

MIT Open Access Articles

Estimation of Standard Auction Models

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Cherapanamjeri, Yeshwanth, Daskalakis, Constantinos, Ilyas, Andrew and Zampetakis, Manolis. 2022. "Estimation of Standard Auction Models."

As Published: https://doi.org/10.1145/3490486.3538284

Publisher: ACM|Proceedings of the 23rd ACM Conference on Economics and Computation

Persistent URL: https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/146420

Version: Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

Terms of Use: Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.



Estimation of Standard Auction Models

YESHWANTH CHERAPANAMJERI, University of California, Berkeley CONSTANTINOS DASKALAKIS, Massachusetts Institute of Technology ANDREW ILYAS, Massachusetts Institute of Technology MANOLIS ZAMPETAKIS, University of California, Berkeley

We provide efficient estimation methods for first- and second-price auctions under independent (asymmetric) private values and partial observability. Given a finite set of observations, each comprising the identity of the winner and the price they paid in a sequence of identical auctions, we provide algorithms for non-parametrically estimating the bid distribution of each bidder, as well as their value distributions under equilibrium assumptions. We provide finite-sample estimation bounds which are uniform in that their error rates do not depend on the bid/value distributions being estimated. Our estimation guarantees advance a body of work in Econometrics wherein only identification results have been obtained (e.g. [1, 2]), unless the setting is symmetric (e.g. [8, 9]), parametric (e.g. [3]), or all bids are observable (e.g. [6]). Our guarantees also provide computationally and statistically effective alternatives to classical techniques from reliability theory [7]. Finally, our results are immediately applicable to Dutch and English auctions.

The full version of this work can be found at: https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.02060

 $\label{eq:ccs} CCS \ Concepts: \bullet \ \textbf{Mathematics of computing} \rightarrow \textbf{Nonparametric statistics}; \ Partial \ differential \ equations; \bullet \ \textbf{Computing methodologies} \rightarrow \textbf{Supervised learning}; \bullet \ \textbf{Applied computing} \rightarrow \textbf{Economics}.$

Additional Key Words and Phrases: econometrics, auctions, order statistics, fixed-point analysis

ACM Reference Format:

Yeshwanth Cherapanamjeri, Constantinos Daskalakis, Andrew Ilyas, and Manolis Zampetakis. 2022. Estimation of Standard Auction Models. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM Conference on Economics and Computation (EC '22), July 11–15, 2022, Boulder, CO, USA*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3490486.3538284

1 INTRODUCTION

Estimating value and/or bid distributions from an observed sequence of auctions is a fundamental challenge in Econometrics with direct practical applications. For example, these fundamentals allow one to analyze the performance of an auction and make counterfactual predictions. The difficulty lies in the format of the auctions and the structure of the observed information, as well as how the fundamentals of bidders are interrelated and vary across the sequence of observations.

In this paper, we study a basic version of the afore-described estimation challenge, wherein the auction format and the bidder distributions stay fixed across observations, and the bidders have independent private values (which are independently resampled across different observations). What will make our problem challenging is that (i) our bidders are *ex ante* asymmetric, drawing their independent private values from different distributions; (ii) we will make no parametric assumptions about these distributions; and (iii) we will only be observing the identity of the winner and the price they paid but not the losing bids. Under this model, we focus on first- and second-price auctions, with our results automatically extending to Dutch and English auctions.

EC '22, July 11-15, 2022, Boulder, CO, USA.

© 2022 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9150-4/22/07.

https://doi.org/10.1145/3490486.3538284

In the above settings, we give computationally and sample efficient methods for estimating all agents' bid distributions and (under equilibrium assumptions) value distributions:

First-price auctions. For first-price auctions, we provide finite-sample estimation guarantees under Lévy, Kolmogorov and Total Variation distances with minimal assumptions. Under a lower bound on the effective densities of the bid distributions (although we actually do not need existence of densities), we show that the bid distributions can be estimated to within ε in Lévy distance, using $1/\varepsilon^{O(k)}$ samples, where *k* is the number of bidders. We then show that the exponential dependence on *k* is necessary, and that Lévy distance cannot be strengthened to Kolmogorov distance. In the effective support regime (i.e the part of the support likely to be observed [4]), we sidestep the exponential sample dependence on *k* and remove the density lower bound assumption to estimate the bid distributions to within ε in Kolmogorov distance on their effective supports. Finally, under Lipschitzness assumptions on the densities of the bid distributions, we improve the latter to Total Variation distance. Our sample requirements for estimation over the effective supports in either Kolmogorov or TV distance are dramatically improved to *logarithmic* in the number of bidders and benign in $1/\varepsilon$. Finally, assuming that bidders use Bayesian Nash equilibrium strategies, we show that bidders' value distributions can be estimated over their full and, effective supports with similar sample sizes as those needed for the estimation of bid distributions.

All of our algorithms run in polynomial time in their sample sizes, and all our estimation error bounds are uniform in that they do not depend on the bid/value distributions being estimated. It is also important to note that we estimate the value distributions in Lévy distance which suffices for performing counterfactual predictions about revenue from alternative auctions [5].

Second-price auctions. For second-price auctions, we establish that bid distributions can be estimated to within ε in Kolmogorov distance over their entire supports assuming upper and lower bounds on their density functions. Again the sample complexity scales as $1/\varepsilon^{O(k)}$. This result involves overcoming major technical challenges, requiring a computationally and statistically effective, fixed point computation alternative to Meilijson [7]'s method. In an effective support setting similar to that proposed by [4], we show that bid distributions can be estimated to within ε in Kolmogorov distance over their effective supports, using a sample size that is polynomial in both $1/\varepsilon$ and k (translatable into a Total Variation guarantee under Lipschitzness of the densities) sidestepping the exponential dependence. Assuming that the bidders bid according to the truthful bidding equilibrium, our results automatically translate to accurate estimation of value distributions.

To our knowledge, ours are the first finite-sample non-parametric guarantees for this problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Susan Athey and Philip A Haile. 2002. Identification of standard auction models. Econometrica 70, 6 (2002), 2107–2140.
- [2] Susan Athey and Philip A Haile. 2007. Nonparametric approaches to auctions. Handbook of econometrics 6 (2007), 3847–3965.
- [3] Susan Athey, Jonathan Levin, and Enrique Seira. 2011. Comparing open and sealed bid auctions: Evidence from timber auctions. The Quarterly Journal of Economics 126, 1 (2011), 207–257.
- [4] Avrim Blum, Yishay Mansour, and Jamie Morgenstern. 2015. Learning valuation distributions from partial observation. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 29.
- [5] Johannes Brustle, Yang Cai, and Constantinos Daskalakis. 2020. Multi-item mechanisms without item-independence: Learnability via robustness. In Proceedings of the 21st ACM Conference on Economics and Computation. 715–761.
- [6] Emmanuel Guerre, Isabelle Perrigne, and Quang Vuong. 2000. Optimal nonparametric estimation of first-price auctions. Econometrica 68, 3 (2000), 525–574.
- [7] Isaac Meilijson. 1981. Estimation of the lifetime distribution of the parts from the autopsy statistics of the machine. Journal of Applied Probability (1981), 829–838.
- [8] Konrad Menzel and Paolo Morganti. 2013. Large sample properties for estimators based on the order statistics approach in auctions. *Quantitative Economics* 4, 2 (2013).
- [9] Paolo Riccardo Morganti. 2011. Estimating Auction Models from Transaction Prices with Extreme Value Theory. (2011).