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#### Abstract

The work described in this dissertation focuses on developing copper(I) hydride ( CuH )-catalyzed enantioselective hydrofunctionalization reactions of olefins. The first chapter highlights a method on CuH -catalyzed asymmetric hydroamination of strained trisubstituted alkenes, including cyclobutenes and cyclopropenes. The second chapter presents an approach for accessing enantioenriched $\alpha$-quaternary carboxylic acids, through CuH -catalyzed hydrocarboxylation of allenes. The third chapter demonstrates the enantioselective hydrocarbamoylation of alkenes enable by dual CuH and Pd catalysis.
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## Introduction

The asymmetric hydrofunctionalization of alkenes represents a straightforward synthetic strategy to enable the net addition of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}=$ e.g., $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S})$ across the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ double bond of prochiral olefins. ${ }^{1}$ Since the discovery of copper hydride $(\mathrm{CuH})$-catalyzed hydroamination in 2013, independently, by the Buchwald and Miura groups, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~L} * \mathrm{CuH}(\mathbf{I})$ catalysis has been used for the development of a number of methods for the enantioselective hydrofunctionalization of alkenes (Scheme 1). ${ }^{3}$ Reaction of I with an olefin, results in the production of $\mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{Cu}($ alkyl ) intermediate $\mathbf{I I}$, which can react with a range of electrophiles ultimately resulting in the formation of new products with the creation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds. In this way, stable and readily available olefins can serve as simple precursors to intermediate II that can act as surrogates for traditional alkyl metals, $\mathrm{RMX}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Mg} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I})$ and participate in a variety of reactions. Additionally, these $\mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{CuH}$-catalyzed transformations can be rendered enantioselective if a chiral ligand is employed.

One type of $\mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{CuH}$-catalyzed hydrofunctionalization is hydroamination where II engages an electrophilic aminating reagent. Following the initial development of $\mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{CuH}-$ catalyzed asymmetric hydroamination of vinyl arenes to furnish $\alpha$-chiral tertiary amines, ${ }^{2}$ the scope of both the olefinic pronucleophile and the nitrogen-containing electrophile has been greatly expanded. Besides activated olefins such as vinyl arenes, ${ }^{2}$ vinyl silanes, ${ }^{4}$ and vinyl boronates, ${ }^{5}$ unactivated alkenes, including terminal, ${ }^{2 a} 1,1$-disubstituted, ${ }^{6}$ and internal ones, ${ }^{7}$ also served as substrates in the hydroamination chemistry. The successful transformation of unactivated olefins, which have a higher barrier for hydrocupration than e.g., styrene, was made possible by employing a sterically demanding bidentate phosphine ligand (DTBM-SEGPHOS, Scheme 2) that promoted hydrocupration owing to the enhanced attractive ligand-substrate
dispersion interaction. ${ }^{8}$ By further designing different electrophilic aminating reagents, a broad range of enantioenriched tertiary, ${ }^{2}$ secondary, ${ }^{9}$ and primary amines, ${ }^{10}$ as well as indoles ${ }^{11}$ and amides, ${ }^{12}$ could be accessed through similar $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond-forming hydrofunctionalization approaches. In most cases examined to date, the regio- and enantioselectivity of the hydroamination reaction is dictated by the hydrocupration step. ${ }^{13}$

Scheme 1. Background of CuH -catalyzed asymmetric hydrofunctionalization reactions


A side reaction in some $\mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{CuH}$-catalyzed asymmetric hydrofunctionalization reactions is the competitive reduction of the electrophile by $\mathbf{I} .{ }^{14}$ This undesirable process became particularly pronounced during initial attempts to apply the hydrofunctionalization strategy to $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation via reaction of in situ-formed II to aldehydes, ketones, or imines. The discovery that Ph-BPE ligated CuH (Scheme 2) resulted in decreased rate of reduction of ketones led to the development of CuH -catalyzed enantio- and diastereoselective addition of enyne-derived nucleophiles to ketones. ${ }^{15}$ Other $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming transformations were subsequently achieved utilizing different electrophiles that contain carbonyl or imine components, such as aldehydes, ${ }^{16}$ anhydrides, ${ }^{17}$ in-situ formed ketene intermediates, ${ }^{18} \mathrm{CO}_{2},{ }^{19}$ imines, ${ }^{20}$ and pyridines. ${ }^{21}$ Hydrocupration of allenes ${ }^{22}$ or conjugated olefins such as dienes, ${ }^{16 a, 23}$ and enynes ${ }^{15}$ results in the formation of allyl copper-type intermediates (III). The subsequent carbonyl addition step usually proceeds via a stereo-determining chair-like transition state comprised of the carbonyl compound and III (Scheme 1). Even reactions with certain vinyl arenes have been found to go through such six-membered transition states via a dearomative process. ${ }^{166,21}$ Unlike in the case of hydroamination, unactivated alkenes have not yet been shown to participate in these carbonyl addition processes. Aside from carbonyl addition, enantioselective allylation ${ }^{24}$ and intramolecular alkylation of alkenes ${ }^{25}$ have also been achieved.

Scheme 2. Commonly employed ligands in CuH -catalyzed hydrofunctionalization reactions

$\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\mathrm{Bu}-4-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
(R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS

( $R, R$ )-Ph-BPE

Besides directly engaging an electrophile, the stereodefined intermediate II can also be incorporated into a Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling process (Scheme 3). Specifically, the oxidative addition of $\operatorname{ArX}$ with $\operatorname{LPd}(0)$ would form the oxidative addition complex IV, which then undergoes stereospecific transmetalation with intermediate II. The resulting alkyl $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ species V undergoes reductive elimination to provide the cross-coupling product. Prototypical crosscoupling partners such as aryl halides and enol sulfonates have been utilized in dual CuH and Pd catalysis to achieve asymmetric hydroarylation ${ }^{26}$ and hydrovinylation ${ }^{27}$ of alkenes, respectively. Additionally, the Riant group has employed $\mathrm{CuH} / \mathrm{Pd}$ dual catalytic processes for 1,4-reduction and allylic alkylation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compound. ${ }^{28}$

Scheme 3. Asymmetric hydrofunctionalization reactions enabled by dual CuH and Pd catalysis


This dissertation focuses on further developing CuH -catalyzed asymmetric hydrofunctionalization of alkenes. Chapter 1 describes an approach to synthesize
enantioenriched cyclopropenes and cyclobutenes, through hydroamination of the corresponding trisubstituted strained alkenes. Chapter 2 and 3 detail the development of asymmetric hydrocarboxylation and hydrocarbamoylation reactions with the use of readily available carboxylation and carbamoylation reagents, respectively. In chapter 2 , a commercially available fluoroformate is employed in the hydrocarboxylation of allenes to provide enantioenriched $\alpha$ quaternary carboxylic acids. In chapter 3, we leveraged dual $\mathrm{CuH} / \mathrm{Pd}$ catalysis to achieve the asymmetric hydrocarbamoylation of alkenes using carbamoyl chlorides.
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Chapter 1. Diastereo- and Enantioselective CuH-Catalyzed Hydroamination of Strained Trisubstituted Alkenes

### 1.1 Introduction

Cyclobutylamine and cyclopropylamine substructures are found in a variety of bioactive molecules and pharmaceutical compounds (Figure 1a). ${ }^{1}$ Moreover, the stereoisomers of these compounds can exhibit remarkable differences in bioactivity. ${ }^{2}$ Thus, considerable effort has been expended to developing methods for the stereoselective construction of these structural units. Currently, most synthetic approaches to enantioenriched cyclobutylamines are based on [2+2] cycloadditions ${ }^{3}$ and the Amadori-Heyns rearrangement. ${ }^{4}$ Among these methods, few are catalytic and effective in an intermolecular context. ${ }^{3 f-\mathrm{h}, 4}$ In contrast, for the catalytic, enantioselective synthesis of cyclopropylamines, a number of elegant methods have been developed. ${ }^{5}$ The most well-known processes include intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation of prochiral aminocyclopropanes, ${ }^{6}$ cyclopropanation of vinylcarbamates, ${ }^{7}$ carboamination of cyclopropenes, ${ }^{8}$ and rare-earth-metal-catalyzed hydroamination of cyclopropenes. ${ }^{9}$ Despite these advances, the development of a unified synthetic strategy that allows for the stereoselective formation of multiple types of polysubstituted cyclobutyl- and cyclopropylamines would be desirable. We proposed that a general, enantioselective hydroamination of cyclic alkenes could address this challenge.

Our group and others have reported copper-hydride $(\mathrm{CuH})$-catalyzed enantioselective hydrofunctionalization reactions of various unsaturated substrates. ${ }^{10,11}$ In particular, $\mathrm{CuH}-$ catalyzed hydroamination has been applied on a broad range of olefins, ${ }^{12}$ such as styrene derivatives, ${ }^{12 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}} 1,1$-disubstituted alkenes, ${ }^{12 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{f}}$ and unactivated trans-1,2-disubstituted alkenes ${ }^{12 \mathrm{~g}}$. These reactions proceed through enantioselective hydrocupration of the alkene to form a chiral alkylcopper species, which is then trapped by an electrophile such as a hydroxylamine ester. ${ }^{13}$ We postulated that the CuH -catalyzed stereoselective hydroamination of 1 -substituted
cyclobutenes and cyclopropenes could potentially furnish cyclobutyl- and cyclopropylamines bearing various types of substituents and with adjacent stereocenters (Figure 1b).

## a. Representative biologically active cyclobutylamines and cyclopropylamines



MK-2206 (allosteric Akt inhibitor)


TAS-117 (Akt inhibitor)


T-type $\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{v} 3}$ channel inhibitor
b. Our proposed strategy for synthesizing polysubstituted cyclobutyl- and cyclopropylamines


Figure 1. Proposed CuH -catalyzed hydroamination of 1 -substituted cyclobutenes and cyclopropenes. (a) Representative biologically active cyclobutylamines and cyclopropylamines. (b) Proposed catalytic strategy. (c) DFT-computed activation barriers of the hydrocupration of 1a-1c. Geometries were optimized at the B3LYP/SDD-6-31G(d) level of theory. Single point energies were calculated at the M06/SDD-6-311+G(d,p)/SMD(THF) level.

Despite the generality and broad utility of published CuH -catalyzed approaches, a common obstacle has been the unwanted CuH -catalyzed reduction of the electrophile, a side reaction that depletes the electrophilic reagent. ${ }^{11 b, 12 c, 14}$ For unactivated substrates with high barriers for reaction with CuH (hydrocupration), the reduction of the electrophile is a significant side reaction, resulting in greatly diminished yields or even no product formation. For instance, CuH -catalyzed hydrofunctionalization of unactivated trisubstituted alkenes has been extremely challenging in general. However, the hydroamination of certain activated trisubstituted alkenes such as allylic alcohol derivatives ${ }^{12,15}$ and $\beta, \beta$-disubstituted styrenes ${ }^{12 \mathrm{a}}$ have been achieved. Activation of olefins through ring strain is a strategy that has long been utilized by organic chemists to achieve new strain-enabled reactivities. ${ }^{16}$ Thus, we wondered whether the partial release of the ring strain energy of 1 -substituted cyclobutenes and cyclopropenes in the hydrocupration step would help lower their hydrocupration barriers, and thereby allow the hydroamination of these strained trisubstituted alkenes to proceed faster than competing reduction of the electrophile.

At the outset, it was unclear to us whether these strained trisubstituted alkenes ${ }^{17}$ would be reactive enough to undergo CuH -catalyzed hydroamination reactions. Thus, we performed DFT calculations on the hydrocupration barriers of strained and unstrained trisubstituted alkenes (Figure 1c). The DTBM-SEGPHOS(L1)-based CuH catalyst system has been shown to exhibit high levels of enantioselectivity as well as enhanced reactivity in hydroamination reactions ${ }^{12,18}$ and was therefore chosen in our calculations. Our results indicated that the hydrocupration barriers of 1-methylcyclobutene (1b) and 1-methylcyclopropene (1a) are 3.5 and $11.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower, respectively, than that of the acyclic trisubstituted olefin 2-methylbut-2-ene (1c). Based
on these promising results, we proceeded to experimentally investigate the CuH -catalyzed hydroamination of 1-substituted cyclobutenes and cyclopropenes.

### 1.2 Results and Discussion

### 1.2.1 Hydroamination of 1-Substituted Cyclobutenes

We initiated our study by examining the CuH -catalyzed hydroamination reaction of 1,3-diphenyl-3-methylcyclobut-1-ene (2a) using $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOBz}$ (3a). In previous CuH -catalyzed hydroamination reactions, simple styrene derivatives were generally converted selectively to the Markovnikov isomers of products ${ }^{12 a-b}$ (Figure 2a). However, when $\alpha$-methyl-styrene ${ }^{12 \mathrm{f}}$ was employed, the anti-Markovnikov isomer was instead favored by a 7:1 ratio (Figure 2b), indicating that tertiary alkyl copper species are perhaps hard to form by hydrocupration or react slowly with the electrophile. In contrast to this observation, we found that, using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ as the precatalyst and DTBM-SEGPHOS (L1) as the ligand, the reaction of phenylcyclobutene (2a) with $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOBz}$ (3a) afforded the Markovnikov product exclusively in $85 \%$ yield (Figure 2 c ). The reaction not only generated a fully substituted carbon center on the cyclobutane ring, but also formed the 1,1,3,3-tetrasubstituted aminocyclobutane product with a 13:1 cis/trans ratio. 3Substituted 1-arylcyclobutylamine subunits, though found in a number of interesting molecules, ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}, 19}$ have been difficult to prepare through the diastereoselective installation of 3substituents, ${ }^{20}$ and highly stereoselective approaches to directly access these structures are rare. ${ }^{21}$ Our CuH-catalyzed hydroamination approach on 3,3-disubstituted 1-arylcyclobutenes can provide a method to rapidly construct a diverse range of 3,3-disubstituted 1-aryl-1aminocyclobutanes in good stereoselectivities.

## a. CuH-catalyzed hydroamination of styrene favors Markovnikov product

b. CuH-catalyzed hydroamination of $\boldsymbol{a}$-methyl-styrene favors anti-Markovnikov product


- C-N bond formation at the fully substituted carbon center is disfavored
c. CuH-catalyzed hydroamination of 1-phenyl-cyclobutene favors Markovnikov product (this work)

- Selective formation of C-N bond at the fully substituted carbon center
- High stereoselectivity

Figure 2. Regioselectivity of the CuH -catalyzed hydroamination using different phenylsubstituted alkenes.

After identifying the optimal reaction conditions (shown in Figure 2c), ${ }^{22}$ we investigated the substrate scope for the hydroamination of 1-arylcyclobutenes (Table 1). In all cases, the Markovnikov products were formed exclusively. We found that 1 -arylcyclobutenes bearing para- $(\mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{4 h})$, meta- $(\mathbf{4 c})$, and ortho- $(\mathbf{4 d})$ substituents were all suitable for the hydroamination reaction. An electron-withdrawing group on the arene (4c) greatly improved the stereoselectivity of the reaction, while electron-donating substituent (4b) led to a slightly diminished yield and diastereomer ratio. A 1-pyridyl cyclobutene was also well tolerated (4e). Moreover, arylcyclobutenes without any substituents at the 3-position were also able to undergo the hydroamination reaction to selectively form the Markovnikov products ( $\mathbf{4 d}, \mathbf{4 e}$ ) in good yields, suggesting that the regioselectivity was not a result of the steric repulsion from the 3-
substituents. A 1-phenylcyclobutene with a spiro-fused cyclohexyl group at the 3-position also reacted efficiently (4f).

Table 1. Substrate Scope for the CuH-Catalyzed Hydroamination of 1-Arylcyclobutenes ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yields on 0.5 mmol scale (average of two runs). ${ }^{b}$ Ratio refers to the ratio of major and minor stereoisomers. ${ }^{c}$ Reaction was carried out with 1.2 equiv of $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOPiv}$, THF ( 0.5 M ) at 40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{d}$ Reaction was carried out with (R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS instead. ${ }^{e} 4$ equiv of $(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{MeSiH}$ was used.

We next evaluated a range of hydroxylamine esters in this reaction. It was found that a number of functional groups such as an alcohol (4h), an ester (4i), and a phenol (4i) were tolerated under the hydroamination reaction conditions. Moreover, heterocycles such as pyrimidine $(\mathbf{4 g})$ and furan ( $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{h})$ were shown to be compatible in the reaction.

Table 2. Substrate Scope for the CuH-Catalyzed Hydroamination of 1-Alkylcyclobutenes ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yields on 0.5 mmol scale (average of two runs). ${ }^{b}$ Reaction was carried out with 1.2 equiv of $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOC}(\mathrm{O})$ Mes.

We also examined the hydroamination of 1-alkylcyclobutenes, which lack the activating influence of an aryl substituent on the alkene. We chose (3-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)propyl)benzene $\mathbf{( 5 a )}$ as our model substrate, for which a series of amination reagents were evaluated. ${ }^{22}$ We found that the use of the mesitoyl hydroxylamine ester 6a gave the highest yield. Under the optimal reaction conditions, hydroamination of 5a provided the 1,2-disubstituted aminocyclobutane
product 7a in $79 \%$ yield with $>99.5: 0.5$ er and $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ (Table 2 ). That the regioselectivity is totally opposite of that observed with the aryl-substituted cyclobutene substrates is consistent with what we observed in, e.g., a comparison of the hydroamination of styrene and 1dodecene. ${ }^{12 \mathrm{a}}$

A range of functional groups and heterocycles were found to be compatible with the reaction conditions (Table 2). For example, amination reagents containing a thiophene (7b) and an acetal (7c) as well as 1-alkylcyclobutenes bearing a silyl ether (7d) and a pyridine (7e) were all suitable coupling partners in this hydroamination reaction, each providing the corresponding product in good yield with $>99.5: 0.5$ er and $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ (Table 2).

To demonstrate that our hydroamination method is also applicable to 1 -silyl substituted four-membered cycloalkenes, we carried out the hydroamination reaction of 1 -silyl-4azacyclobutene (5d) with the amination reagent (6a), which resulted in the formation of an aminoazetidine product (7f) in excellent yield, enantio- and diastereoselectivity (Table 2).

### 1.2.2 Hydroamination of 1-Substituted Cyclopropenes

We were also interested in applying the hydroamination chemistry to other strained trisubstituted olefins, and thus we turned our attention to the hydroamination of 1 -substituted cyclopropenes. While exploring different types of cyclopropenes, we had two interesting observations regarding the selectivity of these reactions. First, in contrast to the regioselectivity observed with 1-arylcyclobutenes (2) (Scheme 1b), the formation of the anti-Markovnikov hydroamination product was found to be preferred when using 1-phenylcyclopropene derivative 8 as the substrate (Scheme 1a). Second, while the hydroamination of 1-alkylcyclobutenes were able to proceed with excellent enantioselectivity (Scheme 2b), the reaction with 1 -
alkylcyclopropene $\mathbf{1 1}$ provided the hydroamination product $\mathbf{1 2}$ with only 55.5:44.5 er (Scheme 2a).

Scheme 1. Comparison of the regioselectivity in $\mathbf{C u H}$-catalyzed hydroamination of 1phenylcyclopropene and 1-arylcyclobutenes
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Scheme 2. Comparison of the enantioselectivity in the CuH-catalyzed hydroamination of 1alkylcyclopropene and 1-alkylcyclobutene
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Table 3. Substrate Scope for the CuH-Catalyzed Hydroamination of 1-Silylcyclopropenes ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yields on 0.5 mmol scale (average of two runs). ${ }^{b} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), ( $R$ )-DTBMSEGPHOS (5.5 mol\%), 1,4-dioxane ( 0.5 M ). ${ }^{c} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%),(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS $(2.2$ mol\%), THF ( 1.0 M ). ${ }^{d} \mathbf{1 4}$ was added via syringe pump over $2 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{e} \mathbf{1 4}$ was added via syringe pump over 2.5 h .

We reasoned that installation of a bulky group at the 1-position of the cyclopropene may help restore the enantioselectivity due to increased ligand-substrate repulsion in the disfavored hydrocupration transition state. Thus we investigated the hydroamination of 1 -silyl cyclopropenes. First, we examined the hydroamination reaction with 1 -silyl-3,3dimethylcyclopropene (13a) and $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOPiv}(9)$ (Table 3), finding that the reaction proceeded smoothly to give the 1,2-disubstituted aminocyclopropane product (15a) in $70 \%$ yield, with
98.5:1.5 er and $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$. As previously shown by our DFT calculations, cyclopropenes hydrocuprate much faster than cyclobutenes. As a result, we discovered that 1-silylcyclopropene (13a) was even able to react with 1,2-benzisoxazole (14), an electrophile that is highly susceptible to competing Kemp elimination in the presence of CuH and therefore couples only with the most activated olefins. ${ }^{12 e, 30}$ The protected primary amine product $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ was obtained in 63\% yield with excellent enantio- and diastereoselectivity. Moreover, 1-silylcyclopropenes bearing 3-spirocycloalkyl substituents were also capable of reacting with 1,2-benzisoxazole (14) to give the corresponding hydroamination products $(\mathbf{1 5 c}, \mathbf{1 5 d})$ in moderate or good yields and with high stereoselectivities. The latter is related to a key intermediate for the synthesis of a Ttype $\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{V} 3}$ channel inhibitor (Table 3). ${ }^{\text {e }}$

### 1.3 Computational Studies

Our experimental results not only demonstrated the generality of the CuH -catalyzed hydroamination of cyclopropenes and cyclobutenes, but also led to several interesting mechanistic questions regarding reactivity and selectivity. First, what is the origin of the enhanced reactivities of 1 -substituted cyclobutenes and cyclopropenes as compared to acyclic trisubstituted alkenes? Second, why do the hydroamination reactions with 1-phenylcyclobutene (2a) and 1-phenylcyclopropene (8) form opposite regioisomers? Lastly, why is the hydroamination with 1-alkylcyclobutene (5a) highly enantioselective, while the reaction with 1alkylcyclopropene (11) occurs with low enantioselectivity? To address these questions, we performed density-functional theory (DFT) calculations to reveal factors that control reactivity, regio-, and enantioselectivity in the CuH -catalyzed hydroamination of strained cyclic alkenes. We surmised that the angular strain, ${ }^{23}$ the ease to distort the alkenyl carbon to a pyramidalized
transition state geometry, ${ }^{24}$ and the diminished steric repulsions with the DTBM-SEGPHOS ligand may all affect the reactivity and selectivity of cyclopropenes and cyclobutenes. Therefore, we employed the distortion/interaction model $^{25}$ to analyze the effects of catalyst/substrate distortion and the interaction energies between the CuH catalyst and the alkene in the hydrocupration transition state.

### 1.3.1 Computational Details

Geometries were optimized in the gas phase using the B3LYP ${ }^{26}$ functional and a mixed basis set of SDD for Cu and 6-31G(d) for other atoms. Single point energies were calculated with the $\mathrm{M} 06^{27}$ functional and a mixed basis set of SDD for Cu and $6-311+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ for other atoms. Solvation energy corrections were considered in tetrahydrofuran (THF) solvent using the SMD ${ }^{28}$ solvation model. All geometry optimizations and single-point energy calculations were performed using Gaussian 09. ${ }^{29}$

A modified version ${ }^{18}$ of the distortion/interaction model (or activation strain model), ${ }^{25}$ namely the ligand-substrate interaction model, was employed to decompose the activation energy ( $\Delta E^{\dagger}$ ) using Eq. 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E^{\ddagger}=\Delta E_{\text {sub-dist }}+\Delta E_{\text {cat-dist }}+\Delta E_{\text {int-space }}+\Delta E_{\text {int-bond }} \tag{Eq.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta E^{\ddagger}$ is the gas-phase electronic energy of the hydrocupration transition state with respect to the separated alkene substrate and the $\mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{CuH}$ catalyst; $\Delta E_{\text {sub-dist }}$ and $\Delta E_{\text {cat-dist }}$ are the energies to distort the alkene substrate and the catalyst into the transition state geometries, respectively; $\Delta E_{\text {int-space }}$ is the through-space interaction energy between the $(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS ligand and the substrate calculated from the interaction energy of a supramolecular complex of the ligand and the substrate at the transition state geometry in the absence of the CuH moiety; $\Delta E_{\text {int-bond }}$ is
the through-bond interaction energy between the catalyst and the substrate calculated from $\Delta E_{\text {int- }}$ bond $=\Delta E^{\ddagger}-\Delta E_{\text {sub-dist }}-\Delta E_{\text {cat-dist }}-\Delta E_{\text {int-space. }}$. The overall distortion energy of the catalyst and the substrate $\left(\Delta E_{\text {dist }}\right)$ is calculated from $\Delta E_{\text {dist }}=\Delta E_{\text {sub-dist }}+\Delta E_{\text {cat-dist. }}$

### 1.3.2 Origin of the Enhanced Reactivity of Strained Trisubstituted Alkenes

We performed the ligand-substrate interaction model analysis to investigate the origin of the enhanced reactivities of 1-methylcyclopropene (1a) and 1-methylcyclobutene (1b) in the hydrocupration as compared to the acyclic trisubstituted alkene, 2-methylbut-2-ene (1c) (Figure 3). Using this approach, the computed activation energy $\left(\Delta E^{\dagger}\right)$ is dissected to distortion energies of the substrate and the catalyst ( $\Delta E_{\text {sub-dist }}$ and $\Delta E_{\text {cat-dist }}$ ), and the through-space and through-bond interaction energies between the $\mathrm{L} * \mathrm{CuH}$ catalyst and the substrate $\left(\Delta E_{\text {int-space }}\right.$ and $\left.\Delta E_{\text {int-bond }}\right)$ (Figure 3b). Among the four different energy components, the main factor that promotes the hydrocupration of 1-methylcyclopropene (1a) is the highly favorable through-bond interaction energy $\left(\Delta E_{\text {int-bond }}=-33.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$. The strong catalyst-substrate interaction in TS-1a is due to the prominent pyramidalization of both $s p^{2}$ carbons of $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ as evidenced by the out-of-plane dihedral angles of the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ groups $\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{Me}}\right.$ and $\alpha_{H}$, Figure 3a). Frontier molecular orbital (FMO) theory analysis indicates the pyramidalization of 1-methylcyclopropene decreases its LUMO energy, and thus promotes the FMO interactions between the alkene $\pi^{*}$ orbital and the HOMO of CuH ( $\sigma_{\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{H}}$, see section 1.5 for details). Interestingly, although the pyramidalization of $\mathbf{1 a}$ in TS-1a is much more significant than that of $\mathbf{1 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 c}$ in TS-1b and TS-1c, the energies to distort these substrates are comparable $\left(\Delta E_{\text {sub-dist }}=22.4,22.2\right.$, and $23.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively $)$. This observation is consistent with previous reports that indicated easier distortion of cyclopropene as compared to cyclobutene and acyclic alkenes. ${ }^{24}$ Because the $s p^{2}$ carbons of
cyclopropene have significant angular strain, ${ }^{23}$ pyramidalization of cyclopropene is promoted by strain release. The propensity of out-of-plane distortion of 1-methylcyclopropene 1a is further demonstrated in Figure 3c, where the distortion energies of three alkenes (1a, 1b, and 1c) are plotted against the out-of-plane dihedral angle of the alkenyl Me and H groups. In the transition state region $\left(\alpha=120 \sim 140^{\circ}\right)$, 1-methylcyclopropene (1a) requires much smaller distortion energy than 1-methylcyclobutene (1b) and 2-methylbut-2-ene (1c). The ligand-substrate interaction model analysis also revealed the impact of catalyst distortion energy ( $\Delta E_{\text {cat-dist }}$ ) on the reactivity. TS-1a and TS-1b both have smaller catalyst distortion energies than TS-1c. This indicates the smaller sizes of the strained cyclic alkenes as compared to 2-methylbut-2-ene (1c) also contribute to the reactivities of these substrates through decreasing steric repulsions with the L* CuH catalyst.

Taken together, the above analysis indicates the greater reactivities of 1methylcyclopropene (1a) and 1-methylcyclobutene (1b) in hydrocupration are due to the combination of two effects. First, the ease to distortion of strained cyclic alkenes leads to greater pyramidalization of the alkenyl carbons in 1-methylcyclopropene, which in turn promotes the bonding interactions with the CuH catalyst. Second, the smaller sizes of cyclopropene and cyclobutene than the acyclic analogues decrease the catalyst-substrate steric repulsions in the hydrocupration transition state.
a. Hydrocupration transition states with strained cyclic alkenes and unstrained acyclic alkenes
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Figure 3. Origin of enhanced hydrocupration reactivity of strained cyclic alkenes $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$. All energies are in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.
1.3.3 Origin of the Regioselectivity Reversal in the Hydroamination Reactions with 1Phenylcyclobutene and 1-Phenylcyclopropene Derivatives
a. Regioselectivity in the hydrocupration of 1-phenylcyclobutene and 1-phenylcyclopropene derivatives
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b. Optimized geometries, distortion energies ( $\Delta E_{\text {dist }}$ ), and through-bond interaction energies ( $\Delta E_{\text {int-bond }}$ ) of the hydrocupration transition states
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Figure 4. Origin of the reversed regioselectivities of the hydroamination of 1-phenylcyclobutene derivative (2a) and 1-phenylcyclopropene derivative (5). All energies are in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Next, we computed the regioisomeric hydrocupration transition states with 1phenylcyclobutene and 1-phenylcyclopropene derivatives 2 a and 8 (Figure 4). These substrates were chosen in the computational study because their hydroamination reactions lead to opposite regioisomers (Table 1 and Scheme 1). Our DFT calculations indicated that the hydrocupration of 2a favors the formation of the tertiary benzylic copper intermediate 16 a by $1.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. By contrast, in the reaction with 8 , hydrocupration to form the secondary alkylcopper intermediate

17 b is favored by $0.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. These computed regioselectivities of hydrocupration are consistent with the experimentally observed hydroamination regioselectivities with these substrates. Although we have not yet computationally confirmed the regioselectivity-determining step in the catalytic cycle, the exergonicity of the hydrocupration of strained cyclic alkenes 2 a and $8(-13.9$ and $-29.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, see section 1.5 for details) suggests that the hydrocupration is most likely irreversible and thus regioselectivity-determining.

