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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of a Neptune-like planet (LP 714-47 b, \( P = 4.05204 \) d, \( m_b = 30.8 \pm 1.5 M_J, R_b = 4.7 \pm 0.3 R_J \)) located in the “hot Neptune desert”. Confirmation of the TESS Object of Interest (TOI 442.01) was achieved with radial-velocity follow-up using CARMENES, ESPRESSO, HIRES, SHELL, and PFS, as well as from photometric data using TESS, Spitzer, and ground-based photometry from MuSCAT2, TRAPPIST-South, MONET-South, the George Mason University telescope, the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope network, the El Sauce telescope, the TÜBİTAK National Observatory, the University of Louisville Manner Telescope, and WASP-South. We also present high-spatial resolution adaptive optics imaging with the Gemini Near-Infrared Imager. The low uncertainties in the mass and radius determination place LP 714-47 b among physically well-characterised planets, allowing for a meaningful comparison with planet structure models. The host star LP 714-47 is a slowly rotating early M dwarf (\( T_{\text{eff}} = 3950 \pm 51 \) K) with a mass of \( 0.59 \pm 0.02 M_\odot \) and a radius of \( 0.58 \pm 0.02 R_\odot \). From long-term photometric monitoring and spectroscopic activity indicators, we determine a stellar rotation period of about 33 d. The stellar activity is also manifested as correlated noise in the radial-velocity data. In the power spectrum of the radial-velocity data, we detect a second signal with a period of 16 days in addition to the four-day signal of the planet. This could be shown to be a harmonic of the stellar rotation period or the signal of a second planet. It may be possible to tell the difference once more TESS data and radial-velocity data are obtained.
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1. Introduction

Currently, approximately 4000 planets have been detected using the transit method, primarily with the Kepler space telescope. A statistical analysis of these detections indicates that at short orbital periods, there is a bimodal distribution of planets, characterised by a population of Earth-sized planets (including super-Earths) and a population of larger Jupiter-sized planets (Szabó & Kiss 2011; Mazeh et al. 2016). While intermediate Neptune-mass planets have been detected at larger orbital periods, there is a distinct lack of Neptune-mass planets at short orbital periods. The rarity of planets with masses of approximately 0.1 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ and periods of less than about 4 d is referred to as the “hot Neptune desert”.

Deliberations on the cause of the hot Neptune desert have been addressed in several papers involving photo-evaporation (e.g. Owen & Wu 2013; Lopez & Fortney 2014), the preferential formation of Jupiter-sized rather than Neptune-sized planets, as a consequence of core accretion (e.g. Ida & Lin 2008; Mordasini et al. 2009), the early migration of low-mass planets (e.g. Flock et al. 2019), or core-powered mass loss (Gupta & Schlichting 2020). In an investigation of possible formation mechanisms, and to explain the distinctive triangular shape of the desert in the mass-period diagram, Owen & Lai (2018) showed that photo-evaporation of Neptune-mass planets occurs very close to the host stars.

Photo-evaporation may not necessarily be required in this process. Instead, atmospheric mass-loss can be driven by the release of the primordial energy from the formation, which is comparable to the atmospheric binding energy (Ginzburg et al. 2016, 2018; Gupta & Schlichting 2019). With this scenario, it is possible to explain the radius valley spanning between Earth-sized and sub-Neptune-sized planets. Properties of the radius valley are predicted to show a different dependence on age and metallicity between the photo-evaporation and the core-powered mass-loss scenario. Another possibility is that of scattering onto high-eccentric orbits followed by a circularisation (high-eccentricity migration). This could explain the lower and upper boundary of the Neptune desert (Matsakos & Königl 2016).

A few planets or planet candidates have been detected in the hot Neptune desert. These include K2-100b (Mann et al. 2017), NGTS-4 b (West et al. 2019), TOI-132 b (Díaz et al. 2020), TOI-824 b (Burt et al. 2020), LTT 9779 b (Jenkins 2019), and TOI-849 b (Armstrong et al. 2020). The latter two are extreme cases with orbital periods shorter than one day. More planets close to or inside the Neptune desert with precise mass and radius determinations would help to shed more light on the distinction between the various explanations for the existence of the Neptune desert.

The Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS, Ricker et al. 2014), launched in April 2018, aims to detect close-in planets transiting bright and nearby stars. Such transiting systems are ideal for ground-based follow-up observations focused on the study of the atmospheres of exoplanets by using transmission or emission spectroscopy. Given its instrument characteristics, TESS is ideal for the detection of hot Neptunes. In this paper, the TESS Object of Interest TOI-442.01 is confirmed to be a 30-Earth-mass planet orbiting its late-type host star LP 714-47 with an orbital period of 4.05 d. From the radial velocity measurements, as well as the TESS, Spitzer, and ground-based photometry, presented in Sect. 3, we conclude that LP 714-47 b is a transiting Neptune-like planet close to the lower edge of the hot Neptune desert, presented in Sect. 5. Adding a new planet with precise mass and radius determination is, therefore, helpful in shedding more light on the origins of the hot Neptune desert, which may, in turn, place constraints on planet formation scenarios, as discussed in Sect. 6.

2. TESS photometry

LP 714-47 was observed in TESS sector 5 in two-minute cadence mode between 15 November and 11 December 2018 (see Figs. 1 and 2). The planet candidate TOI442.01 was announced on 31 January 2019. The TESS Data Validation diagnostic (DV) (Twicken et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019) revealed a planet candidate at a period of 4.05 d (TOI 442.01) with depths of 5685 ± 134 ppm ($S/N = 45.3$), the planet radius of the candidate was determined as $4.7 \pm 0.7 \, R_{\oplus}$, slightly different values are reported on the ExoFOP web page\footnote{https://exofop.ipac.caltech.edu/tess/target.php?id=78099085}. The DV difference image centroid offsets for TOI 442.01 indicate that the source of the transit signature is within 2 arcsec (0.1 TESS pixels). The TESS lightcurves produced by the Science Process Operation Centre (SPOC, Jenkins et al. 2016) are available at the Mikulski Archive for Astronomical Data (MAST)
for Space Telescopes\(^2\). For our analysis, we used the de-trended pre-search data conditioning simple aperture photometry (PDC-SAP) lightcurve (Stumpe et al. 2012, 2014; Smith et al. 2012). The total time-span of the observation covered seven transits, although one of them occurs during a gap in the data caused by the re-orientation of the spacecraft needed for the data downlink.

We independently searched for a transit signal in the light curve using the transit-least-squares method with a signal detection efficiency of 19.8 (TLS, Hippke & Heller 2019) and the box-least-squares algorithm (BLS, Kovács et al. 2002). We recovered the 4.05 d signal in both cases with a depth of 4.8 ± 0.3 ppt. After removing the transits, the strongest peak in the periodogram of the residuals was a modulation with a period of 9.2 d and an amplitude of 230 ppm.

The announcement of TOI442.01 as a potential planet host star initiated a series of follow-up observations: space and ground based transit photometry, high-resolution and low-resolution spectroscopy, and high-contrast imaging. Between the various teams, we decided to analyse all available data jointly.

### 3. Follow-up observations

#### 3.1. Spitzer photometry

We observed a transit of the system with the Spitzer Space Telescope’s IRAC instrument (Fazio et al. 2004), as part of Spitzer GO 14084 (PI Crossfield). The observations on 21 May 2019 used the channel 2 (4.5\(\mu\)m) broadband filter and we acquired 228 sets of 64 sub-array frames with integration times of 2 s. The observations used the standard Spitzer approach to observing, with an initial peak-up observation to place the target near the well-calibrated sweet spot of the IRAC2 detector. Because our target is fainter than the recommended brightness level for peak-up observations, we used the brighter, nearby star HD 27112 for peak-up. In total, the Spitzer observations spanned 8.3 h and covered a full transit (Sect. 5).

