

# MIT Open Access Articles

# *Using yeast to sustainably remediate and extract heavy metals from waste waters*

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *[Please](https://libraries.mit.edu/forms/dspace-oa-articles.html) share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

**Citation:** Sun, George L. et al., "Using yeast to sustainably remediate and extract heavy metals from waste waters." Nature Sustainability 3, 4 (April 2020): p. 303–311 doi. 10.1038/ s41893-020-0478-9 ©2020 Author(s)

**As Published:** https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/S41893-020-0478-9

**Publisher:** Springer Science and Business Media LLC

**Persistent URL:** <https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/125875>

**Version:** Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

**Terms of Use:** Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.





 so, yeast surface display of biomineralization peptides helped control for size distribution and crystallinity of precipitated metal sulfide nanoparticles. Altogether, this yeast-based platform not only removes heavy metals but also offers a platform for metal re-extraction through precipitation of metal sulfide nanoparticles.

 Growing consumption of electronic goods and raw materials have pushed mining and manufacturing practices to unprecedented levels that the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) declared a global waste challenge in 2015 in order to monitor waste risk and 32 waste crimes<sup>1</sup>. Because of the demand for metals, there has been a cumulative 41.8 million metric tonnes (46.1 million tons) of electronic waste (e-waste) globally in 2014 which grew an 34 additional 20-25% in 2018<sup>1,2</sup>. In addition, the United States has more than 13,000 reported active mining sites with an additional 500,000 that are abandoned yet still polluting 16,000 miles of streams<sup>3,4</sup>. Metal contaminates are typically copper, lead, cadmium, mercury and zinc<sup>1,5</sup>. Despite these obvious waste sources, industry still continues to unsustainably mine for raw materials, 38 especially given the growing demand and consumption of batteries and electric vehicles<sup>6</sup>. China alone produces and consumes one of the largest quantities of batteries in the world, and in 2013 40 generated 570 kilotons of battery waste with less than 2% being collected and recycled<sup>7</sup>. The main consequences of battery waste, especially from lithium-ion batteries, is the release of toxic amounts of copper and lead, with other metals such as cobalt, nickel, and chromium leaching 43 into neighboring soils and streams<sup>6</sup>.

Unfortunately the advancement of remediation technologies, in particular heavy metal removal,

46 is slow in comparison to the rise of e-waste and the pace of mining<sup>1</sup>. So far, practical

 implementation of heavy metal remediation has relied on physicochemical treatments, the most ubiquitous method being chemical precipitation via lime, hydroxides (e.g. NaOH) or sulfides 49 (e.g. FeS or  $H_2S$ <sup>5</sup>. Sulfides have been the more desirable reagent for precipitation as it is more reactive and has a lower rate of leeching than hydroxide precipitates, but the counter is that sulfide gas storage and handling is dangerous and costly making lime and hydroxides the 52 preferred choice despite being less effective<sup>5</sup>. Overall, chemical precipitation is costly, requires dedicated infrastructure, involves handling dangerous compounds and reactive gases, and 54 generates secondary waste in the form of sludge<sup>5,8,9</sup>. Furthermore, sludge is ineffectively 55 eliminated through pyrolysis or physical transport to landfills for burial<sup>8,10</sup>. Because of this, many of the precipitated waste leach back into nearby water sources thereby perpetuating this cycle of inefficient cleaning. Thus, physicochemical treatment via chemical precipitation is not an amenable option for developing countries which typically face the biggest challenge for heavy 59 . metal removal<sup>10</sup>. Therefore, there is an urgent need to replace chemical precipitation with an alternative and more sustainable technology.

 In contrast to physicochemical processes, scientists have discovered the benefits of using biological systems to remediate waste as a natural alternative. Bioremediation has gained traction for wastewater treatment due to its natural means to process waste in addition to its autonomous 65 growth and environmentally friendly reactions<sup>11,12</sup>. In addition, there is hope that with the growing toolkit of molecular biology and bioengineering technologies scientist could further augment biology's capability to manipulate and convert waste. Already, scientist have discovered naturally occurring microorganisms which have been observed to tolerate and 69 accumulate toxic metals, for example metal reducing microorganisms, particularly bacteria<sup>13–17</sup>.