The origin of the regioselectivity reversal was analyzed using the ligand-substrate interaction model, as shown in Figure 4 b. In the hydrocupration of 1-phenylcyclopropene derivative 8, regioisomer TS8-b is more favorable because of the strongly stabilizing throughbond interactions between the $\mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{CuH}$ catalyst and the substrate $\left(\Delta E_{\text {int-bond }}=-34.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$. At first glance, these results are counterintuitive because TS8-b forms a secondary alkyl-copper bond which is expected to be less electronically favorable than the formation of the benzylic copper bond via TS8-a. Closer examination of the four-membered cyclic hydrocupration transition states (TS8-a and TS8-b) revealed an unusual rhombus-shaped geometry, in which the diagonal $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C}$ bond is shorter than the forming $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C}$ bond. Therefore, TS8-b is stabilized by the favorable bonding interaction between the Cu center and the benzylic carbon due to the short $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C} \alpha$ distance $(2.08 \AA)$. By contrast, TS8-a has a much longer distance between Cu and the benzylic carbon $(2.20 \AA)$ that leads to a less favorable through-bond interaction energy. In the hydrocupration with 1-phenylcyclobutene derivative 2a, the through-bond interactions in both regioisomeric transition states are weaker than those in the transition states with 1phenylcyclopropene derivative 5 because of smaller degrees of pyramidalization of 2a (vide supra). Nonetheless, TS2a-b still has more favorable through-bond interactions than TS2a-a $\left(\Delta \Delta E_{\text {int-bond }}=-3.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ because of the shorter $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{C} \alpha$ distance $(2.18$ and $2.24 \AA$ in TS2a-b
and TS2a-a, respectively). However, TS2a-b requires a much higher energy ( $\Delta E_{\text {dist }}=34.8$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) to distort the cyclobutene derivative 2a to facilitate the through-bond interactions with CuH . Therefore, the regioselectivity in the reaction with $\mathbf{2 a}$ is distortion-energy controlled $\left(\Delta \Delta E_{\text {dist }}=5.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ and favors the formation of the benzylic copper intermediate (16a) via TS2a-a.

### 1.3.4 Enantioselectivity of the Hydroamination Reactions with 1-Alkylcyclobutene and 1-

 AlkylcyclopropeneFinally, we investigated the origin of the notably different enantioselectivities in the hydroamination of 1-alkylcyclobutene and 1-alkylcyclopropene (Table 2 and Scheme 2). We computed the hydrocupration transition states with the two different $\pi$ faces of 1 methylcyclobutene 1b and 1-methylcyclopropene 1a (Figure 5). In the reaction with 1b, DFT calculations predicted strong preference for TS-1b that leads to the experimentally observed $(1 R, 2 R)$-aminocyclobutane. The computed enantioselectivity $\left(\Delta \Delta G^{\ddagger}=2.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ is comparable to the difference between the distortion energies of the hydrocupration transition states $\left(\Delta \Delta E_{\text {dist }}=2.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$, indicating the enantioselectivity is controlled by steric effects that lead to distortions of the catalyst and the substrate. Indeed, the less favorable transition state TS$\mathbf{1 b}^{\prime}$ is destabilized due to steric repulsions between the cyclobutene moiety and the $P$-aryl group in the more-occupied quadrant (quadrant II). The ligand-substrate steric repulsions in TS-1b' are evidenced by the short C...H distance of $2.52 \AA$ between the $P$-aryl group and the methylene group on cyclobutene. The $\mathrm{C} \ldots \mathrm{H}$ distance between the $P$-aryl group and the 1-methyl substituent is much longer ( $2.79 \AA$ in TS-1b'), indicating that the steric repulsions with the cyclobutene moiety, rather than the 1 -substituent, dictate the enantioselectivity.


Figure 5. Origin of enantioselectivities in the hydroamination of 1-alkylcyclobutene and 1alkylcyclopropene. All energies are in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

In the hydrocupration of 1-methylcyclopropene (1a), the two enantiomeric transition states TS-1a and TS-1a' have comparable activation energies. This is consistent with the low e.r. observed in the hydroamination of 1-alkylcyclopropene 11. Their similar distortion energies (30.1 and $30.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for TS-1a and TS-1a', respectively) indicate that the ineffective stereoinduction is due to comparable ligand-substrate steric repulsions in both enantiomeric transition states. The transition state quadrant diagrams in Figure 5b show that due to the smaller size of the cyclopropene moiety compared to the cyclobutene, steric repulsions with the $P$-aryl
group in quadrant II of TS-1a' are diminished. This is evidenced by the much longer C...H distance $(2.82 \AA)$ between the $P$-aryl group and the methylene on the cyclopropene in TS-1a'.

### 1.4 Conclusion

In summary, we have developed the diastereo- and enantioselective CuH -catalyzed hydroamination reactions of 1-substituted cyclobutenes and cyclopropenes. DFT studies showed that strained trisubstituted olefins exhibit enhanced rates of hydrocupration compared to unstrained trisubstituted analogues, which allows for the effective hydroamination reactions of these substrates. For 1-arylcyclobutenes, Markovnikov products were selectively formed in the hydroamination reactions and a tetrasubstituted carbon center was generated in the cyclobutane product. By contrast, the opposite regioselectivity was observed for the hydroamination of 1phenylcyclopropene derivatives. DFT studies revealed the Markovnikov-selectivity with 1arylcyclobutenes is due to a smaller distortion energy in the hydrocupration transition state to form the benzylic copper intermediate, while the anti-Markovnikov-selectivity with 1 arylcyclopropenes is controlled by catalyst-substrate through-bond interactions. Moreover, the hydroamination reactions of 1-alkylcyclobutenes as well as 1 -silyl substituted three- and fourmembered cycloalkenes were shown to produce a variety of aminocyclobutanes and aminocyclopropanes bearing contiguous stereocenters in excellent enantio- and diastereoselectivity. We also showed that the small size of the cyclopropene moiety in 1alkylcyclopropenes leads to insufficient ligand-substrate steric interactions for the chiral induction in hydrocupration. Accordingly, the hydroamination of 1-alkylcyclobutenes proceeds with considerably higher levels of enantioselectivity compared to 1 -alkylcyclopropenes. We anticipate that our studies on the scope, regio-, and enantioselectivity of CuH -catalyzed
hydroamination using various types of strained trisubstituted alkenes can facilitate further developments in asymmetric hydrofuctionalization of strained alkenes.

### 1.5 Experimental

### 1.5.1 General Information

Computational Details: Additional information pertaining to the computational work in this Chapter (performed by Hua Hao and Peng Liu), including methods, supplementary figures, further discussion, and Cartesian coordinates of key structures can be found in the published report (Feng, S.; Hao, H.; Liu, P.; Buchwald, S. L. ACS Catal. 2020, 10, 281-291) and are not reproduced here.

General Analytical Information: All new compounds were characterized by NMR spectroscopy, IR spectroscopy, elemental analysis or high resolution mass spectrometry, optical rotation (if chiral and non-racemic) and melting point analysis (if solids). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ on a Bruker 400 or 500 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR are reported as follows: chemical shift in reference to residual $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at 7.26 ppm ( $\delta$ $\mathrm{ppm})$, multiplicity $(\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}=$ broad singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{sex}=$ sextet, sep $=$ septet, $d d=$ double of doublets, $\mathrm{td}=$ triplet of doublets, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet), coupling constant (Hz), and integration. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are reported in terms of chemical shift in reference to the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solvent signal ( 77.16 ppm ). Chemical shifts for ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR are reported in ppm relative to $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}(0.00 \mathrm{ppm})$. IR spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS5 spectrometer (iD5 ATR, diamond) and are reported in terms of frequency of absorption $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Melting points were measured on a Mel-Temp capillary melting point apparatus. Optical rotations were measured using a Jasco P-1010 digital polarimeter. Elemental
analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlabs Inc., Norcross, GA. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a JEOL AccuTOF LC-Plus 46 DART system. Enantiomeric excesses (ee's) were determined by chiral SFC analysis using a Waters Acquity UPC2 instrument; specific columns and analytical methods are provided in the experimental details for individual compounds; the wavelengths of light used for chiral analyses are provided with the associated chromatograms. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel $60 \AA \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates (SiliaPlate from Silicycle) and visualized with UV light, iodine or potassium permanganate stain. Preparatory thin-layer chromatography (Prep-TLC) was performed on silica gel GF with UV 254 ( $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 1000$ microns, catalog \# TLG-R10011B-341 from Silicycle) and visualized with UV light. Isolated yields reported reflect the average values from two independent runs.

General Reagent Information: All reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere using the indicated method in the general procedures. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was purchased from J.T. Baker in CYCLE-TAINER ${ }^{\circledR}$ solvent delivery kegs and purified by passage under argon pressure through two packed columns of neutral alumina and copper(II) oxide. Anhydrous 1,4dioxane was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company in a Sure-Seal ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ bottle and used as received. Copper(II) acetate was purchased from Strem and was used as received. 1,2$\operatorname{Bis}((2 S, 5 S) 2,5-d i p h e n y l p h o s p h o l a n o)$ ethane, $\quad 1,2-\operatorname{Bis}((2 R, 5 R) 2,5-d i p h e n y l p h o s p h o l a n o)$ ethane (Ph-BPE) ligands were purchased from Namena Corp. and stored in a nitrogen-filled glove box. DTBM-SEGPHOS was purchased from Takasago International Co. and used as received. Diethoxymethylsilane was purchased from TCI America. Dimethoxy(methyl)silane (DMMS) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co. (TCI). Both silanes were stored in a nitrogenfilled glove box at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for long-term storage. (Caution: Dimethoxy(methyl)silane (DMMS, CAS\#16881-77-9) is listed by several vendors (TCI, Alfa Aesar) SDS or MSDS as a H318, a
category 1 Causes Serious Eye Damage. Other vendors (Sigma-Aldrich, Gelest) list DMMS as a H319, a category II Eye Irritant. DMMS should be handled in a well-ventilated fumehood using proper precaution as outlined for the handling of hazardous materials in prudent practices in the laboratory ${ }^{31}$. At the end of the reaction either ammonium fluoride in methanol, aqueous sodium hydroxide (1 M) or aqueous hydrochloric acid (1 M) should be carefully added to the reaction mixture. This should be allowed to stir for at least 30 min or the time indicated in the detailed reaction procedure). 1,2-Benzisoxazole was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co. (TCI) and stored in a refrigerator at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All other solvents and commercial reagents were used as received from Sigma Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, Acros Organics, TCI and Combi-Blocks, unless otherwise noted. Flash column chromatography was performed using 40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ silica gel (SiliaFlash ${ }^{\circledR}$ F60 from Silicycle), or with the aid of a Biotage Isolera Automated Flash Chromatography System using prepacked SNAP silica cartridges (10-100 g). Organic solutions were concentrated in vacuo using a Buchi rotary evaporator.

### 1.5.2 Optimization and General Procedures for Hydroamination Reactions

Table S1. Effect of Solvent and Temperature on Hydroamination of 1-Arylcyclobutenes ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$

${ }^{a}$ Reactions were conducted on 0.1 mmol scale. Yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as the internal standard.

Table S2. Evaluation of Different Amination Reagents and Concentrations in the Hydroamination of 1-Arylcyclobutenes ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reactions were conducted on 0.1 mmol scale. Yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as the internal standard.

Table S3. Evaluation of Different Amination Reagents in the Hydroamination of 1Alkylcyclobutenes ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reactions were conducted on 0.1 mmol scale. Yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as the internal standard.

## General Procedures for CuH-Catalyzed Hydroamination Reactions ${ }^{32}$

## General Procedure A

An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935 C ) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$, ( $R$ )-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( $21.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $(S)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS $(21.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018$ mmol ). The reaction tube was loosely capped (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Anhydrous THF ( 0.65 mL ) was added to the tube via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at rt . Then dimethoxymethylsilane (DMMS) ( $0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe and the stirring was continued for another 10 min at rt to prepare an orange CuH stock solution.

A separate oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 16*125 mm, part no. 1495935A) containing a magnetic stir bar was loosely capped (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-15425; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-15), and then transferred into the glovebox. The alkene ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and the amine electrophile ( $0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were added to the reaction tube. Then the CuH stock solution $(0.68 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via a 1 mL syringe to the reaction tube in one portion. The reaction tube was capped and then removed from the glove box. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h .

## General Procedure B

An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935C) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( $38.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction tube was loosely capped (cap:

Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Anhydrous THF ( 1.20 mL ) was added to the tube via syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at rt . Then DMMS $(0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe and the stirring was continued for another 10 min at rt to prepare an orange CuH stock solution.

A separate oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 16*125 mm, part no. 1495935A) containing a magnetic stir bar was loosely capped (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-15425; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-15), and then transferred into the glovebox. The alkene ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and the amine electrophile ( 0.6 mmol or 0.75 mmol , as indicated for each substrate) were added to the reaction tube. Then the CuH stock solution ( 1.18 mL ) was added via syringe to the reaction tube in one portion. The reaction tube was capped and then removed from the glove box. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 or 46 h as indicated for each substrate.

## General Procedure C

An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935C) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( $38.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction tube was loosely capped (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Anhydrous 1,4-dioxane $(0.60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the tube with a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at rt . Then DMMS $(0.22$ $\mathrm{mL}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe and the stirring was continued for another 15 min at rt to prepare a dark red CuH stock solution.

A separate oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 16*125 mm, part no. 1495935A) containing a magnetic stir bar was loosely capped (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-15425; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-15), and then transferred into the glovebox. The alkene ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), the amine electrophile ( $0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), and anhydrous 1,4 -dioxane $(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the reaction tube. Then the CuH stock solution $(0.68 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via a 1 mL syringe to the reaction tube in one portion. The reaction tube was capped and then taken out of the glove box. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 18 h .

## General Procedure D

An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935C) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( $38.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction tube was loosely capped (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Anhydrous 1,4-dioxane $(0.60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the tube with a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at rt . Then DMMS $(0.22$ $\mathrm{mL}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe and the stirring was continued for another 15 min at rt to prepare a dark red CuH stock solution.

A second oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935C) was loosely capped (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and then transferred into the glovebox. 1,2-Benzisoxazole ( $92 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and anhydrous 1,4-dioxane $(0.35 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the tube to prepare the 1,2-benzisoxazole stock solution. The tube was capped and then gently swirled to mix the solution.

A third oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 16*125 mm, part no. 1495935A) containing a magnetic stir bar was loosely capped (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-15425; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-15), and then transferred into the glovebox. The alkene ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and anhydrous 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.50 mL ) were added to the reaction tube. Then the CuH stock solution $(0.68 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via a 1 mL syringe to the reaction tube in one portion. (Note: The CuH solution should be added directly into the alkene solution instead of along the wall of the reaction tube, otherwise the remaining CuH solution on the wall of the reaction tube may cause decomposition of the 1,2-benzisoxazole that was subsequently added slowly along the wall of the reaction tube.) The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 30 s . Then while the reaction mixture was stirred at rt , 1,2-benzisoxazole ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added over 1 min via microsyringe. The reaction tube was capped and the septum was punctured with a long needle attached to a 1 mL syringe containing the 1,2 -benzisoxazole stock solution $(0.32 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction tube was then taken out of the glove box. While the reaction mixture was stirred at rt , the 1,2-benzisoxazole solution was added slowly via syringe pump at a rate of 0.13 or $0.16 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}$ (as indicated for each substrate). (Note: The tip of the needle should touch the wall of the reaction tube during the slow addition of 1,2-benzisoxazole.) The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 18 h .

## Workup A

After the reaction was completed, the cap of the reaction tube was removed. While the reaction mixture was stirred at rt , sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred uncapped at rt for 30 min and transferred to a 100 mL round bottom flask with the aid of EtOAc. A small aliquot of
the solution was transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial, concentrated in vacuo, analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to determine the diastereomeric ratio (dr), and then the NMR sample was transferred backed to the 100 mL round bottom flask. The combined solution was concentrated in vacuo. The resulting mixture was dissolved in EtOAc, filtered through a short plug of Celite, and washed with additional EtOAc. The collected EtOAc solution was concentrated in vacuo, and the crude material was immediately purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~g}$ silica gel, diameter of the column $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, length of the packed column $\sim 18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

## Workup B

After the reaction was completed, the cap of the reaction tube was removed. While the reaction mixture was stirred at rt , sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred uncapped at rt for 30 min , and then transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial. The reaction tube was rinsed four times with additional EtOAc ( $5-10 \mathrm{~mL}$ in total). The combined EtOAc solution was concentrated in vacuo, and the crude material was immediately purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\sim$ 30 g silica gel, diameter of the column $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, length of the packed column $\sim 18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

## Workup C

After the reaction was completed, the cap of the reaction tube was removed. While the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at rt , sat. LiOH in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred uncapped at rt for 1 h , transferred to a 100 mL round bottom flask with the aid of EtOAc, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting mixture was dissolved in EtOAc, sonicated for 5 min,
filtered through a pad of Celite, and washed with additional EtOAc. The collected EtOAc solution was concentrated in vacuo, and the crude material was immediately purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~g}$ silica gel, diameter of the column $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, length of the packed column $\sim 18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

## Workup D

After the reaction was completed, the cap of the reaction tube was removed, and the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. While the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred uncapped at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , and then at rt for 30 min. The mixture was transferred with the aid of EtOAc to a 125 mL separatory funnel containing brine ( 30 mL ) and EtOAc $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \mathrm{x} 10-15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting residue was transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial with the aid of EtOAc, and then concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was immediately purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~g}$ silica gel, diameter of the column was $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, length of the packed column was $\sim 18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

### 1.5.3 Structural Determination of the Hydroamination Products

Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction Data for Compound 7b (P19056): A crystal of 7b was obtained by slowly evaporating the EtOH solution of $\mathbf{7 b}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (in air). The absolute configuration of 7b was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis. The absolute configuration of 10a, 7a-e, 12, and 15a-d was assigned by analogy to 7b.

CCDC 1945177 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for $\mathbf{7 b}$. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


Table S4. Crystal data and structure refinement for 7b (P19056)

Identification code
Empirical formula

Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system

P19056
C25 H29 N S
375.55

99(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Monoclinic

| Space group | P 21 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=13.0414(11) \AA \quad \mathrm{a}=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=5.7208(4) \AA \quad \mathrm{A}=93.997(4)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=14.1145(12) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1050.48(15) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 2 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.187 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.163 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 404 |
| Crystal size | $0.570 \times 0.165 \times 0.160 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 1.446 to $30.541^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-18<=\mathrm{h}<=18,-8<=\mathrm{k}<=8,-20<=1<=20$ |
| Reflections collected | 89778 |
| Independent reflections | $6421[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0653]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.242^{\circ}$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 6421 / 366 / 309 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.125 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2$ sigma(I) $]$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0440, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1092$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0454, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1098$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.04(2) |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.192(12) |

Largest diff. peak and hole 0.365 and -0.438 e.$\AA^{-3}$

1D-NOESY Analysis of 4b (a 5:1 mixture of major and minor diastereomers): The configuration of the major and minor diastereomers in $\mathbf{4 b}$ was determined by 1D-NOESY analysis of $\mathbf{4 b}$ (a 5:1 mixture of major and minor diastereomers). The configuration of the major diastereomers in $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 c}, \mathbf{4 g}$ - $\mathbf{i}$ was assigned by analogy to $\mathbf{4 b}$.



### 1.5.4 Characterization Data for the Hydroamination Products

## ( $1 r, 3 r$ )- $N, N$-dibenzyl-3-methyl-1,3-diphenylcyclobutan-1-amine (4a)



Following general procedure $\mathbf{A}$, (3-methylcyclobut-1-ene-1,3-diyl)dibenzene (110 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOBz}(190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were used. After Workup A and purification by column chromatography [hexanes (80 $\mathrm{mL})$ followed by hexanes/EtOAc $=100: 1]$, the title compound was obtained as a white solid $\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.$ run: $181 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ yield, $13: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $174 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ yield, $\left.13: 1 \mathrm{dr}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated $13: 1 \mathrm{dr} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ (major diastereomer, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.29-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.19-6.94 (m, 18H), $3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (major diastereomer, $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 152.22, 141.73,
$141.14,128.93,128.01,127.79,127.48,127.36,126.37,126.28,125.12,125.02,62.72,55.02$, 44.97, 36.07, 33.25. m.p. 128.0-129.7 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (thin film): 3063, 3024, 2842, 1600, 1491, 1454, 1272, 1029, 908, $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 89.16 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.48$. Found: C, 88.96; H, 7.45.

## (1r,3r)-N,N-dibenzyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-methyl-3-phenylcyclobutan-1-amine (4b)



Following general procedure A, 1-methoxy-4-(3-methyl-3-phenylcyclobut-1-en-1yl)benzene ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOBz}(190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were used. After the reaction was completed, the reaction mixture was transferred to a 100 mL round bottom flask, and the reaction tube was rinsed with additional EtOAc. Then HCl in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.25 \mathrm{M}, 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the flask to quench the reaction mixture and acidify the mixture. The flask was swirled gently to mix the components, allowed to sit for 30 min , and then the resulting mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Hexanes ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. The precipitate was broken into small pieces using a spatula, and the resulting suspension was sonicated for 5-10 min. The suspension was filtered through a Buchner funnel (porosity: fine) under reduced pressure. The 100 mL flask was rinsed with hexane ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the suspension was poured into the funnel. The solid in the funnel was washed with additional hexanes ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Then the solid in the above 100 mL round bottom flask and Buchner funnel was dissolved with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}\left(\sim 50 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ in total) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ in total). The resulting mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solution was collected in a 500 mL round bottom flask. A small aliquot of the solution was transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial, concentrated in vacuo, analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to determine the diastereomeric ratio (dr), and then the NMR sample was
transferred backed to the 500 mL round bottom flask. The combined solution was concentrated in vacuo, and immediately purified by column chromatography ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~g}$ silica gel) with a gradient of hexanes $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[30: 1(90 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 20: 1(160 \mathrm{~mL})]$. The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $140 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ yield, $5: 1 \mathrm{dr}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $150 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ yield, 5:1 dr). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated 5:1 dr. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (major diastereomer, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (major diastereomer, $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.91,152.16,141.23,134.16,128.92,128.62$, $127.98,127.78,126.34,125.13,124.99,112.66,62.21,55.25,55.02,45.26,35.87,33.27$. m.p. $134.5-136.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (thin film): $3059,3025,2931,2834,1605,1511,1247,1179,1028,698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 448.2635$; found 448.2655.

## ( $1 r, 3 r$ )- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzyl-1-(3-chlorophenyl)-3-methyl-3-phenylcyclobutan-1-amine (4c)



Following general procedure A, 1-chloro-3-(3-methyl-3-phenylcyclobut-1-en-1yl)benzene ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOBz}(190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were used. After Workup $\mathbf{A}$ and purification by column chromatography [hexanes $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by hexanes $/ \operatorname{EtOAc}=100: 1$ ], the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $180 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield, $29: 1 \mathrm{dr}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $178 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield, $29: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated 29:1 dr. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (major diastereomer, 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.34-7.09 (m, 19H), 3.50 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.88-2.85 (m, 2H), 2.78-2.74 (m, 2H), $1.80(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (major diastereomer, $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 151.75,143.88,140.75,133.60$, $128.92,128.70,128.09,127.90,127.63,126.54,126.52,125.64,125.19,125.10,62.61,54.91$, $44.95,36.12$, 33.27 . m.p. $142.6-144.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{IR}$ (thin film): $3061,3025,2933,2838,1592,1494$,

1262, 1172, 1027, $695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NCl}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 452.2140; found 452.2143.

## $N, N$-dibenzyl-1-(2-fluorophenyl)cyclobutan-1-amine (4d)

Following general procedure B, 1-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)-2-fluorobenzene ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$

mmol, 1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOPiv}$ ( $178 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h . After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexanes $(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[100: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 80: 1(240 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ 60:1 (60 mL) ], the title compound was obtained as a colorless oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $124 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ yield; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $123 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.13-7.08 (m, 2H), $3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 161.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=246.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 141.51,130.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.04(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.61,128.53,127.69,126.22,123.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 116.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $67.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 54.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 33.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 16.30 .{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$-109.85. IR (thin film): $3062,3027,2943,2839,1483,1446,1212,1141,1028,695$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NF}: \mathrm{C}, ~ 83.44 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.00$. Found: C, 83.31; H, 7.14.

## $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzyl-1-(6-methoxypyridin-3-yl)cyclobutan-1-amine (4e)



Following general procedure B, 5-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)-2-methoxypyridine ( 81 mg , $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOPiv}(178 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h . After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexanes $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[50: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 40: 1$ $(40 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ 30:1 $(90 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 20: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 15: 1(90 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 10: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL})]$, the title
compound was obtained as a colorless oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $134 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ yield; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $136 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{qd}, J=9.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{tt}, J=8.4,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 163.13, 146.23, 141.02, 138.71, $129.58,128.82,128.03,126.67,110.07,66.31,53.91,53.56,33.25,14.80$. IR (thin film): 3024 , 2943, 2840, 1599, 1488, 1368, 1285, 1132, 1023, $696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 80.41$; H, 7.31. Found: C, 80.71; H, 7.08.

## $N, N$-dibenzyl-2-phenylspiro[3.5]nonan-2-amine (4f)



Following general procedure $\mathbf{A}$, instead of using ( $R$ )-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( 21.1 mg ) and $(S)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS $(21.1 \mathrm{mg})$ to prepare the CuH stock solution, $(R)$ -DTBM-SEGPHOS ( 42.2 mg ) was used. 2-Phenylspiro[3.5]non-1-ene ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOBz}$ ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were also used. After Workup $\mathbf{A}$ and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexanes $(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=$ [100:1 $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 80: 1(240 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 60: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL})]$, the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $177 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ yield; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $179 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.49-7.45 (m, 2H), 7.42-7.40(m, 2H), $7.35(\mathrm{tt}, J=6.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.13$ (m, 4H), 7.11-7.07 (m, 2H), $3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.72-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{p}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.49,141.36,128.96,127.92,127.78,127.59,126.33,62.86,54.65$, $44.26,40.60,38.66,31.81,26.10,22.95$, 22.85 . m.p. $81.8-82.5^{\circ}$ C. IR (thin film): 3060,3025 ,

2920, 2847, 1493, 1444, 1296, 1171, 1028, $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. m/z for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 396.2686; found 396.2690.

## 2-(4-((1r,3r)-3-methyl-1,3-diphenylcyclobutyl)piperazin-1-yl)pyrimidine (4g)



Following general procedure A, (3-methylcyclobut-1-ene-1,3-diyl)dibenzene $(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 4-(pyrimidin-2-yl)piperazin-1-yl benzoate ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were used. After the reaction was completed, the cap of the reaction tube was removed. While the reaction mixture was stirred at rt , sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred uncapped at rt for 30 min and transferred to a 100 mL round bottom flask with the aid of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. A small aliquot of the solution was transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial, concentrated in vacuo, analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to determine the diastereomeric ratio (dr), and then the NMR sample was transferred backed to the 100 mL round bottom flask. The combined solution was concentrated in vacuo. The resulting mixture was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, filtered through a cotton ball that was stuck in a pipette, and washed with additional $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution was collected in a 20 mL scintillation vial, concentrated in vacuo, and the crude material and immediately purified by column chromatography ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~g}$ silica gel, diameter of the column $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, length of the packed column $\sim 18 \mathrm{~cm})$ with a gradient of hexanes/EtOAc $=[20: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 15: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 12: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ $\rightarrow 10: 1(200 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 8: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 5: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 4: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ (the above volumes refer to the volume of hexanes used)]. The resulting material was redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered through a short plug of basic activated alumina, and washed with additional EtOAc. The collected EtOAc solution was concentrated in vacuo to afford the pure product as a white solid
(1 $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $128 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield, $13: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $128 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield, $\left.13: 1 \mathrm{dr}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated $13: 1 \mathrm{dr}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ (major diastereomer, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (major diastereomer, $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 151.75, 143.88, 140.75, $133.60,128.92,128.70,128.09,127.90,127.63,126.54,126.52,125.64,125.19,125.10,62.61$, 54.91, $44.95,36.12,33.27$. m.p. $197.0-198.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (thin film): $3021,2932,2853,1584,1493$, 1357, 1261, 1181, 1012, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{4}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 385.2387$; found 385.2396.
(5-((benzyl((1r,3r)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-methyl-3-phenylcyclobutyl)amino)methyl)furan-2yl)methanol (4h)


General procedure A was followed, except DMMS ( $0.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) (Note: An extra equivalence of DMMS was used in order to silylate the alcohol in the amination reagent.) was used to prepare the CuH stock solution. The stock CuH solution $(0.74 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction tube containing 1-fluoro-4-(3-methyl-3-phenylcyclobut-1-en-1-yl)benzene (119 mg, $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and (5-(((benzoyloxy)(benzyl)amino)methyl)furan-2-yl)methanol (202 mg, $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv). After the reaction was completed, the cap of the reaction tube was removed. While the reaction mixture was stirred at rt , sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred uncapped at rt for 1 h and transferred to a 100 mL round bottom flask with the aid of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. A small aliquot of the solution was transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial, concentrated in vacuo, analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ to determine the diastereomeric ratio (dr), and then transferred backed to the 100 mL round bottom flask. The combined solution was concentrated in vacuo. The resulting mixture was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, filtered through a cotton ball that was stuck in a pipette, and washed with additional $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution was collected in a 20 mL scintillation vial, concentrated in vacuo, and the crude material was immediately purified by column chromatography ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~g}$ silica gel, diameter of the column $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, length of the packed column $\sim 18 \mathrm{~cm})$ with a gradient of hexanes/EtOAc $=[20: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 15: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 12: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ $\rightarrow 10: 1(200 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 8: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 5: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 4: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ (the above volumes refer to the volume of hexanes used)]. The resulting material was redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered through a short plug of basic activated alumina, and washed with additional EtOAc. The collected EtOAc solution was concentrated in vacuo to afford the pure product as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $173 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield, $11: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $182 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield, $11: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated 11:1 dr. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ (major diastereomer, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.33-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.28-7.10(m, 10H), 7.06-7.00(m, 2H), $5.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (major diastereomer, $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 161.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=245.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 153.72,152.58,151.96,140.79,137.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.68$, $128.60,128.27,128.11,127.77,126.28,125.17,125.07,114.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 108.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 19.7 Hz ), 61.82, 57.55, 54.73, 46.93, 44.80, 35.81, 32.99. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR (major diastereomer, 376 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-116.55$. m.p. $124.3-125.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (thin film): $3359,3025,2932,2866,1601$, 1508, 1224, 1157, 1010, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{FNO}_{2}$ : C, 79.09; H, 6.64. Found: C, 79.01; H, 6.62.
methyl 5-((benzyl((1r,3r)-3-methyl-1,3-diphenylcyclobutyl)amino)methyl)-2hydroxybenzoate (4i)
( mL ) was added to the reaction tube containing (3-methylcyclobut-1-ene-1,3-diyl)dibenzene (110 $\mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.0$ equiv) and methyl 5-(((benzoyloxy)(benzyl)amino)methyl)-2hydroxybenzoate ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv). After Workup $\mathbf{A}\left(5 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ in MeOH was used to quench the reaction mixture) and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexanes $(200 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[50: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 30: 1(180 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 20: 1(100$ mL )], the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{run}: 190 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ yield, $13: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $190 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ yield, $13: 1 \mathrm{dr}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated 13:1 dr. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (major diastereomer, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.40-7.34 (m, 2H), 7.28-7.06(m, 14H), $6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (major diastereomer, 101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.64,160.21,152.26,141.79,141.05,136.61,131.76,130.15,128.94,128.18$, $127.85,127.53,127.40,126.47,126.38,125.22,117.04,111.32,62.77,55.25,54.47,52.26$, 45.13, 36.12, 33.35. m.p. 111.7-112.4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (thin film): 3023, 2951, 2836, 1674, 1441, 1207, 1087, 908, 731, $696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C, 80.62; H, 6.77. Found: C, 80.47; H, 6.84.
(1S,3R)-N,N-dibenzyl-2,2-dimethyl-3-phenylcyclopropan-1-amine (10a) + N,N-dibenzyl-2,2-dimethyl-1-phenylcyclopropan-1-amine (10b)
10a


10b

Following general procedure $\mathbf{C}$, 1,4-dioxane was replaced with an equal volume of THF, and (3,3-dimethylcycloprop-1-en-1-yl)benzene ( 72 mg , 0.50 mmol , 1.0 equiv, freshly prepared) and $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOPiv}$ ( $178 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were used. After Workup A and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexanes $(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[120: 1(180 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 100: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 80: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ), a 8:1 mixture of the title compound (a mixture of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 b}$, 8:1 ratio) was obtained as a colorless oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $101 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ yield, $69: 31$ er for $\mathbf{1 0 a} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $98 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ yield, $69: 31$ er for 10a). EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 87.93$; H, 7.97. Found: C, 88.34; $\mathrm{H}, 7.96 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated an $8: 1$ ratio of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 b}$. To separately obtain characterization data and confirm the structure of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 b}$, a small aliquot of the title compound was purified with preparative thin-layer chromatography ( $20 \times 20$ $\mathrm{cm}, 250$ microns, catalog \# TLG-R10014B-323 from Silicycle) eluting with hexane/EtOAc $=$ 80:1 to give pure 10a and $\mathbf{1 0 b}$.

