

MIT Open Access Articles

Hyperexcitability of the local cortical circuit in mouse models of tuberous sclerosis complex

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Zhao, Jian-Ping and Akira Yoshii. "Hyperexcitability of the local cortical circuit in mouse models of tuberous sclerosis complex." Molecular Brain 12 (January 2019): 6 © 2019 The Author(s)

As Published: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13041-019-0427-6

Publisher: BioMed Central

Persistent URL: http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/120564

Version: Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution



RESEARCH

Open Access



Hyperexcitability of the local cortical circuit in mouse models of tuberous sclerosis complex

Jian-Ping Zhao^{2,3} and Akira Yoshii^{1,2,3*}

Abstract

Tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) is a neurogenetic disorder associated with epilepsy, intellectual disabilities, and autistic behaviors. These neurological symptoms result from synaptic dysregulations, which shift a balance between excitation and inhibition. To decipher the synaptic substrate of hyperexcitability, we examined pan-neuronal *Tsc1* knockout mouse and found a reduction in surface expression of a GABA receptor (GABAR) subunit but not AMPA receptor (AMPAR) subunit. Using electrophysiological recordings, we found a significant reduction in the frequency of GABAR-mediated miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (GABAR-mlPSCs) but not AMPAR-mediated miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents (AMPAR-mEPSCs) in layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons. To determine a subpopulation of interneurons that are especially vulnerable to the absence of TSC1 function, we also analyzed two strains of conditional knockout mice targeting two of the prominent interneuron subtypes that express parvalbumin (PV) or somatostatin (SST). Unlike pan-neuronal knockout mice, both interneuron-specific Tsc-1 knockout mice did not develop spontaneous seizures and grew into adults. Further, the properties of AMPAR-mEPSCs and GABAR-mlPSCs were normal in both *Pv-Cre* and *Sst-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{#/#} knockout mice. These results indicate that removal of TSC1 from all neurons in a local cortical circuit results in hyperexcitability while connections between pyramidal neurons and interneurons expressing PV and SST are preserved in the layer 2/3 visual cortex. Our study suggests that another inhibitory cell type or a combination of multiple subtypes may be accountable for hyperexcitability in TSC.

Keywords: Tuberous sclerosis complex, E/I balance, AMPA receptor, GABA receptor, Autism, Epilepsy, mTOR pathway

Introduction

The connectivity between pyramidal cells and interneurons matures during development and is one of the key determinants of critical period plasticity [1-6]. The balance between excitation and inhibition (E/I balance) underlie normal neuronal circuit functions that underlie learning, memory, and sensory processing. Therefore, a deviation of E/I balance toward excitation, or hyperexcitability is implicated in brain disorders including epilepsy and autism spectrum disorders [7-12].

One of the neurogenetic disorders that are associated with seizures and autistic behaviors is tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) caused by mutations in *TSC1* or *TSC2* [13]. The TSC-1 and -2 proteins form a complex that suppresses the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway, which regulates cell growth, protein synthesis, autophagy and transcription [14]. The mTOR pathway also plays critical roles in synaptic functions [15, 16]. For example, Tsc2 heterozygous mutant mice have impaired late long-term potentiation (L-LTP) and long-term memory [17]. Hippocampal neurons that are suppressed with Tsc-1 and -2 using RNA interference have impaired α -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor (AMPAR) currents [18]. Tsc-1-deleted hippocampal neurons exhibit impaired long-term depression (LTD) mediated by a metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR) [19, 20]. Furthermore, an E/I balance is skewed to hyperexcitability in *Tsc-1*-deleted hippocampal neurons [21].

A local cortical circuit consists of pyramidal neurons and inhibitory neurons, which maintain the E/I balance [22–27]. Recent advances in mouse genetics offer an



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

^{*} Correspondence: ayoshii@uic.edu

¹Department of Anatomy & Cell Biology, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

²Department of Brain and Cognitive Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

analysis of subpopulations of inhibitory cells based on molecular markers [28, 29]. Among various interneurons, cells expressing parvalbumin (PV) and somatostatin1 (SST) are the most extensively studied. PV(+)cells are either large basket cells that contact with the soma of pyramidal neurons or chandelier cells which project to the axon initiation segment [22, 23, 30-32]. Together, PV (+) cells suppress generation of action potentials in the pyramidal neuron. In the cortex, Martinotti neurons that are positive for SST connect to distal dendrites of a pyramidal neuron [23, 33]. In the rodent visual cortex, PV (+) and SST (+) cells play complementary roles in computing sensory inputs [34-37]. In a mouse model of Rett syndrome, PV (+) and SST (+) interneurons play distinct roles [38-40]. Further, PV (+) interneuron are involved in the pathophysiology of genetic epilepsy syndromes including mutation of the NAV1.1 sodium channel, which is associated with Dravet syndrome [41]. Therefore, it is an intriguing possibility that PV (+) or SST(+) cells play a critical role in hyperexcitability of the local circuit in TSC.

In this study, we examined pan-neuron-specific synapsin1-Cre (Syn1-Cre) x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice and found that they had reductions in both total and surface expressions of Gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor subunit alpha-1 subunit (GABA_AR-a1). Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice also had a reduction in GABAR-mediated miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (GABAR-mIPSCs) in layer 2/3 visual cortical pyramidal neurons, indicating hyperexcitability. To further study the origin of hyperexcitability in TSC, we created PV- and SST- cell-specific Tsc1 knockout mice. Unlike Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice, both interneuron-specific knockout mice grew into adulthood without spontaneous seizures. In both interneuron-specific mice, electrophysiological recordings of layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons showed normal E/I balance that was comparable to wild-type mice. These results indicate that deleting *Tsc1* in PV (+) or SST (+) interneurons alone does not recapitulate the E/I imbalance that is observed in Syn1-Cre) x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice. Our study suggests that another or multiple inhibitory cell type(s) may be involved in hyperexcitability that underlies epilepsy and autistic behaviors in TSC.

Methods

Animal

This study was carried out in accordance with the principles of the Basel Declaration and recommendations of MIT, UIC and NIH guidelines on the humane care of animals. The protocol was approved by the MIT- and UIC-IACUC. All animal manipulations were approved by the MIT- and UIC-IACUC and were performed in accord with its guidelines. Animals were kept under 12 h light/ dark cycle. Neuronal subtype-specific deletion of *Tsc1* was

achieved by crossing cell type-specific Cre-driver lines (*PV-Cre*, https://www.jax.org/strain/017320; *Sst-IRES-Cre*, https://www.jax.org/strain/013044; and *Syn1-Cre* https://www.jax.org/strain/003966) with $Tsc P^{fl \ / fl}$ mice (https://www.jax.org/strain/005680) [42]. All strains were obtained from Jackson Laboratory. Floxed-*Tsc1* mice served as controls. All mice belonged to the C57BL6/J strain. In all experiments, both male and female animals were used.

