

MIT Open Access Articles

Reduction of Dinitrogen to Ammonia Catalyzed by Molybdenum Diamido Complexes

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Wickramasinghe, Lasantha A. et al. "Reduction of Dinitrogen to Ammonia Catalyzed by Molybdenum Diamido Complexes." Journal of the American Chemical Society 139, 27 (June 2017): 9132–9135 © 2017 American Chemical Society

As Published: http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b04800

Publisher: American Chemical Society (ACS)

Persistent URL: http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/116567

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of Use: Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.



Reduction of Dinitrogen to Ammonia Catalyzed by Molybdenum Diamido Complexes

Lasantha A. Wickramasinghe, Takaya Ogawa, Richard R. Schrock,* and Peter Müller

Department of Chemistry 6-331, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massacuhusetts 02139 *RECEIVED DATE (automatically inserted by publisher)*; rrs@mit.edu

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: [Ar₂N₃]Mo(N)(O-*t*-Bu), which contains the conformationally rigid pyridine-based diamido ligand, $[2,6-(ArNCH_2)_2NC_5H_3]^{2-}$ (Ar = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl), can be prepared from $Li_2[Ar_2N_3]$ and $(t-BuO)_3Mo(N)$. [Ar₂N₃]Mo(N)(O-*t*-Bu) serves as a catalyst or precursor for the catalytic reduction of molecular nitrogen to ammonia in diethyl ether between -78 °C and 22 °C in a batch-wise manner with CoCp*2 as the electron source and Ph_2NH_2OTf as the proton source. Up to ~10 equivalents of can be formed per Mo ammonia with а maximum efficiency in electrons of ~43%.

The catalytic reduction of molecular nitrogen to ammonia with protons and electrons under mild conditions¹ was first demonstrated with a molybdenum catalyst that contains a tren-based triamidoamine(3-) ligand $([N(CH_2CH_2N(HIPT))_3]^3 - ([HIPTN_3N]^3 -))$ where HIPT = 3,5-(2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl)₂C₆H₃).² The maximum oxidation state of molybdenum in the catalytic cycle is proposed to be Mo(VI) (in a nitrido complex) and the lowest is Mo(III) (in an ammonia or dinitrogen complex). Eight of the proposed twelve intermediates in a "distal" reduction of dinitrogen were prepared and characterized and the proposed mechanism has been vetted through theoretical studies by primarily two groups.³ The reducing agent is CrCp*2 (decamethylchromocene) and the proton source is 2,6-LutidiniumBarf (Barf $= [B(3,5-(CF_3)_2C_6H_3)_4]^-)$. The second reduction of molecular nitrogen under mild conditions was published in 2011.^{4a} The catalyst precursor in the first publication in this series is a bimetallic Mo(0) complex that contains a neutral "PNP pincer" ligand, 2,6-(t-Bu₂PCH₂)₂(NC₅H₃); CoCp₂ is the electron source and 2,6-LutidiniumOTf (OTf = $O_3S(CF_3)$) the proton source. The actual catalytic cycle is likely to involve monomeric intermediates such as Mo(V) nitrides^{4c,d} and several ligand variations have been explored.^{4b-h} The third catalytic reduction of dinitrogen to ammonia is catalyzed by an iron complex in which the ligand scaffold is a tetradentate neutral trisphosphine "tripodal" ligand.⁵ Although the original conditions are not "mild" (KC8 and H(Et2O)2Barf at -78 °C), it has been found recently that CoCp*2 and Ph_2NH_2OTf are *more* effective than KC_8 and H(Et₂O)₂Barf.^{5b} Peters has proposed that CoCp*₂ is itself protonated (on a cyclopentadienyl ring) to yield a cation that can function in a proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET⁶). An iron-catalyzed reduction of dinitrogen to hydrazine by CoCp*₂ and Ph₂NH₂OTf was reported in 2016.⁷ The CoCp*₂/Ph₂NH₂OTf/ether/-78°C conditions have been shown to yield products derived only from atmospheric nitrogen in the two Fe catalyst systems.^{5b,7} We report here the catalytic reduction of dinitrogen to ammonia by a molybdenum complex that contains the [2,6-(ArNCH₂)₂NC₅H₃]²⁻ (Ar = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl) ligand.⁸

