## Iterative Expansion Microscopy using Lipid and Protein Labels for Nano-scale Imaging of Brain Circuits

by

## Tay Won Shin

## B.A. Statistics, University of California, Berkeley (2013)

Submitted to the Program in Media Arts and Sciences, School of Architecture and Planning, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology September 2020

© Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2020. All rights reserved



# Iterative Expansion Microscopy using Lipid and Protein Labels for Nano-scale Imaging of Brain Circuits

by

## Tay Won Shin

Submitted to the Program in Media Arts and Sciences, School of Architecture and Planning, on 08/03/2020 in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

### **Abstract:**

Expansion microscopy(ExM) and iterative expansion microscopy(iExM) enables nanometer resolution by physically expanding fixed specimens using a conventional diffraction limited optical microscope. Here, we introduce a polymer-anchorable lipid intercalating label that binds to membranes of fixed tissue to perform ExM and iExM, which we call membrane expansion microscopy (mExM) and membrane iterative expansion microscopy (imExM), respectively. The technology is also compatible with immunohistochemistry to visualize proteins of interest (i.e. nuclear pore complex, Golgi apparatus, etc.). With the technology, we can reconstruct structural and molecular information at a resolution between approximately 15nm to 20 nm.

Thesis advisor: Edward Boyden Professor of Media Arts and Sciences and Brain and Cognitive Sciences

McGovern Institute

## Iterative Expansion Microscopy using Lipid and Protein Labels for Nano-scale Imaging of Brain Circuits

by

Tay Won Shin

This master thesis has been reviewed and approved by the following committee members

Edward Boyden, Ph.D.

………………………………………………………………………………………………………

Professor of Media Arts and Sciences and Brain and Cognitive Sciences McGovern Institute

# Iterative Expansion Microscopy using Lipid and Protein Labels for Nano-scale Imaging of Brain Circuits

by

Tay Won Shin

This master thesis has been reviewed and approved by the following committee members

Kevin Esvelt, Ph.D. ………………………………………………………………………………………………………

Assistant Professor of Media Arts and Sciences MIT Media Laboratory

# Iterative Expansion Microscopy using Lipid and Protein Labels for Nano-scale Imaging of Brain Circuits

by

Tay Won Shin

This master thesis has been reviewed and approved by the following committee members

Guoping Feng, Ph.D.

………………………………………………………………………………………………………

James W (1963) & Patricia T Poitras Professor of Neuroscience MIT Brain & Cognitive Sciences

#### **Acknowledgment**

First, I would like to thank my advisor, Ed Boyden, who has given me tremendous support. Thanks to his guidance and mentorship, I was able to meet brilliant researchers and work on amazing research in the neuroscience field, despite not having any research experience in the field. I sincerely owe him my deepest gratitude.

My deepest gratitude extends as well to Professor Guoping Feng, my thesis reader, who also allowed me to participate in an amazing marmoset project. Also, many thanks to Professor Kevin Esvelt, my other thesis reader, for the helpful discussion.

I would also like to thank many of my lab-mates. Many thanks to Manos and Louis Kang, who taught me not only how to do wet-lab work but also all the basic and advanced chemistry I needed to know. In addition, I would like to thank Jay Yu, Chi Zhang, and Nick Barry. Rather than burden me by sharing every detail of unpublished works, they pointed me to the most vital information, which saved me significant time and guided me to work very efficiently.

I also want to thank a few people I met during my time in the Korean military (lieutenant colonel Min-Young Gil, former colonel Han-Kok Park, and former general Rae-ho Park). Without their support, I wouldn't be able to have such an amazing experience during the service.

Last but certainly not least, I thank all of my family members. Sincere gratitude goes to my parents, Jong-Gye Shin and Mee-Gyung Oh, and my sister, Yoo-Jin Shin, for their unconditional support and great mentorship throughout my life. I also thank my aunt, Hye-Suek Shin, her husband,Yong-Il Moon, and her daughter, Iris Moon, for their support. And I especially thank my lovely wife, Yoo-Bin Choi, and her family for all the love and support.

## **Table of Contents**



## <span id="page-7-0"></span>**Introduction**

Issues in brain connectivity are known to be the source of various critical diseases and mental disorders. For example, scientists have found decreased connectivity and hippocampus changes<sup>1,2</sup> in brains affected by Alzheimer's disease. Depression can also cause atypical increases and decreases in connectivity<sup>3</sup>. Given these findings, researchers are heavily interested in mapping the nervous system at the level of synaptic connections, a field known as connectomics. Furthermore, the precise functioning of neurons and neuronal circuits is largely unknown<sup>4</sup>, meaning that a complete map of the synaptic network may not be enough to fully understand how the brain works<sup>5</sup>. Developing a brain map, along with understanding functionality, may lead to a clearer understanding of how neuronal circuits are formed, shape behaviors, or cause neurological diseases<sup>5</sup>. Although the importance of this research is widely recognized, progress has been slow.

Traditionally, electron microscopy (EM), an imaging process, has been used to map nervous systems. However, this tool has two major limitations. First, scalability: it took approximately 7 years to complete neuronal maps of C. elegans<sup>6</sup>. Approximately 302 neurons and 3,000 neuron connections were identified in C. elegans using EM<sup>6</sup>. Meanwhile, the human brain has approximately 100 billion neurons and therefore 100 trillion connections, with those connections and patterns being highly diverse across individuals<sup>5,7,8</sup>. For this reason, mapping the human brain with EM is impractical. The second limitation is damage to biomolecular information. Many proteins are destroyed

during the EM preparation procedure, and therefore it is difficult to obtain biomolecular information (i.e. proteins). This limitation makes it even more difficult to determine the function of each neuron and neuronal circuits, even if the nervous system was successfully mapped.