Major regioisomer 10a: White solid. m.p. 48.0-49.4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35-7.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 139.52,138.72,129.68,128.66,128.14,127.93,126.97$, $125.58,58.41,53.80,36.76,27.80,21.41,20.58$. DEPT-135 NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 129.66$, 128.64, 128.12, 127.92, 126.96, 125.57, $58.41\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 53.80,36.76,21.40,20.57$. SFC analysis: OJ-H (5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 30:70 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 3.96 min (minor), 4.84 min (major), 69:31 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:+13.8(\mathrm{c}=$
1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). IR (thin film): $3061,3026,2919,1602,1494,1454,1373,1029,745,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathbf{E A}$ Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 87.93 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.97$. Found: C, 87.64; H, 8.04.

Minor regioisomer 10b: White solid. m.p. $88.4-90.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.47-$ $7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.53-0.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 136.31,132.14,129.22,128.00,127.63,126.87,126.63,70.74$, 56.33, 27.84, 25.25, 22.80, 21.43. DEPT-135 NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 132.15,129.22$, 128.04, 127.63, 126.87, 126.57, $70.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.25,21.43 . \operatorname{IR}$ (thin film): 3026 , 2925, 2865, 1494, 1454, 1377, 1122, 1027, $740,697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 342.2216$; found 342.2228 .

## (1R,2R)-N,N-dibenzyl-2-(3-phenylpropyl)cyclobutan-1-amine (7a)

 were used. The reaction was run at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 46 h . After Workup $\mathbf{C}$ and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexanes $(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[100: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 80: 1$ (until the majority of the product is eluted) $\rightarrow 40: 1(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ ] (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ), the title compound was obtained as a colorless oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $145 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield, $>$ 99.5:0.5 er, $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $147 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield, $\left.>99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-$ $1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 142.91, 140.13, 128.91, $128.49,128.35,128.18,126.76,125.70,63.46,54.88,41.10,36.10,35.66,29.22,23.04,21.16$.

SFC analysis: OJ-H (5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 40:60 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 2 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 5.27 min (major), 7.14 min (minor), $>99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er}$. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:-37.1\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR (thin film): $3060,3025,2929,2854,1602,1493$, 1452, 1143, 1028, $744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 87.75 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.46$. Found: C, 87.49; H, 8.48 .

## (1R,2R)-N-benzyl-2-(3-phenylpropyl)- $N$-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)cyclobutan-1-amine (7b)

Following general procedure B, (3-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)propyl)benzene (86
 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $N$-benzyl- $N$-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)- $O$-(2,4,6trimethylbenzoyl)hydroxylamine ( $274 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 46 h . After the reaction was completed, the reaction mixture was filtered through a short plug of silica gel, and washed with additional EtOAc. The EtOAc solution was collected in a 20 mL scintillation vial, and then solvent was carefully removed under high vacuum by fitting a red septum onto the vial, inserting a needle into the septum, connecting the needle to a liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ trap, connecting the first liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ trap to a second liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ trap, and then connecting the second trap to the vacuum line on a Schlenk dual-manifold (Note: The liquid $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ traps are necessary to insure that DMMS is completely trapped. After the evaporation process, the traps were maintained inside a fumehood. After their contents were thawed, the traps were washed thoroughly with acetone and the waste was poured into a container designated for organic liquid waste). The crude material was immediately purified by column chromatography ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~g}$ silica gel, diameter of the column $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, length of the packed column $\sim 18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) with a gradient of hexanes $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[50: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 40: 1(40 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 30: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 20: 1$ $(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 15: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 10: 1(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ (the above volumes refer to the volume of hexanes
used)] (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ). The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $146 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield, $>99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $151 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield, $>99.5: 0.5$ er, $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}){ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.44-7.42 (m, 2H), 7.37-7.19 (m, 9H), $6.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.1,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.52(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.37-2.28 (m, 1H), 2.00-1.77 (m, 3H), 1.67-1.52 (m, 3H), 1.41-1.33 (m, 1H), 1.26-1.16 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.03,142.86,139.73,128.95,128.50,128.35,128.25$, $126.88,126.41,125.74,125.70,124.65,62.95,54.20,48.71,41.33,36.09,35.73,29.16,23.32$, 21.08. m.p. $50.6-51.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. SFC analysis: CEL-1 (1:99 MeOH: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $3: 97 \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 5.18 min (minor), 5.46 min (major), $>$ 99.5:0.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:-39.9\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR (thin film): 3025, 2927, 2852, 1602, 1494, 1452, 1335, 1142, 1028, $694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NS}: \mathrm{C}, 79.95$; H, 7.78. Found: C, 79.67; H, 7.79.

## (1R,2R)-N-benzyl- $N$-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-2-(3-phenylpropyl)cyclobutan-1-amine (7c)

Following general procedure B, (3-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)propyl)benzene
 ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $N$-benzyl- $N$-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)- $O$ -(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl)hydroxylamine ( $268 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 46 h . After Workup $\mathbf{C}$ and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexanes $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[50: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 40: 1(40 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 30: 1(90$ $\mathrm{mL}) \rightarrow 20: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 15: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 10: 1$ (until the product is completely eluted)] (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ), the title compound was obtained as a colorless oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $140 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield, $>99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $135 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ yield, $>99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>$

20:1 dr). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{pd}, J=8.7,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{q}, J=9.4,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.90-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{p}, J=8.9,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.87,140.24,128.99,128.47,128.34,128.18,126.82,125.70$, 104.06, 64.56, 56.35, 53.84, 53.78, 53.17, 41.42, 36.08, 35.61, 29.18, 23.68, 20.89. SFC analysis: OJ-H (5:95 IPA ( $0.15 \%$ DEA) : scCO2 to $15: 85$ IPA ( $0.15 \%$ DEA): scCO2 linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.36 min (major), 4.81 min (minor), $>$ 99.5:0.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:-50.5\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR (thin film): 3025, 2930, 2828, 1495, 1452, 1368, 1191, 1123, 1073, $735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 78.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.05$. Found: C, 78.23; H, 9.16.

## (1R,2S)-N,N-dibenzyl-2-(3-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)propyl)cyclobutan-1-amine (7d)

 $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOC}(\mathrm{O})$ Mes ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 46 h. After the reaction was completed, the cap of the reaction tube was removed. While the reaction mixture was stirred at rt , sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred uncapped at rt for 30 min . The mixture was transferred with the aid of EtOAc to a 125 mL separatory funnel containing brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\operatorname{EtOAc}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10-15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were concentrated in vacuo. The residue was redissolved in EtOAc, filtered through a short plug of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, washed
with additional EtOAc, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was immediately purified by column chromatography ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~g}$ silica gel, diameter of the column $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, length of the packed column $\sim 18 \mathrm{~cm})$ with a gradient of hexanes $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[60: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ $\rightarrow 50: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 40: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ), the title compound was obtained as a colorless oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $205 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ yield, $>99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $199 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ yield, $\left.>99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71-7.69$ (m, 4H), 7.46-7.37 (m, 10H), 7.33-7.29 (m, 4H), 7.26-7.22 (m, 2H), 3.65-3.60 (m, 4H), 3.56 (d, J $=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{q}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.75(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 1.65-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.18,135.72,134.31,129.62,128.89,128.17,127.71,126.75$, 64.18, 63.52, $54.93,41.04,32.16,30.49,27.04,23.06,21.18,19.38$. SFC analysis: CEL-1 (1:99 $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}): \mathrm{scCO}_{2}, 2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 12.57 min (major), 13.68 min (minor), $>$ 99.5:0.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}:-61.7\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR (thin film): 3027, 2929, 2856, 1493, 1427, 1360, 1110, 1028, 823, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{NOSi}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 548.3343$; found 548.3369.
(1R,2S)-N,N-dibenzyl-2-(3-((5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)oxy)propyl)cyclobutan-1amine (7e)


Following general procedure B, 2-(3-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)propoxy)-5(trifluoromethyl)pyridine ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Mes}(216 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 46 h . After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexanes $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[50: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 30: 1(90 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 20: 1$
$(160 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 15: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 10: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 8: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ (the above volumes refer to the volume of hexanes used)] (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ), the title compound was obtained as a colorless oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $157 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ yield, $>99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: 161 mg , $71 \%$ yield, $>99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.44(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.7, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.23-1.12 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.15,145.06(\mathrm{q}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 140.08$, $135.62(\mathrm{q}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.88,128.20,126.81,124.23(\mathrm{q}, J=271.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.81(\mathrm{q}, J=32.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 111.34,66.90,63.52,54.94,40.92,32.21,26.80,22.92,21.16 .{ }^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$-61.47. SFC analysis: AD-H (8:92 IPA ( $0.15 \% \mathrm{DEA}$ ): $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}, 2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 5.19 min (major), 5.81 min (minor), $>99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er}$. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}:-31.5\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR (thin film): $3028,2938,2798,1613,1500,1315,1291,1122,1077,698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 71.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.43$. Found: C, $71.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.37$.

## (1R,2R)-N,N-dibenzyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)cyclopropan-1-amine (12)



An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935 C ) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.4$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ( $R$ )-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( $38.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction tube was loosely capped (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Anhydrous THF ( 0.60 mL ) was added to the tube via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at rt . Then

DMMS ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe and the stirring was continued for another 10 min at rt to prepare a dark red CuH stock solution.

A separate oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935C) containing a magnetic stir bar was loosely capped (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and then transferred into the glovebox. 1-(Cycloprop-1-en-1-ylmethyl)-4-methoxybenzene ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ purity $^{33}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv, freshly prepared), $\mathrm{Bn}_{2}$ NOPiv ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and anhydrous THF ( 0.20 mL ) were added to the reaction tube. Then the CuH stock solution $(0.27 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via a 1 mL syringe to the reaction tube in one portion. The reaction tube was capped and then removed from the glove box. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 18 h .

After the reaction was completed, the cap of the reaction tube was removed. While the reaction mixture was stirred at rt , sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min , and then transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial with EtOAc. The solution was concentrated in vacuo, redissolved in hexane/EtOAc=2:1, and then passed through a short plug of silica gel eluting with hexane/EA=2:1. The resulting solution was collected in another 20 mL scintillation vial, concentrated in vacuo, and then $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $1,1,2,2$-tetrachloroethane ( $16.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture was carried out to determine the NMR yield. Then the solution in the NMR tube was transferred backed to the 20 mL vial with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The solution was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by preparative thin layer chromatography ( $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 1000$ microns, catalog \# TLG-R10011B-341 from Silicycle) eluting with hexane $/ \mathrm{EtOAc}=20: 1$, followed by another purification with preparative thin layer chromatography ( $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$, 250 microns, catalog \# TLG-R10014B-323 from Silicycle) eluting
with hexane/EtOAc $=15: 1$ to give the product. The title compound was obtained as a light yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $15.7 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ yield, $55.5: 44.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $15.6 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ yield, 55.5:44.5 er, > 20:1 dr). ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.36-7.26(m, 10H), 7.06-7.04 (m, 2H), 6.84-6.81 (m, 2H), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=14.5,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.5,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.7,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.60(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.6,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.41(\mathrm{q}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $157.88,139.07,133.84,129.51,129.37,128.10,126.89,113.77,58.51,55.36,43.56,37.59$, 23.51, 14.67. SFC analysis: CEL-1 (1:99 MeOH: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $2: 98 \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 16 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 7.67 min (major), 10.17 min (minor), $>$ 99.5:0.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:-6.8\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR (thin film): 3027, 2914, 2832, 1611, 1510, 1452, 1244, 1175, 1036, $747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 358.2165 ; found 358.2177 .

## (1R,3R)-N,N-dibenzyl-3-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropan-1-amine (15a)

 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were used. After Workup $\mathbf{D}$ and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexanes $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[100: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ 80:1 $(240 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 60: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ ] (the product on TLC was visualized with $\left.\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$, the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $139 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield, $98.5: 1.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: 139 $\mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield, $98.5: 1.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.56-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-$ $7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 140.21,139.16,133.89,129.52,128.82,128.10,127.81,126.90,58.88,54.76$, 25.87, 23.77, 22.87, 19.43, -0.92, -1.20. m.p. 57.5-58.3 ${ }^{\circ}$ C. SFC analysis: AD-H (5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 20:80 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 2.68 $\min$ (major), 2.88 min (minor), 98.5:1.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:+6.6\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) . \mathbf{I R}$ (thin film): $3063,3027,2947,1453,1369,1247,1113,1072,812,728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NSi}: \mathrm{C}, 81.14$; H, 8.32. Found: C, 81.18; H, 8.31.

## 2-((E)-(((1R,3R)-3-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropyl)imino)methyl)phenol

(15b)


An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935C) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.2$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ( $R$ )-DTBM-SEGPHOS $(15.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.013 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction tube was loosely capped (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Anhydrous THF ( 0.60 mL ) was added to the tube via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at rt . Then DMMS ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe and the stirring was continued for another 10 min at rt to prepare an orange CuH stock solution. A second oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 16*125 mm, part no. 1495935A) containing a magnetic stir bar was loosely capped (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-15425; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-15), and then transferred into the glovebox. To the second reaction tube, (3,3-Dimethylcycloprop-1-en-1-yl)dimethyl(phenyl)silane (101 mg, $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added, and then the CuH stock solution $(0.68 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via a 1 mL syringe in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 0.5 min , and then 1,2-benzisoxazole ( 76
$\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was added slowly over 2 min via microsyringe while the reaction mixture was stirred at rt . The reaction tube was capped and then removed from the glove box. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 18 h . After Workup D and purification by column chromatography (silica gel was pretreated with hexanes containing $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) with a gradient of hexanes (contain $0.1 \%$ $\left.\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right)(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $\left(\right.$ contain $\left.0.1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[150: 1(75 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 100: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ $70: 1(70 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 60: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 50: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL})]$, the title compound was obtained as a yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $103 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ yield, $99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $100 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ yield, $99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>$ 20:1 dr). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 13.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 161.97,160.57,139.34,133.86,131.62,130.62,129.13,127.99$, $119.48,118.80,116.88,57.25,26.74,24.36,23.74,23.62,-1.10,-1.18$. SFC analysis: OJ-H (2:98 $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA})$ : scCO 2 to 7:93 $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA})$ : scCO2 linear gradient over 10 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.89 min (major), 7.50 min (minor), 99.5:0.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:-107.2\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR (thin film): 2948, 1620, 1495, 1414, 1277, 1200, 1113, 955, 905, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25}$ NOSi: C, 74.25 ; H, 7.79. Found: C, 74.42; H, 7.98.

## 2-((E)-(((2R,3R)-2-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)-1',3'-dihydrospiro[cyclopropane-1,2'-inden]-3-

 yl)imino)methyl)phenol (15c)

Following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, (1',3'-dihydrospiro[cyclopropane-1,2'-inden]-2-en-2-yl)dimethyl(phenyl)silane ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was used, and the 1,2-benzisoxazole solution was added slowly via syringe
pump at a rate of $0.16 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}$. After Workup $\mathbf{D}$ and purification by column chromatography (silica gel was pretreated with hexanes containing $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) with a gradient of hexanes (contain $0.1 \%$ $\left.\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right)(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $\left(\right.$ contain $\left.0.1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[80: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 60: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 40: 1$ $(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 30: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 20: 1(40 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 15: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 10: 1(40 \mathrm{~mL})]$, the title compound was obtained as a yellow solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $145 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ yield, $99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: 149 $\mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ yield, $99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 12.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.21$ (m, 2H), $3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.43(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), $0.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.24,160.55,142.44,142.31,138.66$, $133.86,131.88,130.81,129.32,128.10,126.50,126.33,124.55,124.24,119.32,118.94,116.90$, $56.75,40.72,38.66,35.31,22.02,-1.46,-1.75$. m.p. $123.4-124.1^{\circ}$ C. SFC analysis: AD-H (5:95 $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}): \mathrm{scCO} 2$ to $20: 80 \mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA})$ : scCO2 linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.34 min (major), 4.65 min (minor), 99.5:0.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:+67.8\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR (thin film): 3066, 3019, 2951, 2891, 2836, 1619, 1426, 1277, 1113, $733 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NOSi}$ C, $78.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.85$. Found: C, 78.28; H, 6.70 .

## 2-((E)-(((1R,2R)-2-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)-6-tosyl-6-azaspiro[2.5]octan-1-

yl)imino)methyl)phenol (15d)


Following general procedure D, 1-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)-6-tosyl-6-azaspiro[2.5]oct-1-ene ( $199 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was used, and the 1,2-benzisoxazole solution was added slowly via syringe pump at a rate of
$0.13 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{h}$. After Workup $\mathbf{D}$ and purification by column chromatography (silica gel was pretreated with hexanes containing $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) with a gradient of hexanes (contain $0.1 \%$ $\left.\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=50: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes $\left(\right.$ contain $\left.0.1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{EtOAc}=[30: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 25: 1$ $(50 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 20: 1(40 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 15: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 12: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 10: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 8: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ $7: 1(140 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 6: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 5: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 4: 1(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ (the above volumes refer to the volume of hexanes used)], the title compound was obtained as a yellow solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: 163 mg , 63\% yield, $98: 2 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $147 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ yield, $\left.98: 2 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 12.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-$ $7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.8,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.7,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-$ $2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.5,9.5,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.8,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.76(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.4,9.5,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.4,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.33(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 162.95,160.38,143.42,138.28,133.70,133.61$, $132.05,130.82,129.77,129.42,128.12,127.68,119.16,119.00,116.92,55.55,46.15,45.80$, $32.66,32.62,31.02,23.21,21.65,-1.24,-1.36$. m.p. $60.1-62.8^{\circ}$ C. SFC analysis: AD-H (20:80 $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}): \mathrm{scCO} 2,2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.60 min (major), 5.80 min (minor), $98: 2 \mathrm{er}$. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:+13.8\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR (thin film): 2953, 2844, 1619, 1427, 1334, 1276, 1163, 1090, 908, $722 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SSi}: \mathrm{C}, 67.15$; H, 6.61. Found: C, 67.54; H, 6.65.

## tert-butyl (2S,3R)-3-(dibenzylamino)-2-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)azetidine-1-carboxylate (7f)

 Following general procedure B, tert-butyl 4-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)azete-1(2H)carboxylate ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Mes}(270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75$
mmol, 1.5 equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 46 h . After Workup $\mathbf{D}$ and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexanes $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexanes/acetone $=[80: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 70: 1(70 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 50: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 30: 1(180 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (the product on TLC was visualized with $I_{2}$ ), the title compound was obtained as a colorless oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $223 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ yield, $>$ 99.5:0.5 er, $>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $223 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ yield, $\left.>99.5: 0.5 \mathrm{er},>20: 1 \mathrm{dr}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.92$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 156.64,138.99,136.27,134.30,129.42,128.76,128.33,127.90,127.09,79.38,57.41$, 53.94, $52.87,52.61,28.56,-4.15,-4.68$. SFC analysis: OJ-H (5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $20: 80 \mathrm{IPA}:$ $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 2.87 min (major), 3.17 $\min ($ minor $),>99.5: 0.5$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:+11.1\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. IR (thin film): 2973, 1691, 1408, 1364, 1248, 1154, 1111, 1028, 832, $696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ : C, 74.03; H, 7.87. Found: C, 73.75; H, 8.04.

### 1.5.5 Preparation of Alkene Substrates and Amination Reagents

Synthesis of 1-Arylcyclobutenes: All the 1-arylcyclobutenes used in this chapter are listed below. $\mathbf{2 a -} \mathbf{c}^{35}, \mathbf{2 f - \mathbf { g } ^ { 3 5 }}$ are known compounds and were prepared by following previously reported procedures.


2a


2b


2c


2d


2e

$2 f$


2g

Synthesis of 2d, 2e.


## General Procedure $\mathbf{E}^{36}$

A 250 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with the corresponding aryl bromide ( $21.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv) and then capped with a septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous THF ( 63 mL ) was added, and then the mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 1.1 equiv, 8.8 mL$)$ was added dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , and then cyclobutanone ( 20.0 mmol , 1.0 equiv, 1.40 g ) in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for an additional 30 min . Then the reaction mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv, 4.08 g ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 2 h . The septum was removed, and the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo.
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ were added. The layers were separated, and the organic layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and then purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford the corresponding 1-arylcyclobutyl acetate.

A 50 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with the corresponding 1-arylcyclobutyl acetate (1.0 equiv) and $\operatorname{LiBr}$ (10.0 equiv), and then capped with a septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous DMF ( 13 mL ) was added, and then the reaction mixture was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h or overnight (as indicated for each substrate). The mixture was allowed to cool to rt , and was immediately quenched with water. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ were added. The layers were separated, and the organic layer was extract with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and then purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford the corresponding 1-arylcyclobutene (Note: 2d and 2e are very air-sensitive, and therefore need to be immediately stored under nitrogen in the glovebox freezer at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ once prepared).

## 1-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)-2-fluorobenzene (2d)



Following general procedure E, 1-(2-fluorophenyl)cyclobutyl acetate ( 6.29 mmmol , $1.31 \mathrm{~g})$ was used. The title compound was obtained as a colorless oil $(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%$ yield over two steps) after purification by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with pentane). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.28-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42-6.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 161.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=251.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 140.96,133.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 30.00,27.96 .{ }^{19} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{N M R}(376 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$-114.94. IR (thin film): $3070,2918,2834,1490,1446,1237,1214,1176,1031,747$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~F}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 149.0761$; found 149.0757.

## 5-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)-2-methoxypyridine (2e)

 Following general procedure E, 1-(6-methoxypyridin-3-yl)cyclobutyl acetate (6.60 $\mathrm{g}, 18 \%$ yield over two steps) after purification by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with pentane $\sim$ pentane $\left./ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=30: 1\right) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{t}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 2.80-2.78 (m, 2H), 2.56-2.54 (m, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 163.50, 143.39, $143.12,134.78,126.64,124.65,110.69,77.48,77.16,76.84,53.60,28.90,26.91$. m.p. 46.7-48.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{IR}$ (thin film): 2948, 2840, 1723, 1681, 1601, 1492, 1372, 1288, 1020, $832 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 162.0913$; found 162.0905.
## Synthesis of 1-Arylcyclopropene:



## (3,3-dimethylcycloprop-1-en-1-yl)benzene (8)

${ }_{\mathrm{Ph}}^{\mathrm{Me}} X^{\mathrm{Me}}$
A 25 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with (1-bromo-2-methylprop-1-en-1-yl)benzene ${ }^{37}$ ( $5.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 1.07 g ), $\mathrm{BnEt}_{3} \mathrm{NCl}$ ( $0.505 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv, 115 mg ), and bromoform ( $40.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.0$ equiv, 3.5 mL ). While the
reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at $\mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{NaOH}(40.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 8.0$ equiv, 1.62 g ) in water ( 1.6 mL ) was added dropwise. Then the flask was capped with a septum and attached to a balloon filled with air. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt , and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 70 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were filtered through a short plug of silica gel and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo, and then purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes to give (1,2,2-tribromo-3,3-dimethylcyclopropyl)benzene.

A 25 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with (1,2,2-tribromo-3,3-dimethylcyclopropyl)benzene ( $2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 766 mg ) and then capped with a septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and then the mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 2.1 equiv, 1.68 mL ) was added dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to slowly warmed to rt over 2 h while being stirred. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and water $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt and was stirred at rt for 10 min. Then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 5 min . The septum on the flask was removed. The reaction mixture was diluted with pentane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with pentane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo and purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with pentane) to give the title compound as a colorless oil ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ yield over two steps) (Note: $\mathbf{8}$ was stored under nitrogen in the glovebox freezer at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ once prepared). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.52-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.42-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.30 (m, 2H), $1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 132.23,129.58,128.87,128.73,128.52,115.19,27.02,19.41$. IR (thin film): 2924, 2861, 1675, 1598, 1493, 1444, 1371, 1308, 1024, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{+}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 145.1012$; found 145.1022.

Synthesis of 1-Alkylcyclobutenes: ${ }^{38,39}$


## (3-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)propyl)benzene (5a)

A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrCl}_{2}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv, 351 mg ) was added to the flask. The flask was capped with a septum, removed from the glovebox, and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous THF ( 24 mL ) was added. While the reaction mixture was stirred at $\mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{EtMgBr}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $36 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv, 36 mL ) was added dropwise. Then (5-chloropent-4-yn-1-yl)benzene ${ }^{40}(12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 2.14 g$)$ was added dropwise at rt. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 48 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath, and water was added slowly to quench the reaction mixture. The mixture was diluted with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pentane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with pentane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with pentane (Note: (5-chloropent-4-yn-1-yl)benzene was not fully consumed in the reaction, and it could poison the CuH catalyst in the subsequent hydroamination reactions.

Therefore, the last few product-containing fractions from the column chromatography were analyzed by GC to determine whether they contained (5-chloropent-4-yn-1-yl)benzene, and only the clean fractions were collected.) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil ( $0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \%$ yield) (Note: 5a was stored under nitrogen in the glovebox freezer at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ once prepared). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.63(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.44-2.42 (m, 2H), 2.37-2.35 (m, 2H), 2.06-2.03 (m, 2H), 1.81-1.74 (m, 2H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.47,142.65,128.61,128.41,127.15,125.82,35.76,31.31,30.80,28.72$, 26.71. IR (thin film): 3028, 2922, 2842, 1630, 1604, 1496, 1453, 1171, 1030, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 173.1325$; found 173.1315.

tert-butyl(3-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)propoxy)diphenylsilane (5b)


A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{NCS}\left(22.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0\right.$ equiv, 2.95 g ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv, 0.76 g$)$, and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv, 0.30 g$)$ and then capped with a septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous ${ }^{n} \operatorname{PrOH}(22 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. Then tert-butyl(pent-4-yn1 -yloxy)diphenylsilane ${ }^{41}(11.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 3.55 g$)$ was added dropwise at rt . The reaction mixture was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . Then the reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and brine was added. The resulting mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the combined organic layers were washed with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo.

The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give tert-butyl((5-chloropent-4-yn-1-yl)oxy)diphenylsilane.

A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrCl}_{2}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv, 351 mg ) was added to the flask. The flask was capped with a septum, removed from the glovebox, and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous THF ( 24 mL ) was added. While the reaction mixture was stirred at $\mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{EtMgBr}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $36 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv, 36 mL ) was added dropwise. Then tert-butyl((5-chloropent-4-yn-1-yl)oxy)diphenylsilane ( $12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 4.28 g ) was added dropwise at rt . The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 72 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath, and water was added slowly to quench the reaction mixture. The mixture was diluted with water ( 50 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with $0-2 \%$ EtOAc in hexanes to give a mixture of the title compound and the 1 chloroalkyne starting material. The isolated material contains $24 \%$ (w/w) tert-butyl((5-chloropent-4-yn-1-yl)oxy)diphenylsilane impurity, which was removed by carrying out a further transformation.

A 25 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with the material isolated from the previous step ( 1.69 g material, contains 1.14 mmol of tert-butyl( $(5$-chloropent-$4-\mathrm{yn}-1-\mathrm{yl}) \mathrm{oxy}$ )diphenylsilane, 1.0 equiv) and then capped with a septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous THF ( 5.7 mL ) was added, and then the mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $2.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv, 0.91 mL ) was
added dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then anhydrous $\mathrm{PhCHO}\left(4.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0\right.$ equiv, 484 mg ) was added dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 30 min . Water was slowly added to quench the reaction mixture. The resulting mixture was extracted with hexane (2x). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with 0-2\% EtOAc in hexanes to give the title compound as a colorless oil $(1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \%$ overall yield) (Note: $\mathbf{8 b}$ was stored under nitrogen in the glovebox freezer at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ once prepared). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.69-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{tt}, J=1.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 150.39,135.74,134.25,129.65,127.73,126.99,63.70,31.31$, 29.90, 27.55, 27.03, 26.61, 19.40. IR (thin film): 3071, 2929, 2856, 1472, 1427, 1105, 953, 822, 737, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{OSi}$ C, $78.80 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.63$. Found: C, $78.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.63$.


## 2-(3-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)propoxy)-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine (5c)



A 25 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathbf{5 b}(2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 843 mg$)$ and then capped with a septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous THF ( 4.8 mL ) was added, and then the mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TBAF ( 1 M in THF, 2.0 equiv, 4.8 mL ) was
added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt and was stirred at rt for 3 h . Then the reaction mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with $0-40 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to afford 3-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)propan-1-ol. A 25 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with 3-(cyclobut-1-en-1-yl)propan-1-ol ( $2.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.12$ equiv, 251 mg ) and then capped with a septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous THF ( 4.5 mL ) was added, and then the mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{NaH}(2.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.35$ equiv, 65 mg$)$ was added in several portions at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt and was stirred at rt for 15 min. Then 2-chloro-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine ( $2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 364 mg ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and EtOAc. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with $0-3 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in hexanes) to give the title compound as a colorless oil ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ yield over two steps) (Note: $\mathbf{5 c}$ was stored under nitrogen in the glovebox freezer at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ once prepared). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.44-8.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72$ (br, 1H), $4.37(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.2,2.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16$ (dt, $J=7.7,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.93(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.2,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 166.13$, $149.53,145.07(\mathrm{q}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 135.69(\mathrm{q}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.60,124.21(\mathrm{q}, J=271.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.91$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=33.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 111.37,66.50,31.29,27.65,26.68,26.25 .{ }^{19} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{N M R}\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-$
61.52. IR (thin film): $2924,2844,1614,1501,1315,1160,1123,1078,1011,834 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathbf{E A}$ Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ : C, 60.70; H, 5.49. Found: C, 60.72; H, 5.66.

