

MIT Open Access Articles

Unipotent classes and special Weyl group representations

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *[Please](https://libraries.mit.edu/forms/dspace-oa-articles.html) share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Lusztig, G. "Unipotent Classes and Special Weyl Group Representations." Journal of Algebra 321 11 (2009): 3418-49.

As Published: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2008.04.004

Publisher: Elsevier BV

Persistent URL: <https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/140230>

Version: Original manuscript: author's manuscript prior to formal peer review

Terms of use: Creative Commons [Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License

UNIPOTENT CLASSES AND SPECIAL WEYL GROUP REPRESENTATIONS

G. Lusztig

INTRODUCTION

0.1. Let G be a simple adjoint algebraic group over C and let \mathcal{X} be the set of unipotent conjugacy classes in G. Let $C \in \mathcal{X}$ and let $u \in C$. The following invariants of C are important in representation theory:

-the dimension \mathbf{b}_C of the fixed point set of $\text{Ad}(u)$ on the flag manifold of G;

-the number \mathbf{z}_C of connected components of the centralizer of u in G ;

-the number $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C$ of connected components of the centralizer of a unipotent element in the simply connected covering of G which projects to u ;

-the irreducible representation ρ_C of the Weyl group W of G corresponding to C and the constant local system under the Springer correspondence [Sp].

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of W of the form ρ_C for some $C \in \mathcal{X}$. It is known [Sp] that $C \mapsto \rho_C$ is a bijection $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$.

Note that the definition of each of \mathbf{b}_C , \mathbf{z}_C , $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C$ is based on considerations of algebraic geometry and in the case of \mathcal{S}_{W} , also on considerations of étale cohomology.

In [L1, Sec.9] I conjectured that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}, C \mapsto \mathbf{b}_C$ and $C \mapsto \mathbf{z}_C$ can be determined purely in terms of data involving the Weyl group \bf{W} (more precisely, the "special representations" of the "parahoric" subgroups of W , see 1.1, 1.2). At that time I could only prove this conjecture for $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}$ and for $C \mapsto \mathbf{b}_C$ assuming that G is of classical type (my proof was based on $[S1]$) and a little later for G of type F_4 (based on [S2]). In [AL] the conjecture for $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$ and $C \mapsto \mathbf{b}_C$ was established for G of type E_6, E_7, E_8 . At the time [L4] was written, I proved the remaining conjecture of [L1] (concerning $C \mapsto \mathbf{z}_C$); this was stated in [L4, 13.3]. For classical groups the proof involved a new description (in terms of "symbols") of the Springer correspondence for classical groups (given in [L5]) while for exceptional groups this was a purely mechanical verification based on the tables [Al]. The conjecture of [L1] is restated and proved here as Theorem $1.5(a)$, $(b1)$, $(b2)$. At the same time we state and prove a complement to that conjecture, namely that $C \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C$ is determined purely in terms of data involving **W** (see Theorem 1.5(b3)). Note that for classical groups

Supported in part by the National Science Foundation

this involves some combinatorial considerations while for exceptional groups this involves only a purely mechanical verification based on the known tables.

Notation. For a finite set F let |F| be the cardinal of F. For i, j in **Z** we set $[i, j] = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}; i \leq n \leq j\}.$ For x, y in \mathbb{Z} we write $x \ll y$ if $x \leq y - 2$.

CONTENTS

- 1. Statement of the main result.
- 2. Combinatorics.
- 3. Type A_{n-1} .
- 4. Type B_n .
- 5. Type C_n .
- 6. Type D_n .
- 7. Exceptional types.

Index.

1. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

1.1. Let W be a finite crystallographic Coxeter group. Let $\text{Irr}(W)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of W over Q. If $E \in \text{Irr}(W)$ and E' is a finite dimensional $\mathbf{Q}[W]$ -module, let $[E:E']_W$ be the multiplicity of E in E'. Let S_W^i be the *i*-th symmetric power of the reflection representation of W. For any $E \in \text{Irr}(W)$ we define integers $f_E \geq 1$, $a_E \geq 0$ by the requirement that the generic degree of the Hecke algebra representation corresponding to E is of the form $\frac{1}{f_E}$ **q**^{a_E}+higher powers of **q** (**q** is an indeterminate); let b_E be the smallest integer $i \geq 0$ such that $[E : S_W^i]_W \geq 1$. As observed in [L1, Sec.2], we have $a_E \leq b_E$ for any $E \in \text{Irr}(W)$; following [L1, Sec.2] we set $\mathcal{S}_W = \{E \in \text{Irr}(W); a_E = b_E\};$ this is the set of "special representations" of W. Let $\text{Irr}(W)^{\dagger} = \{E \in \text{Irr}(W); [E :$ $S_W^{b_E}]_W = 1$. We have $S_W \subset \text{Irr}(W)^{\dagger}$.

1.2. In this paper we fix a root datum of finite type $\mathcal{R} = (Y, X, \check{\alpha}_i, \alpha_i (i \in I), \langle, \rangle).$ (Here Y, X are free abelian groups of finite rank, $\langle , \rangle : Y \times X \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a perfect pairing, $\check{\alpha}_i \in Y$ are the simple coroots and $\alpha_i \in X$ are the simple roots.) We assume that $I \neq \emptyset$ and that R is of adjoint type that is, $\{\alpha_i; i \in I\}$ is a Z-basis of X. Let $R \subset X$ (resp. $R \subset Y$) be the set of roots (resp. coroots); let $\check{\alpha} \leftrightarrow \alpha$ be the canonical bijection $\hat{R} \leftrightarrow R$. We assume that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is irreducible that is, there is a unique $\alpha_0 \in R$ such that $\check{\alpha}_0 - \check{\alpha}_i \notin \check{R}$ for any $i \in I$. Let $\tilde{I} = I \sqcup \{0\}$. For $i \in \tilde{I}$ let $s_i: X \to X$ be the reflection determined by $\alpha_i, \check{\alpha}_i$. Let **W** be the subgroup of $GL(X)$ generated by $\{s_i; i \in I\}$, a finite crystallographic Coxeter group containing s₀. The elements $s_i(i \in I)$ in W satisfy the relations of the affine Weyl group of type dual to that of R. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{J; J \subsetneq \tilde{I}\}\$. For any $J \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by $\{s_i; i \in J\}$, a finite crystallographic Coxeter group with set of generators $\{s_i; i \in J\}$, said to be a *parahoric subgroup* of **W**.

Let Ω be the (commutative) subgroup of W consisting of all $\omega \in W$ such that $\omega(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{\underline{\omega}(i)}$ $(i \in \tilde{I})$ for some (necessarily unique) permutation $\underline{\omega} : \tilde{I} \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \tilde{I}$.

1.3. If $J \in \tilde{A}$ and $E_1 \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}_J)^{\dagger}$, there is a unique $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ such that $b_E = b_{E_1}$ and $[E : \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}}(E_1)]_{\mathbf{W}} \ge 1$. (Then $[E : \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}}(E_1)]_{\mathbf{W}} = 1$ and $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})^{\dagger}$.) We write $E = j_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}}(E_1)$. Let $E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W})$ and let

$$
\mathcal{Z}_E = \{ (J, E_1); J \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}_J}, E = j_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}}(E_1) \}.
$$

Let

$$
\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}} = \{ E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}); \mathcal{Z}_E \neq \emptyset \}.
$$

Let $E \in \bar{S}_{\mathbf{W}}$. We set

$$
\mathfrak{a}_E = \max_{(J,E_1)\in \mathcal{Z}_E} f_{E_1}.
$$

Let $\mathcal{Z}_E^{\spadesuit} = \{ (J, E_1) \in \mathcal{Z}_E; f_{E_1} = \mathfrak{a}_E \}.$ We have $\mathcal{Z}_E^{\spadesuit} \neq \emptyset$.

If $(J, E_1) \in \mathcal{Z}_E$ and $\omega \in \Omega$ then $\text{Ad}(\omega) : \mathbf{W}_J \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \mathbf{W}_{\underline{\omega}(J)}$ carries E_1 to a representation ${}^{\omega}E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}_{\underline{\omega}(J)}}$ such that ${\rm Ind}_{\mathbf{W}_{\underline{\omega}}^{\mathbf{W}}}(E_1) = {\rm Ind}_{\mathbf{W}_{\underline{\omega}(J)}^{\mathbf{W}}} ({}^{\omega}E_1), b_{\omega}E_1 = b_{E_1}$ and $f_{\omega E_1} = f_{E_1}$. It follows that $j_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}}(E_1) = j_{\mathbf{W}_{\omega(J)}}^{\mathbf{W}}({}^{\omega}E_1)$. Thus $(\underline{\omega}(J), {}^{\omega}E_1) \in \mathcal{Z}_E$ and $\omega: (J, E_1) \mapsto (\underline{\omega}(J), {}^{\omega}E_1)$ is an action of Ω on \mathcal{Z}_E . This restricts to an action of Ω on $\mathcal{Z}_E^{\spadesuit}$. The stabilizer in Ω of $(J, E_1) \in \mathcal{Z}_E^{\spadesuit}$ for this action is denoted by Ω_{J,E_1} . We set

$$
\mathfrak{c}_E = \max_{(J,E_1)\in \mathcal{Z}_E^{\spadesuit}} |\Omega_{J,E_1}|.
$$

1.4. Let G be a semisimple (adjoint) algebraic group over C with root datum \mathcal{R} . Let $\mathcal{X}, C \mapsto \rho_C, C \mapsto \mathbf{b}_C, C \mapsto \mathbf{z}_C, C \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}}$ be as in 0.1.

Theorem 1.5. (a) $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}} = \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$. (b) Let $C \in \mathcal{X}$. Set $E = \rho_C \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$. Then: $(b1)$ $\mathbf{b}_C = b_E;$ $(b2)$ $\mathbf{z}_C = \mathfrak{a}_E;$ (b3) $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C = \mathfrak{c}_E$.

For exceptional types the proof of (a) , $(b1)$ - $(b3)$ consists in examining the existing tables. Some relevant data is collected in §7. The proof for the classical types is given in §3-§6 after combinatorial preliminaries in 1.9-1.11 and §2.

1.6. Let G' be a connected reductive group over C such that G is the quotient of G' by its centre.

Note that 1.5(a) is closely connected to the definition of a unipotent support of a character sheaf on G' provided by [L6, 10.7]. In fact, [L6, 10.7(iii)] provides a proof of the inclusion $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_W \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_W$ without case by case checking.

For any $g \in G'$ let g_u be the unipotent part of g. We now state an alternative conjectural definition of the unipotent support of a character sheaf on G' .

Conjecture 1.7. Let A be a character sheaf on G' . There exists a unique unipotent class C in G′ such that:

(i) $A|_{\{q\}} \neq 0$ for some $g \in G'$ with $g_u \in C$;

(ii) if $g' \in G'$ satisfies $A|_{\{g'\}} \neq 0$ then the conjugacy class of g'_u in G' has $dimension < dim(C)$.

1.8. Theorem 1.5 remains valid if C is replaced by an algebraically closed field whose characteristic is either 0 or a prime which is good for G and which (if G is of type A_{n-1}) does not divide n.

1.9. In the rest of this section we discuss some preliminaries to the proof of 1.5.

If $J, J' \in \tilde{A}, J \subset J'$ and $E_1 \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}_J)^{\dagger}$, there is a unique $E'_1 \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}_{J'})$ such that $b_{E_1} = b_{E'_1}$ and $[E'_1 : \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}_{J'}}(E_1)]_{\mathbf{W}_{J'}} \ge 1$. (Then $[E'_1 : \text{Ind}_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}_{J'}}(E_1)]_{\mathbf{W}_{J'}} = 1$ and $E'_1 \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}_{J'})^{\dagger}$.) We write $E'_1 = j_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}_{J'}}$ $\mathbf{W}_J^{\prime\prime}(E_1)$. Note that

(a) $j_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}}(E_1) = j_{\mathbf{W}_{J'}}^{\mathbf{W}}(j_{\mathbf{W}_{J}}^{\mathbf{W}_{J'}})$ ${\bf W}_J^{\prime\prime}(E_1)$);

(b) if, in addition, $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}_J}$, then $E'_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{W}_{J'}}$ and $f_{E_1} \leq f_{E'_1}$. (See [L1, Sec.4].)

Let \mathcal{P}' be the collection of parahoric subgroups W of W such that $W = W_J$ for some $J \subset \tilde{I}$, $|J| = |\tilde{I}| - 1$. From (a),(b) we see that

(c)
$$
\bar{S}_{\mathbf{W}} = \{ E \in \text{Irr}(\mathbf{W}); E = j_W^{\mathbf{W}}(E_1) \text{ for some } W \in \mathcal{P}' \text{ and some } E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_W \},
$$

(d)
$$
\mathfrak{a}_E = \max_{(J,E_1)\in\mathcal{Z}_E; |J|=|\tilde{I}|-1} f_{E_1} \text{ for } E \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}.
$$

If $W = W_1 \times W_2$ where W_1, W_2 are finite crystallographic Coxeter groups and $E_1 \in \text{Irr}(W_1), E_2 \in \text{Irr}(W_2)$ then $E := E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \in \text{Irr}(W)$ belongs to \mathcal{S}_W if and only if $E_1 \in S_{W_1}$ and $E_2 \in S_{W_2}$; in this case we have

(e) $a_E = a_{E_1} + a_{E_2}, f_E = f_{E_1} f_{E_2}.$

1.10. We show:

(a) if $J, J' \in \tilde{A}$ and $\mathbf{W}_J = \mathbf{W}_{J'} \neq \mathbf{W}$ then $J = J'$.

It is enough to show that if $J, J' \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathbf{W}_J \subset \mathbf{W}_{J'} \neq \mathbf{W}$ then $J \subset J'$. To see this we may assume that J consists of a single element j. We have $s_j \in W_{J'}$. Assume that $j \notin J'$. If $J' \cup \{j\} \neq \tilde{I}$ then $\mathbf{W}_{J' \cup \{j\}}$ is a Coxeter group on the generators $\{s_h; h \in J' \cup \{j\}\}\$. In particular s_j is not contained in the subgroup $\mathbf{W}_{J'}$ generated by $\{s_h; h \in J'\}$, a contradiction. Thus we have $J' \cup \{j\} = \tilde{J}$. We see that $\mathbf{W}_{J'}$ contains $\{s_h; h \in J' \cup \{j\}\}\$ which generates W. Thus $\mathbf{W}_J = \mathbf{W}$ which is again a contradiction. This proves (a).

1.11. For a subgroup $\tilde{\Omega}$ of Ω let $\mathcal{P}^{\tilde{\Omega}}$ be the collection of parahoric subgroups W of W such that $W = W_J$ for some $J \in \mathcal{A}$ where J is Ω -stable and is maximal with this property. From the definitions we have

$$
\mathfrak{c}_E = \max |\tilde{\Omega}|,
$$

where the maximum is taken over all subgroups $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ and all $(J, E_1) \in \mathcal{Z}_E^{\spadesuit}$ such that $\mathbf{W}_J \in \mathcal{P}^{\tilde{\Omega}}$, $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega_{J,E_1}$.