Deprotonation of H₂[Ar₂N₃] with one equivalent of Li-*n*-Bu at -20 °C followed by addition of Mo(N)(O-*t*-Bu)₃ and a second equivalent of Li-*n*-Bu, and ultimately heating the reaction mixture to 90 °C for ~20 h resulted in formation of a dark-colored mixture from which purple pentane-soluble [Ar₂N₃]Mo(N)(O-*t*-Bu) (**1a**; 65% yield) was isolated. [Ar₂N₃]Mo(¹⁵N)(O-*t*-Bu) (50%-labeled; **1a**-¹⁵N) was prepared from 50% ¹⁵N-labeled Mo(¹⁵N)(O-*t*-Bu)₃. The reaction between **1a** and HCl in diethyl ether yielded the chloride complex, [Ar₂N₃]Mo(N)(Cl) (**1b**). Triflate (**1c**) and pentafluorophenoxide (**1d**) complexes also were prepared, as shown in Scheme 1. Compounds **1a** and **1c** appear to be unstable at 22 °C in the solid state or in solution over a period of 24 h and we did not contain consistent and correct elemental analyses of either despite multiple attempts.



Scheme 1. Syntheses of $[Ar_2N_3]Mo(N)(X)$ complexes.

An X-ray study of Mo(N)(OC₆F₅) (**1d**; Figure 1) showed it to be a distorted square pyramid ($\tau = 0.34^9$) with the nitride nitrogen in the apical position. The pentafluoro phenoxide ligand is sandwiched between the two Ar groups on either side of it. This structure resembles that of [(*t*-BuPOCOP)Molybdenum(N)(I)]⁻ ($\tau = 0.06$; Mo=N = 1.659(2) Å),¹⁰ a square pyramidal diamagnetic Mo(IV) complex, and structures of Mo nitride complexes published by Nishibayashi^{4c,d} and Chirik.¹¹ An X-ray examination of crystals of **1a** showed that its structure is analogous to that of **1d**, although the solution is not of publishable quality.



Figure 1. Ellipsoid drawing of $[Ar_2N_3]Mo(N)(OC_6F_5); \tau = 0.34, Mo=N = 1.644(4) \text{ Å}, Mo-O = 2.007(3) \text{ Å}, Mo-O-C = 131.2(3)^{\circ}.$

An X-ray study of **1b** showed it to have a structure similar to that of **1d** with Mo=N = 1.664(4) Å, Mo-Cl = 2.41(3) Å, and $\tau = 0.21$. The crystal of **1b** that was chosen contained its cocrystallized bromide analog (~50%); the two compounds were successfully resolved in the study and their structures refined (see SI for details).



Figure 2. Ellipsoid drawing of $[Ar_2N_3]Mo[NB(C_6F_5)_3](O-t-Bu)$ (2a); $\tau = 0.35$, Mo=N = 1.712(1) Å, Mo-O = 1.823(1) Å, Mo-O-C = 176.4(1)°, Mo-N-B = 173.9(1)°.

Addition of B(C₆F₅)₃ to **1a** led to formation of the purple B(C₆F₅)₃ adduct, **2a**. An X-ray structure (Figure 2) showed that B(C₆F₅)₃ has added to the nitride in **1a** to give a distorted square pyramidal adduct ($\tau = 0.35$). The Mo-N bond in **2a** (1.712(1) Å) is 0.07 Å longer than the Mo-N bond in **1d** (1.644(4) Å) and the Mo-O distance (1.823(1) Å) is 0.18 Å shorter than the Mo-O bond in **1d** (2.007(3) Å). The large Mo-O-C and Mo-N-B angles are consistent with a relatively electrophilic metal in **2a** combined with steric interactions of the *t*-butoxide and NB(C₆F₅)₃ unit with the

Ar groups. The bond distances and angles in **2a** should be compared with those for other $B(C_6F_5)_3$ adducts of nitrido complexes that have been reported in the literature.¹² It should be noted that unlike **1a**, **2a** is relatively stable thermally at 22 °C in the solid state or in benzene under N₂.

