

MIT Open Access Articles

Truncated convolution of character sheaves

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. **Please share** how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Lusztig, G., "Truncated convolution of character sheaves." Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics, Academia sinica 10, 1 (2015): p. 1-57 url https://web.math.sinica.edu.tw/bulletin_ns/20151/2015101.pdf ©2015 Author(s)

As Published: https://web.math.sinica.edu.tw/bulletin_ns/20151/2015101.pdf

Persistent URL: <https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/124900>

Version: Original manuscript: author's manuscript prior to formal peer review

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike



TRUNCATED CONVOLUTION OF CHARACTER SHEAVES

G. LUSZTIG

INTRODUCTION

0.1. Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field. Let G be a reductive connected group over \mathbf{k} . Let W be the Weyl group of G and let \mathbf{c} be a two-sided cell of W . Let $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}G$ the category of perverse sheaves on G which are direct sums of unipotent character sheaves whose associated two-sided cell (see 1.5) is \mathbf{c} and let $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2$ be the category of semisimple G -equivariant perverse sheaves on \mathcal{B}^2 (the product of two copies of the flag manifold) which belong to \mathbf{c} . Now $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2$ has a structure of monoidal category (truncated convolution) introduced in [L7] such that the induced ring structure on the Grothendieck group is the J -ring attached to \mathbf{c} , see [L8, 18.3]. In this paper, we define and study a structure of braided monoidal category (truncated convolution) on $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}G$ in the case where

(a) \mathbf{k} is an algebraic closure of a finite field \mathbf{F}_q ,

thus proving a conjecture in [L9]. In the case where \mathbf{k} has characteristic zero such a monoidal structure was defined by Bezrukavnikov, Finkelberg and Ostrik [BFO2] (in the language of D -modules), who also proved in that case

(b) the existence of an equivalence between $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}G$ and the centre of the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2$

and conjectured that (b) holds without restriction on the characteristic. Note that (b) is made plausible by the fact that, as a consequence of a conjecture in the last paragraph of [L7, 3.2] and of the classification of unipotent character sheaves in [L4], the simple objects of the centre of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2$ should be in bijection with the simple objects of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}G$. (The idea that the derived category of character sheaves with unspecified \mathbf{c} is equivalent to the centre of the derived category of G -equivariant sheaves on \mathcal{B}^2 with unspecified \mathbf{c} , appeared in Ben-Zvi and Nadler's paper [BN] and in [BFO2], again in characteristic zero; we refer to this case as the “untruncated” case.)

In this paper we prove (b) in the case where \mathbf{k} is as in (a), see Theorem 9.5. (In the remainder of this paper we assume that \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{F}_q are as in (a).) Much of the proof involves the definition and study of truncated versions $\underline{\chi}, \underline{\zeta}, \underline{*}$ of several known functors $\chi, \zeta, *$ in the untruncated case. Here χ is the known induction

Supported in part by National Science Foundation grant 1303060.

functor from complexes on \mathcal{B}^2 to complexes on G which I used in the 1980's in the definition of character sheaves; ζ is an adjoint of χ which I used in the late 1980's to characterize the character sheaves (see 2.5); $*$ is the convolution of complexes of sheaves on G defined by Ginzburg [Gi]. The truncated version $\underline{\chi}$ of χ has been already used (but not named) in [L4]. Note that our definition of the truncated convolution $\underline{*}$ and truncated restriction $\underline{\zeta}$ involves in an essential way the weight filtrations; it is not clear how these operations are related to the corresponding operations in characteristic zero considered in [BFO2] where weight filtrations do not appear. (In our definition of $\underline{\chi}$ the consideration of weight filtrations is not necessary.) Much of this paper is concerned with establishing various connections between $\underline{\chi}$, $\underline{\zeta}$, $\underline{*}$. One of these connections, the adjointness of $\underline{\chi}$ and $\underline{\zeta}$ (of which the untruncated version holds by definition) is here surprisingly complicated. We first prove a weak form of it (§8) which we use in the proof of Theorem 9.5 and we then use Theorem 9.5 to prove its full form (Theorem 9.8).

In §10 we discuss the possibility of a noncrystallographic extension of some of our results, making use of [EW].

Throughout this paper we assume that we have a fixed split \mathbf{F}_q -structure on G .

This paper contains several references to results in [L4] which in *loc.cit.* are conditional on the cleanness of character sheaves; these references are justified since cleanness is now available (see [L13] and its references). This paper also contains several references to [L8,§14]; these are justified by the results in [L8,§15].

We will show elsewhere that the methods and results of this paper extend to non-unipotent character sheaves on G (at least when the centre of G is connected).

I wish to thank Victor Ostrik for some useful comments.

0.2. Notation. Let \mathcal{B} be the variety of Borel subgroups of G , with the \mathbf{F}_q -structure inherited from G . Let $\nu = \dim \mathcal{B}$, $\Delta = \dim(G)$, $\rho = \text{rk}(G)$. We shall view W as an indexing set for the orbits of G acting on $\mathcal{B}^2 := \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ by simultaneous conjugation; let \mathcal{O}_w be the orbit corresponding to $w \in W$ and let $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_w$ be the closure of \mathcal{O}_w in \mathcal{B}^2 . Note that $\mathcal{O}_w, \bar{\mathcal{O}}_w$ are naturally defined over \mathbf{F}_q . For $w \in W$ we set $|w| = \dim \mathcal{O}_w - \nu$ (the length of w). Define $w_{max} \in W$ by the condition $|w_{max}| = \nu$.

For $B \in \mathcal{B}$, let U_B be the unipotent radical of B . Then B/U_B is independent of B ; it is “the” maximal torus T of G . It inherits a split \mathbf{F}_q -structure from G . Let \mathcal{X} be the group of characters of T .

Let $\text{Rep}W$ be the category of finite dimensional representations of W over \mathbf{Q} ; let $\text{Irr}W$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible objects of $\text{Rep}W$. For any $E \in \text{Irr}W$ we denote by E^\dagger the object of $\text{Irr}W$ which is isomorphic to the tensor product of E and the sign representation.

For an algebraic variety X over \mathbf{k} we denote by $\mathcal{D}(X)$ the bounded derived category of constructible $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -sheaves on X (l is a fixed prime number invertible in \mathbf{k}); let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ consisting of perverse sheaves on X . If X has a fixed \mathbf{F}_q -structure X_0 , we denote by $\mathcal{D}_m(X)$ what in [BBD, 5.1.5] is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_m^b(X_0, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$. Note that any object $K \in \mathcal{D}_m(X)$ can be viewed as an

object of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ which will be denoted again by K . For $K \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ let $\mathcal{H}^i K$ be the i -th cohomology sheaf of K , $\mathcal{H}_x^i K$ its stalk at $x \in X$, and let K^i be the i -th perverse cohomology sheaf of K . For $K \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ (or $K \in \mathcal{D}_m(X)$) and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ we write $K[[n]] = K[n](n/2)$ where $[n]$ is a shift and $(n/2)$ is a Tate twist; we write $\mathfrak{D}(K)$ for the Verdier dual of K . Let $\mathcal{M}_m(X)$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_m(X)$ whose objects are in $\mathcal{M}(X)$. If $K \in \mathcal{M}_m(X)$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ we denote by $\mathcal{W}^j K$ the subobject of K which has weight $\leq j$ and is such that $K/\mathcal{W}^j K$ has weight $> j$, see [BBD, 5.3.5]; let $gr_j K = \mathcal{W}^j K/\mathcal{W}^{j-1} K$ be the associated pure perverse sheaf of weight j . For $K \in \mathcal{D}_m(X)$ we shall often write $K^{\{i\}}$ instead of $gr_i(K^i)(i/2)$.

If $K \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and A is a simple object of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ we denote by $(A : K)$ the multiplicity of A in a Jordan-Hölder series of K .

For $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $K \in \mathcal{D}_m(X)$ let $\tau_{\leq i} K \in \mathcal{D}_m(X)$ be what in [BBD] is denoted by ${}^p\tau_{\leq i} K$.

Assume that $C \in \mathcal{D}_m(X)$ and that $\{C_i; i \in I\}$ is a family of objects of $\mathcal{D}_m(X)$. We shall write $C \simeq \{C_i; i \in I\}$ if the following condition is satisfied: there exist distinct elements i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s in I , objects $C'_j \in \mathcal{D}_m(X)$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, s$) and distinguished triangles $(C'_{j-1}, C'_j, C_{i_j})$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ such that $C'_0 = 0$, $C'_s = C$; moreover, $C_i = 0$ unless $i = i_j$ for some $j \in [1, s]$. (See [L10, 32.15].)

We will denote by \mathbf{p} the variety consisting of one point. For any variety X let $\mathfrak{L}_X = \alpha_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \in \mathcal{D}_m X$ where $\alpha : X \times T \rightarrow X$ is the obvious projection. We sometimes write \mathfrak{L} instead of \mathfrak{L}_X .

Let v be an indeterminate. For any $\phi \in \mathbf{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$ and any $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ we write $(k; \phi)$ for the coefficient of v^k in ϕ . Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$.

CONTENTS

1. Preliminaries and truncated induction.
2. Truncated restriction.
3. Truncated convolution on \mathcal{B}^2 .
4. Truncated convolution on G .
5. Truncated convolution and truncated restriction.
6. Analysis of the composition $\underline{\zeta}\chi$.
7. Analysis of the composition $\underline{\zeta}\chi$ (continued).
8. Adjunction formula (weak form).
9. Equivalence of $\mathcal{C}^c G$ with the centre of $\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2$.
10. Remarks on the noncrystallographic case.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND TRUNCATED INDUCTION

1.1. For $y \in W$ let $L_y \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$ be the constructible sheaf which is $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ (with the standard mixed structure of pure weight 0) on \mathcal{O}_y and is 0 on $\mathcal{B}^2 - \mathcal{O}_y$; let $L_y^\sharp \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$ be its extension to an intersection cohomology complex of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_y$ (equal to 0 on $\mathcal{B}^2 - \bar{\mathcal{O}}_y$). Let $\mathbf{L}_y = L_y^\sharp[[|y| + \nu]] \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$.

Let $r \geq 1$. For $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r) \in W^r$ we set $|\mathbf{w}| = |w_1| + \dots + |w_r|$.

For any $i < i'$ in $[1, r]$ let $p_{i,i'} : \mathcal{B}^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ be the projection to the i, i' factors. From the definitions we see that

$$L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]} := p_{01}^* L_{w_1}^\# \otimes p_{12}^* L_{w_2}^\# \otimes \dots \otimes p_{r-1,r}^* L_{w_r}^\# \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^{r+1})$$

is the intersection cohomology complex of the projective variety

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]} = \{(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r) \in \mathcal{B}^{r+1}; (B_{i-1}, B_i) \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{w_i} \forall i \in [1, r]\}$$

extended by 0 on $\mathcal{B}^{r+1} - \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}$ (it has the standard mixed structure of pure weight 0). For any $J \subset [1, r]$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^J &= \{(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r) \in \mathcal{B}^{r+1}; (B_{i-1}, B_i) \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{w_i} \forall i \in J, (B_{i-1}, B_i) \in \mathcal{O}_{w_i} \\ &\forall i \in [1, r] - J\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $i_J : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^J \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}$ (resp. $i'_J : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]} - \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^J \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}$) be the obvious open (resp. closed) imbedding and let $L_{\mathbf{w}}^J \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^{r+1})$ (resp. $\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^{r+1})$) be $i_J^* L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}$ (resp. $i'_J{}^* L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}$) extended by 0 on $\mathcal{B}^{r+1} - \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^J$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^{r+1} - (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]} - \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^J)$); we have a distinguished triangle

$$(a) \quad (L_{\mathbf{w}}^J, L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}, \dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J)$$

in $\mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^{r+1})$. We have the following result.

(b) *For any $h \in \mathbf{Z}$, any composition factor of $(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J)^h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}^{r+1})$ is of the form $L_{\mathbf{w}'}^{[1,r]}[|\mathbf{w}'| + \nu]$ for some $\mathbf{w}' = (w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_r) \in W^r$ such that $w_i = w'_i$ for all $i \in J$.*

By a standard argument this can be reduced to the case where $r = 1$. We then use the fact that $(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J)^h \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}^2)$ is equivariant for the diagonal G -action and all G -equivariant simple perverse sheaves on \mathcal{B}^2 are of the form \mathbf{L}_y for some $y \in W$.

We show:

(c) $(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu - 1])^j = 0$ for any $j > 0$.

It is enough to show that $\dim \text{supp} \mathcal{H}^h(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu - 1]) \leq -h$ for any $h \in \mathbf{Z}$. Assume first that $h \leq -|\mathbf{w}| - \nu$. Since $L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}$ is an intersection cohomology complex, we have

$$\dim \text{supp} \mathcal{H}^{h-1}(L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu]) < -h + 1,$$

hence

$$\dim \text{supp} \mathcal{H}^{h-1}(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu]) < -h + 1,$$

hence

$$\dim \text{supp} \mathcal{H}^{h-1}(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu]) \leq -h,$$

hence

$$\dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{H}^h(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu - 1]) \leq -h.$$

Next we assume that $h = -|\mathbf{w}| - \nu + 1$. Then

$$\dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{H}^{h-1}(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu]) \leq \dim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]} - \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}^J) \leq |\mathbf{w}| + \nu - 1 = -h,$$

hence $\dim \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{H}^h(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu - 1]) \leq -h$. Now assume that $h \geq -|\mathbf{w}| - \nu + 2$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{h-1}(L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu]) = 0$ hence $\mathcal{H}^{h-1}(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu]) = 0$ hence $\mathcal{H}^h(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu - 1]) = 0$. This proves (c).

1.2. For ${}^1L, {}^2L, \dots, {}^rL$ in $\mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$ we set

$${}^1L \bullet {}^2L \bullet \dots \bullet {}^rL = p_{0r!}(p_{01}^* {}^1L \otimes p_{12}^* {}^2L \otimes \dots \otimes p_{r-1,r}^* {}^rL) \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2).$$

If $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r)$ is as in 1.1 we set

$$L_{\mathbf{w}}^{\bullet} = p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]} = L_{w_1}^{\#} \bullet L_{w_2}^{\#} \bullet \dots \bullet L_{w_r}^{\#} \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2).$$

If J is as in 1.1, then

$$(a) \quad p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^J = {}^1L \bullet {}^2L \bullet \dots \bullet {}^rL \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$$

where ${}^iL = L_{w_i}^{\#}$ for $i \in J$, ${}^iL = L_{w_i}$ for $i \in [1, r] - J$.

Using the decomposition theorem [BBD] for the proper map p_{0r} , we see that

$$(b) \quad L_{\mathbf{w}}^{\bullet}[|\mathbf{w}|] \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W, k \in \mathbf{Z}} (L_w^{\#}[k + |w|])^{\oplus N(w, k)}$$

in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^2)$ where $N(w, k) \in \mathbf{N}$.

1.3. Let \mathbf{H} be the Hecke algebra of W (see [L8, 3.2] with $L(w) = |w|$) over \mathcal{A} and let $\{c_w; w \in W\}$ be the “new” basis of \mathbf{H} , see [L8, 5.2]. As in [L8, 13.1], for $x, y \in W$ we write $c_x c_y = \sum_{z \in W} h_{x,y,z} c_z$ where $h_{x,y,z} \in \mathcal{A}$. For $x, z \in W$ we write $z \preceq x$ if there exists $\xi \in \mathbf{H} c_x \mathbf{H}$ such that c_z appears with $\neq 0$ coefficient in the expansion of ξ in the new basis. This is a preorder on W . Recall that the two-sided cells of W are the equivalence classes associated to this preorder. For $x, y \in W$ we write $x \sim y$ if x, y belong to the same two-sided cell, that is $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq x$. For $x, y \in W$ we write $x \sim_L y$ if x, y belong to the same left cell of W , see [L8, 8.1]. If \mathbf{c} is a two-sided cell and $w \in W$ we write $w \preceq \mathbf{c}$ (resp. $\mathbf{c} \preceq w$) if $w \preceq w'$ (resp. $w' \preceq w$) for some $w' \in \mathbf{c}$; we write $w \prec \mathbf{c}$ (resp. $\mathbf{c} \prec w$) if $w \preceq \mathbf{c}$ (resp. $\mathbf{c} \preceq w$) and $w \notin \mathbf{c}$. If \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' are two-sided cells we write $\mathbf{c} \preceq \mathbf{c}'$ (resp. $\mathbf{c} \prec \mathbf{c}'$) if $w \preceq w'$ (resp. $w \prec w'$) for some $w \in \mathbf{c}, w' \in \mathbf{c}'$. Let $\mathbf{a} : W \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ be the \mathbf{a} -function in [L8, 13.6].

If \mathbf{c} is a two-sided cell, then for all $w \in \mathbf{c}$ we have $\mathbf{a}(w) = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{c})$ where $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{c})$ is a constant. Note that the numbers $N(w, k)$ in 1.2(b) satisfy:

$$(a) \quad c_{w_1} c_{w_2} \dots c_{w_r} = \sum_{w \in W} \phi_w c_w \quad \text{where} \quad \phi_w = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} N(w, k) v^k.$$

If $x, y, z \in W$ then

$$h_{x,y,z} = h_{x,y,z}^* v^{-\mathbf{a}(z)} + \text{higher powers of } v,$$

$$h_{x,y,z} = h_{x,y,z}^* v^{\mathbf{a}(z)} + \text{lower powers of } v$$

where $h_{x,y,z}^* \in \mathbf{N}$; moreover, if $h_{x,y,z} \neq 0$ then $\mathbf{a}(x) \leq \mathbf{a}(z)$, $\mathbf{a}(y) \leq \mathbf{a}(z)$ (see [L8, P4]); if $h_{x,y,z}^* \neq 0$ then $x \sim y \sim z$ (see [L8, P8]) hence $\mathbf{a}(x) = \mathbf{a}(y) = \mathbf{a}(z)$.

If \mathbf{c} is a two-sided cell of W then the subquotient

$$(\oplus_{w \in W; w \preceq \mathbf{c}} \mathbf{Q}C_w) / (\oplus_{w \in W; w \prec \mathbf{c}} \mathbf{Q}C_w)$$

of the group algebra $\mathbf{Q}[W]$ is naturally an object $[\mathbf{c}]$ of $\text{Rep}W$. If Λ is a left cell of W then the subquotient

$$(\oplus_{w \in W; w \in \Lambda \text{ or } w \prec \mathbf{c}} \mathbf{Q}C_w) / (\oplus_{w \in W; w \prec \mathbf{c}} \mathbf{Q}C_w)$$

of the group algebra $\mathbf{Q}[W]$ is naturally an object $[\Lambda]$ of $\text{Rep}W$.

For $E \in \text{Irr}W$, there is a unique two-sided cell \mathbf{c}_E of W such that $[\mathbf{c}_E]$ contains E . (This differs from the usual definition of two-sided cell attached to E by multiplication on the left or right by w_{max} .)

Until the end of §9 we fix a two-sided cell \mathbf{c} of W and we set $a = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{c})$.

Since for $w \in \mathbf{c}$ we have (in (a)):

$$\phi_w = \sum_{z_2, z_3, \dots, z_{r-1} \text{ in } W} h_{w_1, w_2, z_2} h_{z_2, w_3, z_3} \cdots h_{z_{r-1}, w_r, w},$$

we see that

(b)

$$N(w, k) \neq 0 \implies k \geq -(r-1)a; N(w, -(r-1)a) \neq 0 \implies w_i \in \mathbf{c} \text{ for all } i.$$

In addition, if w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r are in \mathbf{c} , then

$$(c) \quad N(w, -(r-1)a) = \sum h_{w_1, w_2, z_2}^* h_{z_2, w_3, z_3}^* \cdots h_{z_{r-1}, w_r, w}^*$$

where the sum is taken over all z_2, z_3, \dots, z_{r-1} in \mathbf{c} .

Let \mathbf{J} be the free \mathbf{Z} -module with basis $\{t_z; z \in W\}$. It is known (see [L8, 18.3]) that there is a well defined structure of associative ring (with 1) on \mathbf{J} such that if $x, y \in W$ then $t_x t_y = \sum_{z \in W} h_{x,y,z}^* t_z$. For each two-sided cell \mathbf{c}' let $\mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{c}'}$ be the subgroup of \mathbf{J} generated by $\{t_z; z \in \mathbf{c}'\}$. Then $\mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{c}'}$ is a subring of \mathbf{J} and we have $\mathbf{J} = \oplus_{\mathbf{c}'} \mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{c}'}$ (as rings) where \mathbf{c}' runs over the two-sided cells of W .

If w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r above are in \mathbf{c} then clearly,

$$(d) \quad t_{w_1} t_{w_2} \dots t_{w_r} = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{c}} N(w, -(r-1)a) t_w$$

where $N(w, -(r-1)a)$ is as in (c).

The unit element of $\mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{c}'}$ is $\sum_{d \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}'}} t_d$ where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}'}$ is the set of distinguished involutions of \mathbf{c}' . Let $\mathbf{D} = \cup_{\mathbf{c}'} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}'}$. We define $\psi : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbf{J}$ by $\psi(c_w) = \sum_{z \in W, d \in \mathbf{D}; \mathbf{a}(d) = \mathbf{a}(z)} h_{x,d,z} t_z$. From [L8, 18.8] we see that ψ is a homomorphism of \mathcal{A} -algebras with 1. Specializing v to 1 we get a ring homomorphism $\psi_1 : \mathbf{Z}[W] \rightarrow \mathbf{J}$ where $\mathbf{Z}[W]$ is the group algebra of W . This becomes an isomorphism $\psi_1^{\mathbf{Q}}$ after tensoring by \mathbf{Q} (see [L8, 20.1]). For $E \in \text{Irr}W$ we denote by E_∞ the simple $\mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathbf{J}$ -module which corresponds to E under $\psi_1^{\mathbf{Q}}$. Now the $\mathbf{Q}(v) \otimes \mathbf{J}$ -module $\mathbf{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} E_\infty$ can be regarded as a $\mathbf{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{H}$ -module $E(v)$ via the algebra homomorphism (actually an isomorphism) $\mathbf{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}(v) \otimes \mathbf{J}$ induced by ψ .

Let $\text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}}W = \{E \in \text{Irr}W; \mathbf{c}_E = \mathbf{c}\}$. Let $E \in \text{Irr}W$. From the definitions we see that we have $E \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}}W$ if and only if E_∞ is a simple $\mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{c}}$ -module. From the definitions, for any $z \in \mathbf{c}$ we have

$$(e) \quad \text{tr}(c_z, E(v)) = \text{tr}(t_z, E_\infty) v^a + \text{lower powers of } v.$$

Lemma 1.4. *Let $r \geq 1$ and let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r) \in W^r$.*

(a) *Assume that $w_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in [1, r]$ and that $w \in W, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ are such that $N(w, k)$ in 1.2(b) is $\neq 0$. Then either $w \in \mathbf{c}, k \geq -(r-1)a$ or $w \prec \mathbf{c}$; if $w \in \mathbf{c}$ and $k = -(r-1)a$, then $w_j \in \mathbf{c}$ for all $j \in [1, r]$.*

(b) *Assume that $w_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in [1, r]$. If $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ (resp. $j > \nu + (r-1)a$) then $(L_{\mathbf{w}}^\bullet[|\mathbf{w}|])^j$ is a direct sum of simple perverse sheaves of the form \mathbf{L}_z where $z \in W$ satisfies $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$ (resp. $z \prec \mathbf{c}$).*

(c) *Assume that $w_i \prec \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in [1, r]$ and that $w \in W, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ are such that $N(w, k)$ in 1.2(b) is $\neq 0$. Then $w \prec \mathbf{c}$.*

(d) *Assume that $w_i \prec \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in [1, r]$. If $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ then $(L_{\mathbf{w}}^\bullet[|\mathbf{w}|])^j$ is a direct sum of simple perverse sheaves of the form \mathbf{L}_z where $z \in W$ satisfies $z \prec \mathbf{c}$.*

We prove (a). If $r = 1$ the result is obvious (we have $k = 0$). We now assume that $r \geq 2$. From the definitions we see that there exists a permutation w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_r of w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r , a sequence z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r in W and a sequence f_2, \dots, f_r in $\mathbf{N}[v, v^{-1}]$ such that (i) $z_1 = w'_1 \in \mathbf{c}, z_r = w$, (ii) for any $i \in [2, r]$, c_{z_i} appears with coefficient f_i in $c_{z_{i-1}} c_{w'_i}$ or in $c_{w'_i} c_{z_{i-1}}$, (iii) $(k; f_2 f_3 \dots f_r) \neq 0$ (see 0.2). From the definition of \preceq we have $z_r \preceq z_{r-1} \preceq \dots \preceq z_2 \preceq z_1$. Hence $z_r \preceq \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}(z_r) \geq \mathbf{a}(z_{r-1}) \geq \dots \geq \mathbf{a}(z_2) \geq \mathbf{a}(z_0) = a$ (see [L8, P4]), $v^{\mathbf{a}(z_i)} f_i \in \mathbf{N}[v]$ for $i = 2, \dots, r$. Hence $v^{\mathbf{a}(z_2) + \dots + \mathbf{a}(z_r)} f_2 f_3 \dots f_r \in \mathbf{N}[v]$ so that $k + \mathbf{a}(z_2) + \dots + \mathbf{a}(z_r) \geq 0$. We also see that if $z_r \in \mathbf{c}$ so that $\mathbf{a}(z_r) = a$ then $\mathbf{a}(z_r) = \mathbf{a}(z_{r-1}) = \dots = \mathbf{a}(z_2) = a$ and $k + (r-1)a \geq 0$. Now assume that $z_r \in \mathbf{c}$ and $k = -(r-1)a$. Then $v^{\mathbf{a}(z_2) + \dots + \mathbf{a}(z_r)} f_2 f_3 \dots f_r \in \mathbf{N}[v]$ has $\neq 0$ constant term

hence $v^{\mathbf{a}(z_i)} f_i \in \mathbf{N}[v]$ has $\neq 0$ constant term for $i = 2, \dots, r$. Using [L8, P8], we deduce that z_i, z_{i-1}, w'_i are in the same two-sided cell for $i = 2, \dots, r$. Hence w'_2, \dots, w'_r are in \mathbf{c} . Since $w'_1 \in \mathbf{c}$, we see that w_1, \dots, w_r are in \mathbf{c} . This proves (a).

