

## MIT Open Access Articles

### *Remarks on Affine Springer Fibres*

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. **Please share** how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

**Citation:** Lusztig, G. 2020. "Remarks on Affine Springer Fibres." Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica NEW SERIES, 15 (1).

**As Published:** 10.21915/BIMAS.2020101

**Publisher:** Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica

**Persistent URL:** <https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/145775>

**Version:** Original manuscript: author's manuscript prior to formal peer review

**Terms of use:** Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike



## REMARKS ON AFFINE SPRINGER FIBRES

G. LUSZTIG

Let  $G$  be a simply connected almost simple algebraic group over  $\mathbf{C}$  and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Let  $B$  be a Borel subgroup of  $G$ , let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $B$  and let  $\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{b}$  be the Lie algebras of  $T, B$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the variety of Borel subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For any nilpotent element  $N \in \mathfrak{g}$  we set  $\mathcal{B}_N = \{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B}; N \in \mathfrak{b}\}$  (a Springer fibre). In [KL] an affine analogue of  $\mathcal{B}_N$  (“affine Springer fibre”) was introduced. Let  $F = \mathbf{C}((\epsilon))$ ,  $A = \mathbf{C}[[\epsilon]]$ , where  $\epsilon$  is an indeterminate and let  $\mathfrak{g}(F) = F \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  (a Lie algebra over  $F$ ),  $L = A \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  (a Lie algebra over  $A$ ). An element  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$  is said to be topologically nilpotent if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad}(\xi)^n = 0$  in  $\text{End}_F(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ . Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the set of all Iwahori subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ ; this is an increasing union of projective varieties over  $\mathbf{C}$ . According to [KL], for any regular semisimple, topologically nilpotent element  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ , the set  $\tilde{X}_\xi = \{I \in \tilde{X}; \xi \in I\}$  is a nonempty, locally finite union of projective varieties all of the same dimension, say  $b_\xi$ . Let  $[\tilde{X}_\xi]$  be the set of irreducible components of  $\tilde{X}_\xi$ , a finite or countable set.

In the remainder of this paper,  $h$  denotes a fixed regular element in  $\mathfrak{t}$ . Then  $\epsilon h \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$  is regular semisimple, topologically nilpotent so that the affine Springer fibre  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h} = \{I \in \tilde{X}; \epsilon h \in I\}$  is defined. From [KL, §5] we see that  $b_{\epsilon h} = \nu$  where  $\nu = \dim \mathcal{B}$ . As in [KL, §3], there is a free abelian group  $\Lambda$  (see Sec.2) of rank equal to the rank of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which acts freely on  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$  in such a way that the induced  $\Lambda$ -action on  $[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$  is also free and has only finitely many orbits. In this paper we will describe a fundamental domain for the  $\Lambda$ -action on  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$ . Namely, let  $\mathfrak{S}'$  be the Steinberg variety of triples  $(E, \mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{b}_2)$  where  $\mathfrak{b}_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $E \in \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_2$  is nilpotent. Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the fibre at  $\mathfrak{b}$  of the projection  $\mathfrak{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $(E, \mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{b}_2) \mapsto \mathfrak{b}_2$ . We can identify  $\mathfrak{S}$  with  $\{(E, \mathfrak{b}_1); \mathfrak{b}_1 \in \mathcal{B}, E \in \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{b}_1\}$ . We state the following result.

**Theorem.** *There is a locally closed subvariety of  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$  which is a fundamental domain for the  $\Lambda$ -action on  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$  such that  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{S}$ .*

From the theorem one can deduce some information on the representation of the affine Weyl group on the vector space  $\mathbf{C}[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$  with basis  $[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$  defined in [L2], see Section 6.

I thank Peng Shan and Zhiwei Yun for discussions.

