeld center of \mathcal{E} and $\iota_0 : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{E})$ is the canonical full embedding, is a modular extension of \mathcal{E} . For generic \mathcal{C} , Drinfeld showed that the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ can be empty [D].

The main results of this work are summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *The set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$, together with a naturally defined multiplication $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}$ and the identity element $(Z(\mathcal{E}), \iota_0)$, is a finite abelian group. For a $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}$, the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$, if not empty, is naturally equipped with a free and transitive $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -action, or equivalently, an $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -torsor.*

In Sec. 4, we give a detailed construction of the multiplication $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}$ (see Lemma 4.11), which has a natural physical meaning. We prove the first half of above theorem in Thm. 4.20 and the second half in Thm 5.4. In the end, we prove some results on the behavior of the group $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ and the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -torsor $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ under the symmetry-breaking processes, and explain a relation between the modular extensions and the Witt groups. the

The layout of this paper is as follows: in Sec. 2, we explain our motivations from the physics of topological orders with symmetries; in Sec. 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, we recall some basic concepts in braided fusion categories, collect and prove some useful results, and set our notations; in Sec. 3.4, we review the notion of a braided fusion category over a symmetric fusion category; in Sec. 3.5, we recall some results on unitarity; in Sec. 4.1, we recall the notion of a modular extension over a $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ and prove a few useful results; in Sec. 4.2, we prove that the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ is a finite abelian group; in Sec. 4.3, 4.4, we explain the relation between $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(G))$ and the group-cohomology classification of 2+1D bosonic SPT orders and that between $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(s\text{Vec})$ and Kitaev's 16 fold way; in Sec. 5.1, we prove that the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ is an $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -torsor; In Sec. 5.2, we discuss the behavior of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ under certain symmetry breaking processes; in Sec. 5.2, we discuss the relation between the modular extensions and the Witt groups; in Sec. 6, we give conclusions and list a few open questions.

of **Acknowledgement:** We thank Pavel Etingof, Chenjie Wang and Zhenghan Wang for useful discussions, and Dmitri Nikshych for helping us to understand the $\text{Aut}(G)$ -action on the group cohomologies and informing us the results in [BNRW]. This research is supported by NSF Grant No. DMR-1506475, and NSFC 11274192. It is also supported by the John Templeton Foundation No. 39901. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research. LK is supported by the Center of Mathematical Sciences and Applications at Harvard University.

2 Physics of topological/SPT orders with symmetries

Topological phases of matters, also called topological orders [W1], are motivated by the experimental discovery of fractional quantum Hall effects. It was realized recently that such a topological order can be understood as a gapped quantum liquid with long range entanglement, a notion ~~which~~ was introduced in [CGW, ZW]. A bosonic (or fermionic) topological order is called anomaly-free if it can be realized by a bosonic (or fermionic) local Hamiltonian lattice model in the same dimension [W3], and is called anomalous if otherwise [L, KW]. In this work, we are only interested in anomaly-free topological orders (with or without symmetries). For simplicity, by a topological order (with or without symmetries), we mean an anomaly-free topological order throughout this work unless we specify otherwise.

It is well-known that 2+1D topological orders without symmetries are classified, up to E_8 quantum Hall states, by the categories of excitations in the bulk. A particle-like excitation is called a local excitation if it can be created/annihilated by local operators from the vacuum sector or its direct sums; it is called a non-trivial topological excitations if otherwise. The vacuum sector or its direct sums can be viewed as local excitations. All (particle-like) excitations can be fused and braided, thus form a unitary braided fusion category \mathcal{C} . The vacuum sector corresponds to the tensor unit $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$ in \mathcal{C} . Excitations that correspond to simple objects in \mathcal{C} are called simple excitations. By the definition of a local excitation, it must have trivial double braidings with all (topological or local) excitations. In an anomaly-free theory, excitations should be able to detect each other via double braidings. In particular, the only simple excitation that has trivial double braidings with all excitations must be the vacuum. Therefore, the only local excitations in a 2+1D topological order are the vacuum and its direct sums. Categorically, this amounts to say that the unitary braided fusion category \mathcal{C} must be non-degenerate. This gives us the well-known fact that the category of excitations in the bulk of a 2+1D topological order is given by a non-degenerate unitary braided fusion category, or equivalently, a unitary modular tensor category (UMTC) (see for example [Kit1])². Note that an E_8 quantum Hall state contains no non-trivial topological excitations in the bulk. But it is non-trivial because it cannot be changed to a trivial phase via local unitary transformations. Moreover, it has gapless chiral edge modes that carries the central charge $c = 8$. By the term “ E_8 quantum Hall states”, we mean stacking finite number of layers of E_8 quantum Hall states. Since a UMTC defines the central charge only modulo 8, all 2+1D topological orders are classified by UMTC’s together with the central charges, i.e. by pairs (\mathcal{C}, c) .

In this work, we study long-range entangled topological orders with symmetries. In the presence of symmetries, even product states with short range entanglement can belong to different phases, and those phases are called symmetry protected trivial (SPT) orders. So in fact, we will study both topological orders and SPT orders (see for example [W2, GW, SRFL, Kit2, CGW, CGLW, FM, BBCW] and references therein for this vast and fast growing topic). In particular, we would like to find a categorical classification (up to E_8 quantum Hall states) of topological/SPT orders with an on-site finite symmetry. A finite symmetry is mathematically described by a finite group G . It is called a fermionic

²It was proposed in [KWZ] that all bulk excitations, including string-like excitations, in a potentially anomalous 2+1D topological order are described by a unitary fusion 2-category.

symmetry if G contains the fermionic parity transformation z in G . Mathematically, the fermionic parity transformation $z \in G$ is a central element in G (i.e. $zg = gz, \forall g \in G$) and $z^2 = 1$. We denote the fermionic symmetry by the pair (G, z) . If G does not contain the fermionic parity transformation, it is called a bosonic symmetry, denoted by G alone. We denote the category of representations of G by $\text{Rep}(G)$. It is a symmetric fusion category (see Sec. 3.3). For the fermionic symmetry (G, z) , we require that a G -representation with z acting as -1 (i.e. a fermion) should braid as a fermion. This gives us a new symmetric fusion category $\text{Rep}(G, z)$, which is the same fusion category as $\text{Rep}(G)$ but equipped with a different braiding (see Sec. 3.3). When $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, the category $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$ is just the category of super vector spaces $s\text{Vec}$, i.e. $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z) = s\text{Vec}$. It is known from Deligne's result [De] that a symmetric fusion category \mathcal{E} is equivalent to either $\text{Rep}(G)$ or $\text{Rep}(G, z)$ for some finite group G and a central involutive element $z \in G$. Therefore, we can define a finite bosonic/fermionic symmetry simply by a symmetric fusion category \mathcal{E} .

In a 2+1D topological/SPT order with an on-site symmetry G or (G, z) , it is clear that the excitations in the bulk still forms a braided fusion category \mathcal{C} . But local excitations in the bulk can carry symmetry charges, which are given by the representations of G or (G, z) . In other words, if \mathcal{E} denotes $\text{Rep}(G)$ or $\text{Rep}(G, z)$, then \mathcal{C} must contain \mathcal{E} as a fusion subcategory (see Sec. 3.1 for a definition). Moreover, since these local excitations must have trivial double braidings with all excitations, mathematically, it means that \mathcal{E} is contained in the Müger center of \mathcal{C} ([M1] and see also Sec. 3.1), which is denoted by \mathcal{C}' . Such \mathcal{C} is called a unitary braided fusion category over \mathcal{E} , or a $\text{UBFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$. Although these local excitations in \mathcal{E} are not detectable by double braidings, they are not anomalous because they are "protected" by the symmetry. For anomaly-free topological orders, we can not allow any local excitations that are not protected by the symmetry. In other words, excitations that have trivial double braidings with all excitations in \mathcal{C} must be those in \mathcal{E} . Mathematically, it means that $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{E}$. Such a $\text{UBFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} is called a non-degenerate $\text{UBFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$, or a unitary modular tensor category over \mathcal{E} (a $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$). Therefore, the excitations in the bulk of a 2+1D topological order with the symmetry \mathcal{E} must be given by a $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$. In the simplest case, there is no non-trivial topological excitation in the bulk, i.e. $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E}$.

Different from no-symmetry cases, the bulk excitations do not uniquely fix the associated topological orders up to E_8 quantum Hall states. We give two sets of examples.

1. For topological orders with a finite bosonic symmetry G and only symmetry protected local bulk excitations $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(G)$, it is known that there are different SPT orders classified by 3-cocycles in $H^3(G, U(1))$ [CGLW].
2. For the topological orders with only fermionic parity symmetry (\mathbb{Z}_2, z) and only symmetry-protected local bulk excitations $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$, it is known that there are different gapless chiral edge states classified by Kitaev's 16 fold ways [Kit1]. These 16 phases are generated by the $p + ip$ superconductor state with central charge $c = 1/2$ (via stacking operations). They are different topological orders despite they have the same category of bulk excitations.

Therefore, what we need is to add more data to \mathcal{C} such that they are able to distinguish topological/SPT orders associated to the same bulk excitations up to E_8 states.

In physics, many systems with hidden degrees of freedom protected by symmetries can be detected by gauging the symmetry. Motivated by an idea of gauging [LG], we proposed

in [LKW1] a tensor-categorical way of gauging the categorical symmetry \mathcal{E} by adding more particles to the set of particles in a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} such that each of them has non-trivial double braidings with at least one of the local excitations in \mathcal{E} (see Remark 2.1). This categorical gauging process is complete only if every excitation in \mathcal{E} has non-trivial double braidings with at least one newly added particle, and all the newly added particles, together with old ones in \mathcal{C} , form a closed and consistent anyon system in the sense that it should describes the bulk excitations of a new 2+1D anomaly-free topological order without symmetry. Mathematically, a complete categorical gauging process just amounts to find a UMTC \mathcal{M} equipped with a braided full embedding $\iota_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{E} in \mathcal{M} , denoted by $\mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{M}}$, is \mathcal{C} . Such a pair $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ is called a modular extension of \mathcal{C} , a notion which was first introduced by Müger in [M1] (see also Def. 4.4). We explain in detail in Sec. 4.3 how modular extensions over $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}(G)$ recover the group-cohomology classification of bosonic SPT orders, and in Sec. 4.4, we review the well-known 16 modular extensions of $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$ (also known as Kitaev's 16 fold ways). For generic \mathcal{E} , we propose that the modular extensions of \mathcal{E} with central charge $c = 0 \pmod{8}$ classify all the 2+1D bosonic/fermionic SPT orders. For general \mathcal{C} , we believe that the modular extensions of \mathcal{C} , if exists, classify, up to E_8 quantum Hall states, all the topological orders with the same bulk excitations \mathcal{C} . Such topological orders will be called symmetry enriched topological (SET) orders over \mathcal{C} .

Remark 2.1. Requiring each newly added particle to have non-trivial braiding with at least one particle in \mathcal{E} , i.e. $\mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{C}$, is a strong condition. For a given UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} , gauging processes satisfying this strong condition might not exist at all [D]. In this case, one might want to relax this condition. But it is not yet clear to us what the extensions without satisfying this strong condition represent in physics (see also Remark 4.5).

In summary, we have proposed the following conjecture on the classification of 2+1D topological/SPT orders with symmetries.

Conjecture 2.2. *2+1D topological/SPT orders with the symmetry \mathcal{E} are classified, up to E_8 quantum Hall states, by the equivalence classes (see Remark 2.3) of triples $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$, where \mathcal{C} is a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ and the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a modular extension of \mathcal{C} . In particular, 2+1D SPT orders with the symmetry \mathcal{E} are classified by triples $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ such that \mathcal{M} has a zero $\pmod{8}$ central charge.*

In this work, we prove that the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ of modular extensions of \mathcal{E} form an abelian group with the multiplication $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}$ (defined in Lemma 4.11) corresponding to first stacking two layers of systems then breaking the symmetry from $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}$ to \mathcal{E} (causing no phase transition [LKW2]). Therefore, $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}$ is the correct physical stacking operation of two layers of topological/SPT phases with symmetry \mathcal{E} . Moreover, we prove that the group $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{R}\text{ep}(G))$ coincides with the group structure on $H^3(G, U(1))$. We also prove that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(s\text{Vec}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{16}$ as groups.

Remark 2.3. We prove in Thm 5.4 that the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$, if not empty, is equipped with a natural $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -action that is free and transitive. Namely, $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -torsor. It is also equipped with a natural $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\mathcal{C})$ -action (see Remark. 3.12 and 4.10). Using the naturally defined equivalence relation among the triples $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ (see a precise definition in [LKW2]), Conjecture 2.2 says that the SET orders over \mathcal{C} are classified, up to E_8 states, by the quotient set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})/\underline{\text{Aut}}(\mathcal{C})$ (see [LKW2] for more details).

3 Unitary braided fusion categories

In this section, we briefly recall some basic elements of (unitary) braided fusion categories, collect and prove a few results that are useful later, and also set our notations. The ground field is always chosen to be the complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

3.1 Braided fusion categories

In this work, a category is called *finite* if it is equivalent to the category of modules over a finite dimensional algebra A over \mathbb{C} ; it is called *semisimple* if, in addition, A is semisimple. A multi-fusion category \mathcal{C} is a semisimple rigid monoidal category. In particular, it has finitely many inequivalent simple objects, and is equipped with a rigid monoidal structure, which includes the tensor product functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, the tensor unit $1_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}$, an associator $\alpha_{x,y,z} : x \otimes (y \otimes z) \xrightarrow{\cong} (x \otimes y) \otimes z$ for $x, y, z \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfying the pentagon relations, and unit isomorphisms satisfying the triangle relations. We denote the right dual of an object x as x^* and the left dual as *x . We denote the set of equivalence classes of simple objects by $O(\mathcal{C})$. We denote \mathcal{C}^{rev} by the same category \mathcal{C} but equipped with the reversed tensor product \otimes^{rev} , i.e. $a \otimes^{\text{rev}} b = b \otimes a$. A multi-fusion category \mathcal{C} with a simple tensor unit $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ is called a *fusion category*. A fusion subcategory \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{C} , denoted by $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}$, is a full tensor subcategory such that if $x \in \mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of an object in \mathcal{B} then $x \in \mathcal{B}$. In particular, \mathcal{B} is a fusion category and $O(\mathcal{B}) \subset O(\mathcal{C})$.

