

MIT Open Access Articles

Remarks on Springer's representations

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. **Please share** how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Lusztig, George, "Remarks on Springer's representations." Representation Theory 13, 18 (Sept. 2009): p. 391-400 doi 10.1090/S1088-4165-09-00358-6 ©2009 Author(s)

As Published: 10.1090/S1088-4165-09-00358-6

Publisher: American Mathematical Society (AMS)

Persistent URL: <https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/125901>

Version: Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

Terms of Use: Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.



REMARKS ON SPRINGER'S REPRESENTATIONS

G. LUSZTIG

ABSTRACT. We give an explicit description of a set of irreducible representations of a Weyl group which parametrizes the nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebra of a connected reductive group in arbitrary characteristic. We also answer a question of Serre concerning the conjugacy class of a power of a unipotent element in a connected reductive group.

INTRODUCTION

0.1. Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic exponent $p \geq 1$. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over \mathbf{k} and let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G . Let \mathcal{U}_G be the variety of unipotent elements of G and let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the variety of nilpotent elements of \mathfrak{g} (we say that $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent if for some/any closed imbedding $G \subset GL(\mathbf{k}^n)$, the image of x under the induced map of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbf{k}^n)$ is nilpotent as an endomorphism). Note that G acts on G and \mathfrak{g} by the adjoint action. Let \mathcal{X}_G (resp. $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}$) be the set of G -orbits on \mathcal{U}_G (resp. on $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$). We fix a prime number $l, l \neq p$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_G$ (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$) be the set of pairs $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L})$ where $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_G$ (resp. $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}$) and \mathcal{L} is an irreducible G -equivariant $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -local system on \mathcal{O} up to isomorphism. Let \mathbf{W} be the Weyl group of G . For any Weyl group W let $\text{Irr}(W)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of W over $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$. In [Sp], Springer defined (assuming that $p = 1$ or $p \gg 0$) natural injective maps $S_G : \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_G, S_{\mathfrak{g}} : \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ (each of these two maps determines the other since in this case we have canonically $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_G = \hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$). In [L2] a new definition of the map S_G (based on intersection homology) was given which applies without restriction on p . A similar method can be used to define $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ without restriction on p (see [X1], [X2] and 2.2 below); note that in general $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_G, \hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ cannot be identified. Now for any $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_G$ (resp. $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}$), $(\mathcal{O}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ is in the image of S_G (resp. $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$); hence there is a well-defined injective map $S'_G : \mathcal{X}_G \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ (resp. $S'_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$) such that for any $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_G$ (resp. $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}$) we have $S'_G(\mathcal{O}) = E$ (resp. $S'_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{O}) = E$) where $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ is given by $S_G(E) = (\mathcal{O}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ (resp. $S_{\mathfrak{g}}(E) = (\mathcal{O}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$). Let \mathfrak{S}_G be the image of $S'_G : \mathcal{X}_G \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the image of $S'_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$.

In [L5], we gave an a priori definition (in the framework of Weyl groups) of the subset \mathfrak{S}_G of $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ which parametrizes the unipotent G -orbits in G . In this paper we give an a priori definition (in a similar spirit) of the subset $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ which parametrizes the nilpotent G -orbits in \mathfrak{g} . (See Proposition 3.2.) This relies heavily on work of Spaltenstein [S2], [S3] and on [HS]. As an application we define a

Received by the editors May 5, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20G99.

Supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

©2009 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

natural injective map from the set of unipotent G -orbits in G to the set of nilpotent G -orbits in \mathfrak{g} (see 3.3); this map preserves the dimension of an orbit.

In [Se], Serre asked whether a power u^n (where n is an integer not divisible by p , $p \geq 2$) of a unipotent element $u \in G$ is conjugate to u under G . This is well known to be true when $p \gg 0$. In §2 we answer positively this question in general using the theory of Springer's representations; we also discuss an analogous property of nilpotent elements.

1. COMBINATORICS

1.1. For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ let $\mathcal{E}_k = \{a_* = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbf{N}^{k+1}; a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_k\}$. For $a_* \in \mathcal{E}_k$ let $|a_*| = \sum_i a_i$. For $a_*, a'_* \in \mathcal{E}_k$ we set $a_* + a'_* = (a_0 + a'_0, a_1 + a'_1, \dots, a_k + a'_k)$. For any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let $\mathcal{E}_k^n = \{a_* \in \mathcal{E}_k; |a_*| = n\}$. We have an imbedding $\mathcal{E}_k^n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{k+1}^n$, $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k) \mapsto (0, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k)$. This is a bijection if k is sufficiently large with respect to n . For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_k^n &= \{(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{E}_k \times \mathcal{E}_k; |a_*| + |a'_*| = n\}, \\ \mathcal{D}_k^n &= \{(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_k^n; \text{either } |a_*| > |a'_*| \text{ or } a_* = a'_*\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here k is large (relative to n), fixed. Let

$$\begin{aligned} {}^b\mathcal{C}_k^n &= \{(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_k^n; a'_i \leq a_i + 2 \quad \forall i \in [0, k]\}, \\ {}^{b1}\mathcal{C}_k^n &= \{(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_k^n; a'_i \leq a_i + 2 \quad \forall i \in [0, k], a_i \leq a'_{i+1} \quad \forall i \in [0, k-1]\}, \\ {}^{b2}\mathcal{C}_k^n &= \{(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_k^n; a'_i \leq a_i + 2 \quad \forall i \in [0, k], a_i \leq a'_{i+1} + 2 \quad \forall i \in [0, k-1]\}, \\ {}^{c1}\mathcal{C}_k^n &= \{(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_k^n; a_i \leq a'_{i+1} + 1 \quad \forall i \in [0, k-1], a'_i \leq a_i + 1 \quad \forall i \in [0, k]\}, \\ {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^n &= \{(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{D}_k^n; a'_i \leq a_i \quad \forall i \in [0, k]\}, \\ {}^{d1}\mathcal{D}_k^n &= \{(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{D}_k^n; a'_i \leq a_i \quad \forall i \in [0, k], a_i \leq a'_{i+1} + 2 \quad \forall i \in [0, k-1]\}, \\ {}^{d2}\mathcal{D}_k^n &= \{(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{D}_k^n; a'_i \leq a_i \quad \forall i \in [0, k], a_i \leq a'_{i+1} + 4 \quad \forall i \in [0, k-1]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{b1}\mathcal{C}_k^n &\subset {}^{b2}\mathcal{C}_k^n \subset {}^b\mathcal{C}_k^n, \\ {}^{c1}\mathcal{C}_k^n &\subset {}^{b2}\mathcal{C}_k^n \subset \mathcal{C}_k^n, \\ {}^{d1}\mathcal{D}_k^n &\subset {}^{d2}\mathcal{D}_k^n \subset {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^n. \end{aligned}$$