Gel electrophoresis, quantitative immunoblotting, and statistical analysis

Concentrations of protein sample were measured using Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific). Equal amounts of proteins (5 or 10 µg per lane) were run on 6 or 8% SDS-PAGE, then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane by electroblotting (Idea Scientific, Minneapolis, MN). Blots were blocked with blocking buffer (Sigma) diluted with Tween/0.1 M PBS (TPBS) for 30 min, then incubated in primary antibody in TPBS for 1 h at room temperature. The following primary antibodies were used; GluA1 (Millipore Cat# 05-855, RRI-D:AB_10015249), GluA2 (Millipore, Cat#MAB397, RRID:AB_2113875), GABA_AR-α1 (NeuroMab, clone N95/ 35, RRID:AB_10697873), actin, phosphorylated S6 (Cell Signaling Technology, #4858, RRID:AB_916156), transferrin receptor (Santa Cruz, #sc-9099, RRID:AB_2201346) and β-actin-HRP (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# MA5-15739-HRP, RRID:AB 2537667). After three 5 min rinses in TPBS, blots were incubated in secondary antibody (horseradish-peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse (Jackson ImmunoResearch) in TPBS at 1:5000 or 1:10,000), washed several times for 5 min in TPBS, reacted with chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL), and exposed to film (Kodak, Rochester, NY). Films were scanned, and band density was measured by Image J. Measurements were confirmed to be within the linear range of density analyses of dilution series of the samples. Band intensities of GluA2 and GABA_AR- α 1 subunit were normalized to actin in Fig. 1 and to transferrin receptor in Fig. 2. Results are reported as averages + the standard errors of the mean (s.e.m.). All immunoblot analyses used three sets of protein samples from three different litters. Two gels were run for each sample and blotted (N = 6).

Primary neuron culture and biotinylation assay

Occipital cortices of mouse brains were dissected at embryonic day 15.5 (E15.5), digested with a solution containing papain and DNase for 25 min. The rest of fetal brain tissues were used for DNA extraction followed by genotyping. Cells were dissociated using fire polished glass pipets and plated to a coverslip coated with laminin and poly-D-lysine. On day in vitro (DIV) 18, a cell surface biotinylation assay was performed. Neurons were





preincubated with tetrodotoxin $(2 \mu M)$ with leupeptin (200 µg/ml) for 30 min at 37 °C to avoid lysosomal degradation of internalized receptors. Cultures were serially cooled to 10 °C and 4 °C to stop membrane trafficking in cold DPBS plus 1 mM MgCl₂ and 2.5 mM CaCl₂, then treated with cleavable (disulfide-linked) biotin containing solutions (1.5 mg/ml sulfo-NHS-biotin in DPBS) for 30 min to label surface receptors. Unbound biotin was quenched with cold DPBS plus 1 mM MgCl₂ 2.5 mM $CaCl_2$ and 50 mM glycine (rinse, 2 × 5 min). Cells were lysed for 3 min in 0.5% SDS-RIPA buffer [1% Triton-X-100, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% deoxycholic acid with (in mM) 50 Tris pH 7.4, 150 NaCl, 2 EDTA, 50 NaF, 1 sodium orthovanadate, and protease inhibitor cocktail] and scraped. The lysed solution was centrifuged at 16,000x g for 15 min at 4 °C. Protein concentration of the supernatant was determined and 300 µg of protein was adjusted to a volume of 1 mL with 0.1% SDS-RIPA buffer. Biotinylated receptor subunits were precipitated with 70 µl neutravidin-agarose (ThermoFisher, IL) slurry overnight at 4°C, washed with the SDS-RIPA buffer and spun down three times. Pulled-down subunits were eluted into Laemmli sample buffer by boiling for 6 min. Biotinylated receptor subunits were detected by immunoblot and were analyzed by normalizing the band intensity to that of transferrin receptor.

Electrophysiology

Young (P28–P30) male floxed and conditional *Tsc1* knockout mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated. The brain was quickly removed and placed in ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing the following (in mM): 124 NaCl, 2 MgCl2, 3 KCl, 3 CaCl2, 1.25 NaHPO4, 26 NaHCO3, and 25 D-glucose saturated with 95%O₂/5% CO₂ to a final pH of 7.35. Coronal VC slices (280 ~ 300 μ m) were cut in ice-cold ACSF with a vibratome (Leica 1000-plus sectioning system) and incubated in at 30–32 °C ACSF for at least 30 min then at room temperature (23 ~ 25 °C) for one hour for recovery.

Individual slices were transferred to a submersion recording chamber and continuously perfused with carbogenated ACSF at 3–4 ml/min at room temperature, voltage-clamp whole-cell patch recordings were performed



from pyramidal neurons at layer 2/3 of the VC, which were visualized by a Nikon (Tokyo, Japan; E600FN) infrared differential interference contrast (DIC) microscope with a 40x water-immersion objective and CoolSNAP EZ CCD camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ). Patch pipettes (3-5 M Ω resistance) were pulled from borosilicate glass (outer

diameter, 1.2 mm; inner diameter, 0.69 mm) and filled with internal solution containing (in mM): 122.5 Cs-gluconate, 17.5 CsCl, 10 HEPES, 0.2 Na-EGTA, 4 ATP-Mg, 0.4 GTP-Na, and 8 NaCl, pH 7.3 and osmolarity ~ 290 mmol/kg. pClamp 10.2 (Molecular Devices) was used for data acquisition. Electrical signals were amplified with an Axopatch 200B amplifier, digitized with a Digidata 1322A interface (Molecular Devices), filtered at 2 kHz and sampled at 10 kHz. Chemicals were applied by addition to the ACSF perfusing the slices.

AMPAR-mEPSCs and GABAR-mIPSCs were separately recorded from the same cells in the presence of 1 μ M tetrodotoxin and 50 μ M D-2-Amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (AP5, NMDAR antagonist) when the recorded cell membrane voltage was clamped at – 40 mV and 0 mV. To verify the miniature currents obtained at – 40 mV and 0 mV are AMPAR-mEPSCs and GABAR-mIPSCs only, 6 μ M 2,3-dihydroxy-6-nitro-7-sulfamoyl-benzo [f]quinoxaline-2,3-dione (NBQX, AMPAR antagonist) was present in ACSF at – 40 mV clamped voltage and 6 μ M Bicuculline methochloride (BMC, GABA_A receptor antagonist) at 0 mV clamped voltage in control experiments, they blocked all miniature currents at – 40 mV and 0 mV and 0 mV clamped voltage respectively.

Mini version 6.0 (Synaptosoft, Decatur, GA) was used for data analysis, all miniature currents with amplitudes two times greater than baseline noise were collected over a 3 min period and averaged, averaged miniature currents were measured for amplitudes from peak to noise midline.

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as means \pm SEMs, n was given as the number of cells / the number of animals. Statistical significance was evaluated by unpaired, two-tailed *t*-test. *p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01.