Note that in (a) we have necessarily $w \preceq \mathbf{c}$. Replacing \mathbf{c} in (a) by the two-sided cell containing w_i in (c) we deduce that (c) holds.

We prove (b). By 1.2(b) we have

$$(e) \quad (L_{\mathbf{w}}^{\bullet}[\|\mathbf{w}\|])^j \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W, k \in \mathbf{Z}} ((\mathbf{L}_w)^{j+k-\nu})^{\oplus N(w,k)} = \bigoplus_{w \in W} (\mathbf{L}_w)^{\oplus N(w, \nu-j)}.$$

Hence if \mathbf{L}_z appears as a summand in the last direct sum then $N(z, \nu - j) \neq 0$. Using (a) we see that $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$ and that $z \prec \mathbf{c}$ if $\nu - j < -(r-1)a$. This proves (b). The same proof, using (c) instead of (a) yields (d).

1.5. We consider the maps $\mathcal{B}^2 \xleftarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{\pi} G$ where

$$X = \{(B, B', g) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times G; gBg^{-1} = B'\}, f(B, B', g) = (B, B'), \pi(B, B', g) = g.$$

Now $L \mapsto \chi(L) = \pi_! f^* L$ defines a functor $\mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m(G)$. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}, L \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$ we write $\chi^i(L)$ instead of $(\chi(L))^i$.

The functor χ is the main tool used in the definition [L2] of (unipotent) character sheaves. For any $z \in W$ we set $R_z = \chi(L_z^{\sharp}) \in \mathcal{D}_m(G)$. A *unipotent character sheaf* is a simple perverse sheaf $A \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ such that $(A : R_z^j) \neq 0$ for some $z \in W, j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $CS(G)$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of unipotent character sheaves.

By [L4, 14.11], for any $A \in CS(G)$, any $z \in W$ and any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have

$$(a) \quad (A : R_z^j) = (j - \Delta - |z|; (-1)^{j-\Delta} \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}W} c_{A,E} \text{tr}(c_z, E(v)))$$

where $E(v)$ is as in 1.3 and $c_{A,E}$ are uniquely defined rational numbers; for $E' \in \text{Rep}(W)$ we set

$$c_{A,E'} = \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}W} (\text{multiplicity of } E \text{ in } E') c_{A,E}.$$

Moreover, given A , there is a unique two-sided cell \mathbf{c}_A of W such that $c_{A,E} = 0$ whenever $E \in \text{Irr}W$ satisfies $\mathbf{c}_E \neq \mathbf{c}_A$; this differs from the two-sided cell associated to A in [L4, 16.7] by multiplication on the left or right by w_{max} . Note that

(b) $(A : R_z^j) \neq 0$ for some $z \in \mathbf{c}_A, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and conversely, if $(A : R_z^j) \neq 0$ for $z \in W, j \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $\mathbf{c}_A \preceq z$;
see [L11, 41.8], [L12, 44.18].

For example, if $G = GL_2(\mathbf{k})$ and $W = \{1, s\}$, we have $CS(G) = \{A_0, A_1\}$ with $A_1 \not\cong A_0 = \mathbf{Q}_l[\Delta]$, and $R_1 = A_0[-\Delta] \oplus A_1[-\Delta]$, $R_s = A_0[-\Delta] \oplus A_0[-\Delta - 2]$.

Thus $R_1^\Delta \cong A_0 \oplus A_1$, $R_1^j = 0$ if $j \neq \Delta$ and $R_s^\Delta \cong A_0$, $R_s^{\Delta+2} \cong A_0$, $R_s^j = 0$ if $j \notin \{\Delta, \Delta + 2\}$. We have $\text{Irr}W = \{E_0, E_1\}$ where E_0 is the unit representation, E_1 is the sign representation and

$$\text{tr}(c_1, E_0(v)) = 1, \text{tr}(c_1, E_1(v)) = 1, \text{tr}(c_s, E_0(v)) = v + v^{-1}, \text{tr}(c_s, E_1(v)) = 0.$$

It follows that $c_{A_i, E_j} = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$. Hence $\mathbf{c}_{A_0} = \mathbf{c}_{E_0} = \{s\}$ (resp. $\mathbf{c}_{A_1} = \mathbf{c}_{E_1} = \{1\}$).

We return to the general case. For $A \in CS(G)$ let a_A be the value of the \mathbf{a} -function on \mathbf{c}_A . If $z \in W, E \in \text{Irr}(W)$ satisfy $\text{tr}(c_z, E(v)) \neq 0$ then $\mathbf{c}_E \preceq z$; in addition we have $z \in \mathbf{c}_E$, then

$$\text{tr}(c_z, E(v)) = \gamma_{z, E} v^{a_E} + \text{lower powers of } v$$

where $\gamma_{z, E} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and a_E is the value of the \mathbf{a} -function on \mathbf{c}_E . Hence from (a) we see that

(c) $(A : R_z^j) = 0$ unless $\mathbf{c}_A \preceq z$ and, if $z \in \mathbf{c}_A$, then

$$(A : R_z^j) = (-1)^{j+\Delta} (j - \Delta - |z|); \left(\sum_{E \in \text{Irr}W; \mathbf{c}_E = \mathbf{c}_A} c_{A, E} \gamma_{z, E} v^{a_A} + \text{lower powers of } v \right)$$

which is 0 unless $j - \Delta - |z| \leq a_A$.

For $Y = G$ or $Y = \mathcal{B}^2$ let $\mathcal{M}^\spadesuit Y$ be the category of perverse sheaves on Y whose composition factors are all of the form $A \in CS(G)$, when $Y = G$, or of the form \mathbf{L}_z with $z \in W$ (when $Y = \mathcal{B}^2$). Let $\mathcal{M}^\preceq Y$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^\succ Y$) be the category of perverse sheaves on Y whose composition factors are all of the form $A \in CS(G)$ with $\mathbf{c}_A \preceq \mathbf{c}$ (resp. $\mathbf{c}_A \succ \mathbf{c}$), when $Y = G$, or of the form \mathbf{L}_z with $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$ (resp. $z \succ \mathbf{c}$) when $Y = \mathcal{B}^2$. Let $\mathcal{D}^\spadesuit Y$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}^\preceq Y$ or $\mathcal{D}^\succ Y$) be the category of all $K \in \mathcal{D}(Y)$ such that $K^i \in \mathcal{M}^\spadesuit Y$ (resp. $K^i \in \mathcal{M}^\preceq Y$ or $K^i \in \mathcal{M}^\succ Y$) for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_m^\spadesuit Y$ (or $\mathcal{M}_m^\preceq Y$, or $\mathcal{M}_m^\succ Y$) be the category of all $K \in \mathcal{M}_m Y$ which are also in $\mathcal{M}^\spadesuit Y$ (or $\mathcal{M}^\preceq Y$ or $\mathcal{M}^\succ Y$). Let $\mathcal{D}_m^\spadesuit Y$ (or $\mathcal{D}_m^\preceq Y$, or $\mathcal{D}_m^\succ Y$) be the category of all $K \in \mathcal{D}_m Y$ which are also in $\mathcal{D}^\spadesuit Y$ (or $\mathcal{D}^\preceq Y$ or $\mathcal{D}^\succ Y$). From (c) we deduce:

(d) If $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$ then $R_z^j \in \mathcal{M}^\preceq G$ for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and. If $z \in \mathbf{c}$ and $j > a + \Delta + |z|$, then $R_z^j \in \mathcal{M}^\succ G$. If $z \succ \mathbf{c}$ then $R_z^j \in \mathcal{M}^\succ G$ for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Lemma 1.6. Let $r \geq 1$, $J \subset [1, r]$, $J \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r) \in W^r$. Let $\mathfrak{E} = \Delta + ra$.

(a) Assume that $w_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in [1, r]$. If $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ (resp. $j > \mathfrak{E}$) then $\chi^j(p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1, r]}[|\mathbf{w}|])$ is in $\mathcal{M}^\preceq G$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^\succ G$).

(b) Assume that $w_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in J$. If $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ (resp. $j \geq \mathfrak{E}$) then $\chi^j(p_{0r!} \dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|])$ is in $\mathcal{M}^\preceq G$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^\succ G$).

(c) Assume that $w_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in J$. If $j \geq \mathfrak{E}$ then the cokernel of the map

$$\chi^j(p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|]) \rightarrow \chi^j(p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1, r]}[|\mathbf{w}|])$$

associated to 1.1(a) is in $\mathcal{M}^<G$.

(d) Assume that $w_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in J$. If $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ (resp. $j > \mathfrak{E}$) then $\chi^j(p_{0r!}L_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|])$ is in $\mathcal{M}^{\leq}G$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^<G$).

(e) Assume that $w_i < \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in J$. If $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ then $\chi^j(p_{0r!}L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}[|\mathbf{w}|]) \in \mathcal{M}^<G$ and $\chi^j(p_{0r!}L_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|]) \in \mathcal{M}^<G$.

We prove (a). Let A be a simple perverse sheaf on G and let $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that A is a composition factor of $\chi^j(p_{0r!}L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}[|\mathbf{w}|]) = \chi^{j+|\mathbf{w}|}(L_{\mathbf{w}}^{\bullet})$. Then there exists h' such that $(A : \chi^{j+|\mathbf{w}|-h'}((L_{\mathbf{w}}^{\bullet})^{h'})) \neq 0$. By 1.2(b) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{\mathbf{w}}^{\bullet})^{h'} &\cong \bigoplus_{w \in W, k \in \mathbf{Z}} ((\mathbf{L}_w[k - |\mathbf{w}| - \nu])^{h'})^{\oplus N(w,k)} \\ &= \bigoplus_{w \in W, k \in \mathbf{Z}} ((\mathbf{L}_w)^{h'+k-|\mathbf{w}|-\nu})^{\oplus N(w,k)} = \bigoplus_{w \in W} (\mathbf{L}_w)^{\oplus N(w, |\mathbf{w}| + \nu - h')}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence A is a composition factor of

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W} (\chi^{j+|\mathbf{w}|-h'}(\mathbf{L}_w))^{\oplus N(w, |\mathbf{w}| + \nu - h')}.$$

Thus there exists $z \in W$ such that $N(z, |\mathbf{w}| + \nu - h') \neq 0$ and $(A : \chi^{j+|\mathbf{w}|-h'}(\mathbf{L}_z)) \neq 0$. From $N(z, |\mathbf{w}| + \nu - h') \neq 0$ and 1.4(a) we see that $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$. We also see that $A \in CS(G)$ and $\mathbf{c}_A \preceq z$, see 1.5(b); hence $\mathbf{c}_A \preceq \mathbf{c}$. If $z < \mathbf{c}$ or if $\mathbf{c}_A < z$ then $\mathbf{c}_A < \mathbf{c}$. Assume now that $z \in \mathbf{c}$ and $z \in \mathbf{c}_A$ so that $\mathbf{c}_A = \mathbf{c}$. From 1.4 we see that $|\mathbf{w}| + \nu - h' \geq -(r-1)a$ that is $h' \leq |\mathbf{w}| + \nu + (r-1)a$.

We have $(A : R_z^{j-h'+|\mathbf{w}|+\nu+|z|}) \neq 0$ hence by 1.5(c) we have

$$j - h' + |\mathbf{w}| + \nu + |z| - \Delta - |z| \leq a_A$$

that is $j - h' + |\mathbf{w}| + \nu - \Delta \leq a$. Combining this with the inequality $h' \leq |\mathbf{w}| + \nu + (r-1)a$ we obtain $j \leq \Delta + ra$. This proves (a).

We prove (b). Let A be a simple perverse sheaf on G and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $(A : \chi^j(p_{0r!}\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|])) \neq 0$. There exists h such that $(A : \chi^j(p_{0r!}(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|])^h)[-h]) \neq 0$. We have $(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|])^h \neq 0$ hence $(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}| + \nu - 1])^{h-\nu+1} \neq 0$ hence by 1.1(c), $h - \nu + 1 \leq 0$. From 1.1(b) we see that there exists $\mathbf{w}' = (w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_r) \in W^r$ such that $w_i = w'_i$ for all $i \in J$ and such that A is a composition factor of

$$\chi^j(p_{0r!}(L_{\mathbf{w}'}^{[1,r]}[|\mathbf{w}'| + \nu])[-h]) = \chi^{j+\nu-h}(p_{0r!}(L_{\mathbf{w}'}^{[1,r]}[|\mathbf{w}'|])) = \chi^{j+\nu-h}(L_{\mathbf{w}'}^{\bullet}[|\mathbf{w}'|]).$$

From (a) (for \mathbf{w}' instead of \mathbf{w}) we see that $A \in CS(G)$, $\mathbf{c}_A \preceq \mathbf{c}$ and that $\mathbf{c}_A < \mathbf{c}$ if $j + \nu - h > \Delta + ra$ that is, if $j > h + \Delta - \nu + ra$. If $j \geq \Delta + ra$ then using $h - \nu + 1 \leq 0$ (that is $0 > h - \nu$) we see that we have indeed $j > h + \Delta - \nu + ra$. This proves (b).

We prove (c). From 1.1(a) we get a distinguished triangle

$$(\chi(p_{0r!}L_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|]), \chi(p_{0r!}L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}[|\mathbf{w}|]), \chi(p_{0r!}\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|]))$$

in $\mathcal{D}_m(G)$. This gives rise for any j to an exact sequence

$$(f) \quad \begin{aligned} & \chi^{j-1}(p_{0r!}\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[|\mathbf{w}|]]) \rightarrow \chi^j(p_{0r!}L_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[|\mathbf{w}|]]) \rightarrow \chi^j(p_{0r!}L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}[[|\mathbf{w}|]]) \\ & \rightarrow \chi^j(p_{0r!}\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[|\mathbf{w}|]]) \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathcal{M}_m(G)$. Using this and (b) we see that (c) holds.

Now (d) follows from the previous exact sequence using (a),(b).

Replacing \mathbf{c} in (a) and (d) by the two-sided cell containing w_i in (e) we deduce that (e) holds.

1.7. Let $CS_{\mathbf{c}} = \{A \in CS(G); \mathbf{c}_A = \mathbf{c}\}$. For any $z \in \mathbf{c}$ we set $n_z = a + \Delta + |z|$. Let $A \in CS_{\mathbf{c}}$ and let $z \in \mathbf{c}$. We have:

$$(a) \quad (A : R_z^{n_z}) = (-1)^{a+|z|} \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}}W} c_{A,E} \text{tr}(t_z, E_{\infty}).$$

Indeed, from 1.5(a) we have

$$(A : R_z^{n_z}) = (-1)^{a+|z|} \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}}W} c_{A,E}(a; \text{tr}(c_z, E(v)))$$

and it remains to use 1.3(e). We show:

(b) *For any $A \in CS_{\mathbf{c}}$ there exists $z \in \mathbf{c}$ such that $(A : R_z^{n_z}) \neq 0$.*

Assume that this is not so. Then, using (a), we see that

$$\sum_{E \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}}W} c_{A,E} \text{tr}(t_z, E_{\infty}) = 0$$

for any $z \in \mathbf{c}$. This shows that the linear functions $t_z \mapsto \text{tr}(t_z, E_{\infty})$ on $\mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{c}}$ (for various E as above) are linearly dependent. (It is known that $c_{A,E} \neq 0$ for some $E \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}}W$.) This is a contradiction since the E_{∞} form a complete set of simple modules for the semisimple algebra $\mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{c}}$.

Let $\mathbf{c}^0 = \{z \in \mathbf{c}; z \sim_L z^{-1}\}$. If $z \in \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}^0$ and $E \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}}W$, then $\text{tr}(t_z, E_{\infty}) = 0$ (see [L8, 24.2]). From this and (a) we deduce

(c) *If $z \in \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}^0$, then $R_z^{n_z} = 0$.*

1.8. For $Y = G$ or \mathcal{B}^2 let $\mathcal{C}^{\spadesuit}Y$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{M}^{\spadesuit}Y$ consisting of semisimple objects; let $\mathcal{C}_0^{\spadesuit}Y$ be the subcategory of \mathcal{M}_mY consisting of those $K \in \mathcal{M}_mY$ such that K is pure of weight 0 and such that as an object of $\mathcal{M}(Y)$, K belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{\spadesuit}Y$. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}Y$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{M}^{\spadesuit}Y$ consisting of objects which are direct sums of objects in $CS_{\mathbf{c}}$ (if $Y = G$) or of the form \mathbf{L}_z with $z \in \mathbf{c}$ (if $Y = \mathcal{B}^2$). Let $\mathcal{C}_0^{\heartsuit}Y$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_0^{\spadesuit}Y$ consisting of those $K \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\spadesuit}Y$ such that as an object of $\mathcal{C}^{\spadesuit}Y$, K belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}Y$. For $K \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\spadesuit}Y$, let \underline{K} be the largest subobject of K such that as an object of $\mathcal{C}^{\spadesuit}Y$, we have $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}^{\heartsuit}Y$.

Proposition 1.9. (a) If $L \in \mathcal{D}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ then $\chi(L) \in \mathcal{D}^{\preceq} G$. If $L \in \mathcal{D}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$ then $\chi(L) \in \mathcal{D}^{\prec} G$.

(b) If $L \in \mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ and $j > a + \nu + \rho$ then $\chi^j(L) \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} G$.

It is enough to prove the proposition assuming in addition that $L = \mathbf{L}_z$ where $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$. Then (a) follows from 1.6(a),(e). In the setup of (b) we have $\chi^j(\mathbf{L}_z) = \chi^{j+\nu}(L_z^\sharp[[|z|]])$ and this is in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} G$ since $j + \nu > \Delta + a$, see 1.6(a).

1.10. For $L \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{B}^2$ we set

$$\underline{\chi}(L) = \underline{(\chi^{a+\nu+\rho}(L))((a+\nu+\rho)/2)} = \underline{(\chi(L))^{\{a+\nu+\rho\}}} \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\mathbf{c}} G.$$

The functor $\underline{\chi} : \mathcal{C}_0^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^{\mathbf{c}} G$ is called *truncated induction*. For $z \in \mathbf{c}$ we have

$$(a) \quad \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z) = \underline{R_z^{n_z}}(n_z/2).$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z) &= \underline{\chi^{a+\nu+\rho}(\mathbf{L}_z)}((a+\nu+\rho)/2) = \underline{(\chi(L_z^\sharp[[|z|+\nu]]))^{a+\nu+\rho}}((a+\nu+r)/2) \\ &= \underline{\chi^{a+\Delta+|z|}(L_z^\sharp)}((a+\Delta+|z|)/2) = \underline{\chi^{n_z/2}(L_z^\sharp)}(n_z/2). \end{aligned}$$

We shall denote by $\tau : \mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{c}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ the group homomorphism such that $\tau(t_z) = 1$ if $z \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\tau(t_z) = 0$, otherwise. For $z, u \in \mathbf{c}$ we show:

$$(b) \quad \dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}} G}(\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z), \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_u)) = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} \tau(t_{y^{-1}} t_z t_y t_{u^{-1}}).$$

Using (a) and the definitions we see that the left hand side of (b) equals

$$\sum_{A \in CS_{\mathbf{c}}} (A : R_z^{n_z})(A : R_u^{n_u}),$$

hence, using 1.7(a) it equals

$$\sum_{E, E' \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}} W} (-1)^{|z|+|u|} \sum_{A \in CS_{\mathbf{c}}} c_{A, E} c_{A, E'} \text{tr}(t_z, E_{\infty}) \text{tr}(t_u, E'_{\infty}).$$

Replacing in the last sum $\sum_{A \in CS_{\mathbf{c}}} c_{A, E} c_{A, E'}$ by 1 if $E = E'$ and by 0 if $E \neq E'$ (see [L4, 13.12]) we obtain

$$\sum_{E \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}} W} (-1)^{|z|+|u|} \text{tr}(t_z, E_{\infty}) \text{tr}(t_u, E_{\infty}).$$

This is equal to $(-1)^{|z|+|u|}$ times the trace of the operator $\xi \mapsto t_z \xi t_{u^{-1}}$ on $\mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{c}} \otimes \mathbf{C}$. The last trace is equal to the sum over $y \in \mathbf{c}$ of the coefficient of t_y in $t_z t_y t_{u^{-1}}$; this coefficient is equal to $\tau(t_{y^{-1}} t_z t_y t_{u^{-1}})$ since for $y, y' \in \mathbf{c}$, $\tau(t_{y'} t_y)$ is 1 if $y' = y^{-1}$ and is 0 if $y' \neq y^{-1}$ (see [L8, 20.1(b)]). Thus we have

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}} G}(\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z), \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_u)) = (-1)^{|u|+|z|} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} \tau(t_{y^{-1}} t_z t_y t_{u^{-1}}).$$

Since $\dim \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}} G}(\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z), \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_u)) \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} \tau(t_{y^{-1}} t_z t_y t_{u^{-1}}) \in \mathbf{N}$, it follows that (b) holds.

1.11. A version of the following result (at the level of Grothendieck groups) appears in [L2].

(a) Let $L, L' \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$. Assume that L' is a G -equivariant perverse sheaf. We have canonically $\chi(L \bullet L') = \chi(L' \bullet L)$.

Let $Z = \mathcal{B}^2 \times G$. Define $c : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathcal{B}^2 \times G$ by

$$c((B_1, B_2), g) = ((B_1, B_2), (B_2, gB_1g^{-1}), g)$$

and $d : Z \rightarrow G$ by $d((B_1, B_2), g) = g$. Define $c' : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathcal{B}^2 \times G$ by

$$c'((B_1, B_2), g) = ((B_2, gB_1g^{-1}), (B_1, B_2), g).$$

We have

$$\chi(L \bullet L') = d_!c^*(L \boxtimes L' \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l), \quad \chi(L' \bullet L) = d_!c'^*(L \boxtimes L' \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l).$$

Define $t : Z \rightarrow Z$, $u : \mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathcal{B}^2 \times G$ by

$$t((B_1, B_2), g) = ((B_2, gB_1g^{-1}), g),$$

$$u((B_1, B_2), (B_3, B_4), g) = ((B_1, B_2), (gB_3g^{-1}, gB_4g^{-1}), g).$$

We have $ct = uc'$, $dt = d$. Since L' is G -equivariant we have canonically $u^*(L \boxtimes L' \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = L \boxtimes L' \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_!c^*(L \boxtimes L' \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) &= d_!t_!t^*c^*(L \boxtimes L' \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = d_!c'^*u^*(L \boxtimes L' \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \\ &= d_!c'^*(L \boxtimes L' \boxtimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (a).

We will not use (a) in this paper; a characteristic zero analogue of (a) plays a role in [BFO2].