The following statements are obvious. If $(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_k^m$, $(b_*, b'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_k^{m'}$, then $(a_* + b_*, a'_* + b'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_k^{m+m'}$. If $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^b\mathcal{C}_k^m$, $(b_*, b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^{m'}$, then $(a_* + b_*, a'_* + b'_*) \in {}^b\mathcal{C}_k^{m+m'}$. If $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^m$, $(b_*, b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^{m'}$, then $(a_* + b_*, a'_* + b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^{m+m'}$.

In the following result we assume that k is large relative to n .

Proposition 1.2. (a) Let $(c_*, c'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_k^n$. Then either $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{c1}\mathcal{C}_k^n$ or there exist $m \geq 1, m' \geq 1$ such that $m + m' = n$ and $(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_k^m$, $(b_*, b'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_k^{m'}$ such that $(c_*, c'_*) = (a_* + b_*, a'_* + b'_*)$.

(b) Let $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^b\mathcal{C}_k^n$. Then either $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{b1}\mathcal{C}_k^n$ or there exist $m \geq 0, m' \geq 2$ such that $m + m' = n$ and $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^b\mathcal{C}_k^m$, $(b_*, b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^{m'}$, such that $(c_*, c'_*) = (a_* + b_*, a'_* + b'_*)$.

(c) Let $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^n$. Then either $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{d1}\mathcal{D}_k^n$ or there exist $m \geq 2, m' \geq 2$ such that $m + m' = n$ and $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^m$, $(b_*, b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^{m'}$ such that $(c_*, c'_*) = (a_* + b_*, a'_* + b'_*)$.

We prove (a). Assume first that $c_s < c_{s+1}$ for some $s \in [0, k - 1]$. Define $(b_*, b'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_r^k$, $r = k - s > 0$, by $b_i = 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $b_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, s]$, $b'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, k]$. Define $(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_{n-r}^k$ by $a_i = c_i - 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $a_i = c_i$ in $[0, s]$, $a'_i = c'_i$. We have $a_* + b_* = c_*$, $a'_* + b'_* = c'_*$. If $r < n$ we see that (a) holds. If $r = n$, then $(c_*, c'_*) = (b_*, b'_*) \in {}^{c_1}\mathcal{C}_k^n$ and (a) holds again.

Next we assume that $c'_s < c'_{s+1}$ for some $s \in [0, k - 1]$. Define $(b_*, b'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_r^k$, $r = k - s > 0$, by $b_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, k]$, $b'_i = 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $b'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, s]$. Define $(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{C}_{n-r}^k$ by $a_* = c_*$, $a'_i = c'_i - 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $a'_i = c'_i$ for $i \in [0, s]$. We have $a_* + b_* = c_*$, $a'_* + b'_* = c'_*$. If $r < n$ we see that (a) holds. If $r = n$, then $(c_*, c'_*) = (b_*, b'_*) \in {}^{c_1}\mathcal{C}_k^n$ and (a) holds again.

Finally, we assume that $c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_r$, $c'_0 = c'_1 = \dots = c'_r$. Since k is large we can assume that $c_0 = 0$, $c'_0 = 0$. Then $n = 0$ and $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{c_1}\mathcal{C}_k^n$.

We prove (b). If $n = 0$ we have clearly $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{b_1}\mathcal{C}_k^n$. Hence we can assume that $n > 0$ and that the result is true when n is replaced by $n' \in [0, n - 1]$.

Assume first that we can find $0 < t \leq s \leq k$ such that $c'_j = c_j + 2$ for $j \in [s + 1, k]$, $c'_j < c_j + 2$ for $j \in [t, s]$, $c_{t-1} < c_t$. Note that if $s < k$, then $c'_s < c'_{s+1}$; indeed, $c'_s < c_s - 2 \leq c_{s+1} - 2 = c'_{s+1}$. Define $(b_*, b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_r^k$, $r = 2k - t - s + 1 > 0$ by $b_i = 1$ for $i \in [t, k]$, $b_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, t - 1]$, $b'_i = 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $b'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, s]$. Define $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^b\mathcal{C}_{n-r}^k$ by $a_i = c_i - 1$ for $i \in [t, k]$, $a_i = c_i$ for $i \in [0, t - 1]$, $a'_i = c'_i - 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $a'_i = c'_i$ for $i \in [0, s]$. We have $a_* + b_* = c_*$, $a'_* + b'_* = c'_*$. If $r \geq 2$, we see that (b) holds. If $r = 1$, then $t = s = k$ and $a_k = c_k - 1$, $a_i = c_i$ for $i \in [0, k - 1]$, $a'_i = c'_i$ for $i \in [0, k]$. The induction hypothesis is applicable to $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^b\mathcal{C}_{n-1}^k$. If $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^{b_1}\mathcal{C}_{n-1}^k$, then clearly $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{b_1}\mathcal{C}_{n-1}^k$ and (b) holds. If $(a_*, a'_*) \notin {}^{b_1}\mathcal{C}_{n-1}^k$, then we can find $m \geq 0, m' \geq 2$ such that $m + m' = n - 1$ and $(\tilde{a}_*, \tilde{a}'_*) \in {}^b\mathcal{C}_k^m$, $(\tilde{b}_*, \tilde{b}'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^{m'}$ such that $(a_*, a'_*) = (\tilde{a}_* + \tilde{b}_*, \tilde{a}'_* + \tilde{b}'_*)$. Then $(c_*, c'_*) = (\tilde{a}_* + \tilde{b}_* + b_*, \tilde{a}'_* + \tilde{b}'_* + b'_*)$ where $(\tilde{a}_*, \tilde{a}'_*) \in {}^b\mathcal{C}_k^m$, $(\tilde{b}_* + b_*, \tilde{b}'_* + b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^{m'+1}$ so that (b) holds.

