AIRLINE SAFETY: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

by

Mary Katherine Higgins

B.A., Saint Xavier College (1974)

M.A.S., Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University (1984)

OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE

DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN OPERATIONS RESEARCH

at the

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

February 1987

[®] Mary Katherine Higgins

The Author hereby grants to M.I.T. permission to reproduce and to distribute copies of this thesis document in whole or in part.

| Signature of A | uthc Interdepartmenta | l Magram in Operations Research |
|----------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Certified by | | |
| , , <u>,</u> | | Arnold I. Barnett Thesis Supervisor |
| Accepted by | | |
| | Chairman, Interdepartmental Co | Amedeo R. Odoni ommittee on Operations Research |

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE

APR 28 1987

LIBRARIES

AIRLINE SAFETY: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

by

Mary Katherine Higgins

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science on January 22, 1987 in partial fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science in Operations Research

ABSTRACT

In this study of airline safety, we will focus on fatal accidents, considering the records of U.S. domestic airlines and international air carriers over the past ten years. We estimate a passenger's probability of being killed on a given flight using data from each of 157 airlines (35 U. S. domestics and 122 internationals).

The calculations suggest substantial divergence of risk across groups of airlines with the U. S. domestic trunk lines and large international flag carriers significantly outperforming the small international airlines and "children" of U.S. airline deregulation. At the same time, the general trend has been toward major reductions over time in air travel risk.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my heartfelt thanks to Professor Arnie Barnett whose expert guidance, encouragement, support and good humor sustained me throughout this endeavor.

In the process of some of the more challenging data collection, there were many people who gave freely of their time to assist me. I am particularly grateful to Robert M. Perreault and Susan C. Dresley from the Technical Research Center at the Department of Transportation's Transportation System Center in Cambridge. I also need to thank Mary Louise Ransom from the FAA Library in Washington, D.C. and Olof Fritsche of the International Civil Aviation Organization in Montreal who were kind enough to assist me over the telephone without the benefit of even knowing who I was.

Of course, I owe a special debt to the United States Air Force for giving me this opportunity to pursue an advanced degree at M.I.T.

Finally, I wish I had the words to adequately thank my friends and colleagues at the Operations Research Center for always being there when I needed them. I will sorely miss my day-to-day contact with these talented and very dear people.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Abstract |
|---|
| Acknowledgments |
| Table of Contents |
| List of Tables |
| Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION |
| |
| Chapter 2 LITERATURE SEARCH |
| 2.1 U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Study |
| 2.1.1 DOT's Measures of Safety |
| 2.1.2 DOT's Units of Analysis |
| 2.1.3 Results of the DOT |
| 2.2 Ramsden's Studies |
| 2.2.1 Ramsden's Measures of Safety |
| 2.2.2 Ramsden's Units of Analysis |
| 2.2.3 Results of Ramsden's Analysis |
| 2.3 Oster and Zorn's Study |
| 2.3.1 Oster and Zorn's Measures of Safety |
| 2.3.2 Oster and Zorn's Units of Analysis |
| 2.3.3 Oster and Zorn's Results |
| 2.4 The Barnett, Abraham and Schimmel (BAS) Study |
| 2.4.1 Measures of Safety in the BAS Study |
| 2.4.2 Units of Analysis in the BAS Study |
| 2.4.3 Results of the BAS Study |
| |
| Chapter 3 METHODOLOGY 21 |