---

Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1566618

**2.** Let  $U$  be the unipotent radical of  $B$ . Let  $\mathfrak{n}$  be the Lie algebra of  $U$ . Let  $G(F), U(F), T(F)$  be the group of  $F$ -points of  $G, U, F$  respectively. Let  $G(F)$  be the group of  $F$ -points of  $G$ . Note that  $G(F)$  acts naturally on  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$  by the adjoint representation  $g : x \mapsto \text{Ad}(g)(x)$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the subgroup of  $T(F)$  consisting of the elements  $\chi(\epsilon)$  where  $\chi$  runs over the one parameter subgroups  $\mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow T$  (viewed as homomorphisms  $F^* \rightarrow T(F)$ ). Let  $X$  be the set of  $A$ -Lie subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$  of the form  $\text{Ad}(g)(L)$  for some  $g \in G(F)$ . We shall regard  $X$  as an increasing union of projective algebraic varieties over  $\mathbf{C}$  as in [L1, §11]. For each  $L' \in X$ ,  $L'/\epsilon L'$  inherits from  $L'$  a bracket operation and becomes a simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $\pi_{L'} : L' \rightarrow L'/\epsilon L'$  be the obvious map. Let  $\mathcal{B}_{L'}$  be the set of Borel subalgebras of  $L'/\epsilon L'$ . Now  $\tilde{X}$  consists of all  $\mathbf{C}$ -Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}(F)$  of the form  $\pi_{L'}^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}')$  for some  $L' \in X$  and some  $\mathfrak{b}' \in \mathcal{B}_{L'}$ . We define  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  by  $I \mapsto L'$  where  $I \subset L'$ . Note that  $g : I \mapsto \text{Ad}(g)I$  is a well defined action of  $G(F)$  on  $\tilde{X}$  which is transitive. According to [KL],  $t : I \mapsto \text{Ad}(t)I$  defines a free action of  $\Lambda$  on  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h} = \{I \in \tilde{X}; \epsilon h \in I\}$  inducing a free action of  $\Lambda$  with finitely many orbits on  $[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$ . Let  $X_{\epsilon h} = \{L' \in X; \epsilon h \in L'\}$ .

If  $\xi \in \mathfrak{n}(F) := F \otimes \mathfrak{n}$  then  $\exp(\xi) \in U(F)$  is well defined. Let  $\mathfrak{n}(F)' = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}; i < 0} \epsilon^i \mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{n}(F)$ . Let  $U(F)' = \{\exp(\xi); \xi \in \mathfrak{n}(F)'\} \subset U(F)$ . It is well known that any  $L' \in X$  can be written in the form  $\text{Ad}(t)\text{Ad}(u)L$  where  $t \in \Lambda, u \in U(F)'$  are uniquely determined. Hence we have a partition  $X_{\epsilon h} = \sqcup_{t \in \Lambda} X_{\epsilon h, t}$  where  $X_{\epsilon h, t} = \{\text{Ad}(t)\text{Ad}(u)L; u \in U(F)', \epsilon h \in \text{Ad}(u)L\}$  is a locally closed subset of  $X_{\epsilon h}$ . Let  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h, t} = \pi^{-1}(X_{\epsilon h, t})$ . This is a locally closed subset of  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$ . Let  $\Omega = X_{\epsilon h, 1}, \tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{X}_{\epsilon h, 1} = \pi^{-1}(\Omega)$ . Note that

$$(a) \quad \tilde{X}_{\epsilon h} = \sqcup_{t \in \Lambda} \text{Ad}(t)\tilde{\Omega}$$

as a set. Thus,  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is a fundamental domain for the  $\Lambda$ -action on  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$ . Let  $\omega = \{\mathbf{E} \in \mathfrak{n}(F)'; \text{Ad}(\exp(\mathbf{E}))(\epsilon h) \in L\}$ . In preparation for the proof of the theorem we will prove the following result.

**Lemma 3.** *The map  $\mathbf{E} = \epsilon^{-1}E_1 + \epsilon^{-2}E_2 + \epsilon^{-3}E_3 + \dots \rightarrow E_1$  is a bijection  $\phi : \omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{n}$ . (Here  $E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots$  is a sequence of elements of  $\mathfrak{n}$  with  $E_i = 0$  for large  $i$ .)*

The equation defining  $\omega$  is  $\exp(\text{ad}(\mathbf{E}))(\epsilon h) \in L$  that is

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon h + \sum_{i \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i+1} [E_i, h] + (1/2) \sum_{i, j \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j+1} [E_i, [E_j, h]] \\ + (1/6) \sum_{i, j, k \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j-k+1} [E_i, [E_j, [E_k, h]]] + \dots \in L, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \geq 2} \epsilon^{-i+1} [E_i, h] + (1/2) \sum_{i, j \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j+1} [E_i, [E_j, h]] \\ + (1/6) \sum_{i, j, k \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j-k+1} [E_i, [E_j, [E_k, h]]] + \dots \in L, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(a) \quad [E_r, h] = -(1/2) \sum_{i,j \geq 1, i+j=r} [E_i, [E_j, h]] \\ - (1/6) \sum_{i,j,k \geq 1, i+j+k=r} [E_i, [E_j, [E_k, h]]] + \dots$$

for  $r = 2, 3, \dots$ . In the right hand side we have  $i < r, j < r, k < r$ , etc. Hence if  $E_{r'}$  is known for  $r' < r$  then  $[E_r, h]$  is a well defined element of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Hence  $E_r$  is a well defined element of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . (Note that  $E \mapsto [E, h]$  is a vector space isomorphism  $\mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{n}$ .)

