Normalization of mechanical power to anthropometric indices: impact on its association with mortality in critically ill patients

Cite this article as: Ary Serpa Neto, Rodrigo Octavio Deliberato, Alistair EW Johnson, Tom J. Pollard, Leo A. Celi, Paolo Pelosi, Marcelo Gama de Abreu, Marcus J. Schultz<InstitutionalAuthor><InstitutionalAuthorName>For the PROVE Network Investigators</InstitutionalAuthorName></InstitutionalAuthor>, Normalization of mechanical power to anthropometric indices: impact on its association with mortality in critically ill patients, Intensive Care Medicine https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05794-9

This Author Accepted Manuscript is a PDF file of an unedited peer-reviewed manuscript that has been accepted for publication but has not been copyedited or corrected. The official version of record that is published in the journal is kept up to date and so may therefore differ from this version.

Terms of use and reuse: academic research for non-commercial purposes, see here for full terms. https://www.springer.com/aam-terms-v1

urpse hithot

Revised version of manuscript ICME-D-19-01068

NORMALIZATION OF MECHANICAL POWER TO ANTHROPOMETRIC INDICES – IMPACT ON ITS ASSOCIATION WITH MORTALITY IN CRITICALLY ILL PATIENTS

Ary Serpa Neto MD MSc PhD,^{1,2} Rodrigo Octavio Deliberato MD MSc PhD,² Alistair EW Johnson,³ Tom J Pollard,³ Leo A Celi MD PhD,^{3,4} Paolo Pelosi MD FERS,^{5,6} Marcelo Gama de Abreu MD PhD,⁷ and Marcus J Schultz MD PhD;^{1,8,9} for the PROVE Network investigators*

Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

¹Department of Intensive Care & Laboratory of Experimental Intensive Care and Anesthesiology (L·E·I·C·A)

> Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil ²Deptartment of Critical Care Medicine

Institute for Medical Engineering & Science, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA ³Laboratory for Computational Physiology

Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA ⁴Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine

⁵IRCCS San Martino Policlinico Hospital, Genoa, Italy

University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy ⁶Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics (DISC)

University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technical University Dresden, Dresden, Germany

⁷Pulmonary Engineering Group, Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine

Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

⁸Mahidol Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit (MORU), Faculty of Tropical Medicine

University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁹Nuffield Department of Medicine

*PROVE Network, <u>http://www.provenet.eu</u>

Word count: 495 words Insert count: 1 figure Supplementary files: 1 Supplement

Correspondence:

Ary Serpa Neto, MD MSc PhD Department of Critical Care Medicine Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein Albert Einstein Avenue 700 São Paulo Brazil Email: ary.neto2@einstein.br

Authoraccepted manuscript

Dear Editor,

Recently, we showed how mechanical power (MP) was associated with patient– centered outcomes in two large prospective cohorts of critically ill patients under invasive ventilation [1]. Normalization of MP, e.g., to the predicted body weight (PBW), has been put forward [2]. One recent paper showed MP normalized to PBW to be a better predictor of mortality than the absolute MP, at least in ARDS patients [3]. We here report the results of a posthoc analysis of the datasets used in our abovementioned publication [1]. We tested the hypothesis that MP normalized to three common anthropometric indexes, i.e., PBW, body mass index (BMI), and body surface area (BSA) are better predictors of in–hospital mortality than absolute MP in critically ill patients under invasive ventilation.

A detailed description of the methods and models used for the analyses is found in the **eMethods** in the **Supplement**. The two cohorts were merged, and patients were stratified for presence or absence of ARDS at start of ventilation. Multiple imputation was used to impute missing data. Mixed–effect multivariable regression with center as random effect was used for all outcome measures; models were calculated after standardization of continuous variables, and estimates were calculated in relation of one standard deviation increase of predictor to facilitate the comparison.

The two cohorts included a total of 8,191 analyzable patients under invasive ventilation (**eTable 3**). The **Figure** shows the adjusted odds ratios (OR) for in–hospital mortality. Absolute MP, MP_{PBW}, MP_{BMI} and MP_{BSA} were all three associated with in–hospital mortality; the strongest association was found with MP_{BMI} and MP_{BSA}. Similar patterns were found for other outcomes, as shown in the **eFigures 6** to **10** in the **Supplement**. There was no significant interaction

between the effect of MP, absolute or normalized, on primary and secondary outcomes and the presence of ARDS, meaning that presence of ARDS did not affect the associations found for the whole cohort. Sensitivity analyses, as described in **eMethods** yielded similar findings.

The findings of this posthoc analyses add to the first report on normalization of MP [3]. The finding MP_{BMI} had a stronger association with in-hospital mortality may be surprising [4], since *absolute* lung size will not increase with an increase in body fat. However, higher BMI may increase the risk of atelectasis during ventilation, and such decreasing *functional* lung size [5]. Thus, normalizing MP to BMI may better reflect MP delivered per unit of ventilated lung tissue, i.e., the 'intensity of ventilation' [2] – for the same amount of MP, risk of ventilation–induced lung injury increases if the amount of *aerated* lung is lower, or when there is more ventilation heterogeneity. Since there was no significant interaction, the results are consistent across the subgroups, and the overall effect estimate could be seen as the most appropriate estimate of treatment effects within the subgroups. Lack of statistical significance in ARDS patients was probably a result of the lack of power in this subgroup.