2. Combinatorics

2.1. In this section we fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $Z_m = \{z_* = (z_0, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}; z_0 < z_1 < \cdots < z_m\}.$ Let $z_*^0 = z_*^{0,m} = (0,1,2,\ldots,m) \in Z_m$. For any $z_* \in Z_m$ we have $z_* - z_*^0 \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$. Hence

 $\rho_0: Z_m \to \mathbf{N}, z_* \mapsto \sum_{i \in [0,m]} (z_i - z_i^0)$ and $\beta_0: Z_m \to \mathbf{N}, z_* \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq m} (z_i - z_i^0)$

are well defined. For any $n \in \mathbf{\overline{N}}$ we set $Z_m^n = \{z_* \in Z_m; \rho_0(z_*) = n\}.$

2.2. Let X_m be the set of all $x_* = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$ such that $x_i \leq$ x_{i+1} for $i \in [0, m-1], x_i < x_{i+2}$ for $i \in [0, m-2]$. For $x_* \in X_m$ let $\mathfrak{S}(x_*)$ be the set of all $i \in [0, m]$ such that $x_{i-1} < x_i < x_{i+1}$ (with the convention $x_{-1} = -\infty, x_{m+1} = \infty$. Note that

(a) $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| \cong m-1 \mod 2;$

(b)
$$
\mathfrak{S}(x_*) = \emptyset
$$
 if and only if m is odd and $x_i = x_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, (m-1)/2$.

2.3. Let Y_m be the set of all $y_* = (y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$ such that $y_i \leq y_{i+1}$ for $i \in [0, m-1]$, $y_i \ll y_{i+2}$ for $i \in [0, m-2]$. For $y_* \in Y_m$ let $\mathfrak{I}(y_*)$ be the set of all intervals $[i, j] \subset [0, m]$ (with $i \leq j$) such that

$$
y_{i-1} - (i-1) < y_i - i = y_{i+1} - i + 1 = \cdots = y_j - j < y_{j+1} - (j+1)
$$

(with the convention $y_{-1} = -\infty, y_{m+1} = \infty$). We have

(a) $\mathfrak{I}(y_*) = \emptyset$ if and only if m is odd and $y_i = y_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, (m-1)/2$. Let

 $\mathfrak{I}'(y_*) = \{ \mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*); \mathcal{I} = [i, j] \text{ with } |[i, j]| = \text{odd} \},\$

$$
\mathfrak{I}''(y_*) = \{ \mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*); \mathcal{I} = [i, j] \text{ with } |[i, j]| = \text{even} \}.
$$

We have

(b) $|\mathfrak{I}'(y_*)| \cong m-1 \mod 2$.

Let $R(y_*)$ be the set of all $k \in [0, m]$ such that $k = i$ or $k = j$ for some (necessarily unique) $[i, j] \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$. Let $R_0(y_*)$ be the set of all $k \in [0, m]$ such that $k = i$ for some (necessarily unique) $[i, j] \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$ with $i = j$. Clearly,

(c)
$$
|R(y_*)| + |R_0(y_*)| = 2|\Im(y_*)|
$$
.

2.4. Let $x_*, x'_* \in X_m$ and let $y_* = x_* + x'_* \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$. Note that $y \in Y_m$. If $k \in \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$ then $x_{k-1} < x_k < x_{k+1}$, $x'_{k-1} \le x'_k \le x'_{k+1}$ (and at least one of the last two \leq is \lt). Hence $y_{k-1} \lt y_k \lt y_{k+1}$ (and at least one of the last two $\langle s \rangle \leq s \langle s \rangle$. Hence $k \in R(y_*)$. Thus $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \subset R(y_*)$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \subset R(y_*)$. We see that $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cup \mathfrak{S}(x_*') \subset R(y_*)$. If $k \in \mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cap \mathfrak{S}(x_*')$ then $x_{k-1} < x_k <$ $x_{k+1}, x'_{k-1} < x'_{k} < x'_{k+1}$ hence $y_{k-1} \ll y_k \ll y_{k+1}$ so that $k \in R_0(y_*)$. Thus, $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cap \mathfrak{S}(x'_*) \subset R_0(y_*)$ and

$$
|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(x'_*)| = |\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cup \mathfrak{S}(x'_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cap \mathfrak{S}(x'_*)| \leq |R(y_*)| + |R_0(y_*)|.
$$

Using this and $2.3(c)$ we see that

(a)
$$
|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(x'_*)| \le 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|,
$$

with equality if and only if $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cup \mathfrak{S}(x_*) = R(y_*)$ and $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cap \mathfrak{S}(x_*) = R_0(y_*)$.

2.5. Let $y_* \in Y_m$. We consider a partition $[0, m] = \mathcal{J}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{J}_1 \sqcup \ldots \mathcal{J}_t$ where for each $s \in [0, t]$ we have $\mathcal{J}_s = [m_s, m'_{s+1}]$ with $m_s \le m'_{s+1}$, $m_0 = 0$, $m'_{t+1} = m$ and for each $s \in [1, t]$ we have $m_s = m'_s + 1$. We require that for $s \in [1, t]$ we have $y_{m'_s} \ll y_{m_s}$ and for any $s \in [0, t]$ we have either

(i) $|\mathcal{J}_s| = 2$ and $(y_{m_s}, y_{m'_{s+1}}) = (a_s, a_s)$, or

(ii) $(y_{m_s}, y_{m_s+1}, \ldots, y_{m'_{s+1}}) = (a_s, a_s + 1, a_s + 2, \ldots).$

for some $a_s \in \mathbb{N}$. Such a partition exists and is unique. Let

 $\mathcal{G}_1(y_*) = \{s \in [0,t]; s \text{ is as in (i)}\}, \mathcal{G}_2(y_*) = \{s \in [0,t]; s \text{ is as in (ii)}\}.$ We have

 $\Im(y_*) = \{ [i, j]; i = m_s, j = m'_{s+1} \text{ for some } s \in \mathcal{G}_2(y_*) \};$ $R(y_*) = \{i \in [0, m]; i = m_s \text{ or } i = m'_{s+1} \text{ for some } s \in \mathcal{G}_2(y_*)\};$ $R_0(y_*) = \{i \in [0, m]; i = m_s = m'_{s+1} \text{ for some } s \in \mathcal{G}_2(y_*)\}.$

2.6. Let $y_* \in Y_m$. Let $S'(y_*)$ be the set consisting of all pairs $x_* = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m), x'_* = (x'_0, x'_1, \ldots, x'_m)$ in N^{m+1} which satisfy (i)-(iv) below (notation in 2.5):

(i) for any $s \in \mathcal{G}_1(y_*)$ we have $(x_{m_s}, x_{m'_{s+1}}) = (u_s, u_s), (x'_{m_s}, x'_{m'_{s+1}}) = (u'_s, u'_s)$, $u_s + u'_s = a_s;$

(ii) for any $s \in \mathcal{G}_2(y_*)$ we have either

(ii1) $(x_{m_s}, x_{m_s+1}, \ldots, x_{m'_{s+1}}) = (u_s, u_s+1, u_s+1, u_s+2, u_s+2, u_s+3, \ldots),$ $(x'_{m_s}, x'_{m_s+1}, \ldots, x'_{m'_{s+1}}) = (u'_s, u'_s, u'_s + 1, u'_s + 1, u'_s + 2, u'_s + 2, \ldots), u_s + u'_s = a_s,$ or

(ii2) $(x_{m_s}, x_{m_s+1}, \ldots, x_{m'_{s+1}}) = (u_s, u_s, u_s + 1, u_s + 1, u_s + 2, u_s + 2, \ldots),$ $(x'_{m_s}, x'_{m_s+1}, \ldots, x'_{m'_{s+1}}) = (u'_s, u'_s+1, u'_s+1, u'_s+2, u'_s+2, u'_s+3, \ldots), u_s+u'_s =$

 a_s ;

(iii) for any $s \in [1, t]$ we have $x_{m'_s} < x_{m_s}, x'_{m'_s} < x'_{m_s}$;

(iv) if $\mathfrak{I}'(y_*) = \emptyset$ then for any $s \in \mathcal{G}_2(y_*)$, $(x_{m_s}, x_{m_s+1}, \ldots, x_{m'_{s+1}})$, $(x'_{m_s}, x'_{m_s+1}, \ldots, x'_{m'_{s+1}})$ are as in (ii1).

An element (x_*, x'_*) of $S'(y_*)$ can be constructed by induction as follows. Assume that the entries x_i, x'_i have been already chosen for $i \in \mathcal{J}_0 \cup \mathcal{J}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{J}_{s-1}$ for some $s \in [0, t]$ so that (i)-(iii) hold as far as it makes sense. In the case where $s > 0$ let $\xi = x_{m'_s}, \xi' = x'_{m'_s}$; in the case where $s = 0$ let $\xi = \xi' = -\infty$. In any case we have $\xi + \xi' \le a_s - 2$ hence we can find u_s, u'_s in **N** such that $\xi < u_s, \xi' < u'_s$, $u_s + u'_s = a_s$. (The number of choices is $y_{m_s} - y_{m'_s} - 1$ if $s > 0$ and $y_0 + 1$ if $s = 0$.) Then we define

 $(x_{m_s}, x_{m_s+1}, \ldots, x_{m'_{s+1}}), (x'_{m_s}, x'_{m_s+1}, \ldots, x'_{m'_{s+1}})$

by (i) if $s \in \mathcal{G}_1(y_*)$ and by (ii) if $s \in \mathcal{G}_2(y_*)$. This gives two choices for each $s \in \mathcal{G}_2(y_*)$ such that $|\mathcal{J}_s| > 1$, unless $\mathfrak{I}'(y_*) = \emptyset$ when there is only one choice. This completes the inductive definition of x_*, x'_* . We see that $S'(y_*) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $S(y_*)$ be the set of all $(x_*, x'_*) \in X_m \times X_m$ such that (v) , (vi) , (vii) below hold:

(v) $x_* + x'_* = y_*,$

(vi) $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cup \mathfrak{S}(x_*) = R(y_*)$, $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cap \mathfrak{S}(x_*) = R_0(y_*)$ (or equivalently $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|$ + $|\mathfrak{S}(x'_*)|=2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|),$

(vii) if $\mathfrak{I}'(y_*) = \emptyset$ (so that m is odd), then $\mathfrak{S}(x'_*) = \emptyset$.

From the definitions we see that $S(y_*) = S'(y_*)$. Hence (a) $S(y_*) \neq \emptyset$.

From $2.4(a)$ we see that:

(b) if $\Im(y_*) = \emptyset$ and $(x_*, x'_*) \in S(y_*)$ then $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) = \emptyset$, $\mathfrak{S}(x'_*) = \emptyset$. On the other hand,

(c) if $\Im'(y_*) \neq \emptyset$ and $(x_*, x'_*) \in S(y_*)$ then $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \neq \emptyset$, $\mathfrak{S}(x'_*) \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, let $[i, j] \in \mathfrak{I}'(y_*)$. Then we have either $i \in \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$, $j \in \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$ or $i \in$ $\mathfrak{S}(x',), j \in \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$; in both cases the conclusion of (c) holds.

2.7. In this subsection we assume that m is even, > 2 . We set

 $\tilde{X}_m = \{x_* \in X_m; x_0 = 0, x_1 \geq 1\}, \ \tilde{Y}_m = \{y_* \in Y_m; y_1 \geq 1\}.$ If $x_* \in X_m$, $x'_* \in \tilde{X}_m$, then $x_* + x'_* \in \tilde{Y}_m$.

Let $y_* \in Y_m$ be such that

(a) $y_0 = 0, y_1 = 1.$

(Thus $\Im(y_*)$ contains an interval of form $[0, \alpha]$ hence $\Im(y_*) \neq \emptyset$.) Let $\tilde{S}'(y_*)$ be the set consisting of all pairs $x_* = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_m), x'_* = (x'_0, x'_1, \ldots, x'_m)$ in \mathbb{N}^{m+1} which satisfy the conditions (i)-(iii) in 2.6 together with conditions (i),(ii) below (notation in 2.5):

(i) for $s = 0$ (necessarily in $\mathcal{G}_2(y_*)$) we have

 $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m'_1}) = (0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, \ldots), (x'_0, x'_1, \ldots, x'_{m'_1}) = (0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, \ldots)$ (so that $0 \in \mathfrak{S}(x'_*)$);

(ii) if $\mathfrak{I}(y_*) = \{ [0, \alpha] \} \cup \mathfrak{I}''(y_*)$ (so that $\mathfrak{I}'(y_*) = \{ [0, \alpha] \}$) then for any $s \in \mathfrak{I}$ $\mathcal{G}_2(y_*) - \{0\}, (x_{m_s}, x_{m_s+1}, \ldots, x_{m'_{s+1}}), (x'_{m_s}, x'_{m_s+1}, \ldots, x'_{m'_{s+1}})$ are as in 2.6(ii1). We can construct an element in $\tilde{S}'(y_*)$ by the same method as in 2.6. In particular,

 $\tilde{S}'(y_*) \neq \emptyset.$

Now let $\tilde{S}(y_*)$ be the set of all $(x_*, x'_*) \in X_m \times \tilde{X}_m$ such that

(iii) $x_* + x'_* = y_*,$

(iv) $\mathfrak{S}(x_*)\cup \mathfrak{S}(x_*)=R(y_*)$, $\mathfrak{S}(x_*)\cap \mathfrak{S}(x_*)=R_0(y_*)$ (or equivalently $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|$ + $|\mathfrak{S}(x'_*)|=2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|),$

(v) if $\Im(y_*) = \{ [0, \alpha] \} \cup \Im''(y_*)$, then $\mathfrak{S}(x'_*) = \{ 0 \}.$

From the definitions we see that $\tilde{S}(y_*) = \tilde{S}'(y_*)$. Hence

(b) $\tilde{S}(y) \neq \emptyset$.

Note that

(c) if $\Im(y_*) = \{ [0, \alpha] \}$ and $(x_*, x'_*) \in \tilde{S}(y_*)$, then $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) = \{ \alpha \}, \, \mathfrak{S}(x'_*) = \{ 0 \}.$ Indeed from 2.4(a) we see that $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| \leq 2$. On the other hand, we have $0 \in \mathfrak{S}(x')$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$ (see (i)) since in this case α is even; (c) follows. Note that

(d) if $\mathfrak{I}'(y_*)$ contains at least one interval $\neq [0, \alpha]$ and $(x_*, x_*') \in \tilde{S}(y_*)$, then $|\mathfrak{S}(x'_*)| \geq 3.$

Indeed, let $[i, j] \in \mathfrak{I}'(y_*)$, $[i, j] \neq [0, \alpha]$. Then we have either $i \in \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$, $j \in \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$

or $i \in \mathfrak{S}(x',), j \in \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$. Since $0 \in \mathfrak{S}(x'_*)$ we see that $|\mathfrak{S}(x'_*)| \geq 2$. Since $|\mathfrak{S}(x'_*)|$ is odd we see that $|\mathfrak{S}(x')| \geq 3$.

