

MIT Open Access Articles

Metals Recovery from Seawater Desalination Brines: Technologies, Opportunities, and Challenges

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Kumar, Amit, Naidu, Gayathri, Fukuda, Hiroki, Du, Fengmin, Vigneswaran, Saravanamuth et al. 2021. "Metals Recovery from Seawater Desalination Brines: Technologies, Opportunities, and Challenges." ACS Sustainable Chemistry & amp; Engineering, 9 (23).

As Published: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.1c00785

Publisher: American Chemical Society (ACS)

Persistent URL: https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/142045

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike



Metals Recovery from Seawater Desalination Brines: Technologies, Opportunities and Challenges

Amit Kumar^{1,2#*}, Gayathri Naidu^{3#}, Hiroki Fukuda⁴, Fengmin Du¹, Saravanamuth Vigneswaran³, Enrico Drioli⁵, John H. Lienhard V¹

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge MA 02139-4307 USA.

²Department of Chemical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge MA 02139-4307 USA.

³Centre for Technology in Water and Wastewater, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Technology Sydney, P.O. Box 123, Broadway, Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia.

⁴Department of Materials Engineering, University of British Columbia, 309-6350 Stores Road, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6T 1Z4, Canada.

⁵Institute on Membrane Technology (ITM–CNR), c/o University of Calabria, 87036 Rende, Italy.

#These authors contributed equally. Corresponding Authors email: <u>amitkum@mit.edu</u> (Amit Kumar) <u>lienhard@mit.edu</u> (John H. Lienhard V)

Abstract

The urgent need for environmental sustainability has increasingly prompted policy makers to emphasize resource recovery from desalination brine streams. Recent research on resource recovery from waste stream has shown rising momentum with near term viability for several new technologies. In this article, we focus on new opportunities for metal resource recovery from seawater desalination brine, while outlining associated sustainability challenges and opportunities. The potential of metals recovery is discussed.

Keywords

Resource recovery, Metal recovery, Seawater, Desalination brine, Lithium, Adsorption, Electrochemical method, Circular economy

Introduction

Seawater desalination, a major source of water production, utilizes seawater to produce freshwater. Desalinated water is expected to play a key role in narrowing the water demand-supply gap by extending water supplies beyond what is available from the hydrological cycle. Based on global data, there are approximately 15,906 operational desalination plants producing around 95 million m³/day of desalinated water ¹. Of the desalination technologies, reverse osmosis (RO) is by far the dominant process, accounting for 84% of the total number of operational desalination plants. The recovery rate of seawater RO (SWRO) can span from 30% by up to 55% (for a two-pass system). Typical SWRO plants operate at recovery ratios of about 45% ². As a result of this, the process generates large volumes of concentrated brine. Globally, seawater desalination plants are estimated to generate 124.5 million m³/day brine, which are treated as waste and often disposed into the coastal ocean ³⁻⁶.

Concentrated seawater brine contains various resources (ions and salts) that can be recovered, potentially leading to economic benefits and reduced waste disposal. Almost every element in the periodic table can be found in seawater and likewise in desalination brines, including valuable elements such as lithium (Li), uranium (U), rubidium (Rb), and strontium (Sr), among others (Figure 1). These elements are present in seawater brine at low concentrations (0.19 to 0.30 mg/L) along with other dominant ions, such as potassium (K), calcium (Ca), and magnesium (Mg) at higher concentrations. Recovery of these valuable elements is challenging: firstly, their selective separation from other dominant ions is difficult; and secondly, they are not easy to precipitate and crystallize using a single recovery operation. In this perspective article, we focus on recovery of metals from seawater brine (noting that useful non-metal elements, such as bromine (Br) and boron (B), are also present), and we discuss associated sustainability challenges and opportunities.

Presently, land-based mining of resources faces sustainability challenges given that high grade mineral ore deposits are declining. Mining processes can be very energy and water intensive; and mining wastes may create lasting environmental damage. Although the concentrations of valuable elements in brines such as seawater are very low in comparison to land-based mineral ores, the amounts available in the oceans are huge. The mass ratio of ocean abundance to land reserves ³ for Li, Sr, U, and Rb is 10³ to 10⁶. Globally, the total amount of Li in seawater is estimated to be around 230 Gt ⁷. Further, extraction of Li from concentrated brine is estimated to cost 30% to 50% less than that from mined ores ⁸. If metals are economically recovered from the brine concentrate, not only can the water production cost be reduced by the revenue from the minerals recovered, but also the environmental problems associated with brine disposal can be reduced. It is safe to say that extraction of elements from brine would support a more sustainable economy.



Figure 1. Element concentration and price ³ (element concentration in seawater brine is estimated based on average 40% recovery rate of desalination plant). Market prices of elements are based on 2018 USGS metal commodities summaries ⁹, Sodaya et al. ¹⁰, and Kramer ¹¹. Economic feasibility of extracting an element from seawater brine is considered based on the element market price, concentration in brine as well as the extraction cost ¹². For simplicity, extraction cost ratio is assumed to be 1 for all elements. Economic feasibility means that the product of (market price of element × element concentration in brine) is greater than the extraction cost. The elements on the right-hand side of the dotted line are potentially economical to be extracted from seawater brine based on the concentration and market price ratio greater than one. The economics is expected to be more favorable with increasing distance to the right from the dotted line and further away from the horizontal axis towards the top. Figure 1 is reproduced from authors' previous work.³ The authors acknowledge permission to re-use from Royal Society of Chemistry.

Economic Implications of Resource Recovery from Seawater and Energy Industry

Research in resource recovery has shown potential for producing valuable elements from waste brines. We focus on seawater brine, as it contributes the largest volume of waste brine in comparison to all others ^{1,5}. In contrast to the mining industry, which usually requires a large water input for production, the extraction of valuable resources from seawater brine can result in greater profitability for water desalination and oil and gas operations ^{3,13,14}. However, whether these technologies can be implemented for large-scale use is dependent the net value of recovered resources, which is a function of the market price of recovered elements, the concentration in the brine, and the extraction cost of any particular element ¹². Since consistent and updated data on global volume and rejection capacity of SWRO brine is widely available ^{1,4,5,15}, we utilize that data as a model input to evaluate the economic potential of extracting resources from SWRO brine. Figure 1 presents a rough estimate of the economic feasibility of recovering various elements from the brine based on their market prices and available concentrations in the brine. It should also be

noted that, from a practical point of view, the cost of direct brine disposal strongly affects the economic feasibility ¹².