Attempts to reduce ¹⁴N₂ (at 1 atm) to ammonia using **1a-d** under conditions published for the Mo[HIPTN₃N] system^{2a} revealed that only **1a** produced >2.0 equivalents of ammonia (2.2), a result that most optimistically could be called catalytic, *i.e.*, one NH₃ is formed from the initial nitride and one from one half of N2, with the other half of N₂ reforming the nitride. We then turned to batchwise reduction using 1a with KC₈ and H(Et₂O)₂Barf at -78 °C. These runs (see Table in the SI) produced 2.4-2.8 equivalents of ammonia. Finally, we explored CoCp*2 as the reductant and Ph₂NH₂OTf as the acid in ether in a batch-wise mode starting at -78 °C followed by warming the reaction to 22 °C.5b,7 These conditions yielded between 3.6 and 10.3 equivalents of ammonia (runs 1-10 in Table 1) when 1a was the initial complex; complex 1b produced no ammonia and complexes 1c, 1d, and 2a produced less than 2.0 equivalents of ammonia. The efficiency of the reduction to ammonia in terms of electrons maximized at ~43% (runs 4 and 5), then dropped when more reducing agent was added (runs 6,7,8), and dropped further in runs 9-11; clearly the second 54 equivalents in runs 6-8 produced little additional ammonia. A reaction set up and incubated at 0 °C (run 12) vielded less ammonia than at -78 °C (runs 4 and 5).

Table 1. Summary of attempted catalytic reductions of N_2 by CoCp*2 and Ph₂NH₂OTf in 7 mL of ether at -78 °C.

Run	Мо	H ⁺ (eq)	e ⁻ (eq)	<u>NH3(eq)</u>	Eff ^b
1,2	1a	48	36	4.4, 3.6	37,30
3	1a	60	54	5.2	29
4,5	1a	108	54	7.9, 7.6	44,42
6	1a- ¹⁵ N	120	108 ^a	8.2	23
7	1a	140	108 ^a	10.3	29
8	1a- ¹⁵ N	140	108 ^a	9.8	27
9	1a- ¹⁵ N	162	162	4.8	9
10	1a	322	162	5.4	10
11	1a	162	54	2.2	12
12	1a	108	54	2.5 (0 °C)	14
	1b	48	36	0	
	1c	108	54	1.3	
	1d	108	54	1.3	
	2a	108	54	1.2	

^a Initially 54 equivalents, then slow addition of another 54 equivalents as described in the SI. ^b Efficiency per e.

Ammonia was quantified initially using the indophenol method.¹³ However, for convenience and accuracy we ultimately favored proton NMR spectra in DMSO- d_6^{2a} of ammonia isolated as ammonium chloride (see SI for details) as the analytical technique. For example, the mixture of ¹⁴NH₄Cl and ¹⁵NH₄Cl (from 50% ¹⁵N-labeled **1a**) from run 8 is shown in Figure 3. These results provide no information as to how much of what compound is formed from **1a** that is part of the catalytic cycle. What is clear is that **1b**, **1c**, **1d**, and **2a** technically all fail as catalyst

precursors, even though formation of more than 1.0 equiv of ammonia (except when **1b** was used) suggests that *some* ammonia is formed from atmospheric N_2 .



7.9 7.8 7.7 7.6 7.5 7.4 7.3 7.2 7.1 7.0 6.9 6.8 f1 (ppm)



At this stage we assume that the only other reduction product that is formed in the most successful reduction by $CoCp*_2$ and Ph_2NH_2OTf is molecular hydrogen, although it has not yet been identified and measured. We see no evidence in NMR spectra such as that in Figure 3 for formation of hydrazine.⁷ The lower pK_a of Ph₂NH₂OTf (3.2 in THF) versus NH₄⁺ (9.24 in water) suggests that acid is likely also to be consumed to form ammonium triflate, which removes NH₃ from any equilibrium between a Mo(NH₃) complex and a Mo(N₂) complex. Failure to produce more than ~10 equivalents of ammonia with larger amounts of reducing agent (runs 6-10) suggests that all catalytically active Mo ultimately is converted into an inactive form.

To date metallocenes and nitrogen-based acids have been most successful as the reducing agent and proton source, respectively, in the Mo- and Fe-catalyzed reductions of nitrogen to ammonia in systems described so far^{2,4,5} or to It was noted that conversion of hydrazine.7 [HIPTN₃N]Mo(N₂) to [HIPTN₃N]Mo(N=NH) in benzene required both CoCp₂ and lutidinium to be present at the same time,^{2f} which could be taken as evidence for some form of PCET in one or more steps in this and all other reductions,5b but step-wise addition of a proton to a neutral complex followed by an electron to a positively-charged complex could still be part of the overall mechanism of forming ammonia. The ligand in the [Ar₂N₃]Mo system also may be "non-innocent", as shown by Chirik in chemistry of Mo complexes that contain a diimine pincer ligand related to $[Ar_2N_3]^{2-.11}$ Interestingly, $[NHNH_2]^-$ and hydrazine complexes of W(VI) that contain a ligand analogous to [Ar₂N₃]²⁻, *i.e.*, [(TosylNCH₂)₂NC₅H₃]W(NAr)(NHNH₂)(Cl) {[(TosylNCH₂)₂NC₅H₃]W(NAr)(NH₂NH₂)(Cl)}OTf, and are known,14 so an "alternating" mechanism in the [Ar₂N₃]Mo system may be more likely than the distal mechanism of reduction favored in the [HIPTN₃N]Mo system.