Let $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ be the Grothendieck ring of a fusion category \mathcal{C} . According to [ENO1, Sec. 8], there is a unique homomorphism $\text{FPdim} : K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{FPdim}(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$. Actually, $\text{FPdim}(x) \geq 1$ for any non-zero object $x \in \mathcal{C}$. $\text{FPdim}(x)$ is called the Frobenius-Perron dimension of x . The Frobenius-Perron dimension of a fusion category \mathcal{C} is defined by $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{i \in O(\mathcal{C})} \text{FPdim}(i)^2$. For a pivotal fusion category \mathcal{C} , there is a different but related notion of dimension, which is called *quantum dimension* and denoted by $\dim(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{C}$. For a unitary fusion category (see Def. 3.16), the Frobenius-Perron dimensions coincide with the quantum dimensions [ENO1].

The Frobenius-Perron dimension is very useful in determining whether a given monoidal functor is an equivalence. Consider a monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between two fusion categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} . We define the image of F , denoted by $\text{Im}(F)$, by the smallest fusion subcategory of \mathcal{D} that contains $F(x), \forall x \in \mathcal{C}$. By [EO, Prop. 2.19], if F is injective (i.e. $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Im}(F)$ is an equivalence), then $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) \leq \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D})$ and the equality holds iff F is a monoidal equivalence. By [EO, Prop. 2.20], if $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is surjective (i.e. $\text{Im}(F) = \mathcal{D}$), then $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) \geq \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D})$ and the equality holds iff F is a monoidal equivalence.

A left module category \mathcal{M} over a fusion category \mathcal{C} , or a \mathcal{C} -module \mathcal{M} , is a semisimple \mathbb{C} -linear category equipped with a \mathcal{C} -action functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, which is \mathbb{C} -linear and right exact for each variables. For two \mathcal{C} -modules \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} , a \mathcal{C} -module functor $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear functor, equipped with a natural isomorphism $F(c \otimes -) \simeq c \otimes F(-), \forall c \in \mathcal{C}$, satisfying natural conditions. We denote the category of \mathcal{C} -module functors by $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. A right \mathcal{C} -module is just left \mathcal{C}^{rev} -module. A \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} -bimodule is just a left $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}^{\text{rev}}$ -module. We denote the category of right exact \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} -bimodule functors from \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} by $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$. If \mathcal{M} is an indecomposable \mathcal{C} -module, it is known that $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ is also a fusion category.

A braided fusion category \mathcal{C} is a fusion category equipped with a braiding $c_{x,y} : x \otimes y \rightarrow$

$y \otimes x$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$. The category $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ is the same fusion category as \mathcal{C} but equipped with the braidings given by the anti-braidings in \mathcal{C} .

Given a fusion category \mathcal{C} , there is a canonical braided fusion category $Z(\mathcal{C})$ associated to \mathcal{C} , called the Drinfeld center of \mathcal{C} . It consists of objects of pairs $(x, z_{x,-})$, where $z_{x,-} : x \otimes - \rightarrow - \otimes x$ (called a *half-braiding*) is a natural isomorphism satisfying some natural conditions. Another useful way to characterize the Drinfeld center is the category $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} -bimodule functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C} , i.e. $Z(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$. We have $\text{FPdim}(Z(\mathcal{C})) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})^2$. The forgetful functor $fr : Z(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is monoidal. We also have $Z(\mathcal{C}) \simeq Z(\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})^{\text{rev}})$ for an indecomposable \mathcal{C} -module \mathcal{M} .

More generally, let \mathcal{B} be a fusion subcategory of a fusion category \mathcal{C} . There is a notion of relative center $Z_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of pair $(x, z_{x,-})$, where $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and the natural isomorphism $z_{x,-} : (x \otimes -)|_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow (- \otimes x)|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the half-braiding. Another convenient way to characterize the relative center $Z_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C})$ is the category $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{B} -bimodule functors from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{C} , or equivalently, the category $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ of right exact \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{B} -bimodule functors from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C} [KZ]. We have $\text{FPdim}(Z_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C})) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{B})\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$ and $Z(Z_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq Z(\mathcal{B}) \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{C})$ [DGNO2].

Let \mathcal{A} be a fusion category and \mathcal{C} a braided fusion category. A monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called *central* if it factors uniquely through the forgetful functor $fr : Z(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Namely, there is a unique braided monoidal functor $F_0 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{A})$ such that $fr \circ F_0 \simeq F$. A more direct way to characterize a central functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is that there is a half-braiding $z_{c,x} : F(c) \otimes x \rightarrow x \otimes F(c)$ for $c \in \mathcal{C}, x \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $z_{c,F(d)} = F(c_{c,d})$ and $z_{c \otimes d, x} = z_{d,x} \circ z_{c,x}$, where $z_{d,x} \circ z_{c,x} := (\text{id}_c \otimes z_{d,x}) \circ (z_{c,x} \otimes \text{id}_d)$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a full subcategory of a braided fusion category \mathcal{C} . The Müger centralizer of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{C} , denoted by $\mathcal{A}'|_{\mathcal{C}}$, is defined by the full subcategory consisting of objects $x \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $c_{y,x} \circ c_{x,y} = \text{id}_{x \otimes y}$ for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that the Müger centralizer $\mathcal{A}'|_{\mathcal{C}}$ is automatically a fusion subcategory of \mathcal{C} even if \mathcal{A} is not monoidal. We abbreviate $\mathcal{A}'|_{\mathcal{C}}$ to \mathcal{C}' and refer to it as the Müger center of \mathcal{C} . We have the following identity [DGNO2]:

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{A})\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{A}'|_{\mathcal{C}}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{C}'). \quad (3.1)$$

A braided fusion category \mathcal{C} is called *non-degenerate* if $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Vec}$.

If \mathcal{C} is braided, then there is a canonical braided monoidal functor $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}} \hookrightarrow Z(\mathcal{C})$ defined by $x \boxtimes y \mapsto (x, c_{x,-}) \otimes (y, c_{-,y}^{-1})$. It is an equivalence iff \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate.

3.2 Algebras in a braided fusion category

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. An *algebra in \mathcal{C}* (or a *\mathcal{C} -algebra*) is a triple (A, m, η) , where A is an object in \mathcal{C} , m is a morphism $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\eta : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow A$ satisfying the identities $m \circ (m \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \alpha_{A,A,A} = m \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes m)$ and $m \circ (\eta \otimes \text{id}_A) = \text{id}_A = m \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \eta)$. If \mathcal{C} is also braided, the \mathcal{C} -algebra A is called *commutative* if $m = m \circ c_{A,A}$. A right A -module, is a pair (M, μ_M) , where M is an object in \mathcal{C} and $\mu_M : M \otimes A \rightarrow M$ such that $\mu_M \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes m) = \mu_M \circ (\mu_M \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ \alpha_{M,A,A}$ and $\mu_M \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes \eta) = \text{id}_M$. The definition of a left A -module is similar.

We denote the category of right A -modules in \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{C}_A . Let \mathcal{B} be a fusion subcategory of the fusion category \mathcal{C} and A a \mathcal{C} -algebra. We denote the maximal subobject of A in \mathcal{B} by $A \cap \mathcal{B}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{B} be a fusion subcategory of a fusion category \mathcal{C} and A a \mathcal{C} -algebra such that $A \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathbf{1}$. Then the functor $- \otimes A : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$ is fully faithful.*

Proof. This follows from the identities $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}_A}(x \otimes A, y \otimes A) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y \otimes A) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(y^* \otimes x, A \cap \mathcal{B}) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(y^* \otimes x, \mathbf{1}) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(x, y)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$. \square

A \mathcal{C} -algebra (A, m, η) is called *separable* if $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ splits as a morphism of A -bimodule. Namely, there is an A -bimodule map $e : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ such that $m \circ e = \text{id}_A$. A separable algebra is called *connected* if $\dim \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, A) = 1$. If \mathcal{C} is braided, a commutative separable \mathcal{C} -algebra is also called *étale algebra* in [DMNO]. We abbreviate a connected commutative separable \mathcal{C} -algebra to a *condensable algebra* for its physical meaning in anyon condensation [Ko]. If \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate, a condensable \mathcal{C} -algebra A is called *Lagrangian* if $\text{FPdim}(A)^2 = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 3.2. Let \mathcal{B} be a fusion subcategory of fusion category \mathcal{C} . If A is a separable algebra in \mathcal{C} , then $A \cap \mathcal{B}$ is an separable algebra, which is just the internal $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(A, A)$ [DNO, Lemma 3.2]. If \mathcal{C} is a braided and A is étale, then $A \cap \mathcal{B}$ is an étale algebra [DNO, Cor. 3.3].

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category and A a condensable \mathcal{C} -algebra. The category \mathcal{C}_A of A -modules is a fusion category and we have the following identity:

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_A) = \frac{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})}{\text{FPdim}(A)}. \quad (3.2)$$

If \mathcal{C} is braided and A is commutative, a right A -module is called *local* if $\mu_M \circ c_{A,M} \circ c_{M,A} = \mu_M$. We denote the fusion subcategory of \mathcal{C}_A consisting of local A -modules in \mathcal{C} by \mathcal{C}_A^0 , which is actually a braided fusion category with the braidings inherited from those in \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate, so is \mathcal{C}_A^0 [BEK, KO], and we have the following identities [DMNO]

$$Z(\mathcal{C}_A) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^0} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_A^0) = \frac{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})}{\text{FPdim}(A)^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

If, in addition, A is Lagrangian, we have $\mathcal{C}_A^0 = \text{Vec}$. Moreover, if a condensable algebra A contains a condensable subalgebra B , then A is also a condensable algebra in the category \mathcal{C}_B^0 and $\text{FPdim}_{\mathcal{C}_B^0} A = \frac{\text{FPdim}(A)}{\text{FPdim}(B)}$. We have $(\mathcal{C}_B)_A \simeq \mathcal{C}_A$ and $(\mathcal{C}_B^0)_A^0 \simeq \mathcal{C}_A^0$ [FFRS, Da].

It turns out that condensable algebras in a braided fusion category \mathcal{C} all arise in the following ways.

Theorem 3.3. [DMNO] *Let \mathcal{D} be a fusion category, $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ a central functor and $F^\vee : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the right adjoint of F . The object $A = F^\vee(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})$ has a canonical structure of condensable \mathcal{C} -algebra, and the functor F^\vee defines a monoidal equivalence $F^\vee : \text{Im}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$.*

Let $\{A\}$ be the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} consisting of a single object A .

Proposition 3.4. *Let \mathcal{B} be a fusion subcategory of a braided fusion category \mathcal{C} and A a condensable \mathcal{C} -algebra such that $A \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathbf{1}$. The functor $- \otimes A : \{A\}'|_{\mathcal{C}} \cap \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A^0$ is fully faithful and braided monoidal.*

Proof. The functor $- \otimes A$ maps $\{A\}'|_{\mathcal{C}}$ into \mathcal{C}_A^0 because $x \otimes A$ is a local A -module iff $x \in \{A\}'|_{\mathcal{C}}$. The fully-faithfulness follows from Lem. 3.1. It is clearly braided monoidal. \square

In this work, we are interested in condensable subalgebras of a condensable algebra in a non-degenerate braided fusion category \mathcal{C} . Let A be a condensable algebra in \mathcal{C} . Let $L(\mathcal{C}, A)$ be the lattice of condensable subalgebras of A in \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}, A)$ the lattice of fusion subcategories of \mathcal{C}_A that contain \mathcal{C}_A^0 as a fusion subcategory. The following theorem slightly generalizes Theorem 4.10 in [DMNO].

Theorem 3.5. *There is a canonical anti-isomorphism of lattices $\varphi : L(\mathcal{C}, A) \simeq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}, A)$. More precisely, for a condensable subalgebra B of A , $\varphi(B)$ is defined by the image of the following functor*

$$F_B : \mathcal{C}_B^0 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^0} \xrightarrow{(-\otimes_B A) \boxtimes \text{id}} \mathcal{C}_A \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^0} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_A.$$

Moreover, we have

1. $Z(\varphi(B)) \simeq \mathcal{C}_B^0 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^0}$ as non-degenerate braided fusion categories and the functor $Z(\varphi(B)) \simeq \mathcal{C}_B^0 \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^0} \xrightarrow{F_B} \varphi(B)$ coincides with the forgetful functor.
2. For a fusion subcategory $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}_A$, let $Z_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C}_A)$ be the relative center and $I : Z_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C}_A) \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{C}_A)$ the right adjoint functor of the forgetful functor. Then we have $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) \simeq I(\mathbf{1})$.
3. $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{B})\text{FPdim}(\varphi(B)) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_A)$.

Proof. When A is a Lagrangian algebra, the result was proved in Theorem 4.10 in [DMNO] (with part 1 and 2 appeared in the proof of Theorem 4.10 in [DMNO]).