In addition to factors related to costs and revenues, the market consumption/demand of certain elements available from the waste brine must also be considered while determining the economic potential of resource recovery. For instance, although Rb stands out due to its high market price, its application demand is rather low (based on the world production and consumption factor). Contrarily, resources such as Li have high market demand and therefore are more viable for extraction.



Figure 2. Element consumption, price, and concentration. Element extraction feasibility ranking based on element economic value (price in USD) as a function of: (**a**) production /world demand; and (**b**) consumption in US. Varied bubble size represents element concentration in seawater brine (logarithmic scale). Values are based on 2018 USGS metal commodities summaries (2018) ⁹.

Sustainable Resource Recovery

The sustainability of element recovery from brines must be considered based on the concentration of the elements (Figure 2). For instance, sodium chloride, magnesium hydroxide, and potash are economically and technically viable for production from seawater brine, given that these elements are present in high concentrations and do possess economic demand/consumption (as shown in Figure 2)¹². Hence, in practice, Na, Mg, Ca, and K have been extracted from seawater, predominantly as hydroxide/carbonate salts. Extraction of Na^+ , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and K^+ from desalination plants in Saudi Arabia is estimated to have a potential revenue of US\$ 18 billion/yr ¹⁶. The sale of sodium chloride has the potential to decrease the cost of produced water treatment by 1.31-1.37 \$/m³¹⁷, and salt production for human consumption or chlor-alkali purposes from seawater desalination brines can be implemented profitably in several regions of the world ¹⁸. K is extracted from seawater as a byproduct of solar salting ¹². Mg is extracted commercially from seawater as magnesium hydroxide and oxides, predominantly by precipitating with lime and dolomite^{3,19}. In 2017, approximately 70% of US Mg supply in the form of magnesium oxide came from seawater and natural brines⁹. In contrast, extraction of Ca from seawater and its related brine has not been widely developed due to its low economic value. One desalination facility, in Southern California in the US, reportedly produces calcium carbonate on a commercial basis²⁰.

Ongoing research has focused on the recovery of Cs^+ , Rb^+ , Li^+ , Sr^{2+} and U(VI) from seawater and its brine. With regard to potential extraction methods, we emphasize adsorption and electrochemical separation methods (Table 1) due to: their capacity for selective resource recovery in complex mixtures such as seawater brine; their low energy requirement; and their flexibility of chemical modification and operation, including potential use in an integrated system with membrane processes ^{21–23}. The integration of adsorbent and membrane processes ^{21,22} is highly favorable for simultaneous water and resource recovery.

Li is a valuable resource for energy storage, as it possesses beneficial characteristics such as high storage density. The demand for Li is projected to increase from 237,000 metric tons of lithium carbonate equivalent (LCE) in 2018 to 4.4–7.5 million metric tons of LCE by 2100,²⁴ owing to its increasing usage in rechargeable lithium ion batteries⁷. In addition to lithium ion batteries, Li has uses in various other industries, such as glass and ceramics, greases, and metallurgical applications ^{25,26}. Figure 3 shows a typical Li production process from brines. The second step in the process, concentration, is the most important part and new technologies are still being studied by many researchers ²⁷. Figure 3 shows a typical lithium production process from brines. The second step in the process, concentration, is the most important part and new technologies are still being studied by many researchers. For Li extraction from seawater, research has focused on finding the best adsorbents with high Li uptake, chemical stability and selectivity ²⁸⁻²⁹; developing novel electrochemical methods such as electrodialysis ³⁰ and electrolysis with specific electrodes ³¹; as well as coupling the Li extraction with membrane and ion-exchange processes ^{29,30}.



Figure 3. Li production process from brine sources. In the pre-treatment, divalent ions are removed from brine as precipitates. Li is concentrated in the next step by rejecting other monovalent ions such as Na⁺ and K⁺ ions. Some impurities such as B in the Li-concentrated solution are removed ³², and lithium carbonate or lithium hydroxide is produced as a pure Li compound.

However, these explorations have yet to achieve industrial commercialization, as some of the approaches did reach benchmarking stages. This indicates that the Li extraction process still requires further research and optimization to attain practical feasibility. Interestingly, for Li recovery from oil and gas produced wastewater ¹⁴, a few successful cases were achieved by two Canadian companies, MGX Minerals Inc.³³ and Standard Lithium Ltd.³⁴. Specifically, for seawater, one of the main challenges of using an Li-ion adsorbent to selectively extract Li^+ is the severe competition from other major ions present at high concentrations. In fact, Li selectivity over other monovalent cations such as Na⁺ and K⁺ still needs to be improved. A key strategy to improve selectivity is to make the adsorbent have the proper-sized structure with holes which can capture Li⁺ only. Successful adsorbents such as lithium manganese oxide ³⁵ have a structure that only accepts Li⁺ and rejects Na⁺ and K⁺, while monovalent cation exchange resins with simple anion functional groups lack this selectivity ³⁶. Further, Li-ion sieve adsorbents have a microcrystalline or fine-grained powdery nature, which limits the capacity to regenerate and reuse the adsorbent. It also makes it challenging to practically apply the powder form of the adsorbent in conventional fixed-bed columns as it may lead to clogging, large pressure loss, and slow filtration rates. To overcome these difficulties, the combination of adsorbents and electrochemistry is increasingly attracting attention. Lawagon et al.³¹ used electrical power to drive Li+ into the structure of adsorbents and also to make the regeneration of the adsorbent easier.

An integrated MD-adsorbent system ^{22,23} benefits both processes by providing long contact time for encapsulated adsorbents to selectively extract elements, enabling the usage of powdered adsorbents (higher adsorption capacity as a result of higher surface area) and raising the element concentration by MD. Likewise, embedding adsorbent with metal organic frameworks such as Cr-MIL, UiO-66 and ZIF-8 containing selective functional groups onto polymer membranes will produce selective ion exchange membranes that can be incorporated to an electrochemical process. In this scenario, embedding metal organic framework nanomaterials into the membrane can favourably manipulate the membrane nanochannels to match the specific pore size of an ion such as Li. This in turn, will enable rapid and selective transportation of Li ions while rejection/sieving out competing ions at relatively low energy requirement, especially when the process is coupled with renewable energy ³⁷.

