# COMPUTER ANALYSIS OF VISUAL PROPERTIES OF CURVED OBJECTS

Lawrence J. Krakauer

May 1971

PROJECT MAC

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Cambridge Massachusetts 02139

## COMPUTER ANALYSIS OF VISUAL PROPERTIES OF CURVED OBJECTS\*

## Abstract

A method is presented for the visual analysis of objects by computer. It is particularly well suited for opaque objects with smoothly curved surfaces. The method extracts information about the object's surface properties, including measures of its specularity, texture, and regularity. It also aids in determining the object's shape.

The application of this method to a simple recognition task -- the recognition of fruit -- is discussed. The results on a more complex smoothly curved object, a human face, are also considered.

<sup>\*</sup>This report reproduces a thesis of the same title submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, in partial fulfillment of the **re**quirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, June 1970.

#### Acknowledgements

Professors Marvin L. Minsky and Seymour A. Papert were most helpful during the course of this research and the production of this report. Professor Papert suggested the problem originally.

Russell A. Kirsch was very generous with his time and id ea s.

A number of methods were developed during brainstorming sessions with Gerald J. Sussman. He and Thomas L. Jones were particularly encouraging by their enthusiasm for this research.

My wife-to-be, Margret Berman, contributed several ideas for specific experiments, as well as general encouragement and support. The project might never have been completed without her aid.

Work reported herein was supported In part by Project MAC, an M. I.T. research project sponsored by the Advanced Research Projects Agency, Department of Defense, under Office of Naval Research Contract Nonr-4102(02).

### Table of Contents

Chapter I The Problem 2008 7 Chapter 2 Previous Work<br>2.1 Shape from Shading<br>13 2.1 Shape from Shading 2.2 Detection of Optical Edges (15<br>2.2.1 Plane-surfaced Objects (15 2.2.1 Plane-surfaced Objects 15<br>2.2.2 Curved Edges 19 **2. 2. 2 Curved Edges** 19<br>
The "Regions" Approach 20 2.3 The "Regions" Approach 20<br>2.4 Textural Information 21 2.4 Textural Information Chapter 3 Representing an Image as an Intensity-region Tree 23 3. I The Basic Method Used 3.2 Quantization 24<br>3.3 Geometry of the Tree 24 3.3 Geometry of the Tree<br>3.4 Trees with Incomplete Region 28 **3. 4** Trees w it h I nc om p I et e Reg ion 2 8 3.5 Sub-programs of the Image Tree System 3.6 The Tree of a Matte Sphere  $\frac{32}{3}$ . Effect of the Specular Component  $\frac{32}{3}$ 3.7 Effect of the Specular Component 37<br>3.8 The Surface Convolution 38 3.8 The Surface Convolution 38<br>3.9 Texture 44  $3.9$  Texture 3.9.1 Visual Texture 45<br>3.9.2 Tactile Texture 53 3.9.2 Tactile Texture 53<br>0 Shape 53 3.10 Shape 53<br>3.10.1 The Main Branch 54 **3.10.1 The Main Branch 64<br><b>3.10.2 Sub-branches** 64 5.10.2 Sub-branches 64<br>3.10.3 Non-interference of Texture with Shape 64 3. 10.3 Non-interference of Texture with Shape

Chapter I The Problem

Consider the prob! em of programming a computer to recognize objects with smoothly curved surfaces, such as the object in the photograph of figure 1.1. Images such as these can be digitized by an image-dissector camera, so that the picture is represented by a raster of intensities at closely spaced sample points, represented numerically in figure 1.2. We will consider a method of processing such input with the ultimate goal of recognizing the object in the image.

There are numerous more or less adeouate known techniques for classifying an image once significant features have been extracted from It, but the problem of extracting such features from the basic optical data is less well understood. The methods which wi II be d iscussed here are "low-level", in that they manipulate actual picture points and try to extract salient features, rather than working with high-level descriptions and attempting to produce an identification.

It must be recognized, however, that the socal led high- and low-level aspects of vision cannot really be cleanly separated. There ls no foolproof

Figure 1.1: A Simple Smoothly Curved Object



# The intensities in this array have been scaled to be between<br>0 and 99



completely local way to find features, as there will always be ambiguities which can only be resolved through the use of context. For example, one must know the I ight Intensity (at least roughly) in order to determine whether an object is white or black, as a white object in very dim light can easily reflect less light than a black object in sunlight. A plum cannot be easily distinguished from an isolated grape, unless the size is known. Highlights on a smooth surface cannot be understood unless the form of the Illumination is known.

The context can of course be determined partly from the scene itself. For example, a real scene will generally contain surfaces with a wide range of reflectivities. This establishes a light intensity frame of reference In which the I lghter objects wi 11 appear white and the darker ones black. One cannot tell the size of a white sphere alone in a photograph, but if it is shown next to a tennis ball, its size is known by comparison. (It is possible, but unlikely, that the tennis ball Is actually a scaled-up model three feet in diameter. This usually happens only on movie sets.) In a siml lar manner, the hight ight on a known object gives information about tne I ighting which can be used to Interpret the highlights on other objects in the Image.

So far, the use of context has been considered only on the level of object identification. Actually, context is even more necessary at the level of finding visual parts of objects, such as edges. A I ine-f ind ing program can be saved an enormous amount of work If it is told approximately where to look. If a program thinks it Is seeing an apple, It can know that a good way to ver lfy this hypothesis ls to look on top for a stem,

A program can only make use of these cues, however, If It can pass information resulting from a partial Identification back to the low-level featurefinding routines. This sort of system shall be referred to as "vertical", in the sense that control passes frequently between high- and low-level routines, The term "horizontal" refers to a system which works In stages, each of which produces a more abstract representation of the scene. Much of the previous work In vision has been of this sort. A typical sequence might be to remove noise, enhance features, extract features, group them, and then Identity objects, Since no provision is made in a horizontal system for passing information back down this chain, the system cannot make use of context Information obtained from the Image itself.

I I

The methods which w 111 be presented here are intended to fit Into a vertical system in two ways. First, they can be used to start off a vertical system with Information good enough to get it going. Second, they extract features which are useful for object identification. These features w 111 be extracted in such a manner as to allow easy advantage to be derived from context Information.

This work is Intended to be a step towards making computers see. This goal is interesting for a number of reasons. Computers with vision would be useful for applications In automation, and would be able to interact better with humans. Computer vision may well provide instructive models for the understanding of human vision. The problem is also very Interesting In Its own right, as an aspect of the study of Artificial Intelligence.

Chapter 2 Previous Work

Techniques have been Investigated which could be app I led to smooth! y curved objects **as a** step towards recognition.

2.1 Shape from Shad Ing

It is possible to find a great deal about the shape of a smoothly curved object from a single monocular Image, given a knowledge of Its surface reflection properties and the position and nature of the I ight sources. Horn [10] generates curves lying on the surface of the object by an iterative solution of a set of differential equations relating shape to the intensity of image points. Similar methods have been applied to the analysis of lunar topography from Lunar Orbiter photographs [14,5].

This method requires a uniform object surface. Its reflectance must be a smooth function of the angle the surface makes with the Incident and exit rays. Any marks on the surface will disrupt the solutions to the differential equations, although very sma II marks can be

2.2Detection of Optical Edges

Much research has gone into the detection and tracing of contrast edges in an image. These edges can be emphasized by differentiation preprocessing operations, such as the gradient or Laplacian.