 One particular family of interest are sulfate-reducing microorganisms (SRM) which use sulfate as their terminal electron acceptor to generate H2S as a by-product leading to precipitation of nearby metals. Connecting the dots, it is easy to see that biology has already developed a mechanism for biotic chemical precipitation using H2S producing SRMs. Interesting use of these organisms have been the design of anaerobic beds or stirred tank reactors for precipitation of 75 metal contaminated effluent<sup>18,19</sup>. However, the limiting piece to this technology is the biology itself. SRMs are obligate anaerobes, require precise handling of culture conditions, and grow slowly. In addition many SRMs are unable to process complex carbon sources and require 78 additional anaerobic microflora to persist<sup>20</sup> creating an additional layer of complexity when managing reactors. To circumvent these stringent culture conditions, scientist have begun to extract and transfer their unique behavior into more tractable organisms, such as *E. coli*, by heterologously expressing enzymes and non-native metal reducing pathways—a growing field of 82 technology that uses genetically modified organisms (GMOs) for bioremediation applications<sup>21</sup>. Examples have been the expression of the mercuric reductase genes from *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* into *E. coli*<sup>22</sup>, or using combinations of protein and metabolic engineering to endow  $E. \text{coli with sulfide generating capabilities much like SRMs<sup>23</sup>. Similar concepts have been$  developed in plants, such as in *A. Thaliana*, where phytochelatins, reductases, and transporters 87 derived from other species were integrated for heavy metal removal<sup>24</sup>. With the advent of molecular biology there has been studies of several hundred genetic systems that have leveraged 89 GMOs to degrade waste for bioremediation applications<sup>21,25</sup>. Although promising, research up to now has had limited success with GMOs for bioremediation due to the complex reactions involved and the ill-defined environments in which these organisms have to tolerate and 92 remediate in  $2^5$ .



#### **RESULTS**

#### **Engineering yeast to metabolically produce sulfide species**

 The metabolic transformation of sulfide to sulfate, sulfite, and thiol functional groups require complex multi-step reactions. Fortuitously, the wine-industry was key in elucidating much of the 119 fundamental insights in controlling sulfide production, specifically  $H_2S$ . Good wine makers have known that over-fermenting yeast can produce an off-putting egg smell, with scientist identifying the build-up of  $H_2S$  gas as the primary cause<sup>29</sup>. Wine researchers identified that the yeast sulfate assimilation pathway driven under fermentation conditions drove the production of H2S gas 123 (Figure 1a)<sup>29,30</sup>. From there, yeast wine-strains were engineered to suppress the production of H2S for better quality wine. However, by performing the opposite modifications yeasts' natural sulfide production was harnessed for heavy metal sulfide-induced precipitation. During this investigation it was shown that single gene knockouts in the sulfate assimilation pathway promoted H2S production in a controllable manner. Knockout strains that produced detectable amounts of H2S were ΔMET2, ΔMET6, ΔMET17, ΔHOM2, ΔHOM3, ΔSER33 and ΔCYS4 (**Figure 1a**). Specifically, ΔHOM2, ΔMET17, and ΔCYS4 were chosen as experimental strains due to their consistently high levels of sulfide production and normal growth characteristics in complete synthetically defined media (CSM) compared to the other strains. From ΔHOM2, and ΔMET17 a double deletion was performed to obtain ΔHOM2 and ΔMET17 (ΔHM217).

134 Despite the metabolic complexities of the sulfate assimilation pathway, yeast  $H_2S$  production was observed to follow Le Chatelier's Principle. Supplying the necessary nutrients such as nitrogen sources and sulfate, while limiting the amount of 'products', i.e. cysteine and methionine, stimulated the yeast sulfate assimilation pathway to produce H2S (**Figure 1b**). The





 When metals were mixed together, the preference for precipitation was copper, lead, cadmium, mercury, and zinc in that order; loosely following their trends in solubility products and in line 165 with observations from past physicochemical precipitation experiments<sup>5,20,31</sup> (**Figure 2c,d**). Rounds of precipitation, with unprecipitated metals transferred to fresh cultures, were tested to determine the minimum number of iterations required to completely remove metals from 168 solution, a practice normally implemented in industrial water processing  $8,10,32,33$ . 2 rounds were 169 required to remove copper and lead below 1% (1  $\mu$ M or 63 ppb and 207 ppb, respectively), 3 170 rounds for cadmium and mercury (below 1  $\mu$ M or 112 ppb and 201 ppb, respectively), and 4 171 rounds to remove zinc below 20% (20  $\mu$ M or 1.31 ppm) (**Figure 2c, d**). These results closely 172 approached EPA standards for potable waters (i.e. tens to hundreds of  $ppb)^{34,35}$ . Sulfide-producing yeast were also tolerant to high levels of metal concentrations, some as high 175 as 100  $\mu$ M cadmium and lead.  $\Delta \text{MET17}$  showed robust growth curves than compared to WT in metal containing media (**Supplementary Figure 4a**). In addition, cells that underwent metal precipitation were regrown without any significant change in growth rate (**Supplementary Figure 4b**).