In general cases, let fr^\vee be the right adjoint of the forgetful functor $fr : Z(\mathcal{C}_A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$. The algebra $\tilde{A} = fr^\vee(\mathbf{1})$ is Lagrangian, and we have $Z(\mathcal{C}_A)_{\tilde{A}} \simeq \mathcal{C}_A$ and $Z(\mathcal{C}_A)_{\tilde{A}}^0 \simeq \text{Vec}$. By Theorem 4.10 in [DMNO], there is an anti-isomorphism ϕ from the lattice $L(Z(\mathcal{C}_A), \tilde{A})$ of condensable subalgebras of \tilde{A} to the lattice $\mathcal{L}(Z(\mathcal{C}_A), \tilde{A})$ of fusion subcategories of \mathcal{C}_A . We have $Z(\mathcal{C}_A) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^0}$ as braided fusion categories, and the forgetful functor can be identified with the composed functor

$$Z(\mathcal{C}_A) \simeq \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^0} \xrightarrow{(-\otimes A) \boxtimes \text{id}} \mathcal{C}_A \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}_A^0} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_A.$$

We have $A = \tilde{A} \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathbf{1})$. Namely, A is a condensable subalgebra of \tilde{A} in $Z(\mathcal{C}_A)$. Let B be a condensable subalgebra of A in \mathcal{C} . According to Theorem 4.10 in [DMNO], the fusion subcategory $\phi(B \boxtimes \mathbf{1})$ of \mathcal{C}_A can be identified with the image of the functor F_B . Therefore, we have $\phi(B \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) = \varphi(B)$. Moreover, the image of the map ϕ restricted to the sub-lattice $L(\mathcal{C}, A) = L(Z(\mathcal{C}_A), A \boxtimes \mathbf{1})$ of $L(Z(\mathcal{C}_A), \tilde{A})$ is just the sub-lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}, A)$ of $\mathcal{L}(Z(\mathcal{C}_A), \tilde{A})$ because $\text{Im}(F_A) = \mathcal{C}_A^0$. Therefore, $\varphi = \phi|_{L(\mathcal{C}, A)}$ is an anti-isomorphism from $L(\mathcal{C}, A)$ to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}, A)$. The rest is clear. \square

As an example, we give the following Proposition, which is an immediate consequence of Thm. 3.5 and Thm. 3.7 and can be found in [DMNO, Example 4.11].

Proposition 3.6. *Take $\mathcal{C} = Z(\text{Vec}_G^\omega)$ for a finite group G and a 3-cocycle $\omega \in H^3(G, U(1))$. The forgetful functor $fr : Z(\text{Vec}_G^\omega) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_G^\omega$ determines a fusion subcategory $\text{Rep}(G) \hookrightarrow Z(\text{Vec}_G^\omega)$, which is nothing but the preimage of the direct sums of the tensor unit $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Vec}_G^\omega}$. The Lagrangian algebra $A = fr^\vee(\mathbf{1}_{\text{Vec}_G^\omega})$ is nothing but the algebra $\text{Fun}(G)$ of functions on G in $\text{Rep}(G)$. We have*

1. the condensable subalgebras of A are given by $\text{Fun}(G/H)$ for subgroups $H \subset G$,
2. the fusion subcategories of Vec_G^ω are $\text{Vec}_H^{\omega|_H}$,

and $\varphi(\text{Fun}(G/H)) = \text{Vec}_H^{\omega|_H}$.

3.3 Symmetric fusion categories

A braided fusion category \mathcal{C} is called a *symmetric fusion category* (SFC) if $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}$. Throughout this work, we use \mathcal{E} to denote a SFC.

Let G be a finite group. The category of representations of G , denoted by $\text{Rep}(G)$, is a SFC. Such SFC's \mathcal{E} are characterized by the fact that there is a braided monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ (unique up to isomorphisms), also called a symmetric fiber functor. Moreover, we have $G \simeq \text{Aut}(F)$ as groups iff $\mathcal{E} \simeq \text{Rep}(G)$ as braided fusion categories. In this case, F is just the usual forgetful functor $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}$.

In this work, we are interested in condensable algebras in $\text{Rep}(G)$ for a finite group G . The following classification result can be found in [KO].

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\text{Fun}(G/H)$ be the algebra of the functions on the coset G/H for a subgroup H (or equivalently, the functions on G that are invariant under the action of H by translations).*

1. $\text{Fun}(G/H)$ is a condensable algebra in $\text{Rep}(G)$.
2. If A is a condensable algebra in $\text{Rep}(G)$, then there is a subgroup H such that $A \simeq \text{Fun}(G/H)$. Moreover, there is a canonical symmetric monoidal equivalence $\text{Rep}(G)_A \simeq \text{Rep}(H)$.
3. The forgetful functor $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(H)$ (forgetting g -actions for $g \notin H$) and the induction functor $\text{Ind}_H^G : \text{Rep}(H) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)$ are left and right adjoints of each other. Using $A \simeq \text{Fun}(G/H)$ and $\text{Rep}(G)_A \simeq \text{Rep}(H)$, these two functors can be identified with the functor $- \otimes A : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)_A$ and $\text{Rep}(G)_A \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \text{Rep}(G)$, respectively.

Remark 3.8. When the SFC $\text{Rep}(G)$ is viewed as the symmetry of a bosonic SPT order, condensing the algebra $\text{Fun}(G/H)$ for a subgroup $H \subset G$ should be viewed as breaking the symmetry G to H , or equivalently, breaking $\text{Rep}(G)$ to $\text{Rep}(H)$.

Note that $\mathbf{C} = \text{Fun}(G/G)$ is the trivial $\text{Rep}(G)$ -algebra $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Rep}(G)}$. Let $A = \text{Fun}(G)$. We have $\text{Rep}(G)_A = \text{Rep}(G)_A^0 \simeq \text{Vec}$. Moreover, the free induction functor $- \otimes A : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(G)_A$ can be identified with the forgetful functor $fr : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}$. Moreover, the algebra $A = I(\mathbf{1})$, where I is the right adjoint functor of the forgetful functor fr , is maximal in the sense that $\text{Fun}(G/H)$ is a subalgebra of $\text{Fun}(G)$ for any subgroup H of G .

More generally, according to Deligne [De], a SFC is braided equivalent to $\text{Rep}(G, z)$, where G is a finite group, and $z \in G$ is a central element such that $z^2 = 1$. The SFC $\text{Rep}(G, z)$ is the same as $\text{Rep}(G)$ as fusion categories, but is equipped with a new braiding. More precisely, for $X, Y \in \text{Rep}(G, z)$, the new braiding $c_{X,Y}^z : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ is defined as follows:

$$c_{X,Y}^z(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{mn} y \otimes x,$$

for all $x \in X, y \in Y$ such that $zx = (-1)^m x, zy = (-1)^n y$, where m and n are either 0 or 1.

When $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, the SFC $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$ is nothing but the category $s\text{Vec}$ of super-vector spaces (or \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector spaces) with \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded symmetric braidings.

For any SFC \mathcal{E} , there is always a braided monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow s\text{Vec}$ (unique up to isomorphisms), also called super fiber functor. Let $G = \text{Aut}(F)$ be the monoidal natural automorphisms of F and $z \in G$ be the parity automorphism of F (i.e. $z_x : F(x) \rightarrow F(x)$ is the parity automorphism on $F(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{E}$). Then we have $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{R}\text{ep}(G, z)$.

Let A be a condensable algebra in \mathcal{E} . The category $\mathcal{E}_A = \mathcal{E}_A^0$ is automatically a SFC. The free induction functor $- \otimes A : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_A$ is symmetric monoidal and should be viewed as a symmetry-breaking process (or a condensation). We have the following Lemma as a corollary of Prop. 3.4.

Lemma 3.9. *Let \mathcal{F} be fusion subcategory of \mathcal{E} and A is a condensable algebra of \mathcal{E} such that $A \cap \mathcal{F} = \mathbf{1}$. We have a braided full embedding $- \otimes A : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_A$.*

In other words, the symmetry \mathcal{F} is preserved under the symmetry-breaking process $- \otimes A : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_A$.

3.4 Braided fusion categories over \mathcal{E}

In this subsection, we recall the notion of a braided fusion category over a SFC \mathcal{E} and its basic properties from [DNO].

Definition 3.10. A braided fusion category over \mathcal{E} , or a $\text{BFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$, is a braided fusion category \mathcal{C} equipped with a braided full embedding $\eta_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$. A fusion $/\mathcal{E}$ -subcategory of \mathcal{C} is a fusion subcategory containing \mathcal{E} . A $\text{BFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} is called *non-degenerate*, if, in addition, $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{E}$.

We will abbreviate a non-degenerate $\text{BFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ to a $\text{NBFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$.

Definition 3.11. A braided $/\mathcal{E}$ -functor $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between two $\text{BFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} is a braided functor preserving the embeddings of \mathcal{E} , i.e. $\eta_{\mathcal{D}} \simeq f \circ \eta_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Remark 3.12. We denote the category of braided $/\mathcal{E}$ -autoequivalences of \mathcal{C} by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ and the underlining group by $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\mathcal{C})$. Note that $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\mathcal{C})$ is the trivial group when $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E}$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category. Let $R : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ be the right adjoint of the tensor product functor $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{C}$, which factors through the canonical braided functor $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{C})$. Set $L_{\mathcal{C}} := R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}})$. It is a condensable algebra in $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and decomposes as $L_{\mathcal{C}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} i \boxtimes i^*$. Note that $\text{FPdim}(L_{\mathcal{C}}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$. Similarly, we have the condensable algebra $L_{\mathcal{E}} = R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})} i \boxtimes i^*$ induced from $\otimes : \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and its right adjoint functor R . If \mathcal{C} is a $\text{BFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$, it is clear that $L_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a condensable subalgebra of $L_{\mathcal{C}}$. The condensation of $L_{\mathcal{E}}$ break the symmetry from $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}$ to \mathcal{E} .

Remark 3.13. When $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{R}\text{ep}(G)$, we have $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}(G) \boxtimes \mathcal{R}\text{ep}(G) = \mathcal{R}\text{ep}(G \times G)$ and the tensor product functor $\otimes : \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ can be identified with the forgetful functor $\mathcal{R}\text{ep}(G \times G) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}\text{ep}(G)$, where G is the subgroup of $G \times G$ via diagonal map $\Delta : G \hookrightarrow G \times G$. By Thm. 3.7, the algebra $L_{\mathcal{R}\text{ep}(G)}$ can be identified with the algebra $\text{Fun}(G \times G/G)$ of functions on the coset $G \times G/G$. According to Remark 3.8, condensing the algebra $L_{\mathcal{E}}$ amounts to breaking the symmetry $G \times G$ to G .

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two $\text{BFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s. The relative tensor product $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ is well-defined as a category [Ta, ENO3] and as a fusion category [DNO, KZ], which can be identified with the fusion category $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}$ [DNO]. Moreover, in this case, we have $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}} = (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ has a canonical structure of a braided fusion category. Since $L_{\mathcal{E}} \cap (\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}$, by Prop. 3.4, we obtain a braided full embedding $- \otimes L_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})'$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ is a $\text{BFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ [DNO]. The relative tensor product $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}$ amounts to break the symmetry $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$ to \mathcal{E} . It forms an associative tensor product in the 2-category of $\text{BFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s.

Proposition 3.14. [DNO, Cor. 4.6] *Let A be a condensable algebra in a $\text{BFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} and $A \cap \mathcal{E} = \mathbf{1}$. Then \mathcal{C}_A^0 is a $\text{BFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$. If, in addition, \mathcal{C} is an $\text{NBFC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$, so is \mathcal{C}_A^0 .*

3.5 Unitary braided fusion categories

Definition 3.15. A $*$ -category \mathcal{C} is a \mathbb{C} -linear category equipped with a functor $*$: $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ which acts trivially on the objects and is anti-linear and involutive on morphisms, i.e. $*$: $\text{hom}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{hom}(B, A)$ is defined so that

$$(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*, \quad (\lambda f)^* = \bar{\lambda} f^*, \quad f^{**} = f. \quad (3.4)$$

for $f : U \rightarrow V, g : V \rightarrow W, h : X \rightarrow Y, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. A $*$ -category is called *unitary* if $*$ satisfies the positive condition: $f \circ f^* = 0$ implies $f = 0$.

Definition 3.16. A unitary fusion category \mathcal{C} is a fusion category and a unitary category such that $*$ is compatible with the monoidal structures, i.e.

$$(g \otimes h)^* = g^* \otimes h^*, \quad \forall g : V \rightarrow W, h : X \rightarrow Y, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\alpha_{X,Y,Z}^* = \alpha_{X,Y,Z}^{-1}, \quad l_X^* = l_X^{-1}, \quad r_X^* = r_X^{-1}. \quad (3.6)$$

A unitary braided fusion category is a unitary fusion category and is braided so that $c_{X,Y}^* = c_{X,Y}^{-1}$ for all X, Y .

We abbreviate a unitary fusion category to a UFC, and a unitary braided fusion category to a UBFC. In a UFC, the hom spaces are actually finite dimensional Hilbert spaces, and the left duals coincide with the right duals, i.e. ${}^*x = x^*$ for $x \in \mathcal{C}$. A fusion subcategory of a UFC is automatically a UFC.

Remark 3.17. A convenient way to check the unitarity of a given fusion category is to check if one can find a basis of the hom spaces such that fusion matrices in this basis are all unitary. This is enough to promote a fusion category to a unitary fusion category [Y, G].

Let \mathcal{C} be a UFC. We would like to know if the Drinfeld center $Z(\mathcal{C})$ is unitary. Let $Z^*(\mathcal{C})$ be the unitary center that is defined as the subcategory of $Z(\mathcal{C})$ such that the half-braidings in $Z^*(\mathcal{C})$ are all unitary.