2.2.1 Plane-surfaced Objects

Edge detection is particularly attractive for plane surfaced objects. Since the edges are straight tines (the intersection of two planes), a determination of the position of the edges completely specifies the position of the plane surface which they enclose, and an edge itself can be located in terms of just a few of Its points.

**A** program by L. G. Roberts recognizes white plane surfaced objects on a dark background [15]. He considers objects which can be put together out of a set of given sub-shapes, such as rectangular parallelopipeds and **wedges.** The image is first differentiated. Lines are then found in the resulting picture by a multiple-step procedure, first fitting short I ines to local areas, eliminating tiny loops, then fitting longer and longer

lines to the shorter ones, and finally generating a I east-mean-square line which is taken to represent the original edge.

The next phase is recognition of polygons in the line drawing, followed by the matching of sets of polygons against the possible models. The matching is first done on a straight to pographical basis. The twod imensional projection of a brick, for instance, generally contains three quadrilaterals with one corner point ln common. No such point exists on a wedge. Assuming, then, that this point corresponds to the corner of a brick, the program can match the other I Ines and points in the quadrl laterals to what must then be the corresponding I Ines and points of the model. A leastmean-square error matrix procedure is then used to find the best brick (in 3-space) which generates the given two-dimensional line drawing. If the least-mean-square error is small enough, the fit is accepted as correct.

When a set of lines are matched by a model, the model can then be projected back onto the I ine drawing, but now with all of the hidden lines present. The model ls now "removed" from the II ne draw Ing, which may enta i I the deletion of some lines, but also may entail the addition of some others. The procedure Is now Iterated

until all of the lines of the input figure have been accounted for. Thus objects are recognized as being compounded of a number of the basic building blocks.

Roberts depends on a high degree of prec ls ion of measurement of the position of the edges, since he uses perspective in an essential way. Unfortunately, his procedure Is useless for objects lacking straight I ine edges. One particularly Interesting aspect of Roberts' work is his use of a powerful Internal model of the potential object In the image. A slmi lar approach might be useful for scenes consisting of regular smoothly curved objects such as spheres and cylinders, but it Is difficult to envision successful results using more amorphous forms.

A program by R. W. Gosper visually locates white rectangular parallelepipeds on a black table. Due to the high reflectance d lfference between the objects and the background, the outer edges are very clearly defined. (The program also finds interior edges of the object where the contrast between adjacent faces is high enough.) The edges are found by an algorithm which scans In a I lne perpend icu tar to the edge, and moves th is I lne along the edge from one end to the other. From the position of the edges In the Image, and the knowledge

his use of second-order perspective effects. The use of stereo distance determination wou Id also require such high precision. Gosper requires only medium precision. His goal is to actually pick up the block, which only requires locating It to within a centimeter or so. No perspective, stereo, or other second-order effects are used, so the calculated position Is not as sensitive to small errors In the line position. The programs of Guzman and Griffith requ Ire only low precision, except In a few parts which make use of the parallelism of two I Ines.

**2** • **2** . 2 Cur v ed Edges

There has been much study of recognition of a I phanumer ic characters. Black characters on a white background provide high-contrast edges, and some character-recognition programs work by tracing around the character's edge. There has been little edge-oriented research on images derived from three-dimensional objects, and the results of the two-dimensional work has I ittle relevance to this problem.

It is considerably easier to find a straight edge than a curved one, since only two points determine a

straight line, and additional points can then be verified by very sensitive tests. If many tests are positive a long a straight I ine, the ex lstence of the edge can then be asserted with a high statistical confidence, as by Griffith's programs. These techniques can be used only over a short interval for a curved edge.

2.3 The "Regions" Approach

Instead of looking for high-contrast edges, some pattern recognition methods look for homogeneous areas of low contrast. Analysis then proceeds from the shape and interelations between these "regions". There are a number of techniques for characterizing the shape of a region, such as various moments  $[2]$ , or more complicated shape descriptors [3]. Kirsch [II] analyzes photomlcrographs of eel Is by building a tree structure of Image regions with various levels of homogeneity. His methods are the closest in the literature to those which are developed in this thesis.

2,4 Textural Information

The optical behavior of an object depends very much on the texture of Its surface. The word "texture" may refer to either markings or departures from a smooth surface, but in either case they must be small compared with the size of the object In order to be considered texture. Texure analysis may be done by a wide variety of methods, such as Fourier analysis or crosscorrelation. Texture has been used to advantage in a range of studies, in such areas asrecognition of terrain types [16] or cell images [13]. Different types of texture will be discussed further In section 3,9.



Figure 3.1: The Intensity-region Tree

Chapter 3 Representing an Image as an Intensity-region Tree

3. I The Basic Method Used

Consider an image, I, defined on a rectangular raster of points, so that  $I(p)$  is the light intensity at the point p. For any given light intensity threshold t, define a set of points  $S(1) = \{p | I(p) \geq t\}$ , the set of points of intensity t or greater. Each of the eight pictures in figure 3.1 (previous page) shows such a set of points, for some threshold. For any  $t$ , the set  $S(t)$ can be partitioned into disjoint connected subsets  $R_i$  (t), which will henceforth be called "regions". Thus:

 $S(t) = R_1(t)[R_2(t)] - -$  -  $\bigcup R_h(t)$ , where  $R_i \cap R_j = \overline{0}$  if  $i \neq j$ , and each  $R_i$  is a connected set of points. Note that  $S(t_2) \subseteq S(t_1)$  if  $t_2 > t_1$ , so each region at threshold  $t_2$  must be a subset of some region at  $t_{1}$ . The regions thus fall naturally into a tree structure based on this subset relation, as shown in figure 3.1.

Another particularly graph le way of looking at the tree Is to visualize the intensity function plotted

In the form  $z=f(x,y)$ . Slicing this function with a horizontal plane at several threshold levels, the tree can be pictured as in figure 3.2. An Intensity contour map of the pear Is shown in figure 3.3 In order to show how the regions are actually nested.

## 3.2 Quantization

Choosing a set of threshold levels  $\{t_i\}$  is equivalent to quantizing the tight Intensities in the Image, in terms of the Information retained In the tree. The more threshold levels In the set, the greater the depth of the tree generated using these levels. We will generally consider threshold sets which are evenly spaced tn the log of the light Intensity, although a tree could be generated from any arbitrary set of levels. Using the log of the light intensity generates a tree whose structure remains basically the same If the 11 lum lnat ion Is scaled up or down by a constant factor.

3.3 Geometry of the Tree

In the limit of a continuous tree (in which the spacing between threshold levels approaches zero), the

# Figure 3.2: The Region Planes Shown as Slices of the Intensity Function



tips of the branches represent local maxima in the image. Beginning at a branch tip and moving along it in the direction of lower intensity, the region expands from the maximum point to include other nearby points, assuming the intensity function is continuous in that area. Each tree branch can thus be thought of as a growing region. A fork in the tree occurs whenever two or more of these regions combine, forming one new larger region. In this case, the branch associated with the sub-region of largest area shall be considered the "main branch", and the other branches shall be called "sub-branches".  $\vert \vert$ the original image is slightly noisy, then as a region "expands" (moving along a tree branch from high to low intensity), it will engulf large numbers of smaller regions which appear ahead of its advancing edge, resulting in many short sub-branches on the tree. When two regions of substantial area are combined, it is not really important which is considered the sub-branch.

The highest region on the tree represents the brightest point in the image. If the threshold is lowered far enough, all of the regions will eventually merge into one region containing all of the image points. This shall be referred to as the "root" of the tree.