## Synthesis of 1-Alkylcyclopropene: ${ }^{\mathbf{4 2}}$



## 1-(cycloprop-1-en-1-ylmethyl)-4-methoxybenzene (11)



A 250 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was capped with a septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(53 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}(7.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv, 1.95 g$)$ were added, and then the mixture was cooled to $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrMgCl}\left(2.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2.9$ equiv, 7.25 mL$)$ was added dropwise at -60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , and then a mixture of methyl 2-(4methoxyphenyl)acetate ( $5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 0.90 g ) and trimethyl(vinyl)silane ( $7.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv, 0.75 g$)$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.27 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $-25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 min , stirred at $-25 \sim-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then was warmed to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 h . Water ( 2.5 mL ) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added slowly, and then the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 30 min . The reaction mixture was passed through a short plug of Celite, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (pretreated with $1 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in hexanes) and eluted with a gradient of hexanes $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=8: 1 \sim 3: 1$ to afford 1-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropan-1-ol.

A 25 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with 1-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopropan-1-ol ( 1.8 mmol , 1.0 equiv, 0.45 g ), and then $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(7.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv, 0.73 g$)$ were added. The mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{MsCl}(3.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv, 0.41 g$)$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Then the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt , and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and used in the next step without further purification.

A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with the crude material from the last step. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added, and then TBAF ( 1 M in THF, 9.9 mL ) was added dropwise at rt . The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h , and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and immediately purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with pentane and then pentane $\left./ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=60: 1\right)$ to give the title compound as a colorless oil $\left(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%\right.$ purity ${ }^{33}$, $21 \%$ yield over 3 steps) (Note: $\mathbf{1 1}$ was stored under nitrogen in the glovebox freezer at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ once prepared). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.20-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. IR (thin film): 2955, 2876, 2834, 1610, 1511, 1301, 1244, 1174, 1035, $840 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 161.0961$; found 161.0972.

## Synthesis of 1-Silyl Substituted Three- and Four-Membered Cycloalkenes:



13a


13b


13c


5d

All the 1-silyl substituted three- and four-membered cycloalkenes used in this chapter are listed above. $13 \mathbf{a}^{43}$ is a known compound and was prepared by following previously reported procedures.


## (1',3'-dihydrospiro[cyclopropane-1,2'-inden]-2-en-2-yl)dimethyl(phenyl)silane (13b)



A 50 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with 2-methylene-2,3-dihydro-1 $H$-indene (19.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv, 2.51 g ), $\mathrm{BnEt}_{3} \mathrm{NCl}(1.9$ mmol, 0.1 equiv, 439 mg ), and bromoform ( $76.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv, 6.7 mL ). While the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at $\mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{NaOH}(76.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv, 3.1 g ) in water ( 3.1 mL ) was added dropwise. Then the flask was capped with a septum and attached to a balloon filled with air. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt , and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 70 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and then
purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with hexanes/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=100: 1$ to give 2,2-dibromo-1',3'-dihydrospiro[cyclopropane-1,2'-indene].

A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with 2,2-dibromo-1',3'-dihydrospiro[cyclopropane-1,2'-indene] (13.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and then capped with a septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous THF ( 27 mL ) and $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}(0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv, 0.40 mL$)$ were added. While the reaction mixture was stirred at rt, $\mathrm{EtMgBr}\left(3 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 1.3 equiv, 5.8 mL ) was added over 1 h via syringe pump. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h . Then the reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $10 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to quench the reaction mixture. The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with $0-2 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pentane) to give 2-bromo-1', $3^{\prime}$ -dihydrospiro[cyclopropane-1,2'-indene].

A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with 2-bromo-1',3'-dihydrospiro[cyclopropane-1,2'-indene] ( $6.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 1.34 g ) and then capped with a septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous DMSO (13 mL) was added. While the reaction mixture was stirred at $\mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{KO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}(9.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv, 1.01 g$)$ in anhydrous DMSO ( 3.3 mL ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to quench the reaction mixture. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The layers were separated and the
aqueous layer was extract with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combine organic layers were washed with water ( $3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with pentane) to give $1^{\prime}, 3$ '-dihydrospiro[cyclopropane-1,2'-inden]-2-ene.

A 10 mL round bottom flask with a magnetic stir bar was charged with $1^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}-$ dihydrospiro[cyclopropane-1,2'-inden]-2-ene ( $1.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 192 mg ) and anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen. The mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 1.05 equiv, 0.57 mL ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The resulting solution was added over 20 min to another 25 mL round bottom flask containing $\mathrm{PhMe}_{2} \mathrm{SiCl}(1.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv, 263 mg$)$ and anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.8$ mL ) at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then water ( 20 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with $0-2 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil $(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%$ yield over 4 steps) (Note: 13b was stored under nitrogen in the glovebox freezer at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ once prepared). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.96-7.95 (m, 1H), 7.56-7.53 (m, 2H), 7.41-7.32 (m, 3H), $7.16(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.43(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.63,137.40,133.81,131.79,129.44,127.99,126.85,125.97,124.27,44.30,26.60$, -1.94. IR (thin film): $3068,2957,2880,2825,1676,1482,1427,1248,1113,733 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathbf{E A}$ Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Si}$ : C, 82.55; H, 7.29. Found: C, 82.74; H, 7.45.


13c

## 1-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)-6-tosyl-6-azaspiro[2.5]oct-1-ene (13c)



A 25 mL round bottom flask with a magnetic stir bar was charged with 6-tosyl-6-azaspiro[2.5]oct-1-ene ${ }^{44}(3.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 790 mg$)$ and anhydrous THF (8.7 $\mathrm{mL})$ under nitrogen. The mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, 1.02 equiv, 1.23 mL ) was added dropwise (Note: The addition process of ${ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}$ needs to be terminated once the reaction mixture turns from colorless to slightly pinkish. Otherwise, disilylated byproduct, which is difficult to seperate from the product, is formed). Then the reaction mixture was stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The resulting suspension was added over 30 min to another 50 mL round bottom flask containing $\mathrm{PhMe}_{2} \mathrm{SiCl}(3.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv, 563 mg ) and anhydrous THF ( 6.0 mL ) at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with hexanes/ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=30: 1: 2$ ) to afford the title compound as a white solid ( $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ yield) (Note: 13c was stored under nitrogen in the glovebox freezer at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ once prepared). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.8,6.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.5,8.5,3.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{td}, J=8.6,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.29,136.99,136.86,133.65,133.51,131.87,129.62,129.55,127.99$,
$127.87,47.20,38.17,22.52,21.69,-2.25$. m.p. $65.6-66.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (thin film): 2937, 2903, 2837, 1667, 1428, 1351, 1247, 1162, 1112, $722 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SSi}$ C, 66.46; H, 6.84. Found: C, 66.33; H, 6.61.


## tert-butyl 4-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)azete-1(2H)-carboxylate ${ }^{45}$ (5d)



A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was capped with a septum. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Then tertbutyl 3-methoxyazetidine-1-carboxylate ( 4.0 mmol , 1.0 equiv, 749 mg ) and anhydrous THF ( 25 mL ) were added, and the mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TMEDA ( $10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv, 1.16 g ) was added. Then ${ }^{s} \operatorname{BuLi}(1.3 \mathrm{M}$ in cyclohexane, 2.5 equiv, 7.7 mL ) was added dropwise over 10 min while the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then $\mathrm{PhMe}_{2} \mathrm{SiCl}(10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv, 1.71 g$)$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and then at rt for 1 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with $0-9 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in hexanes). The resulting material was redissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 3 mL ), filtered through a short plug of basic activated alumina, and washed with additional $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The collected $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution was concentrated in vacuo to afford the pure product as a
colorless oil ( $0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ yield) (Note: $\mathbf{5 d}$ was stored under nitrogen in the glovebox freezer at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ once prepared). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.33(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.47(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $158.48,152.00,136.47,134.23,129.46,127.85,126.31,80.34,59.78,28.48,-3.07 . \operatorname{IR}$ (thin film): 2977, 1695, 1390, 1366, 1247, 1164, 1139, 1113, 1020, $814 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 290.1571$; found 290.1575 .

Synthesis of Amination Reagents: All the amination reagents used in this chapter are listed below. $\mathbf{3 a}{ }^{46}, \mathbf{3 b}^{47}, \mathbf{6 a}^{48}, \mathbf{9}^{49}$ are known compounds and were prepared by following previously reported procedures.
$\mathrm{Bn}_{2} \mathrm{NOBz}$

3a


6a


3b


6b


3c


6c


3d
$\mathrm{Bn}_{2}$ NOPiv
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Synthesis of 3c, 3d.

$$
\mathrm{RCHO}+\mathrm{BnNH}_{2} \xrightarrow[\text { then } \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}]{\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt} ;}{\underset{\mathrm{Bn}}{ }}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{NH}} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}]{\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}, \mathrm{BzOOBz}} \underset{\mathrm{Bn}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{OBz}}
$$

## General Procedure F

A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with the corresponding aldehyde ( 1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ ( 1.0 equiv), and $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.0 \mathrm{M})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 6 h . Then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv) was added in several portions at rt . The
reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight, and then quenched with 5 M aq. NaOH . The resulting mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated in vacuo, and used in the next step without further purification.

A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with the crude material from the first step, and then DMF and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ were added. BzOOBz was then added in one portion at rt . The reaction mixture was stirred at rt until BzOOBz was completely consumed (as indicated by TLC analysis), and then diluted with EtOAc and water. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated in vacuo, and then purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give the corresponding amination reagent.

## (5-(((benzoyloxy)(benzyl)amino)methyl)furan-2-yl)methanol (3c)



Following general procedure F, 5-(hydroxymethyl)furan-2-carbaldehyde (40 mmol, 5.0 g ) and $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}(40 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ were used in the first step, and BzOOBz (contains $25 \%$ water, $40 \mathrm{mmol}, 12.9 \mathrm{~g}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}(80 \mathrm{mmol}, 13.9 \mathrm{~g})$, and DMF ( 50 mL ) were used in the second step. The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $2.83 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ yield over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.92-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 164.87, $154.34,149.61,135.46,133.10,129.68,129.48,129.25,128.51,128.47,127.91,111.05,108.70$, $62.08,57.62,54.12$. m.p. $80.5-82.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{IR}$ (thin film): $3419,3031,2864,1733,1450,1242$,

1083, 1062, 1015, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, $71.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.68$. Found: C, 71.48; H , 5.74 .

## methyl 5-(((benzoyloxy)(benzyl)amino)methyl)-2-hydroxybenzoate (3d)



Following general procedure F, methyl 5-formyl-2-hydroxybenzoate (20 mmol, 3.6 g ) and $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}(20 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were used in the first step, and BzOOBz (contains $25 \%$ water, $22 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.1 \mathrm{~g}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}(40 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.0 \mathrm{~g})$, and DMF ( 25 mL ) were used in the second step. The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $3.31 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ yield over two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.52$, $164.88,161.27,137.26,135.81,133.02,131.01,129.57,129.41,129.31,128.50,128.44,127.85$, 126.77, 117.78, 112.16, 62.17, 61.36, 52.39. m.p. $111.7-112$. º $^{\circ}$ C. IR (thin film): 3032, 2953, 1739, 1674, 1595, 1489, 1441, 1241, 1086, $707 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 70.58 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.41. Found: C, 70.33; H, 5.53.

Synthesis of $\mathbf{6 b}, \mathbf{6 c}$.


## $N$-benzyl- $N$-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)- $O$-(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl)hydroxylamine (6b)



A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $N$-benzyl- $N$-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)hydroxylamine ${ }^{48}(10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$
equiv, 2.19 g ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(14.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.44$ equiv, 2.0 mL$)$. The mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl chloride ( $12.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv, 2.19 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5$ mL ) was added dropwise. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was passed through a short plug of basic alumina, and washed with additional EtOAc. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo, and then purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give the title compound as a white solid ( $1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.51-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.30,139.43,138.16,136.23,135.28,129.73,129.41,128.53,128.26,127.87$, $127.51,126.67,126.05,61.64,56.74,21.24,19.11,19.10$. m.p. $84.3-85.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathbf{I R}$ (thin film): 3029, 2921, 1747, 1611, 1431, 1235, 1160, 1053, 851, $696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}$, 72.30; H, 6.34. Found: C, 72.21; H, 6.34.

## N -benzyl- N -(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-O-(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl)hydroxylamine (6c)



A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $N$-benzyl- $N$-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)hydroxylamine ${ }^{48}$ ( $8.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 1.69 g$), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(11.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.15$ equiv, 1.6 mL$)$. The mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl chloride ( $8.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 1.82 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4$ mL ) was added dropwise. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was passed through a short plug of basic alumina, and washed with additional EtOAc. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo, and then purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give the title compound as a colorless oil ( $1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ yield). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.47-7.45 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.24 (m, 3H), $6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21$
(s, 2H), $3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.43,139.54,136.30,135.31,129.66,129.49,128.50,128.38,127.85,102.05,63.58$, 60.33, 53.96, 21.24, 19.41. IR (thin film): 2921, 2833, 1748, 1612, 1454, 1238, 1127, 1054, 851, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 70.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.61$. Found: C, $70.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.49$.
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### 1.7 Spectra and Chromatograms
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13c ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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6b ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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(1S,3R)-N,N-dibenzyl-2,2-dimethyl-3-phenylcyclopropan-1-amine (10a) + N,N-dibenzyl-2,2-dimethyl-1-phenylcyclopropan-1-amine (10b)


Racemic:


Enantioenriched:

(1R,2R)-N,N-dibenzyl-2-(3-phenylpropyl)cyclobutan-1-amine (7a)


Racemic:


Enantioenriched:

(1R,2R)- $N$-benzyl-2-(3-phenylpropyl)- $N$-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)cyclobutan-1-amine (7b)


Racemic:


Enantioenriched:

(1R,2R)-N-benzyl- $N$-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-2-(3-phenylpropyl)cyclobutan-1-amine (7c)


Racemic:


Enantioenriched:

(1R,2S)-N,N-dibenzyl-2-(3-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)propyl)cyclobutan-1-amine (7d) TBDPSO $\square_{\mathrm{NBn}_{2}}$

Racemic:


Enantioenriched:

(1R,2S)-N,N-dibenzyl-2-(3-((5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-yl)oxy)propyl)cyclobutan-1amine (7e)
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Enantioenriched:

(1R,3R)-N,N-dibenzyl-3-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropan-1-amine (15a)


Racemic:


Enantioenriched:


## 2-((E)-(((1R,3R)-3-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropyl)imino)methyl)phenol

(15b)


Racemic:


Enantioenriched:


## 2-((E)-(((2R,3R)-2-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)-1',3'-dihydrospiro[cyclopropane-1,2'-inden]-3-

 yl)imino)methyl)phenol (15c)

Racemic:


## Enantioenriched:



## 2-((E)-(((1R,2R)-2-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)-6-tosyl-6-azaspiro[2.5]octan-1-

yl)imino)methyl)phenol (15d)


Racemic:


Enantioenriched:


## tert-butyl (2S,3R)-3-(dibenzylamino)-2-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)azetidine-1-carboxylate (7f)



Racemic:


Enantioenriched:


Chapter 2. CuH-Catalyzed Regio- and Enantioselective Hydrocarboxylation of Allenes:
Toward Carboxylic Acids with Acyclic Quaternary Centers

### 2.1 Introduction

All-carbon quaternary stereocenters, a structural feature that can impart significant chemical and biological impact to a molecule, are critical to many synthetic and medicinal applications. ${ }^{1-4}$ Consequently, catalytic and enantioselective approaches for constructing allcarbon quaternary centers, especially functionalized stereocenters, are highly desirable. ${ }^{5-8}$ Carboxylic acids, a chemically versatile functional group, that can bear an $\alpha$-stereogenic center often serve as useful synthetic intermediates. ${ }^{9-13}$ More importantly, $\alpha$-chiral carboxylic acid derivatives themselves constitute an essential class of compounds in pharmaceutical, agrochemical, and natural product arenas (Figure 1A). ${ }^{14-16}$ Methods for generating enantioenriched $\alpha$-chiral carboxylic acids have long been sought after. ${ }^{17}$ Prominent synthetic strategies targeting $\alpha$-chiral carboxylic acids or esters via asymmetric catalysis include hydrogenation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carboxylic acids, ${ }^{18}$ carbene-induced $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ insertion with diazoacetates, ${ }^{19-21}$ enantioselective protonation ${ }^{22,23}$ or hydrogen atom transfer ${ }^{24}$ processes, and $\alpha$ functionalization of carboxylic acid derivatives. ${ }^{25-50}$ Nonetheless, catalytic access ${ }^{51}$ to enantioenriched acyclic carboxylic acids or esters featuring an all-carbon $\alpha$-quaternary stereocenter remains challenging. ${ }^{5,6}$ In this regard, common synthetic methods include allylic alkylation of geometrically pure alkenes, ${ }^{52-55}$ often with superstoichiometric organometallic reagents, and $\alpha$-functionalization of carboxylic acid derivatives, ${ }^{35-44,50}$ which typically necessitates a $\beta$-directing group or electron-withdrawing group (Figure 1B).

As an alternative, the hydrocarboxylation ${ }^{56-67}$ of prochiral unsaturated substrates represents a straightforward approach for preparing carboxylic acids. Asymmetric hydrocarboxylation has typically ${ }^{68,69}$ been achieved through palladium-catalyzed hydroxy- and alkoxycarbonylation processes using CO gas or a carbon monoxide surrogate. ${ }^{70-77}$ Despite
significant advances in this area, the vast majority of the methods can only synthesize $\alpha$-tertiary acids or esters from vinyl arenes, and a highly enantioselective technique for the assembly of $\alpha$ quaternary carboxylic acids through a hydrocarboxylation or hydroesterification of unsaturated substrates is still unknown. ${ }^{68}$
A. Representative $a$-chiral carboxylic acid derivatives


Hyoscyamine
(Antimuscarinic Natural Product)


Levocabastine
Synthetic Intermediate


NK-3 Receptor Antagonist
B. Asymmetric catalysis for synthesizing acyclic $a$-quaternary carboxylic acids/esters


Figure 1. (A) Overview of bioactive $\alpha$-chiral carboxylic acid derivatives. (B) Previous strategies and our approach to synthesize acyclic $\alpha$-quaternary carboxylic acid derivatives.

Based upon our research program in copper hydride $(\mathrm{CuH})$ - catalyzed asymmetric hydrofunctionalization of unsaturated substrates, ${ }^{78-91}$ we sought to develop a hydrocarboxylation method for constructing enantioenriched carboxylic acids, especially $\alpha$-quaternary acids. Specifically, we envisioned that a chiral organocopper species, generated in situ from the hydrocupration of an unsaturated substrate, could engage a suitable carboxylation reagent to
afford enantioenriched carboxylic acids. Previously, when $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was used as an electrophile in CuH -catalyzed olefin hydrofunctionalization reactions, the initially-formed silylated carboxylic acid intermediates underwent facile reduction and led to the formation of hydroxymethylene products. ${ }^{92-96}$ To circumvent this reduction pathway, we targeted the CuH -catalyzed hydroesterification, as the products are unreactive under the reaction conditions and can be readily hydrolyzed to give the corresponding carboxylic acids. An ester directly attached to a leaving group is proposed as the electrophile for realizing the hydrocarboxylation process (Figure 1B). In order to obtain $\alpha$-quaternary esters and acids, we sought to perform a regioselective hydrocarboxylation of allenes as the unsaturated substrate. Herein, we report a highly enantioselective CuH -catalyzed hydrocarboxylation to furnish both $\alpha$-quaternary and tertiary carboxylic acid derivatives.

### 2.2 Results and Discussion

We chose 1-phenyl-1-methylallene (1a) as our model substrate since the branched selective hydrocarboxylation of 1-aryl-1-alkylallenes would produce valuable acyclic quaternary $\alpha$-vinyl- $\alpha$-aryl carboxylic acids that have been used as intermediates in the preparation of (+)epilaurene ${ }^{13}$ and several pharmaceutical ingredients. ${ }^{10,52}$ We began our investigation with diphenyl carbonate (2a) as the reagent for carboxylate introduction. A series of chiral bisphosphine ligands were evaluated in the hydrocarboxylation of 1a with diphenyl carbonate (Table S 1 ), and the highest level of enantioselectivity was obtained with ( $R, R$ )- $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{BPE}(\mathbf{L} 1)$. Under these conditions, the ester product was formed in $42 \%$ yield (90:10 er) exclusively as the branched isomer (Table 1, entry 1). In addition to the moderate level of enantioselectivity that was observed, the use of $\mathbf{2 a}$ appeared to result in a sluggish reaction rate. We next attempted to
improve the activity of electrophile by replacing 2a with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2b) or methyl chloroformate (2c), which resulted in no desired hydroesterification product being formed (Table 1, entries $2-3$ ). With $\mathbf{2 c}$, we needed an alkoxide base to regenerate LCuH from a LCuCl intermediate, ${ }^{97}$ and we ascribed the low yield to the incompatibility between the base and methyl chloroformate. Since LCuH regeneration from LCuF complexes can proceed in the absence of a base additives, ${ }^{98}$ we investigated the use of fluoroformates ${ }^{99,100}$ as potential carboxylation reagents. When commercially available 1-adamantyl fluoroformate (2d) was employed, product $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained in $83 \%$ yield (Table 1, entry 4). Upon reexamining the suitability of different ligands in reactions with $\mathbf{2 d}$ (Table 1, entry $5-6$, and Table S2), we found that when $(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS (L2) was used (Table 1, entry 5), the branched product was obtained as a single regioisomer in 92\% yield and 99:1 er.

Table 1. Evaluation of Reaction Conditions for the $\mathbf{C u H}$-Catalyzed Hydrocarboxylation of Allene ${ }^{a}$


| Entry | Ligand | Electrophile | Temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Yield $^{b}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{er}^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{L 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}$ | 40 | 42 | $10: 90$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{L 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 b}$ | 40 | $<5$ | - |
| $3^{d}$ | $\mathbf{L 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 c}$ | 25 | $<5$ | - |
| 4 | $\mathbf{L 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 d}$ | 25 | 83 | $13: 87$ |


| $5^{e}$ | $\mathbf{L 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 d}$ | 25 | 92 | $99: 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6^{f}$ | $\mathbf{L 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 d}$ | 25 | 77 | $96: 4$ |

Ligand:

( $R, R$ )-Ph-BPE (L1)

$\mathrm{Ar}=3,5-\mathrm{t}$ Bu-4-MeO-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
(R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS (L2)


SL-J011-1 (L3)

Electrophile:

2a

2b

2c

2d
${ }^{a}$ Conditions: 0.10 mmol 2 ( 1.0 equiv), $\mathbf{1 a}$ ( 2.0 equiv), copper (II) acetate ( $5.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), ligand ( 5.5 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), dimethoxy(methyl)silane ( 3.0 equiv) in THF ( 0.5 M ). ${ }^{b}$ Yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture, using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ${ }^{c}$ Enantiomeric ratio was determined by SFC analysis. ${ }^{d}$ Either LiOMe (1.1 equiv) or CsOBz (1.1 equiv) was used as an additive; $\mathbf{1 a}$ (1.5 equiv) was used. ${ }^{e} \mathbf{1 a}$ (1.2 equiv) was used. ${ }^{f} \mathbf{1 a}$ (1.0 equiv) and 2 (1.2 equiv) were used.

Table 2. Substrate Scope for the CuH-Catalyzed Hydrocarboxylation of Allenes ${ }^{a}$
(
${ }^{a}$ Conditions: 0.50 mmol 2 d ( 1.0 equiv), $\mathbf{1}$ ( 1.2 equiv), copper (II) acetate ( $5.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathbf{L} 2$ ( 5.5 mol\%), dimethoxy(methyl)silane (3.0 equiv) in THF ( 0.5 M ); workup A: $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ workup followed by hydrolysis using TFA; workup B: $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ workup; yields refer to average isolated yields of two runs; see section 2.4 for details. ${ }^{b}$ Reaction was carried out at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{c}$ Reaction was carried out at $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{d} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{3}$ was used as the ligand instead. ${ }^{e} \mathbf{1}$ (1.1 equiv) was used. ${ }^{f}$ Reaction was carried out at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME, 1.0 mL ).

With the optimal reaction conditions identified, we first examined the substrate scope using 1,1-disubstituted allenes (Table 2). We found that a broad range of 1,1-disubstituted allenes in combination with $\mathbf{2 d}$ were transformed to the desired products in good yields and with excellent enantioselectivity. Moreover, the ester products could be easily hydrolyzed to carboxylic acids in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in near-quantitative yields. To demonstrate the feasibility of this in situ hydrolysis protocol, half of the ester products in Table 2 were isolated as carboxylic acids ( $\mathbf{3 a -} \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{3 i} \mathbf{-}$ ) without any purification of the intermediate esters. ${ }^{101}$ 1-Aryl-1-alkylallenes bearing an electron-withdrawing (3b) and -donating group (3c) on the arenes were both compatible. Additionally, reactions of arenes substituted with para- ( $\mathbf{3 b}$, $\mathbf{3 c}$ ), meta- ( $\mathbf{3 d}$ ), and ortho- ( $\mathbf{3 e}$ ) groups resulted in the formation of the products in high yields and enantioselectivity. Functional groups such as an acetal (3f), a sulfonamide (3I), and a siloxy group (3m) were also well tolerated. Allenes containing heterocycles, including a pyridine ( $\mathbf{3 g}$ ) and pyrazole (3h), were suitable substrates for the hydrocarboxylation reaction. However, when an allene substituted with an indole (3i) was utilized, better results were found if ligand $\mathbf{L} 3$ was used in place of $\mathbf{L 2}$. We speculate that this is due to the sterically demanding environment of the substrate that requires the use of a less bulky ligand. Allenes containing functionalized primary alkyl groups ( $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{3 I}-\mathbf{m}$ ) as well as an exocyclic allene ( $\mathbf{3 k}$ ) were also accommodated in this
protocol. Furthermore, 1-cyclohexyl-1-methylallene (3n) was efficiently transformed to the hydroxycarboxylation product when ligand $\mathbf{L 3}$ was employed.

We were also interested in expanding this method toward the synthesis of $\alpha$-tertiary esters, which under many conditions are difficult to access in high enantioselectivity due to the easily epimerizable stereogenic center. Thus, we next examined the reaction of a monosubstituted allene, phenylallene (10), under our standard reaction conditions. However, the product ester was formed with a poor level of enantioselectivity, 69.5:30.5 er (Table S4). After reevaluating the reaction parameters, the carboxylation product $\mathbf{3 0}$ could be isolated in $70 \%$ yield and 93:7 er using $\mathbf{L 3}$ as ligand (Table 2). A thioether-containing 1 -aryl allene (1p) and cyclohexylallene ( $\mathbf{1 q}$ ) were also converted to the corresponding $\alpha$-tertiary esters in good yields and high enantioselectivity.

To further demonstrate the synthetic utility of our method, we examined the transformation of the hydrocarboxylation products into compounds of interest (Scheme 1). For example, chiral $\alpha$-tertiary amines are found in a variety of natural products and biologically active compounds, and are difficult to access in an enantioenriched form by standard hydroamination reactions. ${ }^{102-104}$ By employing a Curtius rearrangement, we were able to convert $\alpha$-quaternary carboxylic acid 3a to $\alpha$-tertiary amine 6 in a stereoretentive fashion (Scheme 1a). Additionally, we sought to apply our hydrocarboxylation products to the synthesis of enantioenriched $\gamma$-amino acid derivatives, which play an important role as $\gamma$-aminobutyric acid transaminase inhibitors and in peptide chemistry. ${ }^{105}$ By derivatization of the resulting vinyl group in 3d, an $\alpha$-quaternary $\gamma$-amino ester 8 could be accomplished using a CuH -catalyzed hydroamination reaction ${ }^{106}$ (Scheme 1 b ). We also utilized the method for the preparation of the pharmaceutical indobufen, a platelet aggregation inhibitor marketed under brand name

Ibustrin. ${ }^{107}(S)$-Indobufen, previously prepared by the separation of the racemic mixture, ${ }^{108}$ was found to be far more potent than the $(R)$-enantiomer in terms of its antiplatelet and antiinflammatory activities, ${ }^{108-110}$ and thus an enantioselective synthetic route to $(S)$-indobufen would be of interest. In our approach, CuH -catalyzed hydrocarboxylation of allene $\mathbf{1 r}$ gave ester $\mathbf{3 r}$, which underwent subsequent hydrogenation and hydrolysis to furnish $(S)$-Indobufen (10) in $76 \%$ overall yield and 92:8 er, without the need for any chromatographic purification.

## Scheme 1. Applications of the CuH-Catalyzed Hydrocarboxylation Reactions ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ See section 2.4 for experimental details. ${ }^{b} \mathbf{1 r}$ (1.0 equiv) and $\mathbf{2 d}$ (1.2 equiv) were used. ${ }^{c} \mathbf{2 d}$ (1.0 equiv) and $\mathbf{1 r}$ ( 1.2 equiv) were used.


Figure 2. Proposed mechanism for the CuH-catalyzed hydrocarboxylation of allenes.

Based on previous DFT calculations on CuH-catalyzed reactions involving allenes, ${ }^{111,112}$ a plausible mechanism can be proposed for this transformation, as depicted in Figure 2. An allene (1) first undergoes hydrocupration with a CuH catalyst to generate a rapidly equilibrating mixture of allylcopper species (B and C). The less hindered terminal allylic copper (B) reacts preferentially with fluoroformate 2d through an enantio-determining six-membered transition state (D), to form intermediate E. Subsequent collapse of the tetrahedral intermediate by $\beta$ fluoride elimination leads to the branched carboxylation product $\mathbf{3}$ and CuF . A $\sigma$-bond metathesis reaction between CuF and the silane regenerates the CuH catalyst. It is worth noting that the
presence of the fluorine atom in 2d may lead to unusual energetic preferences in transition state D due to dipole minimization or stereoelectronic effects. Although we can propose a plausible sequence of elementary steps by analogy to related reactions, ${ }^{111,112}$ at this point we cannot definitively pinpoint stereochemical details of the enantio-determining transition state $\mathbf{D}$ and explain the subtle substituent effects on enantioselectivity.

### 2.3 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a highly enantioselective CuH -catalyzed hydrocarboxylation to synthesize $\alpha$-chiral carboxylic acids and esters, in particular $\alpha$-quaternary ones. A commercially available fluoroformate was used as the carboxylation reagent to react with allenes in exclusive branched selectivity. The reaction proceeded under mild conditions and could tolerate a variety of important functional groups and heterocycles. Further derivatization of the carboxylation products provided other pharmaceutically and synthetically useful scaffolds. We anticipate that this carboxylation strategy using a fluoroformate may be extended to the discovery of other types of important asymmetric carboxylation processes.

