

# MIT Open Access Articles

# Digital CRISPR-based method for the rapid detection and absolute quantification of nucleic acids

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

**Citation:** Wu, Xiaolin, Tay, Joshua K, Goh, Chuan Keng, Chan, Cheryl, Lee, Yie Hou et al. 2021. "Digital CRISPR-based method for the rapid detection and absolute quantification of nucleic acids." Biomaterials, 274.

As Published: 10.1016/J.BIOMATERIALS.2021.120876

Publisher: Elsevier BV

Persistent URL: https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/143762

Version: Original manuscript: author's manuscript prior to formal peer review

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License



#### A Digital CRISPR-based Method for the Rapid Detection 1

#### and Absolute Quantification of Viral Nucleic Acids 2

- Xiaolin Wu<sup>1</sup>, Cheryl Chan<sup>1</sup>, Yie Hou Lee<sup>1</sup>, Stacy L. Springs<sup>1,2</sup>, Timothy K. 3
- Lu<sup>1,3,4,5,6,7,8\*</sup>, Hanry Yu <sup>1,9,10,11\*</sup> 4
- 5 <sup>1</sup>Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research and Technology, Critical Analytics for
- Manufacturing of Personalized Medicine Interdisciplinary Research Group, 6
- Singapore 138602, Singapore 7
- <sup>2</sup>Center for Biomedical Innovation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 8
- 9 Cambridge, MA, USA.
- 10 <sup>3</sup>Synthetic Biology Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT),
- Cambridge, MA 02139, USA 11
- <sup>4</sup>Synthetic Biology Group, Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts 12
- 13 Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- 14 <sup>5</sup>Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA
- <sup>6</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Massachusetts 15
- Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, MA 02142, USA 16
- 17 <sup>7</sup>Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology, Cambridge, MA
- 18 02139, USA
- 19 <sup>8</sup>Department of Biological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
- (MIT), Cambridge, MA 02142, USA 20
- 21 <sup>9</sup>Institute of Bioengineering and Nanotechnology, A\*STAR, The Nanos, #04-
- 22 01, 31 Biopolis Way, Singapore 138669, Singapore
- 23 <sup>10</sup>Mechanobiology Institute, National University of Singapore, T-Lab, #05-01,
- 24 5A Engineering Drive 1, Singapore 117411, Singapore
- <sup>11</sup>Department of Physiology, The Institute for Digital Medicine (WisDM). Yong 25
- 26 Loo Lin School of Medicine, MD9-04-11, 2 Medical Drive, Singapore 117593, Singapore 27
- \* Correspondence should be addressed to Timothy K. Lu (timlu@mit.edu) and 28
- 29 Hanry Yu (phsyuh@nus.edu.sg).

#### 30 Abstract

31	Quantitative real-time PCR and CRISPR-based methods detect SARS-CoV-2
32	in 1 hour but do not allow for the absolute quantification of virus particles,
33	which could reduce inter-lab variability and accelerate research. The 4-hour
34	reaction time of the existing digital PCR-based method for absolute virus
35	quantification is too long for widespread application. We report a RApid DIgital
36	Crispr Approach (RADICA) for the absolute quantification of SARS-CoV-2
37	DNA and Epstein–Barr virus DNA in human samples that yields results within
38	1 hour. For validation, we compared RADICA to digital PCR for quantifying
39	synthetic SARS-CoV-2 DNA and Epstein–Barr viral DNA. RADICA allows
40	absolute quantification of DNA with a dynamic range from 0.6 to 2027
41	copies/ $\mu$ L (R <sup>2</sup> value > 0.98), without cross-reactivity on similar virus or human
42	background DNA. Thus, RADICA can accurately detect and quantify nucleic
43	acid in 1h without thermal cycling, providing a 4-fold faster alternative to digital
44	PCR-based virus detection.

#### 45 Keywords:

46 CRISPR, Molecular diagnosis, Absolute Quantification, SARS-CoV-2, virus
 47 detection

#### 48 Introduction

Methods to detect virus, as well as to quantify viral load, are needed for
diagnostics, therapeutics, and vaccines to combat the worldwide spread of
infectious disease, such as COVID-19. The reverse transcription-polymerase
chain reaction (RT-qPCR) is considered a gold standard for viral infection

diagnosis. However, quantification via RT-qPCR relies on the use of external
standards or references, and the results can be variable, with a 20–30%
variability reported even within trained laboratories<sup>1-3</sup>. Thus, an absolute
quantification method with improved precision and accuracy is vital for virus
research<sup>4-6</sup>.

58 Digital PCR is increasingly being used as a highly accurate and sensitive 59 method for the absolute quantification of nucleic acids<sup>1, 7, 8</sup>. In a digital PCR 60 reaction, the PCR mixture is separated into thousands of individual reactions, 61 resulting in the amplification of either zero or one of the nucleic acid target molecules present in each partition. Since the PCR reaction in each partition 62 63 proceeds independently, absolute quantification by digital PCR is more 64 precise than RT-qPCR and more tolerant of inhibitors; furthermore, digital 65 PCR overcomes poor amplification efficiency<sup>1</sup>. The sensitivity and precision of 66 digital PCR-based viral detection have been demonstrated in quantitative 67 detection and viral load analysis of SARS-CoV-2-infected patient samples with reduced inter-lab variability and fewer false negatives and fewer false 68 positives compared with RT-PCR<sup>6, 9, 10</sup>. In addition to its application in viral 69 70 diagnostics, digital PCR has also been applied to other areas of virus 71 research, including the study of the aerodynamic transmission of SARS-CoV-2<sup>5</sup>. The main drawback of digital PCR, however, is the relatively long reaction 72 73 time (~4 hours) needed as a result of the 1-2°C/s ramp up/down rate for 74 efficient inter-partition heat transfer during thermal cycling, compared to that 75 of qPCR, which requires 1 hour. Reducing the reaction time of digital PCR is 76 therefore crucial in enabling the adoption of the technology for rapid virus 77 detection in hospitals and clinics<sup>11</sup>.

78 Isothermal amplification methods, which amplify the nucleic acid target 79 molecule at a constant temperature and thereby reduce the reaction time, 80 have also been used for viral detection. These include methods that employ 81 recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) or loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP)<sup>12, 13</sup>. More recently, innovative diagnostic methods using 82 83 RNA-guided CRISPR/Cas system have been developed to detect nucleic 84 acids. In the RNA-guided CRISPR/Cas system, Cas effectors such as Cas12a and Cas13a are exploited for their collateral cleavage activity, which refers to 85 86 the degradation of other nonspecific DNA/RNA oligos such as fluorescentlytagged reporter oligos, once the Cas protein finds and cleaves a specific 87 88 DNA/RNA target<sup>14, 15</sup>. By combining RPA- or LAMP-mediated isothermal 89 amplification of the target molecule with the CRISPR/Cas biosensing system, 90 methods such as SHERLOCK and DETECTR have detected dengue virus 91 and human papillomavirus, as well as SARS-CoV-2, in clinical samples<sup>16-22</sup>. 92 However, as CRISPR-based methods are not quantitative and require 93 multiple manipulations between the amplification and detection steps, there 94 remains a need for a quantitative, rapid, and robust viral detection method. 95 Here, we report the development of a digital CRISPR method for the rapid, 96 sensitive, and specific detection of viral nucleic acids at a constant temperature. This method combines the advantages of quantitative digital 97 98 PCR, rapid isothermal amplification, and specific CRISPR detection into a 99 one-pot reaction system that partitions the individual reactions into 10,000 100 compartments on a commercial high-density chip. In this study, we 101 demonstrate an optimized RApid DIgital Crispr Approach (RADICA) that 102 allows for absolute quantification of viral nucleic acids at a constant

103 temperature in one hour. We validated this method using DNA containing the 104 N (nucleoprotein) gene of SARS-CoV-2 and showed a linear signal-to-input response of  $R^2$  value > 0.99. We compared our RADICA detection system 105 106 against the traditional digital PCR method and show that the RADICA system 107 (1h vs 4h) was faster and had sensitivity and accuracy comparable to that of 108 traditional digital PCR. Also, this method is highly specific and do not have 109 cross activity on other similar virus or human background DNA. We also used 110 RADICA in the absolute quantification of Epstein–Barr virus from human B cells ( $R^2$  value > 0.98). Our rapid and sensitive RADICA allows for the 111 112 accurate detection and absolute quantification of viral nucleic acids in one 113 hour.