Lemma 1.12. Let Y_1, Y_2 be among G, \mathcal{B}^2 and let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} Y_1$. Let c, c' be integers and let $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} Y_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} Y_2$ be a functor which takes distinguished triangles to distinguished triangles, commutes with shifts, maps $\mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} Y_1$ into $\mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} Y_2$ and maps complexes of weight $\leq i$ to complexes of weight $\leq i$ (for any i). Assume that (a), (b) below hold:

$$(a) \quad (\Phi(\mathbf{X}_0))^h \in \mathcal{M}_m^{\leq} Y_2 \text{ for any } \mathbf{X}_0 \in \mathcal{M}_m^{\leq} Y_1 \text{ and any } h > c;$$

$$(b) \quad \mathbf{X} \text{ has weight } \leq 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{X}^i \in \mathcal{M}^{\leq} Y_1 \text{ for any } i > c'.$$

Then

$$(c) \quad (\Phi(\mathbf{X}))^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\leq} Y_2 \text{ for any } j > c + c',$$

and we have canonically

$$(d) \quad \underline{(\Phi(\mathbf{X}^{\{c'\}}))}^{\{c\}} = \underline{(\Phi(\mathbf{X}))}^{\{c+c'\}}.$$

From the distinguished triangle $(\tau_{<i}\mathbf{X}, \tau_{\leq i}\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}^i[-i])$ we get a distinguished triangle $(\Phi(\tau_{<i}\mathbf{X}), \Phi(\tau_{\leq i}\mathbf{X}), \Phi(\mathbf{X}^i[-i]))$; hence we have an exact sequence

$$(\Phi(\mathbf{X}^i))^{h-1} \rightarrow (\Phi(\tau_{<i}\mathbf{X}))^{i+h} \rightarrow (\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}\mathbf{X}))^{i+h} \rightarrow (\Phi(\mathbf{X}^i))^h \rightarrow (\Phi(\tau_{<i}\mathbf{X}))^{i+h+1}.$$

From this and (a),(b) we see by induction on i that

$(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}\mathbf{X}))^{i+h} \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec}Y_2$ if $i+h > c+c'$ (in particular, $(\Phi(\mathbf{X}))^k \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec}Y_2$ if $k > c+c'$ so that (c) holds);

$(\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'}\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'} \xrightarrow{\beta} (\Phi(\mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c$ has kernel and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec}Y_2$;

$(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'} \xrightarrow{\beta'} (\Phi(\tau_{\leq i+1}\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'}$ has kernel and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec}Y_2$ for $i \geq c'$. Here the maps β, β' come from the previous exact sequence. Now β, β' are strictly compatible with the weight filtrations (see [BBD, 5.3.5]); we deduce that the maps

$$gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'}\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'} \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c,$$

$$gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'} \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i+1}\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'} \quad (\text{for } i \geq c')$$

induced by β, β' have kernel and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec}Y_2$. Since these are maps between semisimple perverse sheaves we see that they induce isomorphisms

$$\underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'}\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c},$$

$$\underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i+1}\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'}} \quad (\text{for } i \geq c').$$

By composition we get a canonical isomorphism

$$(e) \quad \underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'}};$$

(note that $\underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'}} = \underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'}}$ for $i \gg 0$).

For any j we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{j-1}(\mathbf{X}^{c'}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^j(\mathbf{X}^{c'}) \rightarrow gr_j \mathbf{X}^{c'} \rightarrow 0$$

hence a distinguished triangle

$$(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{j-1}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})), \Phi(\mathcal{W}^j(\mathbf{X}^{c'})), \Phi(gr_j \mathbf{X}^{c'}))$$

which gives rise to an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(gr_j \mathbf{X}^{c'}))^{c-1} &\rightarrow (\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{j-1}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^c \rightarrow (\Phi(\mathcal{W}^j(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^c \\ &\rightarrow (\Phi(gr_j \mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c \rightarrow (\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{j-1}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^{c+1} \end{aligned}$$

and to an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_j \mathbf{X}^{c'}))^{c-1} &\rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{j-1}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^c \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^j(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^c \\ &\rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_j \mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{j-1}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^{c+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\Phi(\mathcal{W}^j(\mathbf{X}^{c'}))$ is mixed of weight $\leq j$ hence $(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^j(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^c$ is mixed of weight $\leq c+j$ so that $gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^j(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^c = 0$ if $j < c'$. Moreover $gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_j \mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c = 0$ if $j > c'$ since $\mathbf{X}^{c'}$ is mixed of weight $\leq c'$. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{c'}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^c \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_{c'} \mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{c'-1}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^{c+1}$$

and we have

$$gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{c'}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^c = gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{c'+1}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^c = gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{c'+2}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^c = \dots$$

Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_{c'} \mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{c'-1}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^{c+1}.$$

By (a) we have $(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{c'-1}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^{c+1} \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} Y_2$ hence

$$gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathcal{W}^{c'-1}(\mathbf{X}^{c'})))^{c+1} \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} Y_2.$$

Thus $gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c$ is a subobject of $gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_{c'} \mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c$ and the quotient is in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} Y_2$. Since $gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_{c'} \mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c$ is semisimple in $\mathcal{M}(Y_2)$ it follows that

$$\underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c} = \underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_{c'} \mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c}.$$

This, together with (e) gives

$$\underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_{c'} \mathbf{X}^{c'}))^c} = \underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'}}.$$

It follows that

$$\underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\underline{gr_{c'} \mathbf{X}^{c'}}))^c} = \underline{gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\mathbf{X}))^{c+c'}}$$

so that (d) holds.

1.13. Let $L \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\mathfrak{c}} \mathcal{B}^2$. We have clearly $\mathfrak{D}(L) \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\mathfrak{c}} \mathcal{B}^2$. We show that we have canonically:

$$(a) \quad \underline{\chi}(\mathfrak{D}(L)) = \mathfrak{D}(\underline{\chi}(L)).$$

By the relative hard Lefschetz theorem [BBD, 5.4.10] applied to the projective morphism π and to $f^* L[[\nu + \rho]]$ (a perverse sheaf of pure weight 0 on X , notation of 1.5) we have canonically for any i :

$$(b) \quad (\pi_! f^* L[[\nu + \rho]])^{-i} = (\pi_! f^* L[[\nu + \rho]])^i(i).$$

We have used that f is smooth with fibres of dimension $\nu + \rho$. This also shows that

$$(c) \quad \mathfrak{D}(\chi(\mathfrak{D}(L))) = \chi(L)[[2\nu + 2\rho]].$$

Using (b),(c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(\underline{\chi}(\mathfrak{D}(L))) &= \mathfrak{D}((\chi(\mathfrak{D}(L)))^{a+\nu-r}((a+\nu+\rho)/2)) \\ &= (\mathfrak{D}(\chi(\mathfrak{D}(L))))^{-a-\nu-\rho}((-a-\nu-\rho)/2) \\ &= (\chi(L)[[2\nu+2\rho]])^{-a-\nu-\rho}((-a-\nu-\rho)/2) = (\chi(L)[[\nu+\rho]])^{-a}(-a/2) \\ &= (\chi(L)[[\nu+\rho]])^a(a/2) = (\chi(L))^{a+\nu+\rho}((a+\nu+\rho)/2) = \underline{\chi}L. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (a).

1.14. Let $d \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}$ and let Λ_d be the left cell containing d . We show:

$$(a) \quad (A : \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_d)) = (-1)^{a+|d|} c_{A, [\Lambda_d]} \text{ for any } A \in CS_{\mathbf{c}}.$$

For any $E \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}}W$ we have $\text{tr}(t_d, E_{\infty}) =$ multiplicity of E in $[\Lambda_d]$. Hence, using 1.10(a) and 1.7(a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A : \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_d)) &= (-1)^{a+|d|} \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}}W} c_{A, E} (\text{multiplicity of } E \text{ in } [\Lambda_d]) \\ &= (-1)^{a+|d|} c_{A, [\Lambda_d]}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$(b) \quad |d| = a \pmod{2}.$$

If $p_{1,d} \in \mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$ is as in [L8, 5.3], then v^{-a} appears with nonzero coefficient in $p_{1,d}$, see [L8, 14.1] hence by [L8, 5.4(b)] we have $-a = |d| - |1| \pmod{2}$. This proves (b) hence (a).

1.15. Let $\pi_1 : \{(B, g) \in \mathcal{B} \times G; g \in B\} \rightarrow G$ be the first projection. Let $\Sigma := \pi_{1!} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[[\Delta]]$. As observed in [L1], π_1 is small, so that Σ is a perverse sheaf on G ; moreover, Σ has a natural W -action so that $\Sigma = \bigoplus_{E \in \text{Irr}W} E \otimes A_E$ where $A_E = \text{Hom}_W(E, \Sigma)$ is a simple perverse sheaf. Since $\Sigma = \chi(\mathbf{L}_1)[[\nu + \rho]]$ we have $A_E \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\spadesuit} G$ for any E . It is known that $A_E \in \mathcal{M}^{\preceq} G$ if and only if $\mathbf{c}_E \prec \mathbf{c}$ and $A_E \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}} G$ if and only if $\mathbf{c}_E = \mathbf{c}$. There is a unique $E_{\mathbf{c}} \in \text{Irr}_{\mathbf{c}}W$ such that $E_{\mathbf{c}}^{\dagger}$ is a special representation of W .

We show:

(a) Assume that $(A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}} : \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_d)) \leq 1$ for any $d \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}$. Then for any $d \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}$ we have $(A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}} : \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_d)) = 1$.

For any $d \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}$ we set $\delta(d) = c_{A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}}, [\Lambda_d]}$. By 1.14 our assumption is that $\delta(d) \in$

$\{0, 1\}$ for any $d \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}$ and we must prove that $\delta(d) = 1$ for any $d \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}$. Since $c_{A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}}, [\mathbf{c}]} = \sum_{d \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}} \delta(d)$, it is enough to show that $c_{A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}}, [\mathbf{c}]} = |\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}|$. Since $\mathbf{c}_{A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}}} = \mathbf{c}$ we have $c_{A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}}, [\mathbf{c}']} = 0$ for any two-sided cell $\mathbf{c}' \neq \mathbf{c}$. Hence it is enough to show that $\sum_{\mathbf{c}'} c_{A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}}, [\mathbf{c}']} = |\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}|$ where \mathbf{c}' runs over the two-sided cells in W . Let Reg be the regular representation of W . We have $\sum_{\mathbf{c}'} c_{A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}}, [\mathbf{c}']} = c_{A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}}, \text{Reg}}$ hence it is enough to show that $c_{A, \text{Reg}} = |\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}|$ where $A = A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}}$. From 1.5(a) we have

$$(A : R_1^\Delta) = (0; \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}W} c_{A, E} \dim(E)) = \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}W} c_{A, E} \dim(E) = c_{A, \text{Reg}}$$

hence it is enough to show that $(A : R_1^\Delta) = |\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}|$. Recall that $R_1[\Delta] = \Sigma$ hence it is enough to show that $(A : \Sigma) = |\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}|$. We have $(A : \Sigma) = \dim E_{\mathbf{c}}$. It remains to show that $\dim(E_{\mathbf{c}}) = |\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}|$. This is a well known property of special representations.

We will see in 6.4 that the assumption of (a) is in fact satisfied.

2. TRUNCATED RESTRICTION

2.1. The following result and its proof are similar to 1.6.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $r \geq 1$, $J \subset [1, r]$, $J \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r) \in W^r$. Let $\mathfrak{F} = \nu + (r - 1)a$.*

(a) *Assume that $w_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in [1, r]$. If $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ (resp. $j > \mathfrak{F}$) then $(p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1, r]}[|\mathbf{w}|])^j$ is in $\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$).*

(b) *Assume that $w_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in J$. If $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ (resp. $j \geq \mathfrak{F}$) then $(p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|])^j$ is in $\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$).*

(c) *Assume that $w_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in J$. If $j \geq \mathfrak{F}$ then the cokernel of the map*

$$(p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|])^j \rightarrow (p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1, r]}[|\mathbf{w}|])^j$$

associated to 1.1(a) is in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$.

(d) *Assume that $w_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in J$. If $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ (resp. $j > \mathfrak{F}$) then $(p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|])^j$ is in $\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$).*

(e) *Assume that $w_i \prec \mathbf{c}$ for some $i \in J$. If $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ then $(p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1, r]}[|\mathbf{w}|])^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$ and $(p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^J[|\mathbf{w}|])^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$.*

We prove (a). Let $L = \mathbf{L}_z$, $z \in W$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that L is a composition factor of $(p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1, r]}[|\mathbf{w}|])^j = (L_{\mathbf{w}}^{\bullet}[\mathbf{w}])^j$. By 1.2(b) we have

$$(L_{\mathbf{w}}^{\bullet}[\mathbf{w}])^j \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W, k \in \mathbf{Z}; j+k-\nu=0} (\mathbf{L}_w)^{\oplus N(w, k)}$$

hence $N(z, \nu - j) = 0$. From $N(z, \nu - j) \neq 0$ and 1.4(a) we see that $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$. Assume now that $z \in \mathbf{c}$. From 1.4 we see that $\nu - j \geq -(r - 1)a$ that is $j \leq \mathfrak{F}$.

We prove (b). Let $L = \mathbf{L}_z$, $z \in W$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that L is a composition factor of $(p_{0r!} \dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[\mathbf{w}]]^j)$. There exists h such that L is a composition factor of $(p_{0r!} (\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[\mathbf{w}]]^h)[-h])^j$. We have $(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[\mathbf{w}]]^h) \neq 0$ hence $(\dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[\mathbf{w}]] + \nu - 1)^{h-\nu+1} \neq 0$ hence by 1.1(c), $h - \nu + 1 \leq 0$. From 1.1(b) we see that there exists $\mathbf{w}' = (w'_1, w'_2, \dots, w'_r) \in W^r$ such that $w_i = w'_i$ for all $i \in J$ and such that L is a composition factor of

$$(p_{0r!} (L_{\mathbf{w}'}^{[1,r]}[[\mathbf{w}'] + \nu])[-h])^j = (p_{0r!} (L_{\mathbf{w}'}^{[1,r]}[[\mathbf{w}']]))^{j+\nu-h} = (L_{\mathbf{w}'}^\bullet[[\mathbf{w}']])^{j+\nu-h}.$$

From (a) (for \mathbf{w}' instead of \mathbf{w}) we see that $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$ and that $z \prec \mathbf{c}$ if $j + \nu - h > \mathfrak{F}$ that is, if $j > h + \mathfrak{F} - \nu$. If $j \geq \mathfrak{F}$ then using $h - \nu + 1 \leq 0$ (that is $0 > h - \nu$) we see that we have indeed $j > h + \mathfrak{F} - \nu$. This proves (b).

We prove (c). From 1.1(a) we get a distinguished triangle

$$(p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[\mathbf{w}]], p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}[[\mathbf{w}]], p_{0r!} \dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[\mathbf{w}]])$$

in $\mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$. This gives rise for any j to an exact sequence

$$(f) \quad (p_{0r!} \dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[\mathbf{w}]]^j)^{j-1} \rightarrow (p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[\mathbf{w}]]^j) \rightarrow (p_{0r!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,r]}[[\mathbf{w}]]^j) \rightarrow (p_{0r!} \dot{L}_{\mathbf{w}}^J[[\mathbf{w}]]^j)$$

in $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$. Using this and (b) we see that (c) holds.

Now (d) follows from the previous exact sequence using (a),(b).

Replacing \mathbf{c} in (a) and (d) by the two-sided cell containing w_i in (e) we deduce that (e) holds.

2.3. Let $r \geq 1$ and let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r be elements of W such that at least one of them is in \mathbf{c} . We show:

(a) If $(\mathbf{L}_{x_1} \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_2} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_r})^{\{(r-1)(a-\nu)\}} \neq 0$ then $x_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for all $i \in [1, r]$.

By assumption we have

$$\underline{(L_{x_1}^\#[[x_1]] \bullet L_{x_2}^\#[[x_2]] \bullet \dots \bullet L_{x_r}^\#[[x_r]])^{\{\nu+(r-1)a\}} \neq 0.$$

Using 1.2(b) we see that there exists $w \in \mathbf{c}$ such that \mathbf{L}_w appears with nonzero multiplicity in

$$\sum_{z \in W, n \in \mathbf{Z}} ((L_z^\#[n + |z|])^{(r-1)a+\nu})^{\oplus N_y(z, n)}$$

(that is, $N_y(w, -(r-1)a) \neq 0$) where $N_y(z, n) \in \mathbf{N}$ are given by the following identity in \mathbf{H} :

$$c_{x_1} c_{x_2} \dots c_{x_r} = \sum_{z \in W, n \in \mathbf{Z}} N_y(z, n) v^n c_z.$$

From $N_y(w, -(r-1)a) \neq 0$ we see using 1.3(b) that $x_i \in \mathbf{c}$ for all i .

2.4. In the setup of 2.3, we see using 1.3(d), that

(a) $N_y(w', -(r-1)a)$ is the coefficient of $t_{w'}$ in $t_{w_1} t_{w_2} \dots t_{w_r}$.

2.5. Let π, f be as in 1.5. Now $K \mapsto \zeta(K) = f_! \pi^* K$ defines a functor $\mathcal{D}_m(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}, K \in \mathcal{D}_m(G)$ we write $\zeta^i(K)$ instead of $(\zeta(K))^i$.

A functor closely related to ζ (in which a complex K on G was integrated over the cosets of the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup, rather than over the cosets of a Borel subgroup as in ζ) was introduced in [MV] and by the author in 1987 (unpublished, but mentioned in [MV, §5] and [Gi, §0]) when I found a criterion for K to be a character sheaf in terms of the cohomology sheaves of the image of K under this functor. My proof of that criterion was based in part on something close to the following result, a version of which (at the level of Grothendieck groups) appears also in [Gr, (3.3.1)].

Proposition 2.6. *For any $L \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$ we have*

$$(a) \quad \zeta(\chi(L)) \simeq \{\oplus_{y \in W; |y|=k} L_y \bullet L \bullet L_{y^{-1}} \otimes \mathfrak{L}[[2k - 2\nu]]; k \in \mathbf{N}\},$$

$$\zeta(\chi(L)) \simeq$$

$$(b) \quad \{\oplus_{y \in W; |y|=k} L_y \bullet L \bullet L_{y^{-1}} \otimes \mathfrak{L}[[2k - 2\nu - 2\rho]] \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}[[d]](d/2); k \in \mathbf{N}, d \in [0, \rho]\},$$

where $\mathfrak{L}, \mathcal{X}$ are as in 0.2.

Let

$$Y = \{(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, g) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times G; gB_1g^{-1} = B_4, gB_2g^{-1} = B_3\}.$$

For $ij = 14$ or 23 we define $h'_{ij} : Y \rightarrow X$ by $(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, g) \mapsto (B_i, B_j, g)$ and $h_{ij} : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ by $(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, g) \mapsto (B_i, B_j)$. We have $\pi^* \pi_! = h'_{14!} h'_{23}{}^*$ hence

$$\zeta(\chi(L)) = f_! \pi^* \pi_! f^*(L) = f_! h'_{14!} h'_{23}{}^* f^*(L) = h_{14!} h_{23}{}^* L.$$

For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ let $Y^k = \cup_{y \in W; |y|=k} Y_y$ where

$$Y_y = \{(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, g) \in Y; (B_1, B_2) \in \mathcal{O}_y, (B_3, B_4) \in \mathcal{O}_{y^{-1}}\}$$

and let $Y^{\geq k} := \cup_{k'; k' \geq k} Y^{k'}$, an open subset of Y ; let $h_{ij}^k : Y^k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$, $h_{ij}^{\geq k} : Y^{\geq k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ be the restrictions of h_{ij} . For any $k \in \mathbf{N}$ we have a distinguished triangle

$$(h_{14!}^{\geq k+1} h_{23}^{\geq k+1*} L), h_{14!}^{\geq k} h_{23}^{\geq k*} L, h_{14!}^k h_{23}^{k*} L).$$

It follows that we have

$$\zeta(\chi(L)) \simeq \{h_{14!}^k h_{23}^{k*} L; k \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ let $Z^k = \cup_{y \in W; |y|=k} Z_y$ where

$$Z_y = \{(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4) \in \mathcal{B}^4; (B_1, B_2) \in \mathcal{O}_y, (B_3, B_4) \in \mathcal{O}_{y^{-1}}\};$$

for $i, j \in [1, 4]$ we define $\tilde{h}_{ij}^k : Z^k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ and $\tilde{h}_{ij}^y : Z_y \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ by $(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4) \mapsto (B_i, B_j)$. We have an obvious morphism $u : Y^k \rightarrow Z^k$ whose fibres are isomorphic to $\mathbf{k}^{\nu-k}$ times the ρ -dimensional torus T . We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{B}^2 & \xleftarrow{h_{23}^k} & Y^k & \xrightarrow{h_{14}^k} & \mathcal{B}^2 \\ 1 \downarrow & & u \downarrow & & 1 \downarrow \\ \mathcal{B}^2 & \xleftarrow{\tilde{h}_{23}^k} & Z^k & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_{14}^k} & \mathcal{B}^2 \end{array}$$

We have

$$h_{14!}^k h_{23}^{k*} L = \tilde{h}_{14!}^k u_! u^* \tilde{h}_{23}^{k*} L = \tilde{h}_{14!}^k (\tilde{h}_{23}^{k*} L \otimes u_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = (\tilde{h}_{14!}^k \tilde{h}_{23}^{k*} L) \otimes \mathcal{L}[-2\nu + 2k].$$

We deduce that

$$\zeta(\chi(L)) \simeq \{(\tilde{h}_{14!}^k \tilde{h}_{23}^{k*} L) \otimes \mathcal{L}[-2\nu + 2k]; k \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

Since Z^k is the union of open and closed subvarieties $Z_y, |y| = k$, we have

$$\tilde{h}_{14!}^k \tilde{h}_{23}^{k*} L = \bigoplus_{y \in W; |y|=k} \tilde{h}_{14!}^y \tilde{h}_{23}^{y*} L.$$

From the definitions we have

$$\tilde{h}_{14!}^y \tilde{h}_{23}^{y*} L = L_y \bullet L \bullet L_{y^{-1}}.$$

This completes the proof of (a). Now (b) follows from (a) using

$$(c) \quad \mathcal{L}[[2\rho]] \simeq \{\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}[[d]](d/2); d \in [0, \rho]\}$$

which follows from the definitions.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $w \in W$ and let $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. We set $S = \zeta(R_w)[[2\rho + 2\nu + |w|]] \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$.*

- (a) *If $w \preceq \mathbf{c}$ then $S^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$.*
- (b) *If $w \in \mathbf{c}$ and $j > \nu + 2a$ then $S^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$.*
- (c) *If $w \prec \mathbf{c}$ then $S^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$.*
- (d) *S^j is mixed of weight $\leq j$.*
- (e) *If $j \neq \nu + 2a$ and $w \in \mathbf{c}$ then $gr_{\nu+2a} S^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$.*
- (f) *If $k > \nu + 2a$ and $w \in \mathbf{c}$ then $gr_k S^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$.*

Let $J = \{2\} \subset [1, 3]$. Using 2.5 and 1.2(a) with $r = 3$ we have

$$(g) \quad S \simeq \{p_{03!}L_{y,w,y^{-1}}^J[[|w| + 2|y|]] \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}[[d]](d/2); d \in [0, \rho], y \in W\}.$$

Using this and the definitions we see that to prove (a) it is enough to show that for any y, d as above we have

$$(h) \quad (p_{03!}L_{y,w,y^{-1}}^J[[|w| + 2|y|]] \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}[[d]](d/2))^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2;$$

this follows from 2.2(d),(e). This proves (a).

At the same time we see that to prove (d) it is enough to show that for any y, d as above, (h) is mixed of weight $\leq j$. Since $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[[d]](d/2)$ is pure of weight $-d \leq 0$, to prove the last statement it is enough to show that $p_{03!}L_{y,w,y^{-1}}^J[[|w| + 2|y|]]$ is mixed of weight ≤ 0 . Note that $L_{y,w,y^{-1}}^J[[|w| + 2|y|]]$ is obtained by $(\)_!$ under an open imbedding from $L_{y,w,y^{-1}}^{[1,3]}[[|w| + 2|y|]]$ which is pure of weight 0 hence it is mixed of weight ≤ 0 (see [BBD, 5.1.14]), hence $p_{03!}L_{y,w,y^{-1}}^J[[|w| + 2|y|]]$ is mixed of weight ≤ 0 (see [BBD, 5.1.14]). This proves (d).

We prove (b). It is again enough to show that for any y, d as above

$$(p_{03!}L_{y,w,y^{-1}}^J[[|w| + 2|y|]] \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}d[[d]](d))^j$$

is in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$ if $j > \nu + 2a$. This follows from 2.2(d) since $j > \nu + 2a, d \geq 0$ implies $j + d > \nu + 2a$.

Now (c) follows from (a) by replacing \mathbf{c} by the two-sided cell containing w .

We prove (e). If $j > \nu + 2a$ this follows from (b). If $j < \nu + 2a$ we have $gr_{\nu+2a} S^j = 0$ by (a). This proves (e).

We prove (f). If $j < k$ we have $gr_k S^j = 0$ by (d). If $j \geq k$ we have $j > \nu + 2a$ so that $S^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$ by (b). This proves (f).

Proposition 2.8. (a) If $K \in \mathcal{D}^{\preceq} G$ then $\zeta(K) \in \mathcal{D}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$. If $K \in \mathcal{D}^{\prec} G$ then $\zeta(K) \in \mathcal{D}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$.

(b) If $K \in \mathcal{M}^{\preceq} G$ and $j > \rho + \nu + a$ then $\zeta^j(K) \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$.