Results

E/I balance is skewed toward hyperexcitability in *Syn1-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{fl/fl} mouse

To study a synaptic dysregulation, we chose to study neuron-specific *TSC1* knockout mice by crossing *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} and *Synapsin1-Cre* (*Syn1-Cre*) strains [42]. We collected whole lysates from the visual cortices of *Syn1-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mice at postnatal day (P) 28 and performed immunoblots. As an indicator of successful suppression of TSC1 in the mutant brain, we confirmed an increase in phosphorylation of a ribosomal protein S6 (Fig. 1a) indicating hyperactivation of mTOR. We found reductions in the total amounts of the GluA1 (Fig. 1a and b: *p* = 0.011) and GluA2 subunits (Fig. 1a and b: *p* = 0.014) of AMPARs and GABA_AR- α 1 subunit (Fig. 1a and c: *p* = 0.0028) in *Syn1-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mice as compared to *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} without the Cre recombinase.

While we anticipated biochemical evidence for hyperexcitability, the examination of whole lysates indicates reductions in both the excitatory and inhibitory receptors. Thus, we compared surface expressions of the receptor subunits using a biotinylation assay. We dissected occipital cortical tissues from each fetus at E15.5 and genotyped them. At DIV 18, dissociated neuronal cultures were incubated with biotin, and coated receptors on the cell surface were pulled down with streptavidin-coated beads. We found comparable levels of GluA2 subunits in both control and *Syn1-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*I*/*I*/*I*} neurons (Fig. 2a and b: p = 0.87) and a significant reduction of GABA_A R-α1subunit in *Syn1-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*I*/*I*/*I*} neurons as compared to control (Fig. 2a and c: p = 0.011).

To further study the E/I imbalance in the Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mouse brain, we used acute visual cortical slices at P28-30 and recorded AMPAR-mEPSCs and GABAR-mIPSCs in layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons (Fig. 3a and b; 10 Tsc1^{fl/fl} without Cre and 13 Syn-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} cells from three mice). There was no significant difference in AMPAR-mEPSCs amplitude (Fig. 3c: p = 0.79) and frequency (Fig. 3d: p = 0.13) between control and Syn-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} neurons. We also analyzed kinetics of AMPAR-mEPSCs (Fig. 3e), and both rise time (Fig. 3f: p = 0.95) and decay time (Fig. 3g: p = 0.56) were comparable between the two strains. While the mIPSC amplitude was not different (Fig. 3h: p = 0.95), the frequency was significantly reduced in Syn-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} as compared to control (Fig. 3i: p = 0.002). Analyses of GABAR-mIPSC kinetics (Fig. 3j) showed no significant differences in rise time (Fig. 3k: p = 0.63) and decay time (Fig. 3l: p = 0.48).

A decrease in GABAR-mIPSCs frequency indicates that layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons have fewer GABAergic synapses in the *Syn1-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mouse visual cortex than *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} without Cre. No significant alteration in the GABAR-mIPSC amplitude suggests that the remaining inhibitory synapses are likely to be intact. Collectively, both biochemical and electrophysiological analyses indicate hyperexcitability of *Syn-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} neurons.

Analysis of Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice

There are various types of interneurons that are classified based on morphologies, molecular markers or electrophysiological properties, and each subclass play a different in cortical computation that is complementary to each other [22–27]. Therefore, each interneuron subtype is likely to contribute to a distinct aspect of pathophysiology that is associated with hyperexcitability [43, 44]. The above results motivated us to ask what interneuron subtype is especially susceptible to the lack of TSC1 function and is accountable for hyperexcitability in layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons of the *Syn1-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mouse visual cortex.

The PV (+) neuron is an attractive candidate since it is implicated in some neurogenetic disorders associated with epilepsy and autism [38-41, 45]. Therefore, we generated PV-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mouse. Unlike Syn-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice, the mutant animals gained weight and lived to become adults (Fig. 4a and b). Further, PV-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice did not exhibit dorsiflexion of the tail (Straub tail), humped posture, and hindlimb clasping that were observed in Syn-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice [42]. The mutant animals did not exhibit seizures either spontaneously nor with handling. The inducible seizures are characteristic of Syn-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice and can be triggered by the gentle spinning of their tails [42]. These seizures consist of a brief behavioral arrest followed by several seconds of clonic activity and tonic extensor posturing of trunk and limbs leading to death. In comparison to Syn1-Cre x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mice, this type of seizure was never observed in *Pv-Cre* x $Tsc l^{fl/fl}$ mice (N = 5). Next, we recorded AMPAR-mEPSCs and GABAR-mIPSCs from nine neurons in two Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice and eight neurons in two Tsc1-floxed without Cre (Fig. 5 a and b), and found no significant differences in amplitude and frequency of mEPSCs (Fig. 5 c and d; p = 0.12 and 0.88, respectively) between Pv-Cre x $Tsc1^{fl/fl}$ and control mice. We also analvzed kinetics of AMPAR-mEPSCs (Fig. 5e-g), and both rise time (Fig. 5f: p = 0.06) and decay time (Fig. 5g: p = 0.07) were comparable between the two strains.

As for mIPSCs, neither amplitude nor frequency were significantly different between *Pv-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} and control mice (Fig. 5 h and i; p = 0.93 and 0.14, respectively). We also analyzed GABAR-mIPSC kinetics (Fig. 5j-l). The rise time was similar betweebn the two strain (Fig. 5k: p = 0.59). However, the averaged decay time was slightly but significantly longer in *Pv-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mouse neurons (Fig. 5l: p = 0.04). This finding may result from an altered subunit composition and may suggest a delayed developmental subunit switch or pathological receptor regulation.

These results suggest that the connection between PV (+) interneuron and layer II/III pyramidal neurons does not account for the E/I imbalance that was observed in a cortical circuit of *Syn-Cre* x $Tsc H^{n/l}$ mouse.

Analysis of Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice

While PV (+) cells terminate their axons to the soma of pyramidal neurons, SST (+) interneurons connect with distal segments of apical dendrites [23, 33]. We generated SST (+) cell-specific *Tsc1* knockout mice by crossing *TSC1*^{*fl/fl*} and *Sst*-IRES-*Cre* strains. The knockout mice (*N* = 5) did not exhibit fatal seizures that are reported in *Syn1* x *Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mouse strain [42, 46]. Similarly to *Pv-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mice, *Sst-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} animals did not exhibit dorsiflexion of the tail (Straub tail), humped posture, and hindlimb clasping. However, weight growth of *Sst-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mice was significantly