| 3.1 Airline Choice | 1 |
|---|---|
| 3.1.1 International Airlines | 1 |
| 3.1.2 U.S. Domestic Airlines | 3 |
| 3.2 Data Collection | 5 |
| 3.2.1 Traffic Data | 5 |
| 3.2.2 Accident Data | ŝ |
| 3.3 Measure of Safety | 3 |
| Chapter 4 RESULTS | ļ |
| 4.1 International Airlines | ŀ |
| 4.1.1 International AirlinesBAS Study | 1 |
| 4.1.2 International AirlinesEntire Group | 5 |
| 4.2 U. S. Domestic Airlines |) |
| 4.2.1 U. S. Domestic AirlinesBAS Study |) |
| 4.2.2 New Entrant Jet Carriers | , |
| 4.3 Comparison of U. S. Domestic Airlines with International Airlines .55 | > |
| | |
| Chapter 5 CONCLUSIONS AND EXTENSIONS | j |
| 5.1 Conclusions | |
| 5.2 Extensions | |
| REFERENCES | |
| A PDENDIY | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 Ramsden's Ranking of National Airline Safety |
|---|
| Records from 1973-1984 According to Fatal Accidents per |
| Million Flights |
| |
| Table 2.2 Ramsden's Ranking of National Airline Safety |
| Records from 1973-1984 Based on Combined Rankings of the |
| Four Safety Measures |
| |
| Table 3.1 International Airlines from BAS Study |
| |
| Table 3.2 International Airlines from BAS Study, Grouped by |
| Traffic Volume |
| |
| Table 3.3 U. S. Domestic Trunk CarriersBAS Study |
| |
| Table 3.4 New Entrant Jet Carriers |
| |
| Table 3.5 Accident ListInternational Airlines, 1976-1986 |
| |
| Table 3.6 Accident ListU. S. Domestic Airlines, 1977-1986 |
| |
| Table 3.7 Large International AirlinesBAS Study |
| James 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
| Table 3.8 Small International AirlinesBAS Study |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

| Table 3.9 Large International AirlinesEntire Group |
|---|
| Table 3.10 Small International AirlinesEntire Group |
| Table 3.11 U. S. Domestic AirlinesBAS Study |
| Table 3.12 U. S. Domestic AirlinesNew Entrant Jet Carriers |
| Table 4.1 Chance of Being Killed on a FlightInternational Airlines, BAS Study |
| Table 4.2 Chance of Being Killed on a FlightInternational Airlines, Grouped by Size, BAS Study |
| Table 4.3 Worst to Best Listing of International AirlinesEntire Group48 |
| Table 4.4 Chance of Being Killed on a FlightU. S. Domestic Airlines, BAS Study |
| Table A.1 Number of Flights (Departures) for Formerly Intrastate Carriers 1977-1981 |
| Table A.2 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1976-1980 62 |
| Table A.3 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1981-1985 69 |
| Table A.4 Traffic Data for U.S. Domestic Airlines 1977-1981 65 |

| Table A.5 Traffic Data for U. S. Domestic Airlines 1982-1985 | | • | .76 |
|--|---|---|-----|
| Table A.6 Traffic Data for U. S. Domestic AirlinesNew Jet Entrants | • | • | .77 |
| Table A.7 Accidents 1976-1985 | | | .78 |

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

Although airline travel has become essentially routine, concern over airline safety seems to have increased in recent years. The increased concern is hardly surprising in light of the intensive media coverage of any airline accident, complete with photographs and interviews. The media has also kept us aware of alleged drug abuse by air traffic controllers and even airline pilots. In addition, we are reminded of the slow recovery of the air traffic control system since the 1981 strike with the attendant safety problems. We have also heard from the opponents of the Airline Deregulation Act of 1978 who insist that the act has had a negative impact on U.S. domestic airline safety. All of these, though, have been overshadowed by the terrorist threat with 20 hijackings and 14 other cases of sabotage aboard commercial airliners around the world in 1985 alone [14].

These concerns have generated more than just rhetoric. In terms of the impact of airline deregulation, Congress has required that the Department of Transportation submit "an annual report on the extent to which implementation of the Act has affected the level of air safety in the preceding calendar year..." [9]. The American public has responded to the terrorist threat by cutting back on its air travel from the U.S. to Europe, with the Travel Industry Association of America reporting a ten percent decrease in such travel from June 1985 to June 1986 [14]. The airlines themselves had changed their plans for the summer of 1986 with Trans World Airlines reducing service to the

Mediterranean area and Eastern Airlines postponing planned service to Madrid, Spain [14].