It remains to show that  $E_r = 0$  for large  $r$ . For  $r \geq 1$  let  $\mathfrak{n}^r$  be the subspace of  $\mathfrak{n}$  spanned by all iterated brackets of  $r$  elements of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . (Thus,  $\mathfrak{n}^1 = \mathfrak{n}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}^2$  is spanned by  $[a, b]$  with  $a, b$  in  $\mathfrak{n}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}^3$  is spanned by  $[[a, b], c]$  with  $a, b, c$  in  $\mathfrak{n}$ , etc.) Note that

(b)  $E \mapsto [E, h]$  is an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{n}^r \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}^r$  for any  $r \geq 1$ .

We show by induction on  $r$  that

$$(c) \quad E_r \in \mathfrak{n}^r \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \dots$$

For  $r = 1$  this is clear. Assume now that  $r \geq 2$ . From (a) and the induction hypothesis we deduce that  $[E_r, h] \in \mathfrak{n}^r$ . Using (b) we see that for some  $E' \in \mathfrak{n}^r$  we have  $[E_r, h] = [E', h]$ , hence  $[E_r - E', h] = 0$ , hence  $E_r = E'$ . Thus  $E_r \in \mathfrak{n}^r$ , proving (c). Since  $\mathfrak{n}^r = 0$  for large  $r$  we see that  $E_r = 0$  for large  $r$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

**4.** For  $E \in \mathfrak{n}$  we set  $u_E = \exp(\mathbf{E}) \in U(F)'$  where  $\mathbf{E} = \phi^{-1}(E)$  (see Lemma 3). Note that  $\text{Ad}(u_E)(\epsilon h) \in L$ . Now  $\mathbf{E} \mapsto \text{Ad}(\exp(-\mathbf{E}))L$  is a bijection  $\psi : \omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \vee$ . Hence  $\psi' := \psi\phi^{-1} : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \Omega$  is a bijection. We have  $\psi'(E) = \text{Ad}(u_E^{-1})L$ . We show:

(a) Let  $E \in \mathfrak{n}$  and let  $L_E = \text{Ad}(u_E^{-1})L \in X$ . Note that  $\epsilon h \in L_E$ . Then  $\pi_{L_E}(\epsilon h) \in L_E/\epsilon L_E$  and  $\pi_L(-[E, h]) \in L/\epsilon L$  correspond to each other under the Lie algebra isomorphism  $\tau_E : L/\epsilon L \xrightarrow{\sim} L_E/\epsilon L_E$  induced by  $\text{Ad}(u_E^{-1}) : L \xrightarrow{\sim} L_E$ . We must show that  $\text{Ad}(u_E)(\epsilon h) = -[E, h] \pmod{\epsilon L}$  or that  $\text{Ad}(\exp(\mathbf{E}))(\epsilon h) = -[E, h] \pmod{\epsilon L}$  where  $\mathbf{E} = \epsilon^{-1}E_1 + \epsilon^{-2}E_2 + \epsilon^{-3}E_3 + \dots$  corresponds to  $E = E_1$  as in Lemma 3. Thus we must show that

$$\epsilon h + \sum_{i \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i+1} [E_i, h] + (1/2) \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j+1} [E_i, [E_j, h]] \\ + (1/6) \sum_{i,j,k \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j-k+1} [E_i, [E_j, [E_k, h]]] + \dots = -[E_1, h] \pmod{\epsilon L},$$

or that

$$\sum_{i \geq 2} \epsilon^{-i+1} [E_i, h] + (1/2) \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j+1} [E_i, [E_j, h]] \\ + (1/6) \sum_{i,j,k \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j-k+1} [E_i, [E_j, [E_k, h]]] + \dots \in \epsilon L.$$

But the left hand side is actually zero, by the proof of Lemma 3. This proves (a).