2.8. Let $x_*^0 \in X_m$ be $(0, 0, 1, 1, \ldots, (n-1), (n-1), n)$ if $m = 2n$ and $(0, 0, 1, 1, \ldots, n, n)$ if $m = 2n + 1$. For any $x_* \in X_m$ we have $x_i \geq x_i^0$ for all $i \in [0, m]$. Hence

$$
\rho: X_m \to \mathbf{N}, \xi_* \mapsto \sum_{i \in [0,m]} (x_i - x_i^0)
$$
 and

$$
\beta: X_m \to \mathbf{N}, x_* \mapsto \sum_{0 \le i < j \le m} (x_i - x_i^0)
$$

are well defined.

Let $y_*^0 \in Y_m$ be $(0,0,2,2,\ldots,(m-2),(m-2),m)$ if m is even and $(0, 0, 2, 2, \ldots, (m-1), (m-1))$ if m is odd. For any $y_* \in Y_m$ we have $y_i \ge y_i^0$ for all $i \in [0, m]$. Hence

$$
\rho': Y_m \to \mathbf{N}, y_* \mapsto \sum_{i \in [0,m]} (y_i - y_i^0) \text{ and }
$$

$$
\beta': Y_m \to \mathbf{N}, y_* \mapsto \sum_{0 \le i < j \le m} (y_i - y_i^0)
$$

are well defined. Since $x_*^0 + x_*^0 = y_*^0$ we have

 $\rho'(x_* + x'_*) = \rho(x_*) + \rho(x'_*), \ \beta'(x_* + x'_*) = \beta(x_*) + \beta(x'_*)$ for any $x_*, x'_* \in X_m$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $X_m^n = \{x_* \in X_m; \rho(x_*) = n\},$ $Y_m^n = \{y_* \in Y_m; \rho'(y_*) = n\}.$

Assume that $m = 2k, k \ge 1$. Let $\tilde{x}^0_* \in \tilde{X}_m$ be $(0, 1, 1, \ldots, k, k)$. For any $x_* \in \tilde{X}_m$ we have $x_i \geq \tilde{x}_i^0$ for all *i*. Hence

$$
\tilde{\rho}: \tilde{X}_m \to \mathbf{N}, \xi_* \mapsto \sum_{i \in [0,m]} (x_i - \tilde{x}_i^0)
$$
 and
 $\tilde{\beta}: \tilde{X}_m \to \mathbf{N}, x_* \mapsto \sum_{0 \le i < j \le m} (x_i - \tilde{x}_i^0)$

are well defined. Let $\tilde{y}^0_* = (0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, m) \in Y_m$. For any $y_* \in \tilde{Y}_m$ we have $y_i \geq \tilde{y}_i^0$ for all *i*. Hence

$$
\tilde{\rho}' : \tilde{Y}_m \to \mathbf{N}, \, y_* \mapsto \sum_{i \in [0,m]} (y_i - \tilde{y}_i^0)
$$
 and

 $\tilde{\beta}' : \tilde{Y}_m \to \mathbf{N}, y_* \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq m} (y_i - \tilde{y}_i^0)$ are well defined. Since $x_*^0 + \tilde{x}_*^0 = \tilde{y}_*^0$ we have

 $\tilde{\rho}'(x_* + x'_*) = \rho(x_*) + \tilde{\rho}(x'_*), \tilde{\beta}'(x_* + x'_*) = \beta(x_*) + \tilde{\beta}(x'_*)$ for any $x_* \in X_m$, $x'_* \in \tilde{X}_m$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$
\tilde{X}_m^n = \{ x_* \in \tilde{X}_m; \tilde{\rho}(x_*) = n \}, \ \tilde{Y}_m^n = \{ y_* \in \tilde{Y}_m; \tilde{\rho}'(y_*) = n \}.
$$

2.9. Let \mathcal{E}_m be the set of all $e_* = (e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_m) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$ such that $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq$ $\cdots \leq e_m$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{E}_m^n = \{e_* \in \mathcal{E}_m; \sum_i e_i = n\}.$

Let $x_* \in X_m$. We associate to x_* an element $\hat{x}_* \in X_m$ as follows. Let i_0 < $i_1 < \cdots < i_s$ be the elements of $\mathfrak{S}(x_*)$ in increasing order. Clearly, each of the sets $[0, i_0 - 1], [i_0 + 1, i_1 - 1], \ldots, [i_{s-1} + 1, i_s - 1], [i_s + 1, m]$ has even cardinal, say $2t_0, 2(t_1-1), \ldots, 2(t_s-1), 2t_{s+1}$ (respectively). We define $\hat{x}_* = (\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1, \ldots, \hat{x}_m) \in$ \mathbf{N}^{m+1} by

$$
(\hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_{i_0} - 1) = (0, 0, 1, 1, \dots, t_0 - 1, t_0 - 1), h_{i_0} = t_0,
$$

$$
(\hat{x}_{i_0+1}, \hat{x}_{i_0+2}, \dots, \hat{x}_{i_1-1})
$$

= $(t_0 + 1, t_0 + 1, t_0 + 2, t_0 + 2, \dots, t_0 + t_1 - 1, t_0 + t_1 - 1), h_{i_1} = t_0 + t_1,$

 $(\hat{x}_{i_1+1}, \hat{x}_{i_1+2}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{i_2-1}) =$ $(t_0 + t_1 + 1, t_0 + t_1 + 1, t_0 + t_1 + 2, t_0 + t_1 + 2, \ldots, t_0 + t_1 + t_2 - 1, t_0 + t_1 + t_2 - 1),$ $h_{i_2} = t_0 + t_1 + t_2,$

$$
(\hat{x}_{i_s+1}, \hat{x}_{i_s+2}, \dots, hx_m)
$$

= $(t_0 + t_1 + \dots + t_s + 1, t_0 + t_1 + \dots + t_s + 1, t_0 + t_1 + \dots + t_s + 2,$
 $t_0 + t_1 + \dots + t_s + 2, \dots, t_0 + t_1 + \dots + t_{s+1}, t_0 + t_1 + \dots + t_{s+1}).$

. . .

Note that \hat{x}_* depends only on $\mathfrak{S}(x_*)$, not on x_* itself. We have $\hat{x}_* \in X_m$, $\mathfrak{S}(\hat{x}_*)$ = $\mathfrak{S}(x_*)$. Let $e_* = x_* - \hat{x}_*$. We have $e_* \in \mathcal{E}_m$. Moreover for any $i \in [0, m-1]$ such that $\hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_{i+1}$ we have $e_i = e_{i+1}$.

2.10. Let $x_* \in X_m$, $e_* \in \mathcal{E}_m$. Then $x_* + e_* \in X_m$ hence $y_* := x_* + e_* + x_* \in Y_m$. Assume that $\mathfrak{S}(e_* + x_*) = \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$ and $(x_*, e_* + x_*) \in S(y_*)$. Then

 $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cup \mathfrak{S}(e_* + x_*) = R(y_*), \, \mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cap \mathfrak{S}(e_* + x_*) = R_0(y_*)$ hence $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) = R(y_*) = R_0(y_*)$. It follows that for any $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$ we have $|\mathcal{I}| = 1$.

2.11. Conversely, let $y_* \in Y_m^n$ be such that for any $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$ we have $|\mathcal{I}| = 1$. By 2.6(a) we can find $(x_*, x'_*) \in S(y_*)$. We have $x_* + x'_* = y_*$, $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cup \mathfrak{S}(x'_*) =$ $R(y_*)$, $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cap \mathfrak{S}(x_*) = R_0(y_*)$. From our assumption we have $R_0(y_*) = R(y_*)$. Hence $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cup \mathfrak{S}(x_*) = \mathfrak{S}(x_*) \cap \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$ so that $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) = \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$. By 2.9 we have $\hat{x}_* = \hat{x}'_* \in X_m$ and $e_* := x_* - \hat{x}_* \in \mathcal{E}_m, e'_* := x'_* - \hat{x}'_* \in \mathcal{E}_m$. Moreover, if $i \in [0, m-1]$ and $\hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_{i+1}$ then $e_i = e_{i+1}$ and $e'_i = e'_{i+1}$ hence $\tilde{e}_i = \tilde{e}_{i+1}$ where $\tilde{e}_* = e_* + e'_* \in \mathcal{E}_m$. It follows that $\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{e}_* + \hat{x}_*) = \mathfrak{S}(\hat{x}_*) = \mathfrak{S}(x_*) = R(y_*) = R_0(y_*)$. Since $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(x'_*)| = 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|$ we have $|\mathfrak{S}(\hat{x}_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{e}_* + \hat{x}_*)| = 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|$. Also, if $\mathfrak{I}'(y_*) = \emptyset$ then by 2.6(vii) we have $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) = \emptyset$. Hence $\mathfrak{S}(\hat{x}_*) = \mathfrak{S}(\tilde{e}_* + \hat{x}_*) = \emptyset$. In any case we see that $y_* = \hat{x}_* + \tilde{e}_* + \hat{x}_*, (\hat{x}_*, \tilde{e}_* + \hat{x}_*) \in S(y_*), \mathfrak{S}(\tilde{e}_* + \hat{x}_*) = \mathfrak{S}(\hat{x}_*).$

3. TYPE A_{n-1}

3.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let S_n be the group of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. We have $S_0 = S_1 = \{1\}$; for $n \geq 2$ we regard S_n as a Coxeter group whose generators are the transpositions $(i, i + 1)$ for $i \in [1, n - 1]$. We have $S_{S_n} = \text{Irr}(S_n)$. If k is large (relative to *n*) we have a natural bijection $\text{Irr}(S_n) \leftrightarrow Z_k^n$, $[z_*] \leftrightarrow z_*,$ see [L4, 4.4]. For example, $[(0, 1, \ldots, k-n, k-n+2, \ldots, k, k+1)]$ is the sign representation of S_n. For any $z_* \in Z_k^n$ we have $\beta_0(z_*) = b_{[z_*]}$, see [L4, (4.4.2)].

Assume now that $n = n' + n''$ with n', n'' in N. The set of permutations of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ which leave stable each of the subsets $\{1, 2, ..., n'\}, \{n' + 1, n' +$ $2, \ldots, n$ is a standard parabolic subgroup of S_n which may be identified with $S_{n'} \times S_{n''}.$

For $z'_* \in Z_k^{n'}$ $x_k^{n'}$, $z_*^{\prime\prime} \in Z_k^{n'}$ we have $z_*^{\prime} + z_*^{\prime\prime} - z_*^0 \in Z_k^n$ and from the definitions we have:

(a) $[[z'_* + z''_* - z_*^0] : \text{Ind}_{S_{n'} \times S_{n''}}^{S_n}([z'_*] \boxtimes [z''_*])]_{S_n} = 1.$ Note also that $\beta_0(z'_*) + \beta_0(z''_*) = \beta_0(z'_* + z''_* - z^0_*)$ hence $b_{[z'_*]} + b_{[z''_*]} = b_{[z'_* + z''_* - z^0_*]}$, so that

(b) $[z'_* + z''_* - z^0_*] = j^{S_n}_{S_n}$ $S_n^{(s)}(z_*') \boxtimes [z_*'']$.

3.2. In this subsection we assume that G is of type A_{n-1} $(n \geq 2)$. In this case $1.5(a),(b1),(b2)$ are immediate. We prove $1.5(b3)$.

For $C \in \mathcal{X}$ let $E = \rho_C$. We have $E = [z_*]$ for a unique $z_* \in Z_k^n$. We have $\mathbf{z}_C = 1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C = \text{g.c.d.}\{n, z_j - z_j^0 (j \in [0, k])\}$ where g.c.d. denotes the greatest common divisor. We identify $\{1, 2, ..., n\} = \mathbb{Z}/n$ in the obvious way. We also identify $\mathbf{W} = S_n$ as Coxeter groups so that the reflections $s_i(i \in I)$ are the transpositions $(i, i + 1)$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n$ (with $i + 1$ computed in \mathbb{Z}/n .) Now Ω is a cyclic group of order n with generator $\omega : i \mapsto i + 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n$. For any $d|n$ (divisor $d \geq 1$ of n) let Ω_d be the subgroup of Ω generated by $\omega^{n/d}$. For any coset P of Ω_d in Ω let S_n^P be the set of all permutations w of \mathbb{Z}/n such that for any $r \in P$ the subset $\{r+1, r+2, \ldots, r+(n/d)\}\$ is w-stable. We may identify S_n^P with a product of d copies of $S_{n/d}$. Note that \mathcal{P}^{Ω_d} (see 1.11) consists of the subgroups S_n^P as above; each of these subgroups is stable under the conjugation action of Ω_d on W. An irreducible representation $\mathbb{Z}_{h=1}^d[\tilde{z}_*^{(h)}]$ (with $\tilde{z}_*^{(h)} \in Z_k^{n/d}$ $\binom{n}{k}$ of S_n^P (identified with $S_{n/d}^d$) is Ω_d -stable if and only if $\tilde{z}_*^{(h)} = \tilde{z}_*$ is independent of h; in this case we have

$$
j_{S_n^P}^{S_n}(\boxtimes_{h=1}^d [\tilde{z}_*^{(h)}]) = [\sum_{h=1}^d \tilde{z}_*^{(h)} - (d-1)z_*^0] = [d\tilde{z}_* - (d-1)z_*^0]
$$

as we see by applying $(d-1)$ times 3.1(b). Using this and 1.11 we see that

$$
\mathfrak{c}_{[z_*]} = \max d
$$

where max is taken over all divisors $d \geq 1$ of n such that $z_* - z_*^0 = d(\tilde{z}_* - z_*^0)$ for some $\tilde{z}_* \in Z^{n/d}_k$ $\int_{k}^{n/n}$. Equivalently, we have

$$
\mathfrak{c}_{[z_*]} = \text{g.c.d.} \{n, z_j - z_j^0 (j \in [0, k])\}.
$$

Since this is equal to $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C$ we see that 1.5(b3) is proved in our case.