The purpose of this analysis is to determine if there is justification for the recent concern over airline safety, and if there <u>is</u> cause, whether any particular airline or airlines seem to be significantly less safe than the others. To do this, I will examine safety levels in the international air carriers and the U.S. domestic airlines from an historical perspective by comparing their past safety performance with that of recent years. I will then take a detailed look at their recent safety performance in comparison to other airlines working a similar route structure.

I will begin my analysis by outlining the sort of work that has been done in the field of airline safety analysis, noting that there are surprisingly few airline-by-airline comparisons. In Chapter Three I will describe the methodology I used to conduct this study. I feel compelled to devote some space to the methods of raw data collection, as this was, perhaps, the most time-consuming part of the entire process. In the remainder of the chapter I will explain the measures of safety used, and the methods employed in comparing individual airline's safety records. Finally, in Chapter Four I will summarize my results and draw (and not draw) some conclusions in Chapter Five.

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE SEARCH

There is a considerable body of work in the analysis of levels of airline safety. The initial work in this area was stimulated by the need to convince the public of the safety of a new and very different mode of transportation.

Through the years, the motivation has changed into an effort to respond to the flood of information from the media about airline accidents, terrorism, maintenance procedures, and the entire air travel environment.

In my literature search, I have found four studies which represent a reasonable survey of the types of analyses conducted to date. They are the U.S. Department of Transportation's annual study mandated by Congress [9], a comparison of national airline records by J. M. Ramsden [6,7], an analysis of commuter airline safety by Clinton V. Oster, Jr. and C. Kurt Zorn [5], and finally, an analysis of international and U.S. domestic airline safety by Arnold Barnett, Michael Abraham, and Victor Schimmel. I will discuss the four airline safety studies in terms of the measures of safety used, the units of analysis (airline, nation, or groups of airlines), and briefly, the results of the particular analysis.

2.1 U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Study

In their annual post-deregulation analysis, the Department of Transportation (DOT) examines all facets of commercial aviation in the U.S.

I shall focus on their study of air carrier safety as published in their report on activities in calendar year 1984 [9].

2.1.1 DOT's Measures of Safety

There are basically three measures of safety used by the DOT: accident rate per 100,000 hours flown, accident rate per million miles flown, and accident rate per 100,000 departures. Within each of these three categories is a total accident rate and a fatal accident rate. Before I look at the three safety measures in more detail, I will discuss the problems inherent in determining a total accident rate.

A total accident rate is difficult to determine because of the procedures for reporting accidents. Perhaps because of an airline's understandable sensitivity to public knowledge of its accidents, one has little assurance that each airline reports every accident or incident [7]. One can be reasonably certain though, that <u>fatal</u> accidents are accurately reported. Therefore, a measure based on fatal accidents would seem to be the most accurate, available means for comparing safety levels.

A fatal accident rate per 100,000 hours flown or per million miles flown, while taking care of the above problem, has other limitations. A measure based on fatal accidents per hour or mile flown ignores the fact that an airline which flies more frequent, shorter flight segments than other airlines would be exposed to more takeoffs and landing than the others. Since, by far, the most accidents occur during takeoff and landing (over 70% if one considers only the

fatal accidents of the 11-year period studied in this thesis), this measure would unduly penalize the airline flying frequent short segments.

The third and final measure is also not without limitations. A fatal accident rate per 100,000 departures, while not unduly penalizing the short-haul carrier, does not differentiate between an accident in which only one passenger dies and an accident in which all on board perish.

2.1.2 DOT's Units of Analysis

Previously the DOT grouped airlines by route authority such as "trunk", "regional", "local", and so on. Beginning with the January 1986 report, the airlines are broken into three groups, certificated air carriers, commuter airlines and air taxi operators. There is no breakdown by individual airline [9].