Recent developments in both imaging technology and machine learning have been adopted to address these problems. Super-resolution imaging techniques, such as Stochastic Optical Reconstruction Microscopy (STORM), Photoactivated localization microscopy (PALM), and Structured Illumination Microscopy (SIM), have successfully visualized biomolecules at 10~20 nanometer resolution<sup>9</sup>. However, scalability issues persist, as it requires multiple images to construct one final image, requiring a significant time investment to acquire large fields of view<sup>10</sup>. Meanwhile, EM approaches have been scaled up with machine learning. With terabytes of EM imaging datasets and manually-traced neurons, neurons in raw EM images can now be digitally segmented with high accuracy<sup>11</sup>. Computers have now completely replaced manual neuronal tracing. However, even if machine learning can enable a complete mapping of neural circuits, EM imaging limitations regarding biomolecule identification remain. It is clear that new tools must be developed to achieve both neuronal connection mapping and biomolecule analysis.

One potential solution is expansion microscopy  $(ExM)^{12}$ . The technology physically expands chemically fixed specimens, enabling biomolecule visualization at  $\sim$ 70

nanometer resolution throughout the brain and using a conventional diffraction limited optical microscope<sup>13</sup>. A chemically fixed specimen can be expanded multiple times to achieve ~20 nanometer resolution with an additional technology called iterative expansion microscopy (iExM)<sup>14</sup>. The technology is scalable as it successfully validated with thick tissue slices  $({\sim}200 \text{ }\mu\text{m})^{13}$ , while other approaches, such as EM and STORM, require the tissue size to be 30~60 nanometers<sup>15</sup> and ~20 nanometer<sup>16</sup>, respectively. However, the technology is not able to visualize the membrane since most of the existing membrane probe contains fluorophores, which will degrade during the expansion microscopy procedure<sup>13</sup>. This limits the technology to identify the boundaries of neurons along with biomolecule of interest. Hence, developing the membrane probe that can be directly applied to the current expansion microscopy scheme will overcome the limitation by producing EM-like images with conventional microscopy to map the brain along with annotating protein of interest<sup>17</sup>. With the probe, the technology may enable the identification and functional understanding of each neuron and neuronal circuit in a scalable fashion.

In this thesis, to address this need, a new membrane tag (pGk5b) is introduced, and applied to the current expansion microscopy scheme, namely membrane expansion microscopy (mExM) and iterative membrane expansion microscopy (imExM). Finally, optimized mExM and imExM are introduced.

## <span id="page-10-0"></span>**Overview of current Expansion Microscopy Scheme**

#### <span id="page-10-1"></span>*Expansion Microscopy*

Expansion Microscopy (ExM) is a recently developed nanoscale resolution imaging technology that uses fast, conventional diffraction-limited microscopy by physically expanding biological samples isotropically through a simple chemical process<sup>12,13</sup>. ExM involves five sequential steps that can be done with standard lab equipment and commercially available reagents. First, we fix the biological specimens with standard chemical fixation methods, such as 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) or 4% PFA and 0.1% glutaraldehyde (GLUT) solution. We then anchor the biomolecules of interest (ie. proteins and RNAs) to a swellable polymer network, which is constructed by gelation step in the ExM procedure, inside of biological specimens. We treat the sample with a chemical compound (digestion solution) to mechanically homogenize the sample by enzymatic digestion. Finally, we add water to the sample. After the aforementioned steps, the sample typically expands ~4.5x times linearly, resulting that the biomolecules of interest are evenly separated, and the effective resolution under conventional confocal microscope is now 60-70 nanometer (300 nanometer / 4.5)



**Figure 1**. Concepts of expansion microscopy. (**A**) Schematic of (i) collapsed polyelectrolyte network, showing crosslinker (dot) and polymer chain (line), and (ii) expanded network after H2O dialysis. (**B**) Photograph of fixed mouse brain slice. (**C**) Photograph, post-ExM, of the sample (B) under side illumination. (**D**) Schematic of a label that can be anchored to the gel at the site of a biomolecule. (**E**) Schematic of microtubules (green) and polymer network (orange). (**F**) The label of (D), hybridized to the oligo-bearing secondary antibody top (top gray shape) bound via the primary (bottom gray shape) to microtubules (purple), is incorporated into the gel (orange lines) via the methacryloyl group (orange dot) and remains after proteolysis (dotted lines). Scale bars, (B) and (C) 5 mm. Schematics are not to scale. Modified from F.Chen et al.  $(2015)^{12}$ . Reproduced with permission.

After the very first concept of ExM was introduced, many different versions and

improved versions of ExM were reported by many other groups. For example,

expansion fluorescence in situ hybridization (ExFISH)<sup>18</sup> enables to visualize both RNA

and proteins in nanoscale resolution, expansion sequencing (ExSEQ)<sup>19</sup> allows both

long-read targeted and untargeted in situ RNA sequencing. ExM have also been successfully expanded in various ranges of different samples including E.Coli<sup>20</sup>, cultured cells<sup>12,21</sup>, mice cortex<sup>13</sup>, hippocampus and many other brain regions<sup>13,21</sup>, as well as drosophila, zebrafish and C.elegans. ExM with other super-resolution imaging technology has also been established such as expansion lattice lightsheet microscopy (ExLLSM)<sup>20</sup> which enables even higher resolution.

