

# MIT Open Access Articles

# *Fast Surface Oxygen Release Kinetics Accelerate Nanoparticle Exsolution in Perovskite Oxides*

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *[Please](https://libraries.mit.edu/forms/dspace-oa-articles.html) share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

**Citation:** Wang, Jiayue, Kalaev, Dmitri, Yang, Jing, Waluyo, Iradwikanari, Hunt, Adrian et al. 2023. "Fast Surface Oxygen Release Kinetics Accelerate Nanoparticle Exsolution in Perovskite Oxides." Journal of the American Chemical Society.

**As Published:** 10.1021/jacs.2c10256

**Publisher:** American Chemical Society (ACS)

**Persistent URL:** <https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/147714>

**Version:** Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

**Terms of use:** Creative Commons [Attribution-Noncommercial-Share](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) Alike



## **Fast Surface Oxygen Release Kinetics Accelerate Nanoparticle Exsolution in Perovskite Oxides**

Jiayue [Wang,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jiayue+Wang"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Dmitri [Kalaev,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Dmitri+Kalaev"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Jing [Yang,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jing+Yang"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Iradwikanari](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Iradwikanari+Waluyo"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Waluyo, [Adrian](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Adrian+Hunt"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Hunt, Jerzy T. [Sadowski,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Jerzy+T.+Sadowski"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) Harry L. [Tuller,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Harry+L.+Tuller"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) and Bilge [Yildiz](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Bilge+Yildiz"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[\\*](#page-12-0)



release governs the metal nanoparticle exsolution kinetics. As a result, by increasing the oxygen release rate in STF, either by reducing the sample thickness or by increasing the surface reactivity, one can effectively accelerate the Fe<sup>0</sup> exsolution kinetics. Fast oxygen release kinetics in STF not only shortened the prereduction time prior to the exsolution onset, but also increased the total quantity of exsolved Fe<sup>0</sup> over time, which agrees well with the predictions from our analytical kinetic modeling. The consistency between the results obtained from in situ experiments and analytical modeling provides a predictive capability for tailoring exsolution, and highlights the importance of engineering host oxide surface oxygen release kinetics in designing exsolved nanocatalysts.

#### ■ **INTRODUCTION**

Phase precipitation at the surfaces and interfaces of transitionmetal oxides is ubiquitous in clean energy conversion and storage techniques. Many phase precipitation phenomena are undesirable as they can lead to a degradation of the performance of materials, such as Sr segregation in solid oxide fuel cells $1,2}$  $1,2}$  and the segregation of transition-metal ions in lithium-ion batteries.<sup>[3](#page-12-0),[4](#page-12-0)</sup> Nevertheless, a particular class of cation precipitation, termed "exsolution", has been found to be beneficial, and has been considered as a promising approach to fabricate oxide-supported metal nanocatalysts. In exsolution, the metal cations precipitate out of the host oxides as well-dispersed metal nanoparticles via a single reduction<sup>6−[9](#page-12-0)</sup> or oxidation $10,11$  $10,11$  step. Compared to conventional chemical or physical deposition methods, $7,12$  $7,12$  the exsolved nanoparticles often exhibit much higher thermal and chemical stabilities as they are highly oriented $13$  and are "socketed" into the host oxide.[14](#page-13-0)−[16](#page-13-0) Intriguingly, the exsolved nanoparticles may dissolve and regenerate upon redox cycling,  $7,17,18$  $7,17,18$  $7,17,18$  $7,17,18$  $7,17,18$  which further increases their lifetimes as catalysts.

While exsolution is powering a revolution in nanoengineering, the underlying reactions controlling it remain poorly understood. To date, the mechanistic understanding of exsolution is mostly gained from equilibrium thermodynamics, with key parameters such as cation segregation energies<sup>[19,20](#page-13-0)</sup> and point defect formation energies.<sup>[21,22](#page-13-0)</sup> Although these thermodynamic descriptors can provide valuable insights, they fail to capture the kinetic processes in exsolution, such as cation diffusion<sup>14,[18](#page-13-0)</sup> and nanoparticle nucleation.<sup>[15](#page-13-0),[21](#page-13-0),[23,24](#page-13-0)</sup> On the other hand, a fundamental understanding of the exsolution kinetics is crucial to realize judicious control over particle size and density, $^{25}$  $^{25}$  $^{25}$  and hence the materials' catalytic activities.<sup>[26](#page-13-0)</sup> To bridge this gap, Jo et al.<sup>[27](#page-13-0)</sup> and Neagu et al.<sup>15</sup> have used in situ transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to study the growth kinetics of individual particles during exsolution. Gao et al. analytically modeled the exsolution kinetics under different rate-limiting factors.<sup>[28](#page-13-0)</sup> Recently, Jiang

Received: September 26, 2022



<span id="page-2-0"></span>et al. developed a phase-field framework to link the thermodynamic descriptors to the kinetics and microstructure evolution in exsolution.<sup>[29](#page-13-0)</sup> Despite these advances, it remains challenging to understand and control the exsolution kinetics in host oxides.

The global exsolution reaction (Figure 1a), triggered by  $H_2$ reduction, is described using eq 1:



Oxygen deficiency in host oxides

Figure 1. Nanoparticle exsolution and its coupling to the oxygen release process in host oxides. Schematics of (a) nanoparticle exsolution in metal oxides and (b) metal segregation in alloys. Note that while metal segregation in alloy only involves the migration of metallic species  $(M^0)$ , exsolution in metal oxides involves the migration of both oxygen anions (O<sup>2−</sup>) and metal cations (M<sup>n+</sup>), together with surface reactions. (c) Simplified sketch of the coupling between the oxygen deficiency in STF and the total amount of exsolved Fe $^0$ . Fe $^0$  exsolution makes the material a two-phase system (i.e., metal and perovskites oxides), forming Fe vacancies together with oxygen vacancies in STF. As a result, the total amount of exsolved Fe<sup>0</sup> on the STF surface should be dictated by the degree of oxygen deficiency in STF. In this work, we show that we can effectively tailor the kinetics of  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution by controlling the oxygen release process in STF.

$$
2M^{n+} + nO^{2-} + nH_2 \rightarrow 2V_M^{n-} + nV_O^{2+} + 2M^0 + nH_2O
$$
\n(1)

where  $M^{n+}$  and  $M^0$  denote the to-be-exsolved metal cations (with the valence state of *n*) in the host oxides and the exsolved metallic species, respectively. Meanwhile,  $\rm V_M^{n-}$  and  $\rm V_O^{2+}$ represent the exsolution-induced cation and anion vacancies in

the host oxide lattice.<sup>[21](#page-13-0)</sup> As can be seen from eq 1, in addition to metal cations, oxygen anions are also explicitly involved in metal exsolution. In particular, the exsolution process involves the reduction of host oxides (i.e., formation of  $V_O^{2+}$ ). The kinetics of oxide reduction then depends on the rates of the oxygen release reaction at the surface and the diffusion of oxygen anions within the bulk (Figure 1a). The participation of oxygen in exsolution makes it fundamentally different from the surface segregation process in metal alloys, $30$  where metal atoms  $(M^0)$  are the only migrating species and no redox reactions take place (Figure 1b). As a result, to exsolve more metal nanoparticles, the host oxides are required to release more oxygen, which results in higher oxygen deficiency (Figure 1c). Motivated by the coupling between the metal exsolution and the oxygen release in host oxides, we hypothesize that we can accelerate the kinetics of metal exsolution by increasing the surface oxygen release kinetics in host oxides.

To examine this hypothesis, we chose single-crystalline thinfilm SrTi $_{0.65}$ Fe $_{0.35}$ O<sub>3</sub> (STF) as a model system. Previous studies have shown that STF is a promising electrode material in solid oxide fuel cells and electrolysis cells<sup>[31](#page-13-0)-[33](#page-13-0)</sup> that can exsolve metallic iron (Fe<sup>0</sup>) nanoparticles<sup>[34](#page-13-0)</sup> as catalysts to enhance water splitting kinetics.<sup>[35](#page-13-0)</sup> In this study, we begin by presenting an analytical kinetic model, which shows that the oxygen release kinetics in thin-film STF are dependent on both the film thickness and surface reactivity. On the other hand, the cation diffusion rate in STF is independent of these two parameters. Therefore, if the exsolution kinetics are limited by surface oxygen release (i.e., surface-limited), they should exhibit a strong dependence on both sample thickness and surface reactivity. Based on these modeling results, we systematically changed the thickness and surface reactivity (by controlling the surface orientation<sup>[36,37](#page-13-0)</sup>) of STF thin films, and experimentally examined their influence on the kinetics of Fe<sup>0</sup> exsolution.