U is another example of element whose extraction from seawater or its brine would be economically feasible (based on Figure 1). Either batch or column adsorption processes have been proposed, with a large variety of adsorbents being applied ^{38,39-40}. This also applies to the extraction of Rb/Cs/Sr⁴¹⁻⁴², which are among the most feasible elements. Nonetheless, it should be noted that the four elements have relatively low market consumption/demand (Figure 2), limiting the practicality of large-scale extraction operations despite the relatively high unit values.

Additionally, in comparison with typical mining and recycling, we need more pilot-scale and fullscale data on mining from seawater brines. The data must be in a form useful to companies and governments that make site specific decisions on resource production.

Finally, the techno-economic analysis is necessary to implement these technologies in practical cases. For instance, selective Li recovery from seawater mining with a conventional chemical precipitation approach involves multiple steps that may be chemically intensive and time consuming⁴³. Meanwhile membrane electrochemical driven systems such as electrodialysis (ED) and membrane capacitive deionization (MCDI) are promising as rapid and low-chemical recovery processes for Li recovery ^{37,44}. To date no detailed techno-economic assessment of electrochemical processes has been carried out. ED is a similar process to CDI in its electrochemical driving force but distinct in its ion removal for selective resource recovery. A relatively low voltage is required for MCDI (< 1.8 V). However, in a stack mode, the key distinguishing feature of ED is its ability to incorporate many membrane pairs between a single set of electrodes while MCDI, employs a pair of electrodes in each cell of the stack, resulting a bulky and costly system. Even so, energy recovery and optimization of operating conditions of pilot-scale MCDI systems have reported the possibility of attaining a stand-alone operation powered by renewable (solar) energy ⁴⁴. Additionally, MCDI requires a significantly thinner membrane, which is envisioned to accelerate the transfer of ions across an ion exchange membrane/electrode interface, a potentially favourable feature for selective Li transfer/recovery. The varying views on the performance efficiency of electrochemical processes are attributed to the lack of comparative study, especially in large scalesystems (product rate of over 1 m³/day). Moreover, to date, no work has reported on the comparative performance in terms of specific energy consumption for Li selective efficiency.

Elements	Source type	Method	Ref.
Na, Cl	Seawater RO	Electrodialysis /evaporation/crystallisation	45
	brine		
	Seawater	Electrodialysis (17-25 pairs of unit cells) after sand	46
		filtration/evaporation	
	Synthetic RO	Electrodialysis (5 pairs of unit cells)	47
	brine	/evaporation/crystallisation in vacuum evaporator	

Table 1. Recovery of elements from seawater and brine using adsorption or electrochemical

 methods

Mg	Seawater	Adsorption on carboxylic cation exchange resin.	48
		Desorption of Mg by eluting with Na ₂ CO ₃ ,	
		NaHCO ₃ . MgCO ₃ crystals in elutrate	
K	Synthetic	Solution flow through a jacket pipe containing a K-	49,50
	seawater	ionic sieve membrane reactor made-up of K-	
		selective synthetic zeolite. K was selectively	
		adsorbed in the presence of Na, Ca, Mg.	
	Seawater	Batch adsorption by a modified synthetic zeolite W.	51
		Selective rapid K adsorption. Very high K/Na	
		selectivity	
Br	Seawater RO	Electrodialysis concentrate was treated with	52
	brine	chlorine gas to produce bromine gas.	
Li	Seawater	Batch adsorption data compared with literature	28
		values on several other adsorbents	
	Seawater	Adsorption on λ -MnO ₂ /desorption	35
		separation/vacuum evaporation and precipitation as	
		carbonate	
	Seawater and	Adsorption on mixed matrix nanofiber	29
	seawater RO	membrane/Mn oxide adsorber in batch, and	
	brine	continuous flow-through membrane permeate	
		system. Regenerated using 0.5 M HCl	
	Seawater	A combination of electrodialysis and ionic liquid	30
	Soumator	membrane to enable selective Li ions to permeate	
		through the membrane from anode to cathode side	
	Synthetic	Electrochemical selective recovery using	31
	seawater	$L_{1-x}N_{1/3}C_{01/3}M_{1/2}O_{2}/Ag$ electrodes	
Rh Cs	Seawater RO	Batch adsorption using potassium cobalt	41
$\mathbf{n}_{0}, \mathbf{c}_{0}$	hrine	hexacvanoferrate ion exchange adsorbent	
	Seawater RO	Column adsorption on Cs treat (notassium cobalt	38
	hrine	hexacvanoferrate)	
	Synthetic	Column adsorption and desorption with KClusing	22
	segwater hring	organic polymer encapsulated potassium copper	
	scawalti UIIIle	hevacyanoferrate followed by recovery by	
		adsorption on resorginal formal dehyde ion	
		exchange resin and desorption using HCl	
Sr	Synthetic	Ratch and inflow adsorption on magronorous LTA	53
51	sonwater	(synthetic zoolite) monolith Danid advertiser with	
	scawalci	(synthetic zeone) monoriti. Kapit adsorption with	
	Synthetic	Retch adsorption on titenate nanotybes yoon Co	54
	Synthetic	removel as Co(OII). Sr described by UCl addition	
	seawater	removal as $Ca(OH)_2$. Sr desorbed by HCl addition	42
	Synthetic	Baich adsorption on alginate microsphere. Sr	
TT	seawater	Colour a logarity in the fact of the line	38
U	Seawater RO	Column adsorption on amidoxime-functionalised	50
	brine	Purolite S910 resin	30
	Seawater	Column adsorption in series and parallel on	57
		amidoxime-based polymeric adsorbent. After	