#### 114 **Results**

### 115 **Design of RADICA**

116 Commercial chips for sample partitioning and matched fluorescence reader for endpoint detection were used in RADICA<sup>23</sup>. In this system, each CRISPR-117 118 based reaction mix is sub-divided into 10,000 partitions on the chip, resulting 119 in an average partition volume of 1.336 nL. We first optimized the bulk 120 CRISPR reaction to achieve a one-copy-per-1.336 nL partition detection 121 sensitivity on the chip. This is equivalent to femtomolar detection sensitivity in 122 a bulk reaction. We selected the Cas12a homolog from Lachnospiraceae 123 bacterium ND2006 (LbCas12a) as it showed the highest signal-to-noise ratio 124 relative to other Cas12a homologs from a previous study<sup>17</sup>. To test if the 125 RADICA could detect viral DNA with femtomolar sensitivity without pre-126 amplification, serially-diluted dsDNA (double stranded DNA) was incubated

127 with LbCas12a together with its CRISPR RNA (crRNA) and a reporter (quenched fluorescent DNA). The sensitivity of detection using the CRISPR-128 based method without pre-amplification in a bulk reaction was found to be 10 129 130 pM (Supplementary Fig. 1), which did not meet the femtomolar sensitivity 131 requirement of RADICA. 132 To increase the sensitivity of detection of the CRISPR-based method, an 133 isothermal amplification step was used. RPA was chosen for the isothermal 134 amplification step because its reaction temperature (25°C to 42°C) is 135 compatible with that of Cas12a (25°C to 48°C). This allowed for a one-step digital RPA-CRISPR absolute quantification method that eliminates multiple 136 137 manipulations inherent in two-step CRISPR-based detection methods such as SHERLOCK and DETECTR<sup>14, 15</sup>. To avoid Cas12a-mediated cleavage of the 138 139 target molecule before amplification, we designed the crRNA to target single-140 stranded DNA (ssDNA) that is generated only after amplification of the target molecule (Fig. 1b)<sup>22</sup>. Another advantage of this method is the ease of 141 142 designing ssDNA-targeting crRNA over traditional dsDNA-targeting crRNA, 143 because the nuclease activity of Cas12a on ssDNA has been reported to be independent of the presence of protospacer adjacent motif (PAM)<sup>24</sup>. 144 145 The RADICA developed in this study is illustrated in Fig. 1a. Extracted DNA

146 samples are loaded onto on the chip by capillary action, and the reaction is 147 partitioned into 10,000 compartments, resulting in zero or one target molecule 148 in each compartment. To prevent spontaneous target amplification by RPA at 149 room temperature<sup>25</sup>, the RPA-CRISPR reaction was prepared without the 150 addition of Mg<sup>2+</sup>, which is required for the polymerase activity. All reactions

151 were prepared on ice and samples were loaded within one minute to prevent 152 premature target amplification. The partitioned reactions were incubated in isothermal water baths, heat blocks, or warm rooms. In each compartment 153 containing the target molecule (Fig. 1b), RPA initiates from one DNA strand 154 155 and subsequently exposes the crRNA-targeted ssDNA region on the other 156 strand. As the amplification proceeds, Cas12a cleaves the positive ssDNA 157 strand, triggering its collateral cleavage activity, which in turn cleaves the 158 proximal quenched fluorescent probe (ssDNA-FQ reporter) to generate a 159 fluorescence signal. At the same time, ongoing amplification of the other DNA 160 strand exponentially amplifies the target DNA, triggering more Cas12a 161 activation and increasing the fluorescence readout. The proportion of positive-162 to-negative compartments is analyzed based on the endpoint fluorescence 163 measurement, and the copy number of the target nucleic acid is calculated based on the Poisson distribution, allowing for absolute quantification of the 164 165 sample (Fig. 1a). Concurrent detection of compartments in each tube by the 166 Clarity<sup>™</sup> Reader shortens detection time to within minutes.

### 167 **RADICA optimization**

To validate and optimize the RADICA, G-block DNA or plasmids containing
the SARS-CoV-2 N (nucleoprotein) gene region were used and primers and
crRNAs specific for the SARS-CoV-2 N gene were designed accordingly
based on previous studies<sup>22</sup>. The target regions overlap with those of the
China CDC assay (N gene region) with some modification to meet the primer
and crRNA design (Supplementary Table 1). To optimize the Cas12amediated reaction, a bulk reaction using 0.1 nM and 1 nM dsDNA as a target

175 was performed with a range of Cas12a/crRNA concentrations. We found that in the presence of a constant amount of target DNA and probe, comparable 176 fluorescence signal intensities were detected between 50 nM to 250 nM 177 178 Cas12a-crRNA concentrations, suggesting that changing the Cas12a/crRNA 179 concentration did not influence the reaction (Supplementary Fig. 2). 180 Since the guenched fluorescent probe is another key component that 181 influences the reaction, we optimized the FQ assay by incubating increasing 182 amounts of FQ probes with constant concentrations of Cas12a-crRNA and 183 target DNA. As expected, CRISPR-mediated fluorescence signal intensities increased with increasing amounts of FQ probes (from 250 nM to 5  $\mu$ M). 184

although higher probe concentrations also resulted in higher background

noise (Fig. 2a,b). At FQ probe concentrations above 5 µM, the signal-to-noise

187 ratio could not be further enhanced (Fig. 2b). To ensure that the fluorescence

188 signal generated on the partitioned chip was within the reader's detection

range, different FQ probe concentrations were tested in independent digital

190 CRISPR reactions in the presence of the target DNA and the fluorescence

191 measured on the digital PCR fluorescence reader. We found that in the

192 presence of the same target DNA, the proportions of positive partitions were

193 comparable regardless of the FQ probe concentration used (Fig. 2d).

194 However, only the background noise and positive signals generated in the

195 reaction with 500 nM FQ probe concentration were within the reader's

detection range, while the reactions containing 1000 nM FQ probe

197 concentration yielded higher background noises, which are difficult to

separate from positive signals (Fig. 2c). We therefore used 500 nM FQ probe

199 concentrations to achieve high signal-to-noise ratios for subsequent

200 experiments.

201 An additional optimization step involved developing a one-pot reaction that 202 combines the RPA and Cas12a reactions. We performed the bulk reaction at 25°C, 37°C and 42°C, which are temperatures within the reaction temperature 203 204 ranges of RPA (25°C to 42°C) and Cas12a (25°C to 48°C). First, we tested 205 the reaction using serial dilutions of plasmid DNA at 25°C and 42°C. The 206 reaction proceeded at both of these reaction temperatures, with a limit of 207 detection of about 9.4 copies/µL. However, at 25°C, the reaction proceeded 208 significantly more slowly with lower positive signals and a higher background 209 than the reaction performed at a 42°C (Supplementary Fig. 3). Next, we 210 assessed the effect of different temperatures (25°C, 37°C and 42°C) on 211 reactions containing a constant amount of plasmid DNA (37.5 copies/µL). We 212 found that higher temperatures accelerated the reaction (Supplementary Fig. 213 3c). Taken together, our results suggest that 42°C is the optimal temperature 214 for the RPA-Cas12a reaction.

215 We next investigated whether the reaction time affected the precision of RADICA on plasmid DNA detection at 42°C. As shown in Fig. 3a, the reaction 216 217 proceeded quickly with some fluorescence signal detected in several compartments at 20 min, but with a low signal-to-noise ratio at this time point. 218 219 As the reaction proceeded, two distinct peaks indicating the negative (left) and 220 positive (right) partitions were detected at 40 min (Fig. 3a). Analysis of the 221 ratio of positive partitions on the chip at the different time points revealed that 222 the number of positive partitions reached a plateau after 60 min in all four

replicates, suggesting that 60 min was the earliest end-point measurement

- (Fig. 3b). All subsequent experiments were therefore performed for 60
- minutes.

## 226 Absolute quantification of SARS-CoV-2 DNA using RADICA

227 We next characterized the assay performance of RADICA in detecting and

228 quantifying SARS-CoV-2 and compared it to that of digital PCR. In this assay,

229 linearized plasmid containing the SARS-CoV-2 N gene was serially diluted

and used as the target DNA in the aforementioned optimized RADICA or

231 digital PCR reactions. Using RADICA-based detection, a proportional

232 increase in the number of positive partitions was observed with increasing

233 concentrations of the target DNA (Fig. 4a), indicating the good quantitative

performance of RADICA. Although few partitions in the negative control were

235 classified as having a positive signal due to non-specific amplification, the

average of 10 negative control replicates give us an average of 0.165

237 copies/µL readout and the limit of blank (LoB) is 0.413 copies/µL, which is

about half of our limit of detection (LoD), 0.897 copies/µL (Fig. 4a,

239 Supplementary Table 2).

240 To test the robustness and reproducibility of RADICA, at least ten

241 independent RADICA reactions using the SARS-CoV-2 N gene as the target

242 DNA were performed on different days. The coefficient of variation (CV)

observed for most samples was ≤15% except for the lowest dilution (0.6

244 copies/µL), indicating the limit of quantification (LoQ) of this method is around

245 2.2 copies/µL of the viral genome (Supplementary Table 2). To assess the

accuracy of RADICA-based nucleic acid detection against that of digital PCR,

247 DNA concentrations measured by RADICA were plotted against the 248 corresponding DNA concentrations obtained by digital PCR. Linear regression analysis revealed an R<sup>2</sup> value of above 0.99 across a dynamic range from 0.6 249 250 to 2027 copies/µL, suggesting that RADICA was reliable for the absolute 251 quantification of nucleic acids (Fig. 4b). These data highlight the sensitivity, 252 accuracy, and speed of the digital CRISPR-based detection method 253 developed in this study for the absolute quantification of nucleic acids in 254 samples.