Fig. 3 Electrophysiological recordings from layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in P28–30 control and Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mouse visual cortex. a-b Sample traces of AMPAR-mEPSC recorded at – 40 mV and of GABAR-mIPSC recorded at 0 mV from same pyramidal neurons in control (blue traces, Tsc1^{fl/} mouse without Cre) and Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} (red traces) mice. Scale bar: 20 pA, 200 ms. c-d Pooled data showing no significant differences in averaged AMPAR-mEPSC amplitude (**c**, Control, 5.73 ± 0.15 pA vs. Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl}, 5.88 ± 0.32 pA, P = 0.79) and frequency (**d**, Control, 2.55 ± 0.32 Hz vs. Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl}, 3.91 ± 0.79 Hz, P = 0.13) between the mutant and control animals. e Top, averaged AMPAR-mEPSCs obtained from control (blue, shown in **a**) and Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{#/#} (red, shown in **b**) mice, bottom, normalization and superposition of the above averaged AMPAR-mEPSCs. Scale bars: 2 pA, 4 ms. f-g Pooled data showing no significant differences in averaged AMPAR-mEPSC rise time (f, Control, 1.07 ± 0.06 ms vs. Syn1- $Cre \times Tsc1^{fl/H}$, 1.09 ± 0.11 ms, P = 0.95) and decay time (g, Control, 4.77 ± 0.31 ms vs. $Syn1-Cre \times Tsc1^{fl/H}$, 5.28 ± 0.62 ms, P = 0.56) between the mutant and control animals. h-i Pooled data showing no significant differences in averaged GABAR-mIPSC amplitude (H, Control, 9.77 ± 0.6 pA vs. Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{ft/fl}, 9.71 ± 0.55 pA, P = 0.95) and significant difference in averaged GABAR-mIPSC frequency (I, Control, 7.0 ± 0.38 Hz vs. Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{ft/fl}, 4.5 ± 0.55 Hz, P = 0.002) between the mutant and control animals. ** P < 0.01. **j** Top, averaged GABAR-mIPSCs obtained from control (blue, shown in A) and Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} (red, shown in B) mice, bottom, normalization and superposition of the above averaged GABAR-mIPSCs. Scale bars: 4 pA, 20 ms. k-I Pooled data showing no significant differences in averaged GABAR-mIPSC rise time (K, Control, 1.67 ± 0.13 ms vs. Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/} 1.57 ± 0.14 ms, P = 0.63) and decay time (L, Control, 19.8 ± 2.14 ms vs. Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl}, 17.17 ± 1.62 ms, P = 0.48) between the mutant and control animals. For pooled data, unpaired two-tailed t-test was used, the open diamonds represent individual experiments and the filled diamonds are means of the experiments in groups, n = 10/3 for control and n = 13/3 for Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} groups



lower throughout development (Fig. 4b). Next, we recorded AMPAR-mEPSCs and GABAR-mIPSCs from nine neurons in two Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice and seven neurons in one Tsc1-floxed without Cre mouse (Fig. 6a and b) and observed no significant differences in the amplitude or frequency of the mEPSCs (Fig. 6c and d; p = 0.28 and 0.66, respectively). We also analyzed kinetics of AMPAR-mEPSCs (Fig. 6e-g), and both the rise time (Fig. 6f: p = 0.82) and decay time (Fig. 6g: p = 0.74) were comparable between the two strains. Both amplitde and frequency of mIPSCs were similar between Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} and Tsc1-floxed without Cre neurons (Fig. 6 h and i; p = 0.74 and 0.97, respectively). Analyses of GABAR-mIPSC kinetics (Fig. 6j-l) showed no significant differences in rise time (Fig. 6k: p = 0.79) and decay time (Fig. 6l: p = 0.85).

These results suggest that connection between SST (+) interneuron and layer II/III pyramidal neurons does not

account for E/I imbalance by itself in the cortical circuit of TSC.

Discussion

The present study has examined a synaptic substrate of hyperexcitability in TSC using biochemistry and ex vivo electrophysiology. In *Syn1-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mice, we have found a reduction in GABAergic synapse formation. Based on the decrease in GABAR-mIPSC frequency but not in amplitude, we anticipated the vulnerability of synaptic connections between pyramidal neurons and a specific interneuron subtype. However, neither *Pv-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} nor *Sst-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} strains show an alteration in GABAR-mIPSCs. These results suggest two possibilities: (1) an inhibitory cell type other than these two major types are involved in hyperexcitability of a local cortical circuit in TSC, or (2) the lack of the *Tsc1* gene in inhibitory sufficient.



Fig. 5 Electrophysiological recordings from layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in P29 control and Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mouse visual cortex. a-b Sample traces of AMPAR-mEPSC recorded at -40 mV and of GABAR-mIPSC recorded at 0 mV from same pyramidal neurons in control (blue traces, Tsc1^{#/#} mouse without Cre) and Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} (red traces) mice. Scale bar: 20 pA, 200 ms. (C-D) Pooled data showing no significant differences in averaged AMPAR-mEPSC amplitude (C, Control, 6.69 ± 0.3 pA vs. Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl}, 6.13 ± 0.17 pA, P = 0.12) and frequency (D, Control, 1.79 ± 0.2 Hz vs. Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{1/17}, 1.74 ± 0.3 Hz, P = 0.88) between the mutant and control animals. e Top, averaged AMPAR-mEPSCs obtained from control (blue, shown in **a**) and *Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{11/1}* (red, shown in **b**) mice, bottom, normalization and superposition of the above averaged AMPAR-mEPSCs. Scale bars: 2 pA, 4 ms. f-g Pooled data showing no significant differences in averaged AMPAR-mEPSC rise time (F, Control, 1.07 ± 0.06 ms vs. Pv-Cre x $T_{SC1}^{fl/fl}$, 1.27 ± 0.07 ms, P = 0.06) and decay time (G, Control, 5.15 ± 0.29 ms vs. Pv-Cre x $T_{SC1}^{fl/fl}$, 5.88 ± 0.23 ms, P = 0.07) between the mutant and control animals. h-i Pooled data showing no significant differences in averaged GABAR-mIPSC amplitude (H, Control, 8.08 ± 0.19 pA vs. Pv-Cre x $T_{SC1}^{R/4}$, 8.05 ± 0.28 pA, P = 0.93) and frequency (I, Control, 2.65 ± 0.31 Hz vs. Pv- $Cre \times T_{SC1}^{R/4}$, 3.86 ± 0.71 Hz, P = 0.14) between the mutant and control animals. j Top, averaged GABAR-mIPSCs obtained from control (blue, shown in A) and Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} (red, shown in B) mice, bottom, normalization and superposition of the above averaged GABAR-mIPSCs. Scale bars: 4 pA, 20 ms. k-I) Pooled data showing no significant difference in averaged GABAR-mIPSC rise time (K, Control, 2.22 \pm 0.18 ms vs. Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl}, 2.35 \pm 0.15 ms, P = 0.59) and significant difference in averaged GABAR-mIPSC decay time (L, Control, 15.4 ± 0.78 ms vs. *Pv-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{fl/fl}, 18.1 ± 0.93 ms, *P* = 0.04) between the mutant and control animals. For pooled data, unpaired two-tailed t-test was used, the open diamonds represent individual cells and the filled diamonds are means of the experiments in groups, n = 8/2 for control and n = 9/2 for Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} groups