3, 4 Trees with I ncomp I ete Reg ion lnformat ion

In the preceed ing discussion, the regions themselves have been considered to be the elements of the tree. Let us now consider an abstract tree structure in which the elements of the tree are not the regions themselves, but nodes containing information about these regions. Such a tree shall be called an "Image Tree". If each node contains a complete description of the region to which it corresponds (that is, if  $R_i(t_i)$  is given for all i and  $t_j$ ), then the tree contains enough data to be able to re-construct the Image exactly, to within the I imits imposed by the quantization.

If each node contains only statistics of the corresponding region, rather than a complete description of the region, then the tree contains less Information than the original Image. These are the Interesting trees, despite the fact that the Image cannot be reconstructed from them. The problem of pattern recognition can be viewed as one of throwing away Information In a selective way. To go from a picture of an apple to the word "apple" represents an enormous reduction in Information ("a picture ls worth a thousand words").

In general, the nodes may contain any arbitrary set of functions of the corresponding region. In particular, the ones which will be used are the position of the region's center of mass  $(x_c, y_c)$ , the area A of the region ( i.e. the number of points In it), and a measure of the second moment about the center of mass, ca I led the eccentricity e.

The eccentricity is defined by



e is I.0 for a perfectly circular region, and is larger for a more elongated region.

The eccentricity is a dimensionless quantity, which remains the same if the region size is scaled up or down. It represents a normalized moment of Inertia about a line thru the region center of mass perpendicular to the region plane. It can be shown that no region can have an eccentricity less than 1.0, and that any shape other than a circle has a higher eccentricity. This is because a circle has the smallest moment of Inertia for a given area.

For a  $l$  by  $f$  rectangle, the eccentricity is

$$
e = \frac{\pi}{6} \left(1 + \frac{1}{f}\right) ,
$$

which is 1.047 for a square, 1.31 for a 2 by 1 rectangle, and 2.23 for a 4 by 1 rectangle. For a high elongation f,  $e \cong \pi f/6$ .

Note that this definition of eccentricity is not the standard eccentricity of second order curves. The eccentricity of an elliptical region of semi-axes a and b  $\mathbf{1}$  s

$$
e = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} \right) ,
$$

which ranges from I to  $\infty$ . The normal definition of the eccentricity of an ellipse is

$$
\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^2}, \quad (b \le a),
$$

which ranges from 0 to 1.

More complex region statistics could be stored on the tree. If the x and y second moments are stored separately, then the "dominent axis" thru the region center of mass can be easily computed. This is a line in the plane of the region points through which the region has minimum moment of inertia. Higher moments could also be computed, although their interpretation in terms of high-level shape descriptors is less clear. More complete shape descriptors, such as the results of a Medial Axis Transform [41] could also be used.

The choice of more complex shape descriptors depends on the particular recognition tasks being per formed. The simple statistics of area, center of mass, and eccentricity can yield much useful information, however, and attention will be focused on them. It will be seen that they are quite useful for the analysis of surface properties and simple shapes.

3. 5 Sub-programs of the Image Tree System

Programs have been written to obtain the image tree of a given scene. Measurements from a laboratory scene are read into an array by an lmage-d issector camera, and a list-structure tree is generated. The tree can be printed out, showing the parameters associated with each node. Programs also can graph against the threshold any region statistic stored on the nodes, along some path on the tree from a branch tip to the root. The original Image can be displayed, and any arbitrary region can be shown superimposed upon It. For more detail about these programs, see the append Ix.

3.6 The Tree of a Matte Sphere

Let us consider the tree resulting from an image of a sphere with a matte surface. A matte surface exhibits a reflectance which ls fairly uniform In all directions regardless of the angle of the incident light. The image of a sphere is a circle. If we assume the reflectance to be completely uniform, and consider a sphere I it from the camera position, then the intensity as a function of radius rover this circle ls

> $2 \frac{1}{2}$  $I(r) = [1 - (r/R) ] ,$

where R is the radius of the projected circle, and the intensity is normalized to I at the central point. This formula simply expresses the fact that the projection of a surface seen by a viewer ls proport Iona I to the cosine of the angle of the viewer from the normal to the surface (see figure 3.4>. Thus, assuming uniform scattering, the Intensity of the light Is proportional to the cosine of the incident (and viewing) angle. The Intensity value actually read from the vidisector is  $t = C + 32 \text{Log}(I)$ , where C is the reading at the central point, I is the intensity, and the Log is base 2. Solving for the region area as a function of the threshold t, we get

Figure 3.4: Formula for the Reflectance of a Sphere

The sphere is lit from the camera position.



$$
A = B[1 - 2 \t 16]
$$

where B is the area of the full circle. Its image tree should have only a single straight branch, whose tip corresponds to the central point, Each of the nodes on th is branch represents a circular region centered about this point.

A picture of a white sphere on a black background was actually read into the computer from the vidisector, and a tree was generated by the procedure previously described. The tree had essentially one main branch, although there were a few very short sub-branches representing regions of very sma II area, which were neglected. The measured region area and the theoretical curve are plotted together in figure 3.5.

Note that the measured curve rises considerably above the theoretical curve in the central region. This Implies that the intensity is not linear in cosine of the Incident angle, but is somewhat convex,\_ as in figure 3.6. The sphere used for these studies had an extremely matte surface, and hence a negligible highlight. The sudden rise at the end of the curve is due to the threshold lowering to below the intensity of points in the black bac kgr OU nd.



# Figure 3.6: Actual and Assumed Surface Reflectance



## 3.7 Effect of the Specular Component

As was discussed in chapter 2, the reflectance of a surface can be considered to be a superposition of a specular and a matte component. Am lrrored sphere wou Id give rise to a pure specular reflection, which would clearly be an image of the light source, plus a reflection of anything else in the room. If the surface is not highly mirrored, this specular component wl II be greatly attenuated, so that it can be neglected, except for the image of the bright light source, which will be significant despite the attenuation. This reflection of the light source is called a "highlight", and will generally be considerably brighter than the surrounding points. The magnitude of this highlight relative to the matte component ls a measure of the specularity of the surface.

Consider the effect of this highlight on the Image tree, assuming the I lght to come from a sma 11 (nearly point) source. This will produce a small, bright spot on top of the local maximum in the matte component. As a result, a long section of the tip of the tree will represent a small region of fairly constant area. This is a result of the "spike" in the light intensity
function resulting from the small, bright highlight.

Consider the set of spheres shown in figure 3.7. They were all painted with a matte white paint, and then coated with zero through seven coats of clear enamel, giving them varying degrees of specularity. A graph of the region area vs. threshold Cf igure 3.8) shows the small flat section of the curve representing the highlight, for one of the spheres. Figure 3.9 gives this highlight depth has a function of the number of coats of laquer, 11 lustrating how the surface specu larlty can be measured In a simple manner. The Irregularities In this curve are probably due to the difficulty in applying the coats of laquer uniformly.

3.8 The Surface Convolution

Locally, consider a curved surface to be a part of a sphere of the same radius of curvature. According to classical optics, a spherica I mirror has a foca I length of one half its radius R, and will form a virtual Image of the light source as shown in figure 3.10. If a light of diameter d and distance L from the object is not too far off the camera-object axis, then the diameter of its image is about

# Figure 3.7: Specularity Test Spheres

Coats of laquer:



4 5 6 7

Figure 3.8: Illustration of Highlight Depth

Graph is for sphere 7 of figure 3.7



Figure 3.9: Highlight Depth vs. Number of Laquer Coats





Figure 3.10: The Virtual Image Made by a Spherical Mirror

 $42\,$ 

$$
d' = d \left( \frac{1}{1 + 2L/R} \right)
$$

(As  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , d' $\rightarrow$  d, as is indeed the case for a flat mirror.) Thus if the size of the I ight source and the approximate distance of the object from the camera are known, the curvature of the surface can be determined near a highlight. Even if the size of the light source Is not known, this method gives the relative curvatures if there are several different hight ights In the scene. **<sup>A</sup>**good way to determine the size of the source ls to take advantage of verticality by knowing the approximate curvature of some object in the Image.