In particular, we employed time-resolved near ambient pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (NAP-XPS) to probe the Fe<sup>0</sup> exsolution kinetics on STF surface. While previous TEM studies revealed the exsolution kinetics of individual nanoparticles, $15,27$  NAP-XPS can provide a unique platform to uncover the statistical exsolution behaviors of a large number of nanoparticles. With the typical X-ray beam size<sup>[38](#page-13-0)</sup> (>1500  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) and surface particle density<sup>[21,34](#page-13-0)</sup> (>10<sup>10</sup>) cm<sup>−</sup><sup>2</sup> ), we can simultaneously probe the average exsolution behaviors of more than  $10<sup>6</sup>$  nanoparticles, which yields better statistics. Moreover, while previous TEM studies were limited to polycrystalline samples,<sup>15,27</sup> NAP-XPS can be used to investigate exsolution on single-crystalline samples with wellcontrolled thickness and orientation, which are essential to quantitatively examine the role of oxygen release kinetics in exsolution. Due to the aforementioned unique advantages, NAP-XPS has gained increasing interest in the field of metal exsolution and has been employed in several studies to investigate the exsolution mechanisms.<sup>[21](#page-13-0),[34,35,39](#page-13-0)–[41](#page-13-0)</sup> A common practice to date is to use NAP-XPS to probe exsolution while varying the sample temperatures<sup>[21,34](#page-13-0),[39](#page-13-0)</sup> or electrochemical biases.<sup>[35](#page-13-0),[40](#page-13-0)</sup> However, such an experimental protocol cannot be used to investigate the kinetic aspects of exsolution as the thermodynamic driving forces for exsolution kept changing during the course of those experiments.<sup>21,[34,35,39](#page-13-0),[40](#page-13-0)</sup> To bridge this gap, here we develop a novel experimental method for in situ probing materials' exsolution kinetics under a constant reduction condition using time-resolved NAP-XPS. As will be

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Modeling exsolution kinetics in thin-film samples. (a) Schematic of the surface-limited metal exsolution (with rate constant  $k_s$ ) on thinfilm samples with thickness *d*. Oxygen deficiency is assumed to be uniform across the sample due to the small thickness. (b) Proposed relationship between the exsolved metal quantity and the oxygen deficiency in the thin-film sample. (c) Calculated oxygen deficiency evolution as a function of reduction time. (d) Calculated prereduction kinetics at different  $d/k_s$  values, where the exsolution onsets are marked with arrows. Note that larger  $d/k_s$  values postpone the exsolution onset. (e) Calculated exsolution kinetics as a function of  $d/k_s$  where increased  $d/k_s$  values result in sluggish metal exsolution. The color coding in Figure 2e is the same as Figure 2d. As illustrated, the kinetic modeling indicates that increasing the surface reactivity (i.e., increase in  $k_s$ ) and/or reducing the sample thickness (i.e., decrease in *d*) can accelerate exsolution.

elaborated below, this unique experimental approach can not only quantify the concentration of exsolved metallic species as a function of reduction time, but also reveal the prereduction time required to onset metal exsolution with great precision.

As a result, we demonstrated unequivocally that reducing the sample thickness and increasing the surface reactivity have similar effects in accelerating the  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution kinetics in STF surface, which agree well with the surface-limited exsolution kinetics derived from our analytical modeling. These findings suggest that the surface oxygen release process is rate-liming for  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution in STF, so that increasing the oxygen release rate can be an effective approach to boost Fe<sup>0</sup> exsolution in STF. The results presented in this work provide fundamental understanding of metal nanoparticle exsolution kinetics and new kinetics-based directions for engineering exsolved nanocatalysts to boost their catalytic activities toward clean energy and fuel conversion.

■ **THEORY**<br>To elucidate our hypothesis behind the experimental design, in this section, we present analytical models for the  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution kinetics on the surface of thin-film STF. In particular, we discuss two extreme cases where the exsolution kinetics is either controlled by the surface oxygen release process (i.e., surface-limited) or by the cation diffusion process (i.e., cation diffusion-limited) in host oxides. As a result, we show that if the exsolution kinetics are surface-limited, they will be greatly affected by both the film thickness and the surface reactivity.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>On the other hand, if the exsolution kinetics are limited by cation diffusion, they would be insensitive to the two factors described above. We do not discuss the case where exsolution is limited by oxygen diffusion because, in general, oxygen diffusion<sup>[42](#page-13-0)</sup> is much faster than that of metal cations<sup>[43](#page-13-0)</sup> in perovskite oxides. Moreover, for thin films (as in our case), oxygen diffusion is often not rate-limiting for oxide reduction.  $44,45$  $44,45$ 

**Surface-Limited Exsolution Kinetics.** As shown in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2a, the modeled thin-film geometry has an infinite width, but a thickness of *d* along the direction, *x*, of mass transport. Here,  $x = 0$  represents the STF surface. Thermodynamically, we define the relationship between the surface oxygen deficiency  $(\delta(x = 0))$  and the total quantity of exsolved metal (*I*) on the STF surface as follows:

$$
I = \begin{cases} 0, & \delta_0 \le \delta(x = 0) < \delta_1 \\ c \times d^* \times (\delta(x = 0) - \delta_1), & \delta_1 \le \delta(x = 0) < \delta_\infty \end{cases} \tag{2}
$$

where  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_{\infty}$  represent the surface oxygen deficiency of the as-prepared oxidized sample and the sample that completes the exsolution process, respectively.  $\delta_1$  denotes the critical surface oxygen deficiency at the metal exsolution onset. Meanwhile, *d*\* is the thickness of the near-surface region in STF that acts as the metal reservoir during surface exsolution. In the modeling, we set  $d^* = 2$  nm. This is because we have recently shown that the Fe atoms in the surface exsolved  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  nanoparticles on STF are mainly extracted from a very thin layer (∼2 nm) near the STF surface, while the bulk of the STF film was not affected. $34$ Finally, *c* is a constant coefficient that accounts for the stoichiometric relationship between the metal cations and oxygen anions.

As schematically shown in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2b, eq 2 depicts a two-step exsolution process, which has been described in more detail in our previous study.<sup>21</sup> During the first step (i.e., prereduction, highlighted in blue in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2b,c), the host oxide continues to release oxygen, while remaining single-phase, until it reaches the critical oxygen deficiency for exsolution  $(\delta_1)$ . Then, upon further reduction, metal exsolution begins when  $\delta(x = 0) > \delta_1$ , making the material a two-phase system (metal + metal oxides). In this model, we assume that the total exsolved metal intensity (*I*) is linearly proportional to the excess oxygen deficiency  $(\delta(x = 0) - \delta_1)$  in the host oxide. The linear relationship is reasonable, because during the second step, the ratio between the quantities of the released oxygen and precipitated metal should be linearly constrained by charge neutrality (see [eq](#page-2-0) 1), resembling Schottky defect<sup>[21](#page-13-0)</sup> formation in oxides [\(Figure](#page-2-0) 1c).

Having established the relationship between the surface oxygen deficiency  $\delta(x = 0)$  and the total exsolved metal quantity *I* on the STF surface (i.e., the thermodynamics), we now discuss how  $\delta(x = 0)$  and *I* evolve as a function of reduction time (i.e., the kinetics). For this purpose, we model the transient reduction response of thin-film samples to a stepchange in the sample surroundings. The step-change is set to be introduced at  $t = 0$ , which initiates the sample reduction. For simplicity, we assume that the kinetics of surface oxygen release reactions are first order in concentration, so that we can express the surface oxygen exchange rate as: $45$ 

$$
J(x = 0, t) = k_s \times [\delta(x = 0, t) - \delta(x = 0, \infty)]
$$
 (3)

where *J* denotes the mass flux, and  $k<sub>s</sub>$  represents the rate constant. As illustrated, eq 3 indicates that the surface reaction rate is proportional to the difference between the surface oxygen deficiency at the new equilibrium,  $\delta(x = 0, \infty)$ , and the transient surface oxygen deficiency,  $\delta(x = 0, t)$ . For the entire exsolution process, we assume  $k<sub>s</sub>$  to be constant in this model. We are aware that such an assumption is oversimplified because materials'  $k_s$  values can significantly increase as a function of metal exsolution.<sup>[9,](#page-12-0)[40](#page-13-0)</sup> Nevertheless, we adopt such an assumption for the simplicity of analytical analysis and expect it will not fundamentally change the modeling results. Meanwhile, we assume the oxygen exchange between the thin film and the substrate is negligible:

$$
J(x=d, t) = 0 \tag{4}
$$

Since the thickness of the thin-film samples in this study (<60 nm) is well below the critical thickness for STF (∼200  $\mu$ m<sup>44</sup>), the reduction process is limited by the rate of surface oxygen exchange reactions rather than that of bulk oxygen diffusion.<sup>[45](#page-13-0)</sup> As such, the oxygen concentration across the film should remain uniform during lattice reduction, without developing an oxygen concentration gradient in the direction of mass transport (see [Figure](#page-3-0) 2a):

$$
\delta(x, t) = \delta(t), 0 \le x \le d \tag{5}
$$

Note that by using eq 5, we have neglected the chemical inhomogeneity at the topmost surface layer (i.e.,  $x = 0$ ) in the STF thin films, where a higher oxygen deficiency may present.[35,46](#page-13-0) We expect such simplification will not be critical because we can account for this higher surface reducibility by varying other coefficients in eq 2 (such as *c* and  $\delta_1$ ).

Under the assumptions described above, the relationship between the time-dependent oxygen deficiency  $(\delta(t))$  in the thin-film sample, surface oxygen exchange coefficient  $(k<sub>s</sub>)$ , sample thickness (*d*), and the reduction time (*t*) can be written  $as:<sup>4</sup>$ 

$$
\frac{\delta(t) - \delta_0}{\delta_{\infty} - \delta_0} = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{k_s}{d}t\right)
$$
\n(6)

The exponential time dependence of  $\delta(t)$  is shown in [Figure](#page-3-0) [2](#page-3-0)c, where  $t_1$  denotes the duration of the prereduction step prior to the exsolution onset (see [Figure](#page-3-0) 2b). From eq 6 we can tell that  $d/k<sub>s</sub>$  is the characteristic time for oxygen exchange in the thin-film samples. Large  $d/k<sub>s</sub>$  values correspond to slow oxygen release kinetics in the thin-film sample.