2.1.3 Results of the DOT Study

Because its interest was in determining the effect of deregulation on airline safety, the DOT compared the record of a particular segment of commercial aviation to its own record in previous years, starting with 1978. The DOT's analysis of 1984 air carrier activity indicated a decline in total accident and fatal accident rates in 1984 as compared to previous years. The DOT also commented that the 1984 annual record was the best since enactment of the

In the study performed by Barnett, Abraham and Schimmel [1], the independence of flight risk and flight length was established by performing an hypothesis test on the differences in the distribution of flight segment lengths in the U.S. and the distribution of flight segment lengths of U.S. airliners involved in fatal crashes over a 20 year period (1957-1976).

Airline Deregulation Act [9].

2.2 Ramsden's Studies

J.M. Ramsden of <u>Flight International</u> has published several analyses, comparing national airline's safety records. In his two most recent studies (January 1979 and 1985), he uses two measures of safety which involve passenger risk [6,7].

2.2.1 Ramsden's Measures of Safety

According to Ramsden, the most important measure of safety is the number of fatal accidents per million flights. The other measures are the number of fatalities per million flights, and two measures based on capacity tonne-kilometer (CTK). CTK is intended to account for the total air transport production of each country and is the total passenger capacity and cargo tonnage offered [6,7]. Because my interest is in passenger risk, I shall discuss only the first two measures in detail.

The first thing that one notices is that the four measures used involve only fatal accidents. There are two reasons cited for narrowing the field of study to fatal accidents. One is the fact that there are differences among nations in the very definition of accident. The other reason is that nations rarely publish lists of airline accidents [7]. And, as I mentioned earlier, it is reasonable to assume that the fatal accidents are accurately reported in most countries. The one major exception to this premise is the sketchy reporting from the Soviet Union with respect to its airline, Aeroflot [7].

The first (and in Ramsden's opinion, most important) measure, the number of fatal accidents per million flights, treats as equivalent any accident involving fatalities, whether a few or many. This measure, with the number of flights equal to the number of departures, is the same as the one used in the DOT study and has the same limitations.

The second measure, the number of fatalities per million flights is also deficient in that it doesn't account for aircraft size. In using this measure to compare airlines flying the same number of flights, one would consider an airline which experiences a single crash of a DC-10 with no survivors as safe as an airline which experienced four unsurvivable DC-9 crashes.

2.2.2 Ramsden's Units of Analysis

In order to compare national airline safety records, Ramsden combined the fatality and activity records for all airlines in each particular country. He included scheduled, nonscheduled, passenger and cargo flights flown by airlines of 18 nations in his 1979 and 1985 studies, and comments on the airlines of seven additional nations in the 1985 study [6,7].

2.2.3 Results of Ramsden's Analysis

In the 1979 study which covered 1973-1978, Ramsden computed the average performance of the ten safest countries with respect to each of the four measures. The following is an alphabetical list of the countries he found to be above average in the primary measure of fatal accidents per million flights: Australia, Belgium, West Germany, Italy, Japan, Scandinavia, United Kingdom, and United States. The above average performers with respect to all four

measures were, alphabetically, Australia, West Germany, Japan, Scandinavia, United Kingdom and United States [6].

In his 1985 study which covered 1973-1984, Ramsden ranked the nations based on the primary measure. His results are shown in Table 2.1 [7].

| Ranking | Nation | Ranking | Nation |
|---------|----------------|---------|-------------|
| 1 | Australia | 10 | Netherlands |
| 2 | Scandinavia | 11 | Canada |
| 3 | Japan | 12 | Argentina |
| 4 | United States | 13 | Venezuela |
| 5 | France | 14 | Brazil |
| 5 | United Kingdom | 15 | India |
| 5 | West Germany | 16 | Egypt |
| 8 | Italy | 17 | Turkey |
| 9 | Belgium | 18 | Colombia |

Table 2.1 Ramsden's Ranking of National Airline Safety Records from 1973-1984 According to Fatal Accidents per Million Flights

He then used what he called "statistical license" and added the rankings from each of the four measures considered separately to produce a final ranking shown in Table 2.2 [7].