# **Yeast display affects the amount of metal precipitated**

 Yeast display technology was used to modify the yeast surface to test whether changes in cell surface chemistry would promote further precipitation. Thiol and metal-binding moieties such as

 histidine increased precipitation of cadmium, zinc, and mercury by 5-10%, but were negatively affected by more hydrophobic residues such as valine and leucine (**Figure 2e, f**; **Supplementary Figure 5**). Precipitation of copper and lead were not as affected. A hypothesis was that the fast copper/lead sulfide reaction rates favored precipitation in solution rather than the diffusion-limited process of nucleating onto the cell surface.

#### **Engineered yeast can remove metal waste found in oil sands**

 Effluent from the Athabasca Oil Sands in Canada was received and subjected to yeast induced metal precipitation. The Athabasca Oil Sands is a well-known deposit of bitumen and crude oil, and for almost a hundred years the area has been a key resource for oils and fossil fuels which 193 still drives the global economy today<sup>36</sup>. Due to this, the area has been heavily mined and contaminated with human-driven excavations, drilling, and mining leading to erosion, pollution, and ecological damage making the Athabasca Oil Sands an area in need of major remediation<sup>37</sup>. A sample of the effluent was obtained (**Figure 3a**) and fractionated with gentle centrifugation to separate the liquid phase from the solid debris (**Figure 3b**).

 ICP analysis revealed that the liquid phase from the Athabasca Oil Sands contained appreciable amounts of copper, cadmium, mercury, lead, and zinc with the more toxic cadmium, mercury, and lead being more abundant per weight (1-2 ppm or mg/L) (**Supplementary Figure 6**). One round of yeast induced chemical precipitation showed greater than 85% removal of copper, mercury, and lead, and between 30-50% removal of cadmium and zinc (**Figure 3c**). These 204 results were consistent with past metal uptake experiments at  $100 \mu M$  (10-20 times more concentrated) and support the idea that these engineered yeasts can be just as effective at

 precipitating metals in real-world environments. After 3 rounds of yeast mediated metal precipitation, the amount of copper, cadmium, mercury, lead, and zinc levels closely approached 208 zero ( $p < 0.05$ ). Examining the remediated effluent visually, the opacity of effluent dramatically reduced after just one round (**Figure 3d**; **Supplementary Figure 7**).

# **Controlling metal sulfide particle size and morphology**

 The resultant precipitated mass was another consideration to judge the sustainability of this yeast-based system. Typically in chemical precipitation, precipitates form large amorphous masses which are difficult to separate and are thus routinely dumped into landfills or 215 burned<sup>8,10,32</sup>. Therefore, another consideration was to control the morphology and crystallinity of precipitates as a mean to improve downstream separation, recovery, and possibly recyclability of converted metals.

 Precipitate experiments in CSM lacking both methionine and cysteine with fast H2S production 220 rates above 50 ppm hr<sup>-1</sup> led to precipitates characterized by amorphous structures with average 221 sizes exceeding 1  $\mu$ m and size distribution spanning 2-3 orders of magnitude ( $p < 0.05$ ) (**Figure 4a**). The precipitates were also shown to damage the cell wall, as TEM analysis of cell sections showed fragmented cell walls surrounded by large metal sulfide aggregates (**Figure 4a**). As H2S production rates slowed by supplementing cultures with methionine and cysteine, the average precipitate size began to decrease while uniformly nucleating onto the cell wall as examined under TEM and EDX (**Figure 4b**; **Supplementary Figure 8a**). Cultures in fully supplemented 227 CSM with H<sub>2</sub>S production rates below 10 ppm  $\text{hr}^{-1}$  produced particles with controlled size distributions between 5-50 nm for cadmium sulfide (p < 0.05) (**Figure 4c**). In addition, purified

 particles had a 1:1 metal to sulfide stoichiometry (**Supplementary Figure 8b**). A hypothesis for this phenomenon could be that slower H2S production rates allowed metals time to diffuse and nucleate on to the yeast cell surface. Given that the cell wall consists of negatively charged polysaccharides and proteins, a reason could be that the electronegative environment allowed for somewhat size-controlled nucleation.