It is enough to prove the proposition assuming in addition that $K = A \in CS(G)$. By 1.7(b) we can find $w \in \mathbf{c}_A$ such that $(A : R_w^{n_w}) \neq 0$. Then $A[-n_w]$ is a direct summand of R_w . Hence $\zeta(A)$ is a direct summand of $\zeta(R_w)[\Delta + a + |w|]$ and $\zeta^j(A)$ is a direct summand of $\zeta^{j+\Delta+a+|w|}(R_w) = \zeta^{j-\rho+a}(R_w[2\rho + 2\nu + |w|])$. Using 2.7 we deduce that (a) holds and that, in the setup of (b), $\zeta^j(A) \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$ provided that $j - \rho + a > \nu + 2a$. Hence (b) holds.

2.9. For $K \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\circ} G$ we set

$$\underline{\zeta}(K) = \underline{(\zeta(K))}^{\{\rho+\nu+a\}} \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\circ} \mathcal{B}^2.$$

We say that $\underline{\zeta}(K)$ is the *truncated restriction* of K .

2.10. We note the following result, a version of which was first stated in [Gi, 9.2.1].

(a) *Let $K \in \mathcal{D}_m(G)$ and let $L \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$ be G -equivariant. Then there is a canonical isomorphism $L \bullet \zeta(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \zeta(K) \bullet L$.*

We have $\zeta(K) \bullet L = c_1 d^*(K \boxtimes L)$, $L \bullet \zeta(K) = c'_1 d'^*(K \boxtimes L)$ where

$$Z = \{(g, B, B'', B') \in G \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; gBg^{-1} = B''\},$$

$$Z' = \{(g, B, B'', B') \in G \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; g^{-1}B'g = B''\},$$

$$d : Z \rightarrow G \times \mathcal{B}^2 \text{ is } (g, B, B'', B') \mapsto (g, (B'', B')),$$

$$d' : Z' \rightarrow G \times \mathcal{B}^2 \text{ is } (g, B, B'', B') \mapsto (g, (B, B'')),$$

$$c : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2, c' : Z' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2 \text{ are } (g, B, B'', B') \mapsto (B, B').$$

We identify Z, Z' with $G \times \mathcal{B}^2$ by $(g, B, B'', B') \mapsto (g, (B, B'))$. Then d becomes $d_1 : (g, (B, B')) \mapsto (g, (gBg^{-1}, B'))$, d' becomes $d'_1 : (g, (B, B')) \mapsto (g, (B, g^{-1}B'g))$ and c, c' become $c_1 : (g, (B, B')) \mapsto (B, B')$. It is enough to show that $d_1^*(K \boxtimes L) = d'_1{}^*(K \boxtimes L)$. Define $u : G \times \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow G \times \mathcal{B}^2$ by $(g, (B, B')) \mapsto (g, (gBg^{-1}, gB'g^{-1}))$. By the G -equivariance of L we have canonically $u^*(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \boxtimes L) = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \boxtimes L$. We have $d_1 = ud'_1$ hence $d_1^*(K \boxtimes L) = d'_1{}^*u^*(K \boxtimes L) = d'_1{}^*(K \boxtimes L)$ and (a) follows.

Proposition 2.11. (a) *If $L \in \mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ and $j > 2a + 2\nu + 2\rho$ then $(\zeta(\chi(L)))^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$.*

(b) *If $L \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\circ} \mathcal{B}^2$, we have canonically*

$$\underline{\zeta(\chi(L))} = \underline{(\zeta(\chi(L)))^{\{2a+2\nu+2\rho\}}} \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\circ} \mathcal{B}^2.$$

We apply 1.12 with $\Phi = \zeta : \mathcal{D}_m(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$ and with $\mathbf{X} = \chi(L)$, $(c, c') = (a + \nu + \rho, a + \nu + \rho)$, see 2.8, 1.9. The result follows.

3. TRUNCATED CONVOLUTION ON \mathcal{B}^2

3.1. We show that for $L, L' \in \mathcal{D}^{\blacklozenge} \mathcal{B}^2$, (a) and (b) below hold.

(a) *If $L \in \mathcal{D}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ or $L' \in \mathcal{D}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ then $L \bullet L' \in \mathcal{D}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$. If $L \in \mathcal{D}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$ or $L' \in \mathcal{D}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$ then $L \bullet L' \in \mathcal{D}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$.*

(b) *Assume that $L, L' \in \mathcal{M}^{\blacklozenge} \mathcal{B}^2$ and that either L or L' is in $\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$. If $j > a - \nu$ then $(L \bullet L')^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$.*

We can assume that $L = \mathbf{L}_z, L' = \mathbf{L}_{z'}$ with $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$ or $z' \preceq \mathbf{c}$. Then (a) follows from 1.4(b),(c). To prove (b) we can further assume that $z \in \mathbf{c}$ or $z' \in \mathbf{c}$. According to 1.4(b) we have $(L_z^{\sharp}[|z|] \bullet L_{z'}^{\sharp}[|z'|])^{j'} \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$ if $j' > \nu + a$ hence $(L_z^{\sharp}[|z| + \nu] \bullet L_{z'}^{\sharp}[|z'| + \nu])^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$ if $j + 2\nu > \nu + a$ that is if $j > a - \nu$; this proves (b).

3.2. For $L, L' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$, we set

$$(a) \quad L \bullet L' = \underline{(L \bullet L')}^{\{a-\nu\}} \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2.$$

Using 1.12 twice, we see that for $L, L', L'' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$ we have canonically

$$\begin{aligned} (L \bullet L') \bullet L'' &= \underline{(L \bullet L' \bullet L'')}^{\{2a-2\nu\}}, \\ L \bullet (L' \bullet L'') &= \underline{(L \bullet L' \bullet L'')}^{\{2a-2\nu\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(L \bullet L') \bullet L'' = L \bullet (L' \bullet L'').$$

We see that $L, L' \mapsto L \bullet L'$ defines an associative tensor product structure on $\mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$. (A closely related result appears in [L7].) Hence if ${}^1L, {}^2L, \dots, {}^rL$ are in $\mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$ then ${}^1L \bullet \dots \bullet {}^rL \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$ is well defined. Using 1.12 repeatedly, we have

$$(b) \quad {}^1L \bullet \dots \bullet {}^rL = \underline{({}^1L \bullet \dots \bullet {}^rL)}^{\{(r-1)(a-\nu)\}}.$$

3.3. Let $L, L' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$. We show that we have canonically:

$$(a) \quad \mathfrak{D}(L \bullet L') = \mathfrak{D}(L) \bullet \mathfrak{D}(L').$$

We can assume that $L = \mathbf{L}_{w_1}, L' = \mathbf{L}_{w_2}$ where $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbf{c}$. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$. Let $L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,2]}$ be the intersection cohomology complex of the projective variety

$$\{(B_0, B_1, B_2) \in \mathcal{B}^3; (B_0, B_1) \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{w_1}, (B_1, B_2) \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{w_2}\}$$

extended by 0 on the complement to this variety in \mathcal{B}^3 and let $p_{02} : \mathcal{B}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ be the map $(B_0, B_1, B_2) \mapsto (B_0, B_2)$. By definition we have

$$L \bullet L' = p_{02!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,2]}[|w_1| + |w_2| + 2\nu].$$

We must show that

$$(b) \quad \mathfrak{D}((L \bullet L')^{a-\nu}((a-\nu)/2)) = (L \bullet L')^{a-\nu}((a-\nu)/2).$$

By the hard Lefschetz theorem [BBD, 5.4.10] applied to the projective morphism p_{02} and to $L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,2]}[|w_1| + |w_2| + \nu]$ (a perverse sheaf of pure weight 0 on \mathcal{B}^3) we have canonically for any i :

$$(p_{02!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,2]}[|w_1| + |w_2| + \nu])^{-i} = (p_{02!} L_{\mathbf{w}}^{[1,2]}[|w_1| + |w_2| + \nu])^i(i)$$

that is $(L \bullet L'[-\nu])^{-i} = (L \bullet L'[-\nu])^i(i)$, hence

$$(c) \quad (L \bullet L')^{-i-\nu} = (L \bullet L')^{i-\nu}(i).$$

We have $\mathfrak{D}(L \bullet L') = L \bullet L'[-2\nu]$ hence $\mathfrak{D}((L \bullet L')^i) = (L \bullet L')^{-i-2\nu}(-\nu)$. Thus $\mathfrak{D}((L \bullet L')^{a-\nu}) = (L \bullet L')^{-\nu-a}(-\nu) = (L \bullet L')^{-\nu+a}(a-\nu)$. (The last equality uses (c).) This proves (b), hence (a).

The following result is a truncated version of 2.10.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $K \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G, L \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$. There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$(a) \quad L \bullet \zeta(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \zeta(K) \bullet L.$$

Applying 1.12 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} \mathcal{B}^2, L' \mapsto L' \bullet L, \mathbf{X} = \zeta(K), (c, c') = (a - \nu, a + \rho + \nu)$ (see 3.1, 2.8), we deduce that we have canonically

$$(b) \quad \underline{((\zeta(K))^{\{a+\rho+\nu\}} \bullet L)^{\{a-\nu\}}} = \underline{(\zeta(K) \bullet L)^{\{2a+\rho\}}}.$$

Using 1.12 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} \mathcal{B}^2, L' \mapsto L \bullet L', \mathbf{X} = \zeta(K), (c, c') = (a - \nu, a + \rho + \nu)$ (see 3.1, 2.8), we deduce that we have canonically

$$(c) \quad \underline{(L \bullet (\zeta(K))^{\{a+\rho+\nu\}})^{\{a-\nu\}}} = \underline{(L \bullet \zeta(K))^{\{2a+\rho\}}}.$$

We now combine (b),(c) with 2.10(a); we obtain the isomorphism (a).

4. TRUNCATED CONVOLUTION ON G

4.1. Let $\mu : G \times G \rightarrow G$ be the multiplication map. For $K, K' \in \mathcal{D}_m(G)$ we define the convolution $K * K' \in \mathcal{D}_m(G)$ by $K * K' = \mu_!(K \boxtimes K')$. For K, K', K'' in $\mathcal{D}_m(G)$ we have canonically $(K * K') * K'' = K * (K' * K'')$ (and we denote this by $K * K' * K''$).

Note that if $K \in \mathcal{D}_m(G)$ and $K' \in \mathcal{M}_m(G)$ is G -equivariant for the conjugation action of G then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(a) \quad K * K' \xrightarrow{\sim} K' * K.$$

Define $r : G \times G \rightarrow G, p_1 : G \times G \rightarrow G, p_2 : G \times G \rightarrow G$ by $r : (x, y) \mapsto x^{-1}yx, p_1 : (x, y) \mapsto x, p_2 : (x, y) \mapsto y$. Without any assumption on K' we have

$$\mu_!(p_1^* K \otimes r^* K') = \mu_!(p_2^* K \otimes p_1^* K') = K' * K.$$

In our case we have canonically $r^* K' = p_2^* K'$. Hence

$$\mu_!(p_1^* K \otimes r^* K') = \mu_!(p_1^* K \otimes p_2^* K') = K * K'$$

and (a) follows.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $K \in \mathcal{D}_m(G), L \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$. We have canonically $K * \chi(L) = \chi(L \bullet \zeta(K))$.*

Let $Z = \{(g_1, g_2, B, B') \in G \times G \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; g_2 B g_2^{-1} = B'\}$. Define $c : Z \rightarrow G \times \mathcal{B}^2$ by $(g_1, g_2, B, B') \mapsto (g_1, (B, B'))$ and $d : Z \rightarrow G$ by $(g_1, g_2, B, B') \mapsto g_1 g_2$. From the definitions we see that both $K * \chi(L), \chi(L \bullet \zeta(K))$ can be identified with $d_! c^*(K \boxtimes L)$. The lemma follows.

Proposition 4.3. *For any $L, L' \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi(L) * \chi(L')[[2\rho + 2\nu]] \\ & \simeq \{\chi(L' \bullet L_y \bullet L \bullet L_{y^{-1}})[[2|y|]] \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}[[d]](d/2); d \in [0, \rho], y \in W\}. \end{aligned}$$

From 2.6(b) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & L' \bullet \zeta(\chi(L))[[2\nu + 2\rho]] \\ & \simeq \{L' \bullet L_y \bullet L \bullet L_{y^{-1}}[[2|y|]] \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}[[d]](d/2); y \in W, d \in [0, \rho]\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi(L' \bullet \zeta(\chi(L)))[[2\nu + 2\rho]] \\ & \simeq \{\chi(L' \bullet L_y \bullet L \bullet L_{y^{-1}})[[2|y|]] \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}[[d]](d/2); y \in W, d \in [0, \rho]\}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that $\chi(L' \bullet \zeta(\chi(L))) = \chi(L) * \chi(L')$. This follows from 4.2 with K, L replaced by $\chi(L), L'$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $w, w' \in W$ and let $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. We set $C = R_w * R_{w'}[[2\rho + 2\nu + |w| + |w'|]] \in \mathcal{D}_m(G)$.*

- (a) *If $w \preceq \mathbf{c}$ or $w' \preceq \mathbf{c}$ then $C^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\preceq} G$.*
- (b) *If $j > \Delta + 4a$ and either $w \in \mathbf{c}$ or $w' \in \mathbf{c}$ then $C^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} G$.*
- (c) *If $w \prec \mathbf{c}$ or $w' \prec \mathbf{c}$ then $C^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} G$.*
- (d) *C^j is mixed of weight $\leq j$.*
- (e) *If $j \neq \Delta + 4a$ and either $w \in \mathbf{c}$ or $w' \in \mathbf{c}$ then $gr_{\Delta+4a} C^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} G$.*
- (f) *If $k > \Delta + 4a$ and $w \in \mathbf{c}$ or $w' \in \mathbf{c}$ then $gr_k C^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} G$.*

Let $J = \{1, 3\} \subset [1, 4]$. Using 4.3 and 1.2(a) with $r = 4$ we have

$$(g) \quad C \simeq \{\chi(p_{04!} L_{w', y, w, y^{-1}}^J[|[w| + |w'| + 2|y|]]) \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}[[d]](d/2); d \in [0, \rho], y \in W\}.$$

Using this and the definitions we see that to prove (a) it is enough to show that for any y, d as above,

$$(h) \quad \chi^j(p_{04!} L_{w', y, w, y^{-1}}^J[|[w| + |w'| + 2|y|]]) \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}[[d]](d/2) \in \mathcal{M}^{\preceq} G;$$

this follows from 1.6(d),(e). This proves (a). At the same time we see that to prove (d) it is enough to show that for any y, d as above, (h) is mixed of weight $\leq j$. Since $\mathbf{Q}_l \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}[[d]](d/2)$ is pure of weight $-d \leq 0$, to prove the last statement it is enough to show that $\chi(p_{04!} L_{w', y, w, y^{-1}}^J[|[w| + |w'| + 2|y|]])$ is mixed of weight ≤ 0 . This follows from the fact that $p_{04!} L_{w', y, w, y^{-1}}^J[|[w| + |w'| + 2|y|]])$ is mixed of weight ≤ 0 (as in the proof of 2.7(d)). This proves (d).

We prove (b). It is again enough to show that for any y, d as above

$$\chi^j(p_{04!}L_{w',y,w,y^{-1}}^J[|w| + |w'| + 2|y|]) \otimes \Lambda^d \mathcal{X}[[d]](d/2))^j$$

is in $\mathcal{M}^<G$ if $j > \Delta + 4a$. This follows from 1.6(d) since $j > \Delta + 4a$, $d \geq 0$ implies $j + d > \Delta + 4a$.

Now (c) follows from (a) by replacing \mathbf{c} by the two-sided cell containing w (if $w < \mathbf{c}$) or w' (if $w' < \mathbf{c}$).

We prove (e). If $j > \Delta + 4a$ this follows from (b). If $j < \Delta + 4a$ we have $gr_{\Delta+4a}C^j = 0$ by (a). This proves (e).

We prove (f). If $j < k$ we have $gr_k C^j = 0$ by (d). If $j \geq k$ we have $j > \Delta + 4a$ so that $C^j \in \mathcal{M}^<G$ by (b). This proves (f).

Proposition 4.5. *Let $K, K' \in \mathcal{D}_m^\spadesuit(G)$.*

(a) *If $K \in \mathcal{D}^>G$ or $K' \in \mathcal{D}^>G$ then $K * K' \in \mathcal{D}^>G$; if $K \in \mathcal{D}^<G$ or $K' \in \mathcal{D}^<G$ then $K * K' \in \mathcal{D}^<G$.*

(b) *If $K \in \mathcal{M}^>G$, $K' \in \mathcal{M}^>G$ and $j > \rho + 2a$ then $(K * K')^j \in \mathcal{M}^<G$.*

It is enough to prove the proposition assuming in addition that $K = A \in CS(G)$, $K' = A' \in CS(G)$. By 1.7(b) we can find $w \in \mathbf{c}_A$, $w' \in \mathbf{c}_{A'}$ such that $(A : R_w^{n_w}) \neq 0$, $(A' : R_{w'}^{n_{w'}}) \neq 0$. Then $A[-n_w]$ is a direct summand of R_w and $A'[-n_{w'}]$ is a direct summand of $R_{w'}$. Hence $A * A'$ is a direct summand of $R_w * R_{w'}[2\Delta + \mathbf{a}(w) + \mathbf{a}(w') + |w| + |w'|]$ and $(A * A')^j$ is a direct summand of

$$(R_w * R_{w'}[2\rho + 2\nu + |w| + |w'|])^{j+\mathbf{a}(w)+\mathbf{a}(w')+2\nu}.$$

Using 4.4 we deduce that (a) holds and that $(A * A')^j \in \mathcal{M}^<G$ provided that $j + \mathbf{a}(w) + \mathbf{a}(w') + 2\nu > \Delta + 4a$. Hence (b) holds. (To prove (b) we can assume, by (a), that $w \in \mathbf{c}$, $w' \in \mathbf{c}$ hence $\mathbf{a}(w) = \mathbf{a}(w') = a$.)

4.6. For $K, K' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G$ we set

$$K \underline{*} K' = \underline{(K * K')^{\{2a+\rho\}}} \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G.$$

We say that $K \underline{*} K'$ is the *truncated convolution* of K, K' . Note that 4.1(a) induces for $K, K' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G$ a canonical isomorphism

$$(a) \quad K \underline{*} K' \xrightarrow{\sim} K' \underline{*} K.$$

We have also

$$(b) \quad K \underline{*} K' = \oplus_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \underline{gr_{2a+\rho}((K * K')^j)((2a + \rho)/2)}.$$

This follows from 4.4(e).

Proposition 4.7. *Let $K, K', K'' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G$. There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$(a) \quad (K \underline{*} K') \underline{*} K'' \xrightarrow{\sim} K \underline{*} (K' \underline{*} K'').$$

We use 1.12 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m(G)$, $K_1 \mapsto K_1 * K''$, with $\mathbf{X} = K * K'$, $(c, c') = (2a + \rho, 2a + \rho)$ (see 4.5); we deduce that we have canonically

$$(b) \quad (K \underline{*} K') \underline{*} K'' = \underline{(K * K' * K'')^{\{4a+2\rho\}}}.$$

Next we use 1.12 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m(G)$, $K_1 \mapsto K * K_1$, with $\mathbf{X} = K' * K''$, $(c, c') = (2a + \rho, 2a + \rho)$ (see 4.5); we deduce that we have canonically

$$(c) \quad K \underline{*} (K' \underline{*} K'') = \underline{(K * K' * K'')^{\{4a+2\rho\}}}.$$

We combine (b),(c); (a) follows.

4.8. An argument similar to that in 4.7 shows that the associativity isomorphism provided by 4.7 satisfies the pentagon property.

5. TRUNCATED CONVOLUTION AND TRUNCATED RESTRICTION

5.1. The following proposition asserts a compatibility of truncated restriction with truncated convolution.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $K, K' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G$. There is a canonical isomorphism (in $\mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$):*

$$\underline{\zeta}(K') \bullet \underline{\zeta}(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\zeta}(K \underline{*} K')$$

The proof is given in 5.6.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $K, K' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G$. We have canonically*

$$(a) \quad \underline{\zeta}(K') \bullet \underline{\zeta}(K) = \underline{(\zeta(K') \bullet \zeta(K))^{\{3a+2\rho+\nu\}}}.$$

We set $L = \zeta(K)$, $L' = \zeta(K')$. Let ${}^0L \in \mathcal{M}_m^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$. Applying 1.12 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$, ${}^1L \mapsto {}^0L \bullet {}^1L$, $\mathbf{X} = L$, $(c, c') = (a - \nu, a + \nu + \rho)$, we see that

$$(b) \quad ({}^0L \bullet L)^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2 \text{ for any } {}^0L \in \mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2 \text{ and any } j > 2a + \rho.$$

Using 1.12 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$, ${}^1L \mapsto {}^1L \bullet L$, $\mathbf{X} = L'$, $(c, c') = (2a + \rho, a + \rho + \nu)$ (see (b), 2.8), we deduce that we have canonically

$$(c) \quad \underline{(L'^{\{a+\rho+\nu\}} \bullet L)^{\{2a+\rho\}}} = \underline{(L' \bullet L)^{\{3a+2\rho+\nu\}}}.$$

Let $L'_0 = \underline{L'^{\{a+\rho+\nu\}}}$. Applying 1.12 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$, ${}^1L \mapsto L'_0 \bullet {}^1L$, $\mathbf{X} = L$, $(c, c') = (a - \nu, a + \rho + \nu)$ (see 3.1, 2.8), we deduce that we have canonically

$$\underline{(L'_0 \bullet \underline{L^{\{a+\rho+\nu\}}})^{\{a-\nu\}}} = \underline{(L'_0 \bullet L)^{\{2a+\rho\}}}.$$

Combining with (c) we obtain

$$\underline{(L'_0 \bullet \underline{L^{\{a+\rho+\nu\}}})^{\{a-\nu\}}} = \underline{(L' \bullet L)^{\{3a+2\rho+\nu\}}}$$

and (a) follows.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $K, K' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G$. We have canonically*

$$(a) \quad \underline{\zeta}(K \ast K') = \underline{(\zeta(K \ast K'))}^{\{3a+\nu+2\rho\}}.$$

We set $\mathcal{K} = K \ast K'$. Applying 1.12 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} \mathcal{B}^2$, $K_1 \mapsto \zeta(K_1)$, $\mathbf{X} = \mathcal{K}$, $(c, c') = (a+\rho+\nu, 2a+\rho)$ (see 2.8, 4.5), we deduce that we have canonically

$$\underline{(\zeta(\underline{\mathcal{K}}^{\{2a+\rho\}}))}^{\{a+\rho+\nu\}} = \underline{(\zeta(\mathcal{K}))}^{\{3a+2\rho+\nu\}}$$

and (a) follows.

A version of the following lemma goes back to [Gi].

Lemma 5.5. *Let $K, K' \in \mathcal{D}_m(G)$. There is a canonical isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2)$:*

$$(b) \quad \zeta(K \ast K') \xrightarrow{\sim} \zeta(K') \bullet \zeta(K)$$

5.6. We prove Proposition 4.2. Let $K, K' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G$. We have canonically

$$\underline{\zeta}(K') \bullet \underline{\zeta}(K) = \underline{(\zeta(K') \bullet \zeta(K))}^{\{3a+2\rho+\nu\}} = \underline{(\zeta(K \ast K'))}^{\{3a+2\rho+\nu\}} = \underline{\zeta}(K \ast K').$$

(These equalities comes from 5.3(a), 5.5, 5.4(a).) Proposition 5.2 follows.

6. ANALYSIS OF THE COMPOSITION $\underline{\zeta}\underline{\chi}$

6.1. Let e, f, e' be integers such that $e \leq f \leq e' - 3$ and let $\epsilon = e' - e + 1$; we have $\epsilon \geq 4$. We set

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{((B_e, B_{e+1}, \dots, B_{e'}), g) \in \mathcal{B}^\epsilon \times G; gB_f g^{-1} = B_{f+3}, gB_{f+1} g^{-1} = B_{f+2}\}.$$

Define $\vartheta : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\epsilon$ by $((B_e, B_{e+1}, \dots, B_{e'}), g) \mapsto (B_e, B_{e+1}, \dots, B_{e'})$. For i, j in $\{e, e+1, \dots, e'\}$ let $p_{ij} : \mathcal{B}^\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ be the projection to the i, j coordinate; define $h_{ij} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ by $h_{ij} = p_{ij}\vartheta$. Now $G^{\epsilon-2}$ acts on \mathcal{Y} by

$$\begin{aligned} & (g_e, \dots, g_f, g_{f+3}, \dots, g_{e'}) : ((B_e, B_{e+1}, \dots, B_{e'}), g) \mapsto \\ & (g_e B_e g_e^{-1}, g_{e+1} B_{e+1} g_{e+1}^{-1}, \dots, g_{f-1} B_{f-1} g_{f-1}^{-1}, g_f B_f g_f^{-1}, g_f B_{f+1} g_f^{-1}, \\ & g_{f+3} B_{f+2} g_{f+3}^{-1}, g_{f+3} B_{f+3} g_{f+3}^{-1}, g_{f+4} B_{f+4} g_{f+4}^{-1}, \dots, g_{e'} B_{e'} g_{e'}^{-1}), g_{f+3} g_f^{-1}); \end{aligned}$$

this induces a $G^{\epsilon-2}$ -action on \mathcal{B}^ϵ so that ϑ is $G^{\epsilon-2}$ -equivariant.