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>*Iterative Expansion Microscopy*

Iterative Expansion Microscopy (iExM) is a technology that enables a second round of expansion after the first expansion by ExM, resulting in a  $\sim$ 20x ( $\sim$ 4.5 x  $\sim$ 4.5) linear expansion of original state. Unlike ExM, iExM uses a chemoselective cleavable crosslinker to construct a first swellable polymer network inside of biological specimens, such as N,N'-cystaminebisacrylamide (BAC), N,N′-(1,2-Dihydroxyethylene) bisacrylamide (DHEBA) and (+)-N,N′-Diallyltartramide (DATD). After the enzymatic digestion and adding water to expand the sample, the sample is re-embedded with a similar polymer network that was used to formulate the first polymer network in order to maintain expanded state. Once the sample is re-embedded, a second swellable polymer network is constructed inside of the sample by using the crosslinker that has not been used for the first crosslinker. The first and second crosslinker has to be chosen in terms of cleaviabilty. For example, if one used DATD crosslinker to formulate the first polymer network, the second polymer network has to be made with N,N'-methylenebis

(acrylamide) (BIS). Then, the biomolecules of interest in the first polymer network transfers to the second polymer network by using chemical modifiers and linkers. Once the information is transferred, the first polymer network is cleaved by appropriate chemical solution. Here, since the cleavability of the first and second crosslinker is orthogonal to each other, the structural integrity of the second polymer network cannot be disturbed by a cleavage solution. For example, if one used DATD crosslinker to formulate the first polymer network, it can be cleaved by 0.30mM sodium metaperiodate in 0.1M acetate buffer (ph 5.5). Finally, we add water to expand the sample, resulting the 20x linear expansion. The final effective resolution is  $\sim$ 25 nanometer which is slightly lower than expected resolution of 15 nanometer, due to the size of labels (ie. antibodies) $14$ .



**Figure 2**. Concept of iterative expansion microscopy. (a–e) Schematic of iterative expansion. (b) First, a swellable polyelectrolyte gel network containing a cleavable crosslinker is formed throughout a specimen, then (c) it is mechanically homogenized and expanded. (d) After expansion, a second swellable polyelectrolyte gel network is formed throughout the first, and then (e) it is expanded after dissolving the first gel. (f–j) Molecular view of the iExM process. (f) Biomolecules of interest (gray circles) are first labeled with a primary antibody (shown also in gray) followed by a secondary antibody conjugated to a DNA (purple, sequence A′) molecule, then a complementary DNA (green, sequence A) bearing a gel-anchoring moiety (acrydite, black dot), as in our original ExM procedure[1](https://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.4261#ref-CR1). (g) The sample (two example biomolecules are labeled ″1″ and ″2, to be followed throughout subsequent diagram panels) is embedded in a cleavable swellable polyelectrolyte gel (blue mesh). This gel incorporates the DNA of sequence A at the gel-anchoring site, and it is expanded. (h) A DNA oligo with the original A′ sequence (purple strand) bearing a fluorophore (yellow star) and a new gel-anchoring moiety (acrydite, black dot) is hybridized to the anchored A-sequence DNA (green). (i) A second swellable gel (orange mesh) is formed that incorporates the final fluorophore-bearing DNA oligo (sequence A′, purple). (j) The gel expands the labels away from each other after digesting the first and re-embedding gel through crosslinker cleavage. Modified from Chang et al.  $(2017)^{14}$ . Reproduced with permission.

## <span id="page-15-0"></span>**Membrane Expansion Microscopy (mExM)**

Traditional membrane labeling probes, such as DiI, DiO and DiD, have been widely adopted to study neuronal pathways in both live and fixed tissues. Such labels contain long hydrophobic chains and fluorophores, which tends to diffuse within membranes, allowing fine labeling of neuronal projections $22,23$ . Recent developments in membrane labeling probes such as  $mCLING<sup>24</sup>$  contain hydrophilic moieties to allow them to even more freely diffuse throughout the tissues<sup>24</sup>. Aforementioned probes, however, cannot be directly applied to the current ExM scheme due to following reasons: (1) attached fluorophores degrade when the sample goes through free-radical polymerization during the ExM procedure, (2) those probes does not have enough chemical handels to perform iExM to achieve even higher resolution. To overcome issues with existing membrane probes with the current ExM scheme, we designed a new membrane probe by considering following criterion: (1) optimal amphiphilicity to maximize the lipid membrane intercalation and diffusion throughout the tissue, (2) a chemical handles for fluorophores conjugation after gelation, so that the fluorophores won't be degraded during the free radical polymerization and to minimize the molecular weight to support the diffusion throughout the tissue, (3) a multiple of gel anchorable sites for binding probe to the swellable polymer network inside of the sample to expand multiple times.