Fig. 6 Electrophysiological recordings from layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in P29 control and Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{#/#} mouse visual cortex. **a-b** Sample traces of AMPAR-mEPSC recorded at – 40 mV and of GABAR-mIPSC recorded at 0 mV from same pyramidal neurons in control (blue traces, Tsc1^{fl/fl} mouse without Cre) and Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{1/l/l} (red traces) mice. Scale bar: 20 pA, 200 ms. c-d Pooled data showing no significant differences in averaged AMPAR-mEPSC amplitude (c, Control, 6.93 ± 0.39 pA vs. Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl}, 7.69 ± 0.58 pA, P = 0.28) and frequency (d, Control, 1.59 ± 0.18 Hz vs. Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl}, 1.77 ± 0.31 Hz, P = 0.66) between the mutant and control animals. e Top, averaged AMPAR-mEPSCs obtained from control (blue, shown in **a**) and Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} (red, shown in **b**) mice, bottom, normalization and superposition of the above averaged AMPAR-mEPSCs. Scale bars: 2 pA, 4 ms. f-q Pooled data showing no significant differences in averaged AMPAR-mEPSC rise time (F, Control, 1.15 ± 0.1 ms vs. Sst-Cre $x Tsc1^{fl/fl}$, 1.11 ± 0.14 ms, P = 0.82) and decay time (G, Control, 5.94 ± 0.49 ms vs. Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl}, 5.71 ± 0.54 ms, P = 0.74) between the mutant and control animals. h-i Pooled data showing no significant differences in averaged GABAR-mIPSC amplitude (H, Control, 11.2 ± 0.42 pA vs. Sst-Cre x $T_{SC1}^{fl/fl}$, 11.5 ± 0.77 pA, P = 0.74) and frequency (I, Control, 3.26 ± 0.37 Hz vs. S_{St} -Cre x $T_{SC1}^{fl/fl}$, 3.29 ± 0.55 Hz, P = 0.97) between the mutant and control animals. j Top, averaged GABAR-mIPSCs obtained from control (blue, shown in A) and Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} (red, shown in B) mice, bottom, normalization and superposition of the above averaged GABAR-mIPSCs. Scale bars: 4 pA, 20 ms. k-I Pooled data showing no significant difference in averaged GABAR-mIPSC rise time (K, Control, 1.83 ± 0.2 ms vs. Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl}, 1.75 ± 0.21 ms, P = 0.79) and decay time (L, Control, 14.45 ± 0.66) ms vs. Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl}, 14.16 \pm 1.45 ms, P = 0.85) between the mutant and control animals. For pooled data, unpaired two-tailed t-test was used, the open diamonds represent individual cells and the filled diamonds are means of the experiments in groups, n = 7/1 for control and n = 9/2 for Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} groups

multiple interneuron subtypes and is accountable for hyperexcitability.

E/I balance in TSC and other models of neurodevelopmental disorders

The E/I imbalance has been implicated in both epilepsy and autism [7-12]. Although seizures can arise from cortical tubers in TSC, recent studies indicate that grossly normal cortical circuit outside of the tuber can be a source of epilepsy [47, 48]. Aberrant synapse formation and function are also well-documented in animal models of TSC. For example, previous studies documented morphological changes of neurons including axonal growth [49, 50], and dendritic spine pruning [51], which account for abnormal connectivity. Tsc2 heterozygous mutant mice have impaired L-LTP and long-term memory [17]. Tsc1-suppressed neurons with RNA interference have an increase in AMPAR currents [18], and removal of the floxed *Tsc1* gene using an AAV that contains Cre recombinase cDNA diminished metabotropic glutamate receptor-dependent LTD in the hippocampus [20].

We find decreased GABAR-mIPSC frequency in the *Syn1-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mice which are deleted with the gene in all neurons [42, 46]. This pan-neuronal mouse strain also exhibits reductions in both total and surface expressions of GABA_AR- α 1 subunit. Our results are in line with previous reports in mouse and human brains. Specifically, hippocampal neurons in *CamkIIa*-*Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl/fl*} mice also show a reduction in mIPSCs but normal properties of mEPSCs [21]. Further, a reduction in GABAA receptor subunit and benzodiazepine binding has been shown in tissues from TSC patients [52, 53]. Together, these findings suggest that hyperexcitability in TSC results from a reduction in GABAAergic inputs on the pyramidal neuron.

Preserved E/I balance of pyramidal cell in both PV(+) and SST(+) interneuron-specific *Tsc1* knockout mice

In the cortical circuit, interneurons are remarkably heterogeneous and form proper connections during development [22–27, 32, 54]. Different inhibitory neuron subtypes connect with specific subcellular compartments of pyramidal cells, resulting in highly specialized computations of cortical activity. Recent advances in interneuron-specific-Cre mouse strains enable dissecting synaptic functions of each cell-type [28, 29]. For example, PV (+) and SST (+) cells play complementary roles in computing sensory inputs [34–37]. Accordingly, one can assume that malfunctioning of a specific GABAergic input corresponds to particular neurological and psychiatric symptoms [25, 55, 56].

Circuit analyses using cell-type specific mutant mice have been adopted to study the specific synaptic connection in a local brain circuit that underlies hyperexcitability [43, 44]. For example, a mouse model of Rett syndrome showed seizures when *Mecp2* was removed from all interneurons [57]. Furthermore, analyses of cell-type specific knockout mice indicate that the two interneuron subtypes play distinct roles; *Mecp2* deletion in PV(+) neurons causes motor, sensory, memory, and social deficits while the gene deletion in SST (+) neurons causes seizures and stereotypies [38]. Interestingly, removal of MeCP2 from forebrain excitatory neurons also disrupts GABAergic inhibition of the excitatory cells and results in spontaneous seizures [58]. These studies indicate inhibitory synapses onto the pyramidal neuron as a synaptic substrate of hyperexcitability.

Another example is the autosomal-dominant SCN1A sodium channel mutation that underlies Dravet syndrome, a severe epileptic encephalopathy that begins in childhood [59]. In constitutive $Scn1a^{-/+}$ mice, the excitability of both PV- and SST-positive cells is reduced, suggesting that an E/I imbalance occurs in both interneuron subtypes [60]. Moreover, selective disruption of the *Scn1a* gene in PV-positive [41, 61] or SST-positive [62] cells increased susceptibility to seizures.

We asked whether a specific interneuron-type is critical for hyperexcitability in the cortical circuit of TSC and initially focused on PV (+) cells. However, we find both AMPAR-mEPSCs and GABAR-mIPSCs are normal in layer 2/3 pyramidal cells of the Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mouse visual cortex. One caution is that the Cre expression becomes complete around P60 in Pv-Cre mice [40, 63] although younger animals express adequate amounts of Cre-recombinase in the cortex around P 30 [64-66]. While Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice lived beyond P 60 without seizures, Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice typically start dying by P 20 and do not survive till P60. Therefore, we have analyzed age-matched animals around P30 to minimize age-related biological variables. Interestingly, we found a small but significant change in the mIPSC decay time of $Pv-Cre \times Tscl^{fl/fl}$ mouse pyramidal cells (Fig. 5l). $GABA_AR-\alpha 1$ subunit is expressed in both soma and dendrites and is accountable for a developmental decrease in the GABAR-mIPSC decay time [67]. One possibility is Pv-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mouse have pyramidal cells have an alteration in GABAR subunit composition that leads to a delayed developmental subunit switch or pathological receptor regulation. However, further study is needed.