From (a) we deduce:

(b) *the map  $\beta \mapsto \tau_E(\beta)$  is a bijection  $\{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_L; \pi_L(-[E, h]) \in \beta\} \rightarrow \{\beta' \in \mathcal{B}_{L_E}; \pi_{L_E}(\epsilon h) \in \beta'\}$ .*

Taking union over all  $E \in \mathfrak{n}$  and using the bijection  $\psi' : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \Omega$  we deduce

(c) *the map  $(E, \beta) \mapsto \pi_{L_E}^{-1}(\tau_E(\beta))$  is a bijection  $\{(E, \beta) \in \mathfrak{n} \times \mathcal{B}_L; \pi_L(-[E, h]) \in \beta\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\Omega}$ .*

We consider the bijection

(d)  $\{(E, \beta) \in \mathfrak{n} \times \mathcal{B}_L; \pi_L(-[E, h]) \in \beta\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$

given by  $(E, \beta) \mapsto (-[E, h], \mathfrak{b}_1)$  where  $\mathfrak{b}_1 \in \mathcal{B}$  is defined by  $\pi_L(\mathfrak{b}_1) = \beta$ . The composition of the inverse of (d) with the bijection (c) is a bijection

(e)  $\mathfrak{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\Omega}$ .

From the proof we see that the bijection (e) is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties. This proves the theorem.

**5.** Let  $NT$  be the normalizer of  $T$  in  $G$  and let  $W = NT/T$  be the Weyl group. For any  $w \in W$  let  $\mathcal{B}_w$  be the variety consisting of all  $\mathfrak{b}_1 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}_1)$  are in relative position  $w$ . Note that  $\mathcal{B}_w$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}^{|w|}$  where  $|w| \in \mathbf{N}$  is the length of  $w$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_w = \{(E, \mathfrak{b}_1) \in \mathfrak{S}; \mathfrak{b}_1 \in \mathcal{B}_w\}$ . The second projection  $\mathfrak{S}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_w$  makes  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  into a vector bundle with fibres of dimension  $\nu - |w|$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}^\nu$  as an algebraic variety. We have a partition  $\mathfrak{S} = \sqcup_{w \in W} \mathfrak{S}_w$  (as a set) with  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  locally closed in  $\mathfrak{S}$  (the closure of  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  in  $\mathfrak{S}$  is denoted by  $\overline{\mathfrak{S}_w}$ ). Hence we have a partition  $\tilde{\Omega} = \sqcup_{w \in W} \tilde{\Omega}_w$  (as a set) where  $\tilde{\Omega}_w$  corresponds to  $\mathfrak{S}_w$  under 4(e). Note that  $\tilde{\Omega}_w$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}^\nu$  as an algebraic variety and that  $\tilde{\Omega}_w$  is locally closed in  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . For  $w \in W, t \in \Lambda$  we set  $\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t} = \text{Ad}(t)\tilde{\Omega}_w$ . Using 2(a) we see that

(a)  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h} = \sqcup_{(w,t) \in W \times \Lambda} \tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}$

as a set, where  $\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}$  is locally closed in  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$  and is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}^\nu$ . Let  $\overline{\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}}$  be the closure of  $\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}$  in  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$ . Note that  $\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}$  is open dense in  $\overline{\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}}$ . Since  $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$  is of pure dimension  $\nu$ , we see that

(b)  $(w, t) \mapsto \overline{\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}}$  is a bijection  $W \times \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} [\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$ .

In particular,

(c) *the number of  $\Lambda$ -orbits on  $[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$  is equal to the order of  $W$ .*

A result closely related to (c) (but not (c) itself) appears in [TS].

**6.** Let  $[\mathfrak{S}]$  be the set of irreducible components of  $\mathfrak{S}$  (a finite set naturally indexed by  $W$  by  $w \mapsto \overline{\mathfrak{S}_w}$ ). The bijection 5(b) gives rise to an imbedding  $[\mathfrak{S}] \rightarrow [\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$ ,  $\overline{\mathfrak{S}_w} \mapsto \overline{\tilde{\Omega}_{w,1}}$  hence to an imbedding of vector spaces

(a)  $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{S}] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$

with bases  $[\mathfrak{S}], [\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$ . Springer has shown that  $W$  acts naturally on  $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{S}]$  (this is known to be the regular representation of  $W$  in a nonstandard basis). In [L2] it is shown that the affine Weyl group of  $G$  acts naturally on  $\mathbf{C}[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$ . Hence, by restriction,  $W$  acts on  $\mathbf{C}[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$ . From the definitions we see that the imbedding (a) is compatible with the  $W$ -actions.

## REFERENCES

- [KL] D.Kazhdan and G.Lusztig, *Fixed point varieties on affine flag manifolds*, Isr. J. Math. **62** (1988), 129-168.
- [L1] G.Lusztig, *Singularities, character formulas and a q-analog of weight multiplicities*, Astérisque **101-102** (1983), 208-229.
- [L2] G.Lusztig, *Affine Weyl groups and conjugacy classes in Weyl groups*, Transform. Groups (1996), 83-97.
- [TS] C.C.Tsai, *Components of affine Springer fibres*, arxiv:1609.05176.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, CAMBRIDGE MA 02139