### 2.4 Experimental

### 2.4.1 General Information

General Analytical Information: All new compounds were characterized by NMR spectroscopy, IR spectroscopy, elemental analysis or high-resolution mass spectrometry, optical rotation (if chiral and non-racemic) and melting point analysis (if solids). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ on a Bruker 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR are reported as follows: chemical shift in reference to residual $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at $7.26 \mathrm{ppm}(\delta \mathrm{ppm})$,
multiplicity $(\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}=$ broad singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\operatorname{sex}=$ sextet, $\operatorname{sep}$ $=$ septet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ double of doublets, $\mathrm{td}=$ triplet of doublets, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet $)$, coupling constant $(\mathrm{Hz})$, and integration. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are reported in terms of chemical shift in reference to the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solvent signal ( 77.16 ppm ). Chemical shifts for ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR are reported in ppm relative to $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}(0.00 \mathrm{ppm})$. IR spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS5 spectrometer (iD5 ATR, diamond) and are reported in terms of frequency of absorption $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Melting points were measured on a Mel-Temp capillary melting point apparatus. Optical rotations were measured using a Jasco P-1010 digital polarimeter. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlabs Inc., Norcross, GA. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a JEOL AccuTOF LC-Plus 46 DART system. Enantiomeric ratios (er's) were determined by chiral SFC analysis using a Waters Acquity UPC2 instrument; specific columns and analytical methods are provided in the experimental details for individual compounds; the wavelengths of light used for chiral analyses are provided with the associated chromatograms. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis performed on Agilent 1200 Series chromatographs using a Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$ columns $(25 \mathrm{~cm})$ as noted for each. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel $60 \AA \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates (SiliaPlate from Silicycle) and visualized with UV light, iodine or potassium permanganate stain. Preparatory thin-layer chromatography (Prep-TLC) was performed on silica gel GF with UV $254(20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 1000$ microns, catalog \# TLG-R10011B-341 from Silicycle) and visualized with UV light. Isolated yields reported reflect the average values from two independent runs.

General Reagent Information: All reactions were performed under a nitrogen or argon atmosphere using the indicated method in the general procedures. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was purchased from J.T. Baker in CYCLE-TAINER ${ }^{\circledR}$ solvent delivery kegs and purified by passage
under argon pressure through two packed columns of neutral alumina and copper(II) oxide. Anhydrous 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) was purchased from Millipore-Sigma in a Sure-Seal ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ bottle and used as received. Copper(II) acetate was purchased from Strem and was used as received. 1,2-Bis((2S,5S)2,5-diphenylphospholano)ethane, 1,2-Bis((2R,5R)2,5diphenylphospholano)ethane ( $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{BPE}$ ) ligands were purchased from Namena Corp. and stored in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. DTBM-SEGPHOS was purchased from Takasago International Co. and used as received. Josiphos ligand $(R)-1-\left\{\left(S_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-2-[\operatorname{Bis}[4-(\right.$ trifluoromethyl $)-$ phenyl]phosphino]ferrocenyl\} ethyldi-tert-butylphosphine (Josiphos SL-J011-1) was a generous gift from Solvias and stored in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Dimethoxy(methyl)silane (DMMS) was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co. (TCI). Both silanes were stored in a nitrogenfilled glovebox at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for long-term storage. (Caution: Dimethoxy(methyl)silane (DMMS, CAS\#16881-77-9) is listed by several vendors (TCI, Alfa Aesar) SDS or MSDS as a H318, a category 1 Causes Serious Eye Damage. Other vendors (Sigma-Aldrich, Gelest) list DMMS as a H319, a category II Eye Irritant. DMMS should be handled in a well-ventilated fumehood using proper precaution as outlined for the handling of hazardous materials in prudent practices in the laboratory ${ }^{113}$. At the end of the reaction either ammonium fluoride in methanol, aqueous sodium hydroxide (1 M) or aqueous hydrochloric acid (1 M) should be carefully added to the reaction mixture. This should be allowed to stir for at least 30 min or the time indicated in the detailed reaction procedure). All reactions should be (and were) carried out in a well-ventilated hood or in a glovebox. 1-Adamantyl fluoroformate was purchased from Millipore-Sigma and used as received (for batch no. 05601 mh ) or after recrystallization ${ }^{2}$ (for batch no. 0000028781). All other solvents and commercial reagents were used as received from Millipore-Sigma, Alfa Aesar, Acros Organics, TCI and Combi-Blocks, unless otherwise noted. Flash column chromatography
was performed using 40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ silica gel (SiliaFlash ${ }^{\circledR}$ F60 from Silicycle), or with the aid of a Biotage Isolera Automated Flash Chromatography System using prepacked SNAP silica cartridges (10-100 g). Organic solutions were concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a Buchi rotary evaporator.

### 2.4.2 Optimization and General Procedures for Hydrocarboxylation Reactions

### 2.4.2.1 Optimization of $\mathbf{C u H}$-Catalyzed Hydrocarboxylation of 1,1-disubstituted Allenes

Table S1. Evaluation of Different Electrophiles ${ }^{a}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Ligand | LG, OR | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) | er ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1 | ( $R, R$ )-Ph-BPE | $\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{OPh}(2 \mathrm{a})$ | 40 | 42 | 90:10 |
| 2 | (R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS | PhO, OPh (2a) | 40 | $<5$ | - |
| 3 | SL-J011-1 | $\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{OPh}(2 \mathrm{a})$ | 40 | 8 | - |
| 4 | (S,S)-BenzP* | $\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{OPh}(2 \mathrm{a})$ | 40 | $<5$ | - |
| 5 | $(R, R)$-QuinoxP* | PhO, OPh (2a) | 40 | $<5$ | - |
| 6 | (S)-3H-QuinoxP* | $\mathrm{PhO}, \mathrm{OPh}(2 \mathrm{a})$ | 40 | 53 | 80:20 |
| 7 | $(R, R)$-BiphenylP* | PhO, OPh (2a) | 40 | $<5$ | - |
| 8 | (R,R)-Ph-BPE | $\mathrm{BocO}, \mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 40 | $<5$ | - |
| 9 | (R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS | $\mathrm{BocO}, \mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 40 | $<5$ | - |
| $10^{d}$ | $(R, R)$-Ph-BPE | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{OMe}(2 \mathrm{c})$ | 25 | <5 | - |


| $11^{e}$ | $(R, R)$-Ph-BPE | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{OMe}(2 \mathrm{c})$ | 25 | $<5$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12^{d}$ | $(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS | $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{OMe}(2 \mathrm{c})$ | 25 | $<5$ | - |
| $13^{e}$ | $(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS | Cl, OMe (2c) | 25 | $<5$ | - |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $(\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R})$-Ph-BPE | F, OAd (2d) | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 : 1 3}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions were conducted on 0.1 mmol scale. ${ }^{b}$ Yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. ${ }^{c}$ Enantiomeric ratio was determined by SFC analysis on commercial chiral columns. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{LiOMe}$ (1.1 equiv) was used as an additive; allene (1.5 equiv) was used. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{CsOBz}$ (1.1 equiv) was used as an additive; allene (1.5 equiv) was used.

## Table S2. Evaluation of Different Ligands in Reactions with Electrophile 2d ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reactions were conducted on 0.1 mmol scale. ${ }^{b}$ Yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard.
${ }^{c}$ Enantiomeric ratio was determined by SFC analysis on commercial chiral columns (specified in the experimental section for each compound).

## Table S3. Evaluation of Other Reaction Parameters ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$



| Entry | Solvent | Yield $^{b}(\%)$ | $\mathrm{er}^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1,4-Dioxane (0.5 M) | 64 | $99: 1$ |
| 2 | MTBE $(0.5 \mathrm{M})$ | 43 | $99.5: 0.5$ |
| 3 | CyH (0.5 M) | 55 | $99.5: 0.5$ |
| 4 | Toluene (0.5 M) | 68 | $99: 1$ |
| 5 | THF (0.5 M) | 77 | $99: 1$ |
| 6 | THF (1.0 M) | 76 | $99: 1$ |
| 7 | THF (0.25 M) | 75 | $99: 1$ |
| $8^{d}$ | THF (0.5 M) | 51 | n.d. |
| $\mathbf{9}^{e}$ | THF (0.5 M) | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 : 1}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions were conducted on 0.1 mmol scale. ${ }^{b}$ Yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. ${ }^{c}$ Enantiomeric ratio was determined by SFC analysis on commercial chiral columns (specified in the experimental section for each compound). ${ }^{d} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( $2.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were used. ${ }^{e} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}$ ( 1.2 equiv) and $\mathbf{2 d}$ ( 1.0 equiv) were used.

### 2.4.2 . Optimization of $\mathbf{C u H}$-Catalyzed Hydrocarboxylation of 1-Substituted Allenes

Table S4. Optimization Table for Phenylallene ${ }^{a}$


| Entry | Ligand | solvent | Temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Yield $^{b}(\%)$ | er $^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(R, R)$-Ph-BPE | THF | rt | 72 | $79: 21$ |
| $2^{d}$ | $(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS | THF | rt | 83 | $69.5: 30.5$ |
| 3 | SL-J011-1 | THF | rt | 85 | $88.5: 11.5$ |
| 4 | SL-J011-1 | 1,4 -dioxane | rt | 89 | $89.5: 10.5$ |
| 5 | SL-J011-1 | MTBE | rt | 85 | $90: 10$ |
| 6 | SL-J011-1 | CyH | rt | 85 | $87: 13$ |
| 7 | SL-J011-1 | toluene | rt | 84 | $88.5: 11.5$ |
| 8 | SL-J011-1 | CPME | rt | 83 | $88.5: 11.5$ |
| 9 | SL-J011-1 | DME | rt | 85 | $90: 10$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | SL-J011-1 | DME | $\mathbf{0}^{e}$ | $\mathbf{9 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 5 : 6 . 5}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions were conducted on 0.1 mmol scale. ${ }^{b}$ Yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. ${ }^{c}$ Enantiomeric ratio was determined by SFC analysis on commercial chiral columns (specified in the experimental section for each compound). ${ }^{d}$ Allene ( 2.0 equiv) was used. ${ }^{e}$ Cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice/water bath.

### 2.4.2.3 Examination of Allenes with Different Substitution Patterns

In addition to the examples shown in Table 2 and 3, we have examined allenes bearing less or more bulky substituents. The results are summarized in Table S5.

## Table S5. Reactivity and Enantioselectivity in Reactions Using Different Types of Allenes ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reactions were conducted on 0.1 mmol scale. $\mathrm{FCO}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}$ ( 1.0 equiv) was used. Yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. Enantiomeric ratio was determined by SFC analysis on commercial chiral columns (specified in the experimental section for each compound). ${ }^{b}$ Allene (1.2 equiv) was used. ${ }^{c}$ Allene (2.0 equiv) was used. ${ }^{d}$ Allene (1.5 equiv) was used.

### 2.4.2.4 General Procedures for CuH-Catalyzed Hydrocarboxylation Reactions ${ }^{114}$

## General Procedure A

An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935C) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( $38.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction tube was loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C401560), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The cap was removed and anhydrous

THF ( 0.60 mL ) was added to the tube via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. Next, the cap was removed and dimethoxymethylsilane (DMMS) ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was recapped, and the mixture was stirred for another 10 min at room temperature to prepare an orange-colored CuH stock solution.

A separate oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 20*125 mm, part no. 1495937A) containing a magnetic stir bar was loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-18400; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-18), and then transferred into the glovebox. The cap was removed, and allene (0.60 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate $(0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ equiv. Note: Weighed out as a solid. Low melting point $\sim 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hold the bottle using an iron clamp to prevent melting the solids) were added. Anhydrous THF ( 0.50 mL ) was added to the reaction tube via a 1 mL syringe while rinsing the walls of the tube. Next, the CuH stock solution ( 0.68 mL ) was added via a 1 mL syringe to the reaction tube in one portion. The reaction tube was capped and removed from the glovebox. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at the temperature and time as indicated for each substrate.

## General Procedure B

An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 20*125 mm, part no. 1495937 A ) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.050 equiv). The reaction tube was loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-18400; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-18), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The cap was removed and SL-J011-1 (18.7
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.0275 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.055$ equiv) was added to the tube. Anhydrous 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME, 0.50 mL ) was added via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 10 $\min$ at room temperature. The cap was removed and allene ( $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 adamantyl fluoroformate ( $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ equiv. Note: Weighed out as a solid. Low melting point $\sim 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hold the bottle using an iron clamp to prevent melting the solids) were added. Anhydrous DME ( 0.50 mL ) was added via a 1 mL syringe while rinsing the walls of the tube. The reaction tube was capped, removed from the glovebox, and then submerged in an ice/water bath. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , and then DMMS $(0.18 \mathrm{~mL}$, 1.50 mmol ) was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe by piercing the septum of the cap. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6^{-7} \mathrm{~h}$ (as indicated for each substrate).

Workup A (the products are isolated as carboxylic acids)
After the reaction mixture had stirred for the amount of time as indicated for each substrate, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm (or cool) to room temperature (if applicable), and the cap of the reaction tube was removed. While the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature, sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred uncapped at room temperature for 1 h , and then transferred to a 100 mL round bottom flask. The reaction tube was rinsed with EtOAc, and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in $50 \%$ hexane/EtOAc ( 1 mL ), and was filtered through a short plug of basic activated alumina ( $2.5-3 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with $50 \%$ hexane/EtOAc ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting solution was collected in a 20 mL scintillation vial, and then concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was again dissolved in $50 \%$ hexane/EtOAc, and then
filtered through a short plug (using a plugged pipette) of silica gel ( $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with $50 \%$ hexane/EtOAc ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting solution was collected in a 20 mL scintillation vial and then concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and transferred to a reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 16*125 mm, part no.1495935A). The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. Afterwards, the reaction tube was left under high vacuum for $20 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a magnetic stir bar were added to the tube. While the solution was stirred at room temperature, trifluoroacetic acid ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv) was added dropwise via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 7380415425; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-15), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3-14 h (as indicated for each substrate). The resulting mixture was then transferred to a 125 mL separatory funnel with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with 1 M aq. $\mathrm{NaOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ (Note: The aqueous layer contains the sodium salt of the product. In cases where the phases were difficult to separate, a 9 " pipette was used to stir the biphasic mixture in the separatory funnel to help the phases separate). The aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layers were discarded. Then $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq} .\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the aqueous layer, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered through a short plug of silica gel ( $\sim 0.3 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with $5: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, and the resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator to give the products as carboxylic acids.

## Workup B (the products were isolated as esters)

After the reaction mixture had stirred for the amount of time as indicated for each substrate, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm (or cool) to room temperature (if applicable), and the cap of the reaction tube was removed. While the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature, sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred with the tube uncapped at room temperature for 30 min , then the mixture was transferred to a 100 mL round bottom flask. The tube was rinsed with EtOAc, and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc ( 1 mL ), then filtered through a short plug of basic activated alumina ( $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with EtOAc ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting solution was collected in a 20 mL scintillation vial, and then concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was immediately purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~g}$ silica gel, diameter of the column $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, length of the packed column $\sim 18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) to give the products as esters.

### 2.4.2.5 Determination of the absolute configuration of the hydrocarboxylation product

 Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction Data for Compound 3a (P20120): A crystal of 3a was obtained by slowly evaporating a solution of $\mathbf{3 a}$ the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (in air). The absolute configuration of 3a was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis. The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{3 b} \mathbf{- q}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ was assigned by analogy to $\mathbf{3 a}$ (Note: Reactions with $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{L 3}$ as the ligand give the same major enantiomer).CCDC 2050451 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for 3a. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


Table S6. Crystal data and structure refinement for P20120

Identification code

Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

P20120

C11 H12 O2
176.21

100(2) K
$1.54178 \AA$
Monoclinic
P2 ${ }_{1}$
$a=11.9503(3) \AA \quad a=90^{\circ}$.
$b=6.14990(10) \AA \quad b=91.8740(11)^{\circ}$.

|  | $\mathrm{c}=13.0888(3) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Volume | 961.42(4) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.217 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.668 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 376 |
| Crystal size | $0.315 \times 0.040 \times 0.035 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 3.378 to $74.620^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-14<=\mathrm{h}<=14,-7<=\mathrm{k}<=7,-16<=1<=16$ |
| Reflections collected | 26117 |
| Independent reflections | $3918[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0461]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=67.679^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3918 / 3 / 243 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.043 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2$ sigma( I )] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0292, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0748$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0303, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0757$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.11(6) |
| Extinction coefficient | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole 0.15 | $206 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$ |

### 2.4.3 Characterization Data for the Hydrocarboxylation Products

## (S)-2-methyl-2-phenylbut-3-enoic acid (3a)

Following general procedure $\mathbf{A}$, buta-2,3-dien-2-ylbenzene ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1-adamantyl fluoroformate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at room temperature for 24 h . After Workup A (the hydrolysis reaction using trifluoroacetic acid was run at room temperature for 3 h ), the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $78 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $78 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 181.30,142.75,140.60,128.62,127.28,126.71,115.63,53.74,23.29$. The spectral data match those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{115 \mathrm{a}}$ SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $15: 85 \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 3.31 min (major), 3.53 min (minor), $99: 1$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:-5.7\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (S)-2-methyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)but-3-enoic acid (3b)

Following general procedure A, 1-(buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)-4(trifluoromethyl)benzene ( $119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at room temperature for 18 h . After Workup A (the hydrolysis reaction using trifluoroacetic acid was run at room temperature for 3 h ), the title compound was obtained as a colorless liquid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: 113 $\mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ yield, $96: 4 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $114 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ yield, $\left.96: 4 \mathrm{er}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 180.62, 146.61,
139.72, $129.66(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.29,125.60(\mathrm{q}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.17(\mathrm{q}, J=272.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 116.58, 53.82, 23.30. ${ }^{19} \mathbf{F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-62.61$. The spectral data match those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{115 b}$ SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 2:98 MeOH: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $8: 92 \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $1.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 5.10 min (major), 5.52 min (minor), $96: 4$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}$ : $-3.9\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

## (S)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-3-enoic acid (3c)

Following general procedure A, 1-(buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)-4-methoxybenzene (96
 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After Workup A (Note: $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ EtOAc was used as the eluent instead during the filtration of the crude mixture through a plug of basic activated alumina. The hydrolysis reaction using trifluoroacetic acid was run at room temperature for 14 h ), the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $55 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ yield, $97.5: 2.5 \mathrm{er}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $58 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ yield, $97.5: 2.5 \mathrm{er}$ ). m.p. $57.9-58.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 181.29,158.70,140.91,134.75,127.89,115.31,113.94,55.40,52.97,23.37$. IR (thin film): 2985, 2836, 1698, 1510, 1463, 1248, 1180, $827 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}$, 69.89; H, 6.84. Found: C, 69.63; H, 6.80. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 MeOH: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $15: 85 \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.55 min (major), 4.83 min (minor), 97.5:2.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}:-7.9\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.
adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-(3-bromophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-enoate (3d)


Following general procedure A, 1-bromo-3-(buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)benzene (126 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate $(99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(200 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane $/ E t O A c=[100: 1(200$ $\mathrm{mL}) \rightarrow$ 80:1 $(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ 60:1 $(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 50: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain), the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $138 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $135 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er}$ ). m.p. $51.5-52.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $17.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{t}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.98$, $146.66,140.97,129.87,129.81,125.40,122.48,115.19,81.58,54.39,41.21,36.27,30.95,23.53$. IR (thin film): 2910, 2851, 1723, 1564, 1238, 1122, 1052, $782 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}$ : C, $64.79 ;$ H, 6.47 . Found: C, $64.89 ;$ H, 6.72 . SFC analysis: OJ-H (Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5$ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 3:97 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}, 2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.45 min (major), 4.85 min (minor), 99:1 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}:-11.5\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

## adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-(2-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-enoate (3e)



Following general procedure A, 1-(buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)-2-fluorobenzene ( 89 mg , $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate $(99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[100: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL})$
$\rightarrow 80: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 70: 1(210 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 60: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain), the title compound was obtained as a colorless liquid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $119 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ yield, $96: 4 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $125 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield, $\left.96: 4 \mathrm{er}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.11(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.4,8.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.66(\mathrm{br}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.19,160.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=246.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $140.32,132.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 115.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.26,81.12,51.91,41.09,36.34,30.95,22.40 .{ }^{19}$ F NMR (376 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-112.00$. IR (thin film): 2909, 2851, 1728, 1489, 1451, 1239, 1055, $754 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 329.1911; found 329.1913. HPLC analysis: OD-H $\left(\right.$ Chiralcel $^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; $\mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{IPA}=98 / 2,0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 210 \mathrm{~nm}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), $19.20 \min$ (major), 20.16 min (minor), 96:4 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}:-17.8\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as ( $S$ ) by analogy.
adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-2-methylbut-3-enoate (3f)


Following general procedure A, 5-(buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)benzo[d][1,3]dioxole ( $104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate $(99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=$ [60:1 $(240 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 50: 1(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ ] (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain), the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $138 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield, $98: 2 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: 134 $\mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield, $98: 2 \mathrm{er})$. m.p. $87.8-89.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.79(\mathrm{t}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7$,
$0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{br}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.58,147.61,146.21,141.84,138.30$, $119.69,114.39,108.00,107.52,101.09,81.23,54.16,41.22,36.30,30.95,23.75$. IR (thin film): 2909, 2852, 1721, 1486, 1237, 1122, 1040, $921 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 74.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.39$. Found: C, 74.66; H, 7.52. SFC analysis: OJ-H (Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 20:80 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, 2.50 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 3.26 min (major), 3.49 min (minor), 98:2 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}:-8.3(\mathrm{c}=1.0$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

## adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-(6-methoxypyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbut-3-enoate (3g)

Following general procedure A, 5-(buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)-2-methoxypyridine (97

$\mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1-adamantyl fluoroformate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) were used. The reaction was run at room temperature for 24 h . After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=$ $[40: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ 30:1 $(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 25: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 20: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 15: 1(90 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 10: 1$ $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ ] (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain), the title compound was obtained as a colorless liquid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $152 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ yield, $98.5: 1.5 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $151 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield, 98.5:1.5 er). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.11,163.00,144.88,141.23,137.73$, $132.34,114.89,110.38,81.57,53.50,52.32,41.22,36.25,30.93,23.50$. IR (thin film): 2909, 2852, 1723, 1604, 1492, 1288, 1242, $1054 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 73.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.97$.

Found: C, 73.92 ; H, 7.79. HPLC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; $\mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{IPA}=99.5 / 0.5,0.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 230 \mathrm{~nm}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), 25.53 min (minor), 27.05 min (major), 98.5:1.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}:-6.4\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.
adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-methyl-2-(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)but-3-enoate (3h)


Following general procedure A, 4-(buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazole ( $118 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate $(99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) were used. The reaction was run at room temperature for 18 h . After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $=[40: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 30: 1(90 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 25: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 20: 1(140 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 15: 1(90 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 10: 1$ $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 8: 1(160 \mathrm{~mL})]$, the title compound was obtained as a white solid $\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.$ run: 123 mg , $65 \%$ yield, $96.5: 3.5 \mathrm{er}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $140 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ yield, $\left.96.5: 3.5 \mathrm{er}\right)$. m.p. $48.4-49.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29$ (dd, $J=17.4,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{br}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.03,141.75,140.29,140.16,129.51$, $126.69,126.39,124.99,119.01,113.77,81.54,48.02,41.28,36.28,30.96,23.48$. IR (thin film): 2909, 2851, 1721, 1600, 1502, 1242, 1052, $754 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 76.56 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.50. Found: C, 76.73; H, 7.59. SFC analysis: OJ-H (Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 MeOH: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}, 1.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 17.13 min (major), 18.22 min (minor), 96.5:3.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}$ : $9.0\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

## (S)-2-methyl-2-(1-tosyl-1H-indol-3-yl)but-3-enoic acid (3i)



General procedure B was followed, except THF was used as solvent and the reaction was carried out at room temperature for 24 h .3 -(Buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1H-indole ( $194 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) were used. After Workup A [Note: During the filtration of the crude mixture through a plug of basic activated alumina and then a plug of silica gel prior to the hydrolysis reaction, EtOAc ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ for each filtration) was used as the eluent instead of $50 \%$ hexane/EtOAc. The hydrolysis reaction using trifluoroacetic acid was run at room temperature for 8 h ], the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $148 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield, $97.5: 2.5$ er; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $134 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ yield, $\left.97.5: 2.5 \mathrm{er}\right)$. m.p. $75.3-76.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 179.75,145.13,138.80,135.65,135.27,130.06,128.99,126.94,124.77$, 124.10, 124.02, 123.20, 121.36, 116.23, 113.85, 48.68, 23.32, 21.71. IR (thin film): 2987, 1703, 1447, 1369, 1280, 1172, 1133, $909 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 65.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.18$. Found: C, 64.87 ; H, 4.99. SFC analysis: OJ-H (Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; $4: 96$ $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}, 2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 21.35 min (minor), 22.17 min (major), 97.5:2.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}:-10.2\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

## (S)-2-phenyl-2-vinylpentanoic acid (3j)



Following general procedure $\mathbf{A}$, hexa-1,2-dien-3-ylbenzene ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1-adamantyl fluoroformate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After Workup $\mathbf{A}$ (the hydrolysis reaction using trifluoroacetic acid was run at room temperature for 3 h ), the title compound was obtained as a colorless liquid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $89 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ yield, $98: 2 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $88 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ yield, $98: 2 \mathrm{er}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.39-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.4,10.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08$ $(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.5,11.0,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 180.79,141.50,139.55,128.41,127.57,127.17,116.67,57.66,38.92,18.26$, 14.70. IR (thin film): 2960, 2872, 1697, 1466, 1399, 1269, 924, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 76.44; H, 7.90. Found: C, 76.67; H, 7.79. SFC analysis: OJ-H (Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \mathrm{x}$ $250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $30: 70 \mathrm{IPA}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 3.34 min (major), 3.61 min (minor), $98: 2$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}: 13.2\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

## (S)-1-vinyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-1-carboxylic acid (3k)

$=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ Following general procedure $\mathbf{A}, 1$-vinylidene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene ( 94 mg , $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After Workup A (the hydrolysis reaction using trifluoroacetic acid was run at room temperature for 3 h ), the title compound was obtained as a pale yellow solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $55 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $65 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield, 99:1 er). m.p. 57.3-57.9 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.22-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=$
$17.4,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37$ (ddd, $J=12.9,9.1,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.0,6.6,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 181.58$, 142.02, 137.48, 134.57, 130.59, 129.42, 127.29, 125.71, 116.85, 54.44, 33.61, 29.64, 18.98. IR (thin film): 2935, 2638, 1695, 1448, 1405, 1265, 923, 733 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 77.20; H, 6.98. Found: C, 77.04; H, 6.86. SFC analysis: AD-H $\left(\right.$ Chiralpak $^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 MeOH: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $15: 85 \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 3.78 min (minor), 4.33 min (major), 99:1 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}: 40.5\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

## (S)-5-((N-benzyl-4-methylphenyl)sulfonamido)-2-phenyl-2-vinylpentanoic acid (31)



Following general procedure A, $N$-benzyl-4-methyl- $N$-(4-phenylhexa-4,5-dien-1yl)benzenesulfonamide ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 21 h . Then the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, and the cap of the reaction tube was removed. While the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature, sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred with the tube uncapped at room temperature for 30 min , then the mixture was transferred to a 100 mL round bottom flask, the tube was rinsed with EtOAc, and then the mixture was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc, and then was filtered through a short plug of basic activated alumina ( $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with EtOAc ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting solution was collected in a 20 mL scintillation vial, and then concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue
was immediately purified by silica gel column chromatography eluting with 0-6\% acetone/hexane. Product-containing fractions were combined and then concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and transferred to a reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 16*125 mm, part no.1495935A). The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator and the reaction tube was left under high vacuum for $20 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a magnetic stir bar were added to the tube. While the solution was stirred at room temperature, trifluoroacetic acid ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv) was added dropwise via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-15425; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-15) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . The mixture was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator, and then purified with the aid of a Teledyne ISCO CombiFlash Automated Flash Chromatography System ( 25 g KP-Sil cartridge, $0-2.5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). The title compound was obtained as a pale yellow liquid (1 $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $180 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: 180 $\mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.20$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 179.71,143.31,140.89,138.69,137.11,136.26$, $129.83,128.67,128.54,128.49,127.88,127.34,127.31,127.28,117.08,57.10,51.83,48.01$, 33.42, 23.33, 21.65. IR (thin film): 3026, 2923, 1733, 1699, 1495, 1333, 1154, $928 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 464.1890; found 464.1887. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; $15: 85 \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $40: 60 \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 5.46 min (minor), 5.64 min
(major), 99:1 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}:-3.7\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

## adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-phenyl-5-((triisopropylsilyl)oxy)-2-vinylpentanoate (3m)

 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . Then the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, and the cap of the reaction tube was removed. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. While the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature, aq. sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was transferred to a 125 mL separatory funnel containing brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc, and then was filtered through a short plug of basic activated alumina ( $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with EtOAc ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting solution was collected in a 20 mL scintillation vial, and then concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was immediately purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~g}$ silica gel, diameter of the column $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, length of the packed column $\sim 18 \mathrm{~cm}$, the sample was loaded onto silica gel as a solution in hexane) with a gradient of hexane $(200 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[200: 1(200 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ 150:1 $(450$ $\mathrm{mL}) \rightarrow 70: 1(140 \mathrm{~mL})$ ] (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain). The title compound was obtained as a colorless liquid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $192 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ yield, $98.5: 1.5 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $193 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield, $98.5: 1.5 \mathrm{er}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.21$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,0.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{br}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 1.55-1.46 (m, 2H), 1.13-1.07 (m, 21H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.29,142.89,140.56$, $128.15,127.40,126.55,115.59,81.06,63.83,57.86,41.24,36.33,32.99,30.94,28.59,18.20$, 12.14. IR (thin film): $2940,2911,2864,1723,1458,1234,1102,882 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ Si: C, $75.24 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.87$. Found: C, $75.30 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.03$. SFC analysis: OD-H (Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, 4.6 x $250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 2:98 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 7:93 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 18 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 13.75 min (minor), 14.61 min (major), 98.5:1.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}: 1.9\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.
adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-cyclohexyl-2-methylbut-3-enoate (3n)


General procedure B was followed, except THF was used as solvent and the reaction was carried out at room temperature for 24 h . Buta-2,3-dien-2ylcyclohexane ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane/EtOAc $=[80: 1(160 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 60: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 40: 1(120$ mL )] (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain), the title compound was obtained as a colorless liquid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $115 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ yield, $94.5: 5.5 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $107 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield, 94.5:5.5 er). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8$, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.14-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{qd}, J=12.5,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 174.91,142.06,113.78,80.33,53.02,45.45,41.43,36.39$,
30.96, 28.19, 27.58, 27.06, 27.02, 26.74, 15.14. IR (thin film): 2909, 2851, 1718, 1450, 1228, 1102, 1056, $912 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 79.70; H, 10.19. Found: C, 79.92; H, 10.31 . SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 20:80 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 3.47 min (minor), $3.59 \min$ (major), 94.5:5.5 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}$ : $-26.9\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

## adamantan-1-yl ( $\boldsymbol{S}$ )-2-phenylbut-3-enoate (30)



Following general procedure B, propa-1,2-dien-1-ylbenzene $(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2 equiv) and 1-adamantyl fluoroformate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h . After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(200 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=[100: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 80: 1$ $(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 70: 1(350 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain), the title compound was obtained as a colorless liquid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $101 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield, $93: 7 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: 107 $\mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ yield, $92.5: 7.5 \mathrm{er}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.37-7.31(m, 4 H$), 7.30-7.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.2,10.2,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.1,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{br}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.43,138.85,136.50,128.67,128.06,127.17,117.04,81.34$, $57.05,41.31,36.29,30.96$. IR (thin film): $3028,2909,2851,1725,1453,1154,1053,696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 81.04; H, 8.16. Found: C, 81.10; H, 8.30. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $15: 85 \mathrm{IPA}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.73 min (minor), 5.11 min (major),

93:7 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}: 26.0\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

## adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-methyl-2-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)but-3-enoate (3p)



Following general procedure B, methyl(4-(propa-1,2-dien-1-yl)phenyl)sulfane ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate $(99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(200 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane $/ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}=$ $[100: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 80: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 70: 1(70 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 60: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 50: 1(200 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain), the title compound was obtained as a colorless liquid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $127 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ yield, $93.5: 6.5 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $118 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ yield, $93: 7 \mathrm{er}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.2,10.2,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $10.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47$ (s, 3H), $2.14(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{br}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.33$, $137.20,136.34,135.74,128.57,126.94,117.12,81.44,56.47,41.31,36.28,30.96,16.05$. IR (thin film): 2909, 2851, 1723, 1493, 1296, 1160, 1053, $921 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}$, 73.64; H, 7.65. Found: C, 73.79; H, 7.70. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 40:60 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.85 min (minor), 5.36 min (major), $93: 7$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}: 35.5$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

Following general procedure B, propa-1,2-dien-1-ylcyclohexane (73 mg, 0.60 $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Ad}} \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and 1 -adamantyl fluoroformate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were used. The reaction was run at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . After Workup B and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane/EtOAc $=[80: 1(160 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 60: 1$ $(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 40: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (the product on TLC was visualized with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ stain), the title compound was obtained as a colorless liquid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $116 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ yield, $93: 7 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: 126 $\mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ yield, $93: 7 \mathrm{er}){ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.76(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.1,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.10-$ $5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.56(\mathrm{~m}$, 12H), 1.30-0.97(m, 4H), 0.90-0.80(m, 1H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 173.08, 136.07, $117.41,80.53,58.62,41.50,40.11,36.37,31.19,30.97,30.28,26.48,26.30,26.27$. IR (thin film): $2910,2850,1724,1449,1241,1164,1055,914 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 79.42; H, 10.00. Found: C, 79.49 ; H, 10.00. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 15:85 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.33 min (minor), 4.72 min (major), $93: 7$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{24}:-39.6$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.