Many surfaces will "smear out" the image of the I lght, resulting in a broader highlight than would be gotten from a mirrored surface of equivalent curvature. The highlight seen can be considered to be the convolution of the Image of the I ight source and the "impulse response" of the surface reflectance. If the light source is a sufficiently small point, then its image can be considered to be an impulse, and the surface "smear" function can be read directly from the region area vs. threshold curve.

#### 3. 9 Texture

"Texture" refers to variations in the light intensity which are very small In size compared to the objects being recognized. It has two basic causes. "Visual texture" is due to variations in the reflectance of the surface, and "tactile texture" is due to minute protrusions or depressions superimposed upon a basically smooth surface (the sort of texture one can feel with a finger). If the size of the texture Is smaller than the resolution with which the Image has been sampled, the Intensity variations will average out, and the texture **will** have little effect on the tree, aside from affecting the surface "smear" function. If the texture Is large enough to be discer.nable, however, it will produce a d ist inctive effect on the tree.

Texture Is a multl-d lmenslonal feature, and there are a correspond inly large number of textural properties **which** could be measured. We are not concerned here with producing a complete description of texture, but rather with detecting features which might be useful in making an object identification. Although such features can help d iscrlminate between objects, they do not give enough information to re-construct the texture exactly.

3 • 9. I V i SU a I Texture

Consider the two spheres shown in figure 3.11. The spheres were painted with a matte white paint, then marked with red ink to produce vlsua I texture. The same two spheres are shown in red, white, and green light. Since the red Ink ls highly reflective In the red, and very absorptive in the green, these lighting conditions produce I ight, medium, and heavy texture contrast respectively, with all other factors being held constant.

There are two kinds of texture, with respect to effect on the image tree. The right sphere shows small d isconnected light patches on a connected dark background, and the I eft sphere shows disconnected dark speckles on a connected I lght background. A I lght spot, being a local maximum in the light intensity, will produce a tree branch. The nodes on this branch will represent regions the size of the spot, and so wl II have very small area. The length of the branch will depend on the relative brightness of the spot compared to Its neighbors, since when the threshold reaches the Intensity of the neighbors, the region corresponding to the spot will be swallowed up by the larger region surrounding it.



Light speckles wi II thus produce a large number of subbranches whose length represents the Intensity of the speckle, and whose "size" (the size of the corresponding regions) represents the size of the speckles. The tree correspond Ing to the I ight-speckl ed sphere photographed In the green I ight (deepest texture) Is shown in figure 3. 12. Note the many branches produced by the speck I es.

The number and length of the sub-branches provides a measure of the degree of contrast of the texture. These quantities are shown In figure 3.13 for the I lght-speckled sphere under the three I ight Ing conditions, Note how these quantlt ies thus provide an Index of texture contrast, just as the highlight depth and surface smear function provide an Index of specularity. Information about the details of the texture can also be obtained, up to the limits Imposed by the particular shape descriptors used on the nodes of the tree. Round speckles wi 11 produce regions of low eccentricity, whereas streaks will produce regions of very high eccentricity. If the direction of the domlnent axis of the region were recorded (correspond Ing to record Ing the second moments In the x and y directions separately), the dominent axis of the streaked texture



 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

**All** sub-branch nodes represent regions of small area.





Figure 3.13: Number and Average Depth of Sub-branches for the Light-speckled Texture Test Spheres

could be determined as well.

Dark speckles will have a different effect, however. Since they are local minima In the Intensity function, rather than local maxima, they will not produce branches on the tree, but rather will produce holes in regions. This is shown by the tree of the dark-speckled sphere, shown in figure 3.14. The only effect of these small holes is to raise the eccentricity of the growing region, as shown in figure 3.15, which shows the main branch eccentricity vs. region area for the dark-speckled sphere in the three different colored lights. Since the eccentricity change is so small, these three curves can be compared in this way only because all factors except the degree of  $text{text}$  were held absolutely constant  $-$  the same sphere was viewed from exact Iv the same camera position and with exactly The same light source. Nothing was moved; only the filter over the llght was changed.

The difference between the trees for the dark speckled and the light speckled spheres (figures 3.12 and 3.14) exposes a basic asymmetry In the Image tree with respect to light and dark. This asymmetry is not just confined to texture, of course. Locally bright areas will always produce regions and hence tree nodes, while locally dark areas wilt always produce holes In regions,

Figure 5.14: Tree of the Dark-speckled Texture Test Sphere (green light)







altering the statistics of nodes that would otherwise ex ist anyway.

The tree could easily be extended to find dark speckles by generating an "inverted" tree for the area Inside each region. An Inverted tree Is a tree In which the regions represent image areas less than threshold, instead of greater than or equal to. This will be further discussed in section 5.4.2.

### 3 • 9 • 2 Ta ct i I e T ext u re

Small bumps on the surface of an object essentially produce many tiny "micro-objects" with the same surface properties. If the size of these Is below the resolution of the Image sampling, the effect will be only on the surface smear function. If the texture Is larger than that, and the surface is fairly specular, the result will be many tiny highlights, producing the equivalent of a light-speckled visual texture.

3. 10 Shape

ways: The image tree carries shape information in two in Its form, and In the behavior of the region

statistics stored a long its branches. The lnterpretat Ion in terms of object shape of the simple region sratlstics discussed so far depends upon the object being simply shaped, since the eccentricity does not give enough information to distinguish between different complexshaped regions. Nevertheless, much useful shape information can be obtained even with very simple statistics, particularly In a recognition-oriented application in which there can be restrictions on the shapes considered.

3. 10. I The Ma In Branch

Consider the object shown In figure 3.16. Its tree is a single main branch, just as in the case of a sphere (a crude contour map is shown in figure  $3.17$ ). The slmp lest Ind lcator of its shape Is the eccentric lty of the entire object, which is about  $1.4$ , clearly Indicating it to be quite elongated. The entire curve of eccentric tty vs. thresho Id is shown In figure 3. 18. The flatness of this curve Ind lcates that the region probably doesn't change Its shape very much as It grows, and that It has a smooth surface with no significant irregularities. This Is not a unique interpretation of

Figure 3.16: A Matte-white Painted Squash









the curve, but is a reasonable inference given the assumption that the object is not highly irregular. The bump in the eccentricity curve at the bright end is typical of a small newly developing region. Since the slope of the light intensity function is very small near a local maximum, a small region about that point will tend to have jagged edges, and hence a high eccentricity. As the region expands, the Intensity gradient at the edge ;ncreases. so the edge becomes stra lghter, and the eccentricity Is reduced.

Consider the plot of added region area, shown In figura 3.19. This quantity shows the excess area added to a region above the sum of the areas of its subreg ions. Since the intensity measured is a monotonic function of the angle of the surface to the camera, the added region area is the projected area ot that part of the surface on the object with a particular slope. A bump in this curve represents a large area of relatively low curvature. The only one In this case is near the highlight.