## **Recycling cadmium into cadmium sulfide nanoparticles**

 Metal nucleation was further explored by displaying nucleating peptides to facilitate metal 237 sulfide growth, a concept that has been successfully employed in other biological organisms such 238 as viruses and bacteria<sup>16,23,38,39</sup>. Without any displayed motifs, precipitated cadmium sulfide examined under high resolution TEM (HRTEM) produced large amorphous structures (**Figure 5a**). Crystalline structures indicated by lattice fringes were first observed with the hexa-cysteine motif, CCCCCC. More prominent lattice fringes were observed with GGCGGC and GCCGCC displayed peptides, glycine-cysteine motifs generally conserved in metal-binding proteins such 243 as metallothioneins<sup>40</sup> (Figure 5a,b; higher resolution images in **Supplementary Figure 9** and **Supplementary Figure 10**). Slowing the rate of sulfide production below 10 ppm  $\text{hr}^{-1}$  while displaying glycine-cysteine motifs generated cadmium sulfide quantum dot-like nanoparticles in the 10-50 nm range (**Figure 5c, d**). With more crystalline features these cadmium sulfide particles gave a strong excitation peak at 330 nm and an emission peak at 480 nm (**Figure 5e**). Industrially, cadmium sulfide nanoparticles are routinely used for their optical properties in LEDs and photocells. Therefore, these results encourage the idea that there may be potential to convert precipitated metal sulfides into recyclable and useful materials. In addition, the ability to

control for precipitate size and crystallinity, and developing a direct method for metal re-

extraction through cell wall removal could simplify downstream extraction and recycling<sup>41</sup>.

## **Considerations and feasibility in industrial settings**

 Yeast culture compositions are chemically defined and standard among scientists, with yeasts being able to survive on several carbon sources at varying temperatures and at pH's as low as 3- 4. In addition, yeasts grow in defined culture environments in both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. These factors have made yeast one of the most understood and appreciated organisms 259 not only to scientists, but also for bakers, beer makers, and everyday consumers<sup>27,28</sup>. A typical laboratory only needs 3 dollars to produce 1 L of yeast with respects to the cost of consumables 261 such as glucose, extracts, and buffers<sup>42</sup>. Industrially, the infrastructure to scale and bioreactor optimization done by both the beer and pharmaceutical industries have reduced the cost to 16 263 cents per liter or lower<sup>26,42,43</sup>. These factors allowed a global production of more than one million 264 tons of yeast by weight in 2015<sup>44</sup>. More so, packaging and delivery of yeast through freeze-dried and active-dried packets have allowed the yeast market to touch all areas of the globe, allowing 266 both high tech industries as well as rural villages the power to brew their own yeast<sup>28,44</sup>. If the scale and breadth of the yeast market can be tapped for bioremediation purposes, specifically the precipitation and conversion of heavy metals, then the potential impact on heavy metal waste management can be significant and profound.

#### **DISCUSSION**

 Future work will investigate more complex displayed biomineralization peptides in order to improve metal sulfide formation and capture. Further design of biomineralization peptides could

 have two major applications: selective precipitation of metals and the creation of unique metal sulfide alloys that mimic doped metal sulfide compounds. Highly toxic elements such as cadmium and mercury in potable waters should be preferentially removed than less toxic elements such as sodium or calcium. With engineered biomineralization peptides, it may be possible to selectively precipitate highly toxic metals such as mercury versus calcium even at disproportionate concentrations by using known heavy-metal binding motifs found in 280 . nature<sup>16,38,39,45</sup>. Another application is the ability to create useful metal sulfides in a ratiometric manner. Many metal sulfides used industrially are doped with other divalent metals to enhance their physicochemical properties in semiconductors, solar cell, and magnetic materials $46-48$ . Therefore, engineering yeast to facilitate ratiometric precipitation of multi-metal sulfides is a concept that is especially interesting if the dopant metals are already present in the effluent. 

 More work is needed to design a pipeline for real-world bioremediation at scale. There are at least two primary strategies. The first is to grow yeast and securely package them into cartridges through size-exclusion filters or chemical cross-linking. These cartridges would maintain the 289 optimal microenvironment for yeast to thrive and produce  $H_2S$ , e.g. salt, pH, nutrients, etc. The cartridges could then be fitted to a larger vessel that would enter a waste-contaminated area. As 291 gaseous  $H_2S$  is produced, the surrounding environment would begin to precipitate heavy metals. Thorough investigation would be required to determine a cartridges' efficacy over time, in which a new cartridge would replace it and the precipitates within the old cartridge removed and recycled. An alternative solution would be to bring effluent to a treatment plant where waste is added to a yeast bioreactor. In this system technologies from large scale yeast fermentation could be leveraged to determine optimal fluid control to move waste between multiple yeast beds for

297 rounds of remediation<sup>26,42,43</sup>. Similarly, these reactors would have separate controls to replenish reacted yeast and supply fresh cultures when needed. These processes are no different than traditional abiotic processes for mine effluent treatment. Current treatments use an assortment of 300 chemical beds containing lime, iron, etc. that have high pH to precipitate heavy metals $4,33$ . Rather than relying on externally sourced chemicals for waste treatment, it would be more advantageous to use a renewable biological system such as yeast to control the reaction and by-products from treated waste waters.