Syntheticadsorption capacity 3.3 mg U/g adsorbentSyntheticBatch adsorption on polyacrylonitrile/polygorskite55seawatercomposite chemically modified with amidoxime groups. U desorbed by HCl. Regenerated adsorbent fully for 5 cycles. Maximum adsorption at pH 5. Ionic strength had little effect56SeawaterBatch adsorption on porous polymer with amidoxime pendant group. Optimum adsorption at pH 6. Adsorbent regenerated fully during 3 cycles. Regenerated adsorbent with Na ₂ CO ₃ 57SeawaterBatch adsorption on high affinity ligands (diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na ₂ CO ₃ 58SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups59SeawaterAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework adsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework40SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H ₂ SO4 Adsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		adsorption for 8 weeks the adsorbent digested with aqua regia to measure adsorbed U. Maximum	
Synthetic seawaterBatch adsorption on polyacrylonitrile/polygorskite55seawatercomposite chemically modified with amidoxime groups. U desorbed by HCl. Regenerated adsorbent fully for 5 cycles. Maximum adsorption at pH 5. Ionic strength had little effect56SeawaterBatch adsorption on porous polymer with amidoxime pendant group. Optimum adsorption at pH 6. Adsorbent regenerated fully during 3 cycles. Regenerated adsorbent with Na2CO357SeawaterBatch adsorption on high affinity ligands (diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na2CO358SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups58SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H ₂ SO460SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption60		adsorption capacity 3.3 mg U/g adsorbent	
seawater composite chemically modified with amidoxime groups. U desorbed by HCl. Regenerated adsorbent fully for 5 cycles. Maximum adsorption at pH 5. Ionic strength had little effect 56 Batch adsorption on porous polymer with 56 amidoxime pendant group. Optimum adsorption at pH 6. Adsorbent regenerated fully during 3 cycles. Regenerated adsorbent with Na ₂ CO ₃ 57 (diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na ₂ CO ₃ 58 Seawater Batch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) 58 adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups 59 Seawater Adsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl. Synthetic Adsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework 40 seawater containing orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study 66 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H ₂ SO ₄ 61 composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption	Synthetic	Batch adsorption on polyacrylonitrile/polygorskite	55
groups. U desorbed by HCl. Regenerated adsorbent fully for 5 cycles. Maximum adsorption at pH 5. Ionic strength had little effect Seawater Batch adsorption on porous polymer with amidoxime pendant group. Optimum adsorption at pH 6. Adsorbent regenerated fully during 3 cycles. Regenerated adsorbent with Na ₂ CO ₃ Seawater Batch adsorption on high affinity ligands (diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na ₂ CO ₃ Seawater Batch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups Seawater Adsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl. Synthetic Adsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework 40 seawater containing orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had adsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 60 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H ₂ SO ₄ Seawater Adsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide 61 composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption	seawater	composite chemically modified with amidoxime	
fully for 5 cycles. Maximum adsorption at pH 5. Ionic strength had little effect56SeawaterBatch adsorption on porous polymer with amidoxime pendant group. Optimum adsorption at pH 6. Adsorbent regenerated fully during 3 cycles. Regenerated adsorbent with Na ₂ CO357SeawaterBatch adsorption on high affinity ligands (diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na ₂ CO358SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups58SeawaterAdsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl. Synthetic59SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H ₂ SO4 Seawater61Composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		groups. U desorbed by HCl. Regenerated adsorbent	
Ionic strength had little effect56SeawaterBatch adsorption on porous polymer with amidoxime pendant group. Optimum adsorption at pH 6. Adsorbent regenerated fully during 3 cycles. Regenerated adsorbent with Na2CO357SeawaterBatch adsorption on high affinity ligands (diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na2CO358SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field)58SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field)58SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO461SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		fully for 5 cycles. Maximum adsorption at pH 5.	
SeawaterBatch adsorption on porous polymer with amidoxime pendant group. Optimum adsorption at pH 6. Adsorbent regenerated fully during 3 cycles. Regenerated adsorbent with Na2CO3SeawaterBatch adsorption on high affinity ligands (diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na2CO357SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups58SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study60SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO461SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		Ionic strength had little effect	
amidoxime pendant group. Optimum adsorption at pH 6. Adsorbent regenerated fully during 3 cycles. Regenerated adsorbent with Na2CO3SeawaterBatch adsorption on high affinity ligands (diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na2CO357SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups58SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg 	Seawater	Batch adsorption on porous polymer with	56
PH 6. Adsorbent regenerated fully during 3 cycles. Regenerated adsorbent with Na2CO3SeawaterBatch adsorption on high affinity ligands (diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na2CO357SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups58SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO461SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		amidoxime pendant group. Optimum adsorption at	
Regenerated adsorbent with Na2CO3SeawaterBatch adsorption on high affinity ligands (diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na2CO357SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups58SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO461SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		pH 6. Adsorbent regenerated fully during 3 cycles.	
SeawaterBatch adsorption on high affinity ligands57(diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na2CO358SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups58SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework40seawatercontaining orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study60SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO461SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		Regenerated adsorbent with Na ₂ CO ₃	
(diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid, hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na2CO3SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups58SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO460SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61	Seawater	Batch adsorption on high affinity ligands	57
hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na2CO3SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups58SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO460SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		(diphophonic acid, phosphonic acid,	
nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully regenerated in 4 cycles using Na2CO358SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups58SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO460SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		hydroxypyridinone) installed on high surface area	
Seawaterregenerated in 4 cycles using Na2CO3SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field) adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups58SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework40seawatercontaining orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study60SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO461SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		nanostructured materials. Adsorbent fully	
SeawaterBatch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field)58adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups59SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework containing orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO460SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		regenerated in 4 cycles using Na ₂ CO ₃	
adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups59SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework containing orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO460SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61	Seawater	Batch (laboratory) and column (56 days, field)	58
Seawateradsorbent grafted with amidoxime groupsSeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic frameworkseawatercontaining orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption studySeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05Seawater60containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO4SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption		adsorption on high surface area polyethylene fibre	
SeawaterAdsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime- based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.59SyntheticAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework40seawatercontaining orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO460SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		adsorbent grafted with amidoxime groups	
based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.40Synthetic seawaterAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework containing orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study60SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO461SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61	Seawater	Adsorption on electrospun nanofibrous amidoxime-	59
Synthetic seawaterAdsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework40Seawatercontaining orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study40SeawaterAdsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study60SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO461SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		based adsorbent. Desorption with 0.5 M HCl.	
seawater containing orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study Seawater Adsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H ₂ SO ₄ Seawater Adsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption	Synthetic	Adsorbent with Zr metal-organic framework	40
adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg U/g in batch adsorption study Seawater Adsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 60 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO4 61 Seawater Adsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption	seawater	containing orthogonal phosphorylurea groups had	
U/g in batch adsorption study 60 Seawater Adsorption on a B selective resin CRB05 60 containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H ₂ SO ₄ 61 Seawater Adsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide 61 composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption 61		adsorption capacity saturation at pH 2.5 of 188 mg	
SeawaterAdsorption on a B selective resin CRB0560containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO461SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		U/g in batch adsorption study	
containing N-methyl glucamine functional group and desorption using HCl or H2SO4SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide61 composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption	Seawater	Adsorption on a B selective resin CRB05	60
and desorption using HCl or H2SO461SeawaterAdsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide61composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption61		containing N-methyl glucamine functional group	
Seawater Adsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide ⁶¹ composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption		and desorption using HCl or H ₂ SO ₄	
composite adsorbent. Continuous column experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption	Seawater	Adsorption on a chitosan/ferric hydroxide	61
experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption		composite adsorbent. Continuous column	
		experiment with 5 cycles of adsorption/desorption	
(using 0.01 M NaOH) removed 10.7 mmol B/mol		(using 0.01 M NaOH) removed 10.7 mmol B/mol	
Fe(OH) ₃		Fe(OH) ₃	