Proposition 3.18. [G, GHR] *Every braiding of a UFC is unitary. In particular, for a UFC \mathcal{C} , we have $Z^*(\mathcal{C}) = Z(\mathcal{C})$ and $Z(\mathcal{C})$ is a UBFC.*

More generally, if \mathcal{C} is a UFC, the natural replacement of a \mathcal{C} -module category is a \mathcal{C} -module $*$ -category. A unitary functor is a functor preserving the $*$ -structure.

Theorem 3.19. [GHR] *The monoidal category $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ of \mathcal{C} -module $*$ -functors is a unitary fusion category that is monoidally equivalent to $\text{Fun}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$.*

It is well-known that a UFC has a unique spherical structure [Kit1], and the Frobenius-Perron dimensions coincide with the quantum dimensions [ENO1]. A non-degenerate UBFC is automatically equipped with the structure of a unitary modular tensor category (UMTC) (introduced first in [MS]). We will not define a UMTC explicitly (see for example [Tu]). For the purpose of this work, it is enough to take the non-degenerate UBFC as the definition of a UMTC.

Example 3.20. We give some examples of unitary (braided) fusion categories.

1. Let G be a finite group. The fusion category $\text{Rep}(G)$ has a canonical structure of UFC. As a consequence, symmetric fusion categories are all unitary. By Prop. 3.18, the Drinfeld center $Z(\mathcal{E})$ of a SFC \mathcal{E} is also unitary.
2. Since $H^n(G, U(1)) = H^n(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ by the universal coefficient theorem, every pointed fusion category is a unitary fusion category [GHR], i.e. Vec_G^ω is a UFC for $\omega \in H^3(G, U(1))$. The Drinfeld center $Z(\text{Vec}_G^\omega)$ is a UMTC.

A lot of constructions for (non-degenerate) braided fusion categories work automatically in the unitary cases. For example, given a UMTC \mathcal{C} and a condensable algebra A in \mathcal{C} , it is easy to check that \mathcal{C}_A is a UFC and \mathcal{C}_A^0 is a UMTC [BEK]. Most of the results in this work holds for both unitary and non-unitary cases. We will mention explicitly when results in unitary and non-unitary cases are different.

Definition 3.21. A unitary braided fusion category over \mathcal{E} , or a UBFC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$, is a UBFC \mathcal{C} equipped with a braided full embedding $\eta_\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$. A fusion $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ -subcategory of \mathcal{C} is a fusion subcategory containing \mathcal{E} . A UBFC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} is called *non-degenerate*, or a unitary modular tensor category over \mathcal{E} (or UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$), if, in addition, $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{E}$.

We will abbreviate a non-degenerate UBFC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ (or a unitary modular tensor category over \mathcal{E}) to a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$.

Definition 3.22. A braided $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ -functor $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between two UBFC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} is a braided unitary functor preserving the embeddings of \mathcal{E} , i.e. $\eta_\mathcal{D} \simeq f \circ \eta_\mathcal{C}$.

We use the same notations as in Remark 3.12 for the unitary cases.

4 The group $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ of the modular extensions of \mathcal{E}

4.1 Modular extensions over a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$

Definition 4.1. A UMTC containing a UBFC \mathcal{C} is a pair $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_\mathcal{M})$, where \mathcal{M} is a UMTC and $\iota_\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a braided full embedding.

Remark 4.2. If we drop the assumption on the unitarity on both \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{C} , we obtain the notion of a *non-degenerate extension* $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_\mathcal{M})$ of a BFC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} . It should be interesting mathematically. We will discuss a little bit about it in Sec. 5.3.

Remark 4.3. If $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ is a UMTC \mathcal{M} containing a UBFC \mathcal{C} , then $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}, \overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}} := \iota_{\mathcal{M}} : \overline{\mathcal{C}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}})$ is automatically a UMTC containing $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition 4.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$. A *modular extension* of \mathcal{C} is a UMTC containing \mathcal{C} , i.e. $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$, such that $\mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{C}$.

Remark 4.5. The notion of a modular extension of \mathcal{C} was first introduced by Müger in [M1, Conjecture 5.2], and was called “a minimal modular extension of \mathcal{C} ”. In this work, we only study the minimal modular extensions. Importantly, it is not yet clear to us what the non-minimal ones (dropping the condition $\mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{C}$) represent in physics (see also Remark 2.1). Therefore, we drop the term “minimal” until the last section, where we raise some questions on non-minimal modular extensions.

Remark 4.6. For a given UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} , it is possible that there is no modular extension of \mathcal{C} . An example was constructed by Drinfeld [D].

Example 4.7. Let $\iota_0 : \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow Z(\mathcal{E})$ be the canonical embedding induced by the central functor $\text{id}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. Then the pair $(Z(\mathcal{E}), \iota_0)$ gives a modular extension of \mathcal{E} .

Example 4.8. Let \mathcal{M} be a UMTC containing \mathcal{E} . Then $\mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ and \mathcal{M} is modular extension of $\mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Definition 4.9. Two modular extensions $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ and $(\mathcal{N}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})$ of a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} are equivalent if there is a unitary braided monoidal equivalence $f : \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}$ such that $f \circ \iota_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq \iota_{\mathcal{N}}$.

We denote the set of the equivalence classes of the modular extensions of a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} by $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$. For simplicity, we also abbreviate the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ simply to \mathcal{M} . Also note that we have the identity $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E})$. Since the Frobenius-Perron dimension of the modular extension of \mathcal{C} is fixed, according to [BNRW], the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$, if not empty, must be finite.

Remark 4.10. If $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ is not empty, there is a natural action of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ (recall Remark 3.12) on the category of the modular extensions of \mathcal{C} defined by $\phi \cdot (\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) := (\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \phi)$ for $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$. This action descends to a natural $\underline{\text{Aut}}(\mathcal{C})$ -action on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 4.11. If $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{D})$ are not empty, then $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$ is not empty, and there is a well-defined map

$$\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}).$$

More explicitly, let $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M})$ and $(\mathcal{N}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N})$ be the modular extensions of two UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , respectively. Then $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ is a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ and the pair

$$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} \mathcal{N} := \left((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0, \quad \iota_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{N}} : (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 \right)$$

is a modular extension of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Notice that we have the following embeddings of braided fusion categories:

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ is contained in $\mathcal{E}'|_{(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0}$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E})\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0$. Therefore, we must have $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{E}'|_{(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0}$. This implies both that $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ is a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ and that $((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0, \iota_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{N}})$ is a modular extension of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$. \square

Remark 4.12. We use the notation $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} \mathcal{N}$ to suggest that it can indeed be viewed as some kind of relative product of two modular extensions. The superscript in $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})}$ reminds readers that it is not the relative tensor product $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}$ in the usual sense.

Proposition 4.13. *The tensor product $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}$ is commutative, i.e. $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} \mathcal{N} \simeq \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{N}}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})} \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. It is enough to check that the functor $x \boxtimes y \mapsto y \boxtimes x$ from $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}$ to $\mathcal{N} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}$ carries $L_{\mathcal{E}}$ to $L_{\mathcal{E}}$. This follows from the fact that the tensor product functor $\otimes : \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to the reversed tensor product functor \otimes^{rev} due to the symmetric braidings. \square

Proposition 4.14. *Let $(\mathcal{L}, \iota_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L})$, $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M})$ and $(\mathcal{N}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N})$ be the modular extensions of three UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , respectively. There is a canonical associator*

$$\mathcal{L} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{L}}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} \mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathcal{L} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{L}}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})} \mathcal{M}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} \mathcal{N}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Let R_1 and R_2 be the right adjoint functors of the following two central functors $\otimes \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \otimes), \otimes \circ (\otimes \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}) : \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, respectively. Clearly, $R_1 \simeq R_2$ by the associativity of \otimes . Then we obtain the following braided monoidal equivalences:

$$\alpha : \left(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 \right)_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 \simeq (\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{R_1(1_{\mathcal{E}})}^0 \simeq (\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{R_2(1_{\mathcal{E}})}^0 \simeq \left((\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{M})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 \boxtimes \mathcal{N} \right)_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0.$$

We can show that $\alpha \circ (\iota_{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\iota_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{N}})) = (\iota_{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{N}}$ by computing the image of the object $b \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (c \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} d)$ in $\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D})$. It further implies that α also gives the associator in Eq.(4.1). In particular, we obtain $\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}) \simeq (\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}$ as UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s. \square

Prop. 4.14 implies that following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{B})} \times \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}} & \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}) \\ \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)} \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{D})} \downarrow & & \downarrow \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}} & \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{B} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}). \end{array}$$

is commutative when all three sets $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{D})$ are not empty.

4.2 The finite abelian group structure on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$

The set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ is not empty because $(Z(\mathcal{E}), \iota_0) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$. The product $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ defines a binary multiplication on the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$. In this subsection, we would like to show that the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$, together with the binary multiplication $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}$ and the identity element $(Z(\mathcal{E}), \iota_0)$, is a finite abelian group.

By Prop. 4.14 and Prop. 4.13, this multiplication $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}$ is also associative and commutative. It remains to show the existence of the inverses and the identity element.

Lemma 4.15. *Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be braided fusion categories equipped with braided embeddings $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$.*

1. *The functor $- \otimes L_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}$ defined by $x \mapsto (x \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{N}}) \otimes L_{\mathcal{E}}$ is fully faithful and monoidal, and its restriction to $\mathcal{C}'|_{\mathcal{M}}$ gives a braided full embedding $\mathcal{C}'|_{\mathcal{M}} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0$.*

2. The functor $- \otimes_{L_e}$ is a monoidal equivalence iff $\mathcal{N} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}$. In this case, its restriction to $\mathcal{C}'|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a braided monoidal equivalence, i.e. $\mathcal{C}'|_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{L_e}^0$ as braided fusion categories.

Proof. Part 1 is a special case of Lemma 3.1 and Prop. 3.4 because $L_e \cap \mathcal{M} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$. For Part 2, note that $\text{FPdim}((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_e}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{M})\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{N})/\text{FPdim}(L_e) \geq \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{M})$. By [EO, Prop. 2.19], the functor $- \otimes_{L_e}$ is a monoidal equivalence iff $\mathcal{N} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}$, i.e. $\mathcal{M} \simeq (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{L_e}$ as UFC's. Similarly, by checking Frobenius-Perron dimensions, we obtain $\mathcal{C}'|_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{L_e}^0$ as UBFC's. \square

Lemma 4.16. Let \mathcal{C} be a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ and $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ a modular extension of \mathcal{C} . We have

$$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \bar{\iota}_{\mathcal{M}})} \bar{\mathcal{M}} := \left((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}})_{L_e}^0, (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{L_e}^0 \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}})_{L_e}^0 \right) \simeq (Z(\mathcal{E}), \iota_0).$$

Proof. Consider the Lagrangian algebra $L_{\mathcal{M}}$ in $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}}$. By Lemma 4.15, $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}})_{L_{\mathcal{M}}}$ (via the functor $x \mapsto (x \boxtimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes L_{\mathcal{M}}$). Because the functor $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}})_{L_e}^0 \xrightarrow{- \otimes_{L_e} L_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{M}$ coincides with the embedding $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$, the image of $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}})_{L_e}^0$ under the functor $- \otimes_{L_e} L_{\mathcal{M}}$, denoted by \mathcal{B} , contains \mathcal{E} . Note that the functor $- \otimes_{L_e} L_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a central functor and its right adjoint is the forgetful functor. By Thm. 3.3, \mathcal{B} is monoidally equivalent to the fusion category $((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}})_{L_e}^0)_{L_{\mathcal{M}}}$. It is easy to check that $\text{FPdim}(((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}})_{L_e}^0)_{L_{\mathcal{M}}}) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E})$. Therefore, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}$. By Theorem 3.5, we must have $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}})_{L_e}^0 \simeq Z(\mathcal{E})$, and the functor $- \otimes_{L_e} L_{\mathcal{M}}$ coincides with the forgetful functor $Z(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. This implies that the composed functor $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow[-\simeq]{- \otimes_{L_e} L_{\mathcal{M}}} (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{C}})_{L_e}^0 \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}})_{L_e}^0 \simeq Z(\mathcal{E})$ coincides with ι_0 . \square

Corollary 4.17. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a modular extension of \mathcal{E} and $\bar{\iota}_{\mathcal{M}}$ the same functor $\mathcal{E} = \bar{\mathcal{E}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}$. The pair $(\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \bar{\iota}_{\mathcal{M}})$ is also a modular extension of \mathcal{E} . We have

$$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \bar{\iota}_{\mathcal{M}})} \bar{\mathcal{M}} \simeq (Z(\mathcal{E}), \iota_0). \quad (4.2)$$

By Prop. 4.13 and Cor. 4.17, we obtain $(Z(\mathcal{E}), \iota_0) \simeq (\overline{Z(\mathcal{E})}, \bar{\iota}_0)$. More directly, there is a braided equivalence $\overline{Z(\mathcal{E})} \simeq Z(\mathcal{E}^{\text{rev}}) = Z(\mathcal{E})$ defined by $(x, z_{x,-}) \mapsto (x, z_{x,-}^{-1})$ such that it is compatible with ι_0 and $\bar{\iota}_0$. It remains to show that $(Z(\mathcal{E}), \iota_0)$ is the identity element.

Lemma 4.18. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M})$ be a UMTC containing \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{M} also contains \mathcal{E} and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{M}}$, then there is a canonical braided equivalence $g : \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}))_{L_e}^0$ such that $g \circ \iota_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq (- \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{Z(\mathcal{E})}) \otimes_{L_e}$ as functors from \mathcal{C} to $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}))_{L_e}^0$. If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{M}}$ in addition, then $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_0)} Z(\mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$.