Figure 3.20 shows what the area added to a region looks like - it is the area of a region minus the area of all its sub-regions. Note that the statistics used are such that from the statistics of a region A and those of



Figure 3.20: Illustration of an Added Area Region

Shaded area is region A-B



a sub-region B, the stat ist !cs of the d lfference A-8 can be computed. (To compute the eccentricity of region A-B, the eccentricity, region area, and center of mass position of regions A and B must all be known.) Computing information about the shape of such a difference region gives information about bulges developing in a region, d lrection of motion of the center of mass, and other properties of all those points on the surface within some given range of Inclination to the cam era.

The added area curve would have two peaks for the hypothetical object shown In figure 3.21, due to the low curvature of the annular region indicated. In this case the eccentric lty wou Id be constant at 1.0 and the center of mass posit Ion wou Id be statfonary, since the regions would all be concentric circles due to the rotational symmetry. For the pear-I Ike object In figure 3.22, the protrusion wou Id also Increase the added area curve, but In this case, the eccentricity would increase as well, and the center of mass would shift.

Figure 3.21: A Symmetrical Object with Two Added Area Peaks



Figure 3.22: A Contour Map of a Hypothetical Object with a Protrusion

"Protrusion"

#### 3.10.2 Sub-branches

Protrusions of the sort illustrated in figure 3.22 will often produce significant sub-branches on the tree. The meaning of a sub-branch must be interpreted in conjunction with the Information stored on it, and on the main branch to which it attaches. The attachment of a protrusion region, for example, wi II generally produce a rise in the eccentricity of the main region, and a shift in its center of mass. The possible interpretations of a sub-branch depend very heavily on the particular identification for which the tree is being used. A discussion of the Interpretation of shape information for a particular set of test objects will be given in section 4.2.

### 3~10.3 Non-interference of Texture with Shape

Figure 3.23 shows graphs of the region area for the speckled spheres of figure 3.11, norma I ized to the I ight intensity. These graphs i I lustrate that the basic shape-describing parameters are not affected by object texture In a significant way. This Is basically due to the averaging nature of the region descriptors used.

## Figure 3.23: Region Area Curves for the Light-speckled Texture Test Spheres



This insensitivity to textural interference is a great improvement over most previous methods used on curved objects, such as Horn's analytical method, which is completely useless in the presence of texture. Edgefinding methods are also confused by sharp texture. This advantage is very important in the recognition of real objects, as will be seen in the next chapter.

Chapter 4 Use on Real Objects

## 4.1 Pruning

Regions generated by smooth objects with smooth surfaces should in theory always have smooth boundaries. In an actual Image, however, minute surface fluctuations and noise will cause the edge of the region to be highly irregular. If the irregularities are great enough, small sections of the region will be detached; that is, they will actually form separate small regions. Since the area separating these small regions from the edge of the nearby large reg ion is only slightly d lmmer than the region points, these small regions will join the main region at a threshold only st fghtly lower than that at which they started. They will thus produce very short branches on the image tree, whose regions are of smal I area. These regions are essentially artifacts of the particular levels at which the threshold is placed, and thus have no particular significance. In order to avoid the waste of space and time needed to store and analyze these branches, they can be "pruned" away as the tree Is generated. This is done simply by removing branches



 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 



69










level recognition rout Ines cou Id take advantage of th is fact to help find stem areas.

Now consider the pear shown In figure 4.6. Its tree, shown in figure 4.7, Is topologically similar to the tree of the apple, including a small sub-branch with significant area. The graphs of the various parameters, however, shown in figures 4.8, 4.9, and 4.10, reveal that th is sub-branch has a different Interpretation than in the case of the apple. First, its center of mass shows It to be positioned to the left of the main region, rather than directly above It. Second, at the point at which the two branches join, there is a rise In the eccentricity In the case of the pear, whereas there Is not In the case of the apple. Finally, the eccentricity of the apple just before breakthrough into the background was near 1.0, whereas the eccentricity of the pear Is about 1.2, which Is significantly higher. Information Is also available concerning the surface properties of the pear. The pear's highlight shows a **w** Ider "impu I se response", which indicates that its surface, although somewhat shiny, is not as highly specular as the apple.

# Figure 4.6: Pear



	Threshold				
	210	$\blacksquare$		1375	
	$215 -$			$\star$ 1351	
				* *	
	220		5 *	1323 $\star$	
	225		3 *	$1302 -$ ÷	Numbers give region area
	230		3 *	1278 $\pmb{\ast}$	in points
	235		$\mathbf{1}$	1249 *	
	240			1221 $\bullet$	
	245			1168 ÷	
	250	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$		1118 $\pmb{\ast}$	
	255			1082 $\star$	
	260			1022 $\star$	
	265			944 $\star$	
	270			856 $\pmb{\ast}$	
	275			738 $\blacklozenge$	
	280			604 $\star$	
	285		********	484	
Protrusion.	290		77 ÷.	268 $\color{red} \star$	
sub-branch	295		42	201	
	$300 -$		$\binom{*}{6}$	147 $\pmb{\star}$	
	$305 -$			97	
	$310 -$			$\pmb{\star}$ 38	
	$315 -$			* 11	
	$320 -$			$\star$ $\mathbf{1}$	

Figure 4.7: Tree of Pear

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 









4.3 Usefu I Features for Fru It Recognition

We will now attempt to list some features which can be easily extracted from the Image tree, so that the classification of fruit may be systematized. This list Is not Intended to be exhaustive. In fact, qu lte to the contrary; It is Intended to show that recognition of fruit Is possible with only a few very simple features.

4.3.1 A Sample Set of Fruit

In the course of studying the Image tree method, a large number of fruit were processed to study the effects on real images. In addition, a large number of fruit were given identical processing under identical conditions one day in order to gather some statistics on the various features which can be extracted. Photographs of the fruit in this sample set are shown In figure 4.11. The fruit used were Bartlett pears, MacIntosh apples, sweet pears, and oranges. The test images include five views each of the Bartlett pears for a total of 25, two views each of the apples (total 10), three of the sweet pears (total 15), and one each of the oranges. Three taped images of peaches are also included in the sample

Figure 4.11: The Fruit in the Sample Set



The Bartlett pears



The Macintosh apples



The sweet pears



The oranges

set, although they were recorded under different circumstances. Peaches were unavailable at the time the sample set was run.

4.3.2 Specularity

As was discussed in Section 3.7, the "impulse response" of the surface can be approximately obtained from the region area vs. threshold curve at a branch tip. We would I ike to characterize this curve In order to extract some significant features that are useful for recognition purposes. One way to do this Is shown in figure 4.12. At the branch tip, the second derivative of the region area curve is positive due to the specular component, but negative due to the matte component. A straight line fitted to the curve at the Inflection point Is shown, extended to Intersect the axis. The intersection point is called the "matte intercept". The value of the curve above this intercept is used as a measure of the width of the surface function. as shown on the figure. It is called s, for the highlight "smear" width.

Another measure of the surface function is the amp litude of the highlight, also marked in the figure.

Figure 4.12: Characterizing the Region Area Curve



This can be measured in various ways, but is here measured as the amplitude of the highlight above the matte intercept.

A scatter diagram of the smear width s vs. the highlight amplitude h ls shown in figure 4.13. Note that the peaches, apples, and orange are separated very well by their highlight properties, but that the two types of pears not only have similar properties, but also show a very high degree of variation in these parameters. This is partly because their surfaces are rather lumpy and uneven, which disrupts the hlghl lght region. As wi 11 be seen later, this unevenness can be used to help Identify them.