Combining eqs 2−6, we modeled the kinetics of prereduction and metal exsolution at different values of  $d/k_s$ (i.e., as a function of oxygen release kinetics), and the results are summarized in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2d,e. In the modeling, we set the critical oxygen deficiency in exsolution,  $\delta_1$ , to be  $(\delta_{\infty} + \delta_0)/2$ . Nevertheless, such numerical setting should not affect the generality of the results. As illustrated in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2d, increased values of  $d/k<sub>s</sub>$  (i.e., slower oxygen release kinetics) increase the pre-reduction period, which delays the onset of metal exsolution. This is because with slow oxygen release kinetics, it takes a longer time for STF to reach the critical oxygen deficiency  $(\delta_1)$  for the onset of metal exsolution. To compare the kinetics of nanoparticle exsolution, we plot the intensity of exsolved metal as a function of the elapsed time after the exsolution onset in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2e. Since the exsolved metal quantity is dependent on the degree of oxygen deficiency in STF (eq 2), sluggish oxygen release kinetics also make the

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 3. Probing the surface exsolution kinetics with NAP-XPS. (a) Experimental setup of the time-resolved NAP-XPS experiment. (b) Temperature/pressure profiles during the measurement. (c) Representative in situ Fe 2*p*3/2 spectra of the STF film as a function of the reduction time at 400 °C in 0.1 Torr H<sub>2</sub>. (d) NAP-XPS quantified surface concentration of Fe<sup>0</sup> as a function of the H<sub>2</sub> reduction time. Note the kinetics of both the prereduction step and the Fe<sup>0</sup> exsolution processes can be nicely captured with the time-resolved NAP-XPS measurements. (e) Representative SEM and AFM images showing the surface morphology of the STF film after the NAP-XPS measurement. (f) AFM topology profile of the surface nanoparticles, which is quantified from the solid line in Figure 3e. Note that the particle heights are smaller than the sampling depth of Fe 2 $p_{3/2}$  NAP-XPS spectra. All the data were collected on the 60 nm STF (001) film.

exsolution process slower. Therefore, our kinetic model indicates that if the kinetics of nanoparticle exsolution is controlled by the oxygen release process (i.e., surface-limited), one should be able to accelerate the exsolution kinetics by reducing the sample thickness (*d*) and/or increasing the surface reactivity (i.e., increase in  $k_s$ ).

**Cation Diffusion-Limited Exsolution Kinetics.** We next take a look at another scenario where the time-dependent quantity, the exsolved metal  $(I(t))$ , is solely determined by the metal cation diffusion. The analytical solution under this situation has been derived by Gao et al. using a semi-infinite diffusion model.<sup>[28](#page-13-0)</sup> The obtained relationship is shown below:

$$
I(t) = a\sqrt{D_c(t - t_1)} \propto \sqrt{t - t_1}
$$
\n(7)

where  $D_c$  denotes the cation diffusivity, which is assumed to be constant throughout the entire exsolution process.  $(t - t_1)$ represents the elapsed time after the exsolution onset. Meanwhile, *a* represents the constant coefficient.

Note that under our experimental conditions, the exsolutioninduced Fe-depletion is highly localized to the STF surface  $34$ (of around 2 nm). Therefore, given that the Fe concentration in the STF bulk remained largely invariant during exsolution,  $34$ and that the film thickness is much larger than the exsolutioninduced Fe-deficient layer (i.e.,  $d \gg d^*$ ), we expect the semiinfinite diffusion model by Gao et al. to be valid in describing the Fe diffusion process in the STF films used in this study. As such, we can conclude from  $eq$  7 that if the exsolution kinetics is completely diffusion-limited, it should be independent of film thickness  $(d)$  and surface reactivity  $(k_s)$ .

**E**

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Figure 4. Thickness-dependent exsolution kinetics. (a) Surface Fe<sup>0</sup> concentration on the 30 and 60 nm STF (001) films as a function of H<sub>2</sub> reduction time at 400 °C. (b) Zoom-in plot of Figure 4a showing the 30 nm STF film has an earlier exsolution onset than the 60 nm STF film. (c) Surface Fe<sup>0</sup> concentration as a function of the elapsed time after exsolution onset, which shows that the Fe<sup>0</sup> exsolution kinetics on the 30 nm STF is faster than that of the 60 nm STF film. (d) Proposed mechanism for the thickness-dependent exsolution kinetics. The thinner STF sample can reach higher oxygen deficiency (δ) quicker upon reduction, which results in an earlier exsolution onset, and more exsolved Fe<sup>0</sup> over time.

#### ■ **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

As elucidated above, we can differentiate between surface- and diffusion-limited exsolution kinetics by examining the dependence of the exsolution kinetics on film thicknesses and the surface oxygen exchange reactivity. In the following sections, we confirm with time-resolved NAP-XPS that by reducing the film thickness or increasing the surface reactivity, one can effectively accelerate the exsolution kinetics on the STF surfaces. These experimental observations agree well with the surface-limited kinetic model shown in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2.

**Probing Exsolution Kinetics In Situ with Time-Resolved NAP-XPS.** The experimental setup for the NAP-XPS measurements is shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 3a. As shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) [3](#page-5-0)b and described in more detail in [Methods](#page-11-0), we rapidly heated the STF sample to 400  $^{\circ}$ C and then probed the Fe $^{\circ}$  exsolution kinetics on the STF surface with in situ NAP-XPS under constant environmental conditions (i.e., 400  $^{\circ}$ C, 0.1 Torr H<sub>2</sub>). The representative in situ NAP-XPS Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> spectra of the STF film, as a function of the reduction time at 400 °C, are shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 3c. As illustrated, the Fe 2p<sub>3/2</sub> spectra can be decomposed into the lattice Fe (Fe<sub>lattice</sub>) and metallic Fe (Fe<sup>0</sup>) components<sup>[35,40](#page-13-0)</sup> (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S1). The most important feature to note in [Figure](#page-5-0) 3c is the appearance and growth of surface  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  species, which originate from an increasing extent of  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$ exsolution upon prolonged reduction by  $H_2^{\Sigma_{1,34,39}}$  $H_2^{\Sigma_{1,34,39}}$  $H_2^{\Sigma_{1,34,39}}$  $H_2^{\Sigma_{1,34,39}}$  $H_2^{\Sigma_{1,34,39}}$  On the other hand, the peak shapes of other core-level NAP-XPS spectra (i.e., O 1*s*, Ti 2*p*, and Sr 3*d*) remained stable during  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$ exsolution ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S2).

The representative time-dependent  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  concentration (i.e.,  $\rm Fe^0/(Fe^0 + Fe_{lattice})$ ) on the STF surface is shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 3d. In this plot, time zero denotes the time that the sample first reached 400 °C in the  $H_2$  gas (see [Figure](#page-5-0) 3b). Meanwhile, we consider the time when  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  signals first appeared in NAP-XPS (indicated by the arrow in [Figure](#page-5-0) 3d) to be the exsolution

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 5. Orientation-dependent surface structure, reducibility, and reactivity of STF. (a,b) Low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) patterns of the as-prepared STF (001) and STF (011) films, respectively. The solid boxes highlight the  $p(1 \times 1)$  unit cell. (c,d) Atomic structures and the corresponding oxygen vacancy formation energy  $(E_v)$  of the (c) (Ti,Fe)O-terminated STF (001) surface and (d)  $O_2$ -terminated STF (011) surface. As illustrated, the STF (011) surface is more reducible than STF (001). The oxygen vacancy positions are highlighted with arrows. Color codes: green, Sr.; brown, Fe; blue, Ti; red, oxygen. (e) Comparison between the optical absorption relaxation (OAR) curves for the (001)- and (011) oriented STF films, which were conducted at 600 °C. The scattered symbols and solid lines denote the raw experimental data and the exponential fit, respectively. (f) Comparison between the measured  $k_s$  for STF (001) and STF (011) thin films as a function of  $pO_2$  at 600 °C. The square and triangle symbols represent the  $k_s$  values that were measured upon lowering and increasing  $pO<sub>2</sub>$ , respectively. OAR reveals that the oxygen exchange kinetics on the STF (011) surface is faster than that of STF (001).

onset time on the STF surface. This is reasonable because previous NAP-XPS studies have successfully correlated the surface metallic species formation to the surface morphology evolution<sup>41</sup> and surface reactivity enhancement<sup>[40](#page-13-0)</sup> during the exsolution process. Therefore, the elapsed time until the first Fe<sup>0</sup> species appeared on the surface indicates how quickly the film reaches the critical oxygen deficiency (i.e.,  $\delta_1$  in [eq](#page-4-0) 2) for exsolution. The prereduction period prior to the exsolution onset is characterized by a continuous intensity decrease in the O 1*s* spectra<sup>[21](#page-13-0)</sup> ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S3) and a binding energy shift<sup>[35](#page-13-0)</sup> in the XPS spectra [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S4), both of which suggest that the STF film kept releasing oxygen upon  $H_2$  reduction. After the exsolution onset, the concentration of surface  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  increases with prolonged reduction time, which reflects the nucleation and growth of exsolved  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  nanoparticles.<sup>[41](#page-13-0)</sup> Therefore, the rate of Fe<sup>0</sup> intensity increase in NAP-XPS can effectively measure the exsolution kinetics.

The representative surface morphology after the NAP-XPS experiment is shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 3e. As illustrated, surface nanoparticles can be observed from both ex-situ scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) imaging. Notably, the nanoparticles in the AFM images appear to be larger than those in the SEM images. This is due to the tip convolution effects in the AFM imaging. $47$  The topological line profile of the surface nanoparticles from the AFM image is shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 3f. As can be seen, the heights of the surface nanoparticles are smaller than the sampling depth of the Fe 2*p*3/2 spectra in our experiments (∼4 nm at

1270 eV photon energy, see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S5). Furthermore, due to the tip convolution effect<sup>[47](#page-13-0)</sup> and the volume expansion<sup>[48](#page-13-0)</sup> upon Fe<sup>0</sup> nanoparticle reoxidation in air,<sup>[21,34](#page-13-0),[39](#page-13-0)</sup> the as-exsolved Fe<sup>0</sup> nanoparticles should be even smaller than those that appeared in the ex-situ AFM images. Therefore, NAP-XPS should probe the entire volume of the exsolved  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  nanoparticles. As such, the Fe<sup>0</sup> intensities in the Fe  $2p_{3/2}$  spectra should directly reflect the total quantities of exsolved  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  atoms on the STF surface. In particular, given the 80  $\mu$ m × 20  $\mu$ m spot size of the X-ray<sup>[38](#page-13-0)</sup> and the >10<sup>10</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> particle density [\(Figure](#page-5-0) 3e), we can simultaneously track the averaged exsolution kinetics of  $~10^6$ nanoparticles on the STF surface.