 Having yeast naturally produce sulfides is an attractive solution for curbing industry's reliance for mined sulfide gas. Currently, sulfide is produced from petroleum, natural gas, and related  $f$  fossil fuel activities with China, US and Canada being leading producers<sup>49,50</sup>. Sulfate however, the metabolic precursor to H<sub>2</sub>S in the yeast sulfate assimilation pathway<sup>29,30</sup>, is generally more accessible through natural oxidation of ores, shales, and agricultural runoff<sup>51</sup> making sulfate more readily accessible than sulfide gas. Therefore, feeding yeast a low value resource such as 311 sulfate, and generating a higher value product such as  $H_2S$  could be a tremendous benefit for 312 industry. These engineered yeasts provide a natural, environmentally responsible, low-cost  $H_2S$  source while also simplifying H2S storage and transportation. Currently H2S storage is hazardous 314 and costly, but with a yeast-based system storing H<sub>2</sub>S is equivalent to storing yeast themselves. 

316 In conclusion, this work used yeast to generate  $H_2S$  to precipitate heavy metals from contaminated waters. Furthermore, production of H2S was tuned through gene knockouts and modulating media conditions, thereby controlling the quantity of metal precipitation and precipitate morphology. Crystallinity of metal sulfides was also controlled through displayed

- biomineralization peptides, making these particles easier to extract. This work ultimately showed
- that yeast could be a viable platform for heavy metal waste remediation and metal re-extraction
- and invites the exploration of other yeast-facilitated bioremediation processes.

#### **METHODS**

#### **Yeast strain and culture**

- 325 Yeast strain W303 $\alpha$  was obtained from the Amon Lab at MIT. Synthetically defined dropout
- media (SD) was made by combing 1.7 g/L yeast nitrogen base without amino acid and
- ammonium sulfate (YNB) (Fischer), 5 g/L ammonium sulfate (Sigma), 1.85 g/L drop-out mix
- without methionine and cysteine (US Biological), 20 g/L glucose (Sigma), and 10 mL/L 100X
- adenine hemisulfate stock (1 g/L) (Sigma). Complete synthetically defined media (CSM) was
- made by adding cysteine and methioneine amino acids at a final concentration of 50 mg/L
- (Sigma). Both SD and CSM were pH'd to 7 with NaOH. Mixtures were stirred and filtered
- 332 through a .22  $\mu$ m filter top (EMD). YPD media was made by adding 10 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L

peptone (Fisher), and 20 g/L glucose (Sigma) and filtered sterilized. Plates were made by adding

20 g/L Bacto Agar (Fisher) and autoclaving.

# **Cloning strategy and yeast transformations**

 The pRS303 and pRS305 vectors were used to clone the HIS and LEU markers for gene 338 deletions in W303 $\alpha$  via homologous recombination. Single gene deletions of SER33, SER1, SER2, HOM2, HOM6, MET2, MET6, MET17, CYS3, and CYS4 were deleted by amplifying the LEU marker using PCR with 30 bp of the appropriate up and downstream overlaps to their respective gene target (**Supplementary Table 1**). Double mutants were created by amplifying the HIS marker with 30 bp of the appropriate overlap to the target gene and transformed into the single deletant strains (**Supplementary Table 2**).



- 
- columns were fitted. Cultures grew for 1-2 days and were visually inspected at specific time-



# **Quantifying metal precipitation**

 Liquid stocks of copper (II) chloride, zinc chloride, cadmium nitrate, lead nitrate, and mercury (II) chloride (Sigma) were made at 100 mM in water. Metal precipitation studies were performed by diluting overnight cultures to varying culture densities in 5 mL of fresh culture containing 100  $\mu$ M of metal. Cultures were grown overnight, spun down at 900x*g* for 3 min in a swinging bucket rotor and supernatant collected for metal measurement. Metal content was measured on an Agilent ICP-AES 5100 following standard operating procedures. Trace concentrations of 387 metal below 10  $\mu$ M were measured on an Agilent ICP-MS 7900. If samples were to be diluted, they were diluted in 3% HNO3 (Sigma) to fit within the dynamic range of ICP detection. 

390 For all experiments, a sample of just media with spiked metal (e.g.  $100 \mu M$ ) was measured to act as a reference for the initial metal content of copper, zinc, cadmium, lead, and mercury in the media. Metal removal measurements were calculated by taking the ICP measurements of the supernatant and subtracting from this reference to give the quantity of metal precipitated.

395 Multiple uptake experiments were performed by resuspending  $1 \text{ OD}_{600}$  of fresh yeast grown the previous day with the equivalent volume of supernatant from the current metal precipitation experiment. For example, after the first round, the supernatant was collected and transferred to a freshly spun down culture inoculated the day before to a final OD of 1. The precipitation experiment was performed again, making this the second round of precipitation. This process was repeated at most up to 4 times, with each iteration sampled for ICP measurement.