In order to account for the intra-pixel variations, we used the pixel-level de-correlation method developed by Deming et al. (2015). This method reconstructs the observed transit signal from a linear combination of the varying contributions from the nine pixels covered by the instrument point spread function (PSF) and a transit model. The linear fit of the correlation coefficients is part of the optimisation procedure.

#### 3.2. Ground-based photometry

We acquired ground-based, time-series follow-up photometry of TOI-442 as part of the TESS Follow-up Observing Program\(^1\) to attempt to: (i) rule out nearby eclipsing binaries as potential sources of the TESS detection, (ii) detect the transit-like event on target to confirm the event depth and, thus, the TESS photometric deblending factor, (iii) refine the TESS ephemeris, (iv) provide additional epochs of transit centre time measurements to supplement the transit timing variation (TTV) analysis, and (v) place constraints on transit depth differences across optical filter bands. We used the TESS Transit Finder, which is a customised version of the Tapir software package (Jensen 2013), to schedule our transit observations. Unless otherwise noted, the transit follow-up data were extracted using the

---

\(^1\) For iSHELL, we list the number of epochs, which consist of multiple co-added exposures.

### 3.3. High resolution spectroscopy

As a summary of the various instruments used for radial velocity follow-up of TOI 442.01, we list the basic properties of the radial-velocity data and the number of observations from each instrument in Table 1 (see also Fig. D.1), followed by a more detailed description below. With CARMENES, we started the RV follow-up after the candidate alert in February 2019 and re-started in October 2019. HIRES and PFS observations started in August 2019, and those with iSHELL in November 2019.

#### 3.3.1. CARMENES spectra

The CARMENES spectrograph consists of a red-optical (VIS) channel and a near-infrared (NIR) channel, covering a wide

---

\(^2\) [https://mast.stsci.edu/portal/Mashup/Clients/Mast/Portal.html](https://mast.stsci.edu/portal/Mashup/Clients/Mast/Portal.html)

\(^3\) [https://tess.mit.edu/followup/](https://tess.mit.edu/followup/)

wavelength range of 550–960 nm and 960–1700 nm, and achieving spectral resolutions of 94 600 and 80 400, respectively (Quirrenbach et al. 2014, 2018). The average sampling per resolution element is 2.8 px. The spectra were processed by the standard pipeline caracal (CARMENES reduction and calibration software; Zechmeister et al. 2014) and went through the guaranteed observation time data flow (Caballero et al. 2016).

Triggered by the TESS alert, we monitored LP 714-47 with CARMENES from February 2019 to November 2019. We collected 33 spectra, each with an exposure time of 30 min (Table 1). The median typical signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) per collected 33 spectra, each with an exposure time of 30 min CARMENES from February 2019 to November 2019. We calibration software; Zechmeister et al. 2014) and went through the reference from 5000 to 6200 Å. As with the HIRES spectra, the 10 at a resolution of which was scanned by the NIST Fourier transform spectrometer 3.3.4. PFS spectra LP 714-47 was observed on six nights with the Carnegie Planet serval (spectrum radial-velocity analyzer Zechmeister et al. 2018); the uncertainties range from about 2–4 m s\(^{-1}\), with a median of 2.6 m s\(^{-1}\).

The python code serval also provides spectroscopic activity indicators and the equivalent widths of key diagnostic photospheric and chromospheric lines, such as the differential line width, dLW, and the chromatic index, CRX (Zechmeister et al. 2018). Due to an apparently systematic offset, the CARMENES NIR data from the first season were excluded from the fit.

3.3.2. ESPRESSO spectra

ESPResso is a new high-resolution spectrograph at the ESO Very Large Telescope (Pepe et al. 2010). It covers the wavelength range 380–788 nm with a resolution of 140 000 and sampling of 4.5 px per resolution element. We obtained 19 spectra of LP 714-47 in September 2019 in the single-telescope high-resolution mode with 2×1 binning (HR21). The exposure times were at least 10 min, and the S/N was about 30 pixel at 573 nm. The spectra were processed with the standard ESO pipeline. The RVs were calculated with serval.

3.3.3. HIRES spectra

We acquired 14 spectra with the HIRES spectrograph with the standard CPS setup (Howard et al. 2010): the C2 decker, the usual HIRES iodine cell (used to measure precise RVs; Marcy & Butler 1992; Butler et al. 1996), and exposures of 10–30 min (depending on seeing conditions). The HIRES observations began on 14 Aug. 2019 and ended on 15 Nov. 2019. As part of the HIRES analysis, we also acquired an iodine-free observation to serve as a template spectrum on 31 October 2019 using the B3 decker and an exposure time of 45 min.

3.3.4. PFS spectra

LP 714-47 was observed on six nights with the Carnegie Planet Finder Spectrograph (PFS; Crane et al. 2006, 2008, 2010) mounted on the Magellan 2 (Clay) 6.5 m telescope at Las Campanas Observatory in Chile. Two consecutive exposures were taken each night. The individual exposure times ranged from 15 to 20 min, depending on the conditions. Due to the faintness of this star, the CCD was 3×3 binned to minimise readout noise. The spectral resolution of all observations was 127 000 and the typical S/N of the individual observations was 25. Target stars are routinely observed through a custom built iodine cell, which was scanned by the NIST Fourier transform spectrometer at a resolution of 10\(^6\) (Nave 2017) and provides a rich embedded reference from 5000 to 6200 Å. As with the HIRES spectra, the velocity reduction used the approach described by Butler et al. (1996).

3.3.5. iSHELL spectra

We obtained 198 spectra of LP 714-47 on nine nights, spanning a 53 d interval with the iSHELL spectrograph on the NASA Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF, Rayner et al. 2016). The exposure times were 5 min, repeated 17–22 times over a single a night to reach a cumulative photon S/N per spectral pixel at about 2.2 μm (at the approximate centre of the blaze for the middle order) varying from 107 to 171 to achieve a per-night RV precision of 3–10 m s\(^{-1}\) (median 6 m s\(^{-1}\)). Spectra were reduced and RVs extracted using the methods outlined in Cale et al. (2019).

3.4. Low-resolution spectroscopy

On 3 December 2019 (UT), we collected low-resolution optical spectra of LP 714-47 using the Alhambra Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (ALFOSC) mounted on the Cassegrain focus of the 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT), located at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos on La Palma island. ALFOSC is equipped with a 2k×2k pixel e2v detector providing a plate scale of 0.2138 arcsec pixel\(^{-1}\) on the sky. We used the grism number 5 and a long slit with a width of 1.0 arcsec, which delivered spectral data with a nominal dispersion of 3.55 Å pixel\(^{-1}\) (resolution of 17 Å; resolving power of \(R \approx 420\) at 700 nm) and a wavelength coverage of 500–1000 nm. A total of four exposures of 300 s each were obtained at parallactic angle and at airmass of 1.33. The observations were hampered by thin cirri and a poor seeing of about 3.0 arcsec.

The raw data were reduced following standard procedures for long-slit spectra within the IRAF environment, including debiasing and flat-fielding. The spectra were optimally extracted and wavelength calibrated using Th–Ar arc lamp exposures obtained at the beginning of the night. The dispersion of the wavelength calibration was 1.3 Å. The spectra were corrected for instrumental response using the spectrophotometric standard star G 191–B2B (white dwarf), which was observed on the same night and with an identical instrumental configuration as our target, but with a different airmass. This and the poor weather conditions prevented us from removing the telluric contribution from the ALFOSC spectra. All four individual spectra were combined to produce a final spectrum (cosmic rays were removed in the process). The ALFOSC spectrum of LP 714-47 is shown in Fig. B.1. It has a S/N higher than 200. This spectrum is used in Sect. 4.1 to derive the stellar parameters. A comparison of this spectrum with stellar templates as well as model atmospheres is presented in Appendix B.