Our membrane-intercalating probe consists of a chain of lysines. Primary amines that are contained in the side chains of lysine enable binding to a swellable polymer network by using acryloyl–X (AcX). Positively charged amines also support interactions with

negatively charged membranes<sup>25</sup>. We placed a lipid tail on the amine terminus of the lysine chain to achieve lipid membrane intercalation. We investigated two different types of lipid tails: (1) palmitoyl, and (2) farnesyl tails, and observed that the palmitoyl lipid tail provides denser labeled results than the ones with farnesyl lipid tails. We placed glycine between the lipid tail and the chains of lysines to provide mechanical flexibility<sup>26</sup>. To achieve fast diffusion and dense labeling, we limited the molecular weight of the label to be ~1kDa, resulting in the label to have 5 lysines in the backbone. Instead of L-lysines, we decided to use D-lysines as L-lysine could be degraded during the proteinase K digestion steps in the ExM procedure. We added biotin to the carboxy-terminus of the terminal lysine, as the biotin reacts with one of four binding sites of fluorescently labeled streptavidin, and remaining binding sites can be used for binding extra biotinylated fluorophores to boost the brightness. As a result, the final formulation of membrane probe for ExM is palmitoyl-G-KKKKK-biotin (pGk5b).

To establish better lipid retention in the context of ExM, we explored various conditions of chemical fixation, as 4% PFA fixation alone cannot fix most lipids<sup>27</sup>. We first explored using PFA with glutaraldehyde (GLUT) as it helps to fix lipids<sup>27</sup>. With a mice brain tissue slice, we observed that 4% PFA and 0.1% GLUT provides the best lipid retention in the context of ExM. High concentration of GLUT can potentially preserve most lipids, but this fixes the sample too strong so that the sample cannot be fully homogenized in the digestion steps in ExM, which leads to a low expansion factor (~2x expansion factor). We also explored a wide range of temperatures and observed significant lipid loss at high temperature. We thus decided to perform all procedures in 4<sup>°</sup>C for the tissue

processing since the lipids are more likely to be in ordered state in a low temperature, so the loss of lipids are minimized since their diffusivity is limited $28$ . As a result, we perfuse mice with 4% PFA and 0.1% GLUT solution in 4⁰C, slice the brain where the buffer tray is filled with ice-cold 1xPBS, fix the tissue slices with 4% PFA and 0.1% GLUT solution in  $4^{\circ}$ C. We then incubate the tissue with AcX solution at  $4^{\circ}$ C, and do pre-gelation steps with standard ExM monomer solution at 4⁰C. Next, we incubate the sample in a chamber at  $37^{\circ}$ C to polymerize the sample. Once the sample is fully polymerized, the samples are homogenized with enzymatic digestion buffer (proteinase-K), and we label the lipids with fluorescent streptavidin.



**Figure 3**. Membrane expansion microscopy (mExM) of fixed mice brain tissue. (a) mExM enables the labeling of membranes in thick pieces of mouse brain tissue. Here shown are six serial sections from a 3D image stack taken with a confocal spinning disk microscope. Axons can be identified by their high contrast due to the increased concentration of lipids in myelin (details shows with red arrows). (b) mExM processed tissue imaged with light-sheet microscopy. Scale bars represented in pre-expansion units: 10μm. Modified from Emmanouil D. Karagiannis et al. (2019)<sup>29</sup>

## <span id="page-18-0"></span>**mExM with Immunohistochemistry**

Standard immunostaining protocols start with permeabilization of the sample with detergents, such as Sapnion, Triton-X and Tween20. Those detergents allow the antibodies to penetrate throughout the cells in the tissues. However, detergents will also solubilize lipids, resulting in significant loss of lipids to label. To overcome this limitation, we fix the membrane probe by applying 0.1% PFA, so that the lipid probe remains in the original space during the detergent treatment. This method gave us a low expansion factor (x2.8). Alternatively, inspired by iExM, we constructed a cleavable non-expandable gel (similar to "re-embedding gel" in iExM<sup>14</sup>) inside of the tissue, and then anchor the membrane probe and proteins to the polymer network by using AcX. We then treat the sample with antibodies, create expandable gel inside of the sample and transfer the information to the expandable gel. After cleaving the first non-expandable gel and immersing the sample in the water to expand, we observed that the antibody signal was weak although lipid staining was preserved. We hypothesized that this is attributed to diffusion limitation of non-expandable gel.

Inspired by tissue proteomics protocols for formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded(FFPE) tissues<sup>30,31,32</sup>, we developed a novel post-expansion antibody staining protocol, meaning that we first label the membrane with pGk5b, anchor lipid probes as well as proteins to ExM gel, and mechanically homogenize the sample with high temperature. The difference between previous post-expansion antibody staining protocol for ExM and our

method is that we use a DTT and SDS in a Tris buffer (pH 8.0) instead of the antigen retrieval buffer. With the DTT and SDS buffer, we boil the sample for 30 minutes 100<sup>°</sup>C and for 2 hours at 80°C. Such protocol is similar to fixation reversal protocols in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues, we named the buffer as "fixation reversal" (FR) buffer.