4. TYPE B_n

4.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let W_n be the group of permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, n', \ldots, 2', 1'\}$ which commute with the involution $i \mapsto i', i' \mapsto i(i \in$ [1, n]). We have $W_0 = \{1\}$; for $n \geq 1$ we regard W_n as a Coxeter group of type $B_n = C_n$ whose generators are the transposition (n, n') and the products of two transpositions $(i, i + 1)((i + 1)', i')$ for $i \in [1, n - 1]$. By [L2, §2] we have $\operatorname{Irr}(W_n) = \operatorname{Irr}(W_n)^{\dagger}.$

4.2. In the remainder of this section we fix an even integer $m = 2k$ which is large relative to n.

Let $U_k^n = \{(z_*; z'_*) \in Z_k \times Z_{k-1}; \rho_0(z_*) + \rho_0(z_*') = n\}.$ As in [L4, 4.5] we have a bijection

(a) $\text{Irr}(W_n) \leftrightarrow U_k^n, [z_*; z'_*] \leftrightarrow (z_*; z'_*).$

(In *loc.cit.* the notation $\begin{pmatrix} z_* \\ z' \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} z^* \\ z'_* \end{pmatrix}$ was used instead of $(z_*; z'_*)$.) By [L2, §2] we have (b) $b_{[z_*;z'_*]} = 2\beta_0(z_*) + 2\beta_0(z'_*) + \rho_0(z'_*)$.

There is a unique bijection $\zeta_n : \mathcal{S}_{W_n} \longrightarrow X_m^n$ under which $x_* \in X_m^n$ corresponds to $\{[z_*, z'_*]\}\$ where $z_* = (x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots, x_m), z'_* = (x_1, x_3, x_5, \ldots, x_{m-1})$. This bijection has the following property: if $E \in \mathcal{S}_{W_n}, x_* = \zeta_n(E)$ then $b_E = \beta(x_*),$ $f_E = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-1)/2}.$

4.3. Let $u_* \in Z_m$. Define $\ddot{u}_* \in Z_k$, $\dot{u}_* \in Z_{k-1}$ by $\ddot{u}_i = u_{2i} - i$ for $i \in [0, k]$, $\dot{u}_i = u_{2i+1} - i - 1$ for $i \in [0, k-1]$.

4.4. Let $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ be such that $p + q = n$. The group of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, n', \ldots, 2', 1'\}$ in W_n that leave stable each of the subsets

$$
\{1,2,\ldots,p\},\{p',\ldots,2',1'\},\{p+1,\ldots,n-1,n\}\cup\{n',(n-1)',\ldots,(p+1)'\}
$$

is a standard parabolic subgroup of W_n which may be identified with $S_p \times W_q$ in an obvious way.

Let $(\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*) \in U_k^q$ $k, u_* \in Z_m^p$. Let $v_* = \tilde{z}_* + \tilde{u}_* - z_*^{0,k}, v'_* = \tilde{z}'_* + \tilde{u}_* - z_*^{0,k-1}$. Then $(v_*, v'_*) \in U_{\underline{k}}^n$, $[u_*] \in \text{Irr}(S_p)$, $[\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*] \in \text{Irr}(W_q)$, $[v_*; v'_*] \in \text{Irr}(W_n)$. We show: (a) $[v_*; v'_*] = j_{S_p}^{W_n}$ $W_n \n_{S_p \times W_q}([u_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]).$

We can assume that $p \ge 1$ and that the result holds for p replaced by $\tilde{p} < p$. In the case where $[u_*]$ is the sign representation of S_p , (a) can be proved along the lines of [L3, 2.7]. If $[u_*]$ is not the sign representation of S_p , we can find p', p'' in $\mathbf{N}_{>0}$ such that $p' + p'' = p$ and $u' \in Z_{2k}^{p'}$ $y_2^p, u' \in Z_{2k}^{p''}$ $y_2^{p''}$ such that $u_* = u'_* + u''_* - z_*^{0,m}$. By 3.1(b), we have $[u_*] = j_{S_-}^{S_p}$ $S_p^S_{p' \times S_{p''}}([u'_{*}] \boxtimes [u''_{*}])$. Hence

$$
[u_*]\boxtimes[\tilde{z}_*;\tilde{z}'_*]=j_{S_{p'}\times S_{p''}\times W_q}^{S_p\times W_q}([u'_*]\boxtimes[u''_*]\boxtimes[\tilde{z}_*;\tilde{z}'_*])
$$

and

$$
j_{S_p \times W_q}^{W_n}([u_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]) = j_{S_p \times W_q}^{W_n} j_{S_{p'} \times S_{p''} \times W_q}^{S_p \times W_q}([u'_*] \boxtimes [u''_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*])
$$

\n
$$
= j_{S_{p'} \times S_{p''} \times W_q}^{W_n}([u'_*] \boxtimes [u''_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*])
$$

\n
$$
= j_{S_{p'} \times W_{p''+q}}^{W_n} j_{S_{p'} \times S_{p''} \times W_q}^{S_{p'} \times W_{p''+q}}([u'_*] \boxtimes [u''_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*])
$$

\n
$$
= j_{S_{p'} \times W_{p''+q}}^{W_n}([u'_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_* + \tilde{u}''_* - z_*^{0,k}; \tilde{z}'_* + \tilde{u}''_* - z_*^{0,k-1}])
$$

\n
$$
= [\tilde{z}_* + \tilde{u}''_* + \tilde{u}''_* - 2z_*^{0,k}; \tilde{z}'_* + \tilde{u}''_* + \tilde{u}''_* - 2z_*^{0,k-1}]
$$

\n
$$
= [\tilde{z}_* + \tilde{u}_* - z_*^{0,k}; \tilde{z}'_* + \tilde{u}_* - z_*^{0,k-1}].
$$

(We have used the induction hypothesis for p replaced by p' or p'' .) This proves (a).

4.5. In the remainder of this section we assume that G has type B_n $(n \geq 2)$. We identify $\mathbf{W} = W_n$ as Coxeter groups in the standard way. The reflections s_j (j \in \tilde{I}) are the transpositions (n, n') , $(1, 1')$ and the products of two transpositions $(i, i + 1)(i', (i + 1)')$ for $i \in [1, n - 1]$. The group Ω has order 2 with generator given by the involution $i \mapsto (n + 1 - i)'$, $i' \mapsto (n + 1 - i)$ for $i \in [1, n]$.

Let $(r, p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ be such that $r + p + q = n$. The group of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, n', \ldots, 2', 1'\}$ in W_n that leave stable each of the subsets

$$
\{1, 2, \ldots, r\} \cup \{r', \ldots, 2', 1'\}, \{r+1, r+2, \ldots, r+p\},
$$

$$
\{(r+p)', \ldots, (r+2)', (r+1)'\},
$$

$$
\{r+p+1, \ldots, n-1, n\} \cup \{n', (n-1)', \ldots, (r+p+1)'\}
$$

is a parahoric subgroup of **W** which may be identified with $W_r \times S_p \times W_q$ in an obvious way.

Let $(z_*; z'_*) \in U_k^r$, $(\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*) \in U_k^q$, $u_* \in Z_{2k}^p$, Define $\ddot{u}_* \in Z_k, \dot{u}_* \in Z_{k-1}$ as in 4.3. $k, u_* \subset \omega_{2k}$ Let $w_* = z_* + \tilde{z}_* + \ddot{u}_* - 2z_*^{0,k}, w'_* = z'_* + \tilde{z}'_* + \dot{u}_* - 2z_*^{0,k-1}$. Then $(w_*, w'_*) \in U_k^n$, $[z_*; z'_*] \in \text{Irr}(W_r)$, $[u_*] \in \text{Irr}(S_p)$, $[\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*] \in \text{Irr}(W_q)$, $[w_*, w'_*] \in \text{Irr}(W_n)$. We show: (a) $[w_*; w'_*] = j_{W_r}^{W_n}$ W_n
 $W_r \times S_p \times W_q([z_*; z'_*] \boxtimes [u_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]).$ In particular,

 $[[w_*; w'_*]: \text{Ind}_{W_r \times S_p \times W_q}^{W_n}([z_*; z'_*] \boxtimes [u_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*])]_{W_n} = 1.$ Assume first that $p = 0$. We have:

(b)
$$
[[z_* + \tilde{z}_* - z_*^{0,k}; z'_* + \tilde{z}'_* - z_*^{0,k-1}]: Ind_{W_r \times W_q}^{W_n}([z_*; z'_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*])]_{W_n} = 1.
$$

Using the definitions this can be deduced from the analogous statement for S_n , see 3.1(a). Moreover we have $b_{[z_*+\tilde{z}_*-z_*^{0,k};z'_*+\tilde{z}'_*-z_*^{0,k-1}]} = b_{[z_*;z'_*]} + b_{[\tilde{z}_*;\tilde{z}'_*]}$. It follows that

(c)
$$
[z_* + \tilde{z}_* - z_*^{0,k}; z'_* + \tilde{z}'_* - z_*^{0,k-1}] = j_{W_r \times W_q}^{W_n}([z_*; z'_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]).
$$

Thus (a) holds in this special case.

In the general case we use 4.4(a) with n replaced by $p + q$ and (c) applied to $n, r, 0, p + q$ instead of n, r, p, q . We obtain

$$
\begin{split}\nj_{W_r \times S_p \times W_q}^{W_n}([z_*; z'_*] \boxtimes [u_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]) \\
= j_{W_r \times W_{p+q}}^{W_n} (j_{W_r \times S_p \times W_q}^{W_r \times W_{p+q}}([z_*; z'_*] \boxtimes [u_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*])) \\
= j_{W_r \times W_{p+q}}^{W_n}([z_*; z'_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_* + \tilde{u}_* - z_*^{0,k}; \tilde{z}'_* + \tilde{u}_* - z_*^{0,k-1}]) = [w_*; w'_*].\n\end{split}
$$

This proves (a).

4.6. By [L5, §13], there is a unique bijection $\tau : \tilde{S}_{W} \to Y_{m}^{n}$ such that for any $y_* \in Y_m^n$, the fibre $\tau^{-1}(y_*)$ is $[z_*, z'_*]$ where $z_* = (y_0, y_2 - 1, y_4 - 2, \ldots, y_m - m/2),$ $z'_{*} = (y_1, y_3 - 1, y_5 - 2, \ldots, y_{m-1} - (m-2)/2)$. This bijection has the following property: if $C \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y_* = \tau(\rho_C)$, then $\mathbf{b}_C = \beta'(y_*)$, $\mathbf{z}_C = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1}$. From [L5, §14] we see that:

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C = 2 \text{ if } |\mathcal{I}| = 1 \text{ for any } \mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*),
$$

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C = 1 \text{ if } |\mathcal{I}| > 1 \text{ for some } \mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*).
$$

4.7. In the setup of 4.5 we assume that $[z_*; z'_*] \in \mathcal{S}_{W_r}$, $[\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*] \in \mathcal{S}_{W_q}$. Define $x_* \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m^q$ by $\zeta_r([z_*; z_*']) = x_*, \zeta_q([\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}_*']) = \tilde{x}_*.$ Let $e_* = u_* - z_*^{0,m} \in \mathcal{E}_m$. We show:

(a) $[w_*, w'_*] \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$ and $\tau([w_*, w'_*]) = x_* + e_* + \tilde{x}_*.$ We have $w_i = x_{2i} + \tilde{x}_{2i} + u_{2i} - i - 2i$ for $i \in [0, k]$, $w'_i = x_{2i+1} + \tilde{x}_{i+1} + u_{2i+1} - i - i$ 1−2*i* for $i \in [0, k-1]$. Define $y_* \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$ by $w_i = y_{2i} - i$ for $i \in [0, k]$, $w'_i = y_{2i+1} - i$ for $i \in [0, k-1]$. Then $y_* = x_* + \tilde{x}_* + e_*$. Since $x_* \in X_m$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m$, $e_* \in \mathcal{E}_m$ we have $y_* \in Y_m$. More precisely, $y_* \in Y_m^n$. Using 4.6 we deduce that $[w_*, w'_*] \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$ and (a) follows.

From (a) and 4.5(a) we see that for (r, p, q) as in 4.5, the assignment $(E_1, E_2, \tilde{E}_1) \mapsto j_{W_r}^{W_n}$

 W_n
 $W_r \times S_p \times W_q$ $(E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1)$

is a map $j : S_{W_r} \times S_{S_p} \times S_{W_q} \to \tilde{S}_{W}$ and we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathcal{S}_{W_r} \times \mathcal{S}_{S_p} \times \mathcal{S}_{W_q} &\xrightarrow{\quad j \quad} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}} \\
\zeta_r \times \xi_p \times \zeta_q \Big\downarrow \qquad &\tau \Big\downarrow \\
X_m^r \times \mathcal{E}_m^p \times X_m^q &\xrightarrow{\quad h \quad} Y_m^n\n\end{aligned}
$$

where h is given by $(x_*, e_*, \tilde{x}_*) \mapsto x_* + e_* + \tilde{x}_*$ and $\xi_p : \mathcal{S}_{S_p} \to \mathcal{E}_m^p$ is the bijection $[e_* + z_*^{0,m}] \leftrightarrow e_*$.

4.8. Note that \mathcal{P}' (see 1.9) is exactly the collection of parahoric subgroups $W_r \times$ $S_0 \times W_q$ of W_n with (r, p, q) as in 4.5 and $p = 0$. By 4.7, $j_{W_r}^{W_n}$ W_n _{$W_r \times S_0 \times W_q$} carries $\mathcal{S}_{W_r}\times\mathcal{S}_{S_0}\times\mathcal{S}_{W_q}$ into $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$. Hence $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}\subset\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$.

Conversely, let $E \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$. With τ as in 4.6, let $y_* = \tau(E) \in Y_m^n$. By 2.6(a) we can find $(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) \in S(y_*)$. Define r, q in **N** by $x_* \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m^q$. We must have $r + q = n$. Let $e_* = (0, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathcal{E}_m^0$. In the commutative diagram in 4.7 (with $p = 0$) we have $h(x_*, e_*, \tilde{x}_*) = y_*, (x_*, e_*, \tilde{x}_*) = (\zeta_r(E_1), \xi_p(Q), \zeta_q(\tilde{E}_1))$ where $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W_r}, \, \tilde{E}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W_q}$ (recall that ζ_r, ζ_q are bijections) and $\tau(j(E_1, \mathbf{Q}, \tilde{E}_1)) = \tau(E)$. Since τ is bijective we deduce that $E = j(E_1, \mathbf{Q}, \tilde{E}_1)$. Thus, $E \in \bar{S}_{\mathbf{W}}$. Thus, $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}} \subset \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$. We see that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}} = \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$. This proves 1.5(a) in our case.

4.9. In the remainder of this section we fix $C \in \mathcal{X}$ and we set $E = \rho_C \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}},$ $y_* = \tau(E) \in Y_m^n$ (τ as in 4.6).