3.5. High-contrast imaging

We obtained diffraction-limited infrared images of LP 714-47 on 18 March 2019 with the NIRI instrument at the Gemini Observatory (Hodapp et al. 2003, Program GN-2019A-LP-101, PI Crossfield). We used the NIRI camera behind the ALTAIR facility adaptive optics (AO) system. Nine dithered exposures of 3 s each were acquired, using the Br-γ filter to approximate the K band while avoiding saturation. A sky background image was constructed from the dithered science frames. The data were reduced using a custom code that corrects for bad pixels, flatfields, subtracts the sky background, aligns the star between images, and coadds data. Sensitivity to faint companions was calculated by injecting fake PSFs and scaling them to

5 https://github.com/mzechmeister/serval
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the magnitude at which they are detected at 5σ. No companions were seen anywhere in the field of view, which extends at least 13 arcsec from the target in all directions, and we were sensitive to candidates 7 mag fainter than the star beyond a few tenths of arcseconds. Our sensitivity to companions is shown in Fig. 3 along with a thumbnail image of the target. The candidate planet is, therefore, highly unlikely to be a false positive from a blended eclipsing binary.

Additional high-contrast imaging (Fig. C.1) was obtained on 14 January 2020 using the Zorro speckle instrument on Gemini-South6. The results are reported in Appendix C. Both direct imaging observations with high contrast and high special resolution exclude a companion which could either lead to a false positive transit detection as background binary or would lead to a third light contribution for the transit light curve.

4. Host star
4.1. Basic stellar parameters

The host star LP 714-47 was a poorly investigated, late-type, high-proper-motion star tabulated first by Luyten (1963) and Giclas et al. (1971), and later by Salim & Gould (2003), Lépine & Gaidos (2011), Frith et al. (2013), and Kirkpatrick et al. (2016). The TESS Input Catalog Version 8 (Stassun et al. 2019) lists TOI 442=TIC 70899085 as a star with an effective temperature of 3779 ± 157 K, a mass of 0.59 ± 0.02 M⊙, and a radius of 0.61 ± 0.02 R⊙. The entry in the ExoFOP database also lists user-uploaded analyses by Jason Eastman and Abderrahmane Soubkiou (A.S.). Both used exofastv2 (Eastman et al. 2019), which fits planetary and stellar parameters simultaneously using MIST isochrones (Dotter 2016; Choi et al. 2016), the spectral energy distribution (Fig. 4) and the Gaia DR2 distance (Table 2). A.S. used Gaussian priors on the effective temperature and metallicity from the CARMENES spectral analysis as well as Gaussian priors on the Gaia DR2 parallax (adding 82μas to the reported value and adding 33μas in quadrature to the reported error, following the recommendation of Stassun & Torres 2018). An upper limit on the extinction of A_V = 0.15 from the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) dust maps was enforced. The exofastv2 fit without priors results in a slightly higher effective temperature (4200 ± 160 K) and lower mass (0.58 ± 0.03 M⊙), the metallicity being slightly sub-solar. The one with priors is in very good agreement with the values reported in Table 2.

We re-determined the stellar parameters from CARMENES spectra of LP 714-47 taken during the radial-velocity follow-up (Sect. 3.3.1). As explained by Passegger et al. (2018, 2019), we used a χ² method together with a downhill simplex to obtain T eff, log g, and [Fe/H] by fitting the most recent PHOENIX spectra (Hauschildt 1992, 1993; Passegger et al. 2019) to the co-added CARMENES spectra in both VIS and NIR channels. The luminosity, radius, and mass were determined following

---

6 https://www.gemini.edu/sciops/instruments/alopeke-zorro/
Schweizer et al. (2019); that is, together with the Gaia DR2 parallactic distance, we obtained the luminosity, \(L_\star\), by integrating the broad-band spectral energy distribution from the blue optical to the mid-infrared, using the Virtual Observatory Spectral Energy Distribution Analyser (VOSA, Bayo et al. 2008, Fig. 4). After applying Stefan–Boltzmann’s law to obtain the radius, \(R_\star\), we used the linear mass-radius relation from Schweitzer et al. (2019) to arrive at a mass, \(M_\star\). The rotational velocity upper limit was determined as in Reiners et al. (2018), and the spectral type was estimated from a comparison with other early-type M dwarfs in their catalogue. All these results, as well as other basic parameters compiled from the literature, are listed in Table 2.

Using the HIRES data (Sect. 3.3.3), we also applied the SpeckMatch–Empirical spectral characterisation tool (Yee et al. 2017) to the template spectrum, which yielded \(T_\text{eff} = 3869 \pm 70\) K, \([\text{Fe/H}] = +0.38 \pm 0.09\) dex, and \(R_\star = 0.60 \pm 0.10\ R_\odot\). This is in agreement with the results from the CARMENES data.

In the spectroscopic analysis, the CARMENES-derived effective temperature is found to be in between the TIC v8 and exofastv2 results. However, the mass of \(0.59 \pm 0.02\ M_\odot\) and radius of \(0.58 \pm 0.02\ R_\odot\) match the previous results. As an independent check on the derived stellar parameters, we also performed a very similar analysis of the spectral energy distribution following the procedures described in Stassun et al. (2018), which resulted in \(R = 0.590 \pm 0.015\ R_\odot\) and \(M = 0.57 \pm 0.03\ M_\odot\). The latter mass determination is also consistent with the one that is based on the spectroscopic \(\log g\) and the photometry-based radius, \(M = 0.55 \pm 0.06\ M_\odot\). Finally, our mass also agrees with the results from the mass-magnitude relation by Mann et al. (2019), which yields \(M = 0.595 \pm 0.015\ M_\odot\) when applying the metallicity-independent version.

The CARMENES and HIRES spectral analyses result in a super-solar metallicity. A note of caution on such a super-solar metallicity should be included, as a low-resolution spectrum of LP 714-47 with ALFOSC at the 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope points to a slightly sub-solar metallicity (see Appendix B for further details). The most accurate way to determine the metallicity of LP 714-47 is thus an unsettled issue. This is not surprising, given the intensive discussion in the literature about the best way to determine M-star metallicities (Bonifils et al. 2005; Wooll & Wallerstein 2006; Rojas-Ayala et al. 2010; Neves et al. 2013; Gádios & Mann 2014; Mann et al. 2015; Alonso-Floriano et al. 2015; Montes et al. 2018, and references therein).

For the following analysis of the radial velocity and transit light curve data, we use the CARMENES spectroscopically derived values. In particular, the stellar mass and radius, which are the most important parameters for exoplanet characterisation, are in good agreement with the various analysis results.

4.2. Stellar activity and rotation period

We investigated the stellar activity of LP 714-47 using the CARMENES activity indicators (Sect. 3.3.1) presented by Schöfer et al. (2019). The variability across a variety of potential stellar activity indicators such as \(\text{H}_\alpha\), He D3, Na I D, Ca II IRT, He 1 \(\lambda 10830\) \AA, Pa-\beta, TiO bands, and the differential line width (dLW, Sect. 3.3.1), all show that LP 714-47 is a relatively inactive star. In particular, the measured pseudo-equivalent width of the \(\text{H}_\alpha\) line is less than \(+0.3\) \AA; LP 714-47 is thus considered to be an inactive star. This is consistent with the results of Jeffers et al. (2018) and many other authors, who found that only about 10% of early-M stars are \(\text{H}_\alpha\)-active. There is an indication of variability in the TiO bands, the chromatic index (CRX), and the dLW, which would indicate a very low level of spot coverage.

Using the generalised Lomb–Scargle (GLS) periodogram\(^7\) and the corresponding false-alarm probabilities (Zechmeister & Kürster 2009), we investigated the available long-term ground-based photometry (see Fig. 5). While the ASAS and NSVS data do not show significant periods, the WASP-South data show a peak at 33 d and likely the first harmonic at 16 d. We interpret the 33 d signal as the stellar rotation period, as discussed further in Sect. 5.3. Finally, the very low stellar activity of LP 714-47 is consistent with the upper limit of 2 km s\(^{-1}\) on its projected rotational velocity and with the relatively long rotation period of 33 d.