#### **Quantifying metal removal from oil sand samples**

 Samples of effluent were taken from the Athabasca Oil Sands in Canada. Liquid was gently centrifuged at 1000xg for 30 minutes to fractionate liquid, oil and solid phases. The liquid phase was used as the waste medium to test for yeast-induced metal precipitation. Although not thoroughly investigated in this study, the oil phase contained many organics, aromatics, and oils contributed from mined runoff. The solid phase contained a heterogeneous mixture of large debris, rocks, and precipitates that were easily spun down during centrifugation or through size-exclusion filtration.

 To prepare the precipitation experiments, an overnight of ΔMET17 was grown in CSM-M and 412 spun down. 1 OD<sub>600</sub> per mL of cells was added to a 1 to 1 mixture of 2X CSM-M (prepared by

 doubling all ingredients) and liquid phase extracted from the effluent. The mixture was incubated overnight for 12 hours, spun down, and visualized for precipitation. The supernatant was taken for ICP measurement for copper, cadmium, mercury, lead, and zinc following the procedures explained above.

 The liquid phase metal profile was studied using ICP. Commercial ICP multi-element standards was used to multiplex measurements in parallel (VWR or Agilent). Multiple dilutions of the 420 liquid phase in 3% HNO<sub>3</sub> was performed (such as 1 to 1, 1 to 10, etc.) to determine the level of matrix effect, as the liquid phase contained other contaminants not accounted for in the standards and skewed readings. A 1 to 5 dilution gave consistent results and was used to calculate the concentrations of Na, Mg, K, Ca, Sr, Ba, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, Si, Cd, Pb, Hg, Cr, As.

#### **Quantifying yeast display expression using flow cytometry**

 Displayed peptides were first cloned with a C-terminus V5 tag followed by a stop codon in a constitutive AGA1 and AGA2 vector which was called pYAGA. Cultures were grown to 428 saturating OD and  $0.5 \text{ OD}_{600}$  were taken for flow cytometry. Cells were washed and pelleted at 900x*g* with PBS+1% BSA. Primary antibodies against V5 (Life Technologies) were diluted 1:500 in PBS+1% BSA and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour. Secondary antibodies with AlexaFluor 488 were diluted 1:2000 in PBS+1% BSA and incubated at room temperature for 1 hour. Cells were then washed and diluted to 1e6 cells per mL for flow cytometry. Flow cytometry was performed on a BD FACS Canto or LSR II following standard operating procedure provided by the Koch Flow Cytometry Core. Yeast cell gating strategy followed: FSC-A and SSC-A was used to gate on cells. FSC-W and FSC-H was used to gate vertically

 oriented single cells (vertical singlets). SSC-W and SSC-H was used to gate horizontally oriented single cells (horizontal singlets). After gating on these 3 plots, single cells were measured based on fluorescence (**Supplementary Figure 11**). Cell counts were plotted against binned fluorescent intensity (x-axis) creating a population distribution histogram of fluorescence (y-axis).

# **Extraction and purification of precipitated metal sulfides**

 Overnight cultures of metals added to yeast were pelleted at 900x*g* for 3 min. Cultures were washed and resuspended in 1 mL sorbitol citrate. 100T Zymolyase (Zymo) was diluted 1 to 100 445 and added to the suspension and incubated for  $>1$  hour at 30°C while shaking. Digested cells were pelleted at 900x*g* for 3 min, and supernatant was removed or kept for later analysis of dislodged metal sulfide particles. Cells were resuspended with 1:1 water and oleic acid (organic layer; Sigma). Mixtures were spun down at 900xg for 3 min to pellet cellular debris while allowing insoluble metal sulfide particles to remain in the organic layer. The organic layer was removed and fresh oleic acid was introduced to further extract metal sulfide particles. This process was performed between 1-3 times until coloration was completely transferred into the organic layer. Most organic solvents were observed to work (phenol:chloroform, hexane, octonal, etc.), however oleic acid was more cost effective, easier to handle, and safer to use. Samples could be used immediately for analysis or concentrated by spinning down particles at max speed for 15 min and resuspended in a lower volume in either oleic acid or water. 

# **Excitation and emission measurements using fluorometry**

 An Agilent Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer was used to measure the fluorescence of the isolated metal sulfide particles using disposable PMMA acrylic cuvettes (VWR).

Excitation and emission scans were performed following standard operating procedures provided

by the Center of Material Science Engineering, MIT.