Ramsden noted that overall, the number of fatal crashes per million flights has decreased from a typical figure of 67 per million 1 50 years ago, to 2.5 in

¹ This figure was for Imperial Airlines (UK) which Ramsden says was by no means the world's worst [7].

| Ranking | Nation | Ranking | Nation |
|---------|----------------|---------|-------------|
| 1 | Australia | 10 | Italy |
| 2 | France | 11 | Argentina |
| 2 | Japan | 12 | Netherlands |
| 4 | Scandinavia | 13 | Brazil |
| 5 | West Germany | 14 | Venezuela |
| 6 | United States | 15 | India |
| 7 | United Kingdom | 16 | Egypt |
| 8 | Belgium | 17 | Turkey |
| 8 | Canada | 17 | Colombia |

Table 2.2 Ramsden's Ranking of National Airline Safety Records from 1973-1984 Based on Combined Rankings of the Four Safety Measures

1963-1972, and to 1.9 in 1973-1984. He also applauds the following countries for their performance over 30 years of <u>Flight International's</u> safety audits: Australia, France, West Germany, Japan, Scandinavia, United Kingdom, and the United States (alphabetical order) [7].

2.3 Oster and Zorn's Study

In 1984, Clinton V. Oster, Jr., and C. Kurt Zorn conducted an analysis of airline safety among commuter airlines in the United States. In their study covering the years 1970 to 1980, they examined commuter safety in terms of three different measures.

2.3.1 Oster and Zorn's Measures of Safety

Oster and Zorn first used the measure of passenger fatalities per 100 million passenger miles, acknowledging its shortcomings which I described in

subsection 2.1.1. The second measure they examined was that of passenger fatalities per 100,000 aircraft departures. They pointed out its deficiency in its failure to account for the different sizes of aircraft [5].

Because of the limitations of the first two measures, Oster and Zorn's measure of choice was passenger fatalities per one million passenger enplanements. As an example of what an enplanement can involve, consider a passenger who boards an aircraft in Albany, New York bound for Buffalo. If the aircraft makes intermediate stops in Syracuse and Rochester, the passenger is counted as one enplanement even though he or she has experienced three flight segments with their associated takeoffs and landings. Oster and Zorn observed that this measure could slightly overstate the risk for nonstop flights while slightly understating risk for multistop flights [5].

2.3.2 Oster and Zorn's Units of Analysis

Because they were investigating reports that the commuter airlines were 10 to 30 times less safe than the certificated jet carriers, Oster and Zorn confined their study to those airlines [5].

2.3.3 Oster and Zorn's Results

When they used passenger fatalities per 100 million passenger miles, Oster and Zorn found that from 1977-80, the commuters were indeed 10 to 30 times less safe than the certificated jet carriers. However, when they relied on the measure of passenger fatalities per 100,000 aircraft departures, Oster and Zorn found that the commuters had a <u>lower</u> accident rate than the larger carriers in

three of the six years studied (1975-80). They also found that the commuters had an average fatality rate of 1.1 while the certificated jet rate was 3.4 [5].

In using their measure of choice (passenger fatalities per one million enplanements), Oster and Zorn found that in the period 1970-80, the commuters were, on the average, three times less safe than the certificated jet carriers. Using this last measure, they also examined intraindustry safety. They divided the commuter airlines into two groups: the top 20 (in terms of passenger enplanements) and the others. The top 20 were over five times safer than the others and nearly as safe as the certificated jet carriers [5].

2.4 The Barnett, Abraham and Schimmel (BAS) Study

In 1979, Arnold Barnett, Michael Abraham, and Victor Schimmel published their study of airline safety reviewing accident records of U.S. domestic and major international airlines.

2.4.1 Measures of Safety in the BAS Study

To measure flight activity, Barnett Abraham and Schimmel (hereinafter BAS) used the number of flights, but rather than using the number of fatalities or fatal accidents, they compute what they call a cumulative fatality quotient (CFQ). The CFQ is found by summing over all flights of interest the fraction of passengers who did not survive each. They then divide this CFQ (a measure of an airline's total accident level) by the number of flights performed to produce an average fatality quotient (AFQ). This overall safety measure (the AFQ) can be interpreted as the probability of dying in an accident were a passenger to have picked a flight and seat at random from the period in question [1].