#### 4.3.3 Simple Global Properties

Two very simple properties of a fruit are its brightness and its size. These are both properties which are useful only relative to some additional Information not contained in the Image alone; speclflcally, the light intensity and the object's distance from the camera. If this information Is available, these two features can contribute recognition Information. These quantities can be obtained, in many cases, from other known objects in





the image. In the experiment described next, the sample fruit were all viewed with the same light intensity and at the same distance from the camera, so that the ir intensity and size are comparable.

The brightness of an object is taken to be the intercept of the straight line approximation to the matte component with the I ine of zero region area, thus estimating the brightness of the surface if there were no highlight. The overall area is estimated by scanning up from the root of the tree until the first loca I minimum in the slope of the region area curve is found. The region area of this node is taken as the object's projected area (see ffgure 4.12).

A scatter d lagram of these two quantities is shown in figure 4. 14 for the sample fru It. They are clearly not very useful for distinguishing between the fruit in the sample set. They would be very helpful if **very** large objects such as watermelons were Included, however.

Another optical feature which could be used is color, which would be very powerful for fruit. This feature was not studied in our experiments, because the processing of different color images of the same object would have added comp lexitles and delays without much

Figure 4.14: Brightness vs. Overall Area for the Sample Set

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 



added understanding of the Image tree.

4.3.4 Overall Shape

Our simplest shape descriptor Is just the eccentricity of the entire fruit outline region, which is shown plotted with the hlghl lght depth In figure 4.15. This parameter alone wlll Identify a banana, which has not been Included in the sample set. Note that oranges and apples are extremely round.

4.3.5 Sub-branch Types

So far, we have used only Information extracted from the main branch. Many properties of an object produce sub-branches. In understand Ing an image we must f tgure out what these sub-branches represent. Some types of sub-branches will now be discussed, and a simple subbranch classlficatlon algorithm presented.

## 4.3.5.1 Tactile Texture

The oranges In the sample set supply good examples of tactile texture. A close examination shows

Figure 4.15: Object Eccentricity vs. Highlight Depth<br>for the Sample Set



their surface to be covered by small bumps and valleys. Since the surface is also highly specular, this graininess produces myriad small highlights, as discussed in section 3.9.2. These produce small short branches on the tree. Textural branches represent regions of small area, and are near the tip end of the tree. The number of sub-branches on a tree identified as textural by the classification algorithm shall be denoted by the variable T.

4.3.5.2 Stems

The Bartlett pears show large, long, lightcolored stems. The branches produced by these stems are easily identified by their small size and large eccentricity. The number of stem branches is denoted by S.

4.3.5.3 Protrusions

A pear is basically a spherical shape with a protruding bump. These protrusions will frequently produce a major sub-branch on the tree, as in the case of the pear discussed in section 4.2. Such protrusions

generally have a large area, and usually produce a sign ificant jump in the eccentricity of the main branch at the point where they join It. The number of protrusions will be denoted by the letter P (usually 0 or I).

4.3.5.4 Stem Hollows

An apple has a somewhat con ica I depression on top in the spot the stem is attached. The stem Itself is smaller and darker than In the case of the pear. This stem hollow will often produce a separate branch on the tree, as the light reflected from the back of the hollow Is surrounded by darker points on the rim of the hollow. Furthermore, the dark stem will often bisect this region, producing two sub-branches. Thus a significant subbranch which causes a drop In the main branch eccentricity when It joins Is llkely to be a stem hollow, and this is reinforced if there is another similar region nearby. The number of stem hollow regions Is denoted by the letter H (usually O, I or 2).

4.3.5.5 Surface Irregularities

There are frequently a number of branches which do not fall into any of the above catagories. These often are due to irregularities In the surface of the object. These irregularities are larger than what ls called tactile texture, but smaller than those large enough to be called protrusions. The number of such branches shall be denoted by the letter I.

#### 4.3.6 Sub-branch Classification

A very simple algorithm was written to classify sub-branches. It Is shown In flow chart form In figure 4.16. The parameter A represents the area of the subbranch just before It joins the main branch. The parameter  $\Delta e$  is the change in the eccentricity of the main branch at the point where the sub-branch joins.  $\Delta e$ is positive if the sub-branch produces an Increase In the eccentr le ity, and negat Ive if it produces a decrease. The parameter j tells where on the main branch the subbranch Is attached, on a scale from o.o (matte Intercept) to 1.0 Cfu 11 object). If the sub-branch joins the ma in branch In the hlghl lght region (above the matte

Figure 4.16: Sub-branch Classification Algorithm



 $P =$  protrusion  $H =$  stem hollow  $T =$  texture  $I =$  irregularity



Figure 4.17: Object Identification Algorithm

having lots of texture branches and being very round. App les show stem hollows and are very round. A stem area identifies a Bartlett pear lmmedlately. The two types of pears are sorted out on the basts of their eccentricity, the number of protrus Ion branches, and the number of irregularities. Round objects with essentially no highlights are peaches.

The flow-chart shown correctly identified all of the fruit with the exception of one Bartlett pear (BPII) which was identified as a sweet pear. The pertinant data for each of the sample fruit are shown in figure 4.18.

Our cone lusion ts that recogn It Ion of Images of single fruits is relatively easy, using the Image tree. The image tree allows the easy extraction of enough Information about surface properties, shape Irregularities, and general shape, as well as helping to spot specific characteristics such as stem hollows and stems, and the procedures which extract this Information are reasonably simple. More complex routines which take the trouble to look more closely at the tree's statistics should be even more reliable.

The recognition procedures described wou Id be d lsrupted (as wou Id many others) by occlusions, shadows, missing stems, and object positions which hide

# Figure 4.18: Eccentricity and Sub-branch Types for the Sample Set

# BP11 incorrectly identified



- Bartlett Pear  $BP:$
- $S:$ Sunkist Orange
- Sweet Pear  $Sp:$
- MacIntosh Apple  $M:$
- $P:$ Peach

S: Stem

- H: Stem Hollow
- P: Protrusion
- I: Irregularity
- T: Texture

significant features. Many of these problems could be eased by a suitable vertical system, which could use other knowledge to explain and correct changes In the image tree. Other problems can be solved without higherlevel aid, simply by making the recognition routines more clever. For example, occlusions can generally be detected by the way in which two regions connect. Once an object is known to be partially occluded, corrections can be made to its region statistics which give an idea of Its form, under the assumption that the visible and the hidden parts are similar.

Even in the presence of severe occlusion problems, the tree still gives valuable local information about highlights and texture. Although the stems gave sign if icant aid in identifying Bartlett pears, the stems **were** not seen In ten of the test cases, yet nine of these **were** correctly Identified.

# **4.4** Faces

This sect Ion illustrates the behavior of the image tree produced from a more complex smoothly curved object: a human face. It is Included to show another example of a real recognition task for which the Image

tree is potentially useful. A tree was generated from an image of a face, seen fu 11 face and I it trom the front. Th is tree Is shown in figure 4. 19. Branches of the tree have been labeled with the local maxima on the face to which they correspond, and the shapes and positions of these regions Is shown In figure 4.20. These regions might be useful for face recognition, at least for the simple angle of view and lighting considered here.