Sst-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice also exhibit intact properties of both AMPAR-mEPSCs and GABAR-mIPSCs. These results rule out two major groups of interneurons as the origin of hyperexcitability in the local circuit of Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mouse visual cortex. On the other hand, Syn1-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice also have reductions in both total and surface expressions of the GABA_AR- α 1 subunit, which is accountable for electrophysiological hyperexcitability of pyramidal neurons. Our results are in line with a study of pyramidal-neuron-specific *CamkII* α -*Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl*/*fl*} mouse, which also shows a reduction in GABAR-mIPSCs but not in AMPAR-mEPSCs [21]. Thus, one possibility is that altered GABAR expression in pyramidal neurons is the primary source of hyperexcitability in TSC.

However, other studies suggest that hyperexcitability in TSC arises from a functional defect in interneurons themselves. For example, Dlx5/6-Cre x Tsc1^{fl/fl} mice targeting ventral neural progenitor cells that give rise interneurons in the neocortex and hippocampus exhibited impaired postnatal growth with approximately 50% dying prematurely [68]. While these mice did not have spontaneous seizures, they had a lower threshold to flurothyl-induced myoclonic jerks. Intriguingly, this conditional knockout strain exhibit a 40% reduction of NPY (+) interneurons and 33% reduction of CR (+) interneurons in the neocortex as compared with littermate controls while no alterations were seen in subtypes positive for PV, SST, or vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP). There is also a study focusing on a different subset of cortical GABAergic interneuron progenitors. This work characterized the Nkx2.1-Cre x Pten fl/fl mouse, which also exhibits mTOR hyperactivity similarly to Tsc1 knockout mice, have a preferential reduction in SST (+) neurons relative to PV (+) cells and show deficits in social behavior [69]. Interestingly, PV (+) interneurons have ectopic projections in layer 1, and the target pyramidal neurons have increased IPSC frequency. Despite the difference in their observations, one implication for interpreting our results is that hyperexcitability in TSC may be derived from interneuron progenitor cells that give rise to multiple inhibitory neuron subtypes. Another possibility is that an inhibitory neuron subtype other than PV- and SST-positive cells may be responsible for E/I imbalance in TSC. Another aspect is that mouse models of various neurogenetic diseases exhibit dissimilar involvement of excitatory and inhibitory neurons, reflecting idiosyncratic presentations of symptoms and their onsets.

We have found a reduction in inhibitory inputs to pyramidal neurons in pan-neuron-specific *Tsc1* knockout mice. Our findings corroborate previous studies and support the rationale for the use of GABA uptake inhibitor vigabatrin to treat epilepsy associated with TSC, such as infantile spasm. While our analyses of *Pv-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl*,*fl*} and *Sst-Cre* x *Tsc1*^{*fl*,*fl*} mice did not reveal evidence for E/I imbalance in pyramidal cells of the layer 2/3 visual cortex, further studies are needed to disentangle pathological connectivity underlying hyperexcitability in TSC. Identification of the specific cell type(s) that is responsible for epilepsy and cognitive deficits associated with TSC will facilitate developing a novel therapeutics.

Abbreviations

AMPAR: α-amino-3-hydroxyl-S-methylisoazole-4-propionate receptor; AMPARmEPSCs: AMPAR-mediated miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents; E/I balance: Excitation/inhibition balance; GABA_AR-α1: Gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor subunit alpha-1 subunit; GABAR: Gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor; GABAR-mIPSCs: GABAR-mediated miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents; L-LTP: Late long-term potentiation; mTOR: Mammalian target of rapamycin; PV: Parvalbumin; SST: Somatostatin; TSC: Tuberous sclerosis complex

Acknowledgments

Authors thank Dr. Martha Constantine-Paton for her guidance.

Funding

Akira Yoshii is supported by DoD TSCRP Career Transition Award (W81XWH-09-1-0088), NARSAD Young Investigator Award (Grant ID: 21345) and the University of Illinois at Chicago start-up funds.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

AY and JPZ performed the experiments and analyzed data. AY conceived the study, planned all experiments, and wrote the manuscript. Both authors contributed to writing the manuscript and approved the final version.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was carried out in accordance with the principles of the Basel Declaration and recommendations of MIT, UIC and NIH guidelines on the humane care of animals. The protocol was approved by the MIT- and UIC-IACUC. All animal manipulations were approved by the MIT- and UIC-IACUC and were performed in accord with its guidelines.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Anatomy & Cell Biology, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA. ²Department of Brain and Cognitive Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA. ³McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA.

Received: 19 November 2018 Accepted: 13 January 2019 Published online: 25 January 2019

References

- Hensch TK. Critical period plasticity in local cortical circuits. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2005;6:877–88.
- Katagiri H, Fagiolini M, Hensch TK. Optimization of somatic inhibition at critical period onset in mouse visual cortex. Neuron. 2007;53:805–12.
- Maffei A, Nataraj K, Nelson SB, Turrigiano GG. Potentiation of cortical inhibition by visual deprivation. Nature. 2006;443:81–4.
- Yazaki-Sugiyama Y, Kang S, Câteau H, Fukai T, Hensch TK. Bidirectional plasticity in fast-spiking GABA circuits by visual experience. Nature. 2009;462: 218–21.
- 5. Hensch TK. Critical period regulation. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2004;27:549-79.
- Dorrn AL, Yuan K, Barker AJ, Schreiner CE, Froemke RC. Developmental sensory experience balances cortical excitation and inhibition. Nature. 2010; 465:932–6.
- Rubenstein JLR, Merzenich MM. Model of autism: increased ratio of excitation/inhibition in key neural systems. Genes Brain Behav. 2003;2: 255–67.