2.4.2 Units of Analysis in the BAS Study

The BAS study compares the fatal accident records of 18 U.S. domestic airlines from 1957-76, and 40 international airlines from 1960-75.

2.4.3 Results of BAS Study

In comparing the U.S. domestic airlines to each other, BAS found that there was no evidence to support the belief that any particular airline was any more or less safe than the others, because any perceived differences in their safety records could be explained by chance alone [1].

When they compared the international flag carriers to each other, BAS found that, unlike the U.S. domestics, there were statistically significant differences in the safety records of some of the carriers. They found it useful to divide the 40 international airlines by size, designating an airline as large if it averaged over 20,000 flights per year over the period studied, and small otherwise. BAS found that they had sufficient evidence to consider these airlines equally safe within their respective groups[1].

In general, BAS found an over 50% improvement in the fatality rates of airlines in all segments from the early 1960s to the mid 1970s. They also concluded that, as a group, the U.S. domestics were safer by a factor of four than the large international airlines studied and safer than the small international carriers by a factor of 16 [1].

Because the BAS study provides the most reasonable overall measure of safety (AFQ), I will follow the same general methodology for the analysis which follows.

CHAPTER 3

METHODOLOGY

The intent of this analysis is to measure airline safety in terms of passenger risk for scheduled flights on international as well as U.S. domestic airlines. Although the primary emphasis will be on U.S. domestic airlines, I shall examine the international airlines' records to see if the U.S. domestics have maintained their pre-deregulation superiority in terms of safety. I shall also study the international airlines for the sake of completeness, to continue the BAS study.

To accomplish this, I had to first choose which airlines to include, collect accident and traffic data for each, and then apply a measure of safety. I will begin with my criteria for including airlines in this study.

3.1 Airline Choice

I will break out my airline choices for international and U.S. domestic carriers below.

3.1.1 International Airlines

To begin with, I will examine data for 39 of the 40 international airlines which were included in the BAS study. The original group of 40 had been chosen because they generated, by far, the majority of international traffic. I am only studying 39 because the data for the East African airline were unavailable. The airlines from the BAS study are listed in Table 3.1. They are

| International | Airlines |
|---|---|
| Aer Lingus Aeromexico Air Canada Air France Air India Alitalia Argentinas AUA (Austria) Avianca (Colombia) British Airways East African Egyptair El Al Ethiopian Finnair Iberia (Spain) Iran Air JAL (Japan) JAT (Yugoslavia) KLM | Lan Chile Loftleider (now Icelandair) LOT (Po!and) Lufthansa Malev (Hungary) Nigeria Olympic PIA (Pakistan) Pan American PAL (Philippines) Qantas Sabena SAS SAA (South Africa) Swissair TAP Air Portugal THY (Turkey) TWA Varig (Brazil) Viasa (Venezuela) |

Table 3.1 International Airlines from BAS Study

also shown grouped by traffic volume as they were in the BAS study in Table 3.2.

| Large | Airlines | Small | Airlines | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Aer Lingus Air Canada Air France Alitalia British Iberia KLM | Lufthansa Pan Am Sabena SAS Swissair TWA | Aeromexico Air India Argentinas AUA Avianca East African Egyptair El Al Ethiopian | Finnair Iran Air JAL JAT Lan Chile Loftleidir LOT Malev Nigerian | Olympic PIA PAL Qantas SAA TAP THY Varig Viasa |

Table 3.2 International Airlines from BAS Study, Grouped by Traffic Volume

I will then study the records of the 83 additional airlines which reported international traffic data to the International Civil Aviation Organization

(ICAO) during essentially the entire period from 1976 to 1985. Aeroflot is not included because its first traffic data report was in 1982.