#### **Accuracy analysis of RADICA-based quantification on circular plasmid**

256 Plasmids are routinely used as reference DNA or standards in many analytical 257 DNA measurements. However, conformational changes in supercoiled DNA have been reported to have a profound effect on PCR-based guantification<sup>26-</sup> 258 <sup>28</sup>. Unsuccessful single-molecule amplification of non-linearized plasmids was 259 reported in a PCR-based study, which resulted in an underestimation for 260 circular plasmid quantification on some dPCR machines<sup>29, 30</sup>. To test whether 261 262 plasmid conformation also affects the accuracy of RADICA, undigested plasmid containing the SARS-CoV-2 N gene was serially diluted and used for 263 digital PCR or RADICA reactions. Concentrations of non-linearized plasmids 264 265 measured by digital PCR were about half of those detected for linearized plasmids (regression coefficients at 0.5261) (Fig. 5d), which is in accordance 266 with previous studies and indicates that the accuracy of digital PCR is 267 268 influenced by plasmid conformation. Compared to digital PCR, RADICA 269 showed higher amplification efficiency of the supercoiled plasmid DNA, as 270 evidenced by the higher positive compartments ratio detected (Fig. 5a,b).

RADICA concentrations of non-linearized plasmids were highly concordant
with those of linearized plasmids (regression coefficients at 1.0673) (Fig. 5c),
suggesting that the accuracy of RADICA is not affected by plasmid
conformation.

#### 275 Specificity analysis of RADICA-based detection

276 Primer and crRNA designs are key in determining the specificity of CRISPR-277 based nucleic acid detection assays. Previous studies have shown the ability 278 of RPA to tolerate up to nine nucleotide base-pair mismatches across primer and probe binding sites<sup>25</sup>. To specifically detect SARS-CoV-2 using RADICA, 279 280 primers and crRNAs must be designed to specifically bind the SARS-CoV-2 281 target DNA and not its closely-related coronaviruses, such as MERS-CoV and 282 other related human coronaviruses. We first analysed the binding sites of the 283 primers and crRNAs that were originally designed based on the consensus sequence of the genome of 264 SARS-CoV-2 strains, available on the 284 GISAID database<sup>22, 31, 32</sup>. The consensus sequence of these SARS-CoV-2 285 286 target regions was aligned with corresponding regions of SARS-CoV-2-related beta coronaviruses, such as SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and human 287 coronaviruses Human-CoV 229E/HKU1/NL63/OC43. No cross-binding 288 289 regions were observed with the other SARS-CoV-2-related coronavirus analyzed (Supplementary Fig. 4a). A comparison between the binding site 290 291 sequences of SARS-CoV-2 and its most similar relative, SARS-CoV, showed 292 that there were 13 sequence variations across the primer and crRNA binding 293 sites (three, two, and seven sequence variations at the forward primer, reverse primer, and crRNA binding site, respectively). This is more than the 294

295	nine nucleotide base-pair mismatch tolerance threshold for RPA, which
296	therefore predicts the specificity of the designed primers and crRNA for
297	SARS-CoV-2-specific CRISPR-based detection. To test the specificity of the
298	reaction, we assayed the bulk RPA-Cas12a reaction using target plasmids
299	containing the complete N gene from SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-
300	CoV (Supplementary Fig. 4b,c). Positive fluorescence signals were observed
301	only in the reaction containing the SARS-CoV-2 plasmid, not in reactions
302	containing SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV plasmids (Supplementary Fig. 4b,c).
303	The absence of cross-reactivity with the other related coronaviruses tested in
304	this study validates the specificity of the CRISPR assay for SARS-CoV-2.

### 305 Background human DNA tolerance analysis of RADICA

306 Previous studies have reported that RPA reactions could be inhibited by high

307 concentrations of background human DNA<sup>33, 34</sup>. We therefore first tested the

308 RPA-Cas12a bulk reaction in the presence of various concentrations of

309 background human DNA (Supplementary Fig. 5). In an RPA-Cas12a reaction

310 with 37.5 copies/µL of target DNA, background human DNA concentrations

311 below 2 ng/µL did not affect the reaction (Supplementary Fig. 5a).

312 Concentrations of background human DNA above 5 ng/µL in a bulk RPA-

313 Cas12a reaction showed reduced fluorescent signal intensities, which is in

agreement with the inhibitory concentrations of background DNA reported in

315 previous studies using bulk RPA reactions<sup>34</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 5a).

We also tested for possible inhibitory effects of background DNA on reactions carried out in small partitions. In an RPA-Cas12a reaction with 400 copies/µL

of target DNA, 1 ng/µL of background human DNA (equivalent to about 4350

319 human cells per reaction) did not affect the RADICA reaction (Supplementary Fig. 5b). We also observed inhibition of the reaction containing 2 ng/µL of 320 background human DNA, and complete inhibition of the reaction containing >5 321 ng/µL of background human DNA (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Nevertheless, 322 323 since input DNA concentrations used for RADICA-based detection are 324 typically below 1 ng/µL, our findings suggest that background DNA will not 325 inhibit the RADICA reaction of samples within the dynamic range to be used 326 for testing.

327 Previous studies have also reported that the tolerance of RPA for background

328 DNA is dependent on target DNA concentrations present in the reaction<sup>33, 34</sup>.

329 We therefore tested the effect of 1 ng/µL of background human DNA

330 (equivalent to about 4350 human cells per reaction) on RADICA reactions

331 with various concentrations of target DNA (Supplementary Fig. 5c). Our

results show that 1 ng/µL of background DNA did not affect reactions that

333 contained target DNA concentrations within the dynamic range of digital PCR

detection, i.e., 0.6 to 2027 copies/µL (Supplementary Fig. 5c). Our findings

confirm that the presence of background human DNA in the sample is not

336 likely to affect the absolute quantification of RADICA.

### 337 RADICA detection and absolute quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA

As SARS-CoV-2 is an RNA virus, we next tested whether RADICA could be
combined with reverse transcription (RT) in a one-pot reaction for the absolute
quantification of RNA. RNA corresponding to the SARS-CoV-2 gene N target
region was synthesized using a T7 promoter-tagged PCR product and T7
RNA polymerase, and different concentrations of RNA were tested in bulk RT-

343 RPA-Cas12a reactions. Our results show a lower-than-expected sensitivity of 344 the one-pot RT-RPA-Cas12a bulk reaction, with a detection limit at 244 copies/µL (Supplementary Fig. 6b). To assess if this decrease in sensitivity 345 346 was in part due to an inefficient reverse transcription process in the one-pot 347 reaction, we employed two reverse primers to facilitate the reverse 348 transcription reaction and increase the sensitivity of the one-pot reaction. We 349 detected an increase in sensitivity of 61 copies/µL when two reverse primers were used (Supplementary Fig. 6c). 350 351 We tested the sensitivity of the one-pot RT-RPA-Cas12a reaction in small 352 partitions on RADICA using varying concentrations of RNA. Notably, although 353 the positive partition proportion increased with an increase in the 354 concentration of input RNA, 1 copy of input RNA resulted in an increase of 355 only 0.0177 copy as calculated by RADICA, suggesting that the RADICA does 356 not accurately quantify RNA (Supplementary Fig. 6d). Although the addition of 357 two reverse primers increased the signal, it was insufficient to achieve a one-358 copy-per-partition sensitivity (Supplementary Fig. 6e). Our findings suggest 359 that absolute quantification of RNA by RADICA may require prior conversion to cDNA before the sample is analysed on a digital chip. 360 Absolute quantification of Epstein–Barr virus via RADICA 361

362 Having demonstrated the accuracy of RADICA on SARS-CoV-2 DNA

363 samples, we tested the ability of our RADICA method to perform absolute

364 quantification of Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) DNA samples. To design primers

and crRNA that were universal to both type I and type II EBV, the genomes

of 16 EBV strains were analysed to identify conserved regions across all 16

367 EBV strains. A conserved DNA region within the Epstein-Barr nuclear antigen 1 (EBNA1) was used as the target sequence (Fig. 6a). Viral DNA extracted 368 from chemically-induced EBV-harboring human B cells was diluted to 369 370 concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 2100 copies/µL, and used as the target DNA in both RADICA and digital PCR reactions. In the RADICA-based 371 372 detection, samples loaded in the partition chip were incubated for 1 h at 373 42 °C, followed by endpoint fluorescence detection and copy number 374 determination. Notably, the positive partition signal increased with an increase 375 in the concentration of input EBV DNA (Fig. 6b). The copy numbers measured by RADICA were in full agreement ( $R^2$  value > 0.98) with those measured by 376 377 digital PCR (Fig. 6c). Our findings validate the accuracy and sensitivity of our 378 RADICA for the absolute quantification of viral DNA rapidly within an hour, 379 which is a 4-fold reduction in reaction time compared to digital PCR-based

380 detection.

