

## A counterexample to the Bollobás-Riordan conjectures on sparse graph limits

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

As Published	10.1017/S0963548321000031
Publisher	Cambridge University Press (CUP)
Version	Original manuscript
Citable link	https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/134018
Terms of Use	Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike
Detailed Terms	http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/



## A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO THE BOLLOBÁS-RIORDAN CONJECTURES ON SPARSE GRAPH LIMITS

ASHWIN SAH, MEHTAAB SAWHNEY, JONATHAN TIDOR, AND YUFEI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Bollobás and Riordan, in their paper "Metrics for sparse graphs," proposed a number of provocative conjectures extending central results of quasirandom graphs and graph limits to sparse graphs. We refute these conjectures by exhibiting a sequence of graphs with convergent normalized subgraph densities (and pseudorandom  $C_4$ -counts), but with no limit expressible as a kernel.

Pseudorandom and quasirandom graphs, whose studies were initiated by Thomason [13, 14] and Chung, Graham, and Wilson [5], play central roles in graph theory. A particularly nice consequence is that that many notions of quasirandomness are in fact equivalent for dense graph sequences. The theory of graph limits [11], developed by Lovász and collaborators, further generalizes these concepts. Some of the central results in these developments are summarized below. Here we are considering a sequence of graphs  $G_n$ . We write |G| and  $e_G$  respectively for the number of vertices and edges of G, and  $t(F, G) = \text{hom}(F, G)|G|^{-|F|}$  for the homomorphism density of F in G.

- (1)  $C_4$  counts control quasirandomness [5]. If  $t(K_2, G_n) \to p$  and  $t(C_4, G_n) \to p^4$  for some constant p, then  $t(F, G_n) \to p^{|F|}$  for all graphs F, and furthermore  $G_n$  converges to p in the cut norm (i.e., satisfies the discrepancy condition).
- (2) Existence of graph limits [5]. If  $t(F, G_n)$  converges as  $n \to \infty$  for every F, then there exists a graphon  $W: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  such that  $t(F, G_n) \to t(F, W)$ .
- (3) Equivalence of convergence [2].  $t(F, G_n)$  converges as  $n \to \infty$  for every F if and only if  $G_n$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to the cut metric.

Implications concerning subgraph densities often fail for naive generalizations to sparse graphs. Here we call a sequence of graphs  $G_n$  sparse if  $e_{G_n}/|G_n|^2 \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . We normalize all the quantities considered according to the decaying edge-density.

There is much interest in extending the above ideas to sparse graphs. The first such systematic studies was undertaken by Bollobás and Riordan [1]. They considered natural notions of convergence and metrics for sparse graphs, and gave many interesting results, examples, as well as a long list of provocative conjectures. A recurring theme in their paper, as well as in other works in this area, is that one quickly runs into difficulties as soon as subgraph counts are involved. The lack of a general purpose "counting lemma" in sparse graphs appears to be a fundamental difficulty. This issue lies at the heart of the sparse regularity method of Conlon–Fox–Zhao [6, 7, 8], which developed novel counting lemmas in sparse graphs and hypergraphs under additional pseudorandomnesses hypotheses, which built on and simplified the Green–Tao theorem on arithmetic progressions in the primes [9]. Some of the subsequent extensions of the Bollobás–Riordan sparse graph limit theory, in particular the  $L^p$  theory of sparse graph limits [3, 4], largely avoids the issues of subgraph counts in favor of other metrics.

Given real p > 0 and graphs F and G, we define the normalized F-density in G to be

$$t_p(F,G) = \frac{\text{hom}(F,G)}{p^{e_F}|G|^{|F|}}.$$

Tidor was supported by NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program DGE-1122374. Zhao was supported by NSF Award DMS-1764176, the MIT Solomon Buchsbaum Fund, and a Sloan Research Fellowship.

Here we will primarily be concerned with N-vertex graphs with edge density  $p = N^{-o(1)}$ , so that there is only a lower order difference between homomorphism counts and subgraph counts (after accounting for automorphisms of H). The normalization in  $t_p(F,G)$  is chosen so that for a sequence of random graphs  $G_n = G(n,p)$ , one has  $t_p(F,G_n) \to 1$  for all F almost surely.