**Reduced Film Thickness Accelerates Exsolution.** Using the experimental protocol described above, we first examine whether reduced film thickness can also accelerate the Fe<sup>0</sup> exsolution kinetics in STF, as predicted by the surfacelimited kinetic model in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2d,e. For this purpose, we prepared 30 and 60 nm (001)-oriented STF (001) ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S6) and used time-resolved NAP-XPS measurements to compare the exsolution kinetics of these two samples [\(Figure](#page-6-0) 4a). As a result, in accordance to the surface-limited kinetic model in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2, we found that the  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution kinetics on the 30 nm STF film are much faster than that of the 60 nm STF film.

First, as highlighted in [Figure](#page-6-0) 4b, the 30 nm STF film exhibits an earlier exsolution onset than that of the 60 nm STF film. The decrease in the prereduction time on the 30 nm STF can be explained using [eq](#page-4-0) 6, which shows that the time required to reach the critical oxygen deficiency  $(\delta_1)$  for exsolution is smaller for samples with reduced thickness. Second, after the same amount of reduction time after the exsolution onset, the 30 nm STF film exsolved more  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  than the 60 nm STF [\(Figure](#page-6-0) 4c), suggesting the  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  species were produced at a higher rate on the 30 nm STF surface. Consequently, after a 3-h  $\rm H_2$  reduction at 400  $^{\circ} \rm C,$  the 30 nm STF film exsolved more than twice as much of  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  than that of the 60 nm STF film ([Figures](#page-6-0) 4a and [S7\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf). The larger  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$ exsolution quantity on the 30 nm STF was also confirmed via ex-situ SEM ([Figures](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S8 and S9).

This observation may look surprising at the first glance: given the same exsolution conditions and identical structure and surface chemistry of materials, how could a thinner sample exsolve more metal over time than a thicker one? The proposed mechanism behind the thickness-dependent exsolution is schematically shown in [Figure](#page-6-0) 4d. As predicted by [eq](#page-4-0) 6, thinner STF samples can reach higher oxygen deficiency (*δ*) quicker upon reduction, which results in an earlier exsolution onset, and more exsolved  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  over time compared to their thicker counterpart. Note that the earlier exsolution onset and faster  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  formation rate also agree well with the analytical model for the surface-limited exsolution [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 2d,e).

On the other hand, had the exsolution kinetics been completely determined by the cation diffusion in STF, the exsolution kinetics for STF samples with different thicknesses should behave exactly the same (as suggested by [eq](#page-5-0) 7). Therefore, the seemingly counterintuitive observations in [Figure](#page-6-0) 4a−c in fact provide a strong piece of evidence that the exsolution kinetics is not solely determined by the cation diffusion in host oxides. Rather, the oxygen release process in host oxides should play a crucial role in determining the exsolution kinetics.

**Enhanced Surface Reactivity Accelerates Exsolution.** Having shown that reducing the film thickness can accelerate exsolution, we next examine the second hypothesis of this

study: Can we boost the exsolution kinetics by increasing the surface reactivity  $k_s$ ? Since orientation engineering provides a compositional- and strain-independent approach to tailor materials' surface reactivity,  $36,37,49$  $36,37,49$  $36,37,49$  in this section, we investigate the  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution kinetics on 60 nm (001)- and (011)-oriented STF films that have different  $k_s$  values. As a result, we found that the STF (011) surface that has a higher surface reactivity also exhibits accelerated exsolution kinetics, which supports our hypothesis and is in agreement with our analytical modeling [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 2).

The surface and bulk lattice orientations of the STF (001) and STF (011) films were confirmed by electron ([Figure](#page-7-0) 5a,b) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S10), respectively. Due to the very similar lattice parameter between the STF film and the  $\text{SrTiO}_3$  (STO) substrate, we can safely rule out the strain effect<sup>[21](#page-13-0)</sup> on exsolution in this study [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S10). In addition, these two surfaces have nearly identical roughness [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) [S11\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) and chemical compositions ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S12), making them ideal model systems to investigate the orientation effect on exsolution. Since materials' capability to form oxygen vacancy on the surface (i.e., surface reducibility) can have great implications on their oxygen exchange reactivity,  $46,50,51$  $46,50,51$  $46,50,51$  we further employed density functional theory (DFT) calculations to compare the oxygen vacancy formation energy  $(E_v)$  on the STF (001) and STF (011) surface. Specifically, we focused on the  $(Ti,Fe)O_2$ -terminated STF (001) surface [\(Figure](#page-7-0) 5c) and the  $O_2$ -terminated STF (011) surface ([Figure](#page-7-0) 5d) as they represent the preferred surface termination in each lattice orientation, with both lower surface energies $52,53$  $52,53$  $52,53$  and higher surface reducibility than other terminations ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S13). We found that the oxygen vacancy formation energy on the STF (011) surface is lower than that of the STF (001), indicating that the STF (011) surface is more reducible. The higher reducibility of the (011)-oriented surface has also been observed on a similar perovskite oxide,  $La_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3}$ , where the increased surface reducibility was found to lead to a highly enhanced gas-exchange kinetics on the  $(011)$  surface.<sup>36</sup>

To assess the orientation-dependent surface reactivity of STF, we conducted OAR measurements  $54,55$  $54,55$  $54,55$  on these two samples in the as-prepared form, without  $Fe^0$  exsolution. The representative OAR results for the STF (001) and STF (011) films in  $O_2$  at 600 °C are shown in [Figure](#page-7-0) 5e, which highlights the much faster response of the STF (011) film than that of the STF (001). To quantify the rate constants  $(k_s)$  on these two samples, we fit the relative absorbance curves with exponential functions (see eq [10](#page-12-0) in [Methods](#page-11-0)). The fitting results are shown with solid lines in [Figure](#page-7-0) 5e. As illustrated, the relaxation curves can be precisely captured by the exponential fittings, which confirms that the oxygen exchange kinetics in STF films are limited by the surface reaction rates, rather than bulk oxygen diffusion.<sup>[45](#page-13-0)</sup>

The obtained rate constants  $(k<sub>s</sub>)$  on the (001)-oriented and (011)-oriented STF films are shown in [Figure](#page-7-0) 5f as a function of the  $pO_2$  value at the end of each  $pO_2$  step in OAR measurements. In particular, the  $k_s$  values that were measured upon up and down  $pO_2$  steps are shown with square and triangle symbols, respectively (see [Methods](#page-11-0)). These two types of *k*<sup>s</sup> measurements nearly overlap, which confirms that the  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  steps employed in this study are small enough to enable accurate OAR quantification.<sup>[45](#page-13-0)</sup> As indicated by the dashed lines in [Figure](#page-7-0) 5d, the slope for the  $log(k_s)-log (pO_2)$ relationship is around 1/2 for both types of surface termination. The observed slope in this study is slightly larger

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 6. Orientation-dependent exsolution kinetics. (a) Surface  $Fe^0$  concentration on the 60 nm (001)- and (011)-oriented STF films as a function of  $H_2$  reduction time at 400 °C. (b) Zoom-in plot showing STF (011) has an earlier exsolution onset than STF (001). (c) Surface Fe<sup>0</sup> concentration as a function of the elapsed time after exsolution onset, which shows that the Fe<sup>0</sup> exsolution kinetics on STF (011) is faster than that of STF (001). (d) Proposed mechanism for the orientation-dependent exsolution. The (011)-oriented STF sample has a higher surface oxygen release rate, which can reach higher oxygen deficiency (*δ*) quicker upon reduction. As such, STF (011) has an earlier exsolution onset and can induce more exsolved  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  over time than STF (001).

than those reported in the literature on polycrystalline STF films (∼0.3, see refs [44,](#page-13-0) [56\)](#page-14-0). We expect the differences in the  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  dependence to be related to the sample crystallinity, as the STF films used in this work are single-crystalline ([Figures](#page-7-0) 5 and [S5](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf)). As a result, we concluded that the surface oxygen exchange rates  $(k_s)$  on the STF  $(011)$  surface are much faster than that of STF (001) in the high  $pO_2$  region (>10<sup>-3</sup> Torr) at 600 °C. The superior surface reactivity on the (011)-oriented surface likely originates from its high surface reducibility ([Figure](#page-7-0) 5c,d). These findings are also consistent with the literature, where the (011) surfaces are commonly observed to have a higher surface reactivity than the  $(001)$  surfaces.<sup>[36](#page-13-0),[49](#page-13-0)</sup>

In OAR measurements, we relied on the optical signals from electron holes (i.e., the nominal  $Fe^{4+}$  species,  $57,58$  $57,58$  $57,58$  see [Methods](#page-11-0)), which are only present in STF under oxidizing conditions[.57](#page-14-0) Therefore, it is challenging to use OAR to

quantify  $k<sub>s</sub>$  under reducing conditions that are more relevant to exsolution. To address this issue, we turned to time-resolved NAP-XPS to directly compare the surface oxygen release rate on these two STF terminations under exsolution-relevant conditions. This approach is viable because higher  $k_s$  values help to reach the critical oxygen deficiency  $(\delta_1)$  more quickly, which will shorten the prereduction time  $(t_1)$  prior to the exsolution onset [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 2). Therefore, by comparing the reduction time required to onset  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution on both samples, we can qualitatively compare the surface reactivity between STF (001) and STF (011). The feasibility of using NAP-XPS to track the exsolution onset has been validated in [Figure](#page-6-0) 4, where we successfully resolved the thicknessdependent exsolution onset time on the STF (001) surface.

Figure 6a shows the time-dependent surface concentrations of  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  on the (001)- and (011)-oriented STF films upon

<span id="page-10-0"></span>reduction at 400 °C in 0.1 Torr  $H_2$ . Notably, the STF (011) sample demonstrates an earlier exsolution onset than STF (001). As shown in [Figure](#page-9-0) 6b, while it took ∼25 min for the first noticeable  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  signal to appear on the STF (001) surface, the Fe $^0$  species almost immediately appeared on the STF (011) surface once the sample started  $H_2$  reduction at 400 °C (experimental procedure shown in [Figure](#page-5-0) 3b). The huge difference in the exsolution onset time thus provides strong evidence that the STF (011) surface has a higher reactivity than the STF (001) surface in a highly reducing environment. Note that the NAP-XPS observed surface reactivity relationship (i.e.,  $k_s(011) > k_s(001)$ ) agrees well with the OAR measurements in [Figure](#page-7-0) 5e,f, although the latter was conducted in the high  $pO_2$  region and at a higher temperature.