### 2.4.4 Preparation of Allene Substrates

All of the allenes used in this chapter are listed below. $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{c}^{116}, \mathbf{1 d}-\mathbf{e}^{117}, \mathbf{1 f}^{116}, \mathbf{1 g}^{118}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}^{119}$, $\mathbf{1 k}^{117}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{m}^{120}, \mathbf{1 n}^{116}, \mathbf{1 o}^{120}$ are known compounds and were prepared by following previously reported procedures.
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## General Procedure $\mathbf{D}^{121}$

Preparation of 1,1-dibromocyclopropanes:
A 50 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with the alkene. Then, $\mathrm{BnNEt}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ and bromoform were added. While the mixture was vigorously stirred, 25 M aq . NaOH was added dropwise. Then the flask was sealed with a rubber septum, attached to a balloon filled with air by piercing the rubber septum with a needle attached to the balloon, and submerged in an oil bath preheated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction mixture was then allowed to cool to room temperature, the septum was removed, and the contents of the flask were transferred to a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
$(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 70 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and then concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give the corresponding 1,1-dibromocyclopropane.

Preparation of allenes:
A 50 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $1,1-$ dibromocyclopropane, sealed with a rubber septum, and connected to a Schlenk line by piercing the septum with a needle attached to a rubber hose. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times). The argon inlet was replaced with a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous THF was added via syringe. The flask was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the aid of an ice/water bath. Once cool, $\mathrm{EtMgBr}\left(3 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ via syringe. The cooling bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then stirred at room temperature for 1 h . After the reaction mixture had stirred for 1 h , the rubber septum was removed. The flask was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the aid of an ice/water bath, and the reaction mixture was quenched with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. Next, the contents of the flask were transferred to a separatory funnel containing water ( 40 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and then concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give the corresponding allene (Note: Once prepared, the allenes were stored in the glovebox freezer at $\left.-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

## 4-(buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazole (1h)



A 250 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was sealed with a rubber septum and connected to a Schlenk line by piercing the septum with a needle attached to a rubber hose. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated a total of three times. The argon inlet was replaced with a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous THF ( 50 mL ) was added via syringe. The septum was removed and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCH}_{3} \mathrm{Br}(30.0$ mmol, 1.2 equiv, 10.7 g ) was added quickly at once. Then the flask was immediately sealed with the septum, purged with argon for 1 min , and submerged in an ice/water bath. While the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the septum was removed and $\mathrm{KO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}(30.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv, 3.37 g ) was added in one portion. The flask was immediately sealed with the septum. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The cooling bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then stirred at room temperature for 30 min . Next, the reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the aid of an ice/water bath, and 1-(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)ethan-1-one ( $25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, 4.66 g ) was added portionwise by temporarily removing, then replacing the septum. The cooling bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then stirred at room temperature overnight. The septum was removed, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator, and then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The resulting mixture was filtered through a plug of silica gel $(\sim 15 \mathrm{~g})$ using a fritted funnel and washed with additional $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\sim 150$ mL ). The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator, and then purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $=0-7 \%$ ) to give 1-phenyl-4-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1H-pyrazole as a colorless liquid (4.55 g, 99\% yield).

Following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, 1-phenyl-4-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1H-pyrazole (3.68 g, 1.0 equiv, 20.0 mmol ), bromoform ( $5.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0$ equiv, 60.0 mmol ), $\mathrm{BnNEt}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}(91 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02$ equiv, $0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(3.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were used to prepare 4-(2,2-dibromo-1-methylcyclopropyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazole ( $2.86 \mathrm{~g}, ~ 40 \%$ yield). Then 4-(2,2-dibromo-1-methylcyclopropyl)-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazole ( $1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv, 4.0 mmol ), $\mathrm{EtMgBr}\left(3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{in}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, 1.3 equiv, 1.7 mL ), and THF ( 8 mL ) were used in the next step. After purification by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $=0-10 \%$ ), the title compound was obtained as a yellow liquid ( $0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ yield). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.69-7.67 (m, 3H), $7.44(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{q}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05(\mathrm{t}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 207.90,140.25,139.24,129.54,126.45$, 123.25, 121.81, 119.01, 92.05, 76.80, 17.57. IR (thin film): 3049, 2972, 1945, 1600, 1503, 1409, 1270, $952 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 197.1073; found 197.1074.

## 3-(buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1H-indole (1i)



Following general procedure D, 3-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1H-indole ${ }^{10}(6.23 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.0 equiv, 20.0 mmol ), bromoform ( 10.5 mL , 6.0 equiv, 120.0 mmol ), $\mathrm{BnNEt}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ equiv, 0.6 mmol ), and $25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(3.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were used to prepare 3-(2,2-dibromo-1-methylcyclopropyl)-1-tosyl-1H-indole ( $7.17 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ yield). Then 3-(2,2-dibromo-1-methylcyclopropyl)-1-tosyl-1H-indole ( $2.90 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv, 6.0 mmol ), $\mathrm{EtMgBr}\left(3 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.5$ equiv, 3.0 mL ), and THF ( 12 mL ) were used in the next step (Note: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was used in the extraction steps instead of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). After purification by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=3: 1 \rightarrow 2.5: 1$ ), the title compound was obtained as a white solid $\left(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%\right.$ yield). m.p. $122.5-123.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23-7.19 (m, 3H), $5.13(\mathrm{q}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{t}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 210.27,145.07,135.72,135.27,130.01,129.15,126.93,125.01,123.36$, 122.50, 121.40, 119.05, 113.67, 93.62, 77.54, 21.71, 18.67. IR (thin film): 2922, 1943, 1596, 1447, 1370, 1173, 1134, $714 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 324.1053$; found 324.1052 .

## $N$-benzyl-4-methyl- $N$-(4-phenylhexa-4,5-dien-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (11)

A 50 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( $918 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ equiv, 3.5 mmol ) and BnNHTs ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2$ equiv, 4.2 mmol ), sealed with a septum and connected to a Schlenk line by piercing the septum with a needle attached to a rubber hose. The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times), and then attached to a balloon filled with argon. Anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) and 4-phenylhexa-4,5-dien-1-ol ${ }^{120}(610 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0$ equiv, 3.5 mmol$)$ were added via syringe. While the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diisopropyl azodicarboxylate ( 849 mg , 1.2 equiv, 4.2 mmol ) was added dropwise via syringe. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at room temperature overnight. Then the septum was removed, and aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The contents were transferred to a separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 50 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane/EtOAc $=10: 1 \sim 9: 1 \sim 6: 1$ ) to give the title compound as a white solid. m.p. $74.2-74.7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathbf{}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{t}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.2,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{p}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 208.22, 143.29, 137.24, 136.58, 136.06, 129.83, 128.69, 128.48, 128.44, 127.86, 127.32, 126.80, $125.99,104.14,78.88,52.04,47.74,26.57,26.06,21.67$. IR (thin film): 3030, 2922, 1940, 1597, 1494, 1453, 1337, 1157, $695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 74.79$; H, 6.52. Found: C, 74.49; H, 6.45.

## methyl(4-(propa-1,2-dien-1-yl)phenyl)sulfane (1p)

H Following general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, methyl(4-vinylphenyl)sulfane ${ }^{123}(4.51 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ meS equiv, 30.0 mmol ), bromoform ( $7.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0$ equiv, 90.0 mmol ), $\mathrm{BnNEt}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( 137 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.02$ equiv, 0.6 mmol ), and $25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(4.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ were used to prepare (4-(2,2dibromocyclopropyl)phenyl)(methyl)sulfane (1.06 g, 11\% yield). Then (4-(2,2dibromocyclopropyl)phenyl)(methyl)sulfane ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv, 3.3 mmol ), $\operatorname{EtMgBr}(3 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.3$ equiv, 1.4 mL ), and THF ( 7 mL ) were used in the next step. After purification by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pentane $=0-0.5 \%$ ), the title compound was obtained as a pale yellow liquid ( $495 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24-$ $7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 209.88,136.98,130.98,127.21,127.09,93.62,79.13,16.20$. IR (thin film): 2978, 2918, 1940, 1595, 1492, 1433, 1097, $853 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 74.03 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.21$. Found: C, 73.84; H, 6.13.

## 2-(4-(propa-1,2-dien-1-yl)phenyl)isoindolin-1-one (1r)



Following general procedure D, 2-(4-vinylphenyl)isoindolin-1-one ${ }^{124}$ (1.67 $\mathrm{g}, 1.0$ equiv, 7.1 mmol ), bromoform ( $3.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.0$ equiv, 42.6 mmol ), $\mathrm{BnNEt}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}(48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ equiv, 0.2 mmol$)$, and $25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(1.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were used to prepare 2-(4-(2,2-dibromocyclopropyl)phenyl)isoindolin-1-one (1.63 g, 56\% yield). Then 2-(4-(2,2-dibromocyclopropyl)phenyl)isoindolin-1-one (1.63 g, 1.0 equiv, 4.0 mmol$), \mathrm{EtMgBr}(3$ M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 1.3 equiv, 1.7 mL ), and THF ( 8 mL ) were used in the next step (Note: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was used in the extraction steps instead of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). After purification by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with hexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=7: 2: 1$ ), the title compound was obtained as a pale brown solid (493 mg, $50 \%$ yield). m.p. $159.0-160.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.93-7.91 (m, 1H), $7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 210.00,167.56,140.16,138.43,133.39,132.21,130.10,128.55,127.50,124.27$, 122.73, 119.64, $93.54,79.14,50.85$. IR (thin film): 3041, 1938, 1682, 1606, 1515, 1392, 1153, $730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}:$248.1070; found 248.1066.

### 2.4.5 Product Derivatization

### 2.4.5.1 Curtius Rearrangement



## (S)-2-phenylbut-3-en-2-amine (6)



An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 16*125 mm, part no. 1495935 A ) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathbf{3 a}(53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30$
mmol, 1.0 equiv). The reaction tube was loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-15425; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-15), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The cap was removed, and anhydrous toluene ( 2.7 mL ) and triethylamine ( $63 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were added to the tube via syringe. While the solution was stirred at room temperature, diphenyl phosphorazidate ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) was added dropwise. The tube was capped, attached to a balloon $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$, and then removed from the glovebox. The tube was submerged in an oil bath preheated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was then allowed to cool to room temperature and then concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was transferred to a separatory funnel containing $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 30 mL ), and then the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2$ x 30 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was filtered through a short plug of silica gel eluting with hexane/EtOAc $=10: 1(\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator, transferred to a reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm , part no. 1495935C), and concentrated again. Afterwards, $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(0.18 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via syringe. The tube was capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), attached to a balloon (air), and submerged in an oil bath preheated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and transferred to a separatory funnel. 1 M NaOH (5 mL ) and brine ( 10 mL ) were added. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel
$(\sim 15 \mathrm{~g})\left[50 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ initially; then $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ in MeOH$)=25: 1,100 \mathrm{~mL}$; then $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ in MeOH$\left.)=10: 1,100 \mathrm{~mL}\right]$. The title compound was obtained as a pale yellow liquid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $34 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er}, 100 \%$ es; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $32 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er}, 100 \%$ es). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.50-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.86 (br, 2H), 1.57 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 147.59, 147.17, 128.36, 126.71, $125.70,111.10,56.87,29.87$. The spectral data match those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{125}$ Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}:-25.2\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (S,E)-2-(((2-phenylbut-3-en-2-yl)imino)methyl)phenol (6')

 containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $6(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 2hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $21 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). Isopropanol $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added via syringe. The tube was capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60) and submerged in an oil bath preheated to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and directly purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (hexane $/ \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=100: 3: 1$ ). The title compound was obtained as a yellow liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 13.89(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 163.58,161.58,142.92,132.83,132.05,128.63,127.37,126.93,118.75,117.34,115.49,67.85$,
27.65. IR (thin film): 3058, 2980, 2932, 1624, 1579, 1493, $1280,928 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 252.1383$; found 252.1386. SFC analysis: OJ-H (Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250$ $\mathrm{mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 2:98 $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA})$ : $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $7: 93 \mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}): \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 10 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 5.51 min (major), 6.13 min (minor), 99:1 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}:-49.1\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

### 2.4.5.2 Hydroamination


adamantan-1-yl (S)-4-amino-2-(3-bromophenyl)-2-methylbutanoate (8)
$\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no.
 1495935C) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(3.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ( $R$ )-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( $25.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction tube was loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The cap was removed, and anhydrous THF ( 0.40 mL ) was added to the tube via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. Then the cap was removed and DMMS ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe. The mixture was stirred for another 10 min at room temperature to prepare the CuH stock solution.

A second oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935C) was loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and transferred into the glovebox. The cap was removed,
and 1,2-Benzisoxazole ( $78 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and anhydrous THF ( 0.30 mL ) were added to the tube via syringe to prepare the 1,2-benzisoxazole stock solution. The tube was capped and then gently swirled to mix the solution.

A third oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, $16^{*} 125 \mathrm{~mm}$, part no. 1495935A) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with alkene 3d ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-15425; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-15), and then transferred into the glovebox. The cap was removed, and the CuH stock solution $(0.41 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added via a 1 mL syringe to the reaction tube in one portion. While the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature, 1,2-benzisoxazole ( $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added over 1 min via microsyringe. The reaction tube was capped and the septum was punctured with a 4 " needle attached to a 1 mL syringe containing the 1,2 -benzisoxazole stock solution $(0.19 \mathrm{~mL}$, contains $0.39 \mathrm{mmol} 1,2-$ benzisoxazole). The reaction tube was then taken out of the glove box. While the reaction mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the 1,2-benzisoxazole solution was added slowly via syringe pump at a rate of $19 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}$ (Note: The tip of the needle should touch the wall of the reaction tube during the slow addition of 1,2-benzisoxazole). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a total of 24 h .

Next, the reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the cap was removed, and $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ( 0.5 M in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 1.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise to the tube (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and then concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. 0.5 M aq. $\mathrm{NaOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a
rotary evaporator. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $\sim 15 \mathrm{~g}$ ) [eluting with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 100 \mathrm{~mL} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ in MeOH$)=15: 1,150 \mathrm{~mL} ; 10: 1,150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ]. Product-containing fractions were collected, concentrated and redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ in MeOH$)=6: 1(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was filtered through a short plug of basic alumina ( $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL} 6: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\left(2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ in MeOH$)$. The resulting solution was concentrated to give the title compound as a colorless liquid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $60 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er}$, $100 \%$ es; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $70 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er}, 100 \%$ es). ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.17-2.10 (m, 4H), 2.04-1.97 (m, 7H), $1.73(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.28,146.77,129.95,129.80,129.27,124.80,122.64,81.31$, 49.95, 42.98, 41.22, 38.46, 36.27, 30.93, 23.21. IR (thin film): 2910, 2852, 1719, 1564, 1457, 1243, 1053, $872 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Br}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 406.1376; found 406.1384. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}: 14.8\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-(3-bromophenyl)-4-(( $(E)$-2-hydroxybenzylidene)amino)-2-

 methylbutanoate ( $\mathbf{8}^{\mathbf{\prime} \text { ) }}$

In order to determine the enantiomeric ratio of $\mathbf{8}$, the title compound was prepared. A reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935C) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathbf{8}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv). Isopropanol ( 0.1 mL ) was added via syringe. The tube was capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60) and submerged in an oil bath preheated to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction
mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and directly purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (hexane $/ \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=100: 10: 0.5$ ). The title compound was obtained as a yellow liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.29(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{tq}, J=11.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{br}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.06(\mathrm{br}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{br}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 174.00, 165.23, $161.21,146.25,132.31,131.28,130.05,129.98,129.34,124.88,122.74,118.91,118.67,117.12$, 81.55, 56.14, 50.09, 41.26, 40.42, 36.26, 30.94, 23.36. IR (thin film): 2910, 2852, 1720, 1632, 1458, 1279, 1053, $755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Br}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 510.1638$; found 510.1642. SFC analysis: OJ-H (Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 20:80 MeOH ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}$ ): $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $40: 60 \mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}): \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.38 min (minor), 4.76 min (major), $99: 1$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{24}$ : $24.7\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

### 2.4.5.3 Synthesis of ( $\boldsymbol{S}$ )-Indobufen



## (S)-Indobufen (10)



An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 20*125 mm, part no. 1495937A) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.050$ equiv). The reaction tube was loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 7380418400; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-18), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The cap was removed and SL-J011-1 ( $18.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0275 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.055$ equiv), 2-(4-(propa-1,2-dien-1-yl)phenyl)isoindolin-1-one $\mathbf{1 r}(124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and 1 adamantyl fluoroformate $\mathbf{2 d}(119 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) were added to the tube. Anhydrous 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME, 1.0 mL ) was added via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. The reaction tube was removed from the glovebox, and then placed in an ice bath. Anhydrous DME ( 0.5 mL ) was added via a 1 mL syringe to rinse the wall of the tube. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , and then DMMS ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the reaction mixture had stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , the cooling bath was removed and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, and the cap of the reaction tube was removed. While the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature, sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred with the tube uncapped in a wellventilated hood at room temperature for 30 min , then transferred to a 100 mL round bottom flask with the aid of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and then was filtered through a short plug of basic activated alumina ( $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with 1:1 $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was collected
in a reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 20*150 mm, part no. 1495937C), concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator, and left under high vacuum overnight to give a crude mixture containing intermediate $\mathbf{3 r}$.

A magnetic stir bar was added to the reaction tube containing $\mathbf{3 r}$. The tube was capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 7380418400; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-18) and connected to a Schlenk line by piercing the septum with a needle attached to a rubber hose. The tube was evacuated and backfilled with argon (this process was repeated for a total of three times). $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}(4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added into the tube by temporarily removing the cap and then the tube was immediately recapped. Anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added via syringe. While the reaction mixture was stirred, the reaction tube was briefly evacuated using a needle connected to a Schlenk line until the solvent began to bubble. The Schlenk line was closed to vacuum, and the tube was then carefully backfilled with hydrogen by piercing the septum with a needle connected to a hydrogen-filled balloon. This evacuation-refill cycle was repeated a total of three times. The filled balloon was left attached to the tube at the end of the third cycle. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 20 h . Then, the balloon was removed and an empty needle was inserted into the septum. Using a gentle stream of argon directed into the reaction mixture, the hydrogen in the headspace and reaction mixture was displaced. (Caution: to ensure that hydrogen is fully removed, the solution should be carefully sparged with argon to reduce the risk of fire during the subsequent filtration). After 5 min , the cap was removed. The mixture was poured onto a layer (in a fritted funnel) of Celite (a layer of sand was placed on top of Celite) and filtered to remove $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$. The Celite pad was washed with additional 1:1 EtOAc/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (ca. 10 mL ). (Caution: during the filtration, solvent should be continuously added so that the Celite pad
and other solids do not fully dry. $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$ at the top of the filter cake may ignite if not continuously covered with solvent. After the filtration was complete, the wet filter cake should be carefully transferred to a properly labeled waste bottle containing water.). After the filtration is complete, the resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was transferred to a reaction tube (Fisherbrand, 16*125 mm, part no. 1495935A) with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator, and left under high vacuum for 20 min to give a crude mixture containing intermediate 9 .
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a magnetic stir bar were added to the reaction tube containing 9 . While the solution was stirred at room temperature, trifluoroacetic acid ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv) was added dropwise via syringe. The tube was capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-15425; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-15), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h . The reaction mixture was then transferred to a 125 mL separatory funnel with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with 1 M aq. $\mathrm{NaOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layers were discarded. Next, 6 M aq. $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the aqueous layer, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered through a short plug of silica gel $(\sim 0.3 \mathrm{~g})$ eluting with 5:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, and the resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator to give the title compound as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $115 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield, $92: 8 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $110 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ yield, $93: 7 \mathrm{er}$ ). Duplicate experiments using fluoroformate 2d as the limiting reagent was carried out following the same procedure except $\mathbf{1 r}(148 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and $\mathbf{2 d}(99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) were used in the first step. In the duplicate experiments,
the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $122 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ yield, $92: 8 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $131 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ yield, $92.5: 7.5 \mathrm{er})$. m.p. $184.0-185.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{dp}, J=14.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{dp}, J=14.9$, $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.50,167.73,140.22$, $138.75,134.73,133.20,132.27,128.98,128.55,124.34,122.76,119.84,52.82,50.91,26.43$, 12.23. IR (thin film): 2964, 2875, 1695, 1514, 1384, 1305, 1160, $732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 296.1281$; found 296.1280. SFC analysis: OD-H (Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250$ $\mathrm{mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; $25: 75 \mathrm{MeOH}$ : $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $30: 70 \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.66 min (minor), 5.00 min (major), $92: 8 \mathrm{er}$. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{23}: 44.3\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The absolute stereochemistry was assigned as $(S)$ by analogy.
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### 2.6 Spectra and Chromatograms
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3d ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


3d ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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3k ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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6' ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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## (S)-2-methyl-2-phenylbut-3-enoic acid (3a)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched(AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S)-2-methyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)but-3-enoic acid (3b)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-3-enoic acid (3c)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-(3-bromophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-enoate (3d)


Racemic (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-(2-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-enoate (3e)


Recemic (OD-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OD-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-2-methylbut-3-enoate (3f)


Racemic (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-(6-methoxypyridin-3-yl)-2-methylbut-3-enoate (3g)


Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-methyl-2-(1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)but-3-enoate (3h)



Racemic (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S)-2-methyl-2-(1-tosyl-1H-indol-3-yl)but-3-enoic acid (3i)



Racemic (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S)-2-phenyl-2-vinylpentanoic acid (3j)



Racemic (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S)-1-vinyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-1-carboxylic acid (3k)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

(S)-5-((N-benzyl-4-methylphenyl)sulfonamido)-2-phenyl-2-vinylpentanoic acid (3I)


Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-phenyl-5-((triisopropylsilyl)oxy)-2-vinylpentanoate (3m)


Racemic (OD-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OD-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-cyclohexyl-2-methylbut-3-enoate (3n)


Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-phenylbut-3-enoate (30)


Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-methyl-2-(4-(methylthio)phenyl)but-3-enoate (3p)


Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-cyclohexylbut-3-enoate (3q)


Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S,E)-2-(((2-phenylbut-3-en-2-yl)imino)methyl)phenol (6')



Racemic (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

adamantan-1-yl (S)-2-(3-bromophenyl)-4-(((E)-2-hydroxybenzylidene)amino)-2methylbutanoate ( $\mathbf{8}^{\mathbf{\prime} \text { ) }}$


Racemic (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OJ-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S)-Indobufen (10)



Racemic (OD-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OD-H, Chiralcel ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## Chapter 3. Enantioselective Hydrocarbamoylation of Alkenes

### 3.1 Introduction

Chiral amides are ubiquitous structural elements in pharmaceuticals, natural products, and biomolecules (Figure 1A). ${ }^{1}$ For example, an amide substructure was present in $70 \%$ of the top 50 selling small molecule pharmaceuticals in $2020 .{ }^{2}$ Owing to their importance, various catalytic methods for the asymmetric synthesis of amides have been developed, including the conjugate addition ${ }^{3}$ or reduction ${ }^{4}$ of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amides, and $\alpha$-functionalization of amides. ${ }^{5}$ These techniques typically require the use of a pre-existing racemic or prochiral amide. Alternatively, asymmetric hydroaminocarbonylation of alkenes ${ }^{6}$ constitutes a straightforward route to access enantioenriched amides as it allows an amide group to be directly installed onto a readily available olefin precursor. Although direct or formal intramolecular asymmetric alkene hydrocarbamoylation has been demonstrated, ${ }^{7}$ the analogous intermolecular enantioselective hydrocarbamoylation of alkenes is less developed. Employing a high pressure of CO, Wu recently reported a Cu -catalyzed asymmetric hydroaminocarbonylation of styrene derivatives. ${ }^{8-\mathrm{b}}$ An analogous Pd-catalyzed transformation was developed by Guan, although high levels of regio- and enantioselectivity were limited to styrenes. ${ }^{8 c}$ Despite these recent advances, the development of a general enantioselective hydrocarbamoylation strategy that is CO-free ${ }^{9}$ and compatible with various types of alkenes, including vinyl arenes, vinyl heterocycles, and challenging unactivated olefins, is of considerable interest.

Our group and others have demonstrated that copper hydride $(\mathrm{CuH})$ catalysis can enable asymmetric hydrofunctionalization of alkenes. ${ }^{10}$ This process leverages a stereodefined organocopper intermediate, formed by enantioselective hydrocupration of alkene, to intercept various electrophiles. Based upon this precedent, we felt that widely available carbamoyl chlorides, either commercially available or prepared from the corresponding amine in one step,
might obviate the need for CO in the asymmetric hydrocarbamoylation of alkenes. However, our preliminary experiments on the CuH -catalyzed alkene hydrocarbamoylation, utilizing styrene and $N$-methyl- $N$-phenylcarbamoyl chloride as substrates, failed to provide the corresponding enantioenriched amide product (Scheme S1). ${ }^{11}$

## A. Representative $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-chiral amides
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C. Asymmetric hydrocarbamoylation of alkenes enabled by CuH and Pd dual catalysis (this work)
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Figure 1. (A) Representative biologically active molecules with chiral amide substructures. (B)
Previous intermolecular asymmetric hydrocarbamoylation of alkenes using a high pressure of

CO. (C) Our approach for enantioselective hydrocarbamoylation of alkenes utilizing dual CuH and Pd catalysis.

As an alternative, we envisioned that a dual CuH and Pd -catalyzed approach might enable the asymmetric hydrocarbamoylation of alkenes, in which the enantioenriched alkyl copper intermediate could undergo transmetallation with a carbamoyl $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ oxidative addition complex. ${ }^{12}$ To date, only "prototypical" cross coupling processes, such as arylation and vinylation, have been successfully enabled by dual CuH and Pd catalysis. ${ }^{13,14}$ In this case, initial oxidative addition of carbamoyl chloride $\mathbf{2}$ with a $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalyst and concomitant enantioselective hydrocupration of alkene $\mathbf{1}$ would result in oxidative addition complex $\mathbf{A}$ and alkyl copper B. Stereospecific transmetallation would form alkyl Pd(II) complex C. Intermediate C would undergo reductive elimination to form amide $\mathbf{3}$ and reform LPd, and the accompanying $\mathrm{L} * \mathrm{CuCl}$ intermediate would react with a base and silane to regenerate the $\mathrm{L} * \mathrm{CuH}$ catalyst. We felt that selection of the base would be crucial, as the use of a highly nucleophilic base might react with the carbamoyl chloride, whereas with a less nucleophilic one, regeneration of the CuH catalyst might be slowed. Moreover, the rates of the two catalytic cycles need to be well aligned to minimize undesired processes, such as racemization of $\mathbf{B}$, reduction of carbamoyl chloride by CuH or decomposition of $\mathbf{A}$.

### 3.2 Results and Discussion

We were able to identify suitable reaction conditions with styrene (1a) and N -methyl -N phenylcarbamoyl chloride (2a) as the model substrate combination (Table 1). We first examined the use of several weak bases (Table 1, entry 1-4), since a base such as NaOTMS, which has
been previously employed in $\mathrm{CuH} / \mathrm{Pd}$ dual catalysis protocols, ${ }^{13}$ would likely consume the carbamoyl chloride coupling partner. The use of KOBz , in conjunction with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2},(R)$ -DTBM-SEGPHOS, BrettPhos Pd G3, and $(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{MeSiH}$, provided the desired hydrocarbamoylation product (3a) in good yield and enantioselectivity. Further evaluation of different copper and palladium sources showed that the yield and enantioselectivity were slightly improved using the combination of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ (Table 1 , entry $5-7$ ). A series of biarylphosphine ligands were then examined (Table 1, entry 7-11), revealing SPhos (L4) as the ideal Pd ancillary ligand. Under the optimized conditions (Table 1, entry 10), amide 3a was obtained in $95 \%$ yield and $97: 3$ er. However, when we attempted the same reaction between 1a and diethylcarbamoyl chloride (2b) under the identical conditions, both the yield and enantioselectivity were considerably lower ( $66 \%$ yield, $78: 22$ er). Since compared to $\mathbf{2 a}$, the more electron rich $\mathbf{2 b}$ might undergo oxidative addition with the $\operatorname{LPd}(0)$ intermediate at a reduced rate. Subsequent transmetallation with intermediate $\mathbf{B}$ would also be slower, which could lead to an increased level of racemization and decomposition of $\mathbf{B}$. After minor modifications to the reaction conditions including the base, Cu , and Pd source (Table S1), ${ }^{11}$ the corresponding amide was formed in $80 \%$ yield and $91: 9$ er. This observation also underlines the importance of matching the rates of the Cu and Pd catalytic cycles by tuning the reaction conditions.