Let $(r, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W_q}$ be such that $r + q = n$, $E = j_{W_n}^{W_n}$ W_n
 $W_r \times S_0 \times W_q$ $(E_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{Q} \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1).$

(These exist since $E \in \bar{S}_{\mathbf{W}}$.) We set $x_* = \zeta_r(E_1) \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* = \zeta_q(\tilde{E}_1) \in X_m^q$. From the commutative diagram in 4.7 we see that $x_* + \tilde{x}_* = y_*$. By 4.6 we have $\mathbf{b}_C = \beta'(y_*)$. Since $\beta'(x_* + \tilde{x}_*) = \beta(x_*) + \beta(\tilde{x}_*)$, we have $\mathbf{b}_C = \beta(x_*) + \beta(\tilde{x}_*)$. Since $\beta(x_*) = b_{E_1}, \ \beta(\tilde{x}_*) = b_{\tilde{E}_1}$, we have $\mathbf{b}_C = b_{E_1} + b_{\tilde{E}_1}$ hence $\mathbf{b}_C = b_{E_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{Q} \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1}$. Since $E = j_{W_n}^{W_n}$ W_n
 $W_r \times S_0 \times W_q$ (E₁ $\boxtimes \mathbf{Q} \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1$) we have $b_{E_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{Q} \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = b_E$ hence $\mathbf{b}_C = b_E$, proving 1.5(b1) in our case.

Next we note that $f_{E_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-1)/2}$, $f_{\tilde{E}_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|-1)/2}$, $\mathbf{z}_C = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1}$, $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| \leq 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|$. Hence

$$
f_{E_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{Q} \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| - 2)/2} \le 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1} = \mathbf{z}_C.
$$

Taking maximum over all r, q, E_1, \tilde{E}_1 as above we obtain $\mathfrak{a}_E \leq \mathfrak{z}_C$.

Using again 2.6(a) we can find $(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) \in S(y_*)$. Define r, q in N by $x_* \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m^q$. We must have $r + q = n$. Define $E_1 \in S_{W_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in S_{W_q}$ by $x_* =$ $\zeta_r(E_1), \tilde{x}_* = \zeta_q(\tilde{E}_1)$. As earlier in the proof we have $E = j_{W_r}^{W_n}$ $W_n \overline{W_r} \times {\bf Q} \times W_q$ $(E_1 \boxtimes {\bf Q} \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1).$ We have

$$
f_{E_1 \boxtimes \mathbf{Q} \boxtimes E_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| - 2)/2} = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1} = \mathbf{z}_C.
$$

It follows that $a_E = z_C$, proving 1.5(b2) in our case.

4.10. Assume now that $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = 2$. By 4.6, for any $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$ we have $|\mathcal{I}| = 1$. By 2.11 we can find (r, p, q) as in 4.5 with $q = r$ and $x_* \in X_m^r$, $e_* \in \mathcal{E}_m^p$ such that $y_* = x_* + e_* + x_*, (x_*, e_* + x_*) \in S(y_*), \, \mathfrak{S}(e_* + x_*) = \mathfrak{S}(x_*)$. Define $E_1 \in S_{W_r}$, $E_2 \in S_{S_p}$ by $x_* = \zeta_r(E_1), e_* = \zeta_p(E_2)$. Using the commutative diagram in 4.7 we see that $E = j_{W_n}^{W_n}$ W_n
 $W_r \times S_p \times W_r$ ($E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1$). Moreover,

$$
f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| - 2)/2} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(e_* + x_*)| - 2)/2} = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)| - 1} = \mathbf{z}_C.
$$

We have $W_r \times S_p \times W_r = \mathbf{W}_J$ for a unique J which is Ω -stable. Moreover, $E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1$ is Ω -stable. We see that $\mathfrak{c}_E = 2$.

4.11. Conversely, assume that $\mathfrak{c}_E = 2$. Using 1.11 we see that there exist (r, p, q) as in 4.5 with $q = r$ and $E_1 \in S_{W_r}$, $E_2 \in S_{S_p}$ such that $E = j_{W_r}^{W_n}$ W_n
 $W_r \times S_p \times W_r$ $(E_1 \boxtimes$ $E_2 \boxtimes E_1$, $f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1} = \mathbf{z}_C$. We set $x_* = \zeta_r(E_1) \in X_m^r$, $e_* = \xi_p(E_2)$. We have $y_* = x_* + e_* + x_*$ and

$$
2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|+|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2)/2} = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1};
$$

hence $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| = |\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|$. Let $E'_1 = j_{S_n \times W}^{W_{p+r}}$ $\mathcal{S}_{p\times W_r}^{W_{p+r}}(E_2 \boxtimes E_1) \in \mathcal{S}_{W_{p+r}}$. Then $E =$ $j_{W_n}^{W_n}$ W_n
 $W_r \times W_{p+r}$ (E₁ $\boxtimes E'_1$). Using 1.5(b2) and the definition we have $f_{E_1 \boxtimes E'_1} \leq \mathfrak{a}_E = \mathbf{z}_C$. By 1.9(b) we have $f_{E_2 \boxtimes E_1} \leq f_{E_1'}$. Hence $\mathbf{z}_C = f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1} \leq f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_1'} \leq \mathbf{z}_C$; this forces $f_{E_2 \boxtimes E_1} = f_{E'_1}$. The last equality can be rewritten as

$$
2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-1)/2}=2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(e_*+x_*)|-1)/2}
$$

since $e_* + x_* = \zeta_{p+r}(E'_1)$ (a consequence of 4.4(a)). Hence $|\mathfrak{S}(e_* + x_*)| = |\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|$ and $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(e_* + x_*)| = 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|$. Thus, $(x_*, e_* + x_*) \in S(y_*)$. Using 2.10 we see that for any $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$ we have $|\mathcal{I}| = 1$. By 4.6 we have $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = 2$.

4.12. From 4.10, 4.11, we see that $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C = 2$ if and only if $\mathfrak{c}_E = 2$. Since $\mathfrak{c}_E \in [1,2]$ and $\mathfrak{D}_C / \mathfrak{D}_C \in [1,2]$ we see that $\mathfrak{D}_C / \mathfrak{D}_C = \mathfrak{c}_E$; this proves 1.5(b3) in our case.

5. TYPE C_n

5.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let W'_n be the set of all elements in W_n which are even permutations of $\{1, 2, ..., n, n', ..., 2', 1'\}$. We have $W'_0 = W'_1 = \{1\}$. For $n \geq 2$ we regard W'_n as a Coxeter group of type D_n whose generators are the products of two transpositions $(i, i+1)((i+1)', i')$ for $i \in [1, n-1]$ and $(n-1, n')(n, (n-1)')$.

5.2. In this subsection we fix an integer k which is large relative to n.

Let V_k^n be the set of unordered pairs (z_*, z'_*) in $Z_{k-1} \times Z_{k-1}$ such that $\rho_0(z^*)$ + $\rho_0(z'_*) = n$. If $n \geq 2$ we have as in [L4, 4.5] a map ι : Irr $(W'_n) \to V_k^n$. (In loc.cit. the notation $\begin{pmatrix} z_* \\ z' \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} z^* \\ z'_* \end{pmatrix}$ was used instead of (z_*, z'_*) .) Now ι is also defined when $n \in \{0, 1\}$; it is the unique map between two sets of cardinal 1.

Let [†] V_k^n be the set of *ordered* pairs $(z_*; z'_*)$ in $Z_{k-1} \times Z_{k-1}$ such that $\rho_0(z^*)$ + $\rho_0(z'_*)=n$ and either $\rho_0(z_*) > \rho_0(z'_*)$ or $z_* = z'_*.$ We regard ${}^{\dagger}V_k^n$ as a subset of V_k^n by forgetting the order of a pair. We define a partition ${}^{\dagger}V_k^n = {}'V_k^n \sqcup {}''V_k^n$ by

 $''V_k^n = \{(z_*; z'_*) \in {}^{\dagger}V_k^n; z_* = z'_* \}$ if $n \geq 2$, $''V_k^n = \emptyset$ if $n \leq 1$,

 $'V_k^n = \{(z_*; z'_*) \in {}^{\dagger}V_k^n; z_* \neq z'_* \}$ if $n \geq 1, 'V_k^n = {}^{\dagger}V_k^n$ if $n = 0$.

By [L2, §2] we have $\text{Irr}(W'_n)^{\dagger} = \iota^{-1}({}^{\dagger}V_k^n)$. For $(z_*; z'_*) \in {}^{\dagger}V_k^n$ and $\kappa \in \{0,1\}$ we define $[z_*, z'_*]^{\kappa} \in \text{Irr}(W'_n)^{\dagger}$ by the following requirements: if $(z_*; z'_*) \in 'V_k^n$, then $\iota^{-1}(z_*; z'_*)$ has a single element $[z_*; z'_*]^0 = [z_*; z'_*]^1$; if $(z_*; z'_*) \in "V_k^n$, then $\iota^{-1}(z_*; z'_*)$ consists of two elements $[z_*; z'_*]^0$, $[z_*; z'_*]^1$.

By [L2, §2], if $(z_*; z'_*) \in {}^{\dagger}V_k^n$ then $b_{[z_*; z'_*]^{\kappa}} = 2\beta_0(z_*) + 2\beta_0(z'_*) + \rho_0(z'_*)$.

There is a unique map $\zeta'_n : \mathcal{S}_{W'_n} \to X_{2k-1}^n$ such that for any $x_* \in X_{2k-1}^n$, $\zeta_n'^{-1}(x_*)$ is $\{[z_*; z'_*]^0 = [z_*; z'_*]^1\}$ (if $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \neq \emptyset$ or if $n = 0$) and is $\{[z_*; z'_*]^0, [z_*; z'_*]^1\}$ (if $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) = \emptyset$ and $n \geq 2$) where

 $z_* = (x_1, x_3, x_5, \ldots, x_{2k-1}), z'_* = (x_0, x_2, x_4, \ldots, x_{2k-2}).$ This map has the following property: if $E \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_n}, x_* = \zeta'_n(E)$ then $b_E = \beta(x_*)$, $f_E = 2^{\max((|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2)/2,0)}.$

There is a unique map $\tilde{\zeta}_n : \mathcal{S}_{W'_n} \to \tilde{X}_{2k}^n$ such that for any $x_* \in \tilde{X}_{2k}^n, \tilde{\zeta}_n^{-1}(x_*)$ $\text{is } \{ [z_*; z'_*]^0 = [z_*, z'_*]^1 \} \text{ (if } \mathfrak{S}(x_*) \neq \{0\} \text{ or if } n = 0 \text{) and is } \{ [z_*; z'_*]^0, [z_*, z'_*]^1 \}$ (if $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) = \{0\}$ and $n \geq 2$) where $z_* = (x_2 - 1, x_4 - 1, \ldots, x_{2k} - 1), z'_* =$ $(x_1 - 1, x_3 - 1, x_5 - 1, \ldots, x_{2k-1} - 1).$

This map has the following property: if $E \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_n}$, $x_* = \tilde{\zeta}_n(E)$, then $b_E = \beta(x_*)$, $f_E = 2^{\max((|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| - 3)/2,0)}.$

5.3. In the remainder of this section we assume that G is of type C_n $(n \geq 3)$ and we identify $W = W_n$ as Coxeter groups in the standard way; we also fix an even integer $m = 2k$ which is large relative to n. The reflections $s_i (j \in I)$ are the transposition $(1, 1)$ and the products of two transpositions $(i, i+1)(i', (i+1)')$ for $i \in [1, n-1]$ and $(n-1, n')(n, (n-1)')$. The group Ω has order 2 with generator given by the transposition (n, n') .

Let $(r, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ be such that $r + q = n$. The group of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, n', \ldots, 2', 1'\}$ in W_n that leave stable the subset $\{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ $\{r',\ldots,2',1'\}$ and which restrict to an even permutation of $\{r+1,\ldots,n-1,n\}\cup\{r'\}$

 ${n', (n-1)', \ldots, (r+1)'}$, is a parahoric subgroup of **W** which may be identified with $W_r \times W'_q$ in an obvious way. Let $(z_*; z'_*) \in U_k^r$, $(\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*) \in {}^{\dagger}V_k^q$ k^q . Let

 $\tilde{z}_*^! = (0, \tilde{z}_0 + 1, \tilde{z}_1 + 1, \ldots, \tilde{z}_{k-1} + 1) \in Z_k.$ Let $w_* = z_* + \tilde{z}_*^! - z_*^{0,k}, w'_* = z'_* + \tilde{z}'_* - z_*^{0,k-1}$. Then $[z_*; z'_*] \in \text{Irr}(W_r)$, $[\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]^{\kappa} \in$ $\text{Irr}(W'_q)^\dagger$ $(k = 0, 1)$, $[w_*; w'_*] \in \text{Irr}(W_n)$ are well defined and we have $\text{(a)} \; [[w_*; w'_*] : \text{Ind}_{W_r \times W'_q}^{W_n}([z_*; z'_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]^{\kappa})]_{W_n} = 1.$

(This can be deduced from the second sentence in 4.5(a) with $p = 0$.) Moreover, we have $b_{[w_*,w'_*]} = b_{[z_*,z'_*]} + b_{[\tilde{z}_*;\tilde{z}'_*]'^{\kappa}}$. It follows that

(b)
$$
[w_*; w'_*] = j_{W_r \times W'_q}^{W_n}([z_*; z'_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]^{\kappa}).
$$

5.4. By [L5, §12], there is a unique bijection $\tilde{\tau}$: $\tilde{S}_{W} \to \tilde{Y}_{m}^{n}$ such that for any $y_* \in \tilde{Y}_m^n$, the fibre $\tilde{\tau}^{-1}(y_*)$ is $\{[z_*, z'_*]\}$ where $z_* = (y_0, y_2-1, y_4-2, \ldots, y_m-m/2),$ $z'_{*} = (y_1 - 1, y_3 - 2, y_5 - 3, \ldots, y_{m-1} - m/2)$. This bijection has the following property: if $C \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y_* = \tilde{\tau}(\rho_C)$ then $\mathbf{b}_C = \tilde{\beta}'(y_*)$, $\mathbf{z}_C = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1-\tilde{\delta}_{y_*}}$ where $\tilde{\delta}_{y_*} = 1$ if there exists $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}'(y_*)$ such that $0 \notin \mathcal{I}$ and $\tilde{\delta}_{y_*} = 0$ if there is no $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}'(y_*)$ such that $0 \notin \mathcal{I}$. Moreover, $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1}$. Hence $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = 2^{\tilde{\delta}_{y_*}}$.

5.5. In the setup of 5.3 we assume that $[z_*; z'_*] \in \mathcal{S}_{W_r}$, $[\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]^k \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_q}$. We set $x_* = \zeta_r([z_*; z_*']) \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* = \tilde{\zeta}_q([\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}_*']^{\kappa}) \in \tilde{X}_m^q$. We show: (a) $[w_*, w'_*] \in \tilde{S}_{\mathbf{W}}$ and $\tilde{\tau}([w_*, w'_*]) = x_* + \tilde{x}_*.$

We have $w_i = x_{2i} + \tilde{x}_{2i} - i$, $w'_i = x_{2i+1} + \tilde{x}_{2i+1} - 1 - i$. Define $y_* \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$ by $y_{2i} = w_i + i$ for $i \in [0, k], y_{2i+1} = w'_i + i + 1$ for $i \in [0, k-1]$. We have $y_* = x_* + \tilde{x}_*$. Since $x_* \in X_m$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m$, we have $y_* \in \tilde{Y}_m$. More precisely, $y_* \in \tilde{Y}_m$. Using 5.4 we deduce that $[w_*, w'_*] \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$ and (a) follows.