5. Analysis

The analysis of the various data sets was performed in a sequence of steps, with the modelling details described below. At first, we analyse the radial-velocity data and the transit photometry data separately. In the former, we focus on the planetary origin of the signals; in the latter, we focus on possible transit time variations. As a last step, we performed a combined analysis.

5.1. Method and modelling details

The analysis was done based on a collection of python scripts for fitting Keplerian orbits for the radial velocity and transit data together with Gaussian process regression (GP) accounting for correlated noise, either due to stellar activity or due to coming from an instrumental source or caused by the Earth’s atmosphere. The GP model is therefore used as a parametric noise model to account for residuals between observations and the planetary model. For the GP modelling, we used celerite (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2017), which offers a fast and reliable implementation of GP regression, and two sets of celerite kernels describing the correlation between each pair of data points, namely REAL and SHO. The former represents an exponential decay at a characteristic time scale \(\tau\), while the latter is a stochastically-driven damped harmonic oscillator with an oscillator period \(P\) and a damping time scale \(\tau\), and can describe a quasi-periodic behaviour. Mathematical details are given in Appendix E.

For the analysis of the radial-velocity data, we assume that the noise is (mostly) due to stellar activity. Sufficiently long-lived active regions would cause correlations on time scale of their lifetimes and a (quasi) periodicity on the stellar rotation period or its harmonics, represented by the SHO kernel. Active regions with life times sufficiently below the rotation period or small-scale regions would cause correlated noise, which shows short-term correlations better represented by the REAL kernel. In the model, it is required for the same GP model to fit all radial-velocity data simultaneously. In order to constrain the GP regression, the fit of the GP parameters can simultaneously take the spectral activity indicators into account. We did not add a GP model for the transit data sets.

Each data set was analysed with an individual offset and with the option of a jitter, quadratically added to the measurement uncertainties, for each data set separately. We checked for a linear trend within the radial-velocity data, but found it to be consistent with zero. Therefore, we did not include a linear term in the RV fitting.

The Keplerian model had the following physical parameters: using the radial velocity we fitted the orbital period, \(P\), the eccentricity, \(e\), the longitude of periastron, \(\omega\), and the time of \(\tau\)

\[ \text{https://github.com/mzechmeister/GLS} \]
Fig. 5. Periodogram of radial-velocity data with sufficiently long coverage, spectral activity indicators, long-term photometry, and TESS light curve. The red vertical line indicates the planet period, the blue vertical lines indicate the potential rotation period at 33 d and its first harmonic, while the corresponding dashed lines mark the 1-day alias in the ground-based data sets. For CARMENES VIS and NIR, as well as for Keck/HIRES, we also show the periodogram of the residuals after subtracting the planet signal. Horizontal lines indicate the false alarm probability of 10%, 1%, and 0.1%, respectively.

periastron, $t_{\text{peri}}$, as well as a semi-amplitude of the radial velocity variation, $K$. For the analytic transit light curve model (Mandel & Agol 2002), we used the orbital period, the time of periastron, the orbital inclination, $i$, the planet-to-star radius ratio, $R_p/R_\star$, as well as the semi-major axis in units of the stellar radius, $a/R_\star$, as fit parameters.

In the combined fit of radial-velocity data and transit photometry, we used the stellar mass and its uncertainty from Table 2 as input values and derived $a$ and $R_\star$ independently. Additionally, the planetary mass, radius, density, and equilibrium temperature could then be derived.

When investigating transit timing variations, the transit time of each transit was an additional free parameter. Depending on the limb darkening law applied, additional free parameters were needed. In particular, we used a quadratic limb darkening adding two parameters. Here, we only fitted the limb darkening parameters of the TESS light curve, but kept the others fixed using values from stellar atmosphere models for the ground-based
(Husser et al. 2013) and Spitzer data (Claret et al. 2013) to limit the number of free parameters. Since the second coefficient of the quadratic limb darkening law was unconstrained by the TESS data, we also fixed to the value derived from the stellar atmosphere models.

The fitting procedure started with an initial guess of the planetary parameters guided by a periodogram analysis. These parameters were optimised using scipy.optimize.minimize. We then ran the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) procedure emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) with 400 walkers and 10,000 steps after already 10,000 burn-in steps, initialised with a Gaussian distribution around the previous best fit and a standard deviation 10 times larger than that of the first optimisation. We made sure that the initial distribution was far broader than the final one from the MCMC posteriors. Boundaries for the parameters were only set to guarantee positive definite values for the amplitude $K$, $R_p/R_*$, and $R_*$. The eccentricity is limited to bound orbits, the absolute value of the eccentricity was used when calculating the Keplerian models, as discussed in Eastman et al. (2013, Appendix D). We use uniform priors for all parameters within their bounds.

5.2. Confirmation of LP 714-47 b from radial velocity variations

For our initial values, we calculated the GLS periodogram and the corresponding false-alarm probabilities (Zechmeister & Kürster 2009) for all radial-velocity data and the spectroscopic and photometric activity indicators (Fig. 5). The CARMENES VIS and the CARMENES NIR and HIRES data sets all show a signal at the expected period of the transiting planet at 4.05 d, with daily aliasing. The structure of the peaks show the mean separation of the two runs (1/220 and 1/75 d$^{-1}$ for CARMENES and HIRES, respectively) and the run length of about 20 d as width of the alias pattern. The signal is above the 0.1% false-alarm probability (FAP) in the VIS and HIRES data, and at 1% in the NIR data. The ESPRESSO data set is by itself too limited to show the 4.05 d signal, but a broad peak in that period range is present in the periodogram of the data. Likewise, the sampling was also insufficient to detect the 4.05 d signal in the PFS data set by itself; the 4.05 d signal could not be detected in the periodogram of the iSHELL data alone.

After subtracting the signal at 4.05 d, additional power is present in the periodograms in the range of 14–16 d for VIS and HIRES, but not for NIR. The inspection of the periodograms of the spectroscopic activity indicators CRX, dLW, and Hα revealed power in the 14–16 d (dLW and Hα) and 25–40 d (CRX) ranges, respectively. While the former period range overlaps with the second highest peak in the periodogram of the radial-velocity data, the latter is about twice that period. As mentioned in Sect. 4.2, the long-term photometry of WASP-South shows a clear peak at 33 d, which we interpret as the stellar rotation period of 33 d (5) and this signal could be due to stellar activity in Sect. 4.2, this period is close to half of the period of the planet, which excludes any background eclipsing binary. Further confirmation was obtained from the comparison of the analysis of the visual (CARMENES VIS, HIRES, PFS) versus infrared (CARMENES NIR, iSHELL) data. The radial-velocity semi-amplitudes determined from both data sets agree within the uncertainties of our preferred model listed in Table 4, showing that the strength of the signal is wavelength independent, as expected for a planetary signal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Orbit</th>
<th>GP</th>
<th>BIC</th>
<th>BF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8192</td>
<td>889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>REAL</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>SHO</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>REAL</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>SHO</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>719</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The BF is calculated relative to the model with the lowest BIC value. The selected model is in boldface.

Our base model was the no-planet model that allowed for instrumental offsets for the individual data sets. We then added one Keplerian signal with a period of 4.05 d (see Table 4). The eccentricity was small and compatible with zero, as expected for a close-in planet. We also checked the match between the mid transit time predicted from the fit of all radial-velocity data only with those from all transit data. From the former, the 1σ uncertainty is 0.095 d compared to 0.0003 d from the latter. From the radial-velocity data, the transit time of the first transit in the TESS data is predicted within 2σ. The improvement in the BIC value constitutes a clear detection of the transit signal period in the radial-velocity data (Table 3, Fig. 6). This improvement was complemented by the analysis of the high-spatial resolution imaging (Sect. 3.5), which excluded any background eclipsing binary. Further confirmation was obtained from the comparison of the analysis of the visual (CARMENES VIS, HIRES, PFS) versus infrared (CARMENES NIR, iSHELL) data. The radial-velocity semi-amplitudes determined from both data sets agree within the uncertainties of our preferred model listed in Table 4, showing that the strength of the signal is wavelength independent, as expected for a planetary signal.