Proof. The second statement follows obviously from the first statement. To prove the identity $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}))_{L_e}^0 \simeq \mathcal{M}$, by Eq. (3.3), it is enough to prove $Z((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}))_{L_e}) \simeq Z(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E})$ as braided fusion categories. Since \mathcal{E} is symmetric, for $m, x \in \mathcal{M}, i \in \mathcal{E}$, the action $(m \boxtimes i) * x := m \otimes x \otimes i$ defines a left $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}$ -module structure on \mathcal{M} . It is enough to show that $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}))_{L_e} \simeq \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ as UFC's, where the category $\mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ can be identified with the relative center $Z_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M})$, and $Z(Z_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M})) \simeq Z(\mathcal{M}) \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E})$ [DGNO1].

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \iota_0} & \mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}) \\ \downarrow \otimes & & \downarrow \alpha & \swarrow \alpha\text{-induction} & \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\alpha|_{\mathcal{M}}: m \mapsto m \otimes -} & \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) & & \end{array}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $m \in \mathcal{M}$, the functor α is defined by $m \boxtimes j \mapsto m \otimes^+ - \otimes^- j$ for $j = (j, z_{j,-}) \in Z(\mathcal{E})$, $z_{j,-}$ is the half-braiding, and the $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}$ -module functor $m \otimes^+ - \otimes^- j \in \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ is defined by the following isomorphisms:

$$m \otimes^+ x \otimes y \otimes i \otimes^- j \xrightarrow[\simeq]{c_{m,x} \otimes \text{id}_y \otimes z_{ji}^{-1}} x \otimes m \otimes y \otimes j \otimes i, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}, i \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The commutativity of the right triangle in (4.3) is nothing but the definition of the α -induction. This implies that $m \otimes^+ - \otimes^- j$ is a central functor. The commutativity of left square follows from the fact that there is a canonical isomorphism between two $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}$ -module functors $m \otimes^+ - \otimes^- j \simeq m \otimes^+ j \otimes^+ -$, defined by the half braiding $z_{j,-}^{-1} : - \otimes^- j \rightarrow j \otimes^+ -$. Let α^\vee be the right adjoint functor of α .

We claim that $\alpha^\vee(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) \simeq L_{\mathcal{E}}$ as algebras. Indeed, we have

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})}(m \otimes^+ - \otimes^- j, \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) = \text{hom}_{Z_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M})}(m \otimes^+ \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes^- j, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E})}(m \boxtimes j, \alpha^\vee(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}})).$$

Without losing generality, we assume that m and j are both simple. Let $\sigma_{j,-}$ be the symmetric braiding in \mathcal{E} . Since $\text{hom}_{Z_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M})}(m \otimes^+ \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes^- j, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}) \hookrightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(m \otimes j, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}})$, it is clear that $m \simeq j^*$ is a necessary condition for $\text{hom}_{Z_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M})}(m \otimes^+ \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes^- j, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}) \neq 0$, but it is not sufficient. When $m \simeq j^*$, any morphism $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(m \otimes j, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}})$ is proportional to the canonical evaluation map $\text{ev} : j^* \boxtimes j \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$. It is easy to check that ev is a morphism in $Z_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M})$ (preserving the half-braiding) iff $(j, z_{j,-}) \simeq (j, \sigma_{j,-}) \in \mathcal{E}$. In summary, we obtain

$$\text{hom}_{Z_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M})}(m \otimes^+ \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes^- j, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } m \simeq j^* \text{ and } (j, z_{j,-}) \simeq (j, \sigma_{j,-}) \in \mathcal{E} \subset Z(\mathcal{E}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which further implies that $\alpha^\vee(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) \in \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}$ and $\alpha^\vee(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})} i \boxtimes i^* = L_{\mathcal{E}}$ as objects. To show $\alpha^\vee(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) \simeq L_{\mathcal{E}}$ as algebras, we use the commutative square in Diagram (4.3). It is enough to show that $(\alpha|_{\mathcal{M}})^\vee(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Note that the functor $m \otimes^+ - : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ factors through the forgetful functor $f : Z(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$. We must have

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \hookrightarrow (\alpha|_{\mathcal{M}})^\vee(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) = f^\vee(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) \cap (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}) \hookrightarrow L_{\mathcal{M}} \cap (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Therefore, $\alpha^\vee(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}) \simeq L_{\mathcal{E}}$ as algebras.

By Thm. 3.3, the category $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}))_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}$ is monoidally isomorphic to a fusion subcategory of $\mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$. By checking the Frobenius-Perron dimensions, we obtain that the functor $\alpha^\vee : \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}))_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}$ is a monoidal equivalence. Therefore, there is a canonical braided equivalence $g : \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}))_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0$, induced by the universal property of the Drinfeld center, such that the middle square in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 & \hookrightarrow & (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}))_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 & \hookrightarrow & (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}))_{L_{\mathcal{E}}} & \xleftarrow{-\otimes L_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathcal{M} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E}) \\ \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq & \swarrow \alpha & \\ (-\boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}) \otimes L_{\mathcal{E}} & & g & & \alpha^\vee & & \\ \mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{M}} & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{C}} & \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{m \mapsto m \otimes^+ -} & \mathcal{F}\text{un}_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) & & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

It remains to prove that the left square in above diagram is commutative. Note that the commutativity of the triangle is obvious. Since the functor g is induced by the universal property of Drinfeld center, it is enough to prove that $\alpha^\vee \circ (m \mapsto m \otimes^-) \circ \iota_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq (- \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}) \boxtimes L_{\mathcal{E}}$, which further follows from the identities $\alpha^\vee(c \otimes^-) \simeq \alpha^\vee(- \otimes^+ c) \simeq \alpha^\vee(- \otimes^- c) \simeq \alpha^\vee(c \otimes^+ -) \simeq \alpha^\vee(\alpha(c \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{Z(\mathcal{E})})) \simeq (c \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{Z(\mathcal{E})}) \otimes L_{\mathcal{E}}$ for $c \in \mathcal{C}$. \square

As a special case, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.19. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a modular extension of \mathcal{E} . We have $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_0)} Z(\mathcal{E}) \simeq (\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$.

In summary, we have proved the first main result of this work.

Theorem 4.20. The set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ of equivalence classes of the modular extensions of \mathcal{E} , together with the binary multiplication $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-, -)}$ and the identity element $(Z(\mathcal{E}), \iota_0)$, defines a finite abelian group.

4.3 Modular extensions of $\text{Rep}(G)$ and group cohomologies

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a modular extension of $\text{Rep}(G)$. The algebra $A = \text{Fun}(G)$ is a condensable algebra in $\text{Rep}(G)$ and also a condensable algebra in \mathcal{M} . Moreover, A is a Lagrangian algebra in \mathcal{M} because $(\dim A)^2 = (\dim \text{Rep}(G))^2 = \dim \mathcal{M}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M} \simeq Z(\mathcal{M}_A)$, where \mathcal{M}_A is the category of right A -modules in \mathcal{M} . Moreover, the fusion category \mathcal{M}_A is pointed and equipped with a canonical faithful G -grading [DGNO1, DGNO2, GNN], which means that

$$\mathcal{M}_A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (\mathcal{M}_A)_g, \quad (\mathcal{M}_A)_g \simeq \text{Vec}, \quad \forall g \in G, \quad \text{and} \quad \otimes : (\mathcal{M}_A)_g \boxtimes (\mathcal{M}_A)_h \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathcal{M}_A)_{gh}.$$

Let us recall the construction of this G -grading. Note that the functor $F = - \otimes A : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$ is a central functor. Namely, there is a half-braiding $z_{m,x} : F(m) \otimes_A x \rightarrow x \otimes_A F(m)$ for $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Let x be a simple object in \mathcal{M}_A . For $e \in \text{Rep}(G)$, $F(e)$ is a multiple of the tensor unit in \mathcal{M}_A . Using the half-braiding, we obtain an isomorphism

$$F(e) \otimes_A x \xrightarrow{z_{e,x}} x \otimes_A F(e) = F(e) \otimes_A x, \quad (4.4)$$

which is natural and monoidal with respect to the variable $e \in \text{Rep}(G)$. Since x is simple, we have $\text{Aut}(F(e) \otimes_A x) \simeq \text{Aut}(F(e))$. Thus, we obtain an automorphism of $F(e)$ that is natural and monoidal with respect to the variable $e \in \text{Rep}(G)$. In other words, we obtain a monoidal automorphism $\phi(x)$ of the fiber functor $F \circ \iota_{\mathcal{M}} : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}$. Therefore, we obtain a map $\phi : O(\mathcal{M}_A) \rightarrow G$ defined by $x \mapsto \phi(x) \in \text{Aut}(F \circ \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) = G$. Moreover, ϕ respects the multiplications and units. Furthermore, the non-degeneracy of \mathcal{M} implies that ϕ is a group isomorphism [DGNO2]. This defines a faithful G -grading on \mathcal{M}_A .

Remark 4.21. The physical meaning of acquiring a G -grading on \mathcal{M}_A after condensing the algebra $A = \text{Fun}(G)$ in \mathcal{M} is explained in [LKW2, Fig. 1]. In fact, this is just a special case of a more general result, which says that the 2-category of non-degenerate braided fusion category containing $\text{Rep}(G)$ as a fusion subcategory is equivalent to the 2-category of G -crossed braided fusion categories via the functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$ [Kir, M3, DGNO2, GNN].

Since ϕ is an isomorphism, the associator of the monoidal category \mathcal{M}_A determines a unique $\omega_{(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})} \in H^3(G, U(1))$ such that $\mathcal{M}_A \simeq \text{Vec}_G^{\omega_{(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})}}$ as G -graded unitary fusion categories and $\mathcal{M} \simeq Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_{(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})}})$ as UBFC's.

Conversely, for any $\omega \in H^3(G, U(1))$, there is a canonical braided embedding $\iota_{\omega} : \text{Rep}(G) \hookrightarrow Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega})$ such that the composition $\text{Rep}(G) \hookrightarrow Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega}) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_G^{\omega}$ defines a symmetric fiber functor $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec} \subset \text{Vec}_G^{\omega}$ and the induced group isomorphism $\phi : G = O(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega}) \rightarrow G$ is the identity map, i.e. $\phi = \text{id}_G$ [Kir, M3, DGNO2, GNN].

Theorem 4.22. *The map $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) \mapsto \omega_{(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})}$ defines a group isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(G)) \simeq H^3(G, U(1))$. In particular, we have*

$$Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_1}) \boxtimes_{\text{Rep}(G)}^{(\iota_{\omega_1}, \iota_{\omega_2})} Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_2}) \simeq (Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_1 + \omega_2}), \iota_{\omega_1 + \omega_2}). \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. It is well-known that $Z(\text{Rep}(G)) = Z(\text{Vec}_G)$. When $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) = (Z(\text{Vec}_G), \iota_0)$, the forgetful functor $Z(\text{Vec}_G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_G$ determines a unique Lagrangian algebra A which is exactly the algebra $\text{Fun}(G)$ (recall Prop. 3.6). Therefore, we have $\omega_{(Z(\text{Rep}(G)), \iota_0)} = 1$. It remains to prove the identity (4.5). Notice that $Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_1}) \boxtimes Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_2}) \simeq Z(\text{Vec}_{G \times G}^{\omega_1 \times \omega_2})$ as braided fusion categories, and we have $L_{\text{Rep}(G)} = \text{Fun}(G \times G/G)$ in $Z(\text{Vec}_{G \times G}^{\omega_1 \times \omega_2})$ by Remark 3.13. Then the identity (4.5) follows as a special case of Prop. 3.6 for the finite group $G \times G$ and its diagonal subgroup G . \square

Remark 4.23. Thm. 4.22 matches precisely with the well-known group cohomology classification of bosonic SPT orders [CGLW]. Note that breaking the $G \times G$ -symmetry on $Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_1}) \boxtimes Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_2})$ to the G -symmetry on $Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_1}) \boxtimes_{\text{Rep}(G)}^{(\iota_{\omega_1}, \iota_{\omega_2})} Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_2})$ exactly corresponds to condensing the algebra $L_{\text{Rep}(G)} = \text{Fun}(G \times G/G)$.

Remark 4.24. Note that it is possible that $Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_1})$ is braided equivalent to $Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega_2})$ for $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$. For example, when $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ for a prime number p , $H^3(\mathbb{Z}_p, U(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_p$. But, the number of monoidally non-equivalent fusion categories $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\omega}$ is two for $p = 2$ and always three for $p \geq 3$ [Ni], which is less than the number of different 3-cocycles when $p \geq 5$. So the embedding $\text{Rep}(G) \hookrightarrow Z(\text{Vec}_G^{\omega})$ is very important physical data that allows us to distinguish elements in the group $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(G))$ as different bosonic SPT orders.

4.4 Modular extensions of $s\text{Vec}$ and Kitaev's 16-fold way

In this subsection, we discuss a well-known classification of the modular extensions of the SFC $s\text{Vec}$ ([Kit1, DGNO2]).

The symmetric fusion category $s\text{Vec}$ contains two non-isomorphic simple objects: the tensor unit $\mathbf{1}$ and u with $u \otimes u = \mathbf{1}$. The braiding $c_{u,u} \in \text{Aut}(u \otimes u) = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is $-1 \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. It can be viewed as the category $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2, z)$ of the representations of the group (\mathbb{Z}_2, z) , where $z \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the fermionic parity transformation, with the braiding $c_{u,u}$ defined above.