**Figure 4.** mExM with immunohistochemistry to visualize protein of interest along with lipids. After fixation and labeling with 100μΜ pGk5b, mouse brain tissue is gelled and processed in the FR buffer (0.5% PEG20000, 100mM DTT, 4% SDS, in 100mM Tris pH8) by heating at 100<sup>°</sup>C for 30min, then 80<sup>°</sup>C for 2hr. After washing in PBS the gels are labeled with antibodies for (a) an endoplasmic reticulum surface protein (Calnexin),

(b) a Golgi apparatus marker (Giantin), (c) a mitochondrial membrane protein (Tom20), (d) a nuclear pore complex component (NUP98), and (e) myelin (Myelin Basic Protein). (i-ii) Individual signals for antibodies (green) and membranes (magenta) and (iii) the overlay of (i) and (ii). (iv-vi) Details from the regions of (iii) indicated by squares. (iv) Antibody signal (green), (v) membrane signal (magenta) and (vi) the overlay of (iv) and (v). (vii-ix) Spatial visualization in 3D of lipid and antibody co-labeling with mExM. The membrane label is in magenta and antibodies in green. (a) Calnexin stains for rough endoplasmic reticulum and co-localizes with the membrane signal. Calnexin also co-localizes with the nuclear membrane signal (yellow arrows in vi). (b) Giantin is expressed on the surface of the Golgi apparatus and also co-localizes with membrane signals. (c) Mitochondrial staining is prevalent throughout the tissue and the Tom20 antibody signal overlaps with membrane labels. Tom20 appears to cluster at the mitochondrial membrane (arrow in vi). (d) Nuclear pore complexes span throughout the nuclear membrane. (e) Myelin basic protein co-localizes with the membrane signal and exhibits dense labeling, corresponding to the amount of lipid in highly myelinated regions of axons. Scale bars represented in pre-expansion units: (i-iii) 10μm, (iv-vi) 1μm. (vii-ix) Scale bars represented in pre-expansion units: 5μm. Modified from Emmanouil D. Karagiannis et al. (2019)<sup>29</sup>

We performed antibody staining in the context of mExM (Fig. 4a, bottom row). We used

antibodies against specific organelles to visualize membrane-localized proteins. We

used calnexin, giantin, Tom20 and Nup98 for the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Fig. 4a),

Golgi apparatus (Fig. 4b), mitochondria (Fig. 4c), and nuclear membrane (Fig. 4d),

respectively. We also stained myelin with an antibody against myelin basic protein (Fig.

4e). As we can see on Figure 4, we were able to observe clear colocalization of

antibody signals and the lipid signals.

To quantify the colocalization of antibodies and the membrane label, we applied our membrane label to cells including HeLa cells and expanded the samples, which we virally expressed membrane intercalating GFP in mitochondria and Endoplasmic Reticulum. In all cases we achieve co-localization of above 90% (n=5 for HeLa cells,

n=5 for HeLa cells) between the membrane signal and the organelle surface expressed GFP.



**Figure 5.** Quantification of the colocalization of antibodies and the membrane label. (a) Colocalization result of GFP in mitochondria and the membrane label (n=5), (b) Colocalization result of GFP in Endoplasmic Reticulum and the membrane label (n=5)

## <span id="page-21-0"></span>**Iterative Membrane Expansion Microscopy (imExM)**



**Figure 6.** Concept of iterative membrane expansion microscopy. (a) label the membrane with pGk5b, (b) anchor the membrane probe to cleavable gel, (c) digestion and expansion: mechanically homogenize the sample and expand the sample with water, (d) Formulate 2nd gel: formulate the second gel after re-embedding the first gel, (e) cleave the first and re-embedding gel, then expand the sample with water

Iterative expansion microscopy technologies can be applied after performing mExM to achieve even higher effective resolution (~25nm). We first label the tissue with pGk5b, and anchor the probe to a first cleavable and expandable gel. Then mechanically homogenize the sample by either enzymatic digestion or FR buffer. Once the sample is expanded fully with water, we re-embedded the sample to hold the expanded state<sup>14</sup>. However, due to the free radical polymerization initiator ammonium persulfate in the re-embedding solution, which contains a small amount of salt, the re-embedded samples will evenly shrink down, resulting in the expanded samples to have 3.5-4x expansion factor. We then incubate the samples with AcX again, so that amines that were not used during the first round mExM are activated to have gel anchorable sites. Next, we create non-cleavable and expandable gel inside of the sample. We then cleave the first gel by using appropriate cleavage solution (ie. sodium metaperiodate for DATD crosslinker, NaOH for DHEBA). Once the cleavage step is complete, we label the lipids with fluorescent streptavidin. Finally, we immerse the sample into water, and the gel expands. The final effective resolution is about  $\sim$ 25 nanometer under a conventional confocal microscope.



**Figure 7.** Iterative membrane expansion microscopy (imExM) of fixed mice brain tissue.

Although imExM allows ~25 nanometer resolution, we aimed to achieve even a higher expansion factor, to reveal even finer structure of neuronal pathways. To achieve this, we expand the sample another round after the double expansion. We used DHEBA crosslinker for the first gel, DATD crosslinker for the second gel, and BIS crosslinker for the third gel. The final resolution was about ~15 nanometer, which is the theoretical resolution limit of iExM due to the size of the linkers (ie. antibodies)<sup>14</sup>. However, we observed a significant reduction of signals-to-noise ratio ( $\sim$  2-3 folds) compared to the double expansion. To amplify the signal, we applied signal amplification technology called Tyramide Signal Amplification  $(TSA)^{33}$ , and the signal was boosted upto 2 folds.

However, the signals-to-noise ratio was still suboptimal. One possible reason for this is that there might not be enough free amines left in the membrane probe, so that the membrane probe did not anchor to the third gel. Thus, we treat the sample with wild-type streptavidin after the double expansion, introducing more free amines that could be activated by AcX. This showed significant improvement in signal-to-noise ratio but the final effective resolution was ~20-23 nanometers due to the diameter of wild-step streptavidin and biotinylated floufloures. Alternatively, we modified the first monomer solution to achieve a higher expansion factor for the first round, which will ultimately increase the final effective resolution after double expansion. We found that doubling the concentration of sodium acrylate concentration and reducing half of molarity concentration of DATD crosslinker from the original monomer solution allowed us to have ~6x expansion for the first round. With these solutions, we were able to reach ~17-18 effective nanometer resolution after the second round of expansion.