Next we assume that $c_i > 0$ for some i . Then we have $0 = c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_{l-1} < c_l$ for some $l \in [0, k]$. If $c'_s < c_s + 2$ for some $s \in [l, k]$, then we can assume that s is maximum possible with this property and there are two possibilities. Either $c'_i < c_i + 2$ for all $i \in [l, s]$ and then by the previous paragraph (with $t = l$) we see that (b) holds; or $c'_i = c_i + 2$ for some $i \in [l, s]$ and letting $t - 1$ be the largest such i we have $0 < t \leq s$, $c'_j < c_j + 2$ for $j \in [t, s]$, $c'_j = c_j + 2$ for $j = t - 1$ and $c_{t-1} = c'_{t-1} - 2 \leq c'_t - 2 < c_t$; using again the previous paragraph we see that (b) holds. Thus we may assume that $c'_i = c_i + 2$ for all $i \in [l, k]$. Assume, in addition, that $c'_s < c'_{s+1}$ for some $s \in [l, k - 1]$. We can assume that s is maximum possible so that $c'_s < c'_{s+1} = \dots = c'_k$. We have $c_{s+1} = c'_{s+1} - 2 > c'_s - 2 = c_s$; hence $c_s < c_{s+1}$. Define $(b_*, b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_r^k$, $r = 2k - 2s \geq 2$, by $b_i = 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $b_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, s]$, $b'_i = 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $b'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, s]$. Define $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^b\mathcal{C}_{n-r}^k$ by $a_i = c_i - 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $a_i = c_i$ for $i \in [0, s]$, $a'_i = c'_i - 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $a'_i = c'_i$ for $i \in [0, s]$. We have $a_* + b_* = c_*$, $a'_* + b'_* = c'_*$. We see that (b) holds. Thus we can assume that $c'_i = c'_{i+1} = \dots = c'_k = N + 2$ so that $c_l = c_{l+1} = \dots = c_k = N$. Note that $c'_i \leq 2$ for $i \in [0, l - 1]$. We have $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{b_1}\mathcal{C}_k^n$ so that (b) holds.

Finally, we assume that $c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_k = 0$. Then $c'_i \leq 2$ for $i \in [0, k]$ and $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{b_1}\mathcal{C}_k^n$ so that (b) holds. This completes the proof of (b).

We prove (c). If $n = 0$ we have clearly $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{d_1}\mathcal{D}_k^n$. Hence we can assume that $n > 0$ and that the result is true when n is replaced by $n' \in [0, n - 1]$.

Assume first that we can find $0 < t \leq s \leq k$ such that $c'_j = c_j$ for $j \in [s + 1, k]$, $c'_j < c_j$ for $j \in [t, s]$, $c_{t-1} < c_t$. Note that if $s < k$, then $c'_s < c'_{s+1}$; indeed, $c'_s < c_s \leq c_{s+1} = c'_{s+1}$. Define $(b_*, b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_r^k$, $r = 2k - t - s + 1 > 0$ by $b_i = 1$ for $i \in [t, k]$, $b_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, t - 1]$, $b'_i = 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $b'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, s]$. Define $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_{n-r}^k$ by $a_i = c_i - 1$ for $i \in [t, k]$, $a_i = c_i$ for $i \in [0, t - 1]$, $a'_i = c'_i - 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $a'_i = c'_i$ for $i \in [0, s]$. We have $a_* + b_* = c_*$, $a'_* + b'_* = c'_*$. If $n - 2 \geq r \geq 2$ we see that (c) holds. If $r = 1$, then $t = s = k$ and $a_k = c_k - 1$, $a_i = c_i$ for $i \in [0, k - 1]$, $a'_i = c'_i$ for $i \in [0, k]$. The induction hypothesis is applicable to $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_{n-1}^k$. If $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^{d1}\mathcal{D}_{n-1}^k$, then clearly $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{d1}\mathcal{D}_{n-1}^k$ and (c) holds. If $(a_*, a'_*) \notin {}^{d1}\mathcal{D}_{n-1}^k$, then we can find $m \geq 2, m' \geq 2$ such that $m + m' = n - 1$ and $(\tilde{a}_*, \tilde{a}'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^m$, $(\tilde{b}_*, \tilde{b}'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^{m'}$ such that $(a_*, a'_*) = (\tilde{a}_* + \tilde{b}_*, \tilde{a}'_* + \tilde{b}'_*)$. Then $(c_*, c'_*) = (\tilde{a}_* + \tilde{b}_* + b_*, \tilde{a}'_* + \tilde{b}'_* + b'_*)$ where $(\tilde{a}_*, \tilde{a}'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^m$, $(\tilde{b}_* + b_*, \tilde{b}'_* + b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^{m'+1}$ so that (c) holds. If $r = n - 1$, then $a_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, k - 1]$, $a_k = 0$, $a'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, k]$; hence $c_i = 1$ for $i \in [t, k - 1]$, $c_k = 2$, $c_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, t - 1]$, $c'_i = 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $c'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, s]$. Hence $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^n$ so that (c) holds. If $r = n$, then $(c_*, c'_*) = (b_*, b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^n$ so that (c) holds.