If \mathcal{M} is a modular extension of $s\text{Vec}$, it is necessary that $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{M}) = 4$. We start with modular extensions of $s\text{Vec}$ that are not pointed. Such modular extensions are called unitary Ising modular categories, each of which is a UMTC containing 3 equivalence classes

of simple objects: the tensor unit $\mathbf{1}$, an invertible object u and a non-invertible object x with the following fusion rules:

$$u \otimes u \simeq \mathbf{1}, \quad u \otimes x \simeq x \simeq x \otimes u, \quad x \otimes x \simeq \mathbf{1} \oplus u,$$

and $\text{FPdim}(u) = 1$, $\text{FPdim}(x) = \sqrt{2}$. The complete classification of such categories was obtained in [V] (see also [FGV]), and was rediscovered more recently in [Kit1, DGNO2]. We will describe this classification following the labeling convention in [DGNO2].

There are precisely two inequivalent monoidal structures (see for example [DGNO2, Prop. B.5]). Each one has 4 different braided structures [DGNO2, Cor. B.13], which are automatically non-degenerate [DGNO2, Cor. B.12]. Each of these 8 braided monoidal structures is determined uniquely by the braiding isomorphism $x \otimes x \rightarrow x \otimes x$ defined by $\zeta \text{id}_1 \oplus \zeta^{-3} \text{id}_u$ for $\zeta^8 = -1$ [DGNO2, Prop. B.14]. Each of the 8 has two spherical structures [DGNO2, Sec. B.2] labeled by $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Therefore, there are 16 Ising modular categories. Due to the relation $\dim(x) = \epsilon(\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2})$, where $\dim(x)$ is the quantum dimension of x , for each ζ , only one of $\epsilon = \pm 1$ makes the Ising modular categories unitary. We denote the 8 UMTC's by \mathcal{J}_ζ . The S-matrix of \mathcal{J}_ζ is given by (see [DGNO2, Cor. B.21])

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 1 & 1 & -\sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the twists in \mathcal{J}_ζ are given by (see [DGNO2, Prop. B.20])

$$\theta_1 = 1, \quad \theta_u = -1, \quad \theta_x = \epsilon \zeta^{-1},$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ if $\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2} = \sqrt{2}$ and $\epsilon = -1$ if $\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2} = -\sqrt{2}$. We would like to remark that θ_x for these 8 UMTC's are all distinct.

Each UMTC \mathcal{J}_ζ contains a symmetric fusion subcategory that is generated by $\mathbf{1}$ and u and is equivalent to $s\text{Vec}$. Therefore, each \mathcal{J}_ζ is a modular extension of $s\text{Vec}$.

If a modular extension \mathcal{M} of $s\text{Vec}$ is pointed, then the group $G = O(\mathcal{M})$ must be abelian and of order 4, and \mathcal{M} is equipped with a fully-faithful G -grading, i.e. $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{M}_g$ and $\mathcal{M}_g \simeq \text{Vec}$. Let x be the simple object in \mathcal{M}_g , we define $q(g) := c_{x,x} \in \text{Aut}(x \otimes x) = \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then q defines a non-degenerate quadratic form $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Such a pair (G, q) is called a metric group. The modular extension \mathcal{M} of $s\text{Vec}$ is uniquely (up to isomorphisms) determined by the data (G, q, u) , where (G, q) is a metric group of order 4 and u is the order 2 element in $O(s\text{Vec}) \subset G$ such that $q(u) = -1$. There are again 8 such modular extensions of $s\text{Vec}$ [Kit1][DGNO2, Example A.10, Lemma A.11]. More explicitly, these 8 modular extensions can be labeled by the set of 8-th roots of unity $\{\kappa \in \mathbb{C} \mid \kappa^8 = 1\}$. Let $n(\kappa) = 0$ if $\kappa^4 = 1$ and $n(\kappa) = 1$ if $\kappa^4 = -1$. Then the metric group (G_κ, q_κ) associated to κ is given by

$$G_\kappa := \{0, v, u, v + u \mid 2u = 0, 2v = n(\kappa)u\},$$

and the quadratic form q_κ is given by:

$$q_\kappa(u) = -1, \quad q_\kappa(v) = q_\kappa(u + v) = \kappa, \quad q_\kappa(0) = 1.$$

The twists in the associated modular tensor category $\mathcal{C}(G_\kappa, q_\kappa)$ are $\theta_g = q_\kappa(g)$ for $g \in G_\kappa$, and the S-matrix of $\mathcal{C}(G_\kappa, q_\kappa)$ is given by $S_{gh} = b(g, h)$, where $b(g, h) = \frac{q_\kappa(g+h)}{q_\kappa(g)q_\kappa(h)}$ for $g, h \in G_\kappa$. By Example 3.20, these 8 modular tensor categories $\mathcal{C}(G_\kappa, q)$ are all unitary.

In summary, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.25 ([Kit1, DGNO2]). *There are 16 different modular extensions of $s\text{Vec}$. They are given by 8 unitary Ising braided modular tensor categories \mathcal{J}_ζ for $\zeta^8 = -1$ and 8 unitary modular tensor categories $\mathcal{C}(G_\kappa, q)$ associated to the metric group (G_κ, q) for $\kappa^8 = 1$.*

These 16 different modular extensions of $s\text{Vec}$ are all different as non-degenerate braided fusion categories. Namely, the set of the modular extensions of $s\text{Vec}$ coincides with that of the non-degenerate extensions of $s\text{Vec}$. Moreover, these 16 non-degenerate extensions belong to 16 different Witt classes [DGNO2, DMNO]. Note that the UMTC's $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{s\text{Vec}}^{(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})} \mathcal{N}$ are Witt equivalent. Let \mathcal{W} be the Witt group. By taking Witt equivalence classes, we obtain an injective group homomorphism $[-] : \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(s\text{Vec}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W}$. It is well-known that the image is the subgroup \mathbb{Z}_{16} of \mathcal{W} [DGNO2, DMNO, DNO]. We obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.26. *Taking Witt equivalence classes $[-] : \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(s\text{Vec}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{16}$ defines a group isomorphism.*

Another convenient way to characterize the group $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(s\text{Vec})$, especially for physicists, is to use the so-called multiplicative central charge. Recall that the Gauss sums of a pre-modular category \mathcal{C} are defined by

$$\tau^\pm(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} \theta_x^{\pm 1} \dim(x)^2,$$

where $\theta_x \in \text{Aut}(x) = \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the twist isomorphism. The so-called *multiplicative central charge* $\xi(\mathcal{C})$ is defined by $\xi(\mathcal{C}) := \tau^+(\mathcal{C}) / \sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{C})}$. It is well known that $\xi(\mathcal{C})$ is a root of unity. For modular tensor categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , it is known that

$$\xi(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}) = \xi(\mathcal{C})\xi(\mathcal{D}), \quad \xi(\bar{\mathcal{C}}) \simeq \xi(\mathcal{C})^{-1},$$

and $\xi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/8\mathbb{Z}$ is a group homomorphism [DMNO]. The multiplicative central charge defines a group isomorphism $\xi : \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(s\text{Vec}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}_{16}$. The additive central charge $c = c(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathbb{Q}/8\mathbb{Z}$ is related to $\xi(\mathcal{C})$ by $\xi(\mathcal{C}) = e^{2\pi ic/8}$. Among all 16 modular extensions of $s\text{Vec}$, the famous UMTC of the modules over the Ising Virasoro vertex operator algebra with additive central charge $c = 1/2$ is mapped to $e^{2\pi i/16}$. It describes a $p+ip$ superconductor state.

Remark 4.27. The relation between the modular extensions of $s\text{Vec}$ and the classification of 2+1D topological superconductor is well known from the very beginning as Kitaev's 16 fold way [Kit1]. The Witt classes of these 16 modular extensions form the \mathbb{Z}_{16} group is well-known [DMNO, DNO]. Note also that 15 of the 16 are anisotropic in the sense that they can not be further condensed [DMNO], thus can all be obtained by first stacking any one of them repeatedly then making the maximal condensations [DMNO] (see also a physical discussion of this fact in a recent paper [NHKSB]). But realizing the group \mathbb{Z}_{16} by the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(s\text{Vec})$, together with the multiplication $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(-,-)}$ and the identity element $(Z(s\text{Vec}), t_0)$, is a new result.

homomorphism

5 Modular extensions of $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s

In this section, we study the relation between the sets of modular extensions of different $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s. We assume that all sets of modular extensions appeared in this section are not empty.

5.1 The set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ as a $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -torsor

In the simplest case, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}$ and \mathcal{D} is a UMTC. Then \mathcal{C} is a $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$. In this case, the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ of modular extension of \mathcal{C} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ that has modular extensions. In general, there is no natural group structure on the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$. But there is a natural $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -action on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$:

$$\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$$

by Prop. 4.14 and Remark. 4.19.

Lemma 5.1. *There is a map $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(-,-)} : \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ defined by*

$$((\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}}), (\mathcal{N}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})) \mapsto \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} \mathcal{N} := \left((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0, - \otimes L_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{N}})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 \right),$$

and we have $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}})} \bar{\mathcal{M}} = (Z(\mathcal{E}), \iota_0)$ (recall Lemma 4.16).

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ and $(\mathcal{N}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})$ be two modular extensions of a $\text{UMTC}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} . By Lemma 4.15, the functor $- \otimes L_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{N}})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0$ is braided monoidal and fully faithful. Clearly, \mathcal{E} is a fusion subcategory of $\mathcal{E}'|_{(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{N}})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0}$. By Eq. (3.1), we obtain

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E}) \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E}'|_{(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{N}})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0}) = \frac{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{M}) \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{N})}{\text{FPdim}(L_{\mathcal{E}})^2} = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E})^2.$$

As a consequence, we must have $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'|_{(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{N}})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0}$, i.e. $((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{N}})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0, - \otimes L_{\mathcal{E}}) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$. \square

Remark 5.2. Note that there is an obvious isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$ defined by $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) \mapsto (\bar{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}})$.

Lemma 5.3. *We have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})} \times \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}} & \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\bar{\mathcal{C}}) \\ \downarrow \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(-,-)} \times \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})} & & \downarrow \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}} & \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E}). \end{array} \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}}), (\mathcal{N}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}}) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ and $(\mathcal{P}, \iota_{\mathcal{P}}) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$. Then we have

$$(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}})} \bar{\mathcal{N}}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{N}}}, \iota_{\mathcal{P}})} \mathcal{P} = \left(((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{N}})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 \boxtimes \mathcal{P})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0, \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{N}})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 \boxtimes \mathcal{P} \right)_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\bar{\mathcal{N}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{P}}})} (\bar{\mathcal{N}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\bar{\mathcal{N}}}, \iota_{\mathcal{P}})} \mathcal{P}) = \left((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes (\bar{\mathcal{N}} \boxtimes \mathcal{P})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0)_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0, \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes (\bar{\mathcal{N}} \boxtimes \mathcal{P})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0)_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0 \right). \quad (5.3)$$

First, notice that there are condensable algebras A_1 and A_2 in $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{N}} \boxtimes \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$\left((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{N}})_{L_e}^0 \boxtimes \mathcal{P} \right)_{L_\mathcal{E}}^0 \simeq (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{N}} \boxtimes \mathcal{P})_{A_1}^0, \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes (\overline{\mathcal{N}} \boxtimes \mathcal{P})_{L_\mathcal{E}}^0 \right)_{L_e}^0 \simeq (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{N}} \boxtimes \mathcal{P})_{A_2}^0.$$

The algebra A_1 can be uniquely (up to isomorphisms) determined by the image of the tensor unit under the following composed forgetful functors:

$$\left((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{N}})_{L_e} \boxtimes \mathcal{P} \right)_{L_\mathcal{E}} \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{N}})_{L_e} \boxtimes \mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{N}} \boxtimes \mathcal{P}.$$

Instead of A_1 , let us first consider how to determine $A_1 \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes \mathcal{E})$. Restricting to the fusion subcategory $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{N}} \boxtimes \mathcal{P}$, the right adjoint functors of above two forgetful functors give the left and the bottom functors, respectively, in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_e \boxtimes \otimes} & \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}} \\ \otimes \text{id}_\mathcal{E} \downarrow & & \downarrow \otimes \\ \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathcal{C}. \end{array} \quad (5.4)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \cap (\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}) &\simeq (\otimes \circ (\otimes \boxtimes \text{id}_\mathcal{E}))^\vee(\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E}) \simeq \oplus_{i \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{E})} \otimes^\vee(i) \boxtimes i^* \\ &\simeq \oplus_{i \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{E})} (L_e \otimes (\mathbf{1}_e \boxtimes i)) \boxtimes i^* \simeq (L_e \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_e \boxtimes L_\mathcal{E}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where, in the third “ \simeq ”, we have used the identity $\otimes^\vee(i) = L_e \otimes (\mathbf{1}_e \boxtimes i)$ (see for example [KR, Eq. (2.41)]). Since $\text{FPdim}(A) = \text{FPdim}(L_e)\text{FPdim}(L_\mathcal{E})$, we must have

$$A_1 = (\otimes \circ (\otimes \boxtimes \text{id}_\mathcal{E}))^\vee(\mathbf{1}_e) = (L_e \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E}) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_e \boxtimes L_\mathcal{E}). \quad (5.6)$$

Using similar arguments, we can show that

$$A_2 = (\otimes \circ (\text{id}_e \boxtimes \otimes))^\vee(\mathbf{1}_e) = (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E} \boxtimes L_\mathcal{E}) \otimes (L_e \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{E}).$$

By the commutativity of the diagram (5.4), we must have $A_1 \simeq A_2$ as algebras. It remains to prove that two embeddings of \mathcal{E} in Eq. (5.2) and Eq. (5.3) are isomorphic if we identify their codomains via $A_1 \simeq A_2$. Note that these two embeddings can be identified with the functors $(\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}} \boxtimes -) \otimes A_1$ and $(- \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{P}) \otimes A_2$, respectively. We have, for $x \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$(\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}} \boxtimes x) \otimes A_1 \simeq (\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{M} \boxtimes x \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{P}) \otimes A_1 \simeq (x \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{N}) \otimes A_1 \simeq (x \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{N}) \otimes A_2.$$

Then it is clear that the functors $(\mathbf{1}_\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}} \boxtimes -) \otimes A_1$ and $(- \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_\mathcal{P}) \otimes A_2$ are isomorphic. \square

We are ready to state and prove the second main result of this work.