### 381 **Discussion**

In our study, we have developed a rapid and accurate digital CRISPR method 382 for the absolute quantification of viral DNA. The performance characteristics 383 of this method were validated using SARS-CoV-2 synthetic DNA and EBV 384 385 DNA, and compared to those of absolute quantification digital PCR method, the current gold standard. Our RADICA achieved sensitivity and detection 386 limits (LoD 0.897 copy/µL) comparable with those of qPCR and other 387 388 isothermal methods, such as SHERLOCK and DETECTR, with the ability of 389 absolute quantification (Supplementary Table 3). The significant advantage of 390 RADICA over dPCR is its speed: RADICA can perform absolute guantification

391 rapidly within an hour, which is four times faster than current dPCR-based392 detection.

393 Absolute quantification for viral detection could not only facilitate clinical 394 processing but also benefit research. Viral loads closely parallel transmission 395 risk and disease severity. High SARS-CoV-2 viral loads have been reported to 396 correlate with the course of infection and mortality<sup>6, 35-38</sup>. These reports underscore the urgent need for rapid and sensitive virus detection and 397 398 quantification methods to monitor viral load as the basis for clinical decision 399 making. Such methods are also needed for mechanistic studies, transmission studies, vaccine development, and therapeutics for COVID-19. Although there 400 401 currently exist many diagnostic methods available for virus detection, these 402 methods usually do not allow for a rapid and precise quantification of the viral 403 load (Supplementary Table 3).

404 RADICA reported in the study is four times faster than the traditional digital PCR-based methods used for the absolute quantification of nucleic acids. 405 406 Additionally, the isothermal feature of RADICA-based detection assay confers faster amplification of the viral target using a simple constant-temperature 407 408 heat bath, enabling rapid viral detection that can be deployed even in low-409 resource areas. In recent years, other digital isothermal methods, such as RPA- or LAMP-based digital PCR methods, have been developed for 410 detecting a variety of DNA targets<sup>39-41</sup>. However, these methods are limited 411 412 by their low specificity, due to the inherent tolerance of RPA/LAMP-based methods for base-pair mismatches as compared to traditional PCR methods<sup>42-</sup> 413 414 <sup>44</sup>. Our RADICA overcomes this by exploiting the specificity conferred by the

415 Cas12a-crRNA-based targeting system. The collateral cleavage activity of
416 Cas12a amplifies the signal and thus increases the sensitivity.

Another advantage of RADICA over other CRISPR-based methods<sup>16-21</sup> is its 417 418 one-pot reaction design, which reduces manual manipulation and increases 419 reproducibility. In this streamlined one-pot reaction, both nucleic acid 420 amplification and CRISPR-based detection are combined into a single step in 421 a closed tube, significantly reducing the risk of cross-contamination between 422 samples during batch processing. A major drawback of current CRISPR-423 based methods is the complexity of designing appropriate crRNAs that are 424 limited to target regions in proximity to a PAM. This limitation may potentially complicate CRISPR-based virus detection since mutations in the viral PAM 425 426 sequence may disable recognition by the Cas protein as the virus evolves. In 427 contrast, our simpler digital CRISPR crRNA design is independent of the PAM 428 sequence because it targets single-stranded DNA generated after amplification<sup>24</sup>. 429

430 RADICA reported here uses commercially available chips and devices that can potentially be adapted to other devices already in use at some hospitals 431 432 and service laboratories. These are QuantStudio 3D Digital PCR System 433 (Thermo Fisher), QIAcuity Digital PCR System (QIAGEN), and Droplet Digital PCR System (Bio-Rad). We therefore envisage greater ease of adoption of 434 435 our technology at these facilities. Furthermore, RADICA offers a potentially 436 customizable solution that is amenable to other DNA isothermal amplification 437 platforms such as loop-mediated isothermal amplification, rolling circle amplification, and strand displacement amplification technologies, as well as 438

the use of other Cas proteins, such as Cas13a, Cas12b, Cas14 for multiplexdetection.

441	We have established and characterized RADICA, which combines the speed
442	and sensitivity of isothermal amplification, the specificity of CRISPR-based
443	detection, and the ability to obtain absolute quantification by sample
444	partitioning. Our RADICA detects a concentration of viral DNA as low as
445	0.897 copy/ $\mu$ L and enables rapid, absolute quantification with a dynamic
446	range of 0.6 to 2027 copies/ $\mu$ L within one hour at a constant temperature, with
447	no cross-reactivity to other similar viruses. Future work will focus on
448	expanding the applications of RADICA to areas such as gene expression
449	analysis, rare mutant detection, copy number variation, and sequencing
450	library quantification. Applications of such rapid analytics will also benefit cell
451	therapy, pharmaceutical, environmental, public health, security and food
452	industry to potentially determine the replication competency of adventitious
453	agents.

#### 454 Materials and methods

#### 455 Materials

456 Preparation of primers and DNA targets: Oligonucleotides (primers), ssDNA-

457 FQ reporters, SARS-CoV-2 N gene-containing G-Block, SARS-CoV-2, SARS-

- 458 CoV, and MERS N gene-containing plasmids were synthesized by or
- 459 purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies. The SARS-CoV-2 N gene-
- 460 containing plasmid was linearized using FastDigest Scal (Thermo Scientific)
- and then used as DNA target. The SARS-CoV-2 N gene-containing plasmid
- 462 was used as a template to amplify the N gene using primer N-RNA-F/ N-RNA-

R by Platinum<sup>™</sup> SuperFi II PCR Master Mix (Invitrogen). The PCR product
was purified by QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN) and used as RNA
synthesis template.

466 Synthetic RNA target preparation: Since N-RNA-F has a T7 promoter

467 sequence, the amplified DNA using N-RNA-F/R primer will contain a T7

468 promoter upstream of gene N. The T7 tagged N gene dsDNA was transcribed

into SARS-CoV-2 RNA using HiScribe<sup>™</sup> T7 High Yield RNA Synthesis Kit

470 (New England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The

471 synthesized RNA was purified using Monarch® RNA Cleanup Kit (New

472 England Biolabs) after treatment with DNase I (RNase-free, New England

473 Biolabs).

474 crRNA preparation: Constructs were ordered as DNA from Integrated ssDNA

475 Technologies with an appended T7 promoter sequence. crRNA ssDNA was

476 annealed to a short T7 primer (T7-3G IVT primer<sup>45</sup> or T7-Cas12scaffold-F<sup>46</sup>)

and treated with fill-in PCR (Platinum<sup>™</sup> SuperFi II PCR Master Mix) to

478 generate the DNA templates. These DNA were used as templates to

479 synthesize crRNA using the HiScribe™ T7 High Yield RNA Synthesis Kit

480 (New England Biolabs) according to published protocols<sup>45, 46</sup>. The synthesized

481 crRNA was purified using Monarch® RNA Cleanup Kit (New England Biolabs)

482 after treatment with DNase I (RNase-free, New England Biolabs),

483 Thermolabile Exonuclease I (New England Biolabs), and T5 Exonuclease

484 (New England Biolabs).

#### 485 **Primer and crRNA design**

486	SARS-CoV-2 primers and crRNA were designed based on previously
487	published papers <sup>22</sup> or 264 SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences from GISAID <sup>31,</sup>
488	<sup>32</sup> . Other human-related coronavirus sequences were downloaded from NCBI.
489	UGENE software was used to analyze and align viral genomes (MUSCLE or
490	Kalign). Consensus sequences (Threshold: 90%) of 264 SARS-CoV-2
491	genomes, 328 SARS-CoV, 572 MERS-CoV, 70 Human-CoV-229E genomes,
492	48 Human-CoV-HKU1 genomes, 71 Human-CoV-NL63, and 178 Human-
493	CoV-OC43 were exported separately from UGENE and used for specificity
494	analysis.
495	Epstein–Barr virus primers and crRNA were designed based on consensus
496	sequences of 16 virus genomes including both type $\ { m I} \$ and type $\ { m II} \$ EBV
497	(NCBI: AP015016.1, AY961628.3, HQ020558.1, JQ009376.2, KC207813.1,

498 KC207814.1, KC440851.1, KC440852.1, KC617875.1, KF373730.1,

499 KF717093.1, KP735248.1, LN827800.1, NC\_007605.1, NC\_009334.1,

500 V01555.2).

### 501 Digital PCR quantification

502 SARS-CoV-2 N gene quantification: The G-block, plasmid, dsDNA and RNA

503 concentrations were quantified by digital PCR. Serial dilutions of targets were

504 mixed together with 500 nM CHNCDC-geneN-F, 500 nM CHNCDC-geneN-R,

505 250 nM CHNCDC-geneN-P, 1x TaqMan<sup>™</sup> Fast Virus 1-Step Master Mix (for

506 RNA, Applied Biosystems) or TaqMan<sup>™</sup> Fast Advanced Master Mix (for DNA,

507 Applied Biosystems), 1x Clarity<sup>™</sup> JN solution (JN Medsys). For RNA

samples, the reaction mixture was incubated at 55°C 10 min before

509 partitioning the reaction mix on Clarity<sup>™</sup> autoloader. Then the reaction

- 510 partitions were sealed with the Clarity<sup>™</sup> Sealing Enhancer and 230 µL
- 511 Clarity<sup>™</sup> Sealing Fluid, followed by thermal cycling using the following
- 512 parameters: 95 °C for 15 min (one cycle), 95 °C 50 s and 56 °C 90 s (40
- 513 cycles, ramp rate = 1 °C/s), 70 °C 5 min. The endpoint fluorescence of the
- 514 partitions was detected using Clarity<sup>™</sup> Reader and the final DNA copy
- 515 numbers were analyzed by Clarity<sup>™</sup> software.
- 516 EBV quantification: Serial dilutions of EBV DNA was used for dPCR
- 517 quantification by Clarity<sup>™</sup> Epstein-Barr Virus Quantification Kit (JN Medsys)
- 518 according to the manufacturer's protocol.