From (a) and 5.3(b) we see that for (r, q) as in 5.3, the assignment $(E_1, \tilde{E}_1) \mapsto$ $j_{W_r\times W'_q}^{W_n}(E_1\boxtimes \tilde{E}_1)$ is a map $j: \mathcal{S}_{W_r}\times \mathcal{S}_{W'_q}\to \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$ and we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathcal{S}_{W_r} \times \mathcal{S}_{W'_q} &\xrightarrow{j} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}} \\
\zeta_r \times \tilde{\zeta}_q &\xrightarrow{\zeta_r} \qquad \qquad \tilde{\tau} \downarrow \\
X_m^r \times \tilde{X}_m^q &\xrightarrow{\quad h \quad \searrow} \tilde{Y}_m^n\n\end{aligned}
$$

where h is given by $(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) \mapsto x_* + \tilde{x}_*.$

5.6. Note that \mathcal{P}' is exactly the collection of subgroups $W_r \times W'_q$ of W_n with (r, q) as in 5.3 and $q \neq 1$. (On the other hand $W_{n-1} \times W'_1$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup of the Coxeter group W_n .) By 5.5, $j_{W_r \times W'_q}^{W_n}$ carries $\mathcal{S}_{W_r} \times \mathcal{S}_{W'_q}$ into $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$. Hence $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_W \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_W$.

Conversely, let $E \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$. With $\tilde{\tau}$ as in 5.4, let $y_* = \tilde{\tau}(E) \in \tilde{Y}_m^n$. By 2.7(b) we can find $(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) \in \tilde{S}(y_*)$. (The assumption 2.7(a) is automatically satisfied since m is large relative to n.) Define r, q in N by $x_* \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* \in \tilde{X}_m^q$. We must have $r + q = n$. In the commutative diagram in 5.5 we have $h(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) = y_*, (x_*, \tilde{x}_*) =$

 $(\zeta_r(E_1), \tilde{\zeta}_q(\tilde{E}_1))$ where $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_q}$ (recall that ζ_r , $\tilde{\zeta}_q$ are surjective) and $\tilde{\tau}(j(E_1, \tilde{E}_1)) = \tilde{\tau}(E)$. Since $\tilde{\tau}$ is bijective we deduce that $E = j(E_1, \tilde{E}_1)$. Thus, $E \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_W$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_W \subset \bar{\mathcal{S}}_W$. We see that $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_W = \bar{\mathcal{S}}_W$. This proves 1.5(a) in our case.

5.7. In the remainder of this section we fix $C \in \mathcal{X}$ and we set $E = \rho_C \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}},$ $y_* = \tilde{\tau}(E) \in \tilde{Y}_m^n$ (with $\tilde{\tau}$ as in 5.4).

Let $(r, q) \in \mathbf{N}^2$, $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_q}$ be such that $r + q = n$, $E = j_{W_r \times W'_q}^{W_n}(E_1 \boxtimes$ \tilde{E}_1). (These exist since $E \in \bar{S}_{\mathbf{W}}$.) We set $x_* = \zeta_r(E_1) \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* = \tilde{\zeta}_q(\tilde{E}_1) \in \tilde{X}_m^q$. From the commutative diagram in 5.5 we see that $x_* + \tilde{x}_* = y_*$. By 5.4 we have $\mathbf{b}_C = \tilde{\beta}'(y_*)$. Since $\tilde{\beta}'(x_* + \tilde{x}_*) = \beta(x_*) + \tilde{\beta}(\tilde{x}_*)$ we have $\mathbf{b}_C = \beta(x_*) + \tilde{\beta}(\tilde{x}_*)$. Since $\beta(x_*) = b_{E_1}, \tilde{\beta}(\tilde{x}_*) = b_{\tilde{E}_1}$, we have $\mathbf{b}_C = b_{E_1} + b_{\tilde{E}_1}$ hence $\mathbf{b}_C = b_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1}$. Since $E = j_{W_r \times W'_q}^{W_n}(E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1)$ we have $b_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = b_E$ hence $\mathbf{b}_C = b_E$, proving 1.5(b1) in our case.

If $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| \geq 3$ then

$$
f_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = f_{E_1} f_{\tilde{E}_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-1)/2} 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|-3)/2}
$$

= $2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|+|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|-4)/2} \le 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-2} \le \mathbf{z}_C.$

If $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|=1$ and $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|\leq 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-3$ then

$$
f_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = f_{E_1} f_{\tilde{E}_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-1)/2} \le 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-2} \le \mathbf{z}_C.
$$

If $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|=1$ (hence $\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)=\{0\}$) and $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|=2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1$ then $\tilde{\delta}_{y_*}=0$ so that $\mathbf{z}_C = 2^{|\Im(y_*)|-1}$ and

$$
f_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = f_{E_1} f_{\tilde{E}_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-1)/2} = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1} = \mathbf{z}_C.
$$

Thus in any case we have $f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_1} \leq \mathbf{z}_C$. Taking maximum over all r, q, E_1, \tilde{E}_1 as above we obtain $a_E \leq z_C$.

5.8. Assume now that $\tilde{\delta}_{y_*} = 1$. Then $|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)| \geq 2$. By 2.7(b) we can find $(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) \in$ $\tilde{S}(y_*)$. By 2.7(d) we have $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| \geq 3$. Define $(r, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ by $x_* \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* \in \tilde{X}_m^q$. We must have $r + q = n$. We can find $E_1 \in S_{W_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in S_{W'_q}$ such that $x_* =$ $\zeta_r(E_1), \tilde{x}_* = \tilde{\zeta}_q(\tilde{E}_1)$. As earlier in the proof, we have $E = j_{W_r \times W'_q}^{W_n}(E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1)$ and

$$
f_{E_1\boxtimes \tilde{E}_1}=f_{E_1}f_{\tilde{E}_1}=2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-1)/2+(|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|-3)/2}=2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-2}=\mathbf{z}_C.
$$

5.9. Next we assume that $\tilde{\delta}_{y_*} = 0$. By 2.7(b) we can find $(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) \in \tilde{S}(y_*)$. By 2.7(v) we have $\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*) = \{0\}$. Then $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| = 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)| - 1$. Define $(r, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ by $x_* \in X_m^r, \tilde{x}_* \in \tilde{X}_m^q$. We must have $r + q = n$. We can find $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W_r}, \tilde{E}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W_q^r}$ such that $x_* = \zeta_r(E_1), \, \tilde{x}_* = \tilde{\zeta}_q(\tilde{E}_1)$. We have $E = j_{W_r \times W'_q}^{W_n}(E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1)$ and

$$
f_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = f_{E_1} f_{\tilde{E}_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-1)/2} = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1} = \mathbf{z}_C.
$$

Using this and 5.8 we see that in any case, $a_E = z_C$, proving 1.5(b2) in our case.

5.10. Assume first that $\delta_{y_*} = 1$. Let $r, q, x_*, \tilde{x}_*, E_1, \tilde{E}_1$ be as in 5.8. Then $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| \geq 3$ hence $q \geq 1$ (so that the unique J such that $\mathbf{W}_J = W_r \times W'_q$ is Ω -stable) and \tilde{E}_1 is Ω -stable. It follows that $\mathfrak{c}_E = 2$.

Conversely, assume that $\mathfrak{c}_E = 2$. Using 1.11 we see that there exist $(r, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ be such that $r + q = n$ with $q \ge 1$ and $E_1 \in S_{W_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in S_{W'_q}$ such that \tilde{E}_1 is Ω -stable, $E = j_{W_r \times W'_q}^{W_n}(E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1), f_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = \mathbf{z}_C$. We set $x_* = \zeta_r(E_1) \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* = \tilde{\zeta}_q(\tilde{E}_1) \in \tilde{X}_m^q$. We have $y_* = x_* + \tilde{x}_*$. Since \tilde{E}_1 is Ω -stable, we have $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| \geq 3$. Hence

$$
2^{| \Im (y_*)|-2} \leq {\bf z}_C = f_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-1)/2 + (|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|-3)/2} \leq 2^{| \Im (y_*)|-2}.
$$

It follows that $2^{|J(y_*)|-2} = \mathbf{z}_C$ so that $\tilde{\delta}_{y_*} = 1$.

We see that $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = 2$ if and only if $\mathfrak{c}_E = 2$. Since $\mathfrak{c}_E \in [1, 2]$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C \in [1, 2]$ we see that $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = \mathbf{c}_E$; this proves 1.5(b3) in our case.

6. TYPE D_n

6.1. In this section we assume that G is of type D_n $(n \geq 4)$. We identify $\mathbf{W} = W'_n$ as Coxeter groups in the usual way. The reflections $s_i (j \in I)$ are the products of two transpositions $(i, i+1)(i', (i+1)')$ for $i \in [1, n-1]$ and $(n-1, n')(n, (n-1)')$, $(1, 2')(2, 1')$. Define $\omega_1 \in W'_n$ by $i \mapsto (n + 1 - i)'$, $i' \mapsto n + 1 - i$ for $i \in [1, n - 1]$, $n \mapsto 1$, $n' \mapsto 1'$ (if n is even) and by $i \mapsto (n+1-i)'$, $i' \mapsto n+1-i$ for $i \in [1, n]$ (if n is even). Define $\omega_2 \in W'_n$ by $i \mapsto i$ for $i \in [2, n-1], 1 \mapsto 1', 1' \mapsto 1, n \mapsto n', n' \mapsto n$. We have $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$. If n is odd, Ω is cyclic of order 4 with generator ω_1 such that $\omega_1^2 = \omega_2$. If *n* is even, Ω is noncyclic of order 4 with generators ω_1, ω_2 of order 2.

6.2. In the remainder of this section we fix an odd integer $m = 2k - 1$ which is large relative to n.

Let $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ be such that $p + q = n, q \ge 1$. The group of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, n', \ldots, 2', 1'\}$ in W_n that leave stable each of the subsets $\{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$, $\{p', \ldots, 2', 1'\}$ and induce an even permutation on the subset $\{p+1, \ldots, n-1, n\} \cup$ $\{n', (n-1)', \ldots, (p+1)'\}$ is a standard parabolic subgroup of W'_n which may be identified with $S_p \times W'_q$ in an obvious way.

Let $(\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*) \in V_k^q$ $\chi^q_k, u_* \in Z^p_2$ x_{2k-1}^p . Define $\ddot{u}_* \in Z_{k-1}, \, \dot{u}_* \in Z_{k-1}$ by $\ddot{u}_i = u_{2i} - i$, $\dot{u}_i = u_{2i+1} - i - 1$ for $i \in [0, k-1]$. Let $v_* = \tilde{z}_* + \dot{u}_* - z_*^{0,k-1}$, $v'_* = \tilde{z}'_* + \ddot{u}_* - z_*^{0,k-1}$. Then $(v_*, v'_*) \in 'V_k^n$, $[u_*] \in \text{Irr}(S_p)$, $[\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*] \in \text{Irr}(W'_q)$, $[v_*; v'_*] \in \text{Irr}(W'_n)$. We have: (a) $[v_*; v'_*]^0 = j_{S_p \times W'_q}^{W'_n}([u_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]^0).$

The proof is similar to that of 4.4(a).

6.3. Let $(r, p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ be such that $r + p + q = n$. The group of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, n', \ldots, 2', 1'\}$ in W'_n that leave stable each of the subsets

$$
\{r+1,r+2,\ldots,r+p\},\{(r+p)',\ldots,(r+2)',(r+1)'\}
$$

and induce an even permutation on each of the subsets

$$
\{1, 2, \ldots, r\} \cup \{r', \ldots, 2', 1'\}, \{r+p+1, \ldots, n-1, n\} \cup \{n', (n-1)', \ldots, (r+p+1)'\}
$$

is a parahoric subgroup of W which may be identified with $W_r' \times S_p^{(0)} \times W_q'$ in an obvious way. $(S_p^{(0)}$ is a copy of S_p .)

When $r = 0, p \ge 2$, the group of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, n', \ldots, 2', 1'\}$ in W'_n that leave stable each of the subsets

$$
\{1', 2, \ldots, p\}, \{p', \ldots, 2', 1\}, \{p+1, \ldots, n-1, n\} \cup \{n', (n-1)', \ldots, (p+1)'\}
$$

is a parahoric subgroup of W which may be identified with $W'_r \times S_p^{(1)} \times W'_q$. $(S_p^{(1)})$ is a copy of S_p .)

When $p \geq 2, q = 0$, the group of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, n', \ldots, 2', 1'\}$ in W'_n that leave stable each of the subsets

$$
\{r+1,r+2,\ldots,n-1,n'\},\{n,(n-1)'\ldots,(r+2)',(r+1)'\},\{1,2,\ldots,r\}\cup\{r',\ldots,2',1'\}
$$

is a parahoric subgroup of W which may be identified with $W'_r \times S_p^{(2)} \times W'_q$. $(S_p^{(2)})$ is a copy of S_p .)

When $r = q = 0$, the group of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n, n', \ldots, 2', 1'\}$ in W', that leave stable each of the subsets $\{1', 2, 3, \ldots, n-1, n'\}, \{n, (n-1)'\ldots, 3', 2', 1\}$ is a parahoric subgroup of W which may be identified with $W'_r \times S_p^{(3)} \times W'_q$. $(S_p^{(3)}$ is a copy of S_p .)

Thus the parahoric subgroup $W'_r \times S_p^{(\lambda)} \times W'_q$ is defined in the following cases: (a) $\lambda = 0; p \ge 2, r = 0, \lambda = 1; p \ge 2, q = 0, \lambda = 2; r = q = 0, \lambda = 3.$

When $p = 0$ we write also $W'_r \times W'_q$ instead of $W'_r \times S_p^{(0)} \times W'_q$.

Let $(z_*; z'_*) \in {}^{\dagger}V_k^r$, $(\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*) \in {}^{\dagger}V_k^{\dot{q}}$ $\chi_{k}^{q}, u_{*} \in Z_{2}^{p}$ ${}_{2k-1}^p$, Define $\ddot{u}_* \in Z_{k-1}, \, \dot{u}_* \in Z_{k-1}$ by $\ddot{u}_i = u_{2i} - i, \, \dot{u}_i = u_{2i+1} - i - 1 \text{ for } i \in [0, k-1]. \text{ Let } w_* = z_* + \tilde{z}_* + \dot{u}_* - 2z_*^{0,k-1},$ $w'_{*} = z'_{*} + \tilde{z}'_{*} + \ddot{u}_{*} - 2z^{0,k-1}_{*}$. Then $(w_{*}, w'_{*}) \in {}^{\dagger}V_{k}^{n}$.