Contour maps at a single level of the tree are showh In fjgure 4.21, for each of two levels (marked In figure 4.19). At level 313, most of the major regions seen In the photo appear, with the exception of the lower I Ip highlight, which is considerably dimmer. The contour map at level 268 Is rather Interesting. Consider not the region Included within the contour, but the area excluded. This includes most of the mouth, the eyebrows, the eyelids (the eyes are closed), the nostriis, and a shadow area on either side of the nose. These are locally dark areas In the Image. These could be Isolated by making an Inverted tree - that ls, by making a tree with the Image negated. These locally dark areas are probably better places to begin face location, since there are fewer of them than there are locally bright areas, and they are more prominent. Indeed, there are



Figure 4.20: Some of the Regions of the Face Tree

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 



Regions corresponding to boxed nodes





Face alone **Regions** superimposed on face

 $\sim$ 





Threshold 268 Threshold 313

experiments which Indicate that as babies learn to see faces, they first fixate on the pair of eyes  $[1, 6]$ . Once a face is roughly located, higher level routines can make sense of the locally bright areas with less dlff iculty. Figure 4.22 shows a contour map with both levels superimposed, with the dark regions shaded.

Note that the image tree can easily be used to Isolate facial features and determine their approx lmate position. In order to better characterize their shapes, more complex shape descriptors would probably be needed than those which have been used so far. The Image tree can be used to characterize the shapes of objects, such as noses, which have no "hard edge" boundary. This will be further d lscussed In section 5.2.4.

Figure 4.22: The Two Contour Maps Superimposed

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

Shaded area below threshold 268



Chapter 5 D iscussion

#### 5.1 Comparison with Previous Work

The image tree can now be situated among the pattern recognition methods discussed In chapter 2. It is a "regions" method, rather than an edge detection scheme, and does no differentiation or other preprocessing of the image. It extracts Information about both the surface properties of an object and about its shape. It does not require any high degree of precision of measurement with regard to the exact location of spec if ic points In the image, and does nut make any essential use of perspective information. It does not attack problems of the "parsing" of an image into its component parts directly, although It may aid this process by the way it organizes the image information.

## 5.2 Advantages

The Image tree has a number of advantages for pattern recognition over many previously used methods.

will represent the entire scene, and various sub-branches will represent sub-parts, and then sub-parts of the subparts. The tree can thus be thought of as providing a range of measurements of differing degrees of acuity. These notions of pattern recognition as a sort of "measuring" problem are due to Kirsch.

#### 5.2.4 Objects Without Boundaries

The Image tree Is easy to apply to the recognition of objects without real edges or well-defined boundaries, such as a nose, or an object I it so that one side fades off gradually Into shadow. Assuming the object produces a separate tree branch, It can be analyzed from the data at the tip of the branch, working down towards the base unt 11 the parameters ind lcate that the region Is taking in too much extraneous area to be usefu I. Thus some lnformat lon about a nose can be extracted even though it has no well-defined upper boundary, because it has well-defined lower and side boundaries. This simple task can be rather complicated for edge-oriented procedures, or for programs which are regions oriented but which do not make a series of related measurements at d lfferent levels, as In the tree.

By the same arguments, the tree will contain information about a smoothly curved object even If It Is partially obscured, provided it contains a local brightness maximum. The procedures which analyze the tree must be able to detect the occlusion and to try to compensate for It.

5.3 Problems

The separation of coarse and fine Information Is not always maintained by the tree, unfortunately. When branches representing two different objects merge, Information about those parts of the object not yet fflled out by the region may be lost. If a small hlghl lght area is swallowed up by a larger region before achieving much depth In Its own right, the Information that wou Id have been obtained about the local surface properties of that area are swamped out. When a region representing some object In a scene joins with a larger region representing the background, the Information about the smaller object Is lost. One case In which this can occur Is when a dark object Is on a llght background, or near a I lghter object. Or, alternatively, a region may extend beyond the boundaries of an object on one side
before reaching the boundary on the other side, possibly due to an overall gradient in the light intensity. This shall be referred to as a "breakthrough". Although it can usually be easily detected by its effect on the region parameters (sharp rise In the region area and eccentricity, and sudden shift in the center of mass), it still means a loss of information about the side of the object which the region has not "filled".

5.4 Further Considerations

5.4.1 Other Statistics

So far region shape has been characterized by the region area, eccentricity, and center of mass position. There are many other region statistics which cou Id be used to characterize the regions, depend Ing upon the particular recognition task at hand.

One very simple add ition which could be made would be to compute the x and y second moments separately, so that the major axis of the region could be found. This is the ax Is about which the region has a minimal moment of Inertia. This would allow the tree

predicate, there ls always the possibility that a high eccentricity may be due to a perfectly round region, but with a large hole in the middle.

In general, any sort of shape-descriptor algorithm can be appl led to the regions, such as the Blum algorithm (Medial **Axis** Transform) **[3].** bel **leve,**  however, that one of the strengths of the Image tree as a method is to al low easy recognition with relatively simple region shape descriptors. Using very complicated descriptors not only will consume a great deal of computer time, but will also complicate the analysis required of the higher-level programs. A more detailed shape analysis should probably be reserved for cases in which problems arise In the simpler procedures.

 $5.4.2$  Region-hole Duality

Tbe tree procedures are not symmetric with respect to light and dark, as has been pointed out earlier. Thus a black spot on a light object is not perceived as an object, but as a hole in a region. Furthermore, these holes are not detected by the programs, and lnsuff le lent In format Ion Is stored on the tree to tell that they are there. Thus the effect of a hole Is to decrease the region area, and Increase the region eccentricity, but it Is not detected as a hole per se. In the detection of texture, black speckles have a completely different effect than white speckles. An object is harder to recognize on a white background than on a dark one.

This is not a desireable situation. An object should be easy to recognize on any highly contrasting background, regardless of whether It Is darker or I lghter than the object. A possible solution would be to make two trees, one with the Image negated. Thus one wou td be the tree already discussed in detail, and the other would be a tree of dark regions on lighter backgrounds, in which the tips of the branches would represent locally dark areas, rather than locally light ones. For the face considered in section 4.4, these dark branches would represent significant locally dark areas, such as the eye sockets, the nostrils, and the dark areas along the side of the nose. The eye sockets and the nostrils, in particular, are probably very important in orienting visually with respect to a face.

There is no reason why this procedure should not be carried to more than one level. Whenever a region is Isolated, the contiguity scan routines could be called

again, but scanning only inside the region, and with the Ir sense inverted, so that they wou Id find holes. Small holes could then be eliminated, but if there were any large ones, they would be noted on the tree. Furthermore, the sense could then be inverted once more, and the contiguity scan tried once again to find additional light regions Inside the dark holes,

This procedure would succeed in finding a dark apple on a light background. The apple could be isolated by an inverted run of the tree procedures, and then the normal procedure could be carried out on the region thus isolated.

## 5.4.3 Complex Lighting

In the above discussion, It was assumed that the lllumlnatlon was coming from a single point source. Changing the source of the Illumination will change the properties of the highlight region, but will not alter the basic properties of the tree. If the Illumination Is from a diffuse source, specularity information is lost. Light from several point sources wl II produce multiple hlghl ights. If the high level parsing routines know about the light source, they can compensate for these

effects. By making hypotheses about the objects in the image, these routines could equally well find out about the lighting from the image.

5.4.4 Isolations of Regions

A by-product of the Image tree Is the !so lat ion of regions which can be used as data for other feature extract ion programs. One might, for example, take a fairly large region around the highlight, subtract out the small region containing the highlight Itself, and hand this d lfference region to a textural ana ly5ls program. This program cou Id use this region to extract texture Information In various **ways,** such as performing a Fourier transform, autoconvolution, or slmi lar processing, obtaining Information about surface speckles not available directly from the tree. Using a region generated from one of the tree nodes helps assure that the portion of the Image upon which the analysis ts performed is a suitable one.