- Geschwind DH, Levitt P. Autism spectrum disorders: developmental disconnection syndromes. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2007;17:103–11.
- Bourgeron T. From the genetic architecture to synaptic plasticity in autism spectrum disorder. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2015;16:551–63.
- Nelson SB, Valakh V. Excitatory/inhibitory balance and circuit homeostasis in autism Spectrum disorders. Neuron. 2015;87:684–98.
- Buckley AW, Holmes GL. Epilepsy and autism. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2016;6:a022749.
- 12. Staley K. Molecular mechanisms of epilepsy. Nat Neurosci. 2015;18:367-72.
- Crino PB, Nathanson KL, Henske EP. The Tuberous Sclerosis Complex. NEJM. 2006;355:1345–56.
- 14. Sarbassov DD, Ali SM, Sabatini DM. Growing roles for the mTOR pathway. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2005;17:596–603.
- 15. Hoeffer CA, Klann E. mTOR signaling: at the crossroads of plasticity, memory and disease. Trends Neurosci. 2010;33:67–75.
- 16. Yoshii A, Constantine-Paton M. Postsynaptic BDNF-TrkB signaling in synapse maturation, plasticity, and disease. Dev Neurobiol. 2010;70:304–22.
- Ehninger D, Han S, Shilyansky C, Zhou Y, Li W, Kwiatkowski DJ, Ramesh V, Silva AJ. Reversal of learning deficits in a Tsc2+/– mouse model of tuberous sclerosis. Nat Med. 2008;14:843–8.
- Tavazoie SF, Alvarez VA, Ridenour DA, Kwiatkowski DJ, Sabatini BL. Regulation of neuronal morphology and function by the tumor suppressors Tsc1 and Tsc2. Nat Neurosci. 2005;8:1727–34.
- 19. Auerbach BD, Osterweil EK, Bear MF. Mutations causing syndromic autism define an axis of synaptic pathophysiology. Nature. 2011;480:63-8.
- Bateup HS, Takasaki KT, Saulnier JL, Denefrio CL, Sabatini BL. Loss of Tsc1 in vivo impairs hippocampal mGluR-LTD and increases excitatory synaptic function. J Neurosci. 2011;31:8862–9.
- Bateup HS, Johnson CA, Denefrio CL, Saulnier JL, Kornacker K, Sabatini BL. Excitatory/inhibitory synaptic imbalance leads to hippocampal Hyperexcitability in mouse models of tuberous sclerosis. Neuron. 2013;78:510–22.
- 22. Huang ZJ, Di Cristo G, Ango F. Development of GABA innervation in the cerebral and cerebellar cortices. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2007;8:673–86.
- Markram H, Toledo-Rodriguez M, Wang Y, Gupta A, Silberberg G, Wu C. Interneurons of the neocortical inhibitory system. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2004;5: 793–807.
- 24. Kepecs A, Fishell G. Interneuron cell types are fit to function. Nature. 2014; 505:318–26.
- Marín O. Interneuron dysfunction in psychiatric disorders. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2012;13:107–20.
- 26. Huang ZJ. Toward a genetic dissection of cortical circuits in the mouse. Neuron. 2014;83:1284–302.
- 27. Tremblay R, Lee S, Rudy B. GABAergic interneurons in the neocortex: from cellular properties to circuits. Neuron. 2016;91:260–92.
- Madisen L, Zwingman TA, Sunkin SM, Oh SW, Zariwala HA, Gu H, Ng LL, Palmiter RD, Hawrylycz MJ, Jones AR, Lein ES, Zeng H. A robust and highthroughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nat Publ Group. 2009;13:133–40.
- Taniguchi H, He M, Wu P, Kim S, Paik R, Sugino K, Kvitsani D, Fu Y, Lu J, Lin Y, Miyoshi G, Shima Y, Fishell G, Nelson SB, Huang ZJ. A resource of Cre driver lines for genetic targeting of GABAergic neurons in cerebral cortex. Neuron. 2011;71:995–1013.
- Taniguchi H, Lu J, Huang ZJ. The spatial and temporal origin of chandelier cells in mouse neocortex. Science. 2013;339:70–4.
- Chattopadhyaya B. Experience and activity-dependent maturation of Perisomatic GABAergic innervation in primary visual cortex during a postnatal critical period. J Neurosci. 2004;24:9598–611.
- 32. DeFelipe J, López-Cruz PL, Benavides-Piccione R, Bielza C, Larrañaga P, Anderson S, Burkhalter A, Cauli B, Fairén A, Feldmeyer D, Fishell G, Fitzpatrick D, Freund TF, González-Burgos G, Hestrin S, Hill S, Hof PR, Huang J, Jones EG, Kawaguchi Y, Kisvárday Z, Kubota Y, Lewis DA, Marín O, Markram H, McBain CJ, Meyer HS, Monyer H, Nelson SB, Rockland K, et al. New insights into the classification and nomenclature of cortical GABAergic interneurons. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2013;14:202-16.
- Silberberg G, Markram H. Disynaptic inhibition between neocortical pyramidal cells mediated by Martinotti cells. Neuron. 2007;53:735–46.
- Atallah BV, Bruns W, Carandini M, Scanziani M. Parvalbumin-expressing interneurons linearly transform cortical responses to visual stimuli. Neuron. 2012;73:159–70.
- Adesnik H, Bruns W, Taniguchi H, Huang ZJ, Scanziani M. A neural circuit for spatial summation in visual cortex. Nature. 2012;490:226–31.