For $\kappa, \tilde{\kappa}, \kappa' \in \{0,1\}$ we have $[z_*; z_*']^{\kappa} \in \text{Irr}(W_r')^{\dagger}$, $[u_*] \in \text{Irr}(S_p)$, $[\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}_*']^{\tilde{\kappa}} \in$ $\text{Irr}(W_q')^{\dagger}$, $[w_*, w_*']^{\kappa'} \in \text{Irr}(W_n')^{\dagger}$. For λ as in (a) we have:

(b)
$$
[w_*; w'_*]^{\kappa'} = j^{W'_n}_{W'_r \times S_p^{(\lambda)} \times W'_q}([z_*; z'_*]^{\kappa} \boxtimes [u_*] \boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]^{\tilde{\kappa}})
$$

with the following restriction on κ' : if $z_* = z'_*, \tilde{z}_* = \tilde{z}'_*, \dot{u}_* = \ddot{u}_*,$ then $w_* = w'_*$ and κ' in (b) is uniquely determined by $\kappa, \tilde{\kappa}, \lambda$; moreover, both $\kappa' = 0$ and $\kappa' = 1$ are obtained from some $(\kappa, \tilde{\kappa}, \lambda)$.

Now (b) can be proved in a way similar to $4.5(a)$; alternatively, from the second statement of 4.5(a) one can deduce that

$$
[[w_*;w_*']^{\kappa'}: \mathrm{Ind}_{W_r'\times S_p^{(\lambda)}\times W_q'}^{W_n}([z_*;z_*']^{\kappa}\boxtimes [u_*]\boxtimes [\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}_*']^{\tilde{\kappa}})]_{W_n'}\geq 1;
$$

we can also check directly that $b_{[w_*,w'_*]^{\kappa'}} = b_{[z_*,z'_*]^{\kappa}} + b_{[u_*]} + b_{[\tilde{z}_*,\tilde{z}'_*]^{\tilde{\kappa}}}$ and (b) follows.

6.4. By [L5, §13], we have $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}} \subset \text{Irr}(W'_n)^{\dagger}$ and there is a unique map $\tau : \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}} \to$ Y_m^n such that for $y_* \in Y_m^n$, $\tau^{-1}(y_*)$ consists of $[z_*; z_*']^0 = [z_*; z_*']^1$ (if $\mathfrak{I}(y_*) \neq \emptyset$) and consists of $[z_*; z_*']^0$, $[z_*; z_*']^1$ (if $\mathfrak{I}(y_*) = \emptyset$) where

 $z_* = (y_1, y_3 - 1, y_5 - 2, \ldots, y_m - (m-1)/2),$

 $z'_{*} = (y_0, y_2 - 1, y_4 - 2, \ldots, y_{m-1} - (m-1)/2).$

This map has the following property: if $C \in \mathcal{X}$ and $y_* = \tau(\rho_C)$, then $\mathbf{b}_C = \beta'(y_*)$, $\mathbf{z}_C = 2^{\max(|\Im(y_*)|-1-\delta_{y_*},0)}$ where $\delta_{y_*} = 1$ if $\Im'(y_*) \neq \emptyset$ and $\delta_{y_*} = 0$ if $\Im'(y_*) = \emptyset$. Moreover, $\mathbf{z}_C/\mathbf{z}_C$ is:

4 if $\delta_{y_*} = 1$ and $|\mathcal{I}| = 1$ for any $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$,

- 2 if $\delta_{y_*} = 1$ and $|\mathcal{I}| > 1$ for some $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$,
- 2 if $\mathfrak{I}(y_*) = \emptyset$,

1 if $\delta_{y_*} = 0$ and $|\mathcal{I}| > 1$ for some $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$.

More precisely, let $G \rightarrow G$ be a double covering which is a special orthogonal group and let $\underline{\mathbf{z}}_C$ be the number of connected components of the centralizer in \underline{G} of a unipotent element of \overline{G} which maps to an element of C. From [L5, §14] we see that:

 $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C = 2$ if $|\mathcal{I}| = 1$ for any $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$,

 $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C = 1$ if $|\mathcal{I}| > 1$ for some $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$.

On the other hand, from $\underline{\mathbf{z}}_C = 2^{\max(|\Im(y_*)|-1,0)}$, $\mathbf{z}_C = 2^{\max(|\Im(y_*)|-1-\delta_{y_*},0)}$, we see that $\mathbf{z}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = 2^{\delta_{y_*}}$.

6.5. In the setup of 6.3 we assume that $[z_*; z'_*]^{\kappa} \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_r}$, $[\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]^{\tilde{\kappa}} \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_q}$ and κ' is as in 6.3(b). Define $x_* \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m^q$ by $\zeta'_r([z_*; z'_*]^{\kappa}) = x_*$, $\zeta'_q([\tilde{z}_*; \tilde{z}'_*]^{\tilde{\kappa}}) = \tilde{x}_*$. Let $e_* = u_* - z_*^{0,m} \in \mathcal{E}_m$. We show:

(a) $[w_*, w'_*]^{\kappa'} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$ and $\tau([w_*, w'_*]^{\kappa'}) = x_* + e_* + \tilde{x}_*.$ We have $w_i = x_{2i+1} + \tilde{x}_{2i+1} + u_{2i+1} - i - 2i - 1$, $w'_i = x_{2i} + \tilde{x}_{2i} + u_{2i} - i - 2i$ for $i \in [0, k-1]$. Define $y_* \in \mathbf{N}^{m+1}$ by $w_i = y_{2i+1} - i$, $w'_i = y_{2i} - i$ for $i \in [0, k-1]$. Then $y_* = x_* + \tilde{x}_* + e_*$. Since $x_* \in X_m$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m$, $e_* \in \mathcal{E}_m$ we have $y_* \in Y_m$. More precisely, $y_* \in Y_m^n$. Using 6.4 we deduce that $[w_*, w_*']^{\kappa'} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$ and (a) follows.

From (a) and 6.3(b) we see that for λ as in 6.3(a), the assignment $(E_1, E_2, \tilde{E}_1) \mapsto$ $j_{\text{tri}}^{W_n}$ W_n
 $W_r \times S_p^{(\lambda)} \times W_q$ ($E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1$) is a map $j : \mathcal{S}_{W'_r} \times \mathcal{S}_{S_p} \times \mathcal{S}_{W'_q} \to \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$ and we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathcal{S}_{W'_r} \times \mathcal{S}_{S_p} \times \mathcal{S}_{W'_q} & \xrightarrow{j} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}} \\
\zeta'_r \times \xi_p \times \zeta'_q \Big\downarrow & \tau \Big\downarrow \\
X_m^r \times \mathcal{E}_m^p \times X_m^q & \xrightarrow{h} Y_m^n\n\end{aligned}
$$

where h is given by $(x_*, e_*, \tilde{x}_*) \mapsto x_* + e_* + \tilde{x}_*$ and $\xi_p : \mathcal{S}_{S_p} \to \mathcal{E}_m^p$ is the bijection $[e_* + z_*^{0,m}] \leftrightarrow e_*$.

6.6. Note that \mathcal{P}' is exactly the collection of parahoric subgroups $W'_r \times W'_q$ of W'_n with $(r, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $r + q = n$, $r \neq 1$, $q \neq 1$. (On the other hand $W'_{n-1} \times W'_{1}$, $W'_1 \times W'_{n-1}$ are maximal parabolic subgroup of the Coxeter group W'_n .) By 6.5, $j_{W'_r \times W'_q}^{W'_n}$ carries $\mathcal{S}_{W'_r} \times \mathcal{S}'_{W_q}$ into $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$. Hence $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}$.

Conversely, let $E \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}, y_* = \tau(E) \in Y_m^n$ (τ as in 6.4). By 2.6(a) we can find $(x_*,\tilde{x}_*)\in S(y_*)$. Define r,q in **N** by $x_*\in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_*\in X_m^q$. We must have $r + q = n$. In the commutative diagram in 6.5 we have $h(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) = y_*, x_* =$ $\zeta'_r(E_1), \tilde{x}_* = \zeta'_q(\tilde{E}_1)$ where $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_r}, \ \tilde{E}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_q}$ (recall that $\zeta'_r, \ \zeta'_q$ are surjective) and $\tau(j(E_1, \tilde{E}_1) = \tau(E)$. Thus $j(E_1, \tilde{E}_1), E$ are in the same fibre of $\iota : {^{\dagger}V_k^n} \to$ Irr $(W'_n)^{\dagger}$. Replacing E_1 or \tilde{E}_1 by an element in the same fibre of $\iota : {}^{\dagger}V_k^r \to$ $\text{Irr}(W_r')^{\dagger}$ or $\iota: {}^{\dagger}V_k^q \to \text{Irr}(W_q')^{\dagger}$ we see that we can assume that $j(E_1, \tilde{E}_1) = E$. Thus, $E \in \bar{S}_{W}$. Thus, $\tilde{S}_{W} \subset \bar{S}_{W}$. We see that $\tilde{S}_{W} = \bar{S}_{W}$. This proves 1.5(a) in our case.

6.7. In the remainder of this section we fix $C \in \mathcal{X}$ and we set $E = \rho_C \in \mathcal{S}_{W}$, $y_* = \tau(E) \in Y_m^n$ (with τ as in 6.4).

Let $(r, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_q}$ be such that $r + q = n$, $E = j_{W'_r \times W'_q}^{W'_n} (E_1 \boxtimes$ $(\tilde{E}_1)_1$. (These exist since $E \in \bar{S}_{\mathbf{W}}$.) Define $x_* \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m^q$ by $x_* = \zeta'_r(E_1), \tilde{x}_* =$ $\zeta'_q(\tilde{E}_1)$. From the commutative diagram in 6.5 we see that $x_* + \tilde{x}_* = y_*$. By 6.4, we have $\mathbf{b}_C = \beta'(y_*)$. Since $\beta'(x_* + \tilde{x}_*) = \beta(x_*) + \beta(\tilde{x}_*)$ we have $\mathbf{b}_C = \beta(x_*) + \beta(\tilde{x}_*)$. Since $\beta(x_*) = b_{E_1}, \ \beta(\tilde{x}_*) = b_{\tilde{E}_1}$, we have $\mathbf{b}_C = b_{E_1} + b_{\tilde{E}_1}$ hence $\mathbf{b}_C = b_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1}$. Since $E = j_{W'_r \times W'_q}^{W'_n}(E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1)$ we have $b_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = b_E$ hence $\mathbf{b}_C = b_E$, proving 1.5(b1) in our case.

If $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| \geq 2$, $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned} &f_{E_1\boxtimes \tilde E_1}=f_{E_1}f_{\tilde E_1}=2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2)/2}2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde x_*)|-2)/2)}\\ &=2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|+|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde x_*)|-4)/2}\le 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-2}\le \mathbf{z}_C. \end{aligned}
$$

If $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| = 0$, $2 < |\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| < 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)| - 2$ then

$$
f_{E_1\boxtimes \tilde{E}_1}=f_{E_1}f_{\tilde{E}_1}=2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|-2)/2)}\leq 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-2}\leq {\bf z}_C.
$$

Similarly, if $2 \leq |\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| \leq 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-2$, $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|=0$, then $f_{E_1\boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} \leq \mathbf{z}_C$. If $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|=0, 2 \leq |\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|=2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|$ then $\mathfrak{I}'(y_*)=\emptyset$ and

$$
f_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = f_{E_1} f_{\tilde{E}_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|-2)/2)} = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1} \leq \mathbf{z}_C.
$$

Similarly, if $2 \leq |\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| = 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|$, $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| = 0$ then $f_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} \leq \mathbf{z}_C$. If $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| = 0$, $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|=0$, then

$$
f_{E_1\boxtimes \tilde{E}_1}=f_{E_1}f_{\tilde{E}_1}=1=\mathbf{z}_C.
$$

Thus in any case we have $f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_1} \leq \mathbf{z}_C$. Taking maximum over all r, q, E_1, \tilde{E}_1 as above we obtain $a_E \leq z_C$.

6.8. Assume now that $\delta_{y_*} = 1$. Then $|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)| \geq 2$. By 2.6(a) we can find $(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) \in$ $S(y_*)$. By 2.6(c) we have $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| \geq 2$, $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| \geq 2$. Define $(r, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ by $x_* \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m^q$. We must have $r + q = n$. We can find $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_q}$ such that $x_* = \zeta'_r(E_1), \tilde{x}_* = \zeta'_q(\tilde{E}_1)$. As earlier in the proof we can assume that $E = j_{W'_r \times W'_q}^{W'_n}(E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1)$ and we have

$$
f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_1} = f_{E_1} f_{\tilde{E}_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2)/2 + (|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|-2)/2} = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-2} = \mathbf{z}_C.
$$

6.9. Next we assume that $\mathfrak{I}(y_*) \neq \emptyset$ and $\delta_{y_*} = 0$. By 2.6(a) we can find $(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) \in$ $S(y_*)$. By 2.6(vii) we have $\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*) = \emptyset$. Then $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| = 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|$. Define $(r, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ by $x_* \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m^q$. We must have $r + q = n$. We can find $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_q}$ such that $x_* = \zeta'_r(E_1)$, $\tilde{x}_* = \zeta'_q(\tilde{E}_1)$. We can assume that $E = j_{W'_r \times W'_q}^{W'_n}(E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1)$ and we have

$$
f_{E_1\boxtimes \tilde{E}_1}=f_{\tilde{E}_1}=2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|-2)/2}=2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1}=\mathbf{z}_C.
$$

Now we assume that $\mathfrak{I}(y_*) = \emptyset$. By 2.6(a) we can find $(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) \in S(y_*)$. By 2.6(b) we have $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) = \emptyset$, $\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*) = \emptyset$. Define $(r, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ by $x_* \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m^q$. We must have $r + q = n$. We can find $E_1 \in S_{W'_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in S_{W'_q}$ such that $x_* =$ $\zeta'_r(E_1), \tilde{x}_* = \zeta'_q(\tilde{E}_1)$. We can assume that $E = j_{W'_r \times W'_q}^{W'_n}(E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1)$ and we have $f_{E_1\boxtimes \tilde{E}_1}=1=\mathbf{z}_C.$

We see that in any case, $a_E = z_C$, proving 1.5(b2) in our case.

6.10. For $g \in \Omega$ let $\langle g \rangle$ be the subgroup of Ω generated by g.

When *n* is even the subgroups of Ω are $\{1\},\langle\omega_1\rangle,\langle\omega_2\rangle,\langle\omega_1\omega_2\rangle,\Omega$; when *n* is odd the subgroups of Ω are $\{1\},\langle\omega_2\rangle, \Omega$.