**Figure 8.** mExM and imExM with 8x monomer solution. (a) Membrane expansion microscopy with 6x expansion gel, (b) Iterative membrane expansion microscopy with 6x gel for the first round and 4.5x after the second round.

## <span id="page-25-0"></span>**imExM with Immunohistochemistry**

Similar to mExM with immunohistochemistry, imExM is also compatible with immunohistochemistry to visualize proteins of interest along with lipids. Once we anchor the lipids and proteins to the swellable polymer gel, we mechanically homogenize the sample with FR buffer, just like mExM with immunohistochemistry. We then stain the protein of interest with primary and secondary antibodies. After staining we expand and form re-embedded gel to maintain the expanded state and then incubate the sample with AcX. We make a second swellable gel and transfer the information from the first gel to the second gel via free-radical polymerization. Now we cleave the gel with cleavage solution, and immerse the gel into the water for full expansion. This will make the sample expand ~18-20 times. Here, the fluorophores have to be chosen carefully, as it degrades during the free-radical polymerizations<sup>13</sup>. We have screened through commercially available fluorophores and found that Cy3, Cy 5.5, Atto 565, Atto 561, Atto 488, Alexa Flour 488 and Alexa Flour 546 are robust during the polymerization steps in ExM procedure. As shown in Figure 9, we successfully identified NUP98, VAMP2, PSD 95 along with visualizing lipids. Although more efforts must be needed to further optimize the final outcome (in terms of signal-to-noise ratio, and non-specific binding of antibodies), the current result clearly shows that the imExM is the tool to visualize ultrastructure of cells along with protein of interest at ~20 nanometer resolution.



**Figure 9.** imExM with immunohistochemistry. The first column of a-c shows lipids (magenta), the second column shows proteins of interest, and the third column shows merged images of lipids and antibodies. (a) is for Nup98 with lipids, (b) is PSD 95 with lipids and (c) is Vamp2 with lipids.

## <span id="page-27-0"></span>**Conclusion**

This thesis presents a new membrane intercalating probe that enables the imaging of cellular membranes in the context of a lipid-optimized form of expansion microscopy. A post-expansion antibody labeling method was developed in order to allow the co-visualizing proteins of interest and membranes in ExM. In addition, an iterative form of mExM which enables resolutions of ~20 nm was proposed. The technology allows observation of structures of lipid membrane and their associated proteins at nanoscale resolution using conventional confocal microscopes.

## <span id="page-28-0"></span>**Methods/Materials**

#### *Mouse tissue slice preparation*

Isoflurane in oxygen was used to anesthetize mice. Perfusion was performed with 1x PBS at 4<sup>°</sup>C to clear the blood, then we perfused with 20mL of ice-cold fixative solution (4% PFA, 0.1% GLUT in 1xPBS). After the perfusion, the brain is extracted and stored in the same ice-cold fixative for 12 hours. Finally, the brain is sliced by using a vibratom (Leica VT1000s) to obtain 100 µm. The slices are stored at 4⁰C with 1xPBS.

#### *Lipid Label Synthesis and Lipid Solution*

The lipid tag (pGk5b) were synthesized by a private company (Anaspec). The tag is lyophilized to solid powder (purity of the tag is above  $95\%$ ), and it is stored in -20 $\degree$ C until use. We dissolve the tag into 50% DMSO and 50% ultrapure water to obtain a 10mM stock solution for mExM and imExM.

#### *Membrane Expansion Microscopy (MxM)*

#### Step1: Lipid labelling and anchoring

- 1. The fixed tissue slices are first treated with the lipid solution for overnight at  $4^{\circ}$ C.
- 2. Extract the tissue from the lipid solution, and incubate the tissue in the AcX solution (10 mg/ml AcX/DMSO stock solution, 1:100 in PBS).

#### Step2: Pre-gelation and gelation

3. Incubate the tissue in the monomer solution (1x PBS, 2.5% (w/w) acrylamide, 7.5% (w/w) sodium acrylate, 0.2% (w/v) tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED), 0.01% (w/w) 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl (H-TEMPO), 0.05% (w/w) ammonium persulfate (APS), 2M NaCl, and 0.5% (w/w)  $(+)$ -N,N'-Diallyltartramide (DATD)) for 30 minutes at 4 $°C$ .

- 4. With fresh new monomer solution, place the tissue on the coverglass, and place it between #1 coverglass.
- 5. Incubate in the chamber at  $37^{\circ}$ C for two hours.

## Step3: Digestion

- 6. Use a razor blade to trim the gel, and use a paintbrush to gently extract the tissue from the coverglass.
- 7. Make a digestion solution by diluting proteinase K of 8 units/mL 1:100 in digestion buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% Triton-X100, 1 M NaCl stock solution)
- 8. Immerse the gelled tissue into the digestion solution for overnight at room temperature with gentle shaking.

### Step4: Lipid staining

- 9. Once the digestion is complete, wash the sample with 1xPBS for four times (30 minutes each) at room temperature.
- 10.Label the lipids with fluorescent streptavidin (1:100 in 1xPBS)

### Step5: Expansion

11.Wash the sample with 1xPBS for four times (30 minutes each) at room temperature.