After having elucidated the different surface oxygen exchange reactivities of these two samples, we then compared their exsolution kinetics using time-resolved NAP-XPS. In accordance to the faster oxygen release rate of STF (011), the exsolution kinetics on the STF (011) surface is also much faster than that of STF (001). As shown in [Figure](#page-9-0) 6c, after the exsolution onset, the STF  $(011)$  surface can exsolve Fe<sup>0</sup> species at a higher rate than the STF (001) surface. As a result, at the end of the NAP-XPS measurement (i.e., after 3-h  $H_2$  reduction at 400 °C), the Fe<sup>0</sup> concentration on the STF (011) surface was more than four times higher than that of the STF (001) surface ([Figures](#page-9-0) 6a and [S14\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf). The surface morphologies of the (001)- and (011)-oriented STF films after the NAP-XPS measurements are shown in [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S15. Consistent with the higher  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  signal on the (011)-oriented STF, the total volume of the exsolved nanoparticles on the STF (011) surface is also larger than that of STF (001) (see [Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S16). The observed orientation-dependent exsolution kinetics can be nicely explained by the different surface reactivities on these two terminations. As illustrated in [Figure](#page-9-0) 6d, as the (011)-oriented STF sample has a higher surface oxygen release rate, it can reach higher oxygen deficiency (*δ*) quicker upon reduction. As such, STF (011) has both an earlier exsolution onset and generates more  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  over time.

Note that a preferred metal exsolution on the (011) surface has also been found in Ni-doped  $(La, Sr)TiO<sub>3</sub>$ , but was attributed to the faster cation diffusion in the  $[011]$  direction<sup>14</sup> or the reduced interfacial energy between the exsolved metal and the  $(011)$  surface.<sup>[23](#page-13-0)</sup> Nevertheless, as will be elaborated below, we show these two factors cannot explain the observed orientation-dependent exsolution in this study. First, as discussed in [Figure](#page-6-0) 4, the  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution kinetics in the STF films is not rate-limited by the cation diffusion process. Therefore, the potentially enhanced cation diffusivity along the [011] direction<sup>[59](#page-14-0)</sup> cannot explain the accelerated exsolution kinetics on the (011) surface. Second, to compare the interfacial energy between  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  and the STF (001) and (011) surfaces, we quantified the height-to-radius ratio of surface exsolved nanoparticles on these two surfaces ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S17). Previous studies have shown that higher interfacial energy can impede exsolution by increasing the nucleation barrier, which will result in exsolved nanoparticles with a larger height-toradius ratio. $23$  Therefore, had the accelerated exsolution kinetics on the STF (011) surface been mainly governed by the reduced interfacial energy (and hence reduced nucleation barrier), the exsolved nanoparticles on the (011) surface should have a smaller height-to-radius ratio than those on the (001) surface. However, we observed exactly the opposite. By quantifying the nanoparticle morphology with AFM [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) [S17\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf), we show that the exsolved  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  particles on the STF (011) surface in fact have a larger height-to-radius ratio than those on STF (001). Therefore, the orientation-dependent exsolution kinetics observed in [Figure](#page-9-0) 6 cannot be explained by the differences in the interfacial energy, either.

Having excluded the potential contributions from cation diffusivity and interfacial energy, and given the nice agreement between the surface-limited analytical modeling with the experimental results, we expect the orientation-dependent surface reactivity to be the primary factor behind the different exsolution kinetics in [Figure](#page-9-0) 6. As such, we confirm that by increasing the surface oxygen exchange reactivity  $k_s$ , one can effectively accelerate surface Fe<sup>0</sup> exsolution kinetics on STF.

**Enhancing Oxygen Release Kinetics in Materials is Critical to Boost Exsolution.** So far, we have experimentally demonstrated that reducing the film thickness ([Figure](#page-6-0) 4) and increasing the surface reactivity [\(Figure](#page-9-0) 6) have similar effects in accelerating the surface  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution kinetics in thin-film STF. Both effects can be well-explained using a unified theoretical framework based on the surface-limited exsolution kinetics [\(Figure](#page-3-0) 2). These findings thus indicate that the  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$ exsolution kinetics on STF thin films are primarily limited by the surface oxygen release process rather than bulk cation diffusion [\(eq](#page-5-0) 7). As such, the key to accelerating  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution kinetics on the STF surface is to enhance its oxygen release rates.

While our experiments were conducted at 400 °C on thinfilm STF, we expect the conclusion is also applicable for surface Fe<sup>0</sup> exsolution that occurs at higher temperatures and from thick/bulk STF materials. First, since the activation energy for the surface oxygen release reactions in STF ( $\sim 1$  $eV^{60}$  $eV^{60}$  $eV^{60}$ ) is smaller than that of cation diffusion (∼4 eV<sup>[43](#page-13-0)</sup>), the increase in cation diffusivity should be greater than that of the oxygen release kinetics upon raising the temperature. As such, we expect the oxygen release kinetics remain the dominant rate-limiting factor for Fe<sup>0</sup> metal exsolution in STF even at higher temperatures. Therefore, increasing surface oxygen release kinetics should promote  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution on STF at temperatures above 400 °C. Second, as shown in [Figures](#page-3-0) 2 and [6](#page-9-0), increasing the sample thickness will make STF take a longer time to release oxygen till a specific oxygen deficiency (*δ*) for metal exsolution ([eq](#page-4-0) 2). Meanwhile, the cation diffusion rate is independent of the sample thickness. As a result, the oxygen release process should still be rate-limiting for  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution on STF samples with a larger thickness. It should be noted that, however, for bulk/thick STF samples that have a thickness larger than the critical thickness<sup>[44](#page-13-0)</sup> (i.e.,  $d > 200$  $\mu$ m), the oxygen diffusion kinetics in the bulk<sup>[45](#page-13-0)</sup> can no longer be neglected. To shed light on this situation, we revised the analytical model in [Figure](#page-3-0) 2 by considering an STF sample with infinite thickness. In this case, the time-dependent oxygen deficiency at the host oxide surface  $(\delta(x = 0, t))$ , which directly dictates the extent of surface metal exsolution [\(eq](#page-4-0) 2), is given  $bv:$ <sup>[61](#page-14-0)</sup>

$$
\frac{\delta(x=0, t) - \delta_0}{\delta_{\infty} - \delta_0} = 1 - \exp\left(\frac{k_s^2}{D_0} t\right) \text{erfc}\left(k_s \sqrt{\frac{t}{D_0}}\right)
$$
(8)

where  $D_0$  denotes the oxygen diffusivity and erfc is the complementary error function. All the other symbols in eq 8 follow the same definitions as in [eq](#page-4-0) 6. As illustrated, the timedependent surface oxygen deficiency  $(\delta(x = 0, t))$ , and hence the exsolved metal quantity (see [eq](#page-4-0) 2), are dependent on both

<span id="page-11-0"></span>the surface reactivity  $(k<sub>s</sub>)$  and the oxygen diffusivity  $(D<sub>o</sub>)$  for bulk STF samples. Nevertheless, even in this scenario, due to its strong dependence on  $k<sub>s</sub>$  ([eq](#page-10-0) 8), one should be able to accelerate the  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution kinetics on the surface of thick/ bulk STF by increasing their surface oxygen release kinetics.

#### ■ **CONCLUSIONS**

It is important to quantify the kinetic rate-limiting processes that govern metal nanoparticle exsolution out of host oxides, so that we can ultimately tune the dispersion of exsolved metal nanoparticles to increase their catalytic activity. This paper has systematically investigated the surface metal exsolution kinetics on model epitaxial thin-film STF as an important model material system for electrochemical energy and fuels conversion. Time-resolved NAP-XPS measurements allowed us to quantify the exsolution kinetics in situ on the STF surfaces, both dynamically and statistically. Analytical kinetic modeling further enabled us to interpret the experiments and to decouple the contributions of surface oxygen release reactions and bulk cation diffusion to the exsolution kinetics. As a result, we found that by enhancing the oxygen release rates on STF, which was achieved by either reducing the sample thickness or by increasing the surface reactivity, one can effectively shorten the prereduction time prior to the exsolution onset. Furthermore, a fast oxygen release rate also increases the kinetics of nanoparticle nucleation and growth, and hence increases the total amount of exsolved  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  over time. These findings unequivocally demonstrated that the  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$ exsolution kinetics in STF are primarily limited by the surface oxygen release process (i.e., surface-limited), instead of bulk cation diffusion. While in this study we examined  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$ exsolution kinetics at 400 °C using thin-film STF, we expect the conclusions can be generalized to exsolution reactions in STF that occur at higher temperatures and from different materials geometries (such as bulk electrodes). For all the cases described above, increasing the surface oxygen release kinetics in STF should promote surface  $Fe<sup>0</sup>$  exsolution.

Since different host oxides can have dramatically different surface oxygen exchange rates,  $51,62$  $51,62$  we are aware that the observed surface-limited exsolution kinetics in STF may not apply to all types of host oxides. Nevertheless, the experimental and analytical methods presented in this study are broadly applicable to help identify the rate-limiting step(s) in exsolution for many other materials systems. Moreover, the results we obtained from STF clearly highlight the need to consider the previously overlooked oxygen release kinetics in designing exsolved nanocatalysts. For exsolution reactions that are limited by the surface oxygen release kinetics in host oxides, this work also provides practical guidance to promote metal exsolution. For example, instead of using bulk materials as host oxides, employing thin films or surface coatings to exsolve from them should be more desirable. Moreover, applying surface treatments to enhance the surface oxygen exchange reactivity, such as surface doping $63$  and modifying the surface acidity $62,64$  $62,64$  $62,64$  and termination, $51$  can also be promising approaches to tailor nanoparticle exsolution.