#### 519 **Cas12a bulk assay without preamplification**

- 520 Unless otherwise indicated, 50 nM EnGen® Lba Cas12a (New England
- 521 Biolabs), 50 nM crRNA, and 250 nM FQ ssDNA probe were incubated with
- 522 dsDNA dilution series in NEB buffer 2.1 at 37°C, and fluorescence signals
- 523 were measured every 5 min.

#### 524 **RPA-Cas12a bulk assay**

- 525 The one-pot reaction combining RPA-DNA amplification and Cas12a
- 526 detection was performed as follows: 300 nM forward primer, 300 nM reverse
- 527 primer, 500 nM FQ probe, 1x RPA rehydration buffer containing 1 x RPA
- 528 Pellet (TwistDx), 200 nM EnGen® Lba Cas12a (New England Biolabs), 200
- 529 nM crRNA, were prepared followed by adding various amounts of DNA input,
- and 14 mM magnesium acetate. When RNA was used as a target, 300 nM
- 531 reverse primer 2 was used with 10 U/µL PhotoScript Reverse transcriptase
- 532 (New England Biolabs) or 10 U/µL SuperScript™ IV Reverse Transcriptase

- 533 (Invitrogen) and 0.5 U/µL RNase H (Invitrogen or New England Biolabs), as
- 534 indicated. The reaction mixture was incubated at 42°C unless otherwise
- 535 indicated and fluorescence kinetics were monitored every 1 min.
- 536 **RADICA quantification**
- 537 The RADICA reaction was prepared by adding 1x Clarity<sup>™</sup> JN solution (JN
- 538 Medsys) to the RPA-Cas12a bulk reactions stated above. 15 µL of the mixture
- 539 was loaded on the chip by a Clarity<sup>™</sup> autoloader for sample partitioning. The
- reaction partitions were sealed with the Clarity<sup>™</sup> Sealing Enhancer and 230
- 541 µL Clarity<sup>™</sup> Sealing Fluid, followed by incubation at 42°C for 1 hour, unless
- 542 otherwise indicated. After incubation, a Clarity<sup>™</sup> Reader was used to read the
- 543 fluorescent signal in the partitions, and Clarity<sup>™</sup> software was used to
- 544 calculate input DNA copy numbers.

# 545 Limit of Blank (LoB), Limit of Detection (LoD), and Limit of Quantitation

- 546 (LoQ) calculation
- 547 LoB, LoD, and LoQ were calculated based on the following equation<sup>47</sup> using
- 548 the statistics of RADICA quantification on linearized plasmid in 10 replications
- 549 (Supplementary Table 2):
- 550 LoB = mean blank + 1.645 (SD blank)
- 551 LoD = LoB + 1.645 (SD low concentration sample)
- 552 LoQ = the lowest concentration of  $CV \le 20\%$

### 553 Growing EBV-2 from Jijoye cells

554 Jijoye cells were treated with 4 mM sodium butyrate and 24 ng/ml

- 555 tetradecanoyl phorbol acetate (TPA). Supernatants were harvested 4-5 days
- 556 post-treatment by centrifugation at 4,000g for 20 min and passing over a 0.45
- 557 µm filter to remove cellular debris. Viral particles were pelleted by
- ultracentrifugation at 20,000 rpm for 90 min and resuspended in 1/100 the
- 559 initial volume using complete RPMI or PBS if viruses were to be further
- 560 purified. Concentrated viruses were further purified using OptiPrep gradient
- 561 density ultracentrifugation at 20,000 rpm for 120 min, and the virus interface
- 562 band collected and stored at -80°C for downstream analysis.

#### 563 Epstein–Barr virus DNA extraction

- 564 EBV DNA was extracted using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN) according to
- 565 the manufacturer's protocol.

#### 566 Acknowledgments

567 We thank Karen Pepper (MIT) and Scott A. Rice(NTU) for careful editing and

568 helpful comments on the manuscript. This research is supported by the

- 569 National Research Foundation, Prime Minister's Office, Singapore under its
- 570 Campus for Research Excellence and Technological Enterprise (CREATE)
- 571 programme, through Singapore MIT Alliance for Research and Technology
- 572 (SMART): Critical Analytics for Manufacturing Personalised-Medicine (CAMP)
- 573 Inter-Disciplinary Research Group.

#### 574 Author Contributions

575 X.W., T.K.L., and H.Y. designed the research. X.W. developed the RADICA,

576 performed the experiment, and analyzed the data. C.C performed the

- 577 Epstein–Barr virus culture and DNA extraction. Y.H.L., S.S., T.K.L., and H.Y.
- 578 provided mentorship and feedback. X.W. wrote the original draft and all
- 579 authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

#### 580 Competing Interests statement

- 581 X.W., T.K.L., and H.Y. are co-inventors on patent filings related to the
- 582 published work. T.K.L. is a co-founder of Senti Biosciences, Synlogic, Engine
- 583 Biosciences, Tango Therapeutics, Corvium, BiomX, Eligo Biosciences,
- 584 Bota.Bio, and Avendesora. T.K.L. also holds financial interests in nest.bio,
- 585 Ampliphi, IndieBio, MedicusTek, Quark Biosciences, Personal602Genomics,
- 586 Thryve, Lexent Bio, MitoLab, Vulcan, Serotiny, and Avendesora. H.Y.
- 587 declares holding equity in Invitrocue, Osteopore, Histoindex, Vasinfuse, Ants
- 588 Innovate, Synally Futuristech and Pishon Biomedical that have no conflict of
- 589 interest with the work reported in this paper.

#### 590 References

591 Sedlak, R.H. & Jerome, K.R. Viral diagnostics in the era of digital polymerase 1. chain reaction. Diagnostic microbiology and infectious disease 75, 1-4 (2013). 592 Lai, K.K., Cook, L., Wendt, S., Corey, L. & Jerome, K.R. Evaluation of real-593 2. 594 time PCR versus PCR with liquid-phase hybridization for detection of 595 enterovirus RNA in cerebrospinal fluid. Journal of clinical microbiology 41, 596 3133-3141 (2003). Boeckh, M. et al. Optimization of quantitative detection of cytomegalovirus 597 3. 598 DNA in plasma by real-time PCR. Journal of clinical microbiology 42, 1142-599 1148 (2004). 600 4. Yao, X.-H. et al. Pathological evidence for residual SARS-CoV-2 in pulmonary 601 tissues of a ready-for-discharge patient. Cell research 30, 541-543 (2020). 602 5. Liu, Y. et al. Aerodynamic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in two Wuhan hospitals. 603 Nature 582, 557-560 (2020). 604 6. Yu, F. et al. Quantitative Detection and Viral Load Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in 605 Infected Patients. Clinical Infectious Diseases (2020). Salipante, S.J. & Jerome, K.R. Digital PCR—An Emerging Technology with 606 7. Broad Applications in Microbiology. Clinical chemistry 66, 117-123 (2020). 607 608 Whale, A.S. et al. Comparison of microfluidic digital PCR and conventional 8. 609 quantitative PCR for measuring copy number variation. Nucleic acids 610 research 40, e82-e82 (2012).