5.3. Correlated noise, stellar rotation, and search for additional planets

After subtracting the Keplerian signal, a second signal at about 16 d (0.06 d$^{-1}$) is detected in the periodograms of the residuals of individual data sets (Fig. 5, panels 2, 5, and 7 from the top) as well as in the combined radial velocity data (Fig. 7). The GLS-priodogram in this figure was calculated from all radial velocity data, corrected for individual offsets taken from the best-fit model (Table 4).

As mentioned in Sect. 4.2, this period is close to half of the stellar rotation period of 33 d (5) and this signal could be due to stellar activity rather than to a second planet. Modelling this signal as a second planet or as correlated noise shows a significant improvement in the BIC value (bottom five rows in Table 3).

A fit with a second Keplerian signal results in a good fit for a Neptune-mass planet (Table 4, Figs. F1 and F2). In combination with the REAL kernel, the two-planet model has the lowest BIC value. The eccentricity of the second planet would be rather high ($e = 0.26$, without GP). Nonetheless, we checked...
Table 4. Model and reference statistical parameters from the simultaneous fit of radial velocities and transit photometry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>One planet + GP(a)</th>
<th>Two planets</th>
<th>Two planets + GP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P$ (d)</td>
<td>4.052037 ± 0.0000044</td>
<td>4.052039 ± 0.000005</td>
<td>16.04 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$ (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>17.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>17.5$^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e$</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.039$^{+0.008}_{-0.009}$</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$ (deg)</td>
<td>219 ± 19</td>
<td>191$^{+12}_{-12}$</td>
<td>297 ± 112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{\text{peri}}$ (d)$^{(b)}$</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.23</td>
<td>1.48$^{+0.15}_{-0.10}$</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i$ (deg)</td>
<td>87.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>87.2$^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| $R_p/R_*$ (0.0751 ± 0.0009) | 0.0754$^{+0.0010}_{-0.0009}$ | ... | 0.0750$^{+0.0010}_{-0.0009}$ | ...
| $R_*$ (0.57 ± 0.02) | 0.58 ± 0.02 | ... | 0.57 ± 0.02 | ... |
| $u_1$ | 0.48 ± 0.08 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 0.47 ± 0.10 | ... |
| $u_2$ (c) | 0.245 | 0.245 | 0.245 | ... |

Derived parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$a$ (au)</th>
<th>$\lambda$ (deg)$^{(b,d)}$</th>
<th>$t_c$ (d)$^{(c,b)}$</th>
<th>$m_p$ (M$_\oplus$)</th>
<th>$a/R_*$</th>
<th>$R_p/R_0$</th>
<th>$\rho_p$ (g cm$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{eq}}$ (K)$^{(f)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0417 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>336.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.3842 ± 0.00025</td>
<td>30.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>15.9$^{+10}_{-10}$</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>700$^{+19}_{-24}$</td>
<td>703$^{+21}_{-29}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0417 ± 0.0005</td>
<td>337.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.3840 ± 0.00041</td>
<td>30.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>15.8$^{+12}_{-12}$</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.5$^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>703$^{+21}_{-29}$</td>
<td>444$^{+13}_{-18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.104 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>135 ± 12</td>
<td>13.22$^{+0.92}_{-0.97}$</td>
<td>18.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>39.5$^{+11}_{-2.0}$</td>
<td>15.7$^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$</td>
<td>1.7$^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$</td>
<td>706$^{+21}_{-25}$</td>
<td>445$^{+13}_{-16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.104 ± 0.0001</td>
<td>336.8$^{+1.9}_{-1.8}$</td>
<td>0.38419$^{+0.00027}_{-0.00023}$</td>
<td>30.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>39.4$^{+2.5}_{-2.0}$</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instrumental parameters offset and weighted rms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>CARMENES VIS (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>CARMENES NIR (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>ESPRESSO (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>HIRES (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>PFS (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>iSHELL (m s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$-2.5^{+1.3}_{-1.5}$</td>
<td>$0.0^{+2.7}_{-2.6}$</td>
<td>3.6$^{+3.2}_{-3.1}$</td>
<td>2.3$^{+1.7}_{-0.4}$</td>
<td>$-3.6^{+2.4}_{-2.5}$</td>
<td>$-0.3^{+2.8}_{-3.0}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1.0^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$</td>
<td>$5.6^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$</td>
<td>3.1$^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$</td>
<td>1.5$^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$</td>
<td>$-0.6^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$</td>
<td>$0.0^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2.4^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$</td>
<td>$-2.8^{+0.9}_{-0.8}$</td>
<td>4.8$^{+0.6}_{-0.7}$</td>
<td>3.5$^{+0.7}_{-1.0}$</td>
<td>$-4.6^{+1.0}_{-0.7}$</td>
<td>0.0$^{+0.8}_{-1.0}$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GP hyper parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Variance (m$^2$s$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$\tau_d$ (d)</th>
<th>$-\ln L$</th>
<th>Red. $\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27$^{+8}_{-6}$</td>
<td>3.2$^{+1.2}_{-0.9}$</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.9$^{+5.5}_{-4.6}$</td>
<td>2.2$^{+1.3}_{-0.7}$</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Uncertainties are given as 68% intervals. The planetary parameters of the transiting planet from the different models are within 1-$\sigma$ of each other, proving the consistency of this signal. Posterior distributions of the parameters are displayed in Fig. 11. The derived values for semi-major axis and planetary mass take the stellar mass uncertainty listed in Table 2 into account. The likelihood – $-\ln L$ and the reduced $\chi^2$ is given for the RV fit. (a)We found the best model to be the one planet + GP model. (b)Reference time is BJD = 2458438. (c)Fixed. (d)Mean longitude. (e)Time of inferior conjunction. (f)Assuming zero albedo and full heat redistribution.

the dynamical stability of this hypothetical two-planet system, which would be close to a 4:1 mean motion resonance, using the Hill stability criterion in the angular momentum deficit (AMD) framework introduced by Petit et al. (2018) implemented in the Exo-Striker package (Trifonov 2019). A second (co-planar) planet at a 4:1 period commensurability would be stable up to an eccentricity of about 0.4. The TESS data did not show indications of another transit. However, transits corresponding to most of the viable orbital solutions of this potential planet would have gone undetected due to the gap in the TESS data during the time needed for the data download.

Alternatively, we fit the second signal assuming it to be caused by correlated noise due to stellar activity with REAL and SHO kernels. The oscillator period of the SHO kernel representing the stellar rotation periods was constrained by the simultaneous fit of the chromatic index with a wide uniform prior
between 5 d and 200 d. Despite the simultaneous fit of the activity indicator, the fit with the SHO kernel resulted in a strongly damped oscillator equivalent to an exponential decay, which was better modelled using the REAL kernel. This is also indicated by the better BIC value of the fits with the REAL kernel (Table 3). In Fig. 7, the middle and lower panels show the subsequent removal of the two model components, that is, the one-planet and the GP model (REAL kernel). The models are sampled at the times of observation. In Fig. 8, the periodograms of these two model components are shown in comparison to the full dataset.

The two-planet model with GP has a lower BIC value compared to the one-planet model that includes a GP model, however, the Bayes factor differs slightly less than the threshold of five. This weak detection could argue for using this as our final best model, but there is currently more evidence for an interpretation of the 16 d signal as correlated noise due to stellar activity. First, the activity indicators showed periods at 33 d (Hα) and 16 d (dLW and CRX), as seen in Fig. 5. The photometric monitoring with WASP-South showed a peak at 33 d. Secondly, a given GP kernel might not adequately represent the effect of stellar activity. Therefore, we interpret the 33 d as the stellar rotation period and the 16 d signal is potentially the second harmonic.

While none of these arguments rule out a second planet, its confirmation would need a longer time base to check the coherence of this signal. We show the comparison of the two-planet model with the GP in more detail in Appendix F (in particular Figs. F.1–F.4).