#### ■ **METHODS**

**Sample Preparation.** Thin-film STF was deposited using pulsed laser deposition (PLD) with a KrF  $(\lambda = 248 \text{ nm})$  excimer laser on (001)- and (011)-oriented  $10 \times 5 \times 0.5$  mm 0.7% Nb-doped STO single crystal substrates (MTI Corporation, 1-side polished). For the optical studies, (001)- and (011)-oriented, two-side polished,  $10 \times 10$ 

× 0.5 mm, STO single crystal substrates (MTI Corporation) were used. During the PLD process, the substrate temperature was kept at 815 °C in an oxygen pressure of 0.5 mTorr. By applying 4000 or 8000 laser pulses (2 Hz, 400 mJ), a STF thin film of around 30 nm to 60 nm thickness was grown on the substrate. More detailed descriptions on the sample preparation and film characterization can be found in our earlier study.

**NAP-XPS Measurement.** The in situ NAP-XPS measurements were carried out at the IOS  $(23$ -ID-2) beamline<sup>38</sup> of the National Synchrotron Light Source II (NSLS-II) at Brookhaven National Lab. The sample was placed on a pyrolytic boron nitride heater, with a Ktype thermocouple mounted directly onto the sample surface for temperature measurements. To be able to directly compare the experimental results to the kinetic models described in the [Theory](#page-3-0) section, we designed the experimental procedure as follows to probe the exsolution kinetics of the STF films upon nearly step-change reduction (see [Figure](#page-5-0) 3b).

For each time-dependent NAP-XPS measurement, the STF films were first preconditioned at 300 °C in 20 mTorr  $O_2$  to remove the adventitious carbon ([Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S18). Then, while maintaining the sample temperature at 300 °C, the chamber was pumped down to vacuum  $(<10^{-7}$  Torr). After that, we introduced 0.1 Torr H<sub>2</sub> to the NAP-XPS chamber. Note that the 300 °C temperature was chosen so that no exsolution would occur at this condition [\(Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S19). As such, we can have the time to stabilize the gas pressure in the chamber. Finally, we heated the STF films in 0.1 Torr  $H_2$  to 400 °C at a heating rate of 40 °C/min. A rapid heating rate was employed to ensure that negligible exsolution would take place before reaching 400 °C. Once the sample temperature reached 400 °C, we started to collect in situ NAP-XPS data continuously on the sample for 3 h. The photon energies for all the measurements were set to be 1270 eV. All the NAP-XPS spectra were quantified with CasaXPS.<sup>[65](#page-14-0)</sup> The XPS peaks are fitted with a 30% Lorentz/Gaussian line shape over a Shirley background. If not specified, the binding energy of all the spectra displayed in this paper were calibrated by aligning the Ti 2*p*3/2 peak at 458.4 eV.

**Thin-Film Characterization.** AFM images were collected using a Cypher S AFM Microscope with tapping mode. The AFM tips used were AC160TS-R3 from Oxford Instruments. The AFM characterization was done on the center of the sample to avoid edge effects. All the AFM images were analyzed with the Gwyddion software.<sup>[66](#page-14-0)</sup> Labbased XRD data were measured with a Rigaku SmartLab X-ray diffractometer using Cu *K*-alpha 1 radiation. SEM images were collected with the FEI Helios Nanolab 600 Dual Beam System, using the Immersion mode. The LEED measurement was conducted at the X-ray photoemission electron microscopy/LEEM endstation of the electron spectro-microscopy (ESM) beamline (21-ID) of the NSLS-II. To remove surface contamination, the LEED measurements were conducted at room temperature after the STF film was annealed in  $10^{-5}$  Torr O<sub>2</sub> at 400 °C for 15 min, without exposing the sample to air.

**OAR Measurements.** The OAR technique measures the oxygen exchange kinetics by probing the time relaxation in the light intensity transmitted through the STF film as it becomes more oxidized (more strongly absorbing in the visible due to an increase in  $Fe^{4+}$  $concentration)$  or reduced (decrease in  $Fe<sup>4+</sup>$  concentration) in response to step changes in oxygen partial pressure  $(pO<sub>2</sub>)$ , according to the defect model.<sup>58</sup> The OAR measurements were conducted using a custom-made near infrared−VIS−UV transmission spectrophotometer, capable of in situ measurements at elevated temperature and in a controlled gas environment, as described in detail elsewhere.<sup>[67](#page-14-0)</sup> The gas composition (and  $pO_2$ ) of the atmosphere inside the optical flow cell was controlled by a constant flow (at rate of 140 sccm) of premixed pure  $O_2$  and Ar gases. The  $pO_2$  steps were created by automated changes in the  $O_2$ /Ar ratio, keeping the flow rate constant.

During the OAR measurement, we continuously monitored the optical transmittance at a wavelength of  $470 \pm 2$  nm, which falls within the absorption spectrum region of  $\mathrm{Fe^{4+}}$  cations in  $\mathrm{STF}_2^{~57,58}$  $\mathrm{STF}_2^{~57,58}$  $\mathrm{STF}_2^{~57,58}$  but sufficiently far away from the absorption due to excitations across the band gap. As exemplified by the optical transmittance spectra in

<span id="page-12-0"></span>[Figure](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf) S20, the STF film exhibits decreased light transmittance when it becomes oxidized. Therefore, each  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  step-change leads to the transition to a new optical absorption state, as determined by the defect kinetics in STF.[58](#page-14-0) The change in absorbance (relative absorbance) during  $pO_2$  step-changes was calculated via an approximate relation

$$
\Delta A = \ln \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right) \tag{9}
$$

where  $T_0$  and  $T$  represent the sample's transmittances in the reference and the transient states, respectively. For sufficiently small  $pO<sub>2</sub>$  steps, and for surface exchange reaction-limited kinetics, the evolution of the relative absorbance as a function of time is described by:  $55,58$ 

$$
\Delta A(t) = \Delta A_{f-i} \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{k_s}{d}t\right) \right) \tag{10}
$$

where  $\Delta A_{f-1}$  denotes a difference in absorbance at equilibrium, before and after the  $pO_2$  step.

**DFT.** DFT calculations were performed with the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package.<sup>[68](#page-14-0)</sup> An energy cut-off of 500 eV was used with the Perdew−Burke−Ernzerhof functional and the generalized gradient approximation. A Hubbard U correction of 4.0 eV was applied to Fe. All calculations were spin-polarized. Bulk calculations are done using a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  STO supercell (8 formula units with a 4  $\times$  4  $\times$  4 K-point grid). For the surface calculations, we constructed surface slabs with ten atomic layers and 20 Å of vacuum. Atoms of the five bottom layers were fixed while the top surface was allowed to relax. A  $1 \times 1 \times 1$  Kpoint grid was used. The oxygen formation energies are calculated as the energy difference between the surface containing one oxygen vacancy and the perfect surface.

#### ■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

#### $\bullet$  Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c10256.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c10256?goto=supporting-info)

> XPS analysis, additional sample characterization, evolution of the Ti-normalized surface, binding energy shift in XPS during the prereduction stage, probing depth of NAP-XPS, thickness calibration of the 30 nm STF film, comparison of the Fe 2p spectra, surface morphologies after the NAP-XPS experiments, comparison of the exsolved particle volume, bulk structures of the STF films, surface morphology of the as-prepared STF films, surface chemical composition of the as-prepared STF films, optical relaxation measurements, DFT calculation, and morphology of the exsolved nanoparticles [\(PDF](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/jacs.2c10256/suppl_file/ja2c10256_si_001.pdf))

#### ■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

#### **Corresponding Author**

Bilge Yildiz − *Department of Nuclear Science and Engineering and Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts* 02139, United States; [orcid.org/0000-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2688-5666) [0002-2688-5666](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2688-5666); Email: [byildiz@mit.edu](mailto:byildiz@mit.edu)

#### **Authors**

- Jiayue Wang − *Department of Nuclear Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States;* [orcid.org/0000-0002-2027-3634](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2027-3634)
- Dmitri Kalaev − *Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States*
- Jing Yang − *Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts* 02139, United States; [orcid.org/0000-](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1855-0708) [0003-1855-0708](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1855-0708)
- Iradwikanari Waluyo − *National Synchrotron Light Source II, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, United States;* ● [orcid.org/0000-0002-4046-9722](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4046-9722)
- Adrian Hunt − *National Synchrotron Light Source II, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, United States;* @ [orcid.org/0000-0002-5283-9647](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5283-9647)
- Jerzy T. Sadowski − *Center for Functional Nanomaterials, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, United States;* ● [orcid.org/0000-0002-4365-7796](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4365-7796)
- Harry L. Tuller − *Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States*

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.2c10256](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c10256?ref=pdf)

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors thank the OxEon Corporation for supporting this work. This research used the resources of the IOS (23-ID-2) beamline of the NSLS-II and the ESM (21-ID) beamline of the NSLS-II and the Center for Functional Nanomaterials, U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facilities operated for the DOE Office of Science by Brookhaven National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-SC0012704. The authors acknowledge the facility support from the Center for Materials Science and Engineering at MIT (NSF under award number DMR-1419807). J.W. thanks Dr. Huanhuan Tian (MIT) for the helpful discussions on the kinetic modeling.

#### ■ **REFERENCES**

(1) Lee, W.; Han, J. W.; Chen, Y.; Cai, Z.; Yildiz, B. [Cation](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3125349?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Size Mismatch and Charge [Interactions](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3125349?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Drive Dopant Segregation at the Surfaces of Manganite [Perovskites.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3125349?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 7909−7925.

(2) Kim, D.; Bliem, R.; Hess, F.; Gallet, J.-J.; Yildiz, B. [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b13040?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Polarization Dependence of the Elastic and Electrostatic Driving Forces to Aliovalent Dopant [Segregation](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b13040?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) on LaMnO3. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2020, *142*, 3548−3563.