Let $E = \{e, e+1, \dots, e' - 1\} - \{f, f+2\}$. Assume that $x_n \in \mathbf{c}$ are given for $n \in E$. Let $P = \otimes_{n \in E} p_{n, n+1}^* \mathbf{L}_{x_n} \in \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{B}^\epsilon$, $\tilde{P} = \otimes_{n \in E} h_{n, n+1}^* \mathbf{L}_{x_n} = \vartheta^* P \in \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{Y}$. In 6.1-6.7 we will study

$$h_{ee'}! \tilde{P} \in \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{B}^2.$$

Setting $\Xi = \vartheta_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \in \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{B}^\epsilon$, we have

$$h_{ee'}! \tilde{P} = p_{ee'}(\Xi \otimes P).$$

Clearly Ξ^j is $G^{\epsilon-2}$ -equivariant for any j . For any y, y' in W we set

$$Z_{y,y'} := \{(B_e, B_{e+1}, \dots, B_{e'}) \in \mathcal{B}^\epsilon; (B_f, B_{f+1}) \in \mathcal{O}_y, (B_{f+2}, B_{f+3}) \in \mathcal{O}_{y'}\}.$$

These are the orbits of the $G^{\epsilon-2}$ -action on \mathcal{B}^ϵ . Note that the fibre of ϑ over a point of $Z_{y,y'}$ is isomorphic to $T \times \mathbf{k}^{\nu-|y|}$ if $yy' = 1$ and is empty if $yy' \neq 1$. Thus

(a) $\Xi|_{Z_{y,y'}}$ is 0 if $yy' \neq 1$

and for any $y \in W$ we have

(b) $\mathcal{H}^h \Xi|_{Z_{y,y^{-1}}} = 0$ if $h > 2\nu - 2|y| + 2\rho$, $\mathcal{H}^{2\nu-2|y|+2\rho} \Xi|_{Z_{y,y^{-1}}} = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l(-\nu + |y| - \rho)$.

The closure of $Z_{y,y'}$ in \mathcal{B}^ϵ is denoted by $\bar{Z}_{y,y'}$. We set $k_\epsilon = \epsilon\nu + 2\rho$. We have the following result.

Lemma 6.2. (a) *We have $\Xi^j = 0$ for any $j > k_\epsilon$. Hence, setting $\Xi' = \tau_{\leq k_\epsilon - 1} \Xi$, we have a canonical distinguished triangle $(\Xi', \Xi, \Xi^{k_\epsilon}[-k_\epsilon])$.*

(b) *If $\xi \in Z_{y,y'}$ and $i = 2\nu - |y| - |y'| + 2\rho$, the induced homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_\xi^i \Xi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi^{i-k_\epsilon}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon})$ is an isomorphism.*

To prove (a) it is enough to show that $\dim \text{supp} \mathcal{H}^i(\Xi[k_\epsilon]) \leq -i$ for any i . Now $\text{supp} \mathcal{H}^i \Xi$ is a union of $G^{\epsilon-2}$ -orbits hence of subvarieties $Z_{y,y'}$ and $\dim Z_{y,y'} = (\epsilon - 2)\nu + |y| + |y'|$. Thus it is enough to show that if $\mathcal{H}_\xi^i(\Xi[k_\epsilon]) \neq 0$ with $\xi \in Z_{y,y'}$ then $(\epsilon - 2)\nu + |y| + |y'| \leq -i$. From 6.1(a),(b) we see that $y = y'$ and $i + \epsilon\nu + 2\rho \leq 2\nu - 2|y| + 2\rho$; the desired result follows.

We prove (b). We have an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{H}_\xi^i \Xi' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi^i \Xi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}[-k_\epsilon]) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi^{i+1} \Xi'.$$

Hence it is enough to show that $\mathcal{H}_\xi^{i'} \Xi' = 0$ if $i' \geq i$. Assume that $\mathcal{H}_\xi^{i'} \Xi' \neq 0$ for some $i' \geq i$. Then $Z_{y,y'} \subset \text{supp} \mathcal{H}^{i'} \Xi'$. We have $(\Xi'[k_\epsilon - 1])^h = 0$ for all $h > 0$ hence $\dim \text{supp} \mathcal{H}^{i''}(\Xi'[k_\epsilon - 1]) \leq -i''$ for any i'' . Taking $i'' = i' - k_\epsilon + 1$ we deduce that $\dim Z_{y,y'} \leq -i' + k_\epsilon - 1$ hence $i' \leq 2\nu - |y| - |y'| + 2\rho - 1 = i - 1$. This contradicts $i' \geq i$ and proves (b).

6.3. For any y, y' in W let $\mathfrak{T}_{y,y'}$ be the intersection cohomology complex of $\bar{Z}_{y,y'}$ extended by 0 on $\mathcal{B}^\epsilon - \bar{Z}_{y,y'}$, to which $[[(\epsilon - 2)\nu + |y| + |y'|]]$ is applied. Note that

$$(a) \quad \mathfrak{T}_{y,y'} = p_{f,f+1}^* \mathbf{L}_y \otimes p_{f+2,f+3}^* \mathbf{L}_{y'} [[(\epsilon - 4)\nu]].$$

We have the following result.

Lemma 6.4. *We have canonically $gr_0(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) = \bigoplus_{y \in W} \mathfrak{T}_{y, y^{-1}}$.*

Since $gr_0(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2))$ is a semisimple $G^{\epsilon-2}$ -equivariant perverse sheaf of pure weight 0, we have canonically $gr_0(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) = \bigoplus_{y, y' \in W} V_{y, y'} \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{y, y'}$ where $V_{y, y'}$ are mixed $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -vector spaces of pure weight 0. Using the definition or by [BBD, 5.1.14], Ξ is mixed of weight ≤ 0 hence $\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)$ is mixed of weight ≤ 0 . Hence we have an exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}_m \mathcal{B}^\epsilon$

$$(a) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \rightarrow \Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2) \rightarrow gr_0(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \rightarrow 0$$

that is

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \rightarrow \Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y, y' \in W} V_{y, y'} \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{y, y'} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence for any \tilde{y}, \tilde{y}' in W and any \mathbf{F}_q -rational point $\xi \in Z_{\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}'}$ we have an exact sequence of stalks of cohomology sheaves

$$(b) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}_\xi^h \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_\xi^h \Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2) \rightarrow \\ & \bigoplus_{y, y' \in W} V_{y, y'} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\xi^h \mathfrak{T}_{y, y'} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\xi^{h+1} \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)); \end{aligned}$$

here we take $h = -(\epsilon - 2)\nu - |\tilde{y}| - |\tilde{y}'|$. Now the vector spaces in (b) are mixed and the maps respect the mixed structures. From 6.2(b) and 6.1 we see that $\mathcal{H}_\xi^h(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) = \mathcal{H}_\xi^{h+k_\epsilon} \Xi(k_\epsilon/2) = V_0(-h/2)$ where V_0 is 0 if $\tilde{y}\tilde{y}' \neq 1$ and is $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ if $\tilde{y}\tilde{y}' = 1$. In particular $\mathcal{H}_\xi^i(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2))$ is pure of weight h . On the other hand the mixed vector space $\mathcal{H}_\xi^h \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2))$ has weight $\leq h - 1$. Hence the map α in (b) must be zero.

Assume that $\mathcal{H}_\xi^h \mathfrak{T}_{y, y'} \neq 0$. Then $Z_{\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}'}$ is contained in the support of $\mathcal{H}^h \mathfrak{T}_{y, y'}$ which has dimension $\leq -h$ (resp. $< -h$ if $(y, y') \neq (\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}')$); hence $-h = \dim Z_{ty, \tilde{y}'}$ is $\leq -h$ (resp. $< -h$); we see that we must have $(y, y') = (\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}')$. Note also that $\mathcal{H}_\xi^h \mathfrak{T}_{y, y'} = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l(-h/2)$.

Assume that $\mathcal{H}_\xi^{h+1} \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \neq 0$; then $Z_{\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}'}$ is contained in the support of $\mathcal{H}_\xi^{h+1} \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon})$ which has dimension $\leq -h - 1$; hence $-h = \dim Z_{ty, \tilde{y}'} \leq -h - 1$, a contradiction. We see that (b) becomes an isomorphism

$$V_0(-h/2) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}'}(-h/2).$$

It follows that we have canonically $V_{\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}'} = V_0$. The lemma is proved.

6.5. Let $y, \tilde{y} \in W$. Using the definitions and 1.2(a) we have

$$p_{ee'}!(\mathfrak{T}_{y, \tilde{y}} \otimes P[[(6 - 2\epsilon)\nu]])$$

$$(a) \quad = L_{x_1}^\# \bullet \dots \bullet L_{x_{f-1}}^\# \bullet L_y^\# \bullet L_{x_{f+1}}^\# \bullet L_{\tilde{y}}^\# \bullet L_{x_{f+3}}^\# \bullet \dots \bullet L_{x_{e'}}^\# \left[[\nu + |y| + |\tilde{y}| + \sum_{n \in E} |x_n|] \right]. \blacksquare$$

Lemma 6.6. *The map $\Xi \rightarrow \Xi^{k_\epsilon}[-k_\epsilon]$ (coming from $(\Xi', \Xi, \Xi^{k_\epsilon}[-k_\epsilon])$ in 6.2(a)) induces a morphism*

$$(p_{ee'}!(\Xi \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-\epsilon)\nu+2\rho} \rightarrow (p_{ee'}!(\Xi^{k_\epsilon} \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-\epsilon)\nu+2\rho-k_\epsilon}$$

whose kernel and cokernel are in $\mathcal{M}_m^<\mathcal{B}^2$.

It is enough to prove that

$$(p_{ee'}!(\Xi' \otimes P))^j \in \mathcal{M}_m^<\mathcal{B}^2 \text{ for any } j \geq (\epsilon-2)a + (6-\epsilon)\nu + 2\rho.$$

We have $\Xi' \simeq \{(\Xi')^h[-h]; h \leq k_\epsilon - 1\}$ hence

$$p_{ee'}!(\Xi' \otimes P) \simeq \{p_{ee'}!(\Xi')^h \otimes P[-h]; h \leq k_\epsilon - 1\}$$

so that it is enough to show that

$$(p_{ee'}!(\Xi')^h \otimes P)[-h]^j \in \mathcal{M}_m^<\mathcal{B}^2$$

for any $j \geq (\epsilon-2)a + (6-\epsilon)\nu + 2\rho$ and any $h \leq k_\epsilon - 1$. Now $(\Xi')^h$ is $G^{\epsilon-2}$ -equivariant hence its composition factors are of the form $\mathfrak{T}_{y,y'}$ with y, y' in W ; hence it is enough to show that for any y, y' in W we have

$$(p_{ee'}!(\mathfrak{T}_{y,y'} \otimes P)[-h])^j \in \mathcal{M}_m^<\mathcal{B}^2$$

for any $j \geq (\epsilon-2)a + (6-\epsilon)\nu + 2\rho$ and any $h \leq k_\epsilon - 1$ or equivalently (see 6.5(a),(b)) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_{x_1}^\# \bullet \dots \bullet L_{x_{f-1}}^\# \bullet L_y^\# \bullet L_{x_{f+1}}^\# \bullet L_{y'}^\# \bullet L_{x_{f+3}}^\# \bullet \dots \bullet L_{x_e}^\# \\ & [((2\epsilon-5)\nu + |y| + |y'| + \sum_n |x_n|)])^{j-h} \in \mathcal{M}_m^<\mathcal{B}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $j \geq (\epsilon-2)a + (6-\epsilon)\nu + 2\rho$ and any $h \leq f_\epsilon - 1$. Using 2.2(a) it is enough to show that $j-h + (2\epsilon-5)\nu > \nu + (\epsilon-2)a$. We have

$$j-h + (2\epsilon-5)\nu \geq (\epsilon-2)a + (6-\epsilon)\nu + 2\rho - \epsilon\nu - 2\rho + 1 + (2\epsilon-5)\nu = (\epsilon-2)a + \nu + 1$$

and the lemma is proved.

Lemma 6.7. *We have canonically*

$$\underline{(h_{ee'}!\tilde{P})^{\{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-\epsilon)\nu+2\rho\}}} = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} Q_y$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_y &= \underline{(p_{ee'}!(\mathfrak{T}_{y,y^{-1}} \otimes P))^{\{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu\}}} \\ &= \mathbf{L}_{x_1} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f+1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f+3}} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_e}. \end{aligned}$$

From the exact sequence 6.4(a) we deduce a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}_m\mathcal{B}^2$:

$$(p_{ee'!}(\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P), p_{ee'!}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2) \otimes P), p_{ee'!}(gr_0(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P).$$

This induces an exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}_m\mathcal{B}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (p_{ee'!}(\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu} \\ & \rightarrow (p_{ee'!}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu} \\ & \rightarrow (p_{ee'!}(gr_0(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu} \\ (a) \quad & \rightarrow (p_{ee'!}(\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that

$$(b) \quad (p_{ee'!}(\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu+1} \in \mathcal{M}_m^<\mathcal{B}^2.$$

We argue as in the proof of 6.6. Now $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2))$ is $G^{\epsilon-2}$ -equivariant hence its composition factors are of the form $\mathfrak{T}_{y,y'}$ with y, y' in W ; hence it is enough to show that for any y, y' in W we have

$$(p_{ee'!}\mathfrak{T}_{y,y'} \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu+1} \in \mathcal{M}_m^<\mathcal{B}^2$$

or equivalently (see 6.5(a),(b)) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (L_{x_1}^\# \bullet \dots \bullet L_{x_{f-1}}^\# \bullet L_y^\# \bullet L_{x_{f+1}}^\# \bullet L_y^\# \bullet L_{x_{f+3}}^\# \bullet \dots \bullet L_{x_{e'}}^\# \\ & [((2\epsilon-5)\nu + |y| + |y'| + \sum_n |x_n|)])^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu+1} \in \mathcal{M}_m^<\mathcal{B}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using 2.2(a) it remains to note that $(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu+1+(2\epsilon-5)\nu > \nu+(\epsilon-2)a$.

Next we show that

$$(c) \quad gr_{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}(p_{ee'!}(\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu} = 0.$$

Indeed, $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2))$ has weight ≤ -1 , P has weight 0 hence $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P$ has weight ≤ -1 and $p_{ee'!}(\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P)$ has weight ≤ -1 so that $(p_{ee'!}(\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}$ has weight $\leq (\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu-1$ and (c) follows.

Using (b),(c) we see that (a) induces a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} & gr_{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}(p_{ee'!}(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu} \\ & \rightarrow gr_{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}(p_{ee'!}(gr_0(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu} \end{aligned}$$

which has kernel 0 and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}_m^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$. Hence we have an induced isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{gr^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}(p_{ee'}!(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}((\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu/2)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ & \text{(d)} \\ & \underline{gr^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}(p_{ee'}!(gr_0(\Xi^{k_\epsilon}(k_\epsilon/2)) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}((\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu/2)}. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The left hand side of (d) can be identified (by 6.6) with

$$\begin{aligned} & \underline{gr^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}(p_{ee'}!(\Xi(k_\epsilon) \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-\epsilon)\nu+2\rho}((\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu/2)} \\ & = \underline{gr^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-\epsilon)\nu+2\rho}(p_{ee'}!(\Xi \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-\epsilon)\nu+2\rho}(k_\epsilon/2)((\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu/2)} \\ & = \underline{(p_{ee'}!(\Xi \otimes P))^{\{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-\epsilon)\nu+2\rho\}}}; \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

the right hand side of (d) can be identified (by 6.4 and 6.5(a),(b)) with $\bigoplus_{y \in W} Q_y$ where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_y & = \underline{gr^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}(p_{ee'}!(\mathfrak{T}_{y,y^{-1}} \otimes P))^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}((\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu/2)} \\ & = \underline{gr^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}(L_{x_1}^\# \bullet \dots \bullet L_{x_{f-1}}^\# \bullet L_y^\# \bullet L_{x_{f+1}}^\# \bullet L_{\tilde{y}}^\# \bullet L_{x_{f+3}}^\# \bullet \dots \bullet L_{x_{e'}}^\#} \\ & \underline{[(2\epsilon-5)\nu + |y| + |y'| + \sum_n |x_n|]^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}((\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_y & = \underline{gr^{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu}(\mathbf{L}_{x_1} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f+1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_{\tilde{y}} \bullet} \\ & \underline{\mathbf{L}_{x_{f+3}} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{e'}})^{(\epsilon-2)a-(\epsilon-2)\nu}((\epsilon-4)\nu/2)((\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu/2)} \\ & = \underline{gr^{(\epsilon-2)a+(2-\epsilon)\nu}(\mathbf{L}_{x_1} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f+1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_{\tilde{y}} \bullet} \\ & \underline{\mathbf{L}_{x_{f+3}} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{e'}})^{(\epsilon-2)a-(\epsilon-2)\nu}((\epsilon-2)a+(\epsilon-2)\nu/2)} \\ & = \underline{(\mathbf{L}_{x_1} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f+1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_{\tilde{y}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f+3}} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{e'}})^{\{(\epsilon-2)a-(\epsilon-2)\nu\}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have canonically

$$\underline{(p_{ee'}!(\Xi \otimes P))^{\{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-\epsilon)\nu+2\rho\}}} = \bigoplus_{y \in W} Q_y$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_y & = \underline{(p_{ee'}!(\mathfrak{T}_{y,y^{-1}} \otimes P))^{\{(\epsilon-2)a+(6-2\epsilon)\nu\}}} \\ & = \underline{(\mathbf{L}_{x_1} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f+1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_{\tilde{y}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f+3}} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{e'}})^{\{(\epsilon-2)a-(\epsilon-2)\nu\}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The expression following the last = sign is 0 if $y \notin \mathbf{c}$ (see 2.3) and is

$$\mathbf{L}_{x_1} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f+1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_{\tilde{y}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{f+3}} \bullet \dots \bullet \mathbf{L}_{x_{e'}}$$

if $y \in \mathbf{c}$ (see 3.2). The lemma is proved.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $x \in \mathbf{c}$. We have canonically*

$$(a) \quad \underline{\zeta}(\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x)) = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_x \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}}.$$

In 6.1 we take $e = f = 1, e' = 4$ hence $\epsilon = 4$. In this case we have

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{((B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4), g) \in \mathcal{B}^4 \times G; gB_1g^{-1} = B_4, gB_2g^{-1} = B_3\}.$$

Let $x \in \mathbf{c}$. From Lemma 6.7 we have canonically

$$(b) \quad (h_{14!}h_{23}^*\mathbf{L}_x)^{\{2a+2\nu+2\rho\}} = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_x \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}}.$$

By the proof of 2.6 we have

$$\zeta(\chi(\mathbf{L}_x)) = h_{14!}h_{23}^*\mathbf{L}_x.$$

Hence, using 2.11(b), we have

$$\underline{\zeta}(\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x)) = \underline{(h_{14!}h_{23}^*\mathbf{L}_x)^{\{2a+2\nu+2\rho\}}}.$$

Substituting this into (b) we obtain (a).

6.9. Using 2.4 we see that 6.8(a) implies

$$(a) \quad \underline{\zeta}\chi\mathbf{L}_x \cong \bigoplus_{z \in \mathbf{c}} (\mathbf{L}_z)^{\oplus \psi_x(z)}$$

in $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ where $\psi_x(z) \in \mathbf{N}$ are given by the following equation in \mathbf{J}^c :

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} t_y t_x t_{y^{-1}} = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{c}} \psi_x(z) t_z.$$

7. ANALYSIS OF THE COMPOSITION $\underline{\zeta}\chi$ (CONTINUED)

7.1. Let $\mathfrak{Z} = \{((\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4), g) \in \mathcal{B}^4 \times G; g\beta_2g^{-1} = \beta_3\}$. Define $d, d' : \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ by $d(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4), g) = (\beta_1, g^{-1}\beta_4g)$, $d'(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4), g) = (g\beta_1g^{-1}, \beta_4)$. Let $u \in \mathbf{c}$. We set $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u = d^*\mathbf{L}_u = d'^*\mathbf{L}_u \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathfrak{Z})$; the last equality follows from the G -equivariance of \mathbf{L}_u . Define $\bar{\vartheta} : \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^4$ by $((\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4), g) \mapsto (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4)$. Now G^2 acts on \mathfrak{Z} by

$$(g_1, g_2) : ((\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4), g) \mapsto ((g_1\beta_1g_1^{-1}, g_1\beta_2g_1^{-1}, g_2\beta_3g_2^{-1}, g_2\beta_4g_2^{-1}), g_2gg_1^{-1});$$

this induces a G^2 -action on \mathcal{B}^4 so that $\bar{\vartheta}$ is G^2 -equivariant. Note also that G^2 acts on \mathcal{B}^2 by $(g_1, g_2) : (B, B') \mapsto (g_1Bg_1^{-1}, g_1B'g_1^{-1})$ and that d, d' are G^2 -equivariant. It follows that a shift of $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u$ is $G \times G$ -equivariant perverse sheaf and $(\bar{\vartheta}_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u)^j$ is G^2 -equivariant for any j .

For i, j in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ let $\bar{p}_{ij} : \mathcal{B}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ be the projection to the i, j coordinates. For any y, z in W we set

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{y,z} = \{(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) \in \mathcal{B}^4; (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathcal{O}_y, (\beta_3, \beta_4) \in \mathcal{O}_z\}.$$

These are the orbits of the G^2 -action on \mathcal{B}^4 . Let $\mathbf{T}_{y,z}$ be the intersection cohomology complex of the closure $\bar{\mathfrak{Z}}_{y,z}$ of $\mathfrak{Z}_{y,z}$ extended by 0 on $\mathcal{B}^4 - \bar{\mathfrak{Z}}_{y,z}$, to which $[[2\nu + |y| + |z|]]$ has been applied. We have $\mathbf{T}_{y,z} = \bar{p}_{12}^* \mathbf{L}_y \otimes \bar{p}_{34}^* \mathbf{L}_z$.

We denote by $'\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$ (resp. $''\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$) the category of perverse sheaves on \mathcal{B}^4 whose composition factors are all of the form $\mathbf{T}_{y,z}$ with $y \preceq \mathbf{c}$, $z \in W$ (resp. $y \in W$, $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$). We denote by $'\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$ (resp. $''\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$) the category of perverse sheaves on \mathcal{B}^4 whose composition factors are all of the form $\mathbf{T}_{y,z}$ with $y \prec \mathbf{c}$, $z \in W$ (resp. $y \in W$, $z \prec \mathbf{c}$). Let $\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$) be the category of perverse sheaves on \mathcal{B}^4 whose composition factors are all of the form $\mathbf{T}_{y,z}$ with $y \preceq \mathbf{c}$, $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$ (resp. $y \preceq \mathbf{c}$, $z \prec \mathbf{c}$ or $y \prec \mathbf{c}$, $z \preceq \mathbf{c}$). Let $\mathcal{D}_m^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_m^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$) be the category consisting of all $K \in \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{B}^4$ such that for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, K^j belongs to $\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$).

Let $\mathcal{C}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$ consisting of semisimple objects; let $\mathcal{C}_0^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{M}_m \mathcal{B}^4$ consisting of those $K \in \mathcal{M}_m \mathcal{B}^4$ such that K is pure of weight 0 and such that as an object of $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{B}^4$, K belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{B}^4$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$ consisting of objects which are direct sums of objects of the form $\mathbf{T}_{y,z}$ with $y \in \mathbf{c}$, $z \in \mathbf{c}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_0^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{B}^4$ be the subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_0^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$ consisting of those $K \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$ such that as an object of $\mathcal{C}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$, K belongs to $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{B}^4$. For $K \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$, let \underline{K} be the largest subobject of K such that as an object of $\mathcal{C}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$, we have $\underline{K} \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{B}^4$.

We set $\alpha = a + 3\nu + 2\rho$. We have canonically

$$(a) \quad gr_0((\bar{\vartheta}_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u)^\alpha(\alpha/2)) = \bigoplus_{y,z \in W} U_{y,z} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{y,z}$$

where $U_{y,z}$ are well defined mixed $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ vector spaces of pure weight 0.

Lemma 7.2. (a) For any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have $(\bar{\vartheta}_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u)^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$.

(b) If $j > \alpha$ then $(\bar{\vartheta}_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u)^j \in '\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4 \cap ''\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$.