#### **TEM sample prep**

 Cells were not digested with zymolayse in order to preserve the cell wall for imaging. Cell fixation, dehydration, embedding, and sectioning followed yeast OTO processing provided by 466 the WhiteHead Institute,  $MIT^{52}$ . The yeast cells were grown to an appropriate optical density and fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde, 3% paraformaldehyde, 5% sucrose in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (EMS) for 1 hour. Pelleted cells were washed and stained for 30 minutes in 1% OsO4, 1% 469 potassium ferocyanide, and 5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer. Osmium staining was followed by washing and staining in 1% thiocarbohydrazide. Pellets were washed and stained again in the reduced osmium solution. The cells were then stained in 2% uranyl acetate (EMS) overnight, serially dehydrated with ethanol, and embedded in EMBED-812 (EMS). Sections were cut on a Leica EM UC7 ultra microtome with a Diatome diamond knife at a thickness setting of 50 nm, stained with 2% uranyl acetate, and lead citrate. The sections were examined using a FEI Tecnai Spirit at 80KV and photographed with an AMT CCD camera.

## **TEM and EDX analysis**

 TEM samples of purified metal sulfide particles were prepared on 400 mesh nickel Formvar 479 grids (EMS) by dropping 10  $\mu$ L of sample onto the grids for 5 min and wicking dry. TEM images were acquired on a FEI Tecnai at 120V. Samples were also monitored by energy-

 dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectroscopy to qualitatively determine the relative amounts of sulfide and metal. When necessary, for example with copper, the signal background was corrected by subtracting the spectrum with a region without any metal sulfide particles to deconvolve overlapping peaks from the copper grid. High resolution TEM (HRTEM) images were acquired on a JEOL2010F at 200V to observe crystal spacing. A JEOL2010F was used for more resolved EDX elemental mapping of metal sulfide particles that nucleated on the cell wall. 

Purified metal sulfide particles were analyzed for size distribution and morphology using TEM.

Size distribution data was determined by imaging 40 random locations on 3 separate samples of

isolated metal precipitates using TEM. Particles below 100 nm were imaged on the higher

resolution JEOL2010F at greater than 100,000x magnification. Sizes were quantitatively

measured using ImageJ, and distributions plotted as histograms.

#### **Figure creation, analysis, and plotting**

 Raw data was collected and stored as csv or Excel file formats. Data was imported and analyzed with Python using modules such as numpy, pandas, and scipy. Plots were graphed with matplotlib.

#### **Statistical analysis**

 Statistical parameters including the definitions and values of *n*, SDs, and/or SEs are reported in the figures and corresponding figure legends. When reporting significance, a two-tailed unpaired t-test was performed between observations and p-values reported in the text. The significance 503 threshold was set to  $p < 0.05$  for all experiments, or as specified in the text.

# **Data availability**

- The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the
- corresponding author upon request. The source data underlying Figures 1c, 1d, 2a, 3c, 4a-c, 5e,
- and Extended Data 2a, 2c, and 3b are provided as a Source Data File.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Rucevska, I., United Nations Environment Programme & GRID--Arendal. *Waste crime -*
- *waste risks: gaps in meeting the global waste challenge : a rapid response assessment*.
- (2015).
- 2. Balde, C. P., Forti, V., Gray, V., Kuehr, R. & Stegmann, P. *The global e-waste monitor*
- *2017: quantities, flows and resources*. (United Nations University, International
- Telecommunication Union, and International Solid Waste Association, 2017).
- 3. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Statistics: all mining. (2018). Available at:
- https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/mining/statistics/allmining.html.
- 4. DeGraff, J. V. Addressing the toxic legacy of abandoned mines on public land in the western
- United States. in *Reviews in Engineering Geology Volume XVII: Understanding and*
- *Responding to Hazardous Substances at Mine Sites in the Western United States* **17**, 1–8
- (Geological Society of America, 2007).
- 5. Fu, F. & Wang, Q. Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewaters: a review. *J. Environ. Manage.* **92**, 407–418 (2011).
- 6. Kang, D. H. P., Chen, M. & Ogunseitan, O. A. Potential environmental and human health
- impacts of rechargeable lithium batteries in electronic waste. (2013). doi:10.1021/es400614y
- 7. Song, X., Hu, S., Chen, D. & Zhu, B. Estimation of waste battery generation and analysis of the waste battery recycling system in China. *J. Ind. Ecol.* **21**, 57–69 (2017).
- 8. Kurniawan, T. A., Chan, G. Y. S., Lo, W.-H. & Babel, S. Physico–chemical treatment
- techniques for wastewater laden with heavy metals. *Chem. Eng. J.* **118**, 83–98 (2006).
- 9. Barakat, M. A. New trends in removing heavy metals from industrial wastewater. *Arab. J.*
- *Chem.* **4**, 361–377 (2011).