All the variants of the modelling do not have an impact on the parameters derived for the planet b, as demonstrated by the comparison between the parameters for the one planet + GP, the two planets, and the two planets + GP models shown in Table 4.

5.4. Analysis of the transit light curves

As the next step in our analysis, we performed a simultaneous fit of the transit light curves (Figs. 9, 10, and A.1) to obtain the additional planet parameters, namely the planet-to-star radius ratio and a refined orbital period (see Sect. 5.1). We first used the linear ephemeris to calculate all mid-transit times during the fit procedure. The good fit of the ground-based transit follow-up light curves additionally confirms the planetary origin of the 4.05 d signal.

We then allowed for small shifts in the mid-transit times for each transit. The very good match of all the transits with a Keplerian model indicates very small or absent TTVs and, hence, potential planet-planet interaction below the current detection.
threshold. The possible indication of a small shift in mid transit time of the TUG, GMU, and MONET data (Fig. A.1), on the order of 3 min, can be attributed to correlated noise. A small transit midpoint shift of about 2 min (1σ deviation) is also visible in the Spitzer light curve (Fig. 9). By fitting the five TESS transits individually, we also see a scatter in transit time by that amount. The transit times were also checked and confirmed independently within the team using juliet and exofast.

This marginal transit time variation in the Spitzer light curve may indicate that a less massive planet could be hidden in the data. As a result, we explored this possibility using TTVFaster (Agol & Deck 2016). At a 2:1 orbit commensurability or mean motion resonance to planet b, a low-mass planet would produce TTVs of the order of minutes, strongly depending on the period and mass ratio, while its radial velocity signal could be sufficiently low to escape detection in the current data. A more in-depth investigation of the possibility of an additional planet is beyond the scope of this paper and requires more data, in particular, more transit-time measurements for planet b.

5.5. Final model for LP 714-47 b

In a final analysis step, we simultaneously fit the radial-velocity data together with the transit light curves. The simultaneous fit slightly improves the parameters. The results are listed in Table 4. In summary, we firmly detect a transiting planet with about twice the mass of Neptune (\( m_b = 30.8 \pm 1.5 M_{\oplus} \)) orbiting the early M star LP 714-47 at the period of the signal reported for TOI 442.01. The radius of \( R_b = 4.7 \pm 0.3 R_{\oplus} \) makes LP 714-47 b a Neptune-like planet with a mean density of \( \rho = 1.7 \pm 0.3 \, g \, cm^{-3} \). We obtain the stellar radius from the stellar mass prior and the corrected for individual offsets (Table 4). The other ground-based transit light curves are shown in Fig. A.1. We note the effect of the different limb darkening in the various filters.
model and the planetary model. The comparison to the radial-velocity data is shown in Fig. D.1, as well as to the phase-folded data in Fig. 6. In the latter, we omit CARMENES NIR data due to larger uncertainties as they would not contribute any additional information. A comparison of our final model to the transit photometric data sets is displayed in Figs. 9, 10, and A.1. The posterior parameter distribution is presented as a corner plot in Fig. 11.

6. Discussion and conclusions

We used radial-velocity data from CARMENES, ESPRESSO, HIRES, PFS, and iSHELL, and light curves from TESS, Spitzer, and ground-based photometry, as well as high-resolution AO imaging using Gemini/NIRI to confirm the planetary nature of LP 714-47 b and determine the parameters of the planetary system with high accuracy. The simultaneous fit of radial velocities and transits allowed a determination of the planetary mass and radius within 5% and 6%, respectively, while the planet-to-star radius ratio was determined with an even smaller fractional

---

**Fig. 11.** Posterior distribution of planetary parameters from our best-fit model.

**Fig. 12.** Mass-radius diagram for low-mass planets. Mass-radius relations for various compositions are overlayed. Coloured lines are planetary models by Zeng et al. (2016) for idealised pure compositions. Dashed lines indicate constant mean densities. The incoming stellar radiation in present terrestrial units is colour-coded.
uncertainty of 1.5%. The limiting factor was the uncertainty in the host star mass. Comparing these uncertainties to those listed in the NASA Exoplanet Archive\(^8\) LP 714-47 b is among the well-characterised planets, allowing for a meaningful comparison with planet structure models (Fig. 12).

As discussed in Sect. 5, the signal at 16 d is significant. From the existing data, it is, however, not possible to identify this signal in the radial-velocity data as a second planet due to the proximity of the period to half of the stellar rotation period at 33 d. We therefore do not claim the detection of a second planet in a 16 d orbit based on the current data set. The transit time of the Spitzer light curve may indicate a weak TTV, which could be due to a rocky planet close to 2:1 mean motion resonance. A longer monitoring of the radial velocity variations to check the coherence of the 16 d signal, as well as the re-visit of TESS in its extended mission to check for changes in transit times and inclination, will shed new light on this issue.

As shown in Fig. 12, LP 714-47 b has the same bulk density as Neptune at twice the Neptune mass and higher equilibrium temperature. Together with its orbital period, this places LP 714-47 b as an apparently typical warm Neptune-like planet at the edge of the Neptune desert. In the orbital period range of tens of days or less, and for a given planet size, the planet occurrence density \(dn/d\log P\) rises steeply at a specific period before flattening out to a roughly constant value. The position of this rise shifts to larger orbits with rising planet mass and radius (see Fig. 13), creating a diagonal boundary between a rather densely populated zone of super-Earths and an area of very low occurrence represented in grey in Fig. 13 (e.g. Szabó & Kiss 2011; Mazeh et al. 2016). The occurrence of planets such as LP 714-47 b within the Neptune desert provides constraints for the possible scenarios to explain this feature in the planetary system architectures.

Multiple origins of this Neptune desert have been considered:

– Photoevaporation of the planetary atmosphere once a gas-rich planet arrives close to its central star, explaining the lower boundary of the desert (Owen & Wu 2013; Lopez & Fortney 2014; Jin et al. 2014). Either additionally or alternatively, the subsequently released primordial energy from the formation may (further) clean up the Neptune desert, since this energy is comparable to the atmospheric binding energy. This core-powered mass-loss scenario was discussed later by Ginzburg et al. (2016), Gupta & Schlichting (2019, 2020);

– Interplay of gas accretion and planet migration in the core accretion scenario. Planets of low and intermediate masses undergo fast, inward type-I migration and arrive at inner orbits where they cannot accrete efficiently anymore (e.g. Cimerman et al. 2017; Lambrechts & Lega 2017). These planets presumably shape the lower border of the triangular desert region. On the other hand, planets that enter runaway gas accretion in the outer regions can open a gap in the disc and enter the less efficient type-II migration regime. These emerging gas giants move diagonally in the radius-period diagram and arrive at the upper border of the desert. In addition, scattering events could move gas giants that remained in the outer disc close to their host star, populating that region as well (e.g. Dawson & Johnson 2018). The resulting desert has been observed in several independent planet population syntheses (e.g. Ida & Lin 2008; Mordasini et al. 2009; Bitsch & Johansen 2016; Ndugu et al. 2018);

– Migration of low-mass planets of several Earth masses to the inner regions of the disc (e.g. Flock et al. 2019). At these close orbits, further gas accretion is unlikely due to the high temperatures (Lambrechts & Lega 2017; Cimerman et al. 2017) and the planets are stuck at low masses;

\(^8\) https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu/

---

Fig. 13. Period-radius diagrams for planets with radius precision better than 10%. The light grey shaded region represents the mean boundaries of the Neptune desert derived by Mazeh et al. (2016) and the dark grey shaded region corresponds to the interior envelope of the 1\(\sigma\) boundaries. The incoming stellar radiation is colour coded.
– High-eccentricity migration followed by circularisation (Matsakos & Königl 2016). When circularised close to the host star, planets in the intermediate mass range are preferentially subject to tidal disruption. Predictions related to the Neptune desert limits are in good agreement with the observations.