Table 1. Optimization of the Enantioselective Hydrocarbamoylation of Styrene


${ }^{a}$ Yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture, using 1,3,5trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ${ }^{b}$ Enantiomeric ratio was determined by SFC analysis.

Having established the reaction conditions for the asymmetric hydrocarbamoylation of vinyl arenes, we next examined the scope of the reaction (Table 2). A number of vinyl heteroarenes, both electron rich and deficient, including pyridine (3b), carbazole (3c), indole ( $\mathbf{3 e}$ ), pyrimidine ( $\mathbf{3 h}$ ), and pyrazole ( $\mathbf{3 i}$ ), efficiently underwent the hydrocarbamoylation reaction to provide the corresponding amide products in good yield and excellent enantioselectivity. $\beta$ Substituted styrenes ( $\mathbf{3 d}, \mathbf{3 j}, \mathbf{3 k}$ ) were also successful substrates in this transformation, although the reaction conditions were modified slightly for those bearing basic $\beta$-amino substituents $\mathbf{(} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{j}$, 3k) due to the moderate enantioselectivity observed under the original conditions (74:26 er for $\mathbf{3 j}, 82: 18$ er for $\mathbf{3 k}$ ). The protocol accommodated different substituents on the nitrogen atom of carbamoyl chlorides, including methylaryl ( $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{3 d}-\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{3 h}-\mathbf{i}$ ), dialkyl ( $\mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{3 g}, \mathbf{3 k}, \mathbf{3 j}$ ), and diphenyl (3b) substructure. To demonstrate the synthetic utility of this method, we prepared ( $R$ )-RWAY $(\mathbf{3 j})$, a $5-\mathrm{HT}_{1 \mathrm{~A}}$ receptor antagonist, ${ }^{15}$ in one step from the corresponding arylalkene in excellent yield and enantioselectivity. We were also able to obtain the enantioenriched amide derivative of Cinnarizine ( $\mathbf{3 k}$ ), an antihistamine drug. Additionally, the absolute configuration of a ferrocene derivative $\mathbf{3 g}$ was confirmed by X-ray crystallography. The observed stereoselectivity of the reaction suggests a stereoretentive Cu-to-Pd transmetallation which is in accord with our previous observations (Figure 1C). ${ }^{13}$

Table 2. Substrate Scope for the Hydrocarbamoylation of Arylalkenes

${ }^{a}$ Condition A: 1 ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), 2 ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(6.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%),(R)$-DTBMSEGPHOS (6.6 mol\%), $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathbf{L 4}$ (4.4 mol\%), KOBz (2 equiv), (MeO) $)_{2} \mathrm{MeSiH}$ (3 equiv), THF ( 0.5 M ), $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ Condition B: 1 ( 0.5 mmol , 1 equiv), 2 ( 1.5 equiv), CuOAc ( $6.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), ( $R$ )-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( $6.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), G3-dimer ( $2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), L1 (4.4 mol\%), NaOPiv (2 equiv), (MeO) $)_{2} \mathrm{MeSiH}$ (3 equiv), THF ( 0.5 M ), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{c}$ Isolated yields on a
1.0 mmol scale under Condition A (average of two runs). ${ }^{d}$ Condition B, except NaOBz was used. ${ }^{e}$ Condition B, except (S)-DTBM-MeO-BIPHEP was used.

We were also interested in applying our strategy to unactivated alkenes, including 1,1disubstituted alkenes and terminal alkenes, to access $\beta$-chiral and linear amides, respectively, via anti-Markovnikov ${ }^{13 c, 13 e}$ hydrocarbamoylation. 1,1-disubstituted alkenes represent a challenging class of substrates in aminocarbonylation reactions due to their attenuated binding affinity towards metal hydride intermediates, ${ }^{7 \mathrm{e}}$ and a general protocol for the intermolecular asymmetric hydroaminocarbonylation of 1,1-disubstituted alkenes remains elusive. Even for unactivated terminal olefins, only a few hydroaminocarbonylation reaction protocols are available that don't require the use of CO gas at elevated pressure. ${ }^{16}$ In order to expand our hydrocarbamoylation protocol to unactivated alkenes, for which the hydrocupration step is more challenging compared to vinylarenes, ${ }^{17}$ the reaction conditions were modified by manipulating the copper source and the Pd ancillary ligand (Table S2). ${ }^{11}$ Using the optimized conditions, 1,1-disubstituted alkenes were coupled with different carbamoyl chlorides to provide the corresponding $\beta$-chiral amides (3I-3p) in moderate to good yields and excellent enantioselectivity (Table 3). As the difference in steric demand between the geminal substituents on the olefin increases, the enantioselectivity of the reaction also improves ( $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3 n}, \mathbf{3 p}$, sequentially). Additionally, amides containing a silicon-substituted $\beta$-stereogenic center ( $\mathbf{3 m}, \mathbf{3 o}$ ) could be obtained by employing an alkenyl silane as substrate. The protocol is also applicable to various readily available terminal alkenes, allowing them to react efficiently with dialkyl (3q, 3s), diphenyl (3r), and $N$-methyl- $N$-phenyl carbamoyl chlorides (3t). Overall, the hydrocarbamoylation reaction of unactivated alkenes was able to tolerate a broad range of heterocycles, including azepane (3m), piperidine (3n),
pyrimidine (3q), benzothiazole (3s), and thiophene (3s). Functional groups such as acetal (3r, 3t) and siloxyl ( $\mathbf{3 1}, \mathbf{3 n}, \mathbf{3 p}$ ) were also compatible with the reaction conditions. Moreover, carbamoyl chlorides that are easily derived from several amine-containing pharmaceuticals, including Desipramine (3m), Nortriptyline (3q), and Duloxetine (3s), were successfully coupled with different unactivated alkenes, further demonstrating the synthetic utilities of our approach.

Table 3. Substrate Scope for the Hydrocarbamoylation of Unactivated Olefins ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Condition C: 1 ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathbf{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv), CuOAc ( $6.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), ( $R$ )-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( $6.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathbf{L 1}(4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{KOBz}\left(2\right.$ equiv), $(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{MeSiH}(3$ equiv), THF ( 0.5 M ), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ Condition C, except $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $4.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), XPhos ( $4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and KOAc (2 equiv) were used instead.

### 3.3 Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a highly enantioselective hydrocarbamoylation reaction of olefins utilizing readily available carbamoyl chlorides as a practical carbamoylating reagent that obviates the need for CO gas. Under mild CuH and Pd dual catalysis conditions, a broad range of alkenes, including arylalkenes, 1,1-disubstituted alkenes, and terminal olefins, were able to undergo the reaction smoothly to furnish $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-chiral amides bearing diverse heterocycles and functional groups. In addition, we anticipate that the use of a non-traditional carbonyl crosscoupling partner, carbamoyl chlorides, in $\mathrm{CuH} / \mathrm{Pd}$ cooperative catalysis may stimulate further developments in merging CuH catalysis with other types of carbonylative cross-coupling processes.

### 3.4 Experimental

### 3.4.1 General Information

General Analytical Information: All new compounds were characterized by NMR spectroscopy, IR spectroscopy, elemental analysis or high-resolution mass spectrometry, optical rotation (if chiral and non-racemic) and melting point analysis (if solids). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ on a Bruker 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR are reported as follows: chemical shift in reference to residual $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at $7.26 \mathrm{ppm}(\delta \mathrm{ppm})$, multiplicity $(\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}=$ broad singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\operatorname{sex}=$ sextet, $\operatorname{sep}$ $=$ septet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ double of doublets, $\mathrm{td}=$ triplet of doublets, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet $)$, coupling constant $(\mathrm{Hz})$, and integration. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are reported in terms of chemical shift in reference to the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solvent signal ( 77.16 ppm ). Chemical shifts for ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR are reported in ppm relative to $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}(0.00 \mathrm{ppm})$. IR spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS5
spectrometer (iD5 ATR, diamond) and are reported in terms of frequency of absorption $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Melting points were measured on a Mel-Temp capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured using a Jasco P-1010 digital polarimeter. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlabs Inc., Norcross, GA. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a JEOL AccuTOF LC-Plus 46 DART system. Enantiomeric ratios (er's) were determined by chiral SFC analysis using a Waters Acquity UPC2 instrument; specific columns and analytical methods are provided in the experimental details for individual compounds; the wavelengths of light used for chiral analyses are provided with the associated chromatograms. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis performed on Agilent 1200 Series chromatographs using a Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$ columns $(25 \mathrm{~cm})$ as noted for each. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel $60 \AA \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates (SiliaPlate from Silicycle) and visualized with UV light, iodine or potassium permanganate stain. Preparatory thin-layer chromatography (Prep-TLC) was performed on silica gel GF with UV 254 (20 x 20 cm, 1000 microns, catalog \# TLG-R10011B-341 from Silicycle) and visualized with UV light. Isolated yields reported reflect the average values from two independent runs.

General Reagent Information: All reactions were performed under a nitrogen or argon atmosphere using the indicated method in the general procedures. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was purchased from J.T. Baker in CYCLE-TAINER ${ }^{\circledR}$ solvent delivery kegs and purified by passage under argon pressure through two packed columns of neutral alumina and copper(II) oxide. Copper(II) acetate and copper(I) acetate were purchased from Strem and used as received. DTBM-SEGPHOS was purchased from Takasago International Co. and used as received. (S)-DTBM-MeO-BIPHEP and biarylphosphine ligands were generous gifts from Solvias and Millipore-Sigma, respectively. Dimethoxy(methyl)silane (DMMS) was purchased from Tokyo

Chemical Industry Co. (TCI) and stored in a nitrogen-filled glovebox for long-term storage. (Caution: Dimethoxy(methyl)silane (DMMS, CAS\#16881-77-9) is listed by several vendors (TCI, Alfa Aesar) SDS or MSDS as a H318, a category 1 Causes Serious Eye Damage. Other vendors (Sigma-Aldrich, Gelest) list DMMS as a H319, a category II Eye Irritant. DMMS should be handled in a well-ventilated fumehood using proper precaution as outlined for the handling of hazardous materials in prudent practices in the laboratory ${ }^{18}$. At the end of the reaction either ammonium fluoride in methanol, saturated aqueous sodium carbonate, aqueous sodium hydroxide (1 M) or aqueous hydrochloric acid (1 M) should be carefully added to the reaction mixture. This should be allowed to stir for at least 30 min or the time indicated in the detailed reaction procedure). All reactions should be (and were) carried out in a well-ventilated hood or in a glovebox. Potassium benzoate and sodium benzoate were dried under high vacuum at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring for 36 h . Potassium acetate and sodium pivalate were dried under high vacuum at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring for 36 h . All other solvents and commercial reagents were used as received from Millipore-Sigma, Alfa Aesar, Acros Organics, TCI and Combi-Blocks, unless otherwise noted. Flash column chromatography was performed using 40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ silica gel (SiliaFlash® ${ }^{\circledR}$ F60 from Silicycle), or with the aid of a Teledyne ISCO CombiFlash Automated Flash Chromatography System using prepacked SNAP silica cartridges (10-100 g). Reversed-phase column chromatography was performed with the aid of a Teledyne ISCO CombiFlash Automated Flash Chromatography System using prepacked SNAP C18 cartridges (43 g). Organic solutions were concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a Buchi rotary evaporator.

### 3.4.2 Optimization and General Procedures for Hydrocarbamoylation Reactions

### 3.4.2 1 Optimization of the Hydrocarbamoylation Reactions

Scheme S1. Initial Experiment on CuH-Catalyzed Hydrocarbamoylation of Styrene


Table S1. Optimization of Hydrocarbamoylation of Styrene with Dialkylcarbamoyl Chloride Enabled by Dual CuH and Pd Catalysis ${ }^{a}$


| Entry | base | cat. Cu | cat. Pd | L | yield $^{\text {b }}$ (\%) | er ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | KOBz | CuOAc | BrettPhos G4 | - | 56 | 79:21 |
| 2 | KOAc | CuOAc | BrettPhos G4 | - | 67 | 95:5 |
| 3 | NaOAc | CuOAc | BrettPhos G4 | - | 40 | 89:11 |
| 4 | CsOAc | CuOAc | BrettPhos G4 | - | 54 | 93:7 |
| 5 | KOAc | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | BrettPhos G4 | - | 41 | 87.5:12.5 |
| 6 | KOAc | CuOAc | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | BrettPhos | 64 | 86:14 |
| 7 | KOAc | CuOAc | $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ | BrettPhos | 68 | 91:9 |
| 8 | KOAc | CuOAc | G3-dimer | BrettPhos | 78 | 91:9 |
| 9 | KOAc | CuOAc | G3-dimer | ${ }^{t}$ BuBrettPhos | 55 | 91:9 |
| 10 | KOAc | CuOAc | G3-dimer | SPhos | 62 | 79:21 |
| 11 | NaOPiv | CuOAc | G3-dimer | BrettPhos | 80 | 91:9 |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions were conducted on 0.1 mmol scale. ${ }^{b}$ Yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard. ${ }^{c}$ Enantiomeric ratio was determined by SFC analysis on commercial chiral columns

Table S2. Optimization of Hydrocarbamoylation of Unactivated Alkene ${ }^{a}$


| Entry | base | cat. Cu | cat. Pd | L | yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | KOAc | CuOAc | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | BrettPhos | 54 |
| 2 | KOAc | CuOAc | BrettPhos G4 | - | 57 |
| 3 | KOAc | CuOAc | G3-dimer | BrettPhos | 52 |
| 4 | KOAc | CuOAc | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl) } \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}\right.$ | BrettPhos | 62 |
| 5 | KOBz | CuOAc | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl) } \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}\right.$ | BrettPhos | 75 |
| 6 | KOPiv | CuOAc | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl) } \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}\right.$ | BrettPhos | 75 |
| 7 | NaOBz | CuOAc | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\right.\right.$ cinnamyl) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | BrettPhos | 47 |
| 8 | NaOPiv | CuOAc | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\right.\right.$ cinnamyl) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }_{2}$ | BrettPhos | 71 |
| 9 | NaOAc | CuOAc | $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ | BrettPhos | 52 |
| 10 | CsOPiv | CuOAc | $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ | BrettPhos | 63 |
| 11 | CsOAc | CuOAc | $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ | BrettPhos | 65 |
| 12 | KOBz | CuOAc | $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ | XPhos | 64 |
| 13 | KOBz | CuOAc | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\right.\right.$ cinnamyl) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }_{2}$ | RuPhos | 56 |


| 14 | KOBz | CuOAc | $[\operatorname{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ | SPhos | 60 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 | KOBz | CuOAc | $[\operatorname{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ | DavePhos | 57 |
| 16 | KOBz | CuOAc | $[\operatorname{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ | CPhos | 58 |
| 17 | KOBz | CuOAc | $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ | ${ }^{t}$ BuBrettPhos | 58 |
| 18 | KOBz | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ | BrettPhos | 72 |

${ }^{a}$ Reactions were conducted on 0.1 mmol scale. ${ }^{b}$ Yields were determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard.

### 3.4.2.2 General Procedures for the Hydrocarbamoylation Reactions

## General Procedure A

An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (tube A, Fisherbrand, $13 * 100 \mathrm{~mm}$, part no. 1495935C) containing an oven-dried magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.030 mmol ) and ( $R$ )-DTBM-SEGPHOS ( $38.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) (Note: accurate weights of copper and ligand are critical for the reaction). The reaction tube was loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C401560), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The cap was removed and anhydrous THF ( 0.50 mL ) was added to the tube via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. Next, the cap was removed and dimethoxymethylsilane (DMMS) ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was recapped, and the mixture was stirred for another 10 min at room temperature to prepare an orange-colored CuH solution. (If CuH solution was black instead of orange after adding DMMS and stirring for 10 min , it was discarded and a new batch was prepared. The solution may become black if too much $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ was added).

Another oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (tube B, Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935C) containing an oven-dried magnetic stir bar was charged with $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\right.$ (cinnamyl) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.2$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol})$ and SPhos $(9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol})$ (Note: accurate weights of palladium and ligand are critical for the reaction). The reaction tube was loosely capped with a septumcontaining cap (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The cap was removed and anhydrous THF (0.50 mL ) was added to the tube via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature.

A third oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (tube C, Fisherbrand, $20 * 125 \mathrm{~mm}$, part no. 1495937A) containing an oven-dried magnetic stir bar (VWR, octagon $12.7 * 8 \mathrm{~mm}$, catalog no. 58948-116) was loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-18400; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-18), and then transferred into the nitrogen-filled glovebox. The cap was removed, and alkene ( $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), carbamoyl chloride ( $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), and potassium benzoate ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0$ equiv) were added. The entire $\mathbf{C u H}$ solution from tube $\mathbf{A}$ was added to tube $\mathbf{C}$ in one portion using a 9 " glass pipette along the walls of tube $\mathbf{C}$ to rinse off residual starting materials on the walls. Tube $\mathbf{C}$ was capped, and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 min . Then tube $\mathbf{C}$ was uncapped, and the entire solution from tube $\mathbf{B}$ was added directly to the bottom of tube $\mathbf{C}$ in one portion using a 9 " glass pipette while the reaction mixture was stirred. Tube $\mathbf{C}$ was capped, and then removed from the glovebox. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h (Note: Since the reaction is heterogeneous, it was important to ensure that the stir bar is centered to avoid splashing and stirred vigorously at $\sim 550 \mathrm{rpm}$ ).

## General Procedure B

An oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (tube A, Fisherbrand, $13^{*} 100 \mathrm{~mm}$, part no. 1495935C) containing an oven-dried magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{CuOAc}(8.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $(R)$-DTBM-SEGPHOS $(93.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.079 \mathrm{mmol})$ (Note: accurate weights of copper and ligand are critical for the reaction). The reaction tube was loosely capped with a septumcontaining cap (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C4015-60), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The cap was removed and anhydrous THF (1.2 mL ) was added to the tube via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. Next, the cap was removed and dimethoxymethylsilane (DMMS) ( $0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was recapped, and the mixture was stirred for another 10 min at room temperature to prepare an orange-colored CuH solution (which was enough for setting up two hydrocarbamoylation reactions in parallel).

Another oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (tube B, Fisherbrand, 13*100 mm, part no. 1495935C) containing an oven-dried magnetic stir bar was charged with the palladium catalyst and biaryl phosphine ligand (as indicated for each substrate) (Note: accurate weights of palladium and ligand are critical for the reaction). The reaction tube was loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Thermo Scientific C4015-66; Septum: Thermo Scientific C401560 ), and then transferred into a nitrogen-filled glovebox. The cap was removed and anhydrous THF ( 0.50 mL ) was added to the tube via a 1 mL syringe. The tube was capped and the mixture was stirred for 20 min at room temperature.

A third oven-dried screw-cap reaction tube (tube C, Fisherbrand, $20 * 125 \mathrm{~mm}$, part no. 1495937A) containing an oven-dried magnetic stir bar (VWR, octagon $12.7 * 8 \mathrm{~mm}$, catalog no.

58948-116) was loosely capped with a septum-containing cap (cap: Kimble Chase Open Top S/T Closure catalog no. 73804-18400; Septum: Thermo Scientific B7995-18), and then transferred into the nitrogen-filled glovebox. The cap was removed, and alkene ( $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), carbamoyl chloride ( $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), and base ( 2.0 equiv) were added. CuH solution ( 0.68 mL ) from tube $\mathbf{A}$ was added to tube $\mathbf{C}$ in one portion using a 1 mL syringe along the walls of tube $\mathbf{C}$ to rinse off residual starting materials on the walls. Tube $\mathbf{C}$ was capped, and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 min . Then tube $\mathbf{C}$ was uncapped, and the entire solution from tube $\mathbf{B}$ was added directly to the bottom of tube $\mathbf{C}$ in one portion using a 9 " glass pipette while the reaction mixture was stirred. Tube $\mathbf{C}$ was capped, and then removed from the glovebox. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h (Note: Since the reaction is heterogeneous, it was important to ensure that the stir bar is centered to avoid splashing and stirred vigorously at $\sim 550 \mathrm{rpm}$ ).

## Workup A

After the reaction mixture had stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h , the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, the cap of the reaction tube was removed, and the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. While the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature, sat. aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to quench the reaction mixture (Caution: gas evolution observed). The mixture was stirred uncapped at room temperature for 30 min , and then transferred to a 125 mL separatory funnel with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ to rinse the tube). $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (20 $\mathrm{mL})$, brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the separatory funnel. The layers were separated and then the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL} *$ ) (Note: Phase separation was sometimes difficult during the first 1-2 extractions, in that case the mixture was
stirred with a 9"glass pipette to facilitate phase separation). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was immediately purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~g}$ silica gel, diameter of the column $\sim 2 \mathrm{~cm}$, length of the packed column $\sim 18 \mathrm{~cm}$. Product-containing fractions were repeatedly spotted on the TLC plate for $\sim 10$ times each for visualization with UV lamp, and then collected while rinsing each test tubes several times with EtOAc) or purified by reversed-phase chromatography (see workup B).

Workup B (purification by reversed-phase chromatography)
The crude residue from workup A was dissolved in DMSO (ca. 1.5 mL ) by first using $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ DMSO to dissolve the crude material, then $\sim 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ in total to rinse the vial and syringe for 3-4 times, and then loaded onto a 43 g C 18 column. After the chromatography was completed, fractions containing the product (as determined by LC-MS) were combined into a 1000 mL round-bottom flask and each test tube was rinsed with acetone (ca. 2 mL ). The mixture was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. Once only the aqueous phase remained, the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a separatory funnel containing sat. aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ (Note: aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was used only if the chromatography was performed using water that contained $0.1 \%$ TFA). The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{x} 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator to give the corresponding amide product.

### 3.4.2.3 Determination of the absolute configuration of the hydrocarbamoylation product

## Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction Data for Compound 3g

A crystal of $\mathbf{3 g}$ was obtained by slowly evaporating a solution of $\mathbf{3 g}$ in tetramethylsilane at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (in air). The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{3 g}$ was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis. The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{3 a - f}$ and $\mathbf{3 h} \mathbf{- k}$ was assigned by analogy to $\mathbf{3 g}$.

CCDC 2157585 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for $\mathbf{3 g}$. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


Table S3. Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for dyy_10_a

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
dyy_10_a
C17 H23 Fe N O
313.21

100 K
$1.54178 \AA$
Orthorhombic

| Space group | $P 2{ }_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=6.2955(3) \AA \quad \mathrm{a}=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=7.9425(3) \AA \quad \mathrm{d}=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=30.8662(12) \AA \quad \mathrm{g}=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1543.37(11) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.348 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $7.780 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 664.0 |
| Crystal size | $0.160 \times 0.100 \times 0.040 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.863 to $66.584^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-6<=\mathrm{h}<=7,-9<=\mathrm{k}<=9,-36<=\mathrm{l}<=36$ |
| Reflections collected | 36592 |
| Independent reflections | $2712[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.1056]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=67.679^{\circ}$ | 99.6 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2712 / 0 / 148 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.059 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0817, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1948$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0916, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2036$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.002(8) |

### 3.4.3 Characterization Data for the Hydrocarboxylation Products

## (S)-N-methyl- N ,2-diphenylpropanamide (3a)

 Following general procedure A, styrene ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and methyl(phenyl)carbamic chloride ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were used. After Workup A and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane/EtOAc $=[30: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 25: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 20: 1(200 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 15: 1(450 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 12: 1$ $(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ 10:1 $(200 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (Note: Volumes refer to the volume of hexane that was used), the title compound was obtained as a light yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $88 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ yield, $96: 4 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: 96 $\mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield, $96: 4 \mathrm{er}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.37-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.03-7.00 (m, 4H), $3.64(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 174.15,143.95,142.08,129.65,128.47,127.95,127.66,126.72,43.22$, 37.84, 20.43. The spectral data match those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{19}$ SFC analysis: OJ-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$, $5 \mu$ M particle size; $5: 95 \mathrm{IPA}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $40: 60 \mathrm{IPA}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 2.81 min (major), 3.84 min (minor), 96:4 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}:-40.1\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (S)-2-(6-morpholinopyridin-3-yl)-N,N-diphenylpropanamide (3b)

Following general procedure A, 4-(5-vinylpyridin-2-yl)morpholine (95 mg, 1.5 equiv) were used. After Workup A and purification by column chromatography (the crude material was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and loaded onto the column with the aid of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) with a gradient of hexane $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane $/ \mathrm{EtOAc}=[10: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 5: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 4: 1(100$ $\mathrm{mL}) \rightarrow 3: 1(210 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 2.5: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 2: 1(400 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (Note: Volumes refer to the volume of
hexane that was used. Product-containing fractions were repeatedly spotted on the TLC plate for 8~10 times each for visualization with UV lamp), the title compound was obtained as a light yellow solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $128 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield, $96: 4 \mathrm{er}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $125 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield, $96: 4 \mathrm{er}$ ) (Note: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ for the NMR analysis was passed through a short plug of basic alumina before using, and the NMR analysis was carried out within 30 min after the sample was prepared). m.p. 51.4-53.8 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-$ $7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 174.31, $158.95,147.09,142.76,136.86,129.82,129.01,128.15,127.03,126.45,126.20,107.25,66.88$, 45.92, 40.56, 20.31. IR (thin film): 2967, 2851, 1667, 1602, 1489, 1237, 1119, $943 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathbf{E A}$ Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 74.39; H, 6.50. Found: C, 74.15; H, 6.55. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 20:80 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $40: 60 \mathrm{IPA}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.97 min (major), 5.70 min (minor), 96:4 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}: 9.4\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (S)-N,N-diethyl-2-(9-ethyl-9H-carbazol-3-yl)propanamide (3c)

Following general procedure B, 9-ethyl-3-vinyl-9H-carbazole (111 mg, 0.50 G3-dimer ( $7.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), BrettPhos ( $11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and sodium pivalate ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by reversed-phase column chromatography (water/MeCN $15 \% 0.5 \mathrm{CV}, 15-30 \% 8.5 \mathrm{CV}, 30-50 \%$ 9.5 CV, $50-57 \% 2 \mathrm{CV}, 57 \% 14.5 \mathrm{CV}, 57-100 \% 3 \mathrm{CV}, 100 \% 3 \mathrm{CV}, 80 \% 1 \mathrm{CV}$ ), the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $108 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: 106 mg ,
$65 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er})$. m.p. $122.5-124.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.35(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.0,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dq}, J=$ $14.3,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dq}, J=14.4,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.51,140.35,139.01,133.17,125.78,125.18,123.32,122.85,120.60$, $119.01,118.85,108.80,108.56,43.32,41.73,40.36,37.68,21.82,14.39,13.97,12.97$. IR (thin film): $2971,2930,1635,1461,1329,1232,1081,747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 78.22$; H, 8.13. Found: C, 77.96; H, 8.27. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 40:60 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $5.33 \mathrm{~min}\left(\right.$ minor), 5.74 min (major), $99: 1$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}: 80.3$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## tert-butyl (S)-5-((4-methoxyphenyl)(methyl)amino)-5-oxo-4-phenylpentanoate (3d)



Following general procedure A, tert-butyl (E)-4-phenylbut-3-enoate (109 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and (4-methoxyphenyl)(methyl)carbamic chloride ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by reversed-phase column chromatography [(0.1\% TFA in water)/MeCN $10 \% 0.5 \mathrm{CV}, 10-52 \% 12$ CV, $52 \% 2 \mathrm{CV}, 52-67 \% 4 \mathrm{CV}, 67 \%, 4 \mathrm{CV}, 67-100 \% 9 \mathrm{CV}, 100 \% 5 \mathrm{CV}, 80 \% 1 \mathrm{CV}]$, the resulting material was dissolved in EtOAc and filtered through a short plug of silica gel ( $\sim 1.2 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with EtOAc. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator to give the title compound as a light yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $169 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $179 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ yield, $\left.99: 1 \mathrm{er}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-$
$7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.25(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 2.17-2.02 (m, 2H), 1.98-1.89 (m, 1H), $1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 173.11, $172.53,159.06,139.89,136.44,129.16,128.49,128.23,126.96,114.69,80.20,55.63,48.15$, 37.95, 33.69, 30.38, 28.21. IR (thin film): 2976, 2935, 1725, 1652, 1511, 1247, 1147, 1033, 838 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, $72.04 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.62$. Found: C, 71.76; H, 7.66. SFC analysis: ADH (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $40: 60$ IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 3.70 min (major), 4.08 min (minor), 99:1 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}:-31.8\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (S)- N -methyl- N -phenyl-2-(1-(phenylsulfonyl)-1 H -indol-5-yl)propanamide (3e)

Following general procedure A, 1-(phenylsulfonyl)-5-vinyl-1 H -indole (142
 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and methyl(phenyl)carbamic chloride ( 127 mg , $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by reversed-phase column chromatography [( $0.1 \%$ TFA in water)/MeCN $10 \% 0.5 \mathrm{CV}, 10-34 \% 6.5$ CV, $34 \%$ 0.5 CV, $34-51 \% 5 \mathrm{CV}, 51 \%, 3 \mathrm{CV}, 51-65 \% 4 \mathrm{CV}, 65 \% 6 \mathrm{CV}, 65-75 \% 2.5 \mathrm{CV}, 75-$ $100 \% 2.5 \mathrm{CV}, 100 \% 6 \mathrm{CV}, 80 \% 1 \mathrm{CV}]$, the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $153 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ yield, $97: 3 \mathrm{er}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $152 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ yield, $\left.97: 3 \mathrm{er}\right)$. m.p. $51.8-53.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.88-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-$ $7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $174.29,143.84,138.37,137.30,133.91,133.82,131.00,129.64,129.36,127.98,127.87,126.91$, $126.55,124.73,120.23,113.37,109.42,42.85,37.81,20.62$. IR (thin film): 2969, 2930, 1652, 1369, 1174, 1126, 995, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 68.88$; H, 5.30. Found: C,
68.61; H, 5.39. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu$ M particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 40:60 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 6.25 $\min$ (major), 6.69 min (minor), 97:3 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}:-80.6\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (S)-N-methyl- $N$-(2-methylbenzo[d]thiazol-6-yl)-2-phenylpropanamide (3f)