Theorem 5.4. *The $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -action on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ is free and transitive. In other words, the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ is an $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -torsor.*

Proof. That the action is free follows from the identities,

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{K}})} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\mathcal{K}})} \mathcal{K}) \simeq (\overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})} \mathcal{M}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{M}}}, \iota_{\mathcal{K}})} \mathcal{K} \simeq Z(\mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_0, \iota_{\mathcal{K}})} \mathcal{K} \simeq \mathcal{K},$$

where the first \simeq follows from the commutativity of the diagram (5.1) and the second \simeq follows from Eq. (4.2) for $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$, $(\mathcal{K}, \iota_{\mathcal{K}}) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$.

To prove the transitivity of the $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -action, we use a fundamental result [FFRS, Thm. 5.20], which says that the categories of local modules over two algebras in a MTC are canonically braided equivalent if these two algebras are the left and the right center of the same special symmetric Frobenius algebra, respectively. More explicitly, for any $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}}), (\mathcal{N}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}}) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$, we define $(\mathcal{K}, \iota_{\mathcal{K}}) := \overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$. It is enough to show that $\mathcal{N} \simeq \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \iota_{\mathcal{K}})} \mathcal{K}$. By Eq. (4.2) and Lemma 4.18, it is enough to show that

$$(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}})} \overline{\mathcal{M}}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} \mathcal{N} \simeq \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{N}}})} (\overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} \mathcal{N}). \quad (5.7)$$

More explicitly, using similar arguments used in proving Eq. (5.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}})} \overline{\mathcal{M}}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} \mathcal{N} &= \left((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{A_1}^0, f_1 : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} \boxtimes -) \otimes A_1} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{A_1}^0 \right), \\ \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(\iota_{\mathcal{M}}, \overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \iota_{\mathcal{N}}})} (\overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{(\overline{\iota_{\mathcal{M}}}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})} \mathcal{N}) &= \left((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{A_2}^0, f_2 : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{(- \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{N}}) \otimes A_2} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{A_2}^0 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_1 = (L_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{N}}) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes L_{\mathcal{E}}), \quad A_2 = (L_{\mathcal{E}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{N}}) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes L_{\mathcal{C}})$$

are condensable algebras in $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{N}$. It is a direct check that the condensable algebras A_1 and A_2 are the right center and the left center ([OZ]) of the algebra $A = (L_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{N}}) \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes L_{\mathcal{E}})$, respectively. The algebra A is connected and separable but not commutative, and is automatically a symmetric special Frobenius algebra in the sense of [FFRS] (see [Ko, Remark 2.8]). By [FFRS, Thm 5.20], there is a canonical composed braided equivalence

$$h : (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{A_1}^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{A_1 A}^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{A_1}^0,$$

where $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{A_1 A}^0$ is a well-defined full subcategory of the category of A - A -bimodules in $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})$ (see [FFRS, Def. 5.6] for the precise definition). Moreover, by Eq. (5.38), (5.46) in [FFRS] and the definition of the functor G in the proof of Theorem 5.20 in [FFRS], the functor h maps as follows

$$(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} \boxtimes c) \otimes A_1 \mapsto (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} \boxtimes c) \otimes A \simeq (c \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{N}}) \otimes A \mapsto (c \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} \boxtimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{N}}) \otimes A_2,$$

for $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Then it is clear that $f_2 \simeq h \circ f_1$. This completes the proof of the identity (5.7). \square

Remark 5.5. Physically, the result above means that the difference of two symmetry enriched topological (SET) orders over a UMT \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{E} \mathcal{C} can be measured by SET orders over \mathcal{E} , which are not unique in general (see Remark 2.3).

5.2 Symmetry breaking and group homomorphisms

Let \mathcal{C} be a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$, \mathcal{M} a modular extension of \mathcal{C} and A a condensable algebra in \mathcal{E} . The UMTC \mathcal{M}_A^0 contains both categories \mathcal{C}_A and \mathcal{E}_A as fusion subcategories. It is clear that $\mathcal{C}_A \subset \mathcal{E}'_A|_{\mathcal{M}_A^0}$. Moreover, we have $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E}_A) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E})/\text{FPdim}A$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{M}_A^0) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_A)\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E}_A)$. Therefore, we must have $\mathcal{C}_A = \mathcal{E}'_A|_{\mathcal{M}_A^0}$. Namely, \mathcal{C}_A is a UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}_A}$ and $(\mathcal{M}_A^0, \mathcal{C}_A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_A^0)$ is a modular extension of \mathcal{C}_A . Therefore, the assignment

$$(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}) \mapsto (\mathcal{M}_A^0, \mathcal{C}_A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_A^0)$$

defines a map $f_A : \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}_A)$ that describes a symmetry-breaking process.

Remark 5.6. When $\mathcal{E} = \text{Rep}(G)$ and $A = \text{Fun}(G)$, we have $\mathcal{E}_A \simeq \text{Vec}$ and $\mathcal{C}_A = \mathcal{M}_A^0$ is a UMTC.

Proposition 5.7. *When $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E}$, the map $f_A : \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E}_A)$ is a group homomorphism.*

Proof. We first prove that f_A preserves the identity elements. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{-\otimes A} & Z(\mathcal{E})_A & \xleftarrow{e_2} & Z(\mathcal{E})_A^0 \\ \uparrow \text{fr} & & \uparrow e & \nearrow e_1 & \downarrow h \\ \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{-\otimes A} & \mathcal{E}_A & \xleftarrow{\iota_0} & Z(\mathcal{E}_A) \\ & & & \xleftarrow{fr} & \end{array}$$

where e, e_1, e_2 are the canonical embeddings, and the functor g is the restriction of the forgetful functor $fr : Z(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ on $Z(\mathcal{E})_A$ because $Z(\mathcal{E})_A$ is naturally a subcategory of $Z(\mathcal{E})$. It is clear that the two overlapped left squares are commutative.

We claim that the functor $g \circ e_2$ is a central functor. Indeed, if $(M, z_{M,-}) \in Z(\mathcal{E})$ is equipped with a local A -module structure, the half-braiding $z_{M,-}$ descend to a half braiding $\bar{z}_{M,-}$ on $Z(\mathcal{E})_A$, which further descends to a half-braiding $\tilde{z}_{M,-}$ for the object $g \circ e_2(M, z_{M,-}) = M$ in \mathcal{E}_A . This half-braiding of $M \in \mathcal{E}_A$ satisfies all the required properties of a central functor because e_2 is a central functor.

Therefore, there is a unique braided functor $h : Z(\mathcal{E})_A^0 \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{E}_A)$ such that $g \circ e_2 = fr \circ h$. Since both $Z(\mathcal{E})_A^0$ and $Z(\mathcal{E}_A)$ are non-degenerate and have the same Frobenius-Perron dimensions, h must be a braided equivalence. We claim that $h \circ e_1 = \iota_0$. This follows immediately from $fr \circ h \circ e_1 = g \circ e_2 \circ e_1 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_A}$ and the fact that such $h \circ e_1$ must be the unique lift of the central functor $\text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_A}$. We have proved that $(Z(\mathcal{E})_A^0, e_1) \simeq (Z(\mathcal{E}_A), \iota_0)$ as modular extensions of \mathcal{E}_A . Therefore, f_A preserves the identity elements.

It remains to prove that f_A respects the multiplications. This amounts to show that, for any two modular extensions of \mathcal{E} : $(\mathcal{M}, \iota_{\mathcal{M}})$ and $(\mathcal{N}, \iota_{\mathcal{N}})$, there is a braided equivalence such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} ((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0)_A^0 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (\mathcal{M}_A^0 \boxtimes \mathcal{N}_A^0)_{L_{\mathcal{E}_A}}^0 \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & \mathcal{E}_A & \end{array} \quad (5.8)$$

is commutative. Let $R_1, R_2 : \mathcal{E}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}$ be the right adjoint functors of the two central monoidal functors $\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_A$, respectively, in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathcal{E} \\ (-\otimes A) \boxtimes (-\otimes A) \downarrow & & \downarrow -\otimes A \\ \mathcal{E}_A \boxtimes \mathcal{E}_A & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathcal{E}_A. \end{array}$$

It is commutative because $-\otimes A$ is monoidal. Therefore, $R_1 \simeq R_2$ and we have

$$((\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{L_{\mathcal{E}}}^0)_A^0 \simeq (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{R_1(1_{\mathcal{E}_A})} \simeq (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N})_{R_2(1_{\mathcal{E}_A})} \simeq (\mathcal{M}_A^0 \boxtimes \mathcal{N}_A^0)_{L_{\mathcal{E}_A}}^0.$$

The commutativity of the diagram (5.8) is tautological. \square

Example 5.8. Let H be a subgroup of a finite group G . Let $\mathcal{E} = \text{Rep}(G)$ and $A = \text{Fun}(G/H)$. We have $\mathcal{E}_A = \text{Rep}(H)$. Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(G)) = H^3(G, U(1))$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\text{Rep}(H)) = H^3(H, U(1))$. The map $f_A : H^3(G, U(1)) \rightarrow H^3(H, U(1))$ in this case is just $\omega \mapsto \omega|_H$, which is clearly a group homomorphism.

Proposition 5.9. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}^{(-,-)}} & \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ f_A \times f_A \downarrow & & \downarrow f_A \\ \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}_A) \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E}_A) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}_A}^{(-,-)}} & \mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C}_A) \end{array}$$

Proof. It follows from the fact that the functor $-\otimes A : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$ is monoidal, and the fact that the composed functor $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{-\otimes A} \mathcal{C}_A$ is central. \square

5.3 Relation to Witt groups

In this subsection, we discuss the relation to Witt groups. We drop the assumption on the unitarity and consider the non-degenerate extensions of \mathcal{E} . The unitary cases are similar. But note that the unitary Witt group is a proper subgroup of the usual Witt group (see [DMNO, Remark 5.25]).

Definition 5.10. A fusion category \mathcal{A} over \mathcal{E} is a fusion category equipped with a braided full embedding $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 5.11. For a fusion category \mathcal{A} over \mathcal{E} , the $/\mathcal{E}$ -center $Z_{/\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} is defined by the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{E} in $Z(\mathcal{A})$, i.e. $Z_{/\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{E}'|_{Z(\mathcal{A})}$.

Definition 5.12. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be two NBFC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s. \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are called *Witt equivalent* if there exist fusion categories \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} over \mathcal{E} and a braided $/\mathcal{E}$ -equivalence:

$$\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} Z_{/\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{D} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} Z_{/\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{B}).$$

We denote the Witt class of \mathcal{C} by $[\mathcal{C}]_{/\mathcal{E}}$. If $\mathcal{E} = \text{Vec}$, we simplify $[\mathcal{C}]_{/\text{Vec}}$ to $[\mathcal{C}]$. We denote the set of Witt classes of NBFC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ by $\mathcal{W}_{/\mathcal{E}}$. We simplify the notation $\mathcal{W}_{/\text{Vec}}$ to \mathcal{W} .

Theorem 5.13. [DNO, Lem. 5.2] *The set $\mathcal{W}_{/\mathcal{E}}$ is an abelian group with the multiplication given by $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}}$ and the identity element given by $[\mathcal{E}]_{/\mathcal{E}}$.*

Lemma 5.14. [DNO, Prop. 5.13] *The assignment $[\mathcal{C}] \mapsto [\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}]_{/\mathcal{E}}$ is a well-defined group homomorphism from \mathcal{W} to $\mathcal{W}_{/\mathcal{E}}$.*

Proof. Prop. 5.13 in [DNO] was stated and proved only in the case $\mathcal{E} = s\text{Vec}$. But the same proof works for all \mathcal{E} . For convenience of the readers, we include the proof here. It is enough to check that the map preserves the identity element and preserves the multiplication. If $\mathcal{C} = Z(\mathcal{A})$ for some fusion category \mathcal{A} , then $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes Z(\mathcal{E})}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} = Z_{/\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{E})$, i.e. $[\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}]_{/\mathcal{E}} = [\mathcal{E}]_{/\mathcal{E}}$. The identity $[(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} (\mathcal{D} \boxtimes \mathcal{E})]_{/\mathcal{E}} = [\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}]_{/\mathcal{E}}$ implies that the map preserves the multiplication. \square

We denote the group homomorphism by $[- \boxtimes \mathcal{E}]_{/\mathcal{E}}$. To relate modular extensions to Witt groups, we first generalize a result in [DNO].

Proposition 5.15. *The assignment $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow [\mathcal{M}]$ defines a surjective group homomorphism from $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ to the kernel of the canonical group homomorphism $[- \boxtimes \mathcal{E}]_{/\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{/\mathcal{E}}$.*

Proof. If \mathcal{M} is a modular extension of \mathcal{E} , we have a braided equivalence $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{M} \simeq Z(\mathcal{M})$. Note that the canonical full embedding $\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{1}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow Z(\mathcal{M})$ is braided monoidal. Therefore, \mathcal{M} is a fusion category over \mathcal{E} . Since $\mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{E}$, we obtain $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \simeq Z_{/\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M})$. Therefore, $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}]$ is in the kernel of $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{/\mathcal{E}}$, and so is $[\mathcal{M}]$. The map $[-]$ is clearly a group homomorphism.