LP 714-47b is among the few objects that populate this desert, residing at its lower boundary. The low density of the planet (see mass-radius diagram Fig. 12) suggests that it hosts an atmosphere, although its composition is not yet known. This low bulk density indicates that at some point during its evolution, LP 714-47b accreted a sizeable gaseous envelope and retained parts of this atmosphere even if it was subject to photoevaporation, which is relatively low due to the late stellar type (see the colour code in Fig. 13). This scenario is supported by the mass of the planet, which is sufficient to prevent significant erosion through this mechanism (e.g. Owen & Wu 2013). If, however, the planet experienced photoevaporation, this scenario would lead to the preferential loss of light elements, this would indicate that it experienced photoevaporative loss (Benneke et al. 2019).

The eccentricity of LP 714-47b is very low. If it did indeed experience a high-eccentricity migration in the past, it obviously did survive the event. This is in agreement with its position in Fig. 1 of Matsakos & Königl (2016), where it is inside the stable region.

The close orbit of LP 714-47b implies a warm environment with equilibrium temperatures of about 700 K. At such temperatures and at its current location, the contraction of an early atmosphere is hindered due to recycling flows that penetrate the planetary Hill sphere, preventing the planet from growing into a gas giant (e.g. Cimerman et al. 2017). This leads to the tentative conclusion that the planet most likely formed in colder regions further out, possibly beyond the water ice line, before migrating inwards while its atmosphere contracted. In summary, LP 714-47b adds to planets in or close to the Neptune desert and, therefore, it contributes to the building of a sufficiently large sample that can provide constraints for the planet formation scenarios discussed above.
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Appendix A: Photometric facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument Observatory</th>
<th>Transit date</th>
<th>Diameter (m)</th>
<th>FOV (arcmin²)</th>
<th>CCD</th>
<th>Scale (arcsec pix⁻¹)</th>
<th>Filter(s)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Δt (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRAPPIST-South Paranal Observatory</td>
<td>2019-02-17</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>22 × 22</td>
<td>2k × 2k</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>z'</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCOGT</td>
<td>2019-02-17</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>26 × 26</td>
<td>4k × 4k</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>z'</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope</td>
<td>2019-02-17</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>18.8 × 12.5</td>
<td>1.5k × 1k</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Sauce</td>
<td>2019-02-17</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>12.6 × 12.6</td>
<td>2k × 2k</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONET-S</td>
<td>2019-09-27</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>21.5 × 21.5</td>
<td>4k × 4k</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South African Astronomical Observatory</td>
<td>2019-10-05</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>26 × 26</td>
<td>4k × 4k</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>g'</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUG</td>
<td>2019-10-30</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>21.5 × 21.5</td>
<td>4k × 4k</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>g', r', i', z'</td>
<td>4 × 230 229</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steward Observatory</td>
<td>2019-12-17</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>7.4 × 7.4</td>
<td>4 × 1k × 1k</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>g', r', i', z'</td>
<td>4 × 157 156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MuSCAT2</td>
<td>2019-12-26</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>23 × 23</td>
<td>4k × 4k</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>R_c</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMU</td>
<td>2019-12-25</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>23 × 23</td>
<td>4k × 4k</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>R_c</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WASP-South.** TOI 442 was monitored over 120 days in 2008/9 by WASP-South, the Southern station of the Wide Angle Search for Planets. WASP-South (located at SAAO, Sutherland, South Africa) was an array of 8 cameras using 200 mm, f/1.8 lenses with a broadband filter spanning 400–700 nm, equipped with 2048 × 2048 CCDs giving a plate scale of 13.7 arcsec per pixel.

**LCOGT.** We obtained a full transit on UTC 2019-02-17 from a 1 m telescope of the LCOGT node at the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory. A total of 155 frames covering 190 min of the 2019-02-17 transit were obtained in zₐ-band. The telescope is equipped with a Siministro camera with a pixel scale of 0.389 arcsec. The PSF is 1.75 arcsec, sources are extracted with an 16 pixel aperture. The images were calibrated by the LCOGT BANZAI pipeline (McCully et al. 2018) and photometric data were extracted using AstroImageJ.

**MONET-South.** The 1.2 m MONET/South telescope (MOntoring NEtwork of Telescopes) is located at the South African Astronomical Observatory (Northern Cape, South Africa). It is equipped with a Finger Lakes ProLine 2k × 2k e2v CCD and has a 12.6 × 12.6 arcmin² field of view. We performed aperture photometry with AstroImageJ using eight comparison stars, 236 images in V have been obtained covering 130 min of the 2019-09-27 transit.

**MuSCAT2.** The Multicolour Simultaneous Camera for studying Atmospheres of Transiting exoplanets 2 (MuSCAT2; Narita et al. 2019) is mounted at Telescopio Carlos Sánchez in Teide observatory (Tenerife, Spain). MuSCAT2 observes simultaneously in the g, r, i, and zₐ bands using a set of dichroics to split the light between four separate cameras with a field of view of 7.4 × 7.4 arcmin² (0.44 arcsec pix⁻¹). MuSCAT2 is designed to be especially efficient for science related to transiting exoplanets and objects varying on short timescales around cool stellar types. Aperture photometry is calculated using a Python-based pipeline especially developed for MuSCAT2 (see Narita et al. 2019, for details).

**TRAPPIST-South.** TRAPPIST-South at ESO’s La Silla Observatory in Chile is a 60-cm Ritchey-Chrétien telescope, which has a thermoelectrically cooled 2k × 2k FLI Proline CCD camera with a field-of-view of 22′ × 22′ and resolution of 0.65 arcsec pix⁻¹. We carried out a full-transit observation of TOI 442 on 2019-02-17 with the zₐ filter using an exposure time of 10 s. We took 505 images, and we made use of AstroImageJ to perform aperture photometry, where the optimum aperture was 10 pixels (6.5 arcsec) and the PSF was 3.44 arcsec. We cleared all the stars from eclipsing binaries within the 2.5 arcmin around the target star.

**ULMT.** We obtained a full transit on UTC 2019-10-30 from The University Louisville Manner Telescope (ULMT), which is located at Steward Observatory on Mount Lemmon near Tucson, Arizona. The observations employed a 0.6 m f/8 telescope equipped with an SBIG STX-1603 CCD which has a 4k × 4k array of 9 μm pixels, yielding a 0.39 arcsec pixel scale and a 26 × 26 arcmin field of view. A total of 89 g’-band exposures were obtained covering 266 min. The images were calibrated and photometric data were extracted using AstroImageJ.

**El Sauce.** We obtained a full transit on UTC 2019-02-17 from El Sauce private observatory in Coquimbo Province, Chile. The 0.36 m telescope is equipped with an SBIG STT1603-3 camera with a pixel scale of 0.735 arcsec resulting in an 18.8 × 12.5 arcmin field of view. In-camera binning was used at 2 × 2 giving an operating pixel scale of 1.47 arcsec. A total of 146 exposures covering 190 min were obtained in Rₐ-band. The PSF is 5.7 arcsec and sources were extracted with a 6 pixel aperture. The photometric data were extracted using AstroImageJ.

**TUG.** The 1m Ritchey-Chrétien T100 telescope located at TÜBİTAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) National Observatory (TUG) is equipped with an SI 1100 4k × 4k CCD camera with 15 × 15 μm pixels and 21.5′ × 21.5′ FoV. The full-transit observations have been obtained in Bessell R filter using an exposure time of 60 s. We obtained 114 frames for a full-transit observation on 2019-10-05.
During the data reduction, we performed aperture photometry using AstroImageJ with an aperture radius of 24 pixels (7.7 arcsec).

**GMU.** The 0.8 m Ritchey-Chrétien Optical Guidance Systems telescope is located at and operated by George Mason University. The observations employed an $R_c$ filter equipped with a SBIG16803 $4k \times 4k$ CCD with $9 \times 9 \mu m$ pixels and $23' \times 23'$ FoV. The images were calibrated and photometric data were extracted using AstroImageJ.