В

To support wider commercialization and industrialization of brine resource recovery processes, the authors believe that future research should be directed towards the following objectives:

- Further improvement of adsorbents, for instance grafting adsorbents with metal organic frameworks containing specific functional groups to enhance selectivity and rapid uptake towards the desired ion, preferably Li⁺, also other economically favourable metals, such as Mg²⁺, Rb⁺, Cs⁺ and U.
- Development of novel electrochemical methods for capture a desired metal, such as has been done for Li ³¹.

- Engineering of adsorbent configurations to maximize adsorption efficiency (as close to equilibrium as possible during the constrained contact time) from seawater and to facilitate the regeneration process.
- Integration of adsorbent and membrane processes, for instance using membrane distillation (MD) with absorbents in the feed tank, eliminating an additional fixed-bed column process for resource recovery while simultaneously recovering water for reuse.
- Scaling-up of existing processes in the literature such as in the Sea4Value project in Europe ⁶². This also includes the application of novel materials (e.g., adsorbents) on a larger scale while solving some related issues such as the difficulty in applying raw powders from the laboratory within industrial reactors.
- Detailed techno-economic assessment of potential recovery process (especially for Li and Mg, which have the highest economic potentials), with consideration of extraction costs and generated revenues, particularly in comparison to the present decoupled business-cases for existing brine disposal techniques and land-mining to extract these resources.

Summary and Future Outlook

Currently, the industry faces increasing pressure to embody principles of sustainability as a result of more stringent environmental regulations, depletion of natural resources, and increasing demand driven by population growth and rising living standards. This trend has resulted in a paradigm shift towards resource recovery from waste streams. Seawater and its desalination brine have recently been attracting academic and industrial attention for their abundant resources. Although various technologies have been studied for resource recovery from seawater brine, challenges remain in both science and application, the primary one being the complex composition of the solutions and the extremely low concentrations of most of these valuable resources. These knowledge gaps should be bridged to make the best use of resources in seawater brines.

To narrow the gaps, adsorption is superior to other techniques in view of its comparatively low cost, wide range of applications, simplicity of design, ease of operation, and most importantly, its capacity for selective resource recovery. In fact, current research has led to novel configurations of adsorption systems, more selective and productive adsorbents, and electrochemical means to mediate the adsorption and release of elements. The combination of electrochemical and adsorption methods can be both economical and environmentally friendly.

Nevertheless, we lack a detailed economic assessment with which to establish the feasibility of these new technologies for valuable resource recovery from seawater brine. A comparison to extracting resources from land mining, for example, would justify the economic profitability of the recovery process. From a practical point of view, a focus on scaling up these novel technologies and evaluating their performance under practical conditions is of vital importance, in cases such as embedding recovery in a working desalination plant. Despite the present challenges, we posit that resource recovery from seawater has strong potential to become a highly sustainable, innovative and profitable industry.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Biographies



Amit Kumar has industrial and academic experience in sustainable systems and manufacturing. Currently he is working on sustainable manufacturing and integrated systems for Amgen Inc. He also holds a Research affiliate position at MIT. He completed his PhD with a focus on process engineering and design of integrated systems at Ghent University in Belgium. He completed his postdoctoral studies in the Chemical Engineering Department at Massachusetts Institute of technology (MIT). He was an adjunct researcher at Harvard University during his postdoc. After his postdoc, he worked as a research scientist in the Mechanical Engineering and Chemical Engineering department at MIT. His research interests include sustainable systems and manufacturing. He has published more than 40 peer reviewed journal articles among *PNAS*, *Nature Reviews Chemistry*, *Nature Catalysis*, and *Science*. He has received 25 major awards including the international doctoral dissertation award (AWMA), and NAMS, IWA Biofilm Technologies, and Marie Curie Awards. He served as the Director of Strategy and Impact for the MIT Energy Club.



Gayathri Naidu is a Senior Lecturer and ARC DECRA Fellow with the School of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Centre for Technology in Water and Wastewater at University of Technology Sydney (UTS), Australia. She obtained her bachelor's and master's degrees in Engineering from University of Malaya Kuala Lumpur and Imperial College London, respectively; and her PhD in Environmental Engineering from UTS Australia. Gayathri's core research is dedicated to exploring the three Rs in seawater and wastewater – that is remediation, reuse and recovery. She synthesises nanomaterials and membranes, as well as model, design and implements hybrid solar membrane brine treatment processes to drive the global water industry towards sustainable water reuse and recovering valuable resources – lithium, rubidium and rare earth elements.



Hiroki Fukuda is a chemical engineer in the field of environmental engineering. He completed B.Eng. in Resources and Environmental Engineering at Waseda University in Tokyo (2017) and M.A.Sc. in Materials Engineering at University of British Columbia (UBC) in Vancouver (2019). His research interest is in separation technologies for environmental, energy and resource problems. He has worked on boron removal from wastewater, lithium extraction from brine and so on. He is now focusing on resource harvesting from wastewater using membrane technology. He has received Ernest Peters Master's Award by Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM) and Civil Engineering Excellence Award by UBC. He is currently a PhD student in Civil Engieering at UBC and a VP-finance of UBC BCWWA (BC Water & Waste Association) student chapter.



Fengmin Du holds B.Sc. and M.Sc. in Chemical Engineering at Technical University of Munich. He was a visiting graduate student at MIT where he conducted research on recovery of caustic soda from high salinity reverse osmosis brine by membrane chlor-alkali electrolysis. and is currently a Ph.D. student at Department of Chemistry and Applied Bioscience (D-CHAB), ETH Zurich. In cooperation with BMW Group, his current research focuses on the degradation mechanisms in automotive polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs).