3.1.2 U.S. Domestic Airlines

As with the international airlines, I will begin with the airlines from the original BAS study. The 18 airlines listed in Table 3.3 had been chosen because they were the principal U.S. domestic trunk carriers during the time period studied by BAS (1957-76).

Airline American Braniff Continental Delta Eastern Frontier **Hughes Airwest** National North Central Northwest Ozark Piedmont Southern Texas International TWA United U.S. Air (Allegheny) Western

Table 3.3 U.S. Domestic Trunk Carriers--BAS Study

The same airlines operated during the period of this study (1977-1986), but some have been combined because of mergers. Therefore, I will consider Hughes Airwest, North Central, and Southern together as Republic since it was formed in 1979, near the beginning of the period considered (1977-1986). Another 1979 change was Pan American's merger with National, so their

combined data will be associated with Pan Am's domestic data. Finally, Texas International merged with Continental in 1982. It will be considered separately for the first half of the ten year period, and its 1982 data will be combined with Continental's for the second half of the period.

Because of the industry-wide interest in the impact of deregulation on safety, I will also consider the records of the new entrant jet carriers, the "children" of deregulation. Candidates for this list had to either be a jet airline with scheduled operations which was formed (or whose scheduled operations began) after October 1978 (corresponding to the enactment of the Airline Deregulation Act), or an existing jet carrier which expanded its operations in the post-deregulation period by at least a factor of five. The following table, Table 3.4, lists the new entrant jet carriers as determined by the above criteria.

Airline Air Florida Air One America West Arrow Air Capitol Florida Express Jet America Midway Metrolink **Midwest Express** Muse Air New York Air Northeastern International Airways Pacific East Air People Express Sunworld Transamerica World

Table 3.4 New Entrant Jet Carriers

All of the carriers in Table 3.4 appeared on the scene for the purpose of conducting scheduled operations after October 1978 with the exception of Air Florida. Of the major pre-deregulation intrastate air carriers (Air Cal, Air Florida, PSA, and Southwest), only Air Florida's traffic increased by more than a factor of five to meet the growth criterion as shown in Table A.1.

3.2 Data Collection

The average fatality quotient (AFQ) is calculated by dividing the cumulative fatality quotient (CFQ) by N, the number of flights flown by an airline or group of airlines of interest. To compute the CFQ, it is necessary to find out the number of passengers killed and the number of passengers on board each aircraft which was involved in a fatal accident during the period in question. Information on the number of flights flown by airlines and passenger data on airliners involved in fatal accidents for the period in question was gathered from many sources.

3.2.1 Traffic Data

The number of flights is represented by the number of departures in the traffic data sources. The primary source was the ICAO Digest of Statistics [15] which provided separate listings for international and domestic activity. Some otherwise unavailable U.S. airline data were extracted from the U.S. Civil Aeronautics Board (CAB) <u>Air Carrier Traffic Statistics</u> [8], and the <u>Official Airline Guide</u> [12].

Because of the irregularity of reports, particularly from some of the smaller international carriers, some estimation procedures were necessary. If partial data were available for a given year, the missing months were assumed to have

the same average traffic count as the reported months. If data were missing for an entire year, the data from the years on either side of the year in question were averaged to produce the estimate for the missing figure. Missing data from the beginning or end of the period were assumed to be approximately equal to the nearest year's data. In the same manner, the traffic for 1986 was estimated to be the same as 1985's, since it will be month before 1986 data will be available.

These estimation rules assume a fairly constant behavior pattern for airline traffic, certainly no more than a gradual increase or decrease in traffic levels. While this is generally the case, those rare cases where a steadiness does not hold true should only affect by a small percentage the magnitude of the total traffic figures. Such "perturbations" should have little effect on aggregate safety statistics.

Tables A.2 - A.6 indicate which data were estimated and how they were estimated.

3.2.2 Accident Data

The main source for fatal accident data on scheduled airlines was the annual safety report published in the January issues of <u>Flight International</u> [11]. 1986 data were obtained from weekly issues of <u>Flight International</u> [11], the United Kingdom's Civil Aviation Authority's <u>World Airline Accident Summary</u> [16], and the <u>New York Times</u> [14].