(c) If $y, z \in \mathbf{c}$, we have canonically $U_{y,z} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_y, \mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_{z^{-1}})$.

(d) If $y, z \in \mathbf{c}$, we have canonically $U_{y,z} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}} \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u)$.

The proof of (a) and (b) is given in 7.3 and 7.4. The proof of (c) is given in 7.5. The proof of (d) is given in 7.6.

7.3. In this subsection we show that

(a) For any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have $(\bar{\vartheta}_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u)^j \in '\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$.

(b) If $j > \alpha$ then $(\bar{\vartheta}_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u)^j \in '\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$.

In the setup of 6.1 (with $e = 0$, $f = 1$, $e' = 4$ hence $\epsilon = 5$) we identify \mathcal{Y} , \mathfrak{Z} via the isomorphism

$$'c : \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Z}, \quad ((B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4), g) \mapsto ((B_0, B_2, B_3, B_4), g).$$

Then $\bar{\vartheta}$ becomes the composition $\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\vartheta} \mathcal{B}^5 \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{B}^4$ where θ is $(B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4) \mapsto (B_0, B_2, B_3, B_4)$; $\bar{\vartheta}_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u$ becomes $\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi)$.

We have

$$\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi) \simeq \{\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^h[-h]); h \leq k_5\}$$

where the inequality $h \leq k_5$ comes from the fact that $\Xi^h = 0$ if $h > k_5$, see 6.2(a). (Recall that $k_5 = 5\nu + 2\rho$.) Hence it is enough to show:

(c) For any $j, h \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $(\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^h[-h]))^j \in {}'\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$.

(d) For any $j, h \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $j > \alpha, h \leq k_5$, we have $(\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^h[-h]))^j \in {}'\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$.

Note that in (d) we have $j - h > a - 2\nu$. Since Ξ^h is G^3 -equivariant, its composition factors are of the form $\mathfrak{T}_{y, y'}$ with $y, y' \in W$. Hence it is enough to show for any $y, y' \in W$:

(e) For any $j' \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $(\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{y, y'}))^{j'} \in {}'\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$.

(f) For any $j' \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $j' > a - 2\nu$, we have $(\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{y, y'}))^{j'} \in {}'\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$.

From the definitions we have

$$\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{y, y'}) = \bar{p}_{12}^*(\mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y)[[\nu]] \otimes \bar{p}_{34}^* \mathbf{L}_{y'}.$$

This can be viewed as $(\mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y)[[\nu]] \boxtimes \mathbf{L}_{y'} \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathcal{B}^2)$. Since $\mathbf{L}_{y'}$ is a perverse sheaf on the second copy of \mathcal{B}^2 , we have

$$((\mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y)[[\nu]] \boxtimes \mathbf{L}_{y'})^{j'} = (\mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y)^{j'+\nu} \boxtimes \mathbf{L}_{y'}(\nu/2).$$

It remains to observe that $(\mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y)^{j'+\nu}$ is in $\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ for any j' and is in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$ if $j' + \nu > a - \nu$ (by 3.1). This proves (a),(b).

7.4. In this subsection we show that

(a) For any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have $(\bar{\vartheta}_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u)^j \in {}''\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$.

(b) If $j > \alpha$ then $(\bar{\vartheta}_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u)^j \in {}''\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$.

The arguments are almost a copy of those in 7.3. In the setup of 6.1 (with $e = 1, f = 1, e' = 5$ hence $\epsilon = 5$) we identify $\mathcal{Y}, \mathfrak{Z}$ via the isomorphism

$${}''\mathfrak{c} : \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Z}, \quad ((B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, B_5), g) \mapsto ((B_1, B_2, B_3, B_5), g).$$

Then $\bar{\vartheta}$ becomes the composition $\mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\vartheta} \mathcal{B}^5 \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{B}^4$ where θ is $(B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, B_5) \mapsto (B_1, B_2, B_3, B_5)$; $\bar{\vartheta}_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u$ becomes $\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi)$. We have $\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi) \simeq \{\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^h[-h]); h \leq k_5\}$ where the inequality $h \leq k_5$ comes from the fact that $\Xi^h = 0$ if $h > k_5$, see 6.2(a). Hence it is enough to show:

(c) For any $j, h \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^h[-h]))^j \in {}''\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$.

(d) For any $j, h \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $j > \alpha, h \leq k_5$, we have $(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^h[-h]))^j \in {}''\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$.

Note that in (d) we have $j - h > a - 2\nu$. Since Ξ^h is G^3 -equivariant, its composition

factors are of the form $\mathfrak{T}_{y,y'}$ with $y, y' \in W$. Hence it is enough to show for any $y, y' \in W$:

(e) For any $j' \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{y,y'}))^{j'} \in {}''\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^4$.

(f) For any $j' \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $j' > a - 2\nu$, we have $(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{y,y'}))^{j'} \in {}''\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$.

From the definitions we have

$$\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_{y,y'}) = \bar{p}_{34}^*(\mathbf{L}_{y'} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u)[[\nu]] \otimes \bar{p}_{12}^* \mathbf{L}_y.$$

This can be viewed as $\mathbf{L}_y \boxtimes (\mathbf{L}_{y'} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u)[[\nu]] \in \mathcal{D}_m(\mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathcal{B}^2)$. Since \mathbf{L}_y is a perverse sheaf on the first copy of \mathcal{B}^2 , we have

$$(\mathbf{L}_y \boxtimes (\mathbf{L}_{y'} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u)[[\nu]])^{j'} = \mathbf{L}_y(\nu/2) \boxtimes (\mathbf{L}_{y'} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u)^{j'+\nu}.$$

It remains to observe that $(\mathbf{L}_{y'} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u)^{j'+\nu}$ is in $\mathcal{M}^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ for any j' and is in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2$ if $j' + \nu > a - \nu$ (by 3.1). This proves (a),(b).

Combining (a),(b) with 7.3(a),(b) we see that 7.2(a),(b) hold.

7.5. We prove 7.2(c) using the isomorphism $'c : \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Z}$ in 7.3. (We assume again that we are in the setup of 6.1 with $e = 0, f = 1, e' = 4$ hence $\epsilon = 5$.) As in 7.3, we have $\bar{\vartheta}_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u = \theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi)$. Here $\theta : \mathcal{B}^5 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^4$ is as in 7.3.

From the exact triangle $(\Xi', \Xi, \Xi^{k_5}[-k_5])$ in 6.2(a) we get an exact triangle

$$(\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi'), \theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi), \theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}[k_5]))$$

hence an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi'))^j \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi))^j \\ (a) \quad & \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}[k_5]))^j \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi'))^{j+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Ξ by Ξ' in the proof of 7.3(b) given in 7.3 and using that $(\Xi')^h = 0$ if $h \geq k_5$ we see that

$$(\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi'))^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4 \text{ for } j \geq \alpha.$$

Hence the exact sequence (a) implies that

$$(\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi))^\alpha \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}[k_5]))^\alpha$$

has kernel and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$. This induces a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & gr_0(\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi))^\alpha(\alpha/2) \rightarrow gr_0(\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}[k_5]))^\alpha(\alpha/2) \\ (b) \quad & = gr_0(\theta_!((p_{01}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}))^{\alpha-k_5}(\alpha/2) \end{aligned}$$

which has kernel and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$.

From the exact sequence 6.4(a) we get a distinguished triangle

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5], \theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))[-k_5], \\ & \theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes gr_0(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5])^\alpha \\ & \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))[-k_5])^\alpha \\ & \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes gr_0(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5])^\alpha \\ (c) \quad & \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5])^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Ξ by $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))[-k_5/2]$ in the proof of 7.3(b) given in 7.3 and using that $(\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))[-k_5/2])^h = 0$ if $h > k_5$ we see that

$$(d) \quad (\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5])^{\alpha+1} \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4.$$

Note that

$$(e) \quad gr_{\alpha-k_5}(\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))))^{\alpha-k_5} = 0.$$

This follows from the fact that $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))$ has weight ≤ -1 hence $\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))$ has weight ≤ -1 and $(\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))))^{\alpha-k_5}$ has weight $\leq \alpha - k_5 - 1$.

Using (d),(e), we see that (c) induces a morphism

$$gr_{\alpha-k_5}(\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))^{\alpha-k_5} \rightarrow gr_{\alpha-k_5}(\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes gr_0(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))))^{\alpha-k_5}$$

which has kernel 0 and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$, hence a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} & gr_0(\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))^{\alpha-k_5}(\alpha/2) \\ & \rightarrow gr_0(\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes gr_0(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))))^{\alpha-k_5}((\alpha - k_5)/2) \end{aligned}$$

which has kernel 0 and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$. Composing this with the morphism (b) we obtain a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} & gr_0(\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi)^{\alpha}(\alpha/2)) \\ & \rightarrow gr_0(\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes gr_0(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))))^{\alpha-k_5}((\alpha - k_5)/2) \end{aligned}$$

which has kernel and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$. Using 7.1(a) and 6.4 this becomes a morphism

$$(f) \quad \oplus_{z,y' \in W} U_{z,y'} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{z,y'} \rightarrow \oplus_{y \in W} gr_0(\theta_!((p_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{y,y^{-1}}))^{\alpha-k_5}((\alpha - k_5)/2)$$

which has kernel and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$. As in 7.3, the right hand side of (f) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bigoplus_{y \in W} gr_0(\bar{p}_{12}^*(\mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y)[[\nu]] \otimes \bar{p}_{34}^* \mathbf{L}_{y-1})^{\alpha-k_5}((\alpha-k_5)/2)) \\
&= \bigoplus_{y \in W} gr_0(\mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y)[[\nu]]^{\alpha-k_5}((\alpha-k_5)/2)) \boxtimes \mathbf{L}_{y-1} \\
&= \bigoplus_{y \in W} (\mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y)^{\{a-\nu\}} \boxtimes \mathbf{L}_{y-1} \\
&= \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}, z \in \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y) \mathbf{L}_z \boxtimes \mathbf{L}_{y-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{(y,z) \in W \times (W-\mathbf{c})} U'_{z,y-1} \mathbf{L}_z \boxtimes \mathbf{L}_{y-1}
\end{aligned}$$

where $U'_{z,y-1}$ are well defined mixed $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -vector spaces. It follows that we have canonically

$$U_{z,y'} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y'-1})$$

whenever $y' \in \mathbf{c}, z \in \mathbf{c}$. This completes the proof of 7.2(c).

7.6. We prove 7.2(d) using the isomorphism $''\mathbf{c} : \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Z}$ in 7.4. (We assume again that we are in the setup of 6.1 with $e = 1, f = 1, e' = 5$ hence $\epsilon = 5$.) The arguments will be similar to those in 7.5. As in 7.4, we have $\vartheta_! \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u = \theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi)$. Here $\theta : \mathcal{B}^5 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^4$ is as in 7.4.

From the exact triangle $(\Xi', \Xi, \Xi^{k_5}[-k_5])$ in 6.2(a) we get an exact triangle

$$(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi'), \theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi), \theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}[k_5]))$$

hence an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi'))^j \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi))^j \\
\text{(a)} \quad & \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}[k_5]))^j \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi'))^{j+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing Ξ by Ξ' in the proof of 7.4(b) given in 7.4 and using that $(\Xi')^h = 0$ if $h \geq k_5$ we see that

$$(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi'))^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4 \text{ for } j \geq \alpha.$$

Hence the exact sequence (a) implies that

$$(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi))^{\alpha} \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}[k_5]))^{\alpha}$$

has kernel and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$. This induces a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
& gr_0(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi))^{\alpha}(\alpha/2) \rightarrow gr_0(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}[k_5]))^{\alpha}(\alpha/2) \\
\text{(b)} \quad & = gr_0(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}))^{\alpha-k_5}(\alpha/2)
\end{aligned}$$

which has kernel and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$.

From the exact sequence 6.4(a) we get a distinguished triangle

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5], \theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))[-k_5], \\ & \theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes gr_0(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5]). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} & (\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5])^\alpha \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))[-k_5])^\alpha \\ & \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes gr_0(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5])^\alpha \\ (c) \quad & \rightarrow (\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5])^{\alpha+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Ξ by $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))[-k_5/2]$ in the proof of 7.4(b) given in 7.4 and using that $(\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))[-k_5/2])^h = 0$ if $h > k_5$ we see that

$$(d) \quad (\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))[-k_5])^{\alpha+1} \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4.$$

Note that

$$(e) \quad gr_{\alpha-k_5}(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))))^{\alpha-k_5} = 0.$$

This follows from the fact that $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))$ has weight ≤ -1 hence $\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))$ has weight ≤ -1 and $(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{-1}(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))))^{\alpha-k_5}$ has weight $\leq \alpha - k_5 - 1$.

Using (d),(e), we see that (c) induces a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} & gr_{\alpha-k_5}(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))^{\alpha-k_5} \\ & \rightarrow gr_{\alpha-k_5}(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes gr_0(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))))^{\alpha-k_5} \end{aligned}$$

which has kernel 0 and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$, hence a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} & gr_0(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2)))^{\alpha-k_5}(\alpha/2) \\ & \rightarrow gr_0(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes gr_0(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))))^{\alpha-k_5}((\alpha - k_5)/2) \end{aligned}$$

which has kernel 0 and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$. Composing this with the morphism (b) we obtain a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} & gr_0(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \Xi)^{\alpha}(\alpha/2)) \\ & \rightarrow gr_0(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes gr_0(\Xi^{k_5}(k_5/2))))^{\alpha-k_5}((\alpha - k_5)/2) \end{aligned}$$

which has kernel and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^4$. Using 7.1(a) and 6.4, this becomes a morphism

$$(f) \quad \oplus_{y',z \in W} U_{y',z} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{y',z} \rightarrow \oplus_{y \in W} gr_0(\theta_!((p_{45}^* \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{y,y^{-1}}))^{\alpha-k_5}((\alpha - k_5)/2)$$

which has kernel and cokernel in $\mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$. As in 7.4, the right hand side of (f) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigoplus_{y \in W} gr_0(\bar{p}_{34}^*(\mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u)[[\nu]] \otimes \bar{p}_{12}^* \mathbf{L}_y)^{\alpha - k_5} ((\alpha - k_5)/2) \\ &= \bigoplus_{y \in W} \mathbf{L}_y \boxtimes gr_0(\mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_y)[[\nu]]^{\alpha - k_5} ((\alpha - k_5)/2) \\ &= \bigoplus_{y \in W} \mathbf{L}_y \boxtimes (\mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u)^{\{a - \nu\}} \\ &= \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}, z \in \mathbf{c}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u) \otimes (\mathbf{L}_y \boxtimes \mathbf{L}_z) \oplus \bigoplus_{(y,z) \in W \times (W - \mathbf{c})} U''_{y,z} \otimes (\mathbf{L}_y \boxtimes \mathbf{L}_z) \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

where $U''_{y,z}$ are well defined mixed $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -vector spaces. It follows that we have canonically $U_{y,z} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u)$ whenever $y \in \mathbf{c}, z \in \mathbf{c}$. This completes the proof of 7.2(d). Lemma 7.2 is proved.

Proposition 7.7. *For any $y, z, u \in \mathbf{c}$ we have canonically*

$$(a) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_y, \mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_{z^{-1}}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u).$$

Indeed both sides of (a) are identified in 7.2(c),(d) with $U_{y,z}$.

Proposition 7.8. *Let $u, x \in \mathbf{c}$. In the setup of 7.1 we have canonically*

$$\underline{(\bar{p}_{14!}(\bar{\vartheta}_!(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u) \otimes \bar{p}_{23}^* \mathbf{L}_x))^{\{3a + \nu + 2\rho\}}} = \bigoplus_{y,z \in \mathbf{c}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{y,z}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{y,z} &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_y, \mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_{z^{-1}}) \otimes (\mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_x \bullet \mathbf{L}_z) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u)(\mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_x \bullet \mathbf{L}_z) \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2. \end{aligned}$$

(The last equality comes from 7.7.)

Define $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^2$ by $\Phi(K) = \bar{p}_{14!}(K \otimes \bar{p}_{23}^* \mathbf{L}_x)$. This is well defined and maps $\mathcal{D}_m^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$ to $\mathcal{D}_m^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^2$. (This can be deduced from 2.2(a), (e).) Let $(c, c') = (2a - 2\nu, a + 3\nu + 2\rho)$. Let $\mathbf{X} = \bar{\vartheta}_!(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u)$. By 7.2(a) we have $\mathbf{X}^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$ for any $j > c'$. Note that \mathbf{X} has weight ≤ 0 . If $K \in \mathcal{D}_m^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$ and $K \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$ then $(\Phi(K))^h \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec}\mathcal{B}^4$ for any $h > c$. (This can be deduced from 2.2(a) with $r = 3$.) Now the proof of Lemma 1.12 can be repeated word by word and yield a canonical identification

$$\underline{(\Phi(\mathbf{X}^{\{c'\}}))^{\{c\}}} = \underline{(\Phi(\mathbf{X}))^{\{c+c'\}}}$$

that is

$$\underline{(\bar{p}_{14!}((\bar{\vartheta}_!(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u))^{\{a+3\nu+2\rho\}} \otimes \bar{p}_{23}^* \mathbf{L}_x))^{\{2a-2\nu\}}} = \underline{(\bar{p}_{14!}(\bar{\vartheta}_!(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u) \otimes \bar{p}_{23}^* \mathbf{L}_x))^{\{3a+\nu+2\rho\}}}.$$

Replacing here $\underline{(\bar{\vartheta}_!(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u))^{\{a+3\nu+2\rho\}}}$ by

$$\bigoplus_{y,z \in \mathbf{c}} U_{y,z} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{y,z} = \bigoplus_{y,z \in \mathbf{c}} U_{y,z} \otimes \bar{p}_{12}^* \mathbf{L}_y \otimes \bar{p}_{34}^* \mathbf{L}_z$$

(see 7.1(a) and 7.2(a)) we obtain

$$\underline{(\bar{p}_{14!}(\bar{\vartheta}_!(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u) \otimes \bar{p}_{23}^* \mathbf{L}_x))^{\{3a+\nu+2\rho\}}} = \bigoplus_{y,z \in \mathbf{c}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{y,z}$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{y,z} = U_{y,z} \otimes \underline{(\bar{p}_{14!}(\bar{p}_{12}^* \mathbf{L}_y \otimes \bar{p}_{34}^* \mathbf{L}_z \otimes \bar{p}_{23}^* \mathbf{L}_x))^{\{2a-2\nu\}}} = U_{y,z} \otimes (\mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_x \bullet \mathbf{L}_z).$$

This completes the proof. (We use 7.2(c),(d).)

7.9. Let

$$'Y = \{((B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4), g) \in \mathcal{B}^5 \times G; gB_1g^{-1} = B_4, gB_2g^{-1} = B_3\},$$

$$''Y = \{((B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, B_5), g) \in \mathcal{B}^5 \times G; gB_1g^{-1} = B_4, gB_2g^{-1} = B_3\}.$$

Note that $'Y$ is what in 6.1 (with $e = 0, f = 1, e' = 4$) was denoted by \mathcal{Y} and $''Y$ is what in 6.1 (with $e = 1, f = 1, e' = 5$) was denoted by \mathcal{Y} . For i, j in $[0, 4]$ define $'h_{ij} : 'Y \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ by $((B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4), g) \mapsto (B_i, B_j)$. For i, j in $[1, 5]$ define $''h_{ij} : ''Y \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ by $((B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, B_5), g) \mapsto (B_i, B_j)$. Let $u, x \in \mathbf{c}$. Let $'\mathcal{E} = 'h_{04}!(h_{01}^*\mathbf{L}_u \otimes 'h_{23}^*\mathbf{L}_x) \in \mathcal{D}_m\mathcal{B}^2$, $''\mathcal{E} = ''h_{15}!(''h_{23}^*\mathbf{L}_x \otimes ''h_{45}^*\mathbf{L}_u) \in \mathcal{D}_m\mathcal{B}^2$. From Lemma 6.7 we obtain canonical identifications

$$\underline{('E)^{\{3a+\nu+2\rho\}}} = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} 'Q_y, \quad \underline{(''E)^{\{3a+\nu+2\rho\}}} = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} ''Q_y,$$

where

$$'Q_y = \mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_x \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}}, \quad ''Q_y = \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_x \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \bullet \mathbf{L}_u.$$

Using Theorem 6.8 we have canonically

$$\bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} 'Q_y = \mathbf{L}_u \bullet \underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x), \quad \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} ''Q_y = \underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x) \bullet \mathbf{L}_u$$

hence

$$\underline{('E)^{\{3a+\nu+2\rho\}}} = \mathbf{L}_u \bullet \underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x), \quad \underline{(''E)^{\{3a+\nu+2\rho\}}} = \underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x) \bullet \mathbf{L}_u.$$

From the definitions we see that the identification

$$(a) \quad \mathbf{L}_u \bullet \underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x) = \underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x) \bullet \mathbf{L}_u$$

in 3.4(a) (with $L = \mathbf{L}_u, K = \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x)$) is the same as the identification

$$\underline{('E)^{\{3a+\nu+2\rho\}}} = \underline{(''E)^{\{3a+\nu+2\rho\}}}$$

obtained by identifying both sides with $\underline{\mathcal{E}^{\{3a+\nu+2\rho\}}}$ where $\mathcal{E} = \bar{p}_{14!}(\bar{v}_!(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_u) \otimes \bar{p}_{23}^*\mathbf{L}_x)$.

(Note that $'\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} = ''\mathcal{E}$ via the isomorphisms $'Y \xrightarrow{'c} \mathfrak{Z} \xleftarrow{''c} ''Y$, see 7.3, 7.4, where $'Y, ''Y$ are denoted by \mathcal{Y} .) Using these identifications and Proposition 7.8 we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{L}_u \bullet \underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \underline{\mathcal{E}^{\{3a+\nu+2\rho\}}} & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x) \bullet \mathbf{L}_u \\ \sim \downarrow & & \sim \downarrow & & \sim \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} 'Q_y & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bigoplus_{y, z \in \mathbf{c}} \bar{Q}_{y, z} & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} ''Q_y \end{array}$$

where the upper horizontal maps yield the identification (a) and the lower horizontal maps are the obvious ones: they map $'Q_y$ onto $\bigoplus_{z \in \mathbf{c}} \bar{Q}_{z^{-1}, y^{-1}}$ and $''Q_y$ onto $\bigoplus_{z \in \mathbf{c}} \bar{Q}_{y, z}$.

8. ADJUNCTION FORMULA (WEAK FORM)

Proposition 8.1. *Let $L \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$, $K \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G$. We have canonically*

$$(a) \quad K \underline{*} \underline{\chi}(L) = \underline{\chi}(L \bullet \underline{\zeta}(K)).$$

Applying 1.12 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} G$, $K_1 \mapsto K * K_1$, $\mathbf{X} = \chi(L)$, $(c, c') = (2a + \rho, a + \rho + \nu)$ (see 4.5, 1.9) we deduce that we have canonically

$$\underline{(K * (\chi(L))^{\{a+\rho+\nu\}})^{\{2a+\rho\}}} = \underline{(K * \chi(L))^{\{3a+2\rho+\nu\}}}$$

that is,

$$(b) \quad K \underline{*} \underline{\chi}(L) = \underline{(K * \chi(L))^{\{3a+2\rho+\nu\}}}.$$

Applying 1.12 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} \mathcal{B}^2$, ${}^1L \mapsto L \bullet {}^1L$, $\mathbf{X} = \zeta(K)$, $(c, c') = (a - \nu, a + \nu + \rho)$ (see 3.1, 2.8) we deduce that we have canonically

$$(c) \quad \underline{(L \bullet (\zeta(K))^{\{a+\nu+\rho\}})^{\{a-\nu\}}} = \underline{(L \bullet \zeta(K))^{\{2a+\rho\}}}$$

and

$$(d) \quad (L \bullet \zeta(K))^j \in \mathcal{M}^{\prec} \mathcal{B}^2 \text{ if } j > 2a + \rho.$$

Applying 1.12 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} G$, ${}^1L \mapsto \chi({}^1L)$, $\mathbf{X} = L \bullet \zeta(K)$, $(c, c') = (a + \rho + \nu, 2a + \rho)$ (see (d) and 1.9) we deduce that we have canonically

$$\underline{\chi((L \bullet \zeta(K))^{\{2a+\rho\}})^{\{a+\rho+\nu\}}} = \underline{(\chi(L \bullet \zeta(K)))^{\{3a+2\rho+\nu\}}}.$$

Combining this with (c) gives

$$\underline{\chi(L \bullet \underline{\zeta}(K))} = \underline{(\chi(L \bullet \zeta(K)))^{\{3a+2\rho+\nu\}}}$$

which together with (b) gives (a). (We use the equality $K * \chi(L) = \chi(L \bullet \zeta(K))$, see 4.2.)

The following lemma is a variant of 1.12.