Saravanamuthu Vigneswaran is currently a Distinguished Professor of Environmental Engineering and was the Founding Director of Centre of Technology in Water and Wastewater (2009-2018) at the University of Technology, Sydney (UTS), Australia. He obtained his Doctor of Engineering and Dr.Sc. from the University of Montpellier and University of Toulouse, respectively. He is a Distinguished Fellow of the International Water Association. During the last 25 years, he has made significant contributions to the understanding of membrane systems in water reuse, resource recovery and desalination, and sustainable water systems in developing countries. He has several national and international projects in these areas. He has obtained over 15 Australian Research Council grants and worked as investigator and work package leader in four EU projects. He has served as UTS coordinator of several consortiums: Australian National Centre of Excellence for Desalination, Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment (CRC CARE). He has published over 350 journal papers, books, and book chapters.

He holds several international and national awards, including Google Impact Challenge Technology against Poverty Prize (2017), IWA Global Project Innovation and Development Awards in Research (2012,2019), and Kamal Fernando Mentor Award (2018).



Enrico Drioli is an Emeritus Professor at the School of Engineering of the University of Calabria, and he is a Founding Director of the Institute on Membrane Technology, CNR, Italy. Since 2018, he has been a Guest Professor of the School of Marine Science and Technology of Harbin Institute of Technology, Weihai, PRC. Since 2012, he has been a Distinguished Adjunct Professor at CEDT King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah Saudi Arabia; since 2010, he has been a WCU Distinguish Visiting Professor at Hanyang University, Seoul Korea. His research activities focus on membrane science and engineering, membranes in artificial organs, integrated membrane processes, membrane preparation and transport phenomena in membranes, membrane distillation and membrane contactors, and catalytic membrane and catalytic membrane reactors. He is involved in many international societies, scientific committees, editorial boards, and international advisory boards.



John H. Lienhard V is the Abdul Latif Jameel Professor of Water and Mechanical Engineering at MIT. During more than 30 years on the MIT faculty, Lienhard's research and educational efforts have focused on heat and mass transfer, water purification and desalination, and thermodynamics. Lienhard's research on water purification includes humidification-dehumidification desalination, membrane distillation desalination, forward and reverse osmosis, nanofiltration, electrodialysis, solar-driven desalination, solvent extraction, bubble columns, scale formation and membrane fouling, salinity gradient power, management of high salinity brines, and thermodynamic and energy efficiency analysis of desalination cycles. Lienhard has also done research on high heat flux engineering, liquid jet impingement cooling, and convection. Lienhard has directly supervised more than 85 graduate theses, and he is the author of three textbooks and more than 300 peer-reviewed publications. He has been issued 37 US patents, most of which have been commercialized through start-up companies. Lienhard has also received many awards for his research and teaching. As director of the Abdul Latif Jameel Water and Food Systems Lab, he coordinates MIT's research in food security and water supply for a growing population on a warming planet.

References

- Jones, E.; Qadir, M.; van Vliet, M. T. H.; Smakhtin, V.; Kang, S. The State of Desalination and Brine Production: A Global Outlook. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, 657, 1343–1356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.076.
- Kim, J.; Park, K.; Yang, D. R.; Hong, S. A Comprehensive Review of Energy Consumption of Seawater Reverse Osmosis Desalination Plants. *Appl. Energy* 2019, 254, 113652. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113652.
- (3) Loganathan, P.; Naidu, G.; Vigneswaran, S. Mining Valuable Minerals from Seawater: A Critical Review. *Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol.* **2017**, *3* (1), 37–53. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EW00268D.
- (4) Gao, L.; Yoshikawa, S.; Iseri, Y.; Fujimori, S.; Kanae, S. An Economic Assessment of the Global Potential for Seawater Desalination to 2050. *Water* 2017, 9 (10), 763. https://doi.org/10.3390/w9100763.
- (5) Ghaffour, N.; Missimer, T. M.; Amy, G. L. Technical Review and Evaluation of the Economics of Water Desalination: Current and Future Challenges for Better Water Supply Sustainability. *Desalination* 2013, 309, 197–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.10.015.
- (6) International Desalination Association. *Dynamic Growth for Desalination and Water Reuse in 2019*; 2019.
- (7) Meshram, P.; Pandey, B. D.; Mankhand, T. R. Extraction of Lithium from Primary and Secondary Sources by Pre-Treatment, Leaching and Separation: A Comprehensive Review. *Hydrometallurgy* **2014**, *150*, 192–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hydromet.2014.10.012.
- (8) Abe, Y. Rare Metal Series 2010—Current Status of Lithium Resources—; 2010.
- (9) U.S. Geological Survey; United States Geological Survey. *Mineral Commodity Summaries 2018*; 2018.
- (10) Sodaye, H.; Nisan, S.; Poletiko, C.; Prabhakar, S.; Tewari, P. K. Extraction of Uranium from the Concentrated Brine Rejected by Integrated Nuclear Desalination Plants. *Desalination* **2009**, *235* (1–3), 9–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.02.005.
- (11) Kramer, D. A. Magnesium, Its Alloys and Compounds; 2001.
- (12) Shahmansouri, A.; Min, J.; Jin, L.; Bellona, C. Feasibility of Extracting Valuable Minerals from Desalination Concentrate: A Comprehensive Literature Review. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2015, *100*, 4–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.03.031.
- (13) Quist-Jensen, C. A.; Macedonio, F.; Drioli, E. Integrated Membrane Desalination Systems with Membrane Crystallization Units for Resource Recovery: A New Approach for Mining from the Sea. *Crystals* **2016**, *6* (4), 36. https://doi.org/10.3390/cryst6040036.
- (14) Kumar, A.; Fukuda, H.; Hatton, T. A.; Lienhard, J. H. Lithium Recovery from Oil and Gas Produced Water: A Need for a Growing Energy Industry. *ACS Energy Lett.* 2019, 4 (6), 1471–1474. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.9b00779.
- (15) Pérez-González, A.; Urtiaga, A. M.; Ibáñez, R.; Ortiz, I. State of the Art and Review on the Treatment Technologies of Water Reverse Osmosis Concentrates. *Water Res.* 2012, 46 (2), 267–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.10.046.
- (16) Abdulsalam, A.; Idris, A.; Mohamed, T. A.; Ahsan, A. An Integrated Technique Using Solar and Evaporation Ponds for Effective Brine Disposal Management. *Int. J. Sustain.*

Energy 2017, 36 (9), 914–925. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2015.1135923.