- 15. Silver, S. & Phung, L. T. Genes and enzymes involved in bacterial oxidation and reduction of inorganic arsenic. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **71**, 599–608 (2005).
- 16. Picard, A., Gartman, A., Clarke, D. R. & Girguis, P. R. Sulfate-reducing bacteria influence
- the nucleation and growth of mackinawite and greigite. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **220**, 367–384 (2018).
- 17. Gartman, A. *et al.* Microbes facilitate mineral deposition in bioelectrochemical systems. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **1**, 277–287 (2017).
- 18. Jong, T. & Parry, D. L. Removal of sulfate and heavy metals by sulfate reducing bacteria in
- short-term bench scale upflow anaerobic packed bed reactor runs. *Water Res.* **37**, 3379–3389 (2003).



- 20. Neculita, C.-M., Zagury, G. J. & Bussière, B. Passive treatment of acid mine drainage in
- bioreactors using sulfate-reducing bacteria. *J. Environ. Qual.* **36**, 1–16 (2007).
- 21. Lovley, D. R. Cleaning up with genomics: applying molecular biology to bioremediation. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **1**, 35–44 (2003).
- 22. Shiratori, T., Inoue, C., Sugawara, K., Kusano, T. & Kitagawa, Y. Cloning and expression of
- *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* mercury ion resistance genes in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.*
- **171**, 3458–3464 (1989).
- 23. Wang, C. L., Maratukulam, P. D., Lum, A. M., Clark, D. S. & Keasling, J. D. Metabolic engineering of an aerobic sulfate reduction pathway and its application to precipitation of
- cadmium on the cell surface. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **66**, 4497–4502 (2000).
- 24. Krämer, U. & Chardonnens, A. The use of transgenic plants in the bioremediation of soils contaminated with trace elements. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **55**, 661–672 (2001).
- 25. Sayler, G. S. & Ripp, S. Field applications of genetically engineered microorganisms for

bioremediation processes. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **11**, 286–289 (2000).

- 26. Vieira, É. D., da Graça Stupiello Andrietta, M. & Andrietta, S. R. Yeast biomass production:
- a new approach in glucose-limited feeding strategy. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* **44**, 551–558 (2013).
- 27. Barth-Haas Group. Worldwide beer production, 2016. (2018). Available at:
- https://www.statista.com/statistics/270275/worldwide-beer-production/.
- 28. PR Newswire. Value of the yeast product market worldwide from 2016 to 2022 (in billions
- U.S. dollars). (2017). Available at: https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-
- analysis/yeast-market.
- 29. Swiegers, J. H. & Pretorius, I. S. Modulation of volatile sulfur compounds by wine yeast.
- *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **74**, 954–960 (2007).
- 30. Linderholm, A. L., Findleton, C. L., Kumar, G., Hong, Y. & Bisson, L. F. Identification of
- genes affecting hydrogen sulfide formation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **74**, 1418–1427 (2008).
- 31. Rickard, D. & Luther, G. W. Metal sulfide complexes and clusters. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* **61**, 421–504 (2006).
- 32. Bolong, N., Ismail, A. F., Salim, M. R. & Matsuura, T. A review of the effects of emerging contaminants in wastewater and options for their removal. *Desalination* **239**, 229–246 (2009).
- 33. Johnson, D. B. & Hallberg, K. B. Acid mine drainage remediation options: a review. *Sci. Total Environ.* **338**, 3–14 (2005).
- 34. US EPA, O. Table of Regulated Drinking Water Contaminants. (2019). Available at:

http://www.epa.gov/your-drinking-water/table-regulated-drinking-water-

- contaminants#Inorganic.
- 35. US EPA, O. Secondary Drinking Water Standards: Guidance for Nuisance Chemicals.
- (2019). Available at: https://www.epa.gov/dwstandardsregulations/secondary-drinking-
- water-standards-guidance-nuisance-chemicals.
- 36. Sparks, B. D., Kotlyar, L. S., O'Carroll, J. B. & Chung, K. H. Athabasca oil sands: effect of
- organic coated solids on bitumen recovery and quality. *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.* **39**, 417–430 (2003).





- insightful discussions and paper editing. This work was supported by the Amar G. Bose
- Research Grant (G.L.S. and A.M.B.) and the NSF Graduate Fellowship (G.L.S.). Partial support
- for this research was provided by a core center grant P30-ES002109 from the National Institute
- of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health.
- 

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBTUIONS**

- G.L.S, E.E.R, and A.M.B. conceived the study and designed experiments; G.L.S. performed and
- E.E.R. helped with experiments; G.L.S. analyzed the data and assembled figures; G.L.S, E.E.R,
- and A.M.B wrote the manuscript.
- 

# **COMPETING INTEREST**

The authors declare no competing interests.