This analysis has some limitations. First, it's *posthoc* nature should be considered when interpreting the findings. Residual confounding may also mar the findings, although we attempted to account for this by using several adjustments and models. Since the datasets used in this study are for clinical purposes and the present analysis is a secondary analysis of these data, we cannot guarantee that plateau pressure was collected under standard conditions, i.e., in the absence of spontaneous breathing efforts, at an adequate level of sedation, and with a sufficiently long end-inspiratory pause. Finally,

while several anthropometric indices could be associated with outcomes in patients under invasive ventilation, the idea of the present analysis was to look for the effects of normalizing MP, and not adjusting, for commonly used indices.

If the current findings are confirmed by other investigations, we advise investigators not only to report the absolute MP, but also MP normalized to anthropometric indices like PBW, BMI or BSA.

Author accepted manuscript

LEGEND TO FIGURE

Figure – Associations between non–normalized mechanical power (MP), MP normalized to PBW, and MP normalized to BMI, and in–hospital mortality in critically ill patients under invasive ventilation, and in patients stratified for the presence or absence of ARDS at start of invasive ventilation.

Abbreviations: MP, mechanical power; PBW, predicted body weight; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval.

Odds ratios were adjusted by relevant covariates known to predict outcome, including age, scaled prognostic score (SAPS II or APACHE IV score), Oxford acute severity illness score (OASIS), Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score on day 1, arterial pH, PaO₂/FiO₂, mean arterial pressure, SpO₂, temperature, and arterial PaCO₂. *, *p* for interaction between MP and presence of ARDS at start of invasive ventilation (absence of interaction infers that the effect is consistent with the overall effect independent of presence of ARDS).

REFERENCES

1. Serpa Neto A, Deliberato RO, Johnson AEW, Bos LD, Amorim P, Pereira SM, Cazati DC, Cordioli RL, Correa TD, Pollard TJ, Schettino GPP, Timenetsky KT, Celi LA, Pelosi P, Gama de Abreu M, Schultz MJ; PROVE Network Investigators (2018) Mechanical power of ventilation is associated with mortality in critically ill patients: an analysis of patients in two observational cohorts. Intensive Care Med 44:1914-22.

2. Tonetti T, Vasques F, Rapetti F, Maiolo G, Collino F, Romitti F, Camporota L, Cressoni M, Cadringher P, Quintel M, Gattinoni L (2017) Driving pressure and mechanical power: new targets for VILI prevention. Ann Transl Med 5:286.

3. Zhang Z, Zheng B, Liu N, Ge H, Hong Y (2019) Mechanical power normalized to predicted body weight as a predictor of mortality in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Intensive Care Med 45:856-64.

4. De Jong A, Cossic J, Verzilli D, Monet C, Carr J, Conseil M, Monnin M, Cisse M, Belafia F, Molinari N, Chanques G, Jaber S (2018) Impact of the driving pressure on mortality in obese and non-obese ARDS patients: a retrospective study of 362 cases. Intensive Care Med 44:1106-14.

5. Mattingley JS, Holets SR, Oeckler RA, Stroetz RW, Buck CF, Hubmayr RD (2011) Sizing the lung of mechanically ventilated patients. Crit Care 15:R6.

Measurements	Survivors Non-Survivors			Odds Ratio (95% CI) p value p for Interaction*		
All Patients (n = 8191)						
Absolute MP (J/min)	20.0 ± 8.6	20.7 ± 9.1	H	1.10 (1.03 - 1.16)	0.002	0.765
MP PBW (J/min/kg PBW)	0.31 ± 0.13	0.33 ± 0.14	H	1.07 (1.01 - 1.14)	0.022	0.820
MP BMI (J/min/kg/m2)	0.69 ± 0.33	0.73 ± 0.36	H	1.13 (1.06 - 1.21)	< 0.001	0.849
MP BSA (J/min/m2)	10.1 ± 4.1	10.7 ± 4.4	$\vdash \blacksquare \dashv$	1.12 (1.06 - 1.20)	< 0.001	0.897
Patients without ARDS (n = 73	23)					
Absolute MP (J/min)	19.7 ± 8.5	20.3 ± 9.0	⊢∎ →	1.09 (1.02 - 1.16)	0.009	
MP PBW (J/min/kg PBW)	0.31 ± 0.13	0.32 ± 0.14	⊢∎⊣	1.06 (0.99 - 1.13)	0.050	
MP BMI (J/min/kg/m2)	0.68 ± 0.32	0.72 ± 0.35		1.13 (1.05 - 1.20)	< 0.001	
MP BSA (J/min/m2)	10.0 ± 4.0	10.5 ± 4.3	H H H	1.11 (1.04 - 1.19)	0.001	
Patients with ARDS (n = 868)						
Absolute MP (J/min)	22.6 ± 9.0	23.4 ± 9.5	—	1.08 (0.91 - 1.29)	0.360	
MP PBW (J/min/kg PBW)	0.36 ± 0.15	0.37 ± 0.15	—	1.03 (0.86 - 1.24)	0.743	
MP BMI (J/min/kg/m2)	0.78 ± 0.35	0.82 ± 0.38		1.08 (0.91 - 1.28)	0.347	
MP BSA (J/min/m2)	11.6 ± 4.4	12.1 ± 4.8	·	1.07 (0.90 - 1.28)	0.403	