- (17) Ali, A.; Quist-Jensen, C.; Macedonio, F.; Drioli, E. Application of Membrane Crystallization for Minerals' Recovery from Produced Water. *Membranes (Basel)*. 2015, 5 (4), 772–792. https://doi.org/10.3390/membranes5040772.
- (18) Nayar, K. G.; Fernandes, J.; McGovern, R. K.; Dominguez, K. P.; McCance, A.; Al-Anzi, B. S.; Lienhard, J. H. Cost and Energy Requirements of Hybrid RO and ED Brine Concentration Systems for Salt Production. *Desalination* 2019, 456, 97–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2018.11.018.
- (19) Kim, D. H. A Review of Desalting Process Techniques and Economic Analysis of the Recovery of Salts from Retentates. *Desalination* **2011**, 270 (1–3), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.12.041.
- (20) Shih, W.; Yallaly, B.; Marshall, M.; Demichelle, D. Chino Ii Desalter Concentrate Management via Innovative Byproduct Resale and Treatment. In *AMTA/AWWA Membrane Technology Conference and Exposition 2013*; 2013.
- (21) Naidu, G.; Jeong, S.; Choi, Y.; Song, M. H.; Oyunchuluun, U.; Vigneswaran, S. Valuable Rubidium Extraction from Potassium Reduced Seawater Brine. J. Clean. Prod. 2018, 174, 1079–1088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.11.042.
- (22) Naidu, G.; Jeong, S.; Johir, M. A. H.; Fane, A. G.; Kandasamy, J.; Vigneswaran, S. Rubidium Extraction from Seawater Brine by an Integrated Membrane Distillation-Selective Sorption System. *Water Res.* 2017, *123*, 321–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.06.078.
- (23) Ryu, S.; Naidu, G.; Hasan Johir, M. A.; Choi, Y.; Jeong, S.; Vigneswaran, S. Acid Mine Drainage Treatment by Integrated Submerged Membrane Distillation–Sorption System. *Chemosphere* **2019**, *218*, 955–965. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.11.153.
- (24) Ambrose, H.; Kendall, A. Understanding the Future of Lithium: Part 1, Resource Model. *J. Ind. Ecol.* **2020**, *24* (1), 80–89. https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12949.
- (25) Kavanagh, L.; Keohane, J.; Garcia Cabellos, G.; Lloyd, A.; Cleary, J. Global Lithium Sources—Industrial Use and Future in the Electric Vehicle Industry: A Review. *Resources* 2018, 7 (3), 57. https://doi.org/10.3390/resources7030057.
- (26) Garrett, D. E. *Handbook of Lithium and Natural Calcium Chloride*; Elsevier: Cambridge, U.S., 2004. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-276152-2.X5035-X.
- (27) Flexer, V.; Baspineiro, C. F.; Galli, C. I. Lithium Recovery from Brines: A Vital Raw Material for Green Energies with a Potential Environmental Impact in Its Mining and Processing. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *639*, 1188–1204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.223.
- (28) Chitrakar, R.; Kanoh, H.; Miyai, Y.; Ooi, K. Recovery of Lithium from Seawater Using Manganese Oxide Adsorbent (H _{1.6} Mn _{1.6} O ₄) Derived from Li _{1.6} Mn _{1.6} O ₄. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2001**. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie000911h.
- (29) Park, M. J.; Nisola, G. M.; Vivas, E. L.; Limjuco, L. A.; Lawagon, C. P.; Seo, J. G.; Kim, H.; Shon, H. K.; Chung, W.-J. Mixed Matrix Nanofiber as a Flow-through Membrane Adsorber for Continuous Li+ Recovery from Seawater. *J. Memb. Sci.* 2016, *510*, 141–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2016.02.062.
- (30) Hoshino, T. Preliminary Studies of Lithium Recovery Technology from Seawater by Electrodialysis Using Ionic Liquid Membrane. *Desalination* 2013. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2013.02.014.
- (31) Lawagon, C. P.; Nisola, G. M.; Cuevas, R. A. I.; Kim, H.; Lee, S.-P.; Chung, W.-J.

Li1-Ni0.33Co1/3Mn1/3O2/Ag for Electrochemical Lithium Recovery from Brine. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2018**, *348*, 1000–1011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.05.030.

- (32) Fukuda, H.; Tsuchiya, K.; Toba, Y.; Eguchi, M.; Tokoro, C. Rapid Boron Removal from Wastewater Using Low-Crystalline Magnesium Oxide. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2020, 8 (5), 104171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2020.104171.
- (33) MGX Minerals Inc. Sturgeon Lake http://mgxminerals.com/site/sturgeon-lake/ (accessed Dec 5, 2020).
- (34) Standard Lithium Ltd. SMACKOVER PROJECT SOUTHERN ARKANSAS https://www.standardlithium.com/projects/arkansas-smackover (accessed Dec 5, 2020).
- (35) Nishihama, S.; Onishi, K.; Yoshizuka, K. Selective Recovery Process of Lithium from Seawater Using Integrated Ion Exchange Methods. *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.* 2011, 29 (3), 421–431. https://doi.org/10.1080/07366299.2011.573435.
- (36) Fukuda, H. Lithium Extraction from Brine with Ion Exchange Resin and Ferric Phosphate, Master Thesis, The University of British Columbia, 2019. https://doi.org/10.14288/1.0379929.
- (37) Razmjou, A.; Asadnia, M.; Hosseini, E.; Habibnejad Korayem, A.; Chen, V. Design Principles of Ion Selective Nanostructured Membranes for the Extraction of Lithium Ions. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10* (1), 5793. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13648-7.
- (38) Gibert, O.; Valderrama, C.; Peterkóva, M.; Cortina, J. L. Evaluation of Selective Sorbents for the Extraction of Valuable Metal Ions (Cs, Rb, Li, U) from Reverse Osmosis Rejected Brine. *Solvent Extr. Ion Exch.* **2010**, *28* (4), 543–562. https://doi.org/10.1080/07366299.2010.480931.
- (39) Kim, J.; Tsouris, C.; Oyola, Y.; Janke, C. J.; Mayes, R. T.; Dai, S.; Gill, G.; Kuo, L.-J.; Wood, J.; Choe, K.-Y.; Schneider, E.; Lindner, H. Uptake of Uranium from Seawater by Amidoxime-Based Polymeric Adsorbent: Field Experiments, Modeling, and Updated Economic Assessment. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2014, *53* (14), 6076–6083. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie4039828.
- (40) Carboni, M.; Abney, C. W.; Liu, S.; Lin, W. Highly Porous and Stable Metal–Organic Frameworks for Uranium Extraction. *Chem. Sci.* 2013, *4* (6), 2396. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3sc50230a.
- (41) Petersková, M.; Valderrama, C.; Gibert, O.; Cortina, J. L. Extraction of Valuable Metal Ions (Cs, Rb, Li, U) from Reverse Osmosis Concentrate Using Selective Sorbents. *Desalination* 2012, 286, 316–323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.11.042.
- (42) Hong, H.-J.; Ryu, J.; Park, I.-S.; Ryu, T.; Chung, K.-S.; Kim, B.-G. Investigation of the Strontium (Sr(II)) Adsorption of an Alginate Microsphere as a Low-Cost Adsorbent for Removal and Recovery from Seawater. J. Environ. Manage. 2016, 165, 263–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.09.040.
- (43) Roobavannan, S.; Vigneswaran, S.; Naidu, G. Enhancing the Performance of Membrane Distillation and Ion-Exchange Manganese Oxide for Recovery of Water and Lithium from Seawater. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2020**, *396*, 125386. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.125386.
- (44) Dorji, P.; Kim, D. I.; Hong, S.; Phuntsho, S.; Shon, H. K. Pilot-Scale Membrane Capacitive Deionisation for Effective Bromide Removal and High Water Recovery in Seawater Desalination. *Desalination* **2020**, *479*, 114309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2020.114309.
- (45) Ji, X.; Curcio, E.; Al Obaidani, S.; Di Profio, G.; Fontananova, E.; Drioli, E. Membrane Distillation-Crystallization of Seawater Reverse Osmosis Brines. *Sep. Purif. Technol.*