(a) The collection of subgroups $W'_r \times S_p^{(0)} \times W'_q$ (with $r = q \ge 1$) contains all subgroups in \mathcal{P}^{Ω} .

(b) The collection of subgroups $W'_r \times W'_q$ contains all subgroups in $\mathcal{P}^{\langle \omega_2 \rangle}$.

(c) For *n* even, the collection in (a) together with the subgroups $W'_0 \times S_p^{(\lambda)} \times W'_0$ (with $\lambda = 0$ or 3) contains all subgroups in $\mathcal{P}^{\langle \omega_1 \rangle}$.

(d) For *n* even, the collection in (a) together with the subgroups $W'_0 \times S_p^{(\lambda)} \times W'_0$ (with $\lambda = 1$ or 2) contains all subgroups in $\mathcal{P}^{\langle \omega_1 \omega_2 \rangle}$.

6.11. Assume that $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = 4$. Then $\delta_{y_*} = 1$ and $|\mathcal{I}| = 1$ for any $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$. By 2.11 we can find $r, p, x_* \in X_m^r, e_* \in \mathcal{E}_m^p$ (with $r + p + r = n$) such that $y_* = x_* + e_* + x_*, (x_*, e_* + x_*) \in S(y_*), \mathfrak{S}(x_*) = \mathfrak{S}(e_* + x_*) \neq \emptyset.$ Note that $r \geq 1$. Define $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W_r'}$ by $\zeta'_r(E_1) = x_*$, $E_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{S_p}$ by $\xi_p(E_2) = e_*$. We have $E = j_{W'_r \times S_p^{(0)} \times W'_r}^{W'_n}(E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1)$ and

$$
f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2)/2} 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2)/2} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2)/2} 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(e_*+x_*)|-2)/2}
$$

= $2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-2} = \mathbf{z}_C.$

We have $W'_r \times S_p^{0} \times W'_r \in \mathcal{P}^{\Omega}$. Moreover, $E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1$ is Ω -stable. We see that $c_E = 4.$

6.12. Conversely, assume that $c_E = 4$. By 1.11 and 6.10(a), there exist (r, p, q) as in 6.3 with $q = r \ge 1$ and $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_r}$, $E_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{S_p}$ such that $E = j_{W'_r \times S_p^{(0)} \times W'_r}^{W'_n}$ $E_2 \boxtimes E_1$, $f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1} = \mathbf{z}_C$ and such that E_1 extends to a W_r -module. We set $x_* = \zeta'_r(E_1) \in X_m^r, e_* = \xi_p(E_2)$. We have $y_* = x_* + e_* + x_*$. Since E_1 extends to a W_r-module we have $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) \neq \emptyset$, hence $\mathfrak{I}(y_*) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $\mathbf{z}_C = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1-\delta_{y_*}},$ $2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2+|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2)/2}=2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-1-\delta_{y_*}} \text{ and } |\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|+|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|=2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|+1-\delta_{y_*}.$ Since $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| \leq 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|$, we have $1 - \delta_{y_*} \leq 0$ hence $\delta_{y_*} = 1$ and $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| + |\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| = 2|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|.$

Let $E'_1 = j_{S_p \times W'_r}^{W'_{p+r}}(E_2 \boxtimes E_1) \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_{p+r}}$. Then $E = j_{W'_r \times W'_{p+r}}^{W'_n}(E_1 \boxtimes E'_1)$. By 1.5(b2) we have $f_{E_1 \boxtimes E'_1} \leq \mathbf{z}_C$. By 1.9(b) we have $f_{E_2 \boxtimes E_1} \leq f_{E'_1}$. Hence $\mathbf{z}_C =$ $f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1} \leq f_{E_1 \boxtimes E'_1} \leq \mathbf{z}_C$; this forces $f_{E_2 \boxtimes E_1} = f_{E'_1}$. The last equality can be rewritten as

$$
2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2)/2}=2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(e_*+x_*)|-2)/2}
$$

since $e_* + x_* = \zeta_{p+r}'(E_1')$ (a consequence of 6.2(a)). Hence $|\mathfrak{S}(e_* + x_*)| = |\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|$. We have also $(x_*, e_* + x_*) \in S(y_*)$. Using 2.10, we see that for any $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$ we have $|\mathcal{I}| = 1$. Thus, $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = 4$.

Using this together with 6.11, we see that $\mathfrak{c}_E = 4$ if and only if $\mathfrak{z}_C / \mathfrak{z}_C = 4$.

6.13. Assume that $\mathfrak{I}(y_*) = \emptyset$. Then *n* is even. Define $e_* \in \mathbb{N}^{m+1}$ by $y_* =$ $x_*^0 + e_* + x_*^0$. We have $e_* \in \mathcal{E}_m^n$. Define $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W_0'}$ by $\zeta'_0(E_1) = x_*^0$, $E_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{S_n}$ by $\xi_n(E_2) = e_*$. For some $\lambda \in [0,3]$ we have $E = j_{W'_0 \times S_n^{(\lambda)} \times W'_0}^{W'_n}(E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1)$, see 6.3. We have $f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1} = 1 = \mathbf{z}_C$. Note that $W'_0 \times S_n^{(\lambda)} \times W'_0 \in \mathcal{P}^{\Omega_1}$ where Ω_1 is $\langle \omega_1 \rangle$ or $\langle \omega_1 \omega_2 \rangle$; moreover $E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1$ is Ω_1 -stable. We see that $\mathfrak{c}_E \geq 2$. By 6.12 we cannot have $\mathfrak{c}_E = 4$. Hence $\mathfrak{c}_E = 2$.

6.14. Assume that $\delta_{y_*} = 1$ and $|\mathcal{I}| > 1$ for some $\mathcal{I} \in \mathfrak{I}(y_*)$. We have $|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)| \geq 2$. By 2.6(a) we can find $(x_*, \tilde{x}_*) \in S(y_*)$. By 2.6(c) we have $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| \geq 2$, $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| \geq 2$. Define $(r, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ by $x_* \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* \in X_m^q$. We must have $r+q = n$ and $r \geq 1$, $q \geq 1$. We can find uniquely $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_q}$ such that $x_* = \zeta'_r(E_1), \tilde{x}_* = \zeta'_q(\tilde{E}_1)$. We have $E = j_{W'_r \times W'_q}^{W'_n}(E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1)$ and

$$
f_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = f_{E_1} f_{\tilde{E}_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2)/2 + (|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|-2)/2} = 2^{|\mathfrak{I}(y_*)|-2} = \mathbf{z}_C.
$$

We have $W'_r \times W'_q \in \mathcal{P}^{\langle \omega_2 \rangle}$ and $E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1$ is $\langle \omega_2 \rangle$ -stable. We see that $\mathfrak{c}_E \geq 2$. By 6.12 we cannot have $\mathfrak{c}_E = 4$. Hence $\mathfrak{c}_E = 2$.

6.15. Assume that $c_E = 2$. By 1.11 and 6.10, either (i) or (ii) below holds.

(i) there exist (r, p, q) as in 6.3 with $q = r, \lambda \in [0, 3]$ (with $\lambda = 0$ unless $r = 0$) and $E_1 \in \mathcal{S}_{W'_r}, E_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{S_p}$ such that $E = j_{W'_r \times S_p^{(\lambda)} \times W'_r}^{W'_r}(E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1),$ $f_{E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1} = \mathbf{z}_C;$

(ii) there exist (r, q) with $r + q = n$ and $E_1 \in S_{W'_r}$, $\tilde{E}_1 \in S_{W'_q}$ such that E_1 extends to a W_r -module, \tilde{E}_1 extends to a W_q -module, $E = j_{W'_r \times W'_q}^{W'_n}(E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1)$ and $f_{E_1\boxtimes \tilde{E}_1}=\mathbf{z}_C.$

Assume first that (i) holds. We set $x_* = \zeta'_r(E_1) \in X_m^r$. If $r \geq 1$ and E_1 extends to a W_r -module then $E_1 \boxtimes E_2 \boxtimes E_1$ is Ω -stable (note that $W'_r \times S_p^{(\lambda)} \times W'_r \in \mathcal{P}^{\Omega}$) so that $\mathfrak{c}_E = 4$ contradicting $\mathfrak{c}_E = 2$. Thus, either $r \geq 1$ and E_1 does not extend to a W_r -module or $r = 0$. It follows that $\mathfrak{S}(x_*) = \emptyset$ and $f_{E_1} = 1$ so that $\mathbf{z}_C = 1$. Hence either $|\Im(y_*)|=0$ or $|\Im(y_*)|=2, \delta_{y_*}=1$. In the first case we have $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C=2$. In the second case, using $\delta_{y_*} = 1$ we see that $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C \geq 2$; if we had $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = 4$ we would have $\mathfrak{c}_E = 4$, a contradiction. Thus in both cases we have $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = 2$.

Next assume that (ii) holds. We set $x_* = \zeta'_r(E_1) \in X_m^r$, $\tilde{x}_* = \zeta'_q(\tilde{E}_1) \in \tilde{X}_m^q$. We have $y_* = x_* + \tilde{x}_*$. Since E_1 extends to a W_r -module and \tilde{E}_1 extends to a W_q -module we have $|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)| \geq 2$, $|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)| \geq 2$. Hence

$$
2^{| \Im (y_*)|-2} \leq \mathbf{z}_C = f_{E_1 \boxtimes \tilde{E}_1} = f_{E_1} f_{\tilde{E}_1} = 2^{(|\mathfrak{S}(x_*)|-2)/2 + (|\mathfrak{S}(\tilde{x}_*)|-2)/2} \leq 2^{| \Im (y_*)|-2}.
$$

It follows that $2^{|J(y_*)|-2} = \mathbf{z}_C$ so that $\delta_{y_*} = 1$. This implies that $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C \geq 2$; if we had $\mathbf{z}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = 4$ we would have $\mathbf{c}_E = 4$, a contradiction. Thus we have $\mathbf{z}_C / \mathbf{z}_C = 2$.

Using this together with 6.13, 6.14, we see that $\mathfrak{c}_E = 2$ if and only if $\mathfrak{F}_C / \mathfrak{z}_C = 2$.

6.16. By 6.12, we have $\mathfrak{c}_E = 4$ if and only if $\mathfrak{F}_C / \mathfrak{z}_C = 4$. By 6.15, we have $\mathfrak{c}_E = 2$ if and only if $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C = 2$. Since $\mathfrak{c}_E \in \{1,2,4\}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C \in \{1,2,4\}$ we see that $\mathfrak{c}_E = \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C / \mathbf{z}_C$; this proves 1.5(b3) in our case.

7. Exceptional types

7.1. In this section we assume that G is an exceptional group. For each type we give a table with rows indexed by the unipotent conjugacy classes in G in which the row corresponding to $C \in \mathcal{X}$ has four entries:

$$
\rho_C \qquad \mathbf{b}_C \qquad a \times a' \quad (J, E_1)
$$

where $a = \mathbf{z}_C, a' = \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C$ and (J, E_1) is an example of an element of \mathcal{Z}_E $(E = \rho_C)$ such that $f_{E_1} = \mathbf{z}_C$ and $|\Omega_{J,E_1}| = \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C/\mathbf{z}_C$. (When $\Omega = \{1\}$ we have $a' = 1$ and we write a instead of $a \times a'$). We specify an irreducible representation E_1 of a Weyl group either by using the notation of [L4, Ch.4] (for type E_6, E_7, E_8) or by specifying its degree. The representation is then determined by its b_{E_1} which equals \mathbf{b}_C in the table or (in the case of G_2, F_4) by other information in the same row of the table. On the other hand, ϵ always denotes the sign representation. In a pair (J, E_1) , J is any subset of I such that W_J has the specified type; in addition, for type F_4 , we denote by A_2 (resp. A'_2) a subset J of \tilde{I} such that \mathbf{W}_J is of type A_2 and is contained (resp. not contained) in a parahoric subgroup of type B_4).

The group Ω is $\{1\}$ for types G_2, F_4 and is a cyclic group of order $9-n$ for type $E_n(n = 6, 7, 8).$

Type G_2

Type \mathcal{F}_4

Type E_6

Type \mathcal{E}_7

INDEX

0.1: $\mathcal{X}, \rho_C, \mathbf{b}_C, \mathbf{z}_C, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_C, \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_W$ 1.1: $\mathrm{Irr}(W), f_E, a_E, b_E, \mathcal{S}_W, \mathrm{Irr}(W)^\dagger$ 1.2: $\mathcal{R}, \tilde{I}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{W}_J, s_i, \Omega$ 1.3: $j_{\mathbf{W}_J}^{\mathbf{W}}(E_1), \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbf{W}}, \mathcal{Z}_E, \mathfrak{a}_E, \mathcal{Z}_E^{\spadesuit}$ $_{E}^{\spadesuit},\Omega_{J,E_1},\mathfrak{c}_{E}$ 1.4: G 1.9: P ′ 1.11: $\mathcal{P}^{\tilde{\Omega}}$ 2.9: \mathcal{E}_m 4.1: W_n 4.2: U_k^n, ζ_n 4.6: τ 5.1: W'_n 5.2: $V_k^n, ^{\dagger}V_k^n, \zeta_n', \tilde{\zeta}_n$ 5.4: $\tilde{\tau}$ 6.4: τ

REFERENCES

- [Al] D.Alvis, Induce/restrict matrices for exceptional Weyl groups, math.RT/0506377.
- [AL] D.Alvis and G.Lusztig, On Springer's correspondence for simple groups of type E_n (n = 6, 7, 8), Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 92 (1982), 65-78.
- [L2] G.Lusztig, *Irreducible representations of finite classical groups*, Inv.Math. 43 (1977), 125-175.
- [L1] G.Lusztig, A class of irreducible representations of a Weyl group, Proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. (A) 82 (1979), 323-335.
- [L3] G.Lusztig, Unipotent characters of the symplectic and odd orthogonal groups over a finite field, Invent.math. **64** (1981), 263-296.
- [L4] G.Lusztig, Characters of reductive groups over a finite field, Ann.Math.Studies, vol. 107, Princeton U.Press, 1984.
- [L5] G.Lusztig, *Intersection cohomology complexes on a reductive group*, Invent.Math. **75** (1984), 205-272.
- [L6] G.Lusztig, A unipotent support for irreducible representations, Adv. in Math. **94** (1992), 139-179.
- [S1] T.Shoji, On the Springer representations of Weyl groups of classical algebraic groups, Comm.in Alg. 7 (1979), 1713-1745,2027-2033.
- [S2] T.Shoji, On the Springer representations of Chevalley groups of type F_4 , Comm.in Alg. 8 (1980), 409-440.

[Sp] T.A.Springer, Trigonometric sums, Green functions of finite groups and representations of Weyl groups, Invent.Math. 36 (1976), 173-207.

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139