(3) Lin, R.; et al. Anomalous metal segregation in [lithium-rich](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09248-0) material provides design rules for stable cathode in [lithium-ion](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09248-0) [battery.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09248-0) *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10*, 1650.

(4) Luo, D.; Fang, S.; Tamiya, Y.; Yang, L.; Hirano, S.-i. [Countering](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201601923) the Segregation of Transition-Metal Ions in  $LiMn<sub>1/3</sub>Co<sub>1/3</sub>Ni<sub>1/3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ Cathode for Ultralong Life and [High-Energy](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201601923) Li-Ion Batteries. *Small* 2016, *12*, 4421−4430.

(5) Kousi, K.; Tang, C.; Metcalfe, I. S.; Neagu, D. [Emergence](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202006479) and Future of Exsolved [Materials.](https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202006479) *Small* 2021, *17*, No. 2006479.

(6) Neagu, D.; Tsekouras, G.; Miller, D. N.; Ménard, H.; Irvine, J. T. S. In situ growth of [nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1773) through control of non[stoichiometry.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.1773) *Nat. Chem.* 2013, *5*, 916−923.

(7) Nishihata, Y.; et al. [Self-regeneration](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature00893) of a Pd-perovskite catalyst for [automotive](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature00893) emissions control. *Nature* 2002, *418*, 164−167.

(8) Myung, J.-h.; Neagu, D.; Miller, D. N.; Irvine, J. T. S. [Switching](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19090) on [electrocatalytic](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19090) activity in solid oxide cells. *Nature* 2016, *537*, 528−531.

(9) Opitz, A. K.; et al. Understanding [electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18563-w) switchability of [perovskite-type](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18563-w) exsolution catalysts. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, *11*, 4801.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>(10) Fan, W.; et al. Anodic [Shock-Triggered](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c12970?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Exsolution of Metal [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c12970?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) from Perovskite Oxide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2022, *144*, 7657−7666.

(11) Liang, M.; et al. A New Durable Surface [Nanoparticles-](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202106379)Modified [Perovskite](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202106379) Cathode for Protonic Ceramic Fuel Cells from Selective Cation Exsolution under Oxidizing [Atmosphere.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202106379) *Adv. Mater.* 2022, *34*, No. 2106379.

(12) Neagu, D.; et al. [Demonstration](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01880-y) of chemistry at a point through restructuring and catalytic activation at anchored [nanoparticles.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01880-y) *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 1855.

(13) Jennings, D.; Ricote, S.; Santiso, J.; Caicedo, J.; Reimanis, I. Effects of exsolution on the stability and [morphology](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2022.117752) of Ni [nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2022.117752) on BZY thin films. *Acta Mater.* 2022, *228*, No. 117752.

(14) Neagu, D.; et al. [Nano-socketed](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9120) nickel particles with enhanced coking resistance grown in situ by redox [exsolution.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9120) *Nat. Commun.* 2015, *6*, 8120.

(15) Neagu, D.; et al. In Situ Observation of [Nanoparticle](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b05652?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Exsolution from Perovskite Oxides: From Atomic Scale [Mechanistic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b05652?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Insight to [Nanostructure](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b05652?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Tailoring. *ACS Nano* 2019, *13*, 12996− 13005.

(16) Yu, S.; et al. Metal [Nanoparticle](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c00488?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Exsolution on a Perovskite Stannate Support with High Electrical [Conductivity.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.0c00488?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Nano Lett.* 2020, *20*, 3538−3544.

(17) Lv, H.; et al. In Situ [Investigation](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201906193) of Reversible Exsolution/ Dissolution of CoFe Alloy [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201906193) in a Co-Doped [Sr2Fe1.5Mo0.5O6](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201906193)−*<sup>δ</sup>* Cathode for CO2 Electrolysis. *Adv. Mater.* 2020, *32*, No. 1906193.

(18) Lv, H.; et al. Promoting exsolution of RuFe alloy [nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26001-8) on  $Sr_2Fe_{1.4}Ru_{0.1}Mo_{0.5}O_{6-6}$  via repeated redox manipulations for  $CO_2$ [electrolysis.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26001-8) *Nat. Commun.* 2021, *12*, 5665.

(19) Hamada, I.; Uozumi, A.; Morikawa, Y.; Yanase, A.; Katayama-Yoshida, H. A Density Functional Theory Study of [Self-Regenerating](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja110302t?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Catalysts](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja110302t?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) LaFe1<sup>−</sup>*x*M*x*O3<sup>−</sup>*<sup>y</sup>* (M = Pd, Rh, Pt). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, *133*, 18506−18509.

(20) Kwon, O.; et al. Exsolution trends and [co-segregation](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15967) aspects of self-grown catalyst [nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15967) in perovskites. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, *8*, 15967.

(21) Wang, J.; et al. Tuning Point [Defects](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.1c00821?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) by Elastic Strain Modulates [Nanoparticle](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.1c00821?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Exsolution on Perovskite Oxides. *Chem. Mater.* 2021, *33*, 5021−5034.

(22) Kim, H.; et al. [Unveiling](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26739-1) the key factor for the phase [reconstruction](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26739-1) and exsolved metallic particle distribution in perov[skites.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26739-1) *Nat. Commun.* 2021, *12*, 6814.

(23) Kim, K. J.; et al. [Facet-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b02283?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Situ Growth of [Nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b02283?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) in Epitaxial Thin Films: The Role of Interfacial Energy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 7509−7517.

(24) Han, H.; et al. Lattice [strain-enhanced](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09395-4) exsolution of [nanoparticles](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09395-4) in thin films. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10*, 1471.

(25) Kim, J. K.; et al. [Exceptional](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c05215?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Tunability over Size and Density of [Spontaneously](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c05215?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Formed Nanoparticles via Nucleation Dynamics. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2020, *12*, 24039−24047.

(26) Cuenya, B. R. Synthesis and catalytic [properties](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2010.01.018) of metal [nanoparticles:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2010.01.018) Size, shape, support, composition, and oxidation state [effects.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2010.01.018) *Thin Solid Films* 2010, *518*, 3127−3150.

(27) Jo, Y.-R.; et al. Growth Kinetics of [Individual](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b01882?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Co Particles Exsolved on SrTi<sub>0.75</sub>Co<sub>0.25</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.<sub>δ</sub> Polycrystalline Perovskite Thin Films. *J*. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 6690−6697.

(28) Gao, Y.; Chen, D.; Saccoccio, M.; Lu, Z.; Ciucci, F. [From](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2016.07.013) material design to [mechanism](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2016.07.013) study: Nanoscale Ni exsolution on a highly active A-site [deficient](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2016.07.013) anode material for solid oxide fuel cells. *Nano Energy* 2016, *27*, 499−508.

(29) Jiang, G.; Yan, F.; Wan, S.; Zhang, Y.; Yan, M. [Microstructure](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CP07883A) evolution and kinetics of B-site [nanoparticle](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CP07883A) exsolution from an A[site-deficient](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CP07883A) perovskite surface: a phase-field modeling and [simulation](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CP07883A) study. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2019, *21*, 10902−10907.

(30) Ferrari, A.; Körmann, F. Surface segregation in [Cr-Mn-Fe-Co-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.147471)Ni high [entropy](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.147471) alloys. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2020, *533*, No. 147471.

(31) Jung, W.; Tuller, H. L. A New Model [Describing](https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201100164) Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Cathode [Kinetics:](https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201100164) Model Thin Film SrTi<sub>1-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>3-*δ*</sub> Mixed [Conducting](https://doi.org/10.1002/aenm.201100164) Oxides−a Case Study. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2011, *1*, 1184− 1191.

(32) Sediva, E.; Defferriere, T.; Perry, N. H.; Tuller, H. L.; Rupp, J. L. M. In Situ Method Correlating Raman Vibrational [Characteristics](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201902493) to Chemical Expansion via Oxygen [Nonstoichiometry](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201902493) of Perovskite Thin [Films.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201902493) *Adv. Mater.* 2019, *31*, No. 1902493.

(33) Nenning, A.; Navickas, E.; Hutter, H.; Fleig, J. [Water-Induced](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00778?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Decoupling of Tracer and [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00778?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Oxygen Exchange Kinetics on Mixed [Conducting](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00778?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Electrodes. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2016, *7*, 2826− 2831.

(34) Wang, J.; et al. [Exsolution-Driven](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c01439?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Surface Transformation in the Host [Oxide.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c01439?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Nano Lett.* 2022, *22*, 5401−5408.

(35) Nenning, A.; et al. [Ambient](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b08596?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Pressure XPS Study of Mixed Conducting [Perovskite-Type](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b08596?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) SOFC Cathode and Anode Materials under Well-Defined [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b08596?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Polarization. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2016, *120*, 1461−1471.

(36) Gao, R.; et al. Correlating Surface Crystal [Orientation](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202100977) and Gas Kinetics in Perovskite Oxide [Electrodes.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202100977) *Adv. Mater.* 2021, *33*, No. 2100977.

(37) Burriel, M.; et al. Influence of crystal [orientation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b05666?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and annealing on the oxygen diffusion and surface exchange of La<sub>2</sub>NiO<sub>4+δ</sub>. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2016, *120*, 17927−17938.

(38) Waluyo, I.; Hunt, A. Ambient Pressure X-Ray [Photoelectron](https://doi.org/10.1080/08940886.2022.2082180) [Spectroscopy](https://doi.org/10.1080/08940886.2022.2082180) at the IOS (23-ID-2) Beamline at the National [Synchrotron](https://doi.org/10.1080/08940886.2022.2082180) Light Source II. *Synchrotron Radiat. News* 2022, *35*, 31−38.

(39) Wang, J.; et al. Exsolution Synthesis of [Nanocomposite](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202108005) [Perovskites](https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202108005) with Tunable Electrical and Magnetic Properties. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2022, *32*, No. 2108005.

(40) Opitz, A. K.; et al. Enhancing [Electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201409527) Water-Splitting Kinetics by [Polarization-Driven](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201409527) Formation of Near-Surface Iron(0): An In Situ XPS Study on [Perovskite-Type](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201409527) Electrodes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 2628−2632.