A kernel is a symmetric measurable function  $W: [0,1]^2 \to [0,\infty)$ , where symmetric means that W(x,y) = W(y,x). (The word graphon is often used in the literature for kernels with [0,1]-values.) We say that a kernel is bounded if there is some real C so that  $0 \le W \le C$  holds pointwise. Given a graph H, we define the H-density of a kernel W to be

$$t(H, W) = \int_{[0,1]^{V(H)}} \prod_{uv \in E(H)} W(x_u, x_v) \prod_{v \in V(H)} dx_v.$$

Bollobás and Riordan [1] proposed the following conjectures. Throughout, let  $G_n$  be a sequence of graphs with edge-density  $p_n = 2e_{G_n}/|G_n|^2$  satisfying  $p_n = |G_n|^{-o(1)}$ . For a graph F, write

$$c_F = \lim_{n \to \infty} t_{p_n}(F, G_n).$$

- [1, Conjecture 3.4] If  $c_F$  exists and is finite for all graphs F, then there is some kernel W such that  $t(F, W) = c_F$  for all graphs F.
- [1, Conjecture 3.3] If  $c_F$  exists for all graphs F and  $\sup_F c_F^{1/e_F} < \infty$ , then there is a bounded kernel W such that  $t(F, W) = c_F$  for all graphs F.
- [1, Conjecture 3.21] If  $c_F$  exists and is finite for all graphs F and  $c_{C_4} = 1$ , then  $c_{K_3} = 1$ .
- [1, Conjecture 3.9] If  $c_F$  exists and is finite for all graphs F and  $c_{C_4} = 1$ , then  $c_F = 1$  for all graphs F.

There are additional conjectures in [1] that we do not state here precisely since they require additional definitions. In particular, Conjecture 3.22 concerns graphs of sparser densities and would imply Conjecture 3.21. Conjecture 5.5 would imply Conjecture 3.3. Conjectures 5.6 and 5.7 propose equivalences between convergence of subgraph densities and convergence in cut metric, and they would imply Conjecture 5.5.

We provide a counterexample that refutes all conjectures in [1]. This counterexample illustrates a fundamental difficulty with counting in sparse graphs, and suggest additional hypotheses, such as those in [6, 8], may indeed be necessary. It remains interesting to propose and explore further weakenings of the Bollobás–Riordan conjectures.

**Theorem 1.** There exists a sequence of graphs  $G_n$  with  $|G_n| \to \infty$  and edge density  $p_n = |G_n|^{-o(1)}$  such that for every graph F, writing  $\triangle_F$  for the number of triangles in F,

$$t_{p_n}(F, G_n) \to e^{-\triangle_F}$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ .

Furthermore, there is no kernel W satisfying  $t(F, W) = e^{-\Delta_F}$  for all graphs F.

Proof. Let  $G = G_n = K_n^{\otimes n^2}$ , the  $n^2$ -th tensor power of  $K_n$ . Its edge density is  $p = p_n = (1-n^{-1})^{n^2} = (1+o(1))e^{-n}$ . Note that hom $(F, K_n)$  counts proper n-colorings of F. It is a standard result in graph theory (easily proved using inclusion-exclusion) that

$$hom(F, K_n) = n^{|F|} - e_F n^{|F|-1} + \left( \binom{e_F}{2} - \triangle_F \right) n^{|F|-2} + O_F(n^{|F|-3}).$$

Since  $hom(F, K_n^{\otimes n^2}) = hom(F, K_n)^{n^2}$ ,

$$t_p(F,G) = p^{-e_F}|G|^{-|F|} \hom(F,K_n)^{n^2}$$

$$= (1 - n^{-1})^{-e_F n^2} \left( 1 - e_F n^{-1} + \binom{e_F}{2} n^{-2} - \triangle_F n^{-2} + O_F(n^{-3}) \right)^{n^2}$$

$$= \left( 1 - \triangle_F n^{-2} + O_F(n^{-3}) \right)^{n^2}$$

$$\to e^{-\triangle_F} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

It follows from [1, Lemma 3.5] that there does not exist a kernel W satisfying  $t(F, W) = e^{-\Delta_F}$  for all F. We include the short argument here for the convenience of the reader. Since  $t(K_2, W) = 1$  and  $t(K_3, W) = e^{-1}$ , the kernel W averages to 1 but is not constant. So there exist subsets  $A, B \subseteq [0, 1]$  of positive measure such that W averages to some c > 1 on  $A \times B$ . We find that, for every positive integer m,

$$1 = t(K_{m,m}, W) \ge t(K_{m,m}, W1_{A \times B}) \ge \mu(A)^m \mu(B)^m c^{m^2},$$

where the second inequality follows from two applications of Hölder's inequality (i.e., Sidorenko's conjecture [12] for  $K_{m,m}$ ). Taking m sufficiently large gives a contradiction.