The main information of the ground-based photometry is also summarised in Table A.1. In the main text, we show the comparison of our final model to most of our data. Here, we add the comparison to the other ground-based transit data (Fig. A.1).

### Appendix B: ALFOSC low-resolution spectrum

We used the spectroscopic catalogue of M dwarfs by Alonso-Floriano et al. (2015) to determine the spectral type of LP 714-47 to be M2 V, with an error of half of a subtype. This classification is later than that determined by Lee (1984). However, as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. B.1, the match between our target and the M2V spectral standard is far from what is expected for a reliable classification, with significant deviations at wavelengths affected by the molecular absorption of TiO. This suggests that the metallicity of LP 714-47 is likely non-solar. We used the NEXTGEN synthetic spectra computed for different stellar parameters (Allard et al. 1997; Hauschildt et al. 1999) with a resolution degraded to the ALFOSC data, and found that when all three parameters (temperature, surface gravity, and metallicity) are free, the solution is degenerate. Models with $T_{\text{eff}}$ in the interval 3700–3900 K, atmospheric gravity log $g = 5.0$–5.5 (cgs), and metallicity of [M/H] = $+0.3$ dex (metal-rich) and $-0.5$ dex (metal-depleted) yield acceptable fits to the ALFOSC observations.

To conclude whether LP 714-47 is a metal-rich or metal-poor star, we used data from the literature (see next), and the modelling of the CARMENES high-resolution spectra (see main text of this paper). The optical and near-infrared colours of LP 714-47 appear to be consistent with the stars of the solar neighbourhood.
with similar spectral types, which implies a metallicity close to that of the solar vicinity. Using Gaia DR2 proper motion, parallax, and radial velocity, we obtained the space motions \( U = 124.4 \pm 3.5 \), \( V = -253.2 \pm 2.8 \), and \( W = -67.6 \pm 2.0 \) km s\(^{-1}\). The equations of Johnson & Soderblom (1987) were employed, where \( U \) is defined as positive away from the Galactic centre. Following the criteria of Leggett (1992), because of its very negative and high \( VW \) velocities, LP 714-47 may kinematically belong to a low-metallicity population of the Galaxy. From the extensive MaStar stellar library (described in Yan et al. 2019), we found good matches to the ALFOSC spectrum using stars with \( T_{\text{eff}} = 3750 \) K and \([\text{Fe/H}] = -0.26 \) dex (see top panel of Fig. B.1).

Appendix C: Additional high-contrast imaging

Direct high-resolution imaging observations of LP 714-47 were carried out on 14 January 2020 using the Zorro speckle instrument on Gemini-South\(^9\). Zorro simultaneously provides speckle imaging in two bands, 562 nm and 832 nm, with output data products including a reconstructed image, and robust limits on companion detections (Howell et al. 2011). The observations consisted of 5 sets of 1000, 0.06 s observations each obtained during a night of good seeing (0.6 arcsec). Fig. C.1 shows the speckle imaging contrast curves in both bands and the reconstructed high-resolution images for LP 714-47. Based on these observations, we find that LP 714-47 is a single star with no companion brighter than about 5–7.5 magnitudes detected within 1.2 arcsec. These limits corresponds to a detection of no companion brighter than an M7-M9 main sequence star between the inner and outer working angle limits, for \( d = 52 \) pc, of 0.9 to 1.5 au.

Appendix D: Additional model comparison to data

In addition to the phase-folded presentation of the radial-velocity data compared to our final model (Fig. 6), here we present the entire radial-velocity data set compared to the final model over the time base of the observations. In this plot, we also show the contribution of the Keplerian model only. The modification by the added GP model is especially visible in the regions of ESPRESSO data around BJD 2458748 and HIRES data around BJD 2458788.

\(^9\) https://www.gemini.edu/sciops/instruments/alopeke-zorro/
**Appendix E: Modelling details**

As mentioned in Sect. 5, we used celerite (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2017) as parametric noise model accounting for covariances between data points, which offers a fast and reliable implementation of GP regression. Covariances may be due to stellar, instrumental, or observational origin.

The likelihood function for $N$ data points $y_n$ at times $t_n$ with uncertainties $\sigma_n^2$ and model parameters $\theta$ (in our case the Keplerian orbital parameters) providing the residual vector $r$ is:

$$\ln p(y_n | t_n, \sigma^2_n, \theta) = -\frac{1}{2} r^T K^{-1} r - \frac{1}{2} \ln \det K - \frac{N}{2} \ln 2\pi.$$  

The covariance matrix $K_{nm} = \sigma_n^2 \delta_{nm} + k(\tau_{nm})$ has two terms, the uncertainties on the diagonal, which may include a quadratically added jitter ($k(\tau_{nm}) = \sigma^2 \delta_{nm}$), and the covariances or kernel as function of time differences $\tau_{nm} = t_n - t_m$. For the latter, celerite restricts the parameterisation to the following sum of complex exponential functions for $J$ kernels:

$$k(\tau_{nm}) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left[ (a_j + ib_j) e^{-(c_j+id_j)\tau_{nm}} + (a_j - ib_j) e^{-(c_j-id_j)\tau_{nm}} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (E.1)

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left[ \alpha_j e^{-B_j \tau} + \alpha_j^* e^{-B_j^* \tau_{nm}} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (E.2)

For $b = 0$ and $d = 0$, the kernel is called REAL and represents an exponential decay at a characteristic time scale $\tau$ and has two...
free parameters, the variance $a$ and $c = 1/\tau$:

$$k(\tau_{nm}) = a \exp(-c \tau_{nm}) \quad \text{(E.3)}$$

A kernel representing damped oscillations driven by white noise is called SHO. It could represent solar-like oscillation or pseudo radial velocity variations from evolving spots on the rotating stellar surface. It has three parameters, the undamped oscillator period $P = 2\pi/\omega_0$, a damping time scale $\tau$ related to the quality factor $Q$, and the variance $S_0$. For more details see Eqs. (19)–(24) in Foreman-Mackey et al. (2017). It has the form:

$$k(\tau_{nm}) = S_0 \omega_0 Q \exp\left(-\frac{\omega_0 \tau_{nm}}{2Q}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad \eta = \sqrt{\left|1 - (4Q^2)^{-1}\right|}.$$

## Appendix F: Two-planet model

As discussed in Sect. 5, a second planet may be present. Its orbital period close to the first harmonic of the stellar rotation period requires a longer time base in order to check the coherence of that signal. We show the fit to the current data here. Additional ground-based data, especially longer coverage of a single instrument, would be necessary for discriminating between planetary origin and stellar activity.

Assuming the second signal to be of planetary origin, we show the fit to all radial velocity data in Fig. F.1, and the second phase-folded with the correlated noise and the first planet removed (Fig. F.2). The decomposition of the radial velocity data into the two planetary signals and the correlated noise is shown in Figs. F.3 and F.4.

**Fig. F.1.** Radial-velocity data (CARMENES VIS/NIR in light blue and orange circles, respectively, ESPRESSO in purple upper triangles, HIRES in crimson lower triangles, PFS in orange diamonds, and iSHELL in green pentagons). The two-planet model is shown in dark grey (thick line) including the variation due to the GP (light grey). The two-planet model is shown in darker grey and thin line.
Fig. F.2. Radial velocity data (grey symbols) and corrected for correlated noise (coloured symbols) phase folded to the period of the putative second planet.

Fig. F.3. Subsequent pre-whitening of the radial velocity data (top) with the signals of TOI 442.01 and the potential second planet (second panel), the Gaussian Process modelling the correlated noise (third panel), and the residuals (bottom). Horizontal lines indicate the false alarm probability of 1%, 0.11%, and 0.01%, respectively.

Fig. F.4. Periodograms of the model components: original radial-velocity data (top) the Gaussian process modelling the correlated noise (second panel), planet b (third panel), and the potential second planet (bottom). Horizontal lines indicate the false alarm probability of 1%, 0.11%, and 0.01%, respectively.