

MIT Open Access Articles

Changes in V1 orientation tuning when blocking astrocytic glutamate transporters: models for extra- and intrasynaptic mechanisms

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. **Please share** how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Mergenthaler, Konstantin et al. "Changes in V1 Orientation Tuning When Blocking Astrocytic Glutamate Transporters: Models for Extra- and Intrasynaptic Mechanisms." *BMC Neuroscience* 14, 1 (July 2013): P298 © 2013 Mergenthaler et al

As Published: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-14-S1-P298>

Publisher: Springer Nature

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/116074>

Version: Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

Terms of use: Attribution 2.0 Generic (CC BY 2.0)



POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Changes in V1 orientation tuning when blocking astrocytic glutamate transporters: models for extra- and intrasynaptic mechanisms

Konstantin Mergenthaler^{1*}, Dipanjan Roy¹, Jeremy Petracic², Mriganka Sur², Klaus Obermayer¹

From Twenty Second Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS*2013
Paris, France. 13-18 July 2013

Several recent studies reveal a close interplay of neurons and astrocytes in information processing [1]. Astrocytes affect neural transmission by their control of glutamate concentrations by glutamate transporters [2] in direct vicinity to the synaptic cleft as well as extrasynaptically. An in-vivo study in primary visual cortex of ferrets [3] demonstrated the impact of astrocytic glutamate transport on neuronal response by blocking glutamate uptake pharmacologically with TBOA. A severe effect on neuronal orientation tuning curves to a full-field stationary grating, tilted every second by additional 10 degrees, was observed. While glutamate transporters were blocked tuning curves were broadened (HWHM increased from 28 deg. to 39 deg.) and response at preferred orientation was enhanced. However, it is unclear if the change in response originates from a prolongation of synaptic glutamate clearance or locally increased ambient glutamate concentration. Here we investigate in a computational model of ferret V1 how the intra- and extrasynaptic mechanisms affect orientation tuning.

We implemented both mechanisms in a highly recurrent single layer 2d map model based on [4]. Neurons are placed on a 50 × 50 grid and connected to their neighbors by randomly drawn connections from a Gaussian. On every grid point an excitatory neuron is placed, additionally every third grid point is occupied by an inhibitory neuron. Peak conductivities for the four types of connections are set to be in the recurrent regime, exceeding the conductivity of the additional afferent input. The afferent

input specific to each grid location induces a pinwheel-domain organization of neurons in the network. For the implementation of the synaptic mechanism the glutamate concentration follows a bi-exponential description with a decay time prolonged if glutamate uptake is reduced [2]. Synaptic glutamate then activates complex kinetic NMDA and AMPA-receptors [5]. The synaptic mechanism can however have a stronger influence on excitatory-to-excitatory or excitatory-to-inhibitory connections, as the different synapse geometries also affects glutamate clearance. Extrasynaptically ambient glutamate provides a constant NMDA-receptor mediated somatic current [6]. Here different sensitivities (different NMDA-receptor densities) of excitatory and inhibitory neurons to ambient glutamate may affect tuning. For both mechanism possible parameter combinations are assessed in a grid search.

We observed that a selective increase in modalities towards inhibitory neurons even leads to a sharpening of orientation tuning. While selective enhancement in the modalities towards excitatory neurons leads first to a drop in orientation tuning and very fast for further increase to pathological firing rates. For both mechanisms the closest fit in orientation tuning (HWHM) to the experimental observation with TBOA was found for a stronger effect on excitatory neurons along with a simultaneous but weaker effect on the inhibitory population. While both models can explain the current data, they, however, provide different predictions for sub-threshold properties and for neurons close to pinwheels or domain centers.

* Correspondence: mergen@ni.tu-berlin.de

¹Neural Information Processing, School of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering and Bernstein Center for Computational Neuroscience, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Author details

¹Neural Information Processing, School of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering and Bernstein Center for Computational Neuroscience, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany. ²Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Picower Institut for Learning and Memory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

Published: 8 July 2013

References

1. De Pittà M, Volman V, Berry H, Parpura V, Volterra A, Ben-Jacob E: **Computational quest for understanding the role of astrocyte signaling in synaptic transmission and plasticity.** *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* 2012, **6**:1-25.
2. Diamond JS: **Deriving the glutamate clearance time course from transporter currents in CA1 hippocampal astrocytes: transmitter uptake gets faster during development.** *Journal of neuroscience* 2005, **25**:2906-2916.
3. Schummers J, Yu H, Sur M: **Tuned responses of astrocytes and their influence on hemodynamic signals in the visual cortex.** *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 2008, **320**:1638-1643.
4. Stimberg M, Wimmer K, Martin R, Schwabe L, Mariño J, Schummers J, Lyon D, Sur M, Obermayer K: **The Operating Regime of Local Computations in Primary Visual Cortex.** *Cerebral Cortex* 2009, **19**:2166-2180.
5. Destexhe A, Mainen ZF, Sejnowski TJ: **Kinetic models of synaptic transmission.** In *Methods in neuronal modeling*. MIT Press; Koch C, Segev I 1998:1-25.
6. Bentzen NCK, Zhabotinsky AM, Laugesen JL: **Modeling of glutamate-induced dynamical patterns.** *International journal of neural systems* 2009, **19**:395-407.

doi:10.1186/1471-2202-14-S1-P298

Cite this article as: Mergenthaler *et al.*: Changes in V1 orientation tuning when blocking astrocytic glutamate transporters: models for extra- and intrasynaptic mechanisms. *BMC Neuroscience* 2013 **14**(Suppl 1):P298.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