Lemma 8.2. *Let $c \in \mathbf{Z}$ and let Y be one of G, \mathcal{B}^2 . Let $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m \mathbf{P}$ be a functor which takes distinguished triangles to distinguished triangles, commutes with shifts and direct sums and maps complexes of weight $\leq i$ to complexes of weight $\leq i$ (for any i). Assume that*

$$(a) \quad (\Phi(K_0))^h = 0 \text{ for any } K_0 \in \mathcal{M}_m^{\leq} Y \text{ and any } h > c.$$

Then for any $K \in \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} Y$ of weight ≤ 0 and any $c' \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have canonically

$$(c) \quad (\Phi(\underline{K}^{\{c'\}}))^{\{c'\}} \subset (\Phi(K))^{\{c+c'\}}.$$

As in 1.12 for any i, h we have an exact sequence

$$(\Phi(K^i))^{h-1} \rightarrow (\Phi(\tau_{<i}K))^{i+h} \rightarrow (\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}K))^{i+h} \rightarrow (\Phi(K^i))^h \rightarrow (\Phi(\tau_{<i}K))^{i+h+1}.$$

Assume first that $i+h = c+c'+1$, $h \geq c+2$ hence $i \leq c'-1$. Then $(\Phi(K^i))^{h-1} = 0$, $(\Phi(K^i))^h = 0$ hence $(\Phi(\tau_{<i}K))^{c+c'+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}K))^{c+c'+1}$. Thus we see by induction on i that $(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}K))^{c+c'+1} = 0$ for $i \leq c'-1$; in particular

$$(d) \quad (\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'-1}K))^{c+c'+1} = 0.$$

Next assume that $i+h = c+c'$, $h \geq c+2$ hence $i \leq c'-2$. Then $(\Phi(K^i))^{h-1} = 0$, $(\Phi(K^i))^h = 0$ hence $(\Phi(\tau_{<i}K))^{c+c'+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}K))^{c+c'+1}$. Thus we see by induction on i that $(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}K))^{c+c'} = 0$ for $i \leq c'-2$; in particular $(\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'-2}K))^{c+c'} = 0$. Now assume that $i+h = c+c'$, $h = c+1$ hence $i = c'-1$. We have an exact sequence $(\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'-2}K))^{c+c'} \rightarrow (\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'-1}K))^{c+c'} \rightarrow 0$ hence $(\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'-1}K))^{c+c'} = 0$. Now assume that $i+h = c+c'$, $h = c$ hence $i = c'$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'}K))^{c+c'} \rightarrow (\Phi(K^{c'}))^c \rightarrow (\Phi(\tau_{<c'}K))^{c+c'+1},$$

hence using (d) we have

$$(e) \quad (\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'}K))^{c+c'} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Phi(K^{c'}))^c.$$

For any i , from the exact sequence

$$(\Phi(K^i))^{c+c'-i-1} \rightarrow (\Phi(\tau_{<i}K))^{c+c'} \rightarrow (\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}K))^{c+c'}$$

we deduce an exact sequence

$$gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(K^i))^{c+c'-i-1} \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{<i}K))^{c+c'} \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}K))^{c+c'}.$$

Now $(\Phi(K^i))^{c+c'-i-1}$ is mixed of weight $\leq c+c'-1$ (by our assumptions) hence $gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(K^i))^{c+c'-i-1} = 0$. Thus for any i we have an imbedding

$$gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{<i}K))^{c+c'} \subset gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}K))^{c+c'}.$$

Hence each $gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{<i}K))^{c+c'}$ becomes a subobject of $gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq i}K))^{c+c'}$ with large i , that is of $gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(K))^{c+c'}$. In particular we have

$$(f) \quad gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'}K))^{c+c'} \subset gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(K))^{c+c'}.$$

From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W_{c'-1}K^{c'} \rightarrow K^{c'} \rightarrow gr_{c'}K^{c'} \rightarrow 0$ (here we use that $K^{c'}$ has weight $\leq c'$) we deduce an exact sequence

$$(\Phi(W_{c'-1}K^{c'}))^c \rightarrow (\Phi(K^{c'}))^c \rightarrow (\Phi(gr_{c'}K^{c'}))^c \rightarrow (\Phi(W_{c'-1}K^{c'}))^c$$

hence an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(W_{c'-1}K^{c'}))^c &\rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(K^{c'}))^c \rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_{c'}K^{c'}))^c \\ &\rightarrow gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(W_{c'-1}K^{c'}))^c. \end{aligned}$$

Now $(\Phi(W_{c'-1}K^{c'}))^c$ has weight $\leq c+c'-1$ hence $gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(W_{c'-1}K^{c'}))^c = 0$; by (a) we have $(\Phi(W_{c'-1}K^{c'}))^c = 0$. Hence the previous exact sequence yields

$$gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(K^{c'}))^c \xrightarrow{\sim} gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_{c'}K^{c'}))^c.$$

Combining this with $gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'}K))^c = gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(K^{c'}))^c$ obtained from (e) we see that

$$gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_{c'}K^{c'}))^c = gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\tau_{\leq c'}K))^c.$$

Using this and (f) we obtain an imbedding

$$gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(gr_{c'}K^{c'}))^c \subset gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(K))^c.$$

Since $\underline{gr_{c'}K^{c'}}$ is canonically a direct summand of $gr_{c'}K^{c'}$ we see that the previous imbedding restricts to an imbedding

$$gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(\underline{gr_{c'}K^{c'}}))^c \subset gr_{c+c'}(\Phi(K))^c.$$

Applying $((c+c')/2)$ to both sides we obtain (c).

8.3. Let $\iota : \mathbf{p} \rightarrow G$ be the map with image 1. We show:

(a) Let $K \in \mathcal{M}_m^{\leq} G$. If $j > -2a - \rho$, then $(\iota^*(K))^j = 0$.

We can assume that $K \in CS(G)$. From the cleanness of cuspidal character sheaves we see that either $\iota^*K = 0$ in which case there is nothing to prove, or $K \cong A_E$ for some $E \in \text{Irr}W$ which we now assume. We have $\mathcal{H}_1^i A_E = \text{Hom}_W(E, H^{i+\Delta}(\mathcal{B}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l))(\Delta/2)$ where $H^{i+\Delta}(\mathcal{B}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ has the natural W -action. It is known that the polynomial $\sum_{k \geq 0} \dim \text{Hom}_W(E, H^k(\mathcal{B}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l))v^k$ has degree $\leq 2\nu - 2\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{c}_E)$. Hence $\sum_i \dim(\mathcal{H}_1^i(A_E))v^i \in v^{-2\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{c}_E) - \rho} \mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$. Since $\mathbf{c}_E \preceq \mathbf{c}$, we have $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{c}_E) \geq a$ and $\sum_i \dim(\mathcal{H}_1^i(A_E))v^i \in v^{-2a - \rho} \mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$. This proves (a).

(b) If $K = A_{E_c}$, then we have canonically $(\iota^*K)^{-2a - \rho} = \mathbf{E}((2a + \rho)/2)$ where \mathbf{E} is a well defined 1-dimensional $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -vector space of pure weight 0.

Equivalently, $\mathcal{H}_1^{-2a - \rho} K$ is a one dimensional mixed $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -vector space of pure weight $-2a - \rho$. (We use the fact that E_c appears in the W -module $H^{-2a+2\nu}(\mathcal{B}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)(\Delta/2)$ with multiplicity one and that $H^{-2a+2\nu}(\mathcal{B}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)(\Delta/2)$ is pure of weight $-2a - \rho$.)

(c) If $K \in \mathcal{C}^c G$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(A_{E_c}, K) = 0$ then $(\iota^*(K))^{-2a - \rho} = 0$.

We can assume that $K = A_E$ where $E \in \text{Irr}_c W$, $E \neq E_c$. We then use the fact that E does not appear in the W -module $H^{-2a+2\nu}(\mathcal{B}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)(\Delta/2)$.

8.4. Define $\delta : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ by $B \mapsto (B, B)$; let $\omega : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{p}$ be the obvious map. From the definitions, for any $L \in \mathcal{D}_m \mathcal{B}^2$ we have canonically

$$(a) \quad \iota^*(\chi(L)) = \omega_! \delta^*(L).$$

We show:

(b) *Let $L \in \mathcal{M}_m^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$. If $j > -a$ then $(\delta^* L)^j = 0$.*

We can assume that $L = \mathbf{L}_w$ where $w \preceq \mathbf{c}$. It is enough to show that for any k we have $(\mathcal{H}^k(\delta^* L)[-k])^j = 0$ that is $(\mathcal{H}^k(\delta^*(L_w^\sharp[|w| + \nu])))^{j-k} = 0$ or equivalently $(\mathcal{H}^{k+\nu}(\delta^*(L_w^\sharp[|w|]))[\nu])^{j-k-\nu} = 0$. Now $\mathcal{H}^{k+\nu}(\delta^*(L_w^\sharp[|w|]))[\nu]$ is a perverse sheaf hence we can take $k = j - \nu$ and it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{H}^j(\delta^*(L_w^\sharp[|w|])) = 0$. Now

$$\sum_{i \leq 0} \text{rk}(\mathcal{H}^i(\delta^* L_w^\sharp[|w|])) v^i = p_{1,w} \in v^{-\mathbf{a}(w)} \mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$$

with $p_{1,w}$ as in [L8, 5.3] (see [L8, 14.2, P1]). Since $\mathbf{a}(w) \geq a$ it follows that $p_{1,w} \in v^{-a} \mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$. This proves (b).

We show:

(c) *If $L \in \mathcal{M}_m^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ is pure of weight 0 and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ then $(\delta^* L)^i$ is pure of weight i .*

We can assume that $L = \mathbf{L}_w$ where $w \preceq \mathbf{c}$. We have $(\delta^* L)^i = \mathcal{H}^{i-\nu}(\delta^* L) = \mathcal{H}^{i+|w|}(\delta^* L_w^\sharp)(|w|/2)$ hence it is enough to show that, setting $j = i + |w|$, $\mathcal{H}^j(\delta^* L_w^\sharp)$ is pure of weight j . This follows from the results in [KL].

(d) *Assume that $w \in \mathbf{c}$. If $w = d \in \mathbf{D}_c$ then $(\delta^* \mathbf{L}_w)^{-a} = \mathbf{B}_d[[\nu]](a/2)$ for a well defined one dimensional mixed $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -vector space \mathbf{B}_d of pure weight 0, noncanonically isomorphic to $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. If $w \notin \mathbf{D}_c$ then $(\delta^* \mathbf{L}_w)^{-a} = 0$.*

In view of (c), an equivalent statement is that the coefficient of v^{-a} in $p_{1,w}$ is 1 if $w \in \mathbf{D}_c$ and is 0 if $w \notin \mathbf{D}_c$; this holds by [L8, 14.2, P5].

8.5.

(a) *Assume that $L \in \mathcal{M}_m \mathcal{B}$ is G -equivariant so that $L = V \otimes \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[[\nu]]$ where V is a mixed \mathbf{Q}_l -vector space. If $j > \nu$ then $(\omega_! L)^j = 0$. We have $(\omega_! L)^\nu = V(-\nu)$. We have $\mathcal{H}^j(\omega_! L) = V \otimes H^{j+\nu}(\mathcal{B}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$. Since $\dim \mathcal{B} = \nu$, this is zero if $j + \nu > 2\nu$ and is $V(-\nu)$ if $j + \nu = 2\nu$. This proves (a).*

We show:

(b) *If $L \in \mathcal{M}_m^{\preceq} \mathcal{B}^2$ and $j > \nu - a$ then $(\omega_! \delta^* L)^j = 0$. Moreover, we have canonically $(\omega_! \delta^* L)^{\nu-a} = (\omega_! * ((\delta^* L)^{-a}))^\nu$.*

We set $\mathbf{X} = \delta^* L$. As in the proof of 1.12 we have an exact sequence

$$\omega_!(\mathbf{X}^i)^{h-1} \rightarrow (\omega_!(\tau_{<i} \mathbf{X}))^{i+h} \rightarrow (\omega_!(\tau_{\leq i} \mathbf{X}))^{i+h} \rightarrow (\omega_!(\mathbf{X}^i))^h \rightarrow (\omega_!(\tau_{<i} \mathbf{X}))^{i+h+1}.$$

From this we see by induction on i (using 8.3 and (a)) that if $j > \nu - a$ then $(\omega_!(\tau_{\leq i} \mathbf{X}))^j = 0$ for any i . Hence the first assertion of (b) holds. Assume now that $i + h = \nu - a$. From the exact sequence above we see (using 8.3) that

$$(\omega_!(\tau_{<i} \mathbf{X}))^{\nu-a} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\omega_!(\tau_{\leq i} \mathbf{X}))^{\nu-a}$$

when $i > -a$ hence $(\omega_!(\tau_{\leq -a}\mathbf{X}))^{\nu-a} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\omega_!\mathbf{X})^{\nu-a}$. From the same exact sequence we see by induction on i (using (a)) that $(\omega_!(\tau_{\leq i}\mathbf{X}))^j = 0$ for $i \leq -a - 1$ hence $(\omega_!(\tau_{\leq -a-1}\mathbf{X}))^j = 0$. The exact sequence above with $i = -a, h = \nu$ becomes

$$0 \rightarrow (\omega_!\mathbf{X})^{\nu-a} \rightarrow (\omega_!(\mathbf{X}^{-a}))^\nu \rightarrow (\omega_!(\tau_{< -a}\mathbf{X}))^{\nu-a+1}.$$

Hence we obtain an isomorphism $(\omega_!\mathbf{X})^{\nu-a} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\omega_!(\mathbf{X}^{-a}))^\nu$.

8.6. Let $L \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$. Applying 8.2 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m \mathbf{p}, K_1 \mapsto \iota^* K_1, c = -2a - \rho$ (see 8.3), K replaced by $\chi(L)$ and $c' = a + \nu + \rho$ we see that we have canonically

$$(\iota^*(\underline{\chi}(L)))^{\{-2a-\rho\}} \subset (\iota^*\chi(L))^{\{-a+\nu\}} = (\omega_!\delta^*(L))^{\{-a+\nu\}}.$$

(The last equality comes from 8.4(a).) We set

$$\mathbf{1}' = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbf{D}_c} \mathbf{B}_d^* \otimes \mathbf{L}_d \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$$

where \mathbf{B}_d^* is the vector space dual to \mathbf{B}_d . From 8.4(d), 8.5, we see that

$$(a) \quad (\omega_!\delta^*(L))^{\{-a+\nu\}} = (\omega_!((\delta^*L)^{-a}))^\nu((\nu - a)/2) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}', L).$$

Hence we have canonically

$$(b) \quad (\iota^*(\underline{\chi}(L)))^{\{-2a-\rho\}} \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}', L).$$

We show that the last inclusion is an equality:

$$(c) \quad (\iota^*(\underline{\chi}(L)))^{\{-2a-\rho\}} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}', L).$$

To prove this we can assume that $L = \mathbf{L}_x$ for some $x \in \mathbf{c}$. If $x \notin \mathbf{D}_c$ then the right hand side of (b) is zero hence the left hand side of (b) is zero and (c) holds. Assume now that $x \in \mathbf{D}_c$. Then the right hand side of (b) has dimension 1; to prove (c) it is enough to show that the left hand side of (b) has dimension 1. By 8.3(b),(c), the left hand side of (b) has dimension $(A_{E_c} : \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x))$ which, as we already know from (b), has dimension 0 or 1. Using 1.15(a) we see that this dimension is in fact 1. This proves (c).

The argument above shows also that the assumption of 1.15(a) is satisfied; hence we can now state unconditionally:

$$(d) \text{ For any } d \in \mathbf{D}_c \text{ we have } (A_{E_c} : \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_d)) = 1.$$

The argument above shows also:

$$(e) \text{ For any } x \in \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{D}_c \text{ we have } (A_{E_c} : \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x)) = 0.$$

Lemma 8.7. *Let $L, L' \in \mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2$. We have canonically*

$$(a) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}', L \bullet L') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathfrak{D}(L^\dagger), L).$$

Here for ${}^1L \in \mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2$ or ${}^1L \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$ we set $L^\dagger = h'^* L$ where $h' : \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^2$ is $(B, B') \mapsto (B', B)$.

We can assume that $L = \mathbf{L}_x, L' = \mathbf{L}_{x'}$ with $x, x' \in \mathbf{c}$. We view L, L' as objects of $\mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$. Using 8.4(a) we have

$$(b) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}', L \bullet L') = (\omega_! \delta^*(L \bullet L'))^{\{-a+\nu\}}.$$

Applying 8.2 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m \mathbf{p}$, $\tilde{L} \mapsto \omega_! \delta^* \tilde{L}$, $(c, c') = (\nu - a, a - \nu)$, see 8.5(b), $K = L \bullet L'$, we deduce that we have canonically

$$(c) \quad (\omega_! \delta^*(L \bullet L'))^{\{\nu-a\}} \subset (\omega_! \delta^*(L \bullet L'))^{\{0\}}.$$

From [L3, 7.4] we see that we have canonically

$$(d) \quad (\omega_!(L \otimes L'^\dagger))^0 = (\omega_!(L \otimes L'^\dagger))^{\{0\}} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathfrak{D}(L'^\dagger), L).$$

Note that $\delta^*(L \bullet L') = L \otimes L'^\dagger$. Hence by combining (b),(c),(d) we have

$$(e) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}', L \bullet L') \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathfrak{D}(L'^\dagger), L).$$

The dimension of the left hand side of (e) is the sum over $d \in \mathbf{D}_c$ of the coefficients of t_d in $t_x t_{x'} \in \mathbf{J}^c$ and, by [L8, 14.2], this sum is equal to 1 if $x'^{-1} = x$ and 0 if $x'^{-1} \neq x$; hence it is equal to the dimension of the right hand side of (e). It follows that (e) is an equality and (a) follows.

8.8. Let $u : G \rightarrow \mathbf{p}$ be the obvious map. From [L3, 7.4], we see that for $K, K' \in \mathcal{M}_m^{\leq} G$ we have canonically

$$(u_!(K \otimes K'))^0 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_G}(\mathfrak{D}(K), K'), \quad (u_!(K \otimes K'))^j = 0 \text{ if } j > 0.$$

We deduce that if K, K' are also pure of weight 0 then $(u_!(K \otimes K'))^0$ is pure of weight zero that is $(u_!(K \otimes K'))^0 = \text{gr}_0(u_!(K \otimes K'))^0$. From the definitions we see that we have $u_!(K \otimes K') = \iota^*(K^\dagger * K')$ where $K^\dagger = h^* K$ and $h : G \rightarrow G$ is given by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$. Hence for $K, K' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G$ we have

$$(a) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\mathfrak{D}(K), K') = (\iota^*(K^\dagger * K'))^0 = (\iota^*(K^\dagger * K'))^{\{0\}}.$$

Applying 8.2 with $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_m^{\leq} G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m \mathbf{p}$, $K_1 \mapsto \iota^* K_1$, $c = -2a - \rho$ (see 8.3), K replaced by $K^\dagger * K'$ and $c' = 2a + \rho$ we see that we have canonically

$$(\iota^*(K^\dagger * K'))^{\{-2a-\rho\}} \subset (\iota^*(K^\dagger * K'))^{\{0\}}.$$

In particular if $L, L' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$ then we have canonically

$$(\iota^*(\underline{\chi}(L') * \underline{\chi}(L)))^{\{-2a-\rho\}} \subset (\iota^*(\underline{\chi}(L') * \underline{\chi}(L)))^{\{0\}}.$$

Using the equality

$$(\iota^*(\underline{\chi}(L') * \underline{\chi}(L)))^{\{-2a-\rho\}} = (\iota^*(\underline{\chi}(L \bullet \zeta(\underline{\chi}(L')))))^{\{-2a-\rho\}}$$

which comes from 8.1 we deduce that we have canonically

$$(\iota^*(\underline{\chi}(L \bullet \zeta(\underline{\chi}(L')))))^{\{-2a-\rho\}} \subset (\iota^*(\underline{\chi}(L') * \underline{\chi}(L)))^{\{0\}}$$

or equivalently, using (a) with K, K' replaced by $\underline{\chi}(L')^\dagger, \underline{\chi}(L)$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota^*(\underline{\chi}(L \bullet \zeta(\underline{\chi}(L')))))^{\{-2a-\rho\}} &\subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\mathfrak{D}(\underline{\chi}(L')^\dagger), \underline{\chi}(L)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\mathfrak{D}(\underline{\chi}(L)^\dagger), \underline{\chi}(L')). \end{aligned}$$

Using now 8.6(c) we deduce that we have canonically

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}', L \bullet \zeta \underline{\chi}(L')) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\mathfrak{D}(\underline{\chi}(L)^\dagger), \underline{\chi}(L'))$$

or equivalently (see 8.7)

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathfrak{D}(L^\dagger), \zeta \underline{\chi}(L')) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\mathfrak{D}(\underline{\chi}(L)^\dagger), \underline{\chi}(L')).$$

We now set ${}^1L = \mathfrak{D}(L^\dagger)$ and note that

$$\mathfrak{D}(\underline{\chi}(L)^\dagger) = \mathfrak{D}(\underline{\chi}(L^\dagger)) = \underline{\chi}(\mathfrak{D}(L^\dagger)) = \underline{\chi}({}^1L),$$

see 1.13(a). We obtain

$$(b) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}({}^1L, \zeta \underline{\chi}(L')) \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\underline{\chi}({}^1L), \underline{\chi}(L'))$$

for any ${}^1L, L' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$.

We have the following result which is a weak form of an adjunction formula, of which the full form will be proved in 9.8.

Proposition 8.9. *For any ${}^1L, L' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$ we have canonically*

$$(a) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}({}^1L, \zeta \underline{\chi}(L')) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\underline{\chi}({}^1L), \underline{\chi}(L'))$$

We can assume that ${}^1L = \mathbf{L}_z, L' = \mathbf{L}_u$ where $z, u \in \mathbf{c}$. By 6.9(a) and 1.10(b), both sides of the inclusion 8.8(b) have dimension $\sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} \tau(t_{y-1} t_z t_y t_{u-1})$. Hence that inclusion is an equality. The proposition is proved.

9. EQUIVALENCE OF $\mathcal{C}^c G$ WITH THE CENTRE OF $\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2$

9.1. In this section we assume that the \mathbf{F}_q -rational structure on G in 0.1 is such that

(a) any $A \in CS(G)$ admits a mixed structure of pure weight 0.

(This can be achieved by replacing if necessary q by a power of q .)

The bifunctor $\mathcal{C}_0^c G \times \mathcal{C}_0^c G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^c G$, $K, K' \mapsto K \underline{*} K'$ in 4.6 defines a bifunctor $\mathcal{C}^c G \times \mathcal{C}^c G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^c G$ denoted again by $K, K' \mapsto K \underline{*} K'$ as follows. Let $K \in \mathcal{C}^c G$, $K' \in \mathcal{C}^c G$; we choose mixed structures of pure weight 0 on K, K' (this is possible by (a)), we define $K \underline{*} K' \in \mathcal{C}_0^c G$ as in 4.6 in terms of these mixed structures and we then disregard the mixed structure on $K \underline{*} K'$. The resulting object of $\mathcal{C}^c G$ is denoted again by $K \underline{*} K'$; it is independent of the choices made.

In the same way, the bifunctor $\mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$, $L, L' \mapsto L \underline{\bullet} L'$ gives rise to a bifunctor $\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2 \times \mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2$ denoted again by $L, L' \mapsto L \underline{\bullet} L'$; the functor $\underline{\chi} : \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^c G$ gives rise to a functor $\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^c G$ denoted again by $\underline{\chi}$ (it is again called *truncated induction*); the functor $\underline{\zeta} : \mathcal{C}_0^c G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0^c \mathcal{B}^2$ gives rise to a functor $\mathcal{C}^c G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2$ denoted again by $\underline{\zeta}$ (it is again called *truncated restriction*).

The operation $K \underline{*} K'$ is again called *truncated convolution*. It has a canonical associativity isomorphism (deduced from that in 4.7) which again satisfies the pentagon property. Thus $\mathcal{C}^c G$ becomes a monoidal category; it has a braiding coming from 4.6(a).

The operation $L \underline{\bullet} L'$ makes $\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2$ into a monoidal abelian category (see also [L7]).

9.2. We set

$$\mathbf{1} = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbf{D}_c} \mathbf{B}_d \otimes \mathbf{L}_d.$$

Here \mathbf{B}_d is as in 8.4(d).