Next we assume that $c_i > 0$ for some i . Then we have $0 = c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_{l-1} < c_l$ for some $l \in [0, k]$. If $c'_s < c_s$ for some $s \in [l, k]$, then we can assume that s is maximum possible with this property and there are two possibilities. Either $c'_i < c_i$ for all $i \in [l, s]$ and then by the previous paragraph (with $t = l$) we see that (c) holds; or $c'_i = c_i$ for some $i \in [l, s]$ and letting $t - 1$ be the largest such i we have $0 < t \leq s$, $c'_j < c_j$ for $j \in [t, s]$, $c'_j = c_j$ for $j = t - 1$ and $c_{t-1} = c'_{t-1} \leq c'_t < c_t$; using again the previous paragraph we see that (c) holds. Thus we may assume that $c'_i = c_i$ for all $i \in [l, k]$. Assume, in addition, that $c'_s < c'_{s+1}$ for some $s \in [l, k - 1]$. We can assume that s is maximum possible so that $c'_s < c'_{s+1} = \dots = c'_k$. We have $c_{s+1} = c'_{s+1} > c'_s = c_s$ hence $c_s < c_{s+1}$. Define $(b_*, b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_r^k$, $r = 2k - 2s \geq 2$, by $b_i = 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $b_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, s]$, $b'_i = 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $b'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, s]$. Define $(a_*, a'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_{n-r}^k$ by $a_i = c_i - 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $a_i = c_i$ for $i \in [0, s]$, $a'_i = c'_i - 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $a'_i = c'_i$ for $i \in [0, s]$. We have $a_* + b_* = c_*$, $a'_* + b'_* = c'_*$. If $r \leq n - 2$, we see that (c) holds. If $r = n - 1$, then $a_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, k - 1]$, $a_k = 0$, $a'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, k]$; hence $c_i = 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k - 1]$, $c_k = 2$, $c_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, s]$, $c'_i = 1$ for $i \in [s + 1, k]$, $c'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, s]$. Hence $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^n$ so that (c) holds. If $r = n$, then $(c_*, c'_*) = (b_*, b'_*) \in {}^d\mathcal{D}_k^n$ so that (c) holds. Thus we can assume that $c'_l = c'_{l+1} = \dots = c'_k = N$ so that $c_l = c_{l+1} = \dots = c_k = N$. Note that $c'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, l - 1]$. We have $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{d1}\mathcal{D}_k^n$ so that (c) holds.

Finally, we assume that $c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_k = 0$. Then $c'_i = 0$ for $i \in [0, k]$. In this case we have $n = 0$ and $(c_*, c'_*) \in {}^{d1}\mathcal{D}_k^n$ so that (c) holds. This completes the proof of (c).

2. ON SERRE'S QUESTIONS

2.1. For any affine algebraic group H over \mathbf{k} we denote by $\text{Lie}H$ the Lie algebra of H . For any $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_G$ (or $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}$) we set $d_{\mathcal{O}} = 2 \dim \mathcal{B} - \dim \mathcal{O}$.

2.2. We recall the definition of Springer's representations following [L2]. Let \mathcal{B} be the variety of Borel subgroups of G . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \{(g, B) \in G \times \mathcal{B}; g \in B\}$ and let $f : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow G$ be the first projection. Let $K = f_! \mathbf{Q}_l$. In [L2] it was observed that K is an intersection cohomology complex on G coming from a local system on the open dense subset of G consisting on regular semisimple elements. Moreover, \mathbf{W}

acts naturally on this local system and hence, by “analytic continuation”, on K . In particular, if $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_G$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, then \mathbf{W} acts naturally on the i -th cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^i K|_{\mathcal{O}}$ of $K|_{\mathcal{O}}$, an irreducible G -equivariant local system on \mathcal{O} ; hence if \mathcal{L} is an irreducible G -equivariant local system on \mathcal{O} , then \mathbf{W} acts naturally on the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -vector space $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}^i K|_{\mathcal{O}})$. We denote this \mathbf{W} -module (with $i = d_{\mathcal{O}}$) by $V_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L}}$. As shown in [L4], $V_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L}}$ is either 0 or of the form $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \otimes E$ where $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$; moreover, any $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ arises in this way from a unique $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L})$ and $E \mapsto (\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L})$ is an injective map

$$S_G : \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_G.$$

We would like to define a similar map from $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ to $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}' = \{(x, B) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B}; x \in \text{Lie}B\}$ and let $f' : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be the first projection. Let $K' = f'_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Now if p is small the set of regular semisimple elements in \mathfrak{g} may be empty (this is the case for example if $G = SL_2(\mathbf{k})$, $p = 2$) so the method of [L4] cannot be used directly. However, T. Xue [X1], [X2] has observed that the method of [L4], [L2] can be applied if G is a classical group of adjoint type and $p = 2$ (in that case the set of regular semisimple elements in \mathfrak{g} is open dense in \mathfrak{g}). More generally, for any G which is adjoint, the set of regular semisimple elements in \mathfrak{g} is open dense in \mathfrak{g} . (Here is a proof. We must only check that if T is a maximal torus of G and $\mathfrak{t} = \text{Lie}T$, then the set \mathfrak{t}_{reg} of regular semisimple elements in \mathfrak{t} is open dense in \mathfrak{t} . Let $Y = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, T)$. We have $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbf{k} \otimes Y$. Now \mathfrak{t}_{reg} is the set of all $x \in \mathfrak{t}$ such that for any root $\alpha : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ we have $\alpha(x) \neq 0$. It is enough to show that any root $\alpha : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ is $\neq 0$. We have $\alpha = 1 \otimes \alpha_0$ where $\alpha_0 : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is a well-defined homomorphism. It is enough to show that α_0 is surjective. This follows from the adjointness of G .) As in the group case it now follows that K' is an intersection cohomology complex on \mathfrak{g} coming from a local system on \mathfrak{g}_{reg} . Moreover, \mathbf{W} acts naturally on this local system and hence, by “analytic continuation”, on K' . In particular, if $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, then \mathbf{W} acts naturally on the i -th cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^i K'|_{\mathcal{O}}$ of $K'|_{\mathcal{O}}$, an irreducible G -equivariant local system on \mathcal{O} ; hence if \mathcal{L} is an irreducible G -equivariant local system on \mathcal{O} , then \mathbf{W} acts naturally on the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -vector space $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}^i K'|_{\mathcal{O}})$. We denote this \mathbf{W} -module (with $i = d_{\mathcal{O}}$) by $V_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L}}$. As in [L4], [X1], $V_{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L}}$ is either 0 or of the form $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \otimes E$ where $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$; moreover, any $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ arises in this way from a unique $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L})$ and $E \mapsto (\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L})$ is an injective map