12.Immerse the sample into water for four times (30 minutes each) at room temperature.

## *MxM with Immunohistochemistry*

Step1: Lipid labelling and anchoring

- 1. The fixed tissue slices are first treated with the lipid solution for overnight at  $4^{\circ}$ C.
- 2. Extract the tissue from the lipid solution, and incubate the tissue in the AcX solution (10 mg/ml AcX/DMSO stock solution, 1:100 in PBS).

## Step2: Pre-gelation and gelation

- 3. Incubate the tissue in the monomer solution (1x PBS, 2.5% (w/w) acrylamide, 7.5% (w/w) sodium acrylate, 0.2% (w/v) tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED), 0.01% (w/w) 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl (H-TEMPO), 0.05% (w/w) ammonium persulfate (APS), 2M NaCl, and 0.5% (w/w)  $(+)$ -N,N'-Diallyltartramide (DATD)) for 30 minutes at 4 $°C$ .
- 4. With fresh new monomer solution, place the tissue on the coverglass, and place it between #1 coverglass.
- 5. Incubate in the chamber at  $37^{\circ}$ C for two hours.

Step3: Digestion using FR buffer

- 6. Use a razor blade to trim the gel, and use a paintbrush to gently extract the tissue from the coverglass.
- 7. Make a FR digestion solution by diluting proteinase K of 8 units/mL 1:100 in FR digestion buffer ( 0.5% PEG20000, 100mM DTT, 4% SDS, in 100mM Tris pH8)
- 8. Incubate the tissue in the FR digestion solution and boil it 30 minutes at 100 $^{\circ}$ C and then held for 2 hours at  $80^{\circ}$ C
- Step4: Antibody staining
	- 9. Once the digestion is complete, wash the sample with 1xPBS for four times (30 minutes each) at room temperature.
	- 10.Block the sample with MAX block Blocking Medium (Active Motif, catalog no. 15252) for at least 4 hours at room temperature.
	- 11.Stain the protein of interest with primary antibody (concentration of 10 μg/mL in blocking buffer) overnight at 4 °C.
	- 12.Then, wash the sample with MAX wash Washing Medium (Active Motif, catalog no. 15254) at room temperature for 4 times (30 minutes each)
	- 13.Incubate the sample in the secondary antibody solution(concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/mL in the blocking buffer) for 12 hours at 4 $°C$ .
	- 14.Wash the sample with MAX wash Washing Medium (Active Motif, catalog no. 15254) at room temperature for 4 times (30 minutes each)

### Step5: Lipid staining

15.Label the lipids with fluorescent streptavidin (1:100 in 1xPBS)

#### Step5: Expansion

16.Wash the sample with 1xPBS for four times (30 minutes each) at room temperature.

### *Confocal and Light-Sheet Imaging*

Andor spinning disk (CSU-W1 Tokogawa) confocal system on a Nikon Eclipse Ti-E inverted microscope body with 40x 1.15 NA water-immersion lens was used to take all of mExM and imExM images.

### *Expansion Factor measurement*

The expansion factor and isotropicity of mExM and imExM was calculated by using the deformation vector fields with images from pre- and post-expanded samples.<sup>11,12,13</sup>

## <span id="page-32-0"></span>**Contribution**

The membrane expansion microscopy and iterative membrane expansion microscopy project has been carried out with other members from the Synthetic Neurobiology lab. My specific contributions are 1) research design and experiment execution, including mice tissue preparation, membrane expansion microscopy and iterative expansion microscopy, 3) microscopy imaging, and 4) computational analysis, including image processing and statistical analysis.