<ul> <li>and ddPCR with multiple primer/probe sets. <i>Emerging microbes &amp; infections</i> 9, 1175-1179 (2020).</li> <li>Alteri, C. et al. Detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 by droplet digital PCR in real-time PCR negative nasopharyngeal swabs from suspected (COVID-19 patients. <i>PIOS one</i> 15, e0236311 (2020).</li> <li>Woloshin, S., Patel, N. &amp; Kesselheim, A.S. False Negative Tests for SARS-CoV-2 Infection — Challenges and Implications. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> 383, e38 (2020).</li> <li>Notomi, T. et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 28, E63-E63 (2000).</li> <li>Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> 4, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. <i>Science</i> 356, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> 360, 436-439 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> 4, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity<sup>TM</sup> digital PCR system: a novel platform for 360-1475 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12 assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ.</li></ul>	611	9.	Liu, X. et al. Analytical comparisons of SARS-COV-2 detection by qRT-PCR
<ul> <li>9, 1175-1179 (2020).</li> <li>Afteri, C. et al. Detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 by droplet digital PCR in real-time PCR negative nasopharyngeal swabs from suspected COVID-19 patients. <i>PIoS one</i> <b>15</b>, e0236311 (2020).</li> <li>Woloshin, S., Patel, N. &amp; Kesselheim, A.S. False Negative Tests for SARS- CoV-2 Infection — Challenges and Implications. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> <b>383</b>, e38 (2020).</li> <li>Notomi, T. et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> <b>28</b>, E63-E63 (2000).</li> <li>Piepenburg, O., Williams, C. H., Stemple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> <b>4</b>, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. <i>Science</i> <b>356</b>, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>C. Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 436-444 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Cam6. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> <b>4</b>, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> <b>16</b>, e1080705 (2020).</li> <li>Li, C., Kard, S.J., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity<sup>™</sup> digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> <b>409</b>, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplific</li></ul>	612		and ddPCR with multiple primer/probe sets. <i>Emerging microbes &amp; infections</i>
<ol> <li>Alteri, C. et al. Detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 by droplet digital PCR in real-time PCR negative nasopharyngeal swabs from suspected COVID-19 patients. <i>PloS one</i> <b>15</b>, e0236311 (2020).</li> <li>Woloshin, S., Patel, N. &amp; Kesselheim, A.S. False Negative Tests for SARS- CoV-2. Infection — Challenges and Implications. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> <b>383</b>, e38 (2020).</li> <li>Notomi, T. et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> <b>28</b>, E63-E63 (2000).</li> <li>Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> <b>4</b>, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. <i>Science</i> <b>356</b>, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. RUIplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> <b>4</b>, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhroold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Bassively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. Nature (2020).</li> <li>Liw, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> <b>16</b>, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity<sup>TW</sup> digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> <b>409</b>, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li</li></ol>	613		<b>9</b> , 1175-1179 (2020).
<ul> <li>PCR in real-time PCR negative nasopharyngeal swabs from suspected COVID-19 patients. <i>PloS one</i> <b>15</b>, e0236311 (2020).</li> <li>Woloshin, S., Patel, N. &amp; Kesselheim, A.S. False Negative Tests for SARS- CoV-2 Infection — Challenges and Implications. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> <b>383</b>, e38 (2020).</li> <li>Notomi, T. et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> <b>28</b>, E63-E63 (2000).</li> <li>Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> <b>4</b>, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/Czc2. <i>Science</i> <b>356</b>, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 439-439 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-assisted nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Drevelopment and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> <b>16</b>, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (189-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. URtassentive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2.</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform f</li></ul>	614	10.	Alteri, C. et al. Detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 by droplet digital
<ul> <li>COVID-19 patients. <i>PloS one</i> 15, e0236311 (2020).</li> <li>Woloshin, S., Patel, N. &amp; Kesselheim, A.S. False Negative Tests for SARS-CoV-2 Infection — Challenges and Implications. <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i> 383, e38 (2020).</li> <li>Notomi, T. et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 28, E63-E63 (2000).</li> <li>Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> 4, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. <i>Science</i> 356, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 436-439 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Hutiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T, et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 499, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresse real-time PCR: a n</li></ul>	615		PCR in real-time PCR negative nasopharyngeal swabs from suspected
<ol> <li>Woloshin, S., Patel, N. &amp; Kesselheim, A.S. False Negative Tests for SARS- CoV-2 Infection — Challenges and Implications. <i>New England Journal of</i> <i>Medicine</i> 338, e38 (2020).</li> <li>Notomi, T. et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. <i>Nucleic</i> <i>acids research</i> 28, E63-E63 (2000).</li> <li>Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> 4, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. <i>Science</i> 356, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. <i>Science</i> 356, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection et al. detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 438-444 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> 360, 438-444 (2018).</li> <li>K. Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Utrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2. Inng, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2.</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a based visual detection of SARS-CoV-2.</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2.</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Utrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2.</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2.</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2.</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. U</li></ol>	616		COVID-19 patients. <i>PloS one</i> <b>15</b> , e0236311 (2020).
<ul> <li>CoV-2 Infection — Challenges and Implications. <i>New England Journal of</i> <i>Medicine</i> 383, e38 (2020).</li> <li>Notomi, T. et al. Lop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. <i>Nucleic</i> <i>acids research</i> 28, E63-E63 (2000).</li> <li>Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> 4, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2.</li> <li><i>Science</i> 356, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> 360, 436-439 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> 4, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The</i> <i>Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12b, Sone Verestimation in Quantitative PCR, <i>F. Review</i> 36, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in</li></ul>	617	11.	Woloshin, S., Patel, N. & Kesselheim, A.S. False Negative Tests for SARS-
<ul> <li>Medicine 383, e38 (2020).</li> <li>Notomi, T. et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 28, E63-E63 (2000).</li> <li>Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> 4, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. <i>Science</i> 356, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> 360, 436-439 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> 4, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T, et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2. Using all-in-one dual CRISPR-Cas12a basa both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stettlen, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of micochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 25, 51377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Berious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR.</li></ul>	618		CoV-2 Infection — Challenges and Implications. New England Journal of
<ol> <li>Notomi, T. et al. Loop-médiated isothermal amplification of DNA. <i>Nucleic</i> acids research 28, E63-E63 (2000).</li> <li>Piepenburg, C., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> 4, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. <i>Science</i> 356, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. RISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> 4, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-inone dual CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity<sup>TM</sup> digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1469-1475 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Chen,</li></ol>	619		Medicine <b>383</b> . e38 (2020).
<ul> <li>acids research 28, E63-E63 (2000).</li> <li>Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> 4, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. <i>Science</i> 356, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> 360, 436-439 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> 4, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Lio, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity<sup>TM</sup> digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst 144</i>, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst 144</i>, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mit</li></ul>	620	12.	Notomi, T. et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. Nucleic
<ol> <li>Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stémple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> 4, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. <i>Science</i> 356, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> 360, 436-439 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, SY. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> 4, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a hasboth cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a hasboth cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a hasboth cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a hasboth cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kallubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li></li></ol>	621		acids research <b>28</b> . E63-E63 (2000).
<ul> <li>Using Recombination Proteins. <i>PLOS Biology</i> 4, e204 (2006).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2.</li> <li><i>Science</i> 366, 438-42 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> 360, 436-439 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Mutiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> 4, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Matsively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity<sup>™</sup> digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of micohondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Ebinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <l< td=""><td>622</td><td>13.</td><td>Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. &amp; Armes, N.A. DNA Detection</td></l<></ul>	622	13.	Piepenburg, O., Williams, C.H., Stemple, D.L. & Armes, N.A. DNA Detection
<ol> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. <i>Science</i> <b>356</b>, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 436-439 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> <b>4</b>, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid sector of sarse diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> <b>16</b>, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-inone dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> <b>11</b>, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> <b>409</b>, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> <b>28</b>, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> <b>144</b>, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of micchondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> <b>35</b>, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overest</li></ol>	623		Using Recombination Proteins, <i>PLOS Biology</i> <b>4</b> , e204 (2006).
<ul> <li>Science <b>356</b>, 438-442 (2017).</li> <li>Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 436-439 (2018).</li> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> <b>4</b>, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> <b>360</b>, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> <b>16</b>, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Uttrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in-one dual CRISPR-Cas12a bassay. <i>Nature communications</i> <b>11</b>, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> <b>409</b>, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> <b>28</b>, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> <b>144</b>, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> <b>35</b>, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Bonioti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR hy Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standa</li></ul>	624	14	Gootenberg J.S. et al. Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2
<ul> <li>15. Chen, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. <i>Science</i> 360, 436-439 (2018).</li> <li>16. Gootenberg, J.S. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>17. Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection <i>Platform</i> with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>18. Myhrold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>19. Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>20. Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>21. Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>22. Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in-one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>23. Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>24. Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>25. Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>26. Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>27. Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>28. Beinhauerova, M.,</li></ul>	625		Science <b>356</b> 438-442 (2017)
<ul> <li>10. Solar, C. B. Charler, C. C. C. C. S. C. C. C. S. C. C.</li></ul>	626	15	Chen JS et al CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate
<ul> <li>Gootenberg, J.S. et al. Multiplexed and portable nucleic acid detection platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. <i>Science</i> 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> 4, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 44, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i></li></ul>	620 627	15.	single-stranded DNase activity Science <b>360</b> 436-439 (2018)
<ol> <li>Bootenberg, S.S. et al., Multiplexed and pontation for debedding debedding platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Csm6. Science 360, 439-444 (2018).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> 4, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-inone dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital</li></ol>	628	16	Contended Divide addivity. Science <b>300</b> , 430-433 (2010).
<ul> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted nucleic acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> 4, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 using all-inone dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity ™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	620	10.	platform with Cas13, Cas12a, and Cam6, Science <b>360</b> , 439-444 (2018)
<ol> <li>H. E., S. F. et al. CKISFK-Cas12a/assisted intelect acid detection. <i>Cell discovery</i> 4, 20 (2018).</li> <li>Myhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR–Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in-one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in </i></li></ol>	620	17	Li S V et al CBISDB Cast2a, and CSino. Science <b>300</b> , 433-444 (2010).
<ul> <li>H, 20 (2016).</li> <li>Wyhrvold, C. et al. Field-deployable viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. <i>Science</i> 360, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity ™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluatio</li></ul>	621	17.	LI, S.T. EL AL CRISER-CASTZA-ASSISTED HUCHEL ACID DETECTION. CEIL DISCOVERY
<ul> <li>16. Wynrodd, C. et al. Pielo-Deployable Viral diagnostics using CRISPR-Cas13. Science <b>360</b>, 444-448 (2018).</li> <li>19. Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR–Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>20. Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>21. Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> <b>16</b>, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>22. Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> <b>11</b>, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>23. Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity ™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> <b>409</b>, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>24. Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> <b>28</b>, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>25. Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> <b>144</b>, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>26. Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> <b>35</b>, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>27. Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> <b>5</b>, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>28. Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> <b>5</b>, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>29. Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of ordpolet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitr</li></ul>	622	10	4, 20 (2010). Mubrueld C. et al. Field deployed la viral diagnostica using CDISDD Cost?
<ul> <li>Broughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cas12-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of ordpotel digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a</li></ul>	622	10.	Solonoo <b>260</b> 444 449 (2019)
<ul> <li>19. Bloughton, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Cast2-based detection of SARS-CoV-2. <i>Nature biotechnology</i> (2020).</li> <li>20. Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cast3. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>21. Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>22. Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cast2a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>23. Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>24. Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cast2a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>25. Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>26. Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>27. Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>28. Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>29. Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of ordplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>30. Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for ac</li></ul>	033	10	Science <b>300</b> , 444-440 (2010).
<ul> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR plaforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	034 625	19.	Diougnion, J.P. et al. CRISPR-Casiz-based detection of SARS-Cov-2.
<ol> <li>Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively inditiplexed nucleic acid detection using Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ol>	033	20	Nature Diotechnology (2020).
<ul> <li>Cas13. <i>Nature</i> (2020).</li> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-inone dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity<sup>™</sup> digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	030	20.	Ackerman, C.M. et al. Massively multiplexed nucleic acid detection using
<ol> <li>Hou, T. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Pathogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ol>	637	04	Cas13. Nature (2020).
<ul> <li>diagnostic for COVID-19. <i>PLOS Painogens</i> 16, e1008705 (2020).</li> <li>22. Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>23. Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical</i> <i>and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>24. Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>25. Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The</i> <i>Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>26. Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>27. Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>28. Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>29. Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>30. Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	638	21.	Hou, I. et al. Development and evaluation of a rapid CRISPR-based
<ul> <li>bing, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-CoV-2 using all-in- one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity ™ digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical</i> <i>and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The</i> <i>Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	639	00	diagnostic for COVID-19. PLOS Pathogens 16, e1008705 (2020).
<ul> <li>one dual CRISPR-Cas12a assay. <i>Nature communications</i> 11, 4711 (2020).</li> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity <sup>™</sup> digital PCR</li> <li>system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical</i></li> <li><i>and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on</li> <li>single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of</li> <li>a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The</i></li> <li><i>Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time</li> <li>PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and</li> <li>repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in</li> <li>Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal</li> <li>pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization</li> <li>of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in</li> <li>Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for</li> <li>characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia</li> <li>oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate</li> <li>quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference</li> <li>material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	640	22.	Ding, X. et al. Ultrasensitive and visual detection of SARS-Cov-2 using all-in-
<ul> <li>Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. &amp; Tan, EL. Clarity <sup>IM</sup> digital PCR system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical</i> <i>and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i> 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The</i> <i>Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	641	~~	one dual CRISPR-Casiza assay. Nature communications 11, 4711 (2020).
<ul> <li>system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. <i>Analytical</i> and Bioanalytical Chemistry 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The</i> <i>Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>PCR: a new approach to the quantification of witochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	642	23.	Low, H., Chan, SJ., Soo, GH., Ling, B. & Tan, EL. Clarity M digital PCR
<ul> <li>and Bioanalytical Chemistry 409, 1869-1875 (2017).</li> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The</i> <i>Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR plastforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	643		system: a novel platform for absolute quantification of nucleic acids. Analytical
<ul> <li>Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The</i> <i>Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	644	~ .	and Bioanalytical Chemistry <b>409</b> , 1869-1875 (2017).
<ul> <li>single-stranded DNA. <i>Cell research</i> 28, 491-493 (2018).</li> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The</i> <i>Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	645	24.	Li, S.Y. et al. CRISPR-Cas12a has both cis- and trans-cleavage activities on
<ul> <li>Li, J., Macdonald, J. &amp; von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The</i> <i>Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	646		single-stranded DNA. Cell research 28, 491-493 (2018).
<ul> <li>a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. <i>The</i></li> <li><i>Analyst</i> 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time</li> <li>PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and</li> <li>repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in</li> <li>Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal</li> <li>pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization</li> <li>of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in</li> <li>Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for</li> <li>characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia</li> <li>oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate</li> <li>quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference</li> <li>material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	647	25.	Li, J., Macdonald, J. & von Stetten, F. Review: a comprehensive summary of
<ul> <li>Analyst 144, 31-67 (2018).</li> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	648		a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. The
<ul> <li>Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. &amp; Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time</li> <li>PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and</li> <li>repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in</li> <li>Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal</li> <li>pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization</li> <li>of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in</li> <li>Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for</li> <li>characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia</li> <li>oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate</li> <li>quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference</li> <li>material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	649		Analyst <b>144</b> , 31-67 (2018).
<ul> <li>PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>27. Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>28. Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>29. Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>30. Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	650	26.	Chen, J., Kadlubar, F.F. & Chen, J.Z. DNA supercoiling suppresses real-time
<ul> <li>repair. <i>Nucleic acids research</i> 35, 1377-1388 (2007).</li> <li>Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	651		PCR: a new approach to the quantification of mitochondrial DNA damage and
<ul> <li>4. Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. &amp; Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>4. Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>4. Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>4. Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	652		repair. Nucleic acids research <b>35</b> , 1377-1388 (2007).
<ul> <li>Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal</li> <li>pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization</li> <li>of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in</li> <li>Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for</li> <li>characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia</li> <li>oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate</li> <li>quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference</li> <li>material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	653	27.	Hou, Y., Zhang, H., Miranda, L. & Lin, S. Serious Overestimation in
<ul> <li>pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> 5, e9545 (2010).</li> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	654		Quantitative PCR by Circular (Supercoiled) Plasmid Standard: Microalgal
<ul> <li>Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. &amp; Kralik, P. Utilization of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	655		pcna as the Model Gene. <i>PloS one</i> <b>5</b> , e9545 (2010).
<ul> <li>of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in</li> <li>Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for</li> <li>characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia</li> <li>oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate</li> <li>quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference</li> <li>material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	656	28.	Beinhauerova, M., Babak, V., Bertasi, B., Boniotti, M.B. & Kralik, P. Utilization
<ul> <li>Quantitative PCR. <i>Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences</i> 7 (2020).</li> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for</li> <li>characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia</li> <li>oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate</li> <li>quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference</li> <li>material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	657		of Digital PCR in Quantity Verification of Plasmid Standards Used in
<ul> <li>Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. &amp; Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for</li> <li>characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia</li> <li>oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> <b>406</b>, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate</li> <li>quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference</li> <li>material. <i>Scientific reports</i> <b>5</b>, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	658		Quantitative PCR. Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences 7 (2020).
<ul> <li>characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia</li> <li>oxidizers and denitrifiers. <i>Anal Bioanal Chem</i> 406, 1701-1712 (2014).</li> <li>30. Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate</li> <li>quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference</li> <li>material. <i>Scientific reports</i> 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	659	29.	Dong, L., Meng, Y., Wang, J. & Liu, Y. Evaluation of droplet digital PCR for
661oxidizers and denitrifiers. Anal Bioanal Chem 406, 1701-1712 (2014).66230.Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate663quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference664material. Scientific reports 5, 13174 (2015).	660		characterizing plasmid reference material used for quantifying ammonia
<ul> <li>30. Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate</li> <li>quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference</li> <li>material. Scientific reports 5, 13174 (2015).</li> </ul>	661		oxidizers and denitrifiers. Anal Bioanal Chem 406, 1701-1712 (2014).
663 quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference 664 material. <i>Scientific reports</i> <b>5</b> , 13174 (2015).	662	30.	Dong, L. et al. Comparison of four digital PCR platforms for accurate
664 material. Scientific reports <b>5</b> , 13174 (2015).	663	-	quantification of DNA copy number of a certified plasmid DNA reference
• • • •	664		material. Scientific reports 5, 13174 (2015).