Remark. The above sequence in fact converges to the constant kernel in normalized cut norm. This is a result of the following lemma applied with  $W_n$  being the associated graphon of  $G_n$  divided by  $p_n$ . As a consequence (see [1, Lemma 4.2]), the graph sequence satisfies the bounded density assumption [1, Assumption 4.1] (also known under the names "no dense spots" [10] and " $L^{\infty}$  upper regular" [3, 4]).

One can obtain a sequence of graphs with similar properties and  $|G_n| = n$  by slowly blowing-up the above construction (see [1, Remark 3.14]).

Recall the cut norm of  $U: [0,1]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $||U||_{\square} = \sup_{A,B \subset [0,1]} \left| \int_{A \times B} U \right|$ .

**Lemma 2.** If a sequence  $W_n$  of kernels satisfies  $t(F, W_n) \to 1$  whenever F is a subgraph of  $C_4$ , then  $||W_n - 1||_{\square} \to 0$ .

*Proof.* Applying Cauchy–Schwarz twice (e.g., [11, Lemma 8.12]) and expanding,

$$||W_n - 1||_{\square}^4 \le t(C_4, W_n - 1)$$

$$= t(C_4, W_n) - 4t(P_3, W_n) + 4t(K_{2,1}, W_n) + 2t(K_2, W_n)^2 - 4t(K_2, W_n) + 1$$

$$\to 0.$$

## References

- [1] Béla Bollobás and Oliver Riordan, *Metrics for sparse graphs*, Surveys in combinatorics 2009, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 365, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009, pp. 211–287.
- [2] C. Borgs, J. T. Chayes, L. Lovász, V. T. Sós, and K. Vesztergombi, Convergent sequences of dense graphs. I. Subgraph frequencies, metric properties and testing, Adv. Math. 219 (2008), 1801–1851.
- [3] Christian Borgs, Jennifer T. Chayes, Henry Cohn, and Yufei Zhao, An L<sup>p</sup> theory of sparse graph convergence II: LD convergence, quotients and right convergence, Ann. Probab. 46 (2018), 337–396.
- [4] Christian Borgs, Jennifer T. Chayes, Henry Cohn, and Yufei Zhao, An L<sup>p</sup> theory of sparse graph convergence I: Limits, sparse random graph models, and power law distributions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 372 (2019), 3019–3062.
- [5] F. R. K. Chung, R. L. Graham, and R. M. Wilson, Quasi-random graphs, Combinatorica 9 (1989), 345–362.
- [6] David Conlon, Jacob Fox, and Yufei Zhao, Extremal results in sparse pseudorandom graphs, Adv. Math. 256 (2014), 206–290.
- [7] David Conlon, Jacob Fox, and Yufei Zhao, *The Green-Tao theorem: an exposition*, EMS Surv. Math. Sci. 1 (2014), 249–282.
- [8] David Conlon, Jacob Fox, and Yufei Zhao, A relative Szemerédi theorem, Geom. Funct. Anal. 25 (2015), 733–762.

- [9] Ben Green and Terence Tao, The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions, Ann. of Math. (2) 167 (2008), 481–547.
- [10] Y. Kohayakawa, Szemerédi's regularity lemma for sparse graphs, Foundations of computational mathematics (Rio de Janeiro, 1997), Springer, Berlin, 1997, pp. 216–230.
- [11] László Lovász, Large networks and graph limits, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 60, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [12] Alexander Sidorenko, A correlation inequality for bipartite graphs, Graphs Combin. 9 (1993), 201–204.
- [13] Andrew Thomason, Pseudorandom graphs, Random graphs '85 (Poznań, 1985), North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 144, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 307–331.
- [14] Andrew Thomason, Random graphs, strongly regular graphs and pseudorandom graphs, Surveys in combinatorics 1987 (New Cross, 1987), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 123, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987, pp. 173–195.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA  $E\text{-}mail\ address$ : {asah,msawhney,jtidor,yufeiz}@mit.edu