2010, 71 (1), 76-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2009.11.004.

- (46) Drioli, E.; Curcio, E.; Criscuoli, A.; Profio, G. Di. Integrated System for Recovery of CaCO3, NaCl and MgSO4·7H2O from Nanofiltration Retentate. *J. Memb. Sci.* 2004, 239 (1), 27–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2003.09.028.
- (47) Macedonio, F.; Drioli, E. Hydrophobic Membranes for Salts Recovery from Desalination Plants. *Desalin. Water Treat.* 2010, *18* (1–3), 224–234. https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2010.1775.
- (48) Khamizov, R. K.; Mironova, L. I.; Tikhonov, N. A.; Bychkov, A. V.; Poezd, A. D. Recovery of Pure Magnesium Compounds from Seawater by the Use of the Effect of Isothermal Supersaturation in the Ion-Exchange Process. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* 1996, *31* (1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1080/01496399608000677.
- (49) Al-Mutaz, I. S. By-Product Recovery from Saudi Desalination Plants. *Desalination* **1987**, 64, 97–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-9164(87)90088-9.
- (50) Yuan, J.; Zhao, Y.; Li, Q.; Ji, Z.; Guo, X. Preparation of Potassium Ionic Sieve Membrane and Its Application on Extracting Potash from Seawater. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2012, 99, 55–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2012.08.020.
- (51) Hou, J.; Yuan, J.; Shang, R. Synthesis and Characterization of Zeolite W and Its Ion-Exchange Properties to K+ in Seawater. *Powder Technol.* **2012**, *226*, 222–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2012.04.046.
- (52) El-Hamouz, A. M.; Mann, R. Chemical Reaction Engineering Analysis of the Blowout Process for Bromine Manufacture from Seawater. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2007, 46 (10), 3008–3015. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie060856y.
- (53) Sachse, A.; Merceille, A.; Barré, Y.; Grandjean, A.; Fajula, F.; Galarneau, A. Macroporous LTA-Monoliths for in-Flow Removal of Radioactive Strontium from Aqueous Effluents: Application to the Case of Fukushima. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2012, 164, 251–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2012.07.019.
- (54) Ryu, J.; Kim, S.; Hong, H.-J.; Hong, J.; Kim, M.; Ryu, T.; Park, I.-S.; Chung, K.-S.; Jang, J. S.; Kim, B.-G. Strontium Ion (Sr2+) Separation from Seawater by Hydrothermally Structured Titanate Nanotubes: Removal vs. Recovery. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2016, *304*, 503–510. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.06.131.
- (55) Yu, H.; Yang, S.; Ruan, H.; Shen, J.; Gao, C.; Van der Bruggen, B. Recovery of Uranium Ions from Simulated Seawater with Palygorskite/Amidoxime Polyacrylonitrile Composite. *Appl. Clay Sci.* 2015, *111*, 67–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2015.01.035.
- (56) Sihn, Y. H.; Byun, J.; Patel, H. A.; Lee, W.; Yavuz, C. T. Rapid Extraction of Uranium Ions from Seawater Using Novel Porous Polymeric Adsorbents. *RSC Adv.* 2016, 6 (51), 45968–45976. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RA06807C.
- (57) Chouyyok, W.; Pittman, J. W.; Warner, M. G.; Nell, K. M.; Clubb, D. C.; Gill, G. A.; Addleman, R. S. Surface Functionalized Nanostructured Ceramic Sorbents for the Effective Collection and Recovery of Uranium from Seawater. *Dalt. Trans.* 2016, 45 (28), 11312–11325. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6DT01318J.
- (58) Das, S.; Oyola, Y.; Mayes, R. T.; Janke, C. J.; Kuo, L.-J.; Gill, G.; Wood, J. R.; Dai, S. Extracting Uranium from Seawater: Promising AF Series Adsorbents. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2016, 55 (15), 4110–4117. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.5b03136.
- (59) Xie, S.; Liu, X.; Zhang, B.; Ma, H.; Ling, C.; Yu, M.; Li, L.; Li, J. Electrospun Nanofibrous Adsorbents for Uranium Extraction from Seawater. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2015, 3 (6), 2552–2558. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4TA06120A.

- (60) Jung, S.; Kim, M.-J. Optimal Conditions for Recovering Boron from Seawater Using Boron Selective Resins. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 2016, *33* (8), 2411–2417. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11814-016-0096-4.
- (61) Demey, H.; Vincent, T.; Ruiz, M.; Nogueras, M.; Sastre, A. M.; Guibal, E. Boron Recovery from Seawater with a New Low-Cost Adsorbent Material. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2014, 254, 463–471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.05.057.
- (62) Sea4Value https://sea4value.eu/ (accessed Apr 21, 2021).

TOC/Abstract Graphic



Synopsis

Seawater brines contain economically feasible metals and they can be sustainable metal resources for our society.