## <span id="page-33-0"></span>**List of figures**



## <span id="page-34-0"></span>**Bibliography**

- 1. Allen G, Barnard H, McColl R, et al. Reduced hippocampal functional connectivity in Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol*. 2007;64(10):1482-1487. doi:10.1001/archneur.64.10.1482
- 2. Wang L, Zang Y, He Y, et al. Changes in hippocampal connectivity in the early stages of Alzheimer's disease: evidence from resting state fMRI. *Neuroimage*. 2006;31(2):496-504. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.12.033
- 3. Wei Q, Bai T, Chen Y, et al. The Changes of Functional Connectivity Strength in Electroconvulsive Therapy for Depression: A Longitudinal Study. *Front Neurosci*. 2018;12:661. Published 2018 Sep 25. doi:10.3389/fnins.2018.00661
- 4. Marblestone AH, Boyden ES. Designing tools for assumption-proof brain mapping. *Neuron*. 2014;83(6):1239-1241. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.09.004
- 5. Morgan JL, Lichtman JW. Why not connectomics?. *Nat Methods*. 2013;10(6):494-500. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2480
- 6. Emmons SW. The beginning of connectomics: a commentary on White et al. (1986) 'The structure of the nervous system of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans'. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2015;370(1666):20140309. doi:10.1098/rstb.2014.0309
- 7. Engert F. The big data problem: turning maps into knowledge. *Neuron*. 2014;83(6):1246-1248. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.09.008
- 8. Laughlin RB. Physics, emergence, and the connectome. *Neuron*. 2014;83(6):1253-1255. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.08.006
- 9. Huang B, Babcock H, Zhuang X. Breaking the diffraction barrier: super-resolution imaging of cells. *Cell*. 2010;143(7):1047-1058. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.12.002
- 10.Galbraith CG, Galbraith JA. Super-resolution microscopy at a glance. *J Cell Sci*. 2011;124(Pt 10):1607-1611. doi:10.1242/jcs.080085
- 11.Januszewski M, Kornfeld J, Li PH, et al. High-precision automated reconstruction of neurons with flood-filling networks. *Nat Methods*. 2018;15(8):605-610. doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0049-4
- 12.Chen F, Tillberg PW, Boyden ES. Optical imaging. Expansion microscopy. *Science*. 2015;347(6221):543-548. doi:10.1126/science.1260088
- 13.Tillberg PW, Chen F, Piatkevich KD, et al. Protein-retention expansion microscopy of cells and tissues labeled using standard fluorescent proteins and antibodies. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2016;34(9):987-992. doi:10.1038/nbt.3625
- 14.Chang JB, Chen F, Yoon YG, et al. Iterative expansion microscopy. *Nat Methods*. 2017;14(6):593-599. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4261
- 15.Harris KM, Perry E, Bourne J, Feinberg M, Ostroff L, Hurlburt J. Uniform serial sectioning for transmission electron microscopy. *J Neurosci*. 2006;26(47):12101-12103. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3994-06.2006
- 16.Schermelleh L, Heintzmann R, Leonhardt H. A guide to super-resolution fluorescence microscopy. *J Cell Biol*. 2010;190(2):165-175. doi:10.1083/jcb.201002018
- 17.Yoon YG, Dai P, Wohlwend J, Chang JB, Marblestone AH, Boyden ES. Feasibility of 3D Reconstruction of Neural Morphology Using Expansion Microscopy and Barcode-Guided Agglomeration. *Front Comput Neurosci*. 2017;11:97. Published 2017 Oct 24. doi:10.3389/fncom.2017.00097
- 18.Chen F, Wassie AT, Cote AJ, et al. Nanoscale imaging of RNA with expansion microscopy. *Nat Methods*. 2016;13(8):679-684. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3899
- 19.Shahar Alon, Daniel R Goodwin et al. Expansion Sequencing: Spatially Precise In Situ Transcriptomics in Intact Biological Systems. bioRxiv 094268; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.13.094268
- 20.Zhang YS, Chang JB, Alvarez MM, et al. Hybrid Microscopy: Enabling Inexpensive High-Performance Imaging through Combined Physical and Optical Magnifications. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:22691. Published 2016 Mar 15. doi:10.1038/srep22691
- 21.Gao R, Asano SM, Upadhyayula S, et al. Cortical column and whole-brain imaging with molecular contrast and nanoscale resolution. *Science*. 2019;363(6424):eaau8302. doi:10.1126/science.aau8302
- 22.Heilingoetter CL, Jensen MB. Histological methods for ex vivo axon tracing: A systematic review. *Neurol Res*. 2016;38(7):561-569. doi:10.1080/01616412.2016.1153820
- 23.Honig MG, Hume RI. Dil and diO: versatile fluorescent dyes for neuronal labelling and pathway tracing. *Trends Neurosci*. 1989;12(9):333-341.
- 24.Revelo NH, Kamin D, Truckenbrodt S, et al. A new probe for super-resolution imaging of membranes elucidates trafficking pathways. *J Cell Biol*. 2014;205(4):591-606. doi:10.1083/jcb.201402066
- 25.Sarrazin S, Lamanna WC, Esko JD. Heparan sulfate proteoglycans. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*. 2011;3(7):a004952. Published 2011 Jul 1. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a004952
- 26.Yan BX, Sun YQ. Glycine residues provide flexibility for enzyme active sites. *J Biol Chem*. 1997;272(6):3190-3194. doi:10.1074/jbc.272.6.3190
- 27.Tanaka KA, Suzuki KG, Shirai YM, et al. Membrane molecules mobile even after chemical fixation. *Nat Methods*. 2010;7(11):865-866. doi:10.1038/nmeth.f.314
- 28.Mabrey S, Sturtevant JM. Investigation of phase transitions of lipids and lipid mixtures by sensitivity differential scanning calorimetry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1976;73(11):3862-3866. doi:10.1073/pnas.73.11.3862
- 29.Emmanouil D. Karagiannis et al. Expansion Microscopy of Lipid Membranes. bioRxiv 829903; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/829903
- 30.Tanca A, Pagnozzi D, Burrai GP, et al. Comparability of differential proteomics data generated from paired archival fresh-frozen and formalin-fixed samples by GeLC-MS/MS and spectral counting. *J Proteomics*. 2012;77:561-576. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2012.09.033
- 31.Shen K, Sun J, Cao X, Zhou D, Li J. Comparison of Different Buffers for Protein Extraction from Formalin-Fixed and Paraffin-Embedded Tissue Specimens. *PLoS*

*One*. 2015;10(11):e0142650. Published 2015 Nov 18. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142650

- 32.Tanca A, Abbondio M, Pisanu S, Pagnozzi D, Uzzau S, Addis MF. Critical comparison of sample preparation strategies for shotgun proteomic analysis of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded samples: insights from liver tissue. *Clin Proteomics*. 2014;11(1):28. Published 2014 Jul 8. doi:10.1186/1559-0275-11-28
- 33.Stack EC, Wang C, Roman KA, Hoyt CC. Multiplexed immunohistochemistry, imaging, and quantitation: A review, with an assessment of Tyramide signal amplification, multispectral imaging and multiplex analysis. *Methods*. 2014;70(1):46-58. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2014.08.016