Let $u, z \in \mathbf{c}$. From 7.7(a) we have canonically for any $d \in \mathbf{D}_c$:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_d, \mathbf{L}_u \underline{\bullet} \mathbf{L}_{z^{-1}}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{L}_d \underline{\bullet} \mathbf{L}_u).$$

Hence

$$(a) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}', \mathbf{L}_u \underline{\bullet} \mathbf{L}_{z^{-1}}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{1} \underline{\bullet} \mathbf{L}_u).$$

From 8.7(a) we have

$$(b) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}', \mathbf{L}_u \underline{\bullet} \mathbf{L}_{z^{-1}}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{L}_u).$$

From (a),(b) we deduce

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{1} \underline{\bullet} \mathbf{L}_u) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \mathbf{L}_u).$$

Since this holds for any $z \in \mathbf{c}$, we have canonically $\mathbf{1} \underline{\bullet} \mathbf{L}_u = \mathbf{L}_u$. Since this holds for any $u \in \mathbf{c}$, we have canonically $\mathbf{1} \underline{\bullet} L = L$ for any $L \in \mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2$. Applying \dagger , we deduce that we have canonically $L \underline{\bullet} \mathbf{1} = L$ for any $L \in \mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2$. We see that

$\mathbf{1}$ is a unit object of the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}^c \mathcal{B}^2$.

9.3. For $L \in \mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ let $L^* = \mathfrak{D}(L^\dagger)$. Note that $L^{**} = L$. According to [BFO1], the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ is rigid and the dual of an object L is L^* . (I thank V. Ostrik for pointing out the reference [BFO1].) The proof of rigidity given in [BFO1] relies on the use of the geometric Satake isomorphism. Below we will give another approach to proving the rigidity of $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$, which is more self contained.

For each $d \in \mathbf{B}_d$ we choose an identification $\mathbf{B}_d = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_d$, so that $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}' = \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{1})$.

As a special case of 8.7(a), for any $L \in \mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ we have canonically

$$(a) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}, L \bullet \mathfrak{D}(L^\dagger)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(L, L).$$

Let $\xi_L \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}, L \bullet \mathfrak{D}(L^\dagger))$ be the element corresponding under (a) to the identity homomorphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(L, L)$. Using 3.3(a) we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}, \mathfrak{D}(L) \bullet L^\dagger) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{D}(L) \bullet L^\dagger), \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{1})) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(L \bullet \mathfrak{D}(L^\dagger), \mathbf{1}).$$

Under these identifications, the element $\xi_{\mathfrak{D}(L)} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{1}, \mathfrak{D}(L) \bullet L^\dagger)$ corresponds to an element $\xi'_L \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(L \bullet \mathfrak{D}(L^\dagger), \mathbf{1})$. The elements ξ_L, ξ'_L define the rigid structure on $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$.

9.4. Let \mathcal{Z}^c be the centre of the monoidal abelian category $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$. (The notion of centre of a monoidal abelian category was introduced by Joyal and Street [JS], Majid [Ma] and Drinfeld, unpublished.)

If $K \in \mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{G}$ then the isomorphisms 3.4(a) provide a central structure on $\underline{\zeta}(K) \in \mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ so that $\underline{\zeta}(K)$ can be naturally viewed as an object of \mathcal{Z}^c denoted by $\overline{\underline{\zeta}(K)}$. (Note that 3.4 is stated in the mixed category but, as above, it implies the corresponding result in the unmixed category.) Then $K \mapsto \overline{\underline{\zeta}(K)}$ is a functor $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^c$. The following result will be proved in 9.7.

Theorem 9.5. *The functor $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^c, K \mapsto \overline{\underline{\zeta}(K)}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Note that the existence of an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^c$ was conjectured by Bezrukavnikov, Finkelberg and Ostrik [BFO2], who constructed such an equivalence in characteristic zero.

9.6. By a general result on semisimple rigid monoidal categories in [ENO, Proposition 5.4], for any $L \in \mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ one can define directly a central structure on the object $I(L) := \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} \mathbf{L}_y \bullet L \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}}$ of $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ such that, denoting by $\overline{I(L)}$ the corresponding object of \mathcal{Z}^c , we have canonically

$$(a) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(L, L') = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}^c}(\overline{I(L)}, L')$$

for any $L' \in \mathcal{Z}^c$. (We use that for $y \in \mathbf{c}$, the dual of the simple object \mathbf{L}_y of $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ is $\mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}}$.) The central structure on $I(L)$ can be described as follows: for any ${}^1L \in \mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ we have canonically

$$\begin{aligned} {}^1L \bullet I(L) &= \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} {}^1L \bullet \mathbf{L}_y \bullet L \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} = \bigoplus_{y, z \in \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, {}^1L \bullet \mathbf{L}_y) \otimes \mathbf{L}_z \bullet L \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \\ &= \bigoplus_{y, z \in \mathbf{c}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}}, \mathbf{L}_{z^{-1}} \bullet {}^1L) \otimes \mathbf{L}_z \bullet L \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}} \\ &= \bigoplus_{z \in \mathbf{c}} \mathbf{L}_z \bullet L \bullet \mathbf{L}_{z^{-1}} \bullet {}^1L = I(L) \bullet {}^1L. \end{aligned}$$

9.7. For $x \in \mathbf{c}$ we have canonically $\underline{\zeta\chi}\mathbf{L}_x = I(\mathbf{L}_x)$ as objects of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2$, see Theorem 6.8. From the last commutative diagram in 7.9 we see that this identification is compatible with the central structures (see 9.4, 9.6), so that

$$(a) \quad \overline{\underline{\zeta\chi}\mathbf{L}_x} = \overline{I(\mathbf{L}_x)}.$$

Using this and 9.6(a) with $L' = \underline{\zeta\chi}\tilde{L}$, $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2$, we see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_x, \underline{\zeta\chi}\tilde{L}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbf{c}}}(\overline{\underline{\zeta\chi}\mathbf{L}_x}, \overline{\underline{\zeta\chi}\tilde{L}}).$$

Combining this with 8.9 we obtain for $\tilde{L} = \mathbf{L}_{x'}$ (with $x' \in \mathbf{c}$):

$$(b) \quad \mathbf{A}_{x,x'} = \mathbf{A}'_{x,x'}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_{x,x'} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}G}(\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x), \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_{x'})), \mathbf{A}'_{x,x'} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbf{c}}}(\overline{\underline{\zeta\chi}\mathbf{L}_x}, \overline{\underline{\zeta\chi}\mathbf{L}_{x'}}).$$

Note that the identification (b) is induced by the functor $K \mapsto \overline{\underline{\zeta}(K)}$. Let $\mathbf{A} = \bigoplus_{x,x' \in \mathbf{c}} \mathbf{A}_{x,x'}$, $\mathbf{A}' = \bigoplus_{x,x' \in \mathbf{c}} \mathbf{A}'_{x,x'}$. Then from (b) we have $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}'$. Note that this identification is compatible with the obvious algebra structures of \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}' .

For any $A \in CS_{\mathbf{c}}$ we denote by \mathbf{A}_A the set of all $f \in \mathbf{A}$ such that for any x, x' , the (x, x') -component of f maps the A -isotypic component of $\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x)$ to the A -isotypic component of $\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_{x'})$ and any other isotypic component of $\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x)$ to 0. Then $\mathbf{A} = \bigoplus_{A \in CS_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathbf{A}_A$ is the decomposition of \mathbf{A} into simple algebras (each \mathbf{A}_A is $\neq 0$ since, by 1.7(b) and 1.10(a), any A is a summand of some $\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x)$).

From [Mu], [ENO], we see that $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbf{c}}$ is a semisimple abelian category with finitely many simple objects up to isomorphism. Let \mathfrak{S} be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple objects of $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbf{c}}$. For any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}$ we denote by \mathbf{A}'_{σ} the set of all $f' \in \mathbf{A}'$ such that for any x, x' , the (x, x') -component of f' maps the σ -isotypic component of $\underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x)$ to the σ -isotypic component of $\underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_{x'})$ and any other isotypic component of $\underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x)$ to 0. Then $\mathbf{A}' = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}} \mathbf{A}'_{\sigma}$ is the decomposition of \mathbf{A}' into a sum of simple algebras (each \mathbf{A}'_{σ} is $\neq 0$ since any σ is a summand of some $\underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z)$; indeed, if \mathbf{L}_z is a summand of σ viewed as an object of $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2$ then by 9.6(a), σ is a summand of $\overline{I(\mathbf{L}_z)}$ hence of $\overline{\underline{\zeta\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z)}$).

Since $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}'$, from the uniqueness of decomposition of a semisimple algebra as a direct sum of simple algebras, we see that there is a unique bijection $CS_{\mathbf{c}} \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{S}$, $A \leftrightarrow \sigma_A$ such that $\mathbf{A}_A = \mathbf{A}'_{\sigma_A}$ for any $A \in CS_{\mathbf{c}}$. From the definitions we now see that for any $A \in CS_{\mathbf{c}}$ we have $\underline{\zeta A} \cong \sigma_A$. Therefore Theorem 9.5 holds.

Theorem 9.8. *Let $L \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2$, $K \in \mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}G$. We have canonically*

$$(a) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}\mathcal{B}^2}(L, \underline{\zeta}(K)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\mathbf{c}}G}(\underline{\chi}(L), K).$$

Moreover, in $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ we have $\underline{\zeta}(K) \cong \bigoplus_{z \in \mathbf{c}^0} \mathbf{L}_z^{\oplus m_z}$ where \mathbf{c}^0 is as in 1.7 and $m_z \in \mathbf{N}$.

From 9.5, 9.7, we see that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\underline{\chi}(L), K) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}^c}(\overline{\underline{\chi}(L)}, \overline{\underline{\zeta}(K)}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}^c}(\overline{I(L)}, \overline{\underline{\zeta}(K)}).$$

Using 9.6(a) we see that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{Z}^c}(\overline{I(L)}, \overline{\underline{\zeta}(K)}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(L, \underline{\zeta}(K))$ and (a) follows. To prove the second assertion of the theorem it is enough to show that for any $z \in \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}^0$ we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_z, \underline{\zeta}(K)) = 0$; by (a), it is enough to show that $\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z) = 0$ and this follows from 1.7(c).

9.9. We show that for $K \in \mathcal{C}^c G$ we have canonically

$$(a) \quad \mathfrak{D}(\underline{\zeta}(\mathfrak{D}(K))) = \underline{\zeta}(K).$$

It is enough to show that for any $L \in \mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ we have canonically

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(L, \mathfrak{D}(\underline{\zeta}(\mathfrak{D}(K)))) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(L, \underline{\zeta}(K)).$$

Here the left side equals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2}(\underline{\zeta}(\mathfrak{D}(K)), \mathfrak{D}(L)) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\mathfrak{D}(K), \underline{\chi}(\mathfrak{D}(L))) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\mathfrak{D}(K), \mathfrak{D}(\underline{\chi}(L))) \end{aligned}$$

(we have used 9.8(a) and 1.13(a)) and the right hand side equals

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\underline{\chi}(L), K) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^c G}(\mathfrak{D}(K), \mathfrak{D}(\underline{\chi}(L))).$$

(We have again used 9.8(a)). This proves (a).

9.10. The monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ induces a monoidal structure on \mathcal{Z}^c . Using 5.2 and the definitions we see the equivalence of categories in 9.5 is compatible with the monoidal structures. Since \mathcal{Z}^c has a unit object, it follows that the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}^c G$ also has a unit object, say A . We show:

$$(a) \quad A \cong A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}}.$$

From 8.6(d),(e) we see that for $x \in \mathbf{c}$, $(A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}} : \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_x))$ is 1 if $x \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}$ and is 0 if $x \notin \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}$. Using 9.8(a) we deduce that for $x \in \mathbf{c}$, $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{B}^2}(\mathbf{L}_x, \underline{\zeta}(A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}}))$ is 1 if $x \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}$ and is 0 if $x \notin \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{c}}$. Thus $\underline{\zeta}(A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}})$ is isomorphic in $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$ to the unit object $\mathbf{1}$ of the monoidal category $\mathcal{C}^c\mathcal{B}^2$. Then $\underline{\zeta}(A_{E_{\mathbf{c}}})$ viewed as an object of \mathcal{Z}^c is also the unit object of \mathcal{Z}^c hence is isomorphic in \mathcal{Z}^c to $\underline{\zeta}(A)$. Using Theorem 9.5 we deduce that (a) holds.

9.11. Let $z, u \in \mathbf{c}$. We have canonically

$$(a) \quad \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z) * \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_u) = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_z \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}}).$$

Indeed, by 8.1(a), it is enough to prove that we have canonically

$$\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_u \bullet \underline{\zeta} \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z)) = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbf{c}} \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_u \bullet \mathbf{L}_y \bullet \mathbf{L}_z \bullet \mathbf{L}_{y^{-1}})$$

and this follows from 6.8(a). We see that

$$\underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z) * \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_u) \cong \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbf{c}^0} \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_r)^{\oplus \psi(r)}$$

in $\mathcal{C}^c G$ where $\psi(r) \in \mathbf{N}$ are given by the following equation in \mathbf{J}^c :

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} t_u t_y t_z t_{y^{-1}} = \sum_{r \in \mathbf{c}} \psi(r) t_z.$$

9.12. Let \mathbf{J}_0^c be the subgroup of \mathbf{J}^c spanned by $\{t_z; z \in \mathbf{c}^0\}$. For $\xi, \xi' \in \mathbf{J}_0^c$ we set

$$\xi \circ \xi' = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{c}} \xi t_y \xi' t_{y^{-1}} \in \mathbf{J}^c.$$

We show that $\xi \circ \xi' \in \mathbf{J}_0^c$. We can assume that $\xi = t_w, \xi' = t_{w'}$ with $w, w' \in \mathbf{c}^0$. If $t_z (z \in \mathbf{c})$ appears with nonzero coefficient in $\xi \circ \xi'$ then $t_{z^{-1}} t_w t_y t_{w'} t_{y^{-1}} \neq 0$ for some $y \in \mathbf{c}$ and $t_w t_{y'} t_{w'} t_{y'^{-1}} t_{z^{-1}} \neq 0$ for some $y' \in \mathbf{c}$. Using [L8, P8] we deduce: $z^{-1} \sim_L w^{-1}$, $w \sim_L y'^{-1}$, $y'^{-1} \sim_L z$. Since $w \sim_L w^{-1}$, it follows that $z \sim_L z^{-1}$, as claimed.

For ξ, ξ', ξ'' in \mathbf{J}_0^c we show that $(\xi \circ \xi') \circ \xi'' = \xi \circ (\xi' \circ \xi'')$. We can assume that $\xi = t_w, \xi' = t_{w'}, \xi'' = t_{w''}$ where w, w', w'' are in \mathbf{c}^0 . We must show:

$$\sum_{y, u \in \mathbf{c}} t_w t_y t_{w'} t_{y^{-1}} t_u t_{w''} t_{u^{-1}} = \sum_{y, s \in \mathbf{c}} t_w t_y t_{w'} t_s t_{w''} t_{s^{-1}} t_{y^{-1}},$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{y, u, s \in \mathbf{c}} h_{y^{-1}, u, s}^* t_w t_y t_{w'} t_s t_{w''} t_{u^{-1}} = \sum_{y, s, u \in \mathbf{c}} h_{s^{-1}, y^{-1}, u^{-1}}^* t_w t_y t_{w'} t_s t_{w''} t_{u^{-1}}.$$

It remains to use the identity $h_{y^{-1}, u, s}^* = h_{s^{-1}, y^{-1}, u^{-1}}^*$ for $y, u, s \in \mathbf{c}$ (see [L8, P7]).

We see that (\mathbf{J}_0^c, \circ) is an associative ring (without 1 in general). Let \mathcal{G} be the Grothendieck group of the category $\mathcal{C}^c G$; this is an associative and commutative ring under truncated convolution (see 9.1) and 9.11 shows that $t_w \mapsto \underline{\chi}(\mathbf{L}_z)$ is a ring homomorphism $\mathbf{J}_0^c \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$.

10. REMARKS ON THE NONCRYSTALLOGRAPHIC CASE

10.1. In this subsection we consider a not necessarily crystallographic Coxeter group W' with a fixed two-sided cell \mathbf{c}' . The following discussion assumes the truth of Soergel's conjecture for W' , recently proved by Elias and Williamson [EW]. Let $w \mapsto |w|$ be the length function of W' . For any $w \in W'$ we define $\mathbf{a}(w) \in \mathbf{N}$ as in [L8, 13.6]. (The assumption in *loc.cit.* that W' with $w \mapsto |w|$ is bounded in the sense of [L8, 13.2] is not necessary for the definition of $\mathbf{a}(w)$; to show that $\mathbf{a}(w)$ is well defined we use instead the inequality $\mathbf{a}(w) \leq |w|$ which is proved by the argument in [L8, 15.2], applicable in view of the positivity results of [EW].) Now the properties of $\mathbf{a}(w)$ stated in [L8, 14.2] hold by the arguments in [L8, §15], using again the positivity results in [EW]. The ring J and its subring $J^{\mathbf{c}'}$ is defined as in [L8, 18.3] in terms of the \mathbf{a} -function (the assumptions in [L8, 18.1] are not needed in our case.) Note that the ring $J^{\mathbf{c}'}$ does not have in general a unit element (unless \mathbf{c}' contains only finitely many left cells.)

We show that the definition of the monoidal category in 3.2 can be adapted to the more general case of W', \mathbf{c}' by using Soergel bimodules [S] instead of perverse sheaves.

Let R be the algebra of regular real valued functions on a fixed (real) reflection representation of W' . Then for each $x \in W'$, the indecomposable Soergel graded R -bimodule B_x is defined as in [S, 6.16]. Let \tilde{C} (resp. C) be the category of graded R -bimodules which are isomorphic to finite direct sums of graded R -bimodules of the form B_x with shift (resp. without shift). As shown by Soergel, \tilde{C} is a monoidal category under the usual tensor product $L, L' \mapsto L \bullet L'$. If $L \in \tilde{C}$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ we write $L^j \in C$ for what in [EW, 6.2] is denoted by $\mathcal{H}^j(L)$. (The fact that L^j is well defined follows from the results of [S] and [EW].) Let $C_{\mathbf{c}'}$ be the category of graded R -bimodules which are isomorphic to finite direct sums of graded R -bimodules of the form B_x ($x \in \mathbf{c}'$) without shift. For any $L \in C$ there is a unique direct sum decomposition $L = \underline{L} \oplus L'$ where $\underline{L} \in C_{\mathbf{c}'}$ and L' is a direct sum of graded R -bimodules of the form B_x ($x \notin \mathbf{c}'$). (The uniqueness of this direct sum decomposition follows from the results of [S] and [EW].) Let a' be the value on \mathbf{c}' of the \mathbf{a} -function $W' \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$. By arguments parallel to those in [L7] and making use of the results of [EW] and the properties of the \mathbf{a} -function we see that for $L, L' \in C_{\mathbf{c}'}$ we have $(L \bullet L')^j = 0$ if $j > a'$ and $L, L' \mapsto L \bullet L' := \underline{(L \bullet L')^{a'}}$ defines a monoidal structure on $C_{\mathbf{c}'}$ (without a unit object unless \mathbf{c}' is a union of finitely many left cells) such that the induced ring structure on the Grothendieck group of $C_{\mathbf{c}'}$ is isomorphic to the ring $J^{\mathbf{c}'}$. (For three objects L, L', L'' in $C_{\mathbf{c}'}$ we have $(L \bullet L') \bullet L'' = L \bullet (L' \bullet L'') = \underline{(L \bullet L' \bullet L'')^{2a'}}$.) (Note that in the finite crystallographic case, the objects of $C_{\mathbf{c}'}$ should be thought of as perverse sheaves on \mathcal{B} rather than on \mathcal{B}^2 as in 3.2; this accounts for our usage of a' instead of the $a - \nu$ in 3.2.) Let $Z^{\mathbf{c}'}$ be the centre of the monoidal category $(C_{\mathbf{c}'}, \bullet)$. (We use the definition of the center given in [Mu, 3.4] in which the property (iv) of a half braiding given in [Mu, 3.1] is omitted so that the definition makes sense

without requiring the existence of a unit object; note that if a unit object exists then property (iv) in [Mu, 3.1] is a consequence of properties (i)-(iii) in [Mu, 3.1], see [Mu, 3.2].) By [Mu, 3.5, 3.6], $Z^{\mathbf{c}'}$ is an \mathbf{R} -linear category. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{c}'}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of objects of $Z^{\mathbf{c}'}$ which are indecomposable with respect to direct sum. The objects of $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{c}'}$ can be called the *character sheaves* of W', \mathbf{c}' ; this is justified by Theorem 9.5.

Now assume further that W' is finite, of type H_3 or H_4 or a dihedral group. In this case \mathbf{c}' is uniquely determined by the number a' . Recall that in [L5] the “unipotent characters” associated to W' were “described”. The unipotent characters whose degree polynomial is divisible by $q^{a'}$ but not by $q^{a'+1}$ can be viewed as unipotent characters associated to \mathbf{c}' ; they form a set $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{c}'}$. We expect that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{c}'}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{c}'}$ are in a natural bijection. This predicts for example that, if \mathbf{c}' in type H_4 has $a' = 6$, then $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{c}'}$ has exactly 74 elements; if \mathbf{c}' for the dihedral group of order $4k + 2$ (resp. $4k + 4$) has $a' = 1$ then $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{c}'}$ has exactly k^2 (resp. $k^2 + k + 2$) elements. We expect that the monoidal category $C_{\mathbf{c}'}$ is rigid, so that (by a result of [Mu], [ENO]), $Z^{\mathbf{c}'}$ is a semisimple abelian category and $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbf{c}'}$ is the same as the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects of $Z^{\mathbf{c}'}$. We also expect that $Z^{\mathbf{c}'}$ is a modular tensor category whose S -matrix is the matrix described in [L6], [Mg], which transforms the fake degrees polynomials of W' corresponding to \mathbf{c}' to the unipotent character degrees corresponding to \mathbf{c}' .

REFERENCES

- [BBD] A.Beilinson, J.Bernstein and P.Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982).
- [BN] D.Ben-Zvi and D.Nadler, *The character theory of a complex group*, arxiv:0904.1247.
- [BFO1] R.Bezrukavnikov, M.Finkelberg and V.Ostrik, *On tensor categories attached to cells in affine Weyl groups III*, Isr.J. Math. **170** (2009), 207-234.
- [BFO2] R.Bezrukavnikov, M.Finkelberg and V.Ostrik, *Character D-modules via Drinfeld center of Harish-Chandra bimodules*, Invent. Math. **188** (2012), 589-620.
- [EW] B.Elias and G.Williamson, *The Hodge theory of Soergel bimodules*, arxiv:1212.0791.
- [ENO] P.Etingof, D.Nikshych and V.Ostrik, *On fusion categories*, Ann. Math. **162** (2005), 581-642.
- [Gi] V.Ginzburg, *Admissible modules on a symmetric space*, Astérisque **173-174** (1989), 199-255.
- [Gr] I.Grojnowski, *Character sheaves on symmetric spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, MIT (1992).
- [JS] A.Joyal and R.Street, *Tortile Yang-Baxter operators in tensor categories*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **71** (1991), 43-51.
- [KL] D.Kazhdan and G.Lusztig, *Schubert varieties and Poincaré duality*, Amer. Math.Soc., Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **36** (1980), 185-203.
- [L1] G.Lusztig, *Green polynomials and singularities of unipotent classes*, Adv. Math. **42** (1981), 169-178.
- [L2] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves I*, Adv. Math. **56** (1985), 193-237.
- [L3] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves,II*, Adv. Math. **57** (1985), 226-265.
- [L4] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves III*, Adv. Math. **57** (1985), 266-315.
- [L5] G.Lusztig, *Coxeter groups and unipotent representations*, Astérisque **212** (1993), 191-203.
- [L6] G.Lusztig, *Exotic Fourier transform*, Duke J.Math. **73** (1994), 227-242.

- [L7] G.Lusztig, *Cells in affine Weyl groups and tensor categories*, Adv. Math. **129** (1997), 85-98.
- [L8] G.Lusztig, *Hecke algebras with unequal parameters*, CRM Monograph Ser.18, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
- [L9] G.Lusztig, *Convolution of almost characters*, Asian J.Math. **8** (2004), 769-772.
- [L10] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups VII*, Represent. Th. **9** (2005), 209-266.
- [L11] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups IX*, Represent. Th. **10** (2006), 353-379.
- [L12] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups X*, Represent. Th. **13** (2009), 82-140.
- [L13] G.Lusztig, *On the cleanness of cuspidal character sheaves*, Moscow Math.J. **12** (2012), 621-631.
- [Ma] S.Majid, *Representations, duals and quantum doubles of monoidal categories*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **26** (1991), 197-206.
- [Mg] G.Malle, *An exotic Fourier transform for H_4* , Duke J.Math. **73** (1994), 243-246.
- [MV] I.Mirkovic and K.Vilonen, *Characteristic varieties of character sheaves*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 405-418.
- [Mu] M.Müger, *From subfactors to categories and topology II. The quantum double of tensor categories and subfactors*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **180** (2003), 159-219.
- [S] W.Soergel, *Kazhdan-Lusztig Polynome und unzerlegbare Bimoduln über Polynomringen*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **6** (2007), 501-525.