665	31.	Elbe, S. & Buckland-Merrett, G. Data, disease and diplomacy: GISAID's
666		innovative contribution to global health. Global Challenges 1, 33-46 (2017).
667	32.	Shu, Y. & McCauley, J. GISAID: Global initiative on sharing all influenza data
668		- from vision to reality. Eurosurveillance 22, 30494 (2017).
669	33.	Clancy, E. et al. Development of a rapid recombinase polymerase
670		amplification assay for the detection of Streptococcus pneumoniae in whole
671		blood. BMC infectious diseases 15, 481 (2015).
672	34.	Rohrman, B. & Richards-Kortum, R. Inhibition of recombinase polymerase
673		amplification by background DNA: a lateral flow-based method for enriching
674		target DNA. Analytical chemistry 87, 1963-1967 (2015).
675	35.	Pujadas, E. et al. SARS-CoV-2 viral load predicts COVID-19 mortality. The
676		Lancet. Respiratory medicine (2020).
677	36.	Zheng, S. et al. Viral load dynamics and disease severity in patients infected
678		with SARS-CoV-2 in Zhejiang province, China, January-March 2020:
679		retrospective cohort study. BMJ 369, m1443-m1443 (2020).
680	37.	Zou, L. et al. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Upper Respiratory Specimens of
681		Infected Patients. New England Journal of Medicine 382, 1177-1179 (2020).
682	38.	Wölfel, R. et al. Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-
683		2019. Nature 581, 465-469 (2020).
684	39.	Hu, F. et al. Smartphone-Based Droplet Digital LAMP Device with Rapid
685		Nucleic Acid Isolation for Highly Sensitive Point-of-Care Detection. Analytical
686		chemistry <b>92</b> , 2258-2265 (2020).
687	40.	Lin, X., Huang, X., Urmann, K., Xie, X. & Hoffmann, M.R. Digital Loop-
688		Mediated Isothermal Amplification on a Commercial Membrane. ACS sensors
689		<b>4</b> , 242-249 (2019).
690	41.	Ma, Y.D. et al. Digital quantification of DNA via isothermal amplification on a
691		self-driven microfluidic chip featuring hydrophilic film-coated
692		polydimethylsiloxane. Biosensors & bioelectronics 99, 547-554 (2018).
693	42.	Zhao, Y., Chen, F., Li, Q., Wang, L. & Fan, C. Isothermal Amplification of
694		Nucleic Acids. <i>Chemical reviews</i> <b>115</b> , 12491-12545 (2015).
695	43.	Daher, R.K., Stewart, G., Boissinot, M., Boudreau, D.K. & Bergeron, M.G.
696		Influence of sequence mismatches on the specificity of recombinase
697		polymerase amplification technology. <i>Mol Cell Probes</i> <b>29</b> , 116-121 (2015).
698	44.	Hardinge, P. & Murray, J.A.H. Reduced False Positives and Improved
699		Reporting of Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification using Quenched
700		Fluorescent Primers. Scientific reports 9, 7400 (2019).
701	45.	Kellner, M.J., Koob, J.G., Gootenberg, J.S., Abudayyeh, O.O. & Zhang, F.
702		SHERLOCK: nucleic acid detection with CRISPR nucleases. <i>Nature protocols</i>
703		<b>14</b> , 2986-3012 (2019).
704	46.	Lucia, C., Federico, PB. & Alejandra, G.C. An ultrasensitive, rapid, and
705		portable coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 sequence detection method based on
706		CRISPR-Cas12. <i>bioRxiv</i> , 2020.2002.2029.971127 (2020).
707	47.	Armbruster, D.A. & Pry, T. Limit of blank, limit of detection and limit of
708		quantitation. The Clinical biochemist. Reviews <b>29 Suppl 1</b> , S49-52 (2008).