Studies aimed at determining the mechanism of nitrogen reduction starting with 1a have not yet led to a conclusion. One possibility is that the *t*-butoxide ligand in 1a is con-

verted into an OH or an oxo ligand through addition of a proton from Ph_2NH_2OTf at the nitride or at the *t*-butoxide oxygen. (It has long been known that many early metal alkoxides decompose to form ethers, carbonium ions, radicals, or in the case of *t*-butoxide, isobutene.¹⁵) Formation and survival of an oxo and/or a hydroxo ligand, or both, in a catalytic cycle would be a surprising twist in the continuing story of catalytic dinitrogen reduction.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Crystallographic details for the X-ray structural studies, experimental details for the synthesis of all new compounds, and a detailed description of all catalytic runs. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org/.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

rrs@mit.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests. LAW and TO contributed equally to this work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the US Department of Energy (DE-SC0013307 to R.R.S.). The X-ray diffractometer was purchased with the help of funding from the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant Number CHE-0946721.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) van der Ham, C. J. M.; Koper, M. T. M.; Hetterscheid, D. G. H. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 5183-5191. (b) Schrock, R. R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 5512-5522.

(2) (a) Yandulov, D. V.; Schrock, R. R. Science **2003**, *301*, 76-78. (a) (b) Hetterscheid, D. G. H.; Hanna, B. S.; Schrock, R. R. Inorg. Chem. **2009**, *48*, 8569–8577. (c) Schrock, R. R. in Catalysis Without Precious Metals, Bullock, R. M., Ed., Wiley-VCH, 2010, page 25. (d) Munisamy, T.; Schrock, R. R. Dalton Trans. **2012**, *41*, 130-137. (e) Schrock, R. R. Acc. Chem. Res. **2005**, *38*, 955-962. (f) Yandulov, D.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, *124*, 6252-6253.

(3) (a) Thimm, W.; Gradert, C.; Broda, H.; Wennmohs, F.; Neese, F.; Tuczek, F. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 9248-9255. (b) Schenk, S.; Le Guennic, B.; Kirchner, B.; Reiher, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 3634-3650. (c) Reiher, M.; Le Guennic, B.; Kirchner, B. *Inorganic Chemistry* **2005**, *44*, 9640-9642. (d) Schenk, S.; Le Guennic, B.; Kirchner, B.; Reiher, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 3634. (e) Hinrichsen, S.; Broda, H.; Gradert, C.; Soncksen, L.; Tuczek, F. *Annual Reports Section "A"* **2012**, *108*, 17.

(4) (a) Arashiba, K.; Miyake, Y.; Nishibayashi, Y. Nat. Chem. 2011, 3, 120-125. (b) Nishibayashi, Y. Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 7447-7453. (c) Kinoshita, E.; Arashiba, K.; Kuriyama, S.; Eizawa, A.; Nakajima, K.; Nishibayashi, Y., Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2015, 2015, 1789-1794. (d) Arashiba, K.; Kinoshita, E.; Kuriyama, S.; Eizawa, A.; Nakajima, K.; Tanaka, H.; Yoshizawa, K.; Nishibayashi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 5666-5669. (e) Nishibayashi, Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 987-995. (f) Tanabe, Y.; Nishibayashi, Y. Chem. Rec. 2016, 16, 1549-1577. (g) Kinoshita, E.; Arashiba, K.; Kuriyama, S.; Miyake, Y.; Shimazaki, R.; Nakanishi, H.; Nishibayashi, Y. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 8437-8443. (h) Nishibayashi, Y. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 9234-9247.