$$S_{\mathfrak{g}} : \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

If G is not assumed to be adjoint, let G_{ad} be the adjoint group of G and let $\mathfrak{g}_{ad} = \text{Lie}G_{ad}$. The obvious map $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{ad}$ induces a bijective morphism $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}_{ad}}$ and a bijection $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}_{ad}}$. Now any G_{ad} -equivariant irreducible $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -local system on a G_{ad} -orbit in $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}_{ad}}$ can be viewed as an irreducible G -equivariant $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -local system on the corresponding G -orbit in $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. This yields an injective map $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathfrak{g}_{ad}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. We define an injective map $S_{\mathfrak{g}} : \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ as the composition of the last map with $S_{\mathfrak{g}_{ad}}$.

2.3. For any $u \in \mathcal{U}_G$, let $\mathcal{B}_u = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; u \in B\}$ and let \mathcal{O} be the G -orbit of u in \mathcal{U}_G . Note that \mathcal{B}_u is a non-empty subvariety of \mathcal{B} of dimension $d_{\mathcal{O}}/2$; see [S1]. Using this and the definition of S_G we see that $(\mathcal{O}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ is in the image of S_G . Hence there is a well-defined injective map $S'_G : \mathcal{X}_G \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ such that for any $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_G$ we have $S'_G(\mathcal{O}) = E$ where $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ is given by $S_G(E) = (\mathcal{O}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$.

Similarly, for any $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ let $\mathcal{B}_x = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; x \in \text{Lie}B\}$ and let \mathcal{O} be the G -orbit of x in $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Note that \mathcal{B}_x is a non-empty subvariety of \mathcal{B} of dimension $d_{\mathcal{O}}/2$; see [HS]. Using this and the definition of $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ we see that $(\mathcal{O}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ is in the image of $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Hence there is a well-defined injective map $S'_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ such that for any $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ we have $S'_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{O}) = E$ where $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ is given by $S_{\mathfrak{g}}(E) = (\mathcal{O}, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$.

The maps $S'_G, S'_{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be described directly as follows. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, we may identify $H^i(\mathcal{B})$ (l -adic cohomology) with the stalk of $\mathcal{H}^i K$ at $1 \in G$; hence the \mathbf{W} -action on K induces a \mathbf{W} -action on the vector space $H^i(\mathcal{B})$. If $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_G$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}$, then the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_u \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ induces a linear map $f_u : H^{d_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{d_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathcal{B}_u)$ whose kernel is \mathbf{W} -stable; hence there is an induced action of \mathbf{W} on the image I_u of f_u . The \mathbf{W} -module I_u is of the form $\mathbf{Q}_l \otimes E$ for a well-defined $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$. We have $S'_G(\mathcal{O}) = E$. Similarly, if $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}$, then the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ induces a linear map $\phi_x : H^{d_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{d_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathcal{B}_x)$ whose kernel is \mathbf{W} -stable; hence there is an induced action of \mathbf{W} on the image I_x of ϕ_x . The \mathbf{W} -module I_x is of the form $\mathbf{Q}_l \otimes E$ for a well-defined $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$. We have $S'_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{O}) = E$.

Let \mathfrak{S}_G be the image of $S'_G : \mathcal{X}_G \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the image of $S'_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$.

2.4. Any automorphism $a : G \rightarrow G$ induces a Lie algebra automorphism $a' : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and an automorphism \underline{a} of \mathbf{W} as a Coxeter group. Now a (resp. a') induces a permutation $\mathcal{O} \mapsto a(\mathcal{O})$ (resp. $\mathcal{O} \mapsto a'(\mathcal{O})$) of \mathcal{X}_G (resp. $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}$) denoted again by a (resp. a'). Also \underline{a} induces in an obvious way a permutation of $\text{Irr}(W)$ denoted again by \underline{a} . From the definitions we see that $\underline{a}S'_G = S'_G a$, $\underline{a}S'_{\mathfrak{g}} = S'_{\mathfrak{g}} a'$.

Let $x \mapsto x^p$ be the p -th power map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ (if $p > 1$) and the 0 map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ (if $p = 1$). The r -th iteration of this map is denoted by $x \mapsto x^{p^r}$; this restricts to a map $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ which is 0 for $r \gg 0$. The following result answers questions of Serre [Se].

Proposition 2.5. (a) Let $u \in \mathcal{U}_G$ and let $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $nn' = 1$ in \mathbf{k} for some $n' \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then u^n and u are G -conjugate.

(b) Let $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and let $x' = a_0x + a_1x^p + a_2x^{p^2} + \dots$ where $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots \in \mathbf{k}$, $a_0 \neq 0$ (so that $x' \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$). Then x', x are G -conjugate.