#### Figure 1. Schematic illustration of RADICA. a, The workflow of RADICA 710 711 sample partitioning on a chip for absolute quantification of nucleic acid 712 targets. Generally, after the DNA/RNA extraction step, different kind of clinical samples can be used for detection and quantification of various targets. The 713 714 sample mixture containing DNA/cDNA, RPA reagents, and Cas12a-crRNA-715 FQ probes is distributed randomly into thousands of partitions. In each 716 partition, the DNA is amplified by RPA and detected by Cas12a-crRNA, resulting in a fluorescent signal in the partition. Based on the proportion of 717 718 positive partitions and on Poisson distribution, the absolute copy number of 719 the nucleic acid target is quantified. b, Illustration of RPA-Cas12a reaction in 720 each positive partition. In each partition containing the target nucleic acid, the 721 primers bind to the target nucleic acid and initiate amplification with the aid of 722 recombinase and DNA polymerase. Because of the strand displacement of 723 DNA polymerase, the exposed crRNA-targeted ssDNA sites are bound by Cas12a-crRNA complexes. Cas12a is then activated and cleaves the nearby 724 725 FQ reporters to produce a fluorescence readout.





726

#### 727 Figure 2. Optimization of FQ probe concentration in RADICA. a, b,

Cas12a reaction in bulk reactions with different FQ probe concentrations in 728 729 the presence or absence of a constant concentration (0.1 nM) of target DNA. 730 a, Time course reaction of Cas12a with FQ probes at concentrations ranging 731 from 50 nM to 10,000 nM. X-axis indicates the reaction time; y-axis indicates 732 the background-subtracted fluorescence signal. b, Fluorescence signal of DNA and non-template control obtained with FQ probes at concentrations 733 734 ranging from 50 nM to 10,000 nM. c, d, RADICA reaction with the same concentrations of target DNA but different probe concentrations. c, 735 Fluorescence intensity of the negative partitions (background noise) and 736 positive partitions (positive signals) on the chip obtained with FQ probes at 737 738 concentrations of 500 or 1000 nM. d, Histogram showing ratios of positive partitions on the chip with FQ probes, at concentrations of 500 or 1000 nM, in 739 740 the presence of target DNA (4 replicates for each FQ probe concentration).





Figure 3. Time course reaction of RADICA. a, Fluorescence intensity of the 742 743 partitions on the chip at two time points. The x-axis represents fluorescence 744 intensity while the y-axis represents the frequency of the partitions. The left 745 peak (low fluorescence level; dark grey) on the fluorescence intensity 746 histogram represents the negative partitions while the right peak (high fluorescence level; green) indicates the positive partitions. As the CRISPR 747 748 reaction proceeds, the fluorescence levels of the positive partitions increase 749 and the right peak shifts further to the right. b, The proportion of positive 750 partitions at different time points of RADICA. Each DNA replicate is represented by a data point with a unique color. Starting at about 60 minutes, 751 the fluorescence signal plateaus and the ratio of positive partitions reaches a 752 753 stable level.







758	dilutions of linearized plasmid DNA encoding the SARS-CoV-2 N gene (0.8,
759	127, 600, 1997 copies/ $\mu$ L) and one non-template control (without plasmid
760	DNA) were used as input DNA. The x-axis represents fluorescence intensity
761	while the y-axis represents the frequency of the partitions. The left peak (low
762	fluorescence level; dark grey) on the fluorescence intensity histogram
763	represents the negative partitions while the right peak (high fluorescence
764	level; green) indicates the positive partitions. In the scatter plot and position
765	plot, each dot represents one partition on the chip. Green dots represent
766	positive partitions with a high fluorescence level while grey or blue dots
767	correspond to negative partitions with a low fluorescence level. b, Comparison
768	of the absolute quantification result of RADICA and digital PCR. Each point
769	represents one sample. The original linearized plasmid DNA concentration
770	was measured by using Clarity™ digital PCR and diluted to different
771	concentrations (x-axis). The diluted DNA was then measured by using the
772	RADICA. The calculated RADICA DNA concentrations are plotted on the y-
773	axis.



Figure 5. The effect of plasmid conformation on the accuracy of RADICA 775

and digital PCR (dPCR). a, b, The positive and negative partitions of 776

RADICA (a) and dPCR (b) on detection of 179 copies/µL circular plasmid. c, 777

778 d, Comparison of the absolute quantification result for linearized plasmid and

circular plasmid of RADICA (c) and dPCR (d). 779



780

#### Figure 6. Absolute quantification of Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) by 781

782 RADICA. a, Primer and crRNA design for RADICA assay specific for EBV. b,

783 Fluorescence intensity histogram, scatter plot, and position plot of the

- 784 partitions on the chip on serially-diluted EBV DNA. c, A comparison of the
- absolute quantification values obtained from RADICA and digital PCR 785
- 786 methods using various concentrations of EBV DNA.