- Wilson NR, Runyan CA, Wang FL, Sur M. Division and subtraction by distinct cortical inhibitory networks in vivo. Nature. 2012;488:343–8.
- Lee S-H, Kwan AC, Zhang S, Phoumthipphavong V, Flannery JG, Masmanidis SC, Taniguchi H, Huang ZJ, Zhang F, Boyden ES, Deisseroth K, Dan Y. Activation of specific interneurons improves V1 feature selectivity and visual perception. Nature. 2012;488:379–83.
- Ito-Ishida A, Ure K, Chen H, Swann JW, Zoghbi HY. Loss of MeCP2 in Parvalbumin-and somatostatin- expressing neurons in mice leads to distinct Rett syndrome-like phenotypes. Neuron. 2015;88:651–8.
- Krishnan K, Wang B-S, Lu J, Wang L, Maffei A, Cang J, Huang ZJ. MeCP2 regulates the timing of critical period plasticity that shapes functional connectivity in primary visual cortex. PNAS. 2015;112:E4782–91.
- Banerjee A, Rikhye RV, Breton-Provencher V, Tang X, Li C, Li K, Runyan CA, Fu Z, Jaenisch R, Sur M. Jointly reduced inhibition and excitation underlies circuit-wide changes in cortical processing in Rett syndrome. PNAS. 2016; 113:E7287–96.
- 41. Ogiwara I, Iwasato T, Miyamoto H, Iwata R, Yamagata T, Mazaki E, Yanagawa Y, Tamamaki N, Hensch TK, Itohara S, Yamakawa K. Nav1.1 haploinsufficiency in excitatory neurons ameliorates seizure-associated sudden death in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome. Hum Mol Genet. 2013;22:4784–804.
- Meikle L, Talos DM, Onda H, Pollizzi K, Rotenberg A, Sahin M, Jensen FE, Kwiatkowski DJ. A mouse model of tuberous sclerosis: neuronal loss of Tsc1 causes dysplastic and ectopic neurons, reduced myelination, seizure activity, and limited survival. J Neurosci. 2007;27:5546–58.
- Noebels J. Pathway-driven discovery of epilepsy genes. Nat Neurosci. 2015; 18:344–50.
- Soltesz I, Alger BE, Kano M, Lee S-H, Lovinger DM, Ohno-Shosaku T, Watanabe M. Weeding out bad waves: towards selective cannabinoid circuit control in epilepsy. Nat Publ Group. 2015;16:264–77.
- 45. Goel A, Cantu DA, Guilfoyle J, Chaudhari GR, Newadkar A, Todisco B, Alba D, Kourdougli N, Schmitt LM, Pedapati E, Erickson CA, Portera-Cailliau C. Impaired perceptual learning in a mouse model of fragile X syndrome is mediated by parvalbumin neuron dysfunction and is reversible. Nat Publ Group. 2018;21:1–14.
- 46. Meikle L, Pollizzi K, Egnor A, Kramvis I, Lane H, Sahin M, Kwiatkowski DJ. Response of a neuronal model of tuberous sclerosis to mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors: effects on mTORC1 and Akt signaling Lead to improved survival and function. J Neurosci. 2008;28:5422–32.
- Asano E, Chugani DC, Muzik O, Shen C, Juhász C, Janisse J, Ager J, Canady A, Shah JR, Shah AK, Watson C, Chugani HT. Multimodality imaging for improved detection of epileptogenic foci in tuberous sclerosis complex. Neurology. 2000;54:1976–84.
- Asano E, Muzik O, Shah A, Juhász C, Chugani DC, Kagawa K, Benedek K, Sood S, Gotman J, Chugani HT. Quantitative visualization of ictal subdural EEG changes in children with neocortical focal seizures. Clin Neurophysiol. 2004;115:2718–27.
- Choi YJ, Di Nardo A, Kramvis I, Meikle L, Kwiatkowski DJ, Sahin M, He X. Tuberous sclerosis complex proteins control axon formation. Genes Dev. 2008;22:2485–95.
- Nie D, Di Nardo A, Han JM, Baharanyi H, Kramvis I, Huynh T, Dabora S, Codeluppi S, Pandolfi PP, Pasquale EB, Sahin M. Tsc2-Rheb signaling regulates EphA-mediated axon guidance. Nat Neurosci. 2010;13:163–72.
- Tang G, Gudsnuk K, Kuo S-H, Cotrina ML, Rosoklija G, Sosunov A, Sonders MS, Kanter E, Castagna C, Yamamoto A, Yue Z, Arancio O, Peterson BS, Champagne F, Dwork AJ, Goldman J, Sulzer D. Loss of mTOR-dependent macroautophagy causes autistic-like synaptic pruning deficits. Neuron. 2014; 83:1131–43.
- Mori K, Mori T, Toda Y, Fujii E, Miyazaki M, Harada M, Kagami S. Decreased benzodiazepine receptor and increased GABA level in cortical tubers in tuberous sclerosis complex. Brain Dev. 2012;34:478–86.
- Talos DM, Sun H, Kosaras B, Joseph A, Folkerth RD, Poduri A, Madsen JR, Black PM, Jensen FE. Altered inhibition in tuberous sclerosis and type IIb cortical dysplasia. Ann Neurol. 2012;71:539–51.
- 54. Petilla Interneuron Nomenclature Group, Ascoli GA, Alonso-Nanclares L, Anderson SA, Barrionuevo G, Benavides-Piccione R, Burkhalter A, Buzsáki G, Cauli B, DeFelipe J, Fairén A, Feldmeyer D, Fishell G, Fregnac Y, Freund TF, Gardner D, Gardner EP, Goldberg JH, Helmstaedter M, Hestrin S, Karube F, Kisvárday ZF, Lambolez B, Lewis DA, Marín O, Markram H, Muñoz A, Packer A, CCH P, Rockland KS, et al. Petilla terminology: nomenclature of features of GABAergic interneurons of the cerebral cortex. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2008;9: 557–68.

- 55. Le Magueresse C, Monyer H. GABAergic interneurons shape the functional maturation of the cortex. Neuron. 2013;77:388–405.
- Lewis DA, Hashimoto T, Volk DW. Cortical inhibitory neurons and schizophrenia. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2005;6:312–24.
- Chao H-T, Chen H, Samaco RC, Xue M, Chahrour M, Yoo J, Neul JL, Gong S, Lu H-C, Heintz N, Ekker M, Rubenstein JLR, Noebels JL, Rosenmund C, Zoghbi HY. Dysfunction in GABA signalling mediates autism-like stereotypies and Rett syndrome phenotypes. Nature. 2010;468:263–9.
- Zhang W, Peterson M, Beyer B, Frankel WN, Zhang Z-W. Loss of MeCP2 from forebrain excitatory neurons leads to cortical hyperexcitation and seizures. J Neurosci. 2014;34:2754–63.
- Dravet C, Bureau M, Oguni H, Fukuyama Y, Cokar O. Severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy: Dravet syndrome. Adv Neurol. 2005;95:71–102.
- Tai C, Abe Y, Westenbroek RE, Scheuer T, Catterall WA. Impaired excitability of somatostatin- and parvalbumin-expressing cortical interneurons in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome. PNAS. 2014;111:E3139–48.
- Dutton SB, Makinson CD, Papale LA, Shankar A, Balakrishnan B, Nakazawa K, Escayg A. Preferential inactivation of Scn1a in parvalbumin interneurons increases seizure susceptibility. Neurobiol Dis. 2013;49:211–20.
- Rubinstein M, Han S, Tai C, Westenbroek RE, Hunker A, Scheuer T, Catterall WA. Dissecting the phenotypes of Dravet syndrome by gene deletion. Brain. 2015;138:2219–33.
- Cardin JA, Carlen M, Meletis K, Knoblich U, Zhang F, Deisseroth K, Tsai L-H, Moore Cl. Driving fast-spiking cells induces gamma rhythm and controls sensory responses. Nature. 2009;459:663-7.
- Mierau SB, Patrizi A, Hensch TK, Fagiolini M. Cell-specific regulation of Nmethyl-D-aspartate receptor maturation by Mecp2 in cortical circuits. Biol Psychiatry. 2016;79:746–54.
- He L-J, Liu N, Cheng T-L, Chen X-J, Li Y-D, Shu Y-S, Qiu Z-L, Zhang X-H. Conditional deletion of Mecp2 in parvalbumin-expressing GABAergic cells results in the absence of critical period plasticity. Nat Commun. 2014;5:5036.
- Xue M, Atallah BV, Scanziani M. Equalizing excitation-inhibition ratios across visual cortical neurons. Nature. 2014;511:596–600.
- Mody I, Pearce RA. Diversity of inhibitory neurotransmission through GABAA receptors. Trends Neurosci. 2004;27:569–75.
- Fu C, Cawthon B, Clinkscales W, Bruce A, Winzenburger P, Ess KC. GABAergic interneuron development and function is modulated by the Tsc1 gene. Cereb Cortex. 2012;22:2111–9.
- 69. Vogt D, Cho KKA, Lee AT, Sohal VS, Rubenstein JLR. The Parvalbumin/ somatostatin ratio is increased in Pten mutant mice and by human PTEN ASD alleles. Cell Rep. 2017;11:1–14.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