We prove (a). Let \mathcal{O} be the G -orbit of u and let \mathcal{O}' be the G -orbit of $u' := u^n$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_u \subset \mathcal{B}_{u'}$. Since u' is a power of u we have also $\mathcal{B}_{u'} \subset \mathcal{U}$; hence $\mathcal{B}_{u'} = \mathcal{B}_u$. From $\dim \mathcal{B}_u = \dim \mathcal{B}_{u'}$ we see that $d_{\mathcal{O}} = d_{\mathcal{O}'}$. The map $f_u : H^{d_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{d_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathcal{B}_u)$ in 2.3 remains the same if u is replaced by u' . From the description of S'_G given in 2.3 we deduce that $S'_G(\mathcal{O}) = S'_G(\mathcal{O}')$. Since S'_G is injective we deduce that $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}'$. This proves (a).

We prove (b). Let \mathcal{O} be the G -orbit of x and let \mathcal{O}' be the G -orbit of x' . Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_x \subset \mathcal{B}_{x'}$. Since $x = a'_0x' + a'_1x'^p + a'_2x'^{p^2} + \dots$ with $a'_0, a'_1, a'_2, \dots \in \mathbf{k}$, $a'_0 = a_0^{-1}$, we have $\mathcal{B}_{x'} \subset \mathcal{B}_x$; hence $\mathcal{B}_{x'} = \mathcal{B}_x$. From $\dim \mathcal{B}_x = \dim \mathcal{B}_{x'}$ we see that $d_{\mathcal{O}} = d_{\mathcal{O}'}$. The map $\phi_x : H^{d_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^{d_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathcal{B}_x)$ in 2.3 remains the same if x is replaced by x' . From the description of S'_G given in 2.3 we deduce that $S'_G(\mathcal{O}) = S'_G(\mathcal{O}')$. Since $S'_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is injective we deduce that $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}'$. This proves (b).

Parts (a), (b) of the following result answer questions of Serre [Se]; the proof of (b) below (assuming (a)) is due to Serre [Se].

Proposition 2.6. *Let $c : G \rightarrow G$ be an automorphism such that for some maximal torus T of G we have $c(t) = t^{-1}$ for all $t \in T$. Let $\tilde{c} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be the automorphism of \mathfrak{g} induced by c .*

- (a) *For any $u \in \mathcal{U}_G$, $c(u), u$ are G -conjugate.*
- (b) *For any $g \in G$, $c(g), g^{-1}$ are G -conjugate.*
- (c) *For any $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\tilde{c}(x), x$ are G -conjugate.*
- (d) *For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\tilde{c}(x), -x$ are G -conjugate.*

We prove (a). Let $\underline{c} : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$ be the automorphism induced by c . If $B \in \mathcal{B}$ contains T , then $T \subset c(B)$ and $B, c(B)$ are in relative position w_0 , the longest element of \mathbf{W} . Hence if B, B' in \mathcal{B} contain T and are in relative position $w \in \mathbf{W}$, then $c(B), c(B')$ contain T and are in relative position $w_0 w w_0^{-1}$. They are also in relative position $\underline{c}(w)$. It follows that $\underline{c}(w) = w_0 w w_0^{-1}$ for all $w \in \mathbf{W}$. Hence the induced permutation $\underline{c} : \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}) \rightarrow \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ is the identity map. Let \mathcal{O} be the G -orbit of $u \in \mathcal{U}_G$. Then $c(\mathcal{O})$ is the G -orbit of $c(u)$. By 2.4 we have $S'_G(c(\mathcal{O})) = \underline{c}(S'_G(\mathcal{O})) = S'_G(\mathcal{O})$. Since S'_G is injective it follows that $\mathcal{O} = c(\mathcal{O})$. This proves (a).

Following [Se], we prove (b) by induction on $\dim(G)$. If $\dim G = 0$ the result is trivial. Now assume that $\dim G > 0$. Write $g = su = us$ with s semisimple, u unipotent. If the result holds for $g_1 \in G$, then it holds for any G -conjugate of g_1 . Hence by replacing g by a conjugate we can assume that $s \in T$ so that $c(s) = s^{-1}$. Let $Z(s)^0$ be the connected centralizer of s , a connected reductive subgroup of G containing T . Note that c restricts to an automorphism of $Z(s)^0$ of the same type as $c : G \rightarrow G$. Moreover, we have $g \in Z(s)^0$. If $Z(s)^0 \neq G$, then by the induction hypothesis we see that $c(g), g^{-1}$ are conjugate under $Z(s)^0$; hence they are conjugate under G . If $Z(s)^0 = G$, then by (a), $c(u), u$ are conjugate in G . By 2.5(a), u, u^{-1} are conjugate in G . Hence $c(u), u^{-1}$ are conjugate in G . In other words, for some $h \in G$ we have $c(u) = hu^{-1}h$. Since s is central in G and $c(s) = s^{-1}$ we have $c(s) = hs^{-1}h^{-1}$. It follows that $c(g) = c(s)c(u) = hs^{-1}h^{-1}hu^{-1}h = hs^{-1}u^{-1}h^{-1} = hg^{-1}h^{-1}$. This proves (b).

The proof of (c) is completely similar to that of (a); it uses S'_g instead of S_G . The proof of (d) is completely similar to that of (b); it uses (c) and 2.5(b) instead of (b) and 2.5(a).