Following general procedure $\mathbf{A}$, styrene ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and methyl(2-methylbenzo[d]thiazol-6-yl)carbamic chloride (181 mg, 0.75 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by reversed-phase column chromatography $[(0.1 \%$ TFA in water $) / \mathrm{MeCN} 10 \% 0.5 \mathrm{CV}, 10-55 \% 12.5 \mathrm{CV}, 55 \% 4 \mathrm{CV}, 55-$ $100 \% 12 \mathrm{CV}, 100 \%, 5 \mathrm{CV}, 80 \%$ CV], the resulting material was dissolved in $1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc and filtered through a short plug of silica gel ( $\sim 1.2 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with $1: 1$ hexane/EtOAc. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator to give the title compound as a light yellow oil (1 $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $126 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ yield, $97: 3 \mathrm{er}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $134 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ yield, $97: 3$ er). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27$ (s, 3H), $2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 174.18, 168.71, $152.74,142.06,140.53,136.40,128.54,127.61,126.84,126.14,123.17,121.17,43.70,38.18$, 20.55, 20.39. IR (thin film): $3028,2970,2928,1655,1454,1375,1168,1055,700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathbf{E A}$ Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}$ : C, 69.65; H, 5.85. Found: C, 69.35; H, 5.86. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $40: 60 \mathrm{IPA}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.42 min (major), 4.62 min (minor), 97:3 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}:-62.8\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (R)-N,N-diethyl-2-ferrocenylpropanamide (3g)

Following general procedure B, vinylferrocene ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), diethylcarbamic chloride ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), G3-dimer ( 7.4 mg , $0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), BrettPhos ( $11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and sodium pivalate ( 124 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by reversed-phase column chromatography (water/MeCN 10\% $0.5 \mathrm{CV}, 10-100 \% 25 \mathrm{CV}, 100 \% 5 \mathrm{CV}, 50 \% 2 \mathrm{CV}$ ), the title compound was obtained as a red solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $103 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $102 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ yield, 99:1 er). m.p. $48.1-50.6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.19-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.68,90.37$, 68.66 , $67.58,67.22,67.16,67.05,42.30,40.53,35.41,19.56,15.10,13.22$. IR (thin film): 3094, 2971, 2931, 1637, 1427, 1251, 1105, $816 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NOFe}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 314.1202; found 314.1196. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:40 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 40:60 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, 2.50 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.34 min (major), 4.90 min (minor), 96:4 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}: 21.2(\mathrm{c}=1.0$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (S)-N-methyl-2-(2-morpholinopyrimidin-5-yl)-N-phenylpropanamide (3h)



Following general procedure A, 4-(5-vinylpyrimidin-2-yl)morpholine (96 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and methyl(phenyl)carbamic chloride ( 127 mg , $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were used. After Workup A and purification by column chromatography (the crude material was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and loaded onto the column with the aid of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) with a gradient of hexane/EtOAc $=[9: 1(90 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 7: 1(70 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 5: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 4: 1(160$
$\mathrm{mL}) \rightarrow 3: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 2: 1(140 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 2: 1(140 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 3: 2(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 1: 1(200 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (Note: Volumes refer to the volume of hexane that was used), the title compound was obtained as a light yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $90 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ yield, $93: 7 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $92 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ yield, $\left.93: 7 \mathrm{er}\right)$ (Note: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ for the NMR analysis was passed through a short plug of basic alumina before using, and the NMR analysis was carried out within 30 min after the sample was prepared). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.58,161.21,157.04,143.77,130.05,128.37,127.70,123.40,66.94,44.46,37.81$, 37.74, 19.91. IR (thin film): 2969, 2853, 1657, 1599, 1495, 1358, 1255, 1117, $958 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 327.1816$; found 327.1826. SFC analysis: OJ-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $40: 60 \mathrm{IPA}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 3.75 min (major), 4.25 min (minor), 93:7 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}:-148.0\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (S)-2-(1-(3-fluorophenyl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)- N -methyl- N -phenylpropanamide (3i)



Following general procedure A, 1-(3-fluorophenyl)-4-vinyl-1 H -pyrazole (94 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and methyl(phenyl)carbamic chloride ( 127 mg , $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) were used. After Workup A and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane/EtOAc $=[15: 1(75 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 12: 1(60 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 10: 1$ $(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 8: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 6: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 5: 1(200 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 4: 1(300 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (Note: Volumes refer to the volume of hexane that was used), the title compound was obtained as a light yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $107 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield, $98: 2 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $107 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield, $98: 2 \mathrm{er}$ ). Duplicate experiments were carried out on a 1.0 mmol scale following the same procedure except the
amounts for all the reagents were doubled, and the title compound was obtained as a light yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $214 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ yield, $98: 2 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $212 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ yield, $98: 2 \mathrm{er}$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{tt}, J=8.3,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.25,163.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=246.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.96,141.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 140.52,130.75(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.98,128.24,127.58,125.31,124.71,114.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 113.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 106.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=26.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 37.80,33.54,20.71 .{ }^{19} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{N M R}\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-111.09 . \operatorname{IR}$ (thin film): $3064,2975,2933,1652,1612,1495,1379,1257,864 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OF}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 324.1507$; found 324.1509. SFC analysis: OJ-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250$ $\mathrm{mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 40:60 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 3.73 min (major), 4.13 min (minor), 98:2 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}:-147.1\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (R)-RWAY (3j)



General procedure B was followed, except (S)-DTBM-MeO-BIPHEP ( $91.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.079 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used when preparing the CuH stock solution. 1-Cinnamyl-4-(2-methoxyphenyl)piperazine ( $154 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), azepane-1-carbonyl chloride ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), G3-dimer ( $7.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), BrettPhos ( $11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and sodium benzoate ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by reversed-phase column chromatography [(0.1\% TFA in water)/MeCN 10\% 0.5 CV, 10-34\% 9.5 CV, 34\% 2.5 CV, 34-45\% 4 CV, $45 \%, 2$ CV, $45-100 \% 5 \mathrm{CV}, 100 \% 2 \mathrm{CV}, 80 \% 1 \mathrm{CV}]$, the title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $194 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ yield, $97: 3 \mathrm{er}$; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $186 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield, $97: 3 \mathrm{er}$ ). m.p. $74.1-75.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$

NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.35-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=7.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.8,7.4,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.56(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.4,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.49,152.39,141.56,140.86,128.77,128.10,126.88,122.92,121.07$, $118.22,111.35,56.23,55.47,53.43,50.86,47.86,46.50,46.33,32.44,29.26,27.61,26.94$, 26.73. The spectral data match those previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{20}$ SFC analysis: CEL-1 (Chiralpak $^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; $5: 95 \mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}): \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $15: 85 \mathrm{MeOH}$ $(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}): \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.34 min (major), 4.52 min (minor), 97:3 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}:-57.6\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (S)-4-(4-benzhydrylpiperazin-1-yl)-N,N-diethyl-2-phenylbutanamide (3k)

 1.5 equiv), G3-dimer ( $7.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), BrettPhos ( 11.8 mg , $0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and sodium benzoate ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup A and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane (containing $\left.0.5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) /$ acetone $=[5: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 4: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 3: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (Note: Volumes refer to the volume of hexane that was used), the title compound was obtained as a yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $225 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ yield, $94: 6 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $223 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ yield, $\left.93: 7 \mathrm{er}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.44-7.43 (m, 4H), 7.33-7.17 (m, 11H), 4.23 (s, 1H), 3.88 (t, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.41-3.13 (m, 3H), 2.45-2.25 (m, 11H), 1.89-1.80 (m, 1H), 1.10-1.04 (m, 6H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.12,143.01,140.92,128.74,128.57,128.03,126.99,126.83,76.49,56.05$,
53.40, 52.22, 46.25, 41.85, 40.59, 32.47, 14.52, 13.04. IR (thin film): 3059, 3024, 2963, 2934, 2806, 1637, 1451, 1140, $1008 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 470.3166$; found 470.3162 . SFC analysis: OJ-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}): \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $40: 60 \mathrm{MeOH}(0.1 \% \mathrm{DEA}): \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.23 min (minor), 4.42 min (major), $93: 7$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}: 52.1\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (R)-3-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-N,N-diethyl-4-methylpentanamide (3I)

$\begin{array}{lllll} & \text { Following general } & \text { procedure } & \text { B, tert-butyldimethyl(3-methyl-2- } \\ \text { methylenebutoxy }) \text { silane } & (107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 & \text { equiv), diethylcarbamic }\end{array}$ chloride ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, BrettPhos ( $11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and potassium benzoate ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by reversed-phase column chromatography (water/MeCN 50\% 1.0 CV, 50-100\% $14 \mathrm{CV}, 100 \% 15 \mathrm{CV}, 80 \% 1 \mathrm{CV}$ ), the resulting material was dissolved in EtOAc and filtered through a short plug of basic alumina ( $\sim 2.1 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with EtOAc ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator to give the title compound as a yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $101 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield, $95: 5 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $114 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ yield, $95: 5 \mathrm{er}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.62-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.89$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.4,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $172.23,63.08,43.53,42.18,40.31,31.68,28.22,26.05,20.08,19.99,18.37,14.57,13.28,-5.36$.

IR (thin film): 2956, 2929, 2857, 1645, 1462, 1257, 1093, $836 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for
$\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 316.2666$; found 316.2665. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250$ $\mathrm{mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $40: 60 \mathrm{IPA}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 1.88 min (major), 1.98 min (minor), $95: 5$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}: 32.5\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (R)-N-(3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[b,f $\int$ azepin-5-yl)propyl)-3-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)- N -

 methylbutanamide (3m)

Following general procedure $\mathbf{B}$, dimethyl(phenyl)(prop-1-en-2yl)silane ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), (3-(10,11-dihydro- 5 H -dibenzo[b.f]azepin-5-yl)propyl)(methyl)carbamic chloride (247 mg, $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, BrettPhos ( 11.8 mg , $0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and potassium benzoate ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup A and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane ( 50 mL ) $\rightarrow$ hexane/EtOAc $=[15: 1(90 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 12: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 10: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 8: 1(160 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 6: 1(180$ $\mathrm{mL}) \rightarrow$ 5:1 $(350 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (Note: Volumes refer to the volume of hexane that was used), the title compound was obtained as a light yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $161 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield, $98: 2 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: 162 $\mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield, $98: 2 \mathrm{er}) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.50-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.34-7.33 (m, 3H), 7.16-7.09 (m, 5H), $7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93$ (dt, $J=9.8,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.73(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(2 \times$ $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, rotamers), 2.30-2.15 (m, 1H), 2.06-1.91 (m, 1 H$), 1.80-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.96 \& 0.91(2 \times \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.28-0.24(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 172.86,172.78,148.16,147.90,138.07,137.86,134.32,134.28,134.06,130.14$, 129.96, 129.12, 127.87, 126.62, 126.57, 123.01, 122.72, 120.14, 119.78, 48.37, 47.93, 47.64,
$45.83,35.55,35.52,34.98,33.66,32.29,32.27,26.76,26.10,16.33,16.20,14.99,14.74,-4.54,-$ $4.65,-5.13,-5.16$. IR (thin film): $3066,2951,2866,1643,1487,1248,1110,835 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathbf{E A}$ Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OSi}$ : C, 76.55; H, 8.14. Found: C, 76.52; H, 8.31. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 20:80 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $40: 60 \mathrm{IPA}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 4.94 min (major), 5.31 min (minor), 98:2 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}: 6.7\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
tert-butyl
(R)-4-(1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-4-(diethylamino)-4-oxobutan-2-yl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (3n)
( $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), diethylcarbamic chloride ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), $[\operatorname{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, BrettPhos $(11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), and potassium benzoate ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by reversed-phase column chromatography (water/MeCN 50\% 1.0 CV, 50-100\% 14 CV, $100 \% 15 \mathrm{CV}, 80 \% 1 \mathrm{CV}$ ), the resulting material was dissolved in EtOAc and filtered through a short plug of basic alumina ( $\sim 2.1 \mathrm{~g}$ ) eluting with EtOAc ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator to give the title compound as a yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $209 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ yield, $97: 3 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $215 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield, $\left.97: 3 \mathrm{er}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.11(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{dp}, J=9.9,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.66-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.70,154.98,79.32,62.68,42.17,42.02$,
$40.38,37.04,31.43,29.71,29.41,28.60,26.02,18.34,14.57,13.27,-5.38$. IR (thin film): 2929, 2856, 1693, 1642, 1422, 1250, 1171, 1090, 835, $775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{C}$, 76.55; H, 8.14. Found: C, 76.52; H, 8.31. SFC analysis: OD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 20:80 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 3.72 min (major), 3.89 min (minor), $97: 3$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}: 24.5$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (R)-3-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)-N, $N$-diphenylbutanamide (3o)



Following general procedure B, dimethyl(phenyl)(prop-1-en-2-yl)silane (88 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), diphenylcarbamic chloride ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv), $[\operatorname{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, BrettPhos $(11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and potassium benzoate ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by reversed-phase column chromatography (water/MeCN $10 \% 0.5$ CV, 10-28\% 6 CV, $28 \% 1 \mathrm{CV}, 28-50 \% 7.5 \mathrm{CV}, 50 \% 1.5 \mathrm{CV}, 50-60 \% 3 \mathrm{CV}, 60 \% 6 \mathrm{CV}, 60 \%-$ $70 \% 3 \mathrm{CV}, 70 \% 1 \mathrm{CV}, 70-77 \% 1.5 \mathrm{CV}, 77 \% 10 \mathrm{CV}$ ), the resulting material was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and filtered through a short plug of silica gel $(\sim 1.2 \mathrm{~g})$ eluting with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator to give the title compound as a yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $94 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ yield, $97: 3 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $82 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ yield, $97: 3$ er). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1$, $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.16$ (s, 3H), $0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.33,143.15,137.69,133.99,129.45$, $129.03,127.82,126.57,37.60,16.63,14.60,-4.78,-5.13$. IR (thin film): $3066,2954,1671,1490$, 1249, 1112, 814, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NOSi}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 374.1935; found
374.1932. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 20:80 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to 40:60 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 3.47 $\min$ (major), $4.34 \min ($ minor $), 97: 3$ er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}:-5.1\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## (R)-3-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-N,N-diethyl-4,4-dimethylpentanamide (3p)

 diethylcarbamic chloride $\left(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5\right.$ equiv), $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), BrettPhos ( $11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and potassium benzoate ( 160 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by reversed-phase column chromatography (water/MeCN 50\% $1.0 \mathrm{CV}, 50-100 \% 11 \mathrm{CV}, 100 \% 10 \mathrm{CV}, 50 \% 1 \mathrm{CV}$ ), the resulting material was dissolved in EtOAc and filtered through a short plug of basic alumina $(\sim 2.1 \mathrm{~g})$ eluting with EtOAc ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator to give the title compound as a yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $82 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ yield, $99: 1 \mathrm{er} ; 2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $93 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ yield, $\left.99: 1 \mathrm{er}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4$, $4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.5,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{dq}, J=7.7,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.46,62.75,46.02,42.20,40.52,32.79,29.99,28.66,26.08,18.31$, 14.58, 13.29, -5.43, -5.48. IR (thin film): 2955, 2929, 2857, 1644, 1428, 1254, 1091, 831, 774 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ Si: C, $65.59 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.93$. Found: C, $65.68 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.97$. SFC analysis: AD-H (Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size; 5:95 IPA: $\mathrm{scCO}_{2}$ to $40: 60 \mathrm{IPA}: \mathrm{scCO}_{2}$
linear gradient over 6 min with 1 min hold time, $2.50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), 1.92 min (major), 2.01 min (minor), 99:1 er. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}: 24.5\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## $N$-(3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d][7]annulen-5-ylidene)propyl)- $N$-methyl-6-(pyrimidin-2-yloxy)hexanamide (3q)



Following general procedure B, 2-(pent-4-en-1yloxy)pyrimidine ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), (3-(10,11-dihydro-5 H -dibenzo[ $a, d]$ [7]annulen-5-
ylidene)propyl)(methyl)carbamic chloride ( $244 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.5$ equiv), $[\operatorname{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, BrettPhos $(11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), and potassium benzoate ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup A and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane/EtOAc $=[5: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 3: 1$ $(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 1: 1(100 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 1: 2(70 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 1: 3(200 \mathrm{~mL})]$ (Note: Volumes refer to the volume of hexane that was used), the title compound was obtained as a light yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: 195 mg , $85 \%$ yield; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $190 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ yield). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta$ $8.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.85-5.79 (m, 1H), 4.35-4.31(m, 2H), 3.47-3.43(m, 1H), 3.38-3.27(m, 3H), $2.96(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-$ $2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-$ $1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 172.73$, $172.68,165.48,159.33,146.02,144.41,141.15,140.67,139.99,139.67,139.50,139.42,137.13$, 137.06, 130.31, 130.06, 128.76, 128.47, 128.28, 128.24, 128.14, 127.97, 127.93, 127.60, 127.45, $127.22,126.55,126.21,126.18,126.04,125.89,114.89,67.54,49.60,47.37,35.39,33.87,33.61$, 33.52, $32.79,32.14,32.01,28.82,28.70,27.72,25.95,25.93,25.20,24.90$. IR (thin film): 2928,

1641, 1578, 1562, 1425, 1322, 1021, $778 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 76.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.30$. Found: C, 76.19; H, 7.44.

## 11-(5,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-2-yl)-N,N-diphenylundecanamide (3r)



Following general procedure B, 2-(dec-9-en-1-yl)-5,5-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane $(127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), diphenylcarbamic chloride ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), $[\mathrm{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, BrettPhos $(11.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and potassium benzoate ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup A and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane $(50 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ hexane/EtOAc $=[15: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 12: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 10: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 8: 1(440 \mathrm{~mL})]($ Note: Volumes refer to the volume of hexane that is being used), the title compound was obtained as a light yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $117 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ yield; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $121 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-$ $1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 173.48, 143.17, 129.43, 102.45, $77.38,35.41,35.05,30.30,29.66,29.65,29.61,29.55,29.45,29.36,25.70,24.12,23.14,22.00$. IR (thin film): 2923, 2851, 1674, 1491, 1362, 1261, 1126, 1016, $755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 452.3159$; found 452.3177.

## (S)-6-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-yloxy)- N -methyl- N -(3-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)-3-(thiophen-2yl)propyl)hexanamide (3s)

Following general procedure $\mathbf{B}, 2$-(pent-4-en-1-yloxy)benzo[d]thiazole ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), methyl(3-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)-3-(thiophen-2-yl)propyl)carbamic chloride ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75$

mmol, 1.5 equiv), $[\operatorname{Pd}(\text { cinnamyl }) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}$, $2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), BrettPhos ( $11.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), and potassium benzoate ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup $\mathbf{A}$ and purification by column chromatography with a gradient of hexane/EtOAc $=[8: 1(80 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 6: 1(90 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 4: 1(120 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 3: 1(150 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow 2: 1(140 \mathrm{~mL})$ $\rightarrow$ 3:2 $(210 \mathrm{~mL}) \rightarrow$ 1:1 $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ ] (Note: Volumes refer to the volume of hexane that was used), the title compound was obtained as a light yellow oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $134 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ yield; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: 148 $\mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ yield). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 8.37-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-$ $7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (dd, $J=10.8,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.0,5.1,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.73-5.63 (m, 1H), $4.54(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-$ $3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97 \& 2.96(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 1.23-1.04 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 173.12,173.10,172.99$, $172.87,153.18,152.81,149.59,149.56,144.94,144.23,134.76,134.72,131.95,127.84,127.66$, $126.93,126.73,126.65,126.45,126.16,126.07,125.99,125.88,125.79,125.63,125.39,125.19$, $124.93,124.91,124.86,123.52,122.13,121.73,121.36,121.20,120.85,120.81,107.05,106.92$, $77.48,77.16,76.84,74.69,73.11,72.02,72.01,46.15,45.43,37.82,36.72,36.05,33.49,33.36$, 32.68, 28.84, 28.68, 25.76, 25.54, 24.98, 24.69. IR (thin film): 3063, 2944, 1645, 1535, 1442, 1250, 1218, 1094, $772 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 68.35; H, 5.92. Found: C, 68.30; $\mathrm{H}, 5.78$. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}: 47.7\left(\mathrm{c}=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 4-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)- $N$-methyl- $N$-phenylbutanamide (3t)



Following general procedure B, 5-allylbenzo $[d][1,3]$ dioxole ( $81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), methyl(phenyl)carbamic chloride ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.5 equiv $), \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, XPhos $(10.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) , and potassium acetate ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) were used. After Workup B and purification by reversed-phase column chromatography (water/MeCN 10\% $0.5 \mathrm{CV}, 10-26 \% 7$ CV, $26 \% 2$ CV, $26-33 \% 3$ CV, $33 \% 4$ CV, $33-47 \% 6.5 \mathrm{CV}, 47 \% 9$ CV, $47 \%-100 \% 8 \mathrm{CV}, 100 \%$ $6 \mathrm{CV}, 80 \% 1 \mathrm{CV}$ ), the title compound was obtained as a colorless oil ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $96 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ yield; $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $102 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.41-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{p}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.93,147.55,145.65,144.25,135.74,129.81,127.81$, $127.39,121.21,108.96,108.11,100.80,37.41,35.05,33.41,27.39$. IR (thin film): 2929, 1652, 1595, 1488, 1441, 1242, 1119, 1037, $701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. EA Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 72.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.44$. Found: C, 72.53; H, 6.58.

### 3.4.4 Preparation of Substrates

### 3.4.4.1 Preparation of alkenes

All of the alkenes used in this chapter are listed below. $\mathbf{1 b},{ }^{21} \mathbf{1 c},{ }^{22} \mathbf{1 d},{ }^{23} \mathbf{1 e},{ }^{24} \mathbf{1 g},{ }^{25} \mathbf{1 h},{ }^{21}$ $\mathbf{1 i},{ }^{26} \mathbf{1 k},{ }^{27} \mathbf{1 1},{ }^{28} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{n},{ }^{27}$ and $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 1} \mathbf{q}^{29}$ are known compounds and were prepared by following previously reported procedures. $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{1 f}, \mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{1 r}$ are commercially available.

tert-butyl 4-(3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)prop-1-en-2-yl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (1m)


A 100 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with tert11.1 mmol ), imidazole ( $1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0$ equiv, 22.2 mmol ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then tertbutylchlorodimethylsilane ( $2.01 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2$ equiv, 13.3 mmol ) was added dropwise while the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature. The flask was then capped with a septum and attached to a balloon filled with air, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Then the septum was removed, and water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The contents were transferred to a 125 mL separatory funnel. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluting with $0-5 \%$ hexane/EtOAc) to give the title compound as a colorless oil ( $3.57 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.05(\mathrm{q}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{t}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{td}, J=13.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{tt}, J=$ $12.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{qd}, J=12.7,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$,
$0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.96,152.10,107.98,79.45,77.48,77.16,76.84$, $65.17,44.44,39.20,31.41,28.61,26.05,18.51,-5.24$. IR (thin film): 2930, 2855, 1695, 1421, 1240, 1170, 1123, $836 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 356.2616$; found 356.2616.

### 3.4.4.2 Preparation of carbamoyl chlorides

All of the carbamoyl chlorides used in this chapter are listed below. $\mathbf{2 h}^{31}$ is a known compound and was prepared by following previously reported procedures. 2a-d are commercially available.


2a


2b

$2 f$

$2 g$


2c



2d


2h


2e

$2 i$

General Procedure C (Adapted from the literature procedure) ${ }^{32}$

A 100 mL round bottom flask (flask $\mathbf{A}$ ) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with the amine ( 1.0 equiv, 16 mmol ), followed by addition of anhydrous toluene ( 13 mL ), pyridine ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1$ equiv, 17 mmol ), and 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU, $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1$ equiv, 17 mmol ). The flask was placed in a NaCl -ice bath at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then a small chunk of dry ice (the surface of the dry ice was dried with a paper towel) was dropped into the flask. The flask was
capped with a septum and a needle was inserted into the septum. The mixture was stirred at -10 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Meanwhile, additional chunks of dry ice were added when needed in order to maintain constant bubbling of the mixture. A second 250 mL flask (flask B) containing a magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2$ equiv, 19 mmol$)$, and anhydrous toluene $(13 \mathrm{~mL})$. The flask was capped with a septum, attached to a balloon under air, and then placed in a NaCl -ice bath at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture from flask $\mathbf{A}$ was quickly transferred to flask $\mathbf{B}$ via syringe while the mixture in flask $\mathbf{B}$ was stirred at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the mixture in flask $\mathbf{B}$ was stirred at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Then, flask $\mathbf{B}$ was removed from the cooling bath, and the reaction mixture was poured into a separatory funnel containing $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo with the aid of a rotary evaporator, and then purified by column chromatography on silica gel $(0-10 \%$ hexane/EtOAc) to give the corresponding carbamoyl chloride 2.

## (3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[b,f]azepin-5-yl)propyl)(methyl)carbamic chloride (2e)

Following general procedure C, 3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[b,f]azepin-5-
 yl)- $N$-methylpropan-1-amine ( $4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv, 16 mmol ) was used. The title compound was obtained as a white solid ( $4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield). m.p. 50.5-52.8 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta$ 7.17-7.07 (m, 6H), 6.97-6.93 (m, 2H), 3.81-3.76 (m, 2H), 3.51-3.48(m, 1H), 3.46-3.42(m, 1H), $3.18(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.96 \& 2.88(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.95-1.85 (m, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.67,149.30,147.89,147.82,134.38$, $130.14,130.11,126.66,123.07,123.01,119.96,119.80,51.12,49.58,47.73,47.44,38.68,36.86$,
32.24, 26.22, 25.74. IR (thin film): 2921, 2841, 1729, 1486, 1384, 1230, 1099, $752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OCl}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 329.1415$; found 329.1413 .
(3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d][7]annulen-5-ylidene)propyl)(methyl)carbamic chloride (2f)


Following general procedure $\quad \mathbf{C}$, 3-(10,11-dihydro-5Hdibenzo[a,d][7] annulen-5-ylidene)- $N$-methylpropan-1-amine $\quad(4.2 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 1.0$ equiv, 16 mmol ) was used. The title compound was obtained as a colorless oil ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.29-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23-7.22 (m, 2H), 7.21-7.14 (m, 3H), 7.11-7.09 (m, 1H), 7.06-7.03 (m, 1H), 5.85-5.80(m, 1H), 3.56-3.30 (m, 4H), 3.00-2.90 (m, 4H), 2.82-2.78 (m, 1H), 2.50-2.41 (m, 2H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 149.74,149.32,146.30,145.79,140.81,140.68,139.65,139.58,139.52,137.20$, $137.16,130.32,130.22,128.67,128.55,128.30,128.06,127.98,127.93,127.85,127.51,127.46$, $126.43,126.24,126.05,126.00,125.95,52.63,51.05,38.42,36.70,33.87,32.10,32.03,28.03$, 27.45. IR (thin film): $3014,2918,1733,1383,1244,1170,1086,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NOCl}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 326.1306$; found 326.1308.

## (S)-methyl(3-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)-3-(thiophen-2-yl)propyl)carbamic chloride (2g)

 Following general procedure $\mathbf{C}, \quad N$-methyl-3-(naphthalen-1-yloxy)-3-(thiophen-2-yl)propan-1-amine ( $4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv, 16 mmol ) was used. The title compound was obtained as a light yellow oil ( $4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 8.36-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-$ $7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.11 \& 3.04(2 \times \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-$ $2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.98,152.92,149.85$, $149.41,144.15,143.94,134.74,127.77,127.73,126.93,126.88,126.61,126.57,126.11,126.06$, $125.79,125.74,125.62,125.57,125.29,125.23,125.14,125.04,121.99,121.89,121.23,121.16$, $107.11,107.03,74.08,73.68,49.88,48.79,39.25,37.35,37.29,36.47$. IR (thin film): 3053, 2954, 1729, 1578, 1395, 1235, 1094, 1064, $771 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SClNa}^{+}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 382.0639$; found 382.0640 .
methyl(2-methylbenzo[d]thiazol-6-yl)carbamic chloride (2i)


Following the literature procedure, ${ }^{31} N, 2$-dimethylbenzo $[d]$ thiazol-6-amine ${ }^{33}$ ( $2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv, 12 mmol ) was used. The title compound was obtained as an orange solid (1.6 g, 57\% yield). m.p. $79.0-81.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.12,153.17,140.02,136.51,125.68,123.31$, 120.76, 40.81, 20.37. IR (thin film): 2940, 1725, 1456, 1256, 1168, 1060, 842, $746 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. HRMS Calcd. $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OSCl}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 241.0197; found 241.0195.
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### 3.6 Spectra and Chromatograms
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3d ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



3d ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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3f ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

为 $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ} \stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$


3f ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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3h $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR, $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$



3i $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR, $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$




3j ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



3j ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )




3k ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



3k( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


31 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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31 ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



$3 \mathrm{~m}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR, $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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3n（ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR， $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ）



30 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


$30\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR, $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$




3p ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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3r $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR, $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


3s ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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3t ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


3t ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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1m（ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR， $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ）






$2 f\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR, $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$



$2 f\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR, $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$



2g( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$



## (S)-N-methyl- N ,2-diphenylpropanamide (3a)



Racemic (OJ-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OJ-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S)-2-(6-morpholinopyridin-3-yl)- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diphenylpropanamide (3b)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S)-N,N-diethyl-2-(9-ethyl-9H-carbazol-3-yl)propanamide (3c)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

tert-butyl (S)-5-((4-methoxyphenyl)(methyl)amino)-5-oxo-4-phenylpentanoate (3d)


Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

(S)- N -methyl- N -phenyl-2-(1-(phenylsulfonyl)-1H-indol-5-yl)propanamide (3e)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S)-N-methyl- $N$-(2-methylbenzo[d]thiazol-6-yl)-2-phenylpropanamide (3f)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## ( R )- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethyl-2-ferrocenylpropanamide (3g)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S)-N-methyl-2-(2-morpholinopyrimidin-5-yl)- $N$-phenylpropanamide (3h)



Racemic (OJ-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OJ-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (S)-2-(1-(3-fluorophenyl)-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)- $N$-methyl- $N$-phenylpropanamide (3i)



Racemic (OJ-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OJ-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (R)-RWAY (3j)



Racemic (CEL-1, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (CEL-1, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):



Racemic (OJ-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OJ-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (R)-3-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethyl-4-methylpentanamide (3I)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (R)-N-(3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[b,f]azepin-5-yl)propyl)-3-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)- $N$ -

 methylbutanamide (3m)

Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):

tert-butyl (R)-4-(1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-4-(diethylamino)-4-oxobutan-2-yl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (3n)


Racemic (OD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (OD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (R)-3-(dimethyl(phenyl)silyl)- $N, N$-diphenylbutanamide (3o)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}$, $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


## (R)-3-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)- $\mathbf{N , N}$-diethyl-4,4-dimethylpentanamide (3p)



Racemic (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):


Enantioenriched (AD-H, Chiralpak ${ }^{\circledR}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ particle size):
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