To prove the surjectivity, consider a Witt class $[\mathcal{M}]$ in the kernel of $[- \boxtimes \mathcal{E}]_{/\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{/\mathcal{E}}$. By definition, there is a fusion category \mathcal{A} over \mathcal{E} such that there is a braided $/\mathcal{E}$ -equivalence: $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} \simeq Z_{/\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{A})$. Note that \mathcal{M} is a fusion subcategory of $Z_{/\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{A})$ and, therefore, a fusion subcategory of $Z(\mathcal{A})$. Since both \mathcal{M} and $Z(\mathcal{A})$ are non-degenerate, we must have $Z(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{B} is non-degenerate. Therefore, we must have a full embedding $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}''|_{Z(\mathcal{A})} = Z_{/\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{A})'|_{Z(\mathcal{A})} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}'|_{Z(\mathcal{A})} \simeq \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{M}' \boxtimes \mathcal{E}'|_{\mathcal{B}} \simeq (\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{E})'|_{Z(\mathcal{A})} \simeq \mathcal{E}''|_{Z(\mathcal{A})} \simeq \mathcal{E}$. In other words, \mathcal{B} is a modular extension of \mathcal{E} , so is $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$. Notice that $[\mathcal{M}] = [\overline{\mathcal{B}}]$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ maps onto the kernel of $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{/\mathcal{E}}$. \square

Corollary 5.16. *The canonical group homomorphism $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{/\text{Rep}(G)}$, defined by $[\mathcal{C}] \mapsto [\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{Rep}(G)]_{/\text{Rep}(G)}$, is injective.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 5.15 and Thm. 4.22. \square

Theorem 5.17 ([DGNO2, DNO]). *The map from the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(s\text{Vec})$ to the kernel of the canonical group homomorphism $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{/s\text{Vec}}$, defined by $\mathcal{C} \mapsto [\mathcal{C}]$, is bijective.*

Proof. By Prop 5.15, it is enough to prove the injectivity, which was proved in [DGNO2, DMNO]. \square

6 Conclusions and Outlooks

In this work, we prove that the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ of (the equivalence classes of) modular extensions of a symmetric fusion category \mathcal{E} is a finite abelian group, and the set $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{C})$ of modular extensions of an UMTC $_{/\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{C} is a $\mathcal{M}_{\text{ext}}(\mathcal{E})$ -torsor. We explain in details how these groups

of modular extensions recover the well-known physical results of the group-cohomology classification of bosonic SPT orders and Kitaev's 16 fold way. We also explain briefly the behavior of these groups under symmetry-breaking processes. We hope to convince readers that there is a very rich physical and mathematical theory behind the scene, and we have only scratched its surface. There are many important problems left to be studied. We list a few open problems that are worth studying.

1. Explicitly identify the group $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{R}ep(G, z))$. Physically, we believe that this group should give the classification of SET orders over $\mathcal{R}ep(G, z)$ up to E_8 quantum Hall states. The subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{ext}(\mathcal{R}ep(G, z))$ consisting of modular extensions with central charge $c = 0 \pmod{8}$ classifies all the fermionic SPT orders with symmetry (G, z) .
2. For a generic $UMTC_{/\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}$, it is possible that there is no modular extension of \mathcal{C} . Examples of such $UMTC_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s are constructed by Drinfeld for certain integral $UMTC_{/\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}$ with $FPdim(\mathcal{C}) = 8$ and $FPdim(\mathcal{E}) = 4$ [D]. It is an important open problem to characterize those $UMTC_{/\mathcal{E}}$'s that admit modular extensions. Its solutions should also deepen our understanding of its physical meaning.
3. If the modular extension of a given $UMTC_{/\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}$ does not exist, it means that the symmetry \mathcal{E} is anomalous (not on-site and not gaugable). We believe that this phenomenon is detectable by certain global structures that appear when we integrate the local data \mathcal{C} (defined on an open 2-disk) over all closed 2d surfaces via factorization homology [BBJ]. See [LKW2] for more speculations on this issue.
4. If a $UMTC_{/\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{C}$ does not have any (minimal) modular extension (see Remark 2.1). We can always embed \mathcal{C} into some modular tensor categories, such as $Z(\mathcal{C})$, with higher Frobenius-Perron dimensions. What is the minimal Frobenius-Perron dimension of such non-minimal modular extensions? What are the physical meanings of these non-minimal modular extensions of \mathcal{C} ? Do they form any interesting mathematical structures for each fixed Frobenius-Perron dimension?

References

- [BBCW] M. Barkeshli, P. Bonderson, M. Cheng, Z. Wang, *Symmetry, defects, and gauging of topological phases*, [arXiv:1410.4540]
- [BBJ] D. Ben-Zvi, A. Brochier, D. Jordan, *Integrating quantum groups over surfaces: quantum character varieties and topological field theory*, [arXiv:1501.04652]
- [BEK] J. Bockenhauer, D. E. Evans, Y. Kawahigashi, *On α -induction, chiral projectors and modular invariants for subfactors*, Commun. Math. Phys. 208 (1999) 429-487 [arXiv:math/9904109]
- [BNRW] P. Bruillard, S.-H. Ng, E.C. Rowell, Z. Wang, *Rank-finiteness for modular categories*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (2016), no. 3, 857-881, [arXiv:1310.7050]
- [CGLW] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, X.-G. Wen, *Symmetry protected topological orders and the group cohomology of their symmetry group*, Phys. Rev. B 87, 155114 (2013) [arXiv:1106.4772]
- [CGW] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, X.-G. Wen, *Local unitary transformation, long-range quantum entanglement, wave function renormalization, and topological order*, Phys. Rev. B 82, 155138 (2010) [arXiv:1004.3835]

- [Da] A. Davydov, Modular invariants for group-theoretic modular data I, *Journal of Algebra* 323 (2010), 1321-1348. [arXiv:0908.1044]
- [De] P. Deligne, *Catégories tensorielles*, *Mosc. Math. J.* 2 (2002), no. 2, 227-248.
- [D] V. G. Drinfeld, unpublished note.
- [DGNO1] V. G. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *Group-Theoretical properties of nilpotent modular categories*, [arXiv:0704.0195]
- [DGNO2] V. G. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *On braided fusion categories, I*, *Selecta Mathematica* 16 (2010) 1-119 [arXiv:0906.0620]
- [DMNO] A. Davydov, M. Müger, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *The Witt group of non-degenerate braided fusion categories*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 677 (2013), 135-177 [arXiv:1009.2117]
- [DNO] A. Davydov, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *On the structure of the Witt group of braided fusion categories*, *Sel. Math. New Ser.* (2013) 19:237-269 [arXiv:1109.5558]
- [EGNO] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *Tensor categories*, *Mathematical Surveys and monographs*, vol. 205 (2015)
- [ENO1] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *On fusion categories*, *Annals of Mathematics* 162 (2005), 581-642 [arXiv:math/0203060]
- [ENO2] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *Weakly group-theoretical and solvable fusion categories*, *Adv. Math.* 226 (2010), no. 1, 176-205, [arXiv:0809.3031].
- [ENO3] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *Fusion categories and homotopy theory*, *Quantum Topology* 1 (2010) 209-273 [arXiv:0909.3140].
- [EO] P.I. Etingof, V. Ostrik, *Finite tensor categories*, *Mosc. Math. J.* 4 (2004), no. 3, 627-654, 782-783 [arXiv:math/0301027]
- [FFRS] J. Fröhlich, J. Fuchs, I. Runkel, C. Schweigert, *Correspondences of ribbon categories*, *Adv. Math.* 199 (2006), no. 1, 192-329. [arXiv:math/0309465]
- [FGV] J. Fuchs, A. Ganchev, P. Vecsernyés, *Towards a classification of rational Hopf algebras*, [arXiv:hep-th/9402153]
- [FM] D. S. Freed and G. W. Moore *Twisted equivariant matter*, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 14 (2013), no. 8, 1927-2023 [arXiv:1208.5055]
- [G] C. Galindo, *On braided and ribbon unitary fusion categories*, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 57 (2014), no. 3, 506-510, [arXiv:1209.2022]
- [GHR] C. Galindo, S.-M. Hong, E. Rowell, *Generalized and quasi-localizations of braided group representations*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2013, no. 3, 693-731, [arXiv:1105.5048]
- [GNN] S. Gelaki, D. Naidu, D. Nikshych, *Centers of graded fusion categories*, *Algebra Number Theory* 3 (2009), no. 8, 959-990 [arXiv:0905.3117]
- [GW] Z.-C. Gu, X.-G. Wen, *Tensor-Entanglement-Filtering Renormalization Approach and Symmetry Protected Topological Order*, *Phys. Rev. B* 80, 155131 (2009) [arXiv:0903.1069]
- [Kir] A. Kirillov Jr. *Modular categories and orbifold models II*, [arXiv:math/0110221]
- [KO] A. Kirillov Jr., V. Ostrik, *On q -analog of McKay correspondence and ADE classification of \widehat{sl}_2 conformal field theories*, *Adv. Math.* 171 (2002), no. 2, 183-227. [arXiv:math/0101219]
- [Kit1] A.Y. Kitaev, *Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond*, *Annals of Physics*, 321 (2006) 2-111 [arXiv:cond-mat/0506438]

- [Kit2] A.Y. Kitaev, *Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors*, Proc. L.D.Landau Memorial Conf. Advances in Theor. Physics, June 22-26, 2008, Chernogolovka, Russia, [arXiv:0901.2686]
- [Ko] L. Kong, *Anyon condensation and tensor categories*, Nuclear Physics B 886 (2014) 436-482, [arXiv:1307.8244]
- [KR] L. Kong, I. Runkel, *Cardy Algebras and Sewing Constraints, I*, Commun. Math. Phys. 292, 871-912 (2009), [arXiv:0807.3356]
- [KW] L. Kong, X.-G. Wen, *Braided fusion categories, gravitational anomalies, and the mathematical framework for topological orders in any dimensions*, [arXiv:1405.5858]
- [KWZ] L. Kong, X.-G. Wen, H. Zheng, *Boundary-bulk relation for topological orders as the functor mapping higher categories to their centers*, [arXiv:1502.01690]
- [KZ] L. Kong, H. Zheng, *The center functor is fully faithful*, [arXiv:1507.00503]
- [LKW1] T. Lan, L. Kong, and X.-G. Wen, *A theory of 2+1D fermionic topological orders and fermionic/bosonic topological orders with symmetries* (2015), [arXiv:1507.04673].
- [LKW2] T. Lan, L. Kong, X.-G. Wen, *Classification of 2+1D topological orders and SPT orders for bosonic and fermionic systems with on-site symmetries*, [arXiv:1602.05946].
- [L] M. Levin, *Protected edge modes without symmetry*, Phys. Rev. X, 3, (2013) 021009 [arXiv:1301.7355]
- [LG] M. Levin, Z.-C. Gu, *Braiding statistics approach to symmetry-protected topological phases*, Phys. Rev. B, 86, (2012), 115109 [arXiv:1202.3120]
- [M1] M. Müger, *Galois theory for braided tensor categories and the modular closure*, Adv. Math. 150 (2000), no. 2, 151-201 [arXiv:math/9812040]
- [M2] M. Müger, *On the Structure of Modular Categories*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 87 (2003) 291-308 [arXiv:math/0201017]
- [M3] M. Müger, *Galois extensions of braided tensor categories and braided crossed G-categories*, J. Algebra 277 (2004), no. 1, 256-281 [arXiv:math/0209093]
- [MS] G. Moore, N. Seiberg, *Classical and quantum conformal field theory*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 123 (1989), 177-254
- [Ni] D. Nikshych, private communication.
- [NHKSB] T. Neupert, H. He, C.v. Keyserlingk, G. Sierra, B.A. Bernevig, *Boson Condensation in Topologically Ordered Quantum Liquids*, [arXiv:1601.01320]
- [OZ] F. Van Oystaeyen, Y.H. Zhang, *The Brauer group of a braided monoidal category*, J. Algebra 202 (1998) 96-128.
- [SRFL] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, A.W.W. Ludwig, *Classification of topological insulators and superconductors in three spatial dimensions*, Phys. Rev. B 78 (2008), 195125 [arXiv:0803.2786]
- [Ta] D. Tambara, *A duality for modules over monoidal categories of representations of semisimple Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra 241 (2001), 515-547
- [Tu] V.G. Turaev, *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds*, de Gruyter, New York, 1994
- [V] P. Vecsernys *On the Quantum Symmetry of the Chiral Ising Model*, Nucl. Phys. B415 (1994) 557-588, [arXiv:hep-th/9306118]
- [W1] X.-G. Wen, *Topological Orders in Rigid States*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 4, (1990), 239.

Phys. Rev. B 93, 115103 (2016)

- [W2] X.-G. Wen, *Topological Orders and Edge Excitations in FQH States*, Advances in Physics, 44 (1995), 405 [arXiv:cond-mat/9506066]
- [W3] X.-G. Wen, *Classifying gauge anomalies through SPT orders and ~~and~~ classifying gravitational anomalies through topological orders*, Phys. Rev. D 88, (2015), 045013 [arXiv:1303.1803]
- [Y] S. Yamagami, *Polygonal presentations of semisimple tensor categories*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 54, (2002), 61-88
- [ZW] B. Zeng, X.-G. Wen, *Gapped quantum liquids and topological order, stochastic local transformations and emergence of unitarity*, Phys. Rev. B 91, (2015), 125121 [arXiv:1406.5090]