3. A PARAMETRIZATION OF THE SET OF NILPOTENT G -ORBITS IN \mathfrak{g}

3.1. Let V be a finite dimensional \mathbf{Q} -vector space. Let $R \subset V^* = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbf{Q})$ be a (reduced) root system and let $W \subset GL(V)$ be the Weyl group of R . Let Π be a set of simple roots for R . Let $\Theta = \{\beta \in R; \beta - \alpha \notin R \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi\}$. For any integer $r \geq 1$ let \mathcal{A}_r (resp. \mathcal{A}'_r) be the set of all $J \subset \Theta$ such that J is linearly independent in V^* and $\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \mathbf{Z}\alpha / \sum_{\beta \in J} \mathbf{Z}\beta$ is finite of order r^k for some $k \in \mathbf{N}$ (resp. $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$). For $J \in \mathcal{A}_r$ let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by the reflections with respect to the roots in J . For any $E \in \text{Irr}(W)$ let b_E be the smallest integer ≥ 0 such that E appears with multiplicity $m_E > 0$ in the b_E -th symmetric power of V regarded as a W -module. Let $\text{Irr}(W)^\dagger = \{E \in \text{Irr}(W); m_E = 1\}$. Replacing here (V, W) by (V, W_J) with $J \in \mathcal{A}_r$ we see that b_E is defined for any $E \in \text{Irr}(W_J)$ and that $\text{Irr}(W_J)^\dagger$ is defined. For $J \in \mathcal{A}_r$ and $E \in \text{Irr}(W_J)^\dagger$ there is a unique $\tilde{E} \in \text{Irr}(W)$ such that \tilde{E} appears with multiplicity 1 in $\text{Ind}_{W_J}^W E$ and $b_E = b_{\tilde{E}}$; moreover, we have $\tilde{E} \in \text{Irr}(W)^\dagger$. We set $\tilde{E} = j_{W_J}^W E$. Define $\mathcal{S}_W^1 \subset \text{Irr}(W)^\dagger$ as in [L5, 1.3].

Replacing (V, W) by (V, W_J) with $J \in \mathcal{A}_r$ we obtain a subset $\mathcal{S}_{W_J}^1 \subset \text{Irr}(W_J)^\dagger$. For any integer $r \geq 1$ let \mathcal{S}_W^r be the set of all $E \in \text{Irr}(W)$ such that $E = j_{W_J}^W E_1$ for some $J \in \mathcal{A}_r$ and some $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}^1(W_J)$ (see [L5, 1.3]). If $r = 1$ this agrees with the earlier definition of \mathcal{S}_W^1 since in this case $W_J = W$ for any $J \in \mathcal{A}'_r$. For any integer $r \geq 1$ we define a subset \mathcal{T}_W^r of $\text{Irr}(W)^\dagger$ by induction on $|W|$ as follows. If $W = \{1\}$, we set $\mathcal{T}_W^r = \text{Irr}(W)$. If $W \neq \{1\}$, then \mathcal{T}_W^r is the set of all $E \in \text{Irr}(W)$ such that either $E \in \mathcal{S}_W^1$ or $E = j_{W_J}^W E_1$ for some $J \in \mathcal{A}'_r$ and some $E_1 \in \mathcal{T}^r(W_J)$. From the definition it is clear that

$$\mathcal{S}_W^1 \subset \mathcal{S}_W^r \subset \mathcal{T}_W^r.$$

When $r = 1$ we have $\mathcal{S}_W^1 = \mathcal{T}_W^r$.

We apply these definitions in the case where $r = p$, $V = \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathbf{Y}_G$ (with \mathbf{T} being “the maximal torus” of G and $\mathbf{Y}_G = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{k}^*, \mathbf{T})$), R is “the root system” of G (a subset of V^*) with its canonical set of simple roots and $W = \mathbf{W}$ viewed as a subgroup of $GL(V)$. Then the subsets $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}^1 \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}^p \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{W}}^p$ of $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ are defined. We can now state the following result.

Proposition 3.2. (a) We have $\mathfrak{S}_G = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}^p$.

(b) We have $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{W}}^p$.

For (a) see [L5, 1.4]. The proof of (b) is given in 3.5.

Corollary 3.3. There is a unique (injective) map $\tau : \mathcal{X}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $S'_G(\xi) = S'_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tau(\xi))$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{X}_G$.

The existence and uniqueness of τ follows from $\mathfrak{S}_G \subset \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ which in turn follows from 3.2 and the inclusion $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}^p \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{W}}^p$.

It is known that when $p \neq 2$ we have $\text{card}\mathfrak{S}_G = \text{card}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$; hence in this case τ is a bijection.

3.4. For $n \in \mathbf{N}$ let W_n be the group of all permutations of the set

$$\{1, 2, \dots, n, n', \dots, 2', 1'\}$$

which commute with the involution $i \mapsto i', i' \mapsto i$; let W'_n be the subgroup of W_n consisting of the even permutations. Assume that $k \in \mathbf{N}$ is large relative to n . When G is adjoint simple of type B_n or C_n ($n \geq 2$) we identify $\mathbf{W} = W_n$ in the standard way; we have a bijection $[a_*, a'_*] \leftrightarrow (a_*, a'_*)$, $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}) = \text{Irr}(W_n) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_k^n$ as in [L1, 2.3]; moreover, $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}) = \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})^\dagger$; see [L1, 2.4]. When G is adjoint simple of type D_n ($n \geq 4$) we identify $\mathbf{W} = W'_n$ in the standard way; we have a surjective map $\zeta : \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})^\dagger = \text{Irr}(W'_n)^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n^k$ such that for any $\rho \in \text{Irr}(W'_n)$ we have $\zeta(\rho) = (a_*, a'_*)$ where $(a_*, a'_*) \in \mathcal{D}_n^k$ is such that ρ appears in the restriction of $[a_*, a'_*]$ from W_n to W'_n (the set $\text{Irr}(W'_n)^\dagger$ is determined by [L1, 2.5]); note that $|\zeta^{-1}(a_*, a'_*)|$ is 2 if $a_* = a'_*$ and is 1 otherwise.

3.5. In this subsection we prove 3.2(b). We can assume that G is adjoint, simple. If $p = 1$ or p is a good prime for G , then $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{S}_G$ hence using 3.2(a) we have $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}^p$; in our case we have $\mathbf{W}_J = \mathbf{W}$ for any $J \in \mathcal{A}_p$ hence from the definitions we have $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}^p = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}^1 = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{W}}^p$ and the result follows. In the rest of this subsection we assume that p is a bad prime for G . In this case $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ has been described explicitly by Spaltenstein [S2], [S3], [HS] as follows (assuming that the theory of Springer correspondence holds; this assumption can be removed in view of [X1], [X2] and the remarks in 2.2.)