(41) Kersell, H.; et al. Evolution of surface and [sub-surface](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1FD00123J) morphology and chemical state of exsolved Ni [nanoparticles.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1FD00123J) *Faraday Discuss.* 2022, *236*, 141−156.

(42) Berenov, A. V.; Atkinson, A.; Kilner, J. A.; Bucher, E.; Sitte, W. Oxygen tracer diffusion and surface [exchange](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2010.04.031) kinetics in [La0.6Sr0.4CoO3](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2010.04.031)−*δ*. *Solid State Ionics* 2010, *181*, 819−826.

(43) Kubicek, M.; et al. Cation diffusion in [La0.6Sr0.4CoO3](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP51906F)−*<sup>δ</sup>* below 800 °C and its relevance for Sr [segregation.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP51906F) *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *16*, 2715−2726.

(44) Jung, W.; Tuller, H. L. [Investigation](https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2976212) of Cathode Behavior of Model [Thin-Film](https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2976212)  $SrTi_{1-x}Fe_xO_{3-\delta}$  ( $x = 0.35$  and 0.5) Mixed Ionic-Electronic [Conducting](https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2976212) Electrodes. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 2008, *155*, B1194.

(45) Gopal, C. B.; Haile, S. M. An electrical [conductivity](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3TA13404K) relaxation study of oxygen transport in [samarium](https://doi.org/10.1039/C3TA13404K) doped ceria. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2014, *2*, 2405−2417.

(46) Wang, J.; et al. [Strain-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c00614?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Surface Defect Equilibria of Mixed [Ionic-Electronic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c00614?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Conducting Perovskites. *Chem. Mater.* 2022, *34*, 5138−5150.

(47) Gan, Y. Atomic and [subnanometer](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfrep.2008.12.001) resolution in ambient conditions by atomic force [microscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfrep.2008.12.001) *Surf. Sci. Rep.* 2009, *64*, 99− 121.

(48) Pilling, N. B. The Oxidation of Metals at High Temperature. *J. Inst. Met.* 1923, *29*, 529−582.

(49) Yan, L.; Balasubramaniam, K. R.; Wang, S.; Du, H.; Salvador, P. A. Effects of [crystallographic](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2011.05.004) orientation on the oxygen exchange rate of [La0.7Sr0.3MnO3](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2011.05.004) thin films. *Solid State Ionics* 2011, *194*, 9−16.

(50) Schmid, A.; Rupp, G. M.; Fleig, J. How To Get [Mechanistic](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b00597?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Information from Partial [Pressure-Dependent](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b00597?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Current−Voltage [Measurements](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b00597?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) of Oxygen Exchange on Mixed Conducting Electrodes. *Chem. Mater.* 2018, *30*, 4242−4252.

(51) Cao, Y.; Gadre, M. J.; Ngo, A. T.; Adler, S. B.; Morgan, D. D. Factors [controlling](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08674-4) surface oxygen exchange in oxides. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10*, 1346.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>(53) Piskunov, S.; et al. Hybrid DFT [calculations](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susc.2004.11.008) of the atomic and electronic structure for ABO<sub>3</sub> [perovskite](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susc.2004.11.008) (001) surfaces. *Surf. Sci.* 2005, *575*, 75−88.

(54) Buckner, H. B.; Perry, N. H. In Situ Optical [Absorption](https://doi.org/10.1002/admi.201900496) Studies of Point Defect Kinetics and [Thermodynamics](https://doi.org/10.1002/admi.201900496) in Oxide Thin Films. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* 2019, *6*, No. 1900496.

(55) Merkle, R.; Maier, J. Oxygen [incorporation](https://doi.org/10.1039/b204032h) into Fe-doped SrTiO<sub>3</sub>: Mechanistic [interpretation](https://doi.org/10.1039/b204032h) of the surface reaction. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2002, *4*, 4140−4148.

(56) Perry, N. H.; Kim, J. J.; Tuller, H. L. Oxygen surface [exchange](https://doi.org/10.1080/14686996.2018.1430448) kinetics [measurement](https://doi.org/10.1080/14686996.2018.1430448) by simultaneous optical transmission relaxation and impedance [spectroscopy:](https://doi.org/10.1080/14686996.2018.1430448) Sr(Ti,Fe)O<sub>3−*x*</sub> thin film case study. Sci. *Technol. Adv. Mater.* 2018, *19*, 130−141.

(57) Rothschild, A.; Menesklou, W.; Tuller, H. L.; Ivers-Tiffée, E. Electronic Structure, Defect [Chemistry,](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm052803x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Transport Properties of SrTi1<sup>−</sup>*x*Fe*x*O3<sup>−</sup>*<sup>y</sup>* Solid [Solutions.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cm052803x?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *Chem. Mater.* 2006, *18*, 3651−3659.

(58) Bieger, T.; Maier, J.; Waser, R. An Optical In-Situ [Method](https://doi.org/10.1002/bbpc.19930970906) to Study [Redox-Kinetics](https://doi.org/10.1002/bbpc.19930970906) in SrTiO3. *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.* 1993, *97*, 1098−1104.

(59) De Souza, R. A.; Saiful Islam, M.; Ivers-Tiffée, E. [Formation](https://doi.org/10.1039/A901512D) and migration of cation defects in the [perovskite](https://doi.org/10.1039/A901512D) oxide LaMnO<sub>3</sub>. *J. Mater. Chem.* 1999, *9*, 1621−1627.

(60) Skiba, E. J.; Chen, T.; Perry, N. H. [Simultaneous](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c14265?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Electrical, [Electrochemical,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c14265?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) and Optical Relaxation Measurements of Oxygen Surface Exchange Coefficients: Sr(Ti,Fe)O<sub>3−d</sub> Film [Crystallization](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c14265?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Case [Study.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c14265?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2020, *12*, 48614−48630.

(61) Crank, J.*The mathematics of diffusion*; Oxford university press, 1979.

(62) Nicollet, C.; Tuller, H. L. Perspective on the [Relationship](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.1c03140?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) between the Acidity of [Perovskite](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.1c03140?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Oxides and Their Oxygen Surface [Exchange](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.1c03140?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Kinetics. *Chem. Mater.* 2022, *34*, 991−997.

(63) Tsvetkov, N.; Lu, Q.; Sun, L.; Crumlin, E. J.; Yildiz, B. Improved chemical and [electrochemical](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4659) stability of perovskite oxides with less [reducible](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4659) cations at the surface. *Nat. Mater.* 2016, *15*, 1010− 1016.

(64) Nicollet, C.; et al. Acidity of [surface-infiltrated](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-020-00520-x) binary oxides as a sensitive descriptor of oxygen exchange kinetics in mixed [conducting](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-020-00520-x) [oxides.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-020-00520-x) *Nat. Catal.* 2020, *3*, 913−920.

(65) Fairley, N.; et al. Systematic and [collaborative](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsadv.2021.100112) approach to problem solving using X-ray [photoelectron](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsadv.2021.100112) spectroscopy. *Appl. Surf. Sci. Adv.* 2021, *5*, No. 100112.

(66) Nečas, D.; Klapetek, P. Gwyddion: an [open-source](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11534-011-0096-2) software for SPM data [analysis.](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11534-011-0096-2) *Cent. Eur. J. Phys.* 2012, *10*, 181−188.

(67) Kalaev, D.; Tuller, H. L. Active Tuning of Optical [Constants](https://doi.org/10.1002/adom.202001934) in the Visible-UV: [Praseodymium-Doped](https://doi.org/10.1002/adom.202001934) Ceria-a Model Mixed Ionic−Electronic [Conductor.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adom.202001934) *Adv. Opt. Mater.* 2021, *9*, No. 2001934. (68) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative [schemes](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169) for ab initio [total-energy](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169) calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev.*

*B* 1996, *54*, 11169−11186.

### **Recommended by ACS**

#### **[White](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Light](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Emission](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [from](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Single](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[Component](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Cs](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)[7](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)[Cd](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)[3](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)[Br](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)[13](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[:](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[Pb](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)[2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[+](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)[,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[Mn](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)[2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[+](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) **[Crystals](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [with](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [High](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Quantum](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Efficiency](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [and](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Enhanced](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Thermodynamic](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Stability](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**

Meng Gao, Jun Lin, et al. JANUARY 11, 2023 CHEMISTRY OF MATERIALS **[READ](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03560?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) EXAMPLE 20** 

**[Uniform](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [P2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[K](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)[0](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[6](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)[CoO](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)[2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Microcubes](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [as](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [a](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [High](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[Energy](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Cathode](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Material](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [for](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Potassium](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[Ion](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Batteries](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.2c04649?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**

Zhuangzhuang Zhang, Xiaosi Zhou, et al. JANUARY 11, 2023<br>NANO LETTERS NANO LETTERS READ

#### **[Optical](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[Quality](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Thin](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Films](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [with](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Tunable](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Thickness](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [from](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Stable](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Colloidal](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Suspensions](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Lanthanide](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Oxysulfide](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Nanoplates](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.langmuir.2c02026?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**

Léna Meyniel, Sophie Carenco, et al. DECEMBER 30, 2022 LANGMUIR **READ** 

#### **[Real](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[Time](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Reaction](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Monitoring](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Azide](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[–](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[Alkyne](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Cycloadditions](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Using](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Benchtop](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [NMR](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[Based](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Signal](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Amplification](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [by](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Reversible](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) [Exchange](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)** [\(](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[SABRE](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)**[\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256)

Hye Jin Jeong, Keunhong Jeong, et al.

JANUARY 10, 2023 ACS MEASUREMENT SCIENCE AU [READ](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsmeasuresciau.2c00065?utm_campaign=RRCC_jacsat&utm_source=RRCC&utm_medium=pdf_stamp&originated=1673710205&referrer_DOI=10.1021%2Fjacs.2c10256) THE READ THE READ THREE AU READ THREE READ THRE

**[Get More Suggestions >](https://preferences.acs.org/ai_alert?follow=1)**

**N**