5.5 Summary and Conclusions

A procedure has been outlined for processing images of three-d lmenslona I objects with smoothly curved surfaces. The method Is able to extract some information about the surface properties of the objects, such as the texture, specularity, and surface irregularity. Information about shape Is also extracted. The procedures are insensitive to noise and distortion, and can be used to perform real recognition tasks. It Is hoped that th is work wi 11 prov Ide a stepping-stone In the challenging study of computer vision.

Append ix: Descr ipt Ion of Al gor lthms

This appendix contains an outline of the algorithms used in the tree generating program.

The image tree is generated one threshold level at a time, starting at the highest level (branch tips). At each level, the image is scanned, and the points above the threshold are marked in a scratch array. This scratch array is then scanned for marked points. When one ls found, a contiguity routine Is cal led, which visits a II marked points which can be reached from the start via a connected path. The marks are erased by this routine as it goes, and statistics are kept on the region thus generated, such as the sums of the x and y coord lnates of the points, and the sum of the squares of the x and y coordinates (used to compute the center of mass and the eccentricity). A tree node is then made up for the region, and the scan for marked points continues. A special mark is left in the scratch array for each region. When this mark ls encountered during the scan at the next level, it is looked up on an association list. This establishes the link between a region and the regions which are a subset of it at the previous

I 17

level - i.e. between a node and Its sub-nodes.

The contiguity scan Is the most complex program. It works by leaving directional pointers In the scratch array. These are three-bit codes denoting one of the eight possible neighboring points. The contiguity scan Is always started at a point which is on the bottom edge of the region. It traces along this edge to the right by moving from one marked point to the next, but always keeping an un-marked point to the right side, As It goes, it erases the marks, so that for a region with smooth boundaries, it will follow a spiral path to the center, "eating up" the marks as It goes, I ike a lathe with the tool continually advancing into the work.

As the contiguity routine scans, ft lays down back pointers in the scratch array which enable It to retrace its path back to the start. If a dead end is reached (no more marked neighbors), it traces back along this path, looking for marked points to the right. There can be no marked points on the left side while backtracking, since this was the right side on the way out, and the outgoing scan stayed as far to the right as possible. If a marked point Is found on the backtrace, It is replaced with a pointer to the adjacent path a I ready traced out, and then a new path is traced as if

I I 8

th is were a new starting point. When the backtrace reaches the original starting point, the contiguity scan is completed. The effect of this algorithm Is to construct a tree of pointers In the scratch array, with the starting point at the root. All points which can be reached via a connected path from the starting point wi II be a part of this tree, an example of which is shown In fiqure Al.

An algorithm developed by S. Bryan [4] could speed the contiguity scan considerably. It entails coding the scratch array line by line as strips, as in figure A2. Each strip is specified by its y coord lnate, and the x coordinates of Its left and right end. The contiguity of these strips Is then checked, rather than operating on the individual points. This algorithm not only avoids scanning the entire scratch array, most of which Is blank, but also requires fewer operations to find all of the contiguous points, since they are gathered Into groups. It thus takes advantage of the fact that regions produced by real images, as opposed to random noise, wi 11 tend to have the points clustered into bunches.

A number of other programs were written In the course of this research. In order to make it convenient

I 19

Figure Al: The Tree of Pointers Layed Down by the Contiguity Scan Algorithm

(Shown for an arbitrary region)

- •=marked point, included in region
- $\lambda$  = pointer in direction of root (arrowhead not shown due to small size)



Figure A2: A Region Coded as Strips

The same region is used as in figure Al



to study a large number of trees, programs were written to print out the trees on the I ine-printer, with the significant parameters associated with each node. Furthermore, a program was produced to plot any parameter **;vs.** threshold a long any set of branches of the tree. This program was used to produce the graphs In this pa per.

Programs were also written to display an intensity modulated picture of the image, using the seven Intensity levels of a DEC 340 display. Since our 340 has no fast raster mode, a display compiler was written which generates a display list in increment mode, allowing fairly large images to be shown virtually flicker-free. Other routines enable any arbitrary region in the image to be shown superimposed on this picture. The pointer method used In the cont igu tty scan was actually written for these display routines, which were developed first. The existence of this program made the writing of the contigu lty scan very simple, which Is one reason why faster algorithms such as the Bryan algorithm were not sought.

A large amount of code was required to back up the programs mentioned above. This Includes a dynamic storage al locator for manipulating a large number of

122

arrays of changing size, display and plotter routines and other 1/0 routines, routines for manipulating list structure, and routines which map arbitrary local procedures over an array. The programs comprise over 5200 words of PDP-10 MIDAS assembly language code, not including about 1700 words of fixed buffer and tables, and not including the dynamically allocated array and list structure area, which can grow to an arbitrary size.

Also used was the CNTOUR program [ 12], which draws intensity contour maps of an image, and which was written early in the course of this research, before the exact area of study had been decided upon.

## **Bibliography**

- $\mathbf{L}_{\bullet}$ Ahrens, R., "Beiträge zur Entwicklung des Physiognomie - und Mimikerkennis", Z. f. Exp. u. angew. Psychol., 1954, 2,412-454,599-633.
- $2.$ Alt, F. L., "Digital Pattern Recognition by Moments, Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery 9,2 (April 1962), (pages 240-258).
- Blum, H., "A Transformation for Extracting New  $3.$ Descriptors of Shape", Models for the Perception of Speech and Visual Form, W. Wathen-Dunn (Ed.), MIT Press, Cambbidge, Mass., 1967, (pages 362- $380$ .
- 4. Bryan, Sam, The Strip Blobber: A Scene Analysis Algorithm, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C.
- $5.$ van Diggelen, J., "A Photometric Investigation of the Slopes and the Heights of the Ranges of Hills in the Maria of the Moon", Bulletin of the Astronomical institutes of the Netherlands, Vol. II, 423 (July 26, 1951).
- Gibson, Eleanor J., Principles of Perceptual 6. Learning and Development, Appleton-Century Crofts, 1969, New York.
- $7.$ Gray, S. B., Local Properties of Binary Images in Two and Three Dimensions, Information International, Report, 1/21/70.
- $8.$ Griffith, Arnold K., Computer Recognition of Prismatic Solids, M.I.T. Ph.D. Thesis (EE), June 1970.
- 9. Guzman-Arena, Adolfo, Computer Recognition of Three-D imensional Objects in a Visual Scene, MAC-TR-59 (Thesis), Project MAC, December 1968.
- $10.$ Horn, Berthold Klaus Paul, Shape from Shading: A Method for the Dedermination of the Shape of a Smooth Opaque Object from One View, M. I. T. Ph.D. Thesis, Electrical Engineering, June 1970
- II. Kirsch, Russell A., Computer Determination of the Constituent Structure of Biological Images, National Bureau of Standards Report 10173
- 12. Krakauer, Lawrence J ., CNTOUR, A. I. Memo I13A, January 1968.
- I 3 Pre w i t t, J M **<sup>S</sup>** , and M end e I so h n , M L .• , The Analysis of Cell Images, Annals of the New York Academy of Science 128 (January 1966), (pages 1035-1053).
- 14. Rindfleisch, T., Photometric Method for Lunar Topography, Jet Propulsion Laboratory Technica I Report 32-786, September 15, 1965.
- 15. Roberts, L. G., "Machine Perception of Threedimensional Solids", Optical and Electro-optical Information Processing, James J. Tippett et al (ed.), The MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass. ( 1965), (page 159) • •
- 16. Rosenfeld, A., "Automatic Recognition of Basic Terrain Types from Aerial Photographs", Photogram. Eng. 28 <March 1962), (pages 115-132).

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification



Security Classification