If G is of type C_n , $n \geq 2$ ($p = 2$), then we have $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$. If G is of type B_n , $n \geq 2$ ($p = 2$), then, according to [S1], $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \{[a_*, a'_*] \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}); (a_*, a'_*) \in {}^b C_k^n\}$. (Here k is large and fixed.) If G is of type D_n , $n \geq 4$ ($p = 2$), then $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \zeta^{-1}({}^d \mathcal{D}_k^n)$. If G is of type G_2 ($p = 2$ or 3), of type F_4 ($p = 3$), of type E_6 ($p = 2$ or 3), of type E_7 ($p = 3$), or of type E_8 ($p = 3$ or 5), then $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{S}_G$. If G is of type F_4 ($p = 2$), then $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{S}_G \sqcup \{1_3, 2_3\}$ (notation as in [L3, 4.10]); note that $b_{1_3} = 12$, $b_{2_3} = 4$. If G is of type E_7 ($p = 2$), then $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{S}_G \sqcup \{84'_a\}$ (notation as in [L3, 4.12]; we have $b_{84'_a} = 15$). If G is of type E_8 ($p = 2$), then $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{S}_G \sqcup \{50_x, 700_{xx}\}$ (notation as in [L3, 4.13]; we have $b_{50_x} = 8$, $b_{700_{xx}} = 16$).

On the other hand, for types B, C, D , $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{W}}^2$ is computed by induction using 1.2, the formulas for the maps $j_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}}(\cdot)$ given in [L6, 4.5, 5.3, 6.3] and the known description of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}^1$; for exceptional types, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{W}}^p$ is computed by induction using the tables in [A] and the known description of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}^1$.

In each case, the explicitly described subset $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ coincides with the explicitly described subset $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{W}}^p$. This completes the proof of 3.2(b).

To illustrate the inclusion $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{W}}^p$ we note that:

if G is of type E_8 ($p = 2$) then $50_x, 700_{xx}$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \mathfrak{S}_G$ are obtained by applying $j_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}}$ (where \mathbf{W}_J is of type $E_7 \times A_1$) to $15'_a \boxtimes \text{sgn}$, $84'_a \boxtimes \text{sgn}$ (which belong to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{W}_J}^2 - \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}_J}^2$, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}_J}^2 - \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}_J}^1$ respectively);

if G is of type F_4 ($p = 2$) then $1_3, 2_3$ in $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \mathfrak{S}_G$ are obtained by applying $j_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}}$ (where \mathbf{W}_J is of type $B_4, C_3 \times A_1$) to an object in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}_J}^2 - \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}_J}^1$.

3.6. If G is of type B_n or C_n , $n \geq 2$ ($p = 2$), then, according to [LS], $\mathfrak{S}_G = \{[a_*, a'_*] \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}); (a_*, a'_*) \in {}^b C_k^n\}$. (Here k is large and fixed.) If G is of type D_n , $n \geq 4$ ($p = 2$), then according to [LS], $\mathfrak{S}_G = \zeta^{-1}({}^d \mathcal{D}_k^n)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to thank J.-P. Serre for his interesting questions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [A] D. Alvis, *Induce/restrict matrices for exceptional Weyl groups*, arxiv:RT/0506377.
- [HS] D. Holt and N. Spaltenstein, *Nilpotent orbits of exceptional Lie algebras over algebraically closed fields of bad characteristic*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (A) **38** (1985), 330-350. MR779199 (86g:17007)
- [L1] G. Lusztig, *Irreducible representations of finite classical groups*, Invent. Math. **43** (1977), 125-175. MR0463275 (57:3228)
- [L2] G. Lusztig, *Green polynomials and singularities of unipotent classes*, Adv. in Math. **42** (1981), 169-178. MR641425 (83c:20059)
- [L3] G. Lusztig, *Characters of reductive groups over a finite field*, Ann. Math. Studies 107, Princeton Univ. Press, 1984. MR742472 (86j:20038)
- [L4] G. Lusztig, *Intersection cohomology complexes on a reductive group*, Invent. Math. **75** (1984), 205-272. MR732546 (86d:20050)
- [L5] G. Lusztig, *Unipotent elements in small characteristic*, Transform. Groups. **10** (2005), 449-487. MR2183120 (2006m:20074)
- [L6] G. Lusztig, *Unipotent classes and special Weyl group representations*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), 3418-3449. MR2510055
- [LS] G. Lusztig and N. Spaltenstein, *On the generalized Springer correspondence for classical groups*, Algebraic groups and related topics, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 6, North-Holland and Kinokuniya, 1985, pp. 289-316. MR803339 (87g:20072a)
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, *Letters to G. Lusztig*, Nov. 15, 2006, Nov. 9, 2008.
- [S1] N. Spaltenstein, *Classes unipotentes et sousgroupes de Borel*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 946, Springer-Verlag, 1982. MR672610 (84a:14024)

- [S2] N. Spaltenstein, *Nilpotent classes and sheets in of Lie algebras in bad characteristic*, Math. Z. **181** (1982), 31-48. MR671712 (83m:17007)
- [S3] N. Spaltenstein, *Nilpotent classes in Lie algebras of type F_4 over fields of characteristic 2*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, IA **30** (1984), 517-524. MR731515 (85g:20056)
- [Sp] T. A. Springer, *Trigonometric sums, Green functions of finite groups and representations of Weyl groups*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 173-207. MR0442103 (56:491)
- [X1] T. Xue, *Nilpotent orbits in classical Lie algebras over F_{2^n} and Springer's correspondence*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **105** (2008), 1126-1128. MR2375447 (2009c:14096)
- [X2] T. Xue, *Nilpotent orbits in classical Lie algebras over finite fields of characteristic 2 and the Springer correspondence*, Represent. Theory **13** (electronic), (2009), 371-390.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE,
MASSACHUSETTS 02139