(5) (a) Anderson, J. S.; Rittle, J.; Peters, J. C. Nature 2013, 501, 84-87. (b) Chalkley, M. J.; Del Castillo, T. J.; Matson, B. D.; Roddy, J. P.; Peters, J. C. ACS Cent. Sci. 2017, 3, 217-223. (6) (a) Costentin, C. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 2145. (b) Hammes-Schiffer, S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 6937. (c) Huynh M. H. V.; Meyer, T. J. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5004-5064. (d) Cukier, R. I.; Nocera, D. C. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1998, 49, 337. (e) Costentin, C.; Robert, M.; Savéant, J.-M. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, PR1-PR40. (f) Hammes-Schiffer, S.; Hatcher, E.; Ishikita, H.; Skone, J. H.; Soudackov, A. V. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2008, 252, 384. (g) Mayer, J. M. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2004, 55, 363. (h) C. Tommos C.; Babcock, G. T. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 18. (i) Weinberg, D. R.; Gagliardi, C. J.; Hull, J. F.; Murphy, C. F.; Kent, C. A.; Westlake, B. C.; Paul, A.; Ess, D. H.; McCafferty, D. G.; Meyer, T. J. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 4016-4093. (7) Hill, P. J.; Doyle, L. R.; Crawford, A. D.; Myers, W. K.; Ashley, A. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 13521-13524. (8) (a) Guérin, F.; McConville, D. H.; Vittal, J. J. Organometallics 1996, 15, 5586-5590. (b) Lambic, N. S.; Brown, C. A.; Sommer, R. D.; Ison, E. A. Organometallics 2017, 36, 2042-2051. (c) Lilly, C. P.; Boyle, P. D.; Ison, E. A. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 11815-11821. (d) Lilly, C. P.; Boyle, P. D.; Ison, E. A. Organometallics 2012, 31, 4295-4301. (e) Estler, F.; Eickerling, G.; Herdtweck, E.; Anwander, R. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1212-1222. (9) Addison, A. W.; Rao, T. N.; Reedijk, J.; van Rijn, J.; Verschoor, G. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1349-1356. (10) Hebden, T. J.; Schrock, R. R.; Takase, M. K.; Müller, P. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1851 - 1853. (11) Margulieux, G. W.; Bezdek, M. J.; Turner, Z. R.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 6110-6113. (12) (a) Fuller, A.-M.; Hughes, D. L.; Jones, G. A.; Lancaster, S. J. Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 5599. (b) Abram, U. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1999, 625, 839-841. (c) Abram, U.; Kohl, F. J.; Öfele, K.; Herrmann, W. A.; Voigt, A.; Kirmse, R. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1998, 624, 934. (d) Abram, U.; Schmidt-Brücken, B.; Ritter, S. Polyhedron 1999, 18, 831. (e) Fox, A. R.; Cummins, C. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5716. (f) Crevier, T. J.; Bennett, B. K.; Soper, J. D.; Bowman, J. A.; Dehestani, A.; Hrovat, D. A.; Lovell, S.; Kaminsky, W.; Mayer, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1059. (g) Doerrer, L. H.; Graham, A. J.; Green, M. L. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 3941. (h) Cain, M. F.; Forrest, W. P., Jr.; Peryshkov, D. V.; Schrock, R. R.; Müller, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15338-15341. (i) Finke, A. D.; Moore, J. S. Chem. Comm. 2010, 46, 7939-7941. (13) Chaney, A. L.; Marbach, E. P. Clinical Chem. 1962, 8, 130. (14) Cai, S.; Schrock, R. R. Inorg. Chem. 1991, 30, 4105-4106. (15) (a) Turova, N. Y.; Turevskaya, E. P.; Kessler, V. G.;

(15) (a) Iurova, N. Y.; Iurevskaya, E. P.; Kessler, V. G.;
Yanovskaya M. I. *The Chemistry of Metal Alkoxides*, Kluwer,
2002. (b) Bradley, D. C. *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, 1317-1322. (c)
Turova, N. Y. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *73*, 1041-1064. (d) Bradley, D. C.; Chakravarti, B. N.; Chatterjee, A, K. Wardlaw, W.;
Whitley, A. J. Chem. Soc. **1958**, 99. (e) Peters, J. C.; Johnson, A.
R.; Odom, A. L.; Wanandi, P. W; Davis, W. M.; Cummins, C. C.
J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, *118*, 10175-10188. (f) O'Reilly, M. E.;
Ghiviriga, I.; Abboud, K. A.; Veige, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, *134*, 11185-11195.

TOC graphic