All accidents on scheduled airlines which involved passenger fatalities were considered. This included fatalities due to hijacking, sabotage, or terrorist

activity. These had been included in the BAS study [1] as well as in Ramsden's safety audits [6,7] because it was felt that an airline should be rewarded for its care and expenditures in establishing stringent security procedures, even to the extent of refusing to fly into a location pending need security improvements.

In determining whether to include terrorist actions while the aircraft is on the ground, I will use the U.S. National Transportation Safety Board's definition of an aircraft accident:

An "aircraft accident" is defined by the NTSB as "an occurrence associated with the operation of an aircraft which takes place between the time any person boards the aircraft with the intention of flight until all such persons have disembarked, and in which any person suffers death or serious injury as a result of being in or upon the aircraft..." [9]

After obtaining the above list of accidents, the type of flight segment (international or domestic) that the affected aircraft was on had to be determined. This was important to insure that I was only comparing airlines which operated in similar environments. The flight segment information was often not easily obtainable for non-U.S. carriers and had to be extracted from a variety of sources. These included Flight International [11] articles, the CAA World Airline Accident Summary [16], New York Times [14], Aviation Week and Space Technology [10], the Official Airline Guide [13], World Airline Fleets '85 [2], The Airline Handbook [4], and the Aviation Safety Division of ICAO in Montreal. The determination of international or domestic flight segment is indicated in Table A.7 in the appendix for the fatal accidents on scheduled airlines.

Finally, the number of passenger fatalities and number of passengers on board had to be obtained. For this purpose, I did not penalize an airline for deaths among hijackers or terrorists.

The "distilled" accident list for international flights is in Table 3.5. It includes only those fatal accident which occurred when the flight was on an international segment flown by an airline which reported traffic to ICAO from 1976 to 1985.

The "distilled" accident list for U.S. domestic airlines, shown in Table 3.6, includes only fatal accidents of the include U.S. domestic carriers that occurred on scheduled domestic flight segments.

3.3 Measure of Safety

Before applying the safety measures from the BAS study which I discussed in Chapter Two, I broke the data into two time periods. The international data were split into 1976-80 and 1981-1986, while the domestic data were split into 1977-81 and 1982-86. This is in character with the original BAS study which sought to eliminate bias against the older airlines who did more flying when air travel was less safe [1].

I then found the overall accident level for each air carrier in each period by computing its cumulative fatality quotient (CFQ):

$$CFQ = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$$

where x_i = the fraction of passengers who did not survive a give flight i (of course, most x_i = 0)

N = the total number of flights in the period

I was then able to compute the average fatality quotient (AFQ) to form a measure of the overall safety performance of an airline or group of airlines.

$$AFQ = \frac{CFQ}{N} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i}{N}$$

To correspond to the physical interpretation of AFQ as risk, I expressed the AFQs in the form 1 in X.

The N an CFQ values for the selected carriers are shown in tables 3.7 through 3.12. They have been used to obtain the results that are summarized in the following chapter.

| DATE | AIRLINE | TYPE OF AIRCRAFT | PAX DEAD | PAX ON BOARD | RMKS | PHASE |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|------|-------|
| 1 Jan 76 | MEA | B720 | 67 | 67 | T | ER |
| 4 Jul 76 | Air France | A300 | 2 | 240 | Τ | G |
| 10 Sep 76 | British Airways | Trident | 54 | 54 | | ER |
| 6 Oct 76 | Cubana | DC-8 | 50 | 50 | Т | ER |
| 25 Dec 76 | Egyptair | B707 | 43 | 43 | | Арр |
| 22 Sep 77 | Malev | Tu-134 | 21 | 45 | | L |
| 28 Sep 77 | JAL | DC-8 | 25 | 69 | | Арр |
| 3 Nov 77 | ELAI | B747 | 1 | ?(300) | | ER |
| 1 Jan 78 | Air India | B747 | 190 | 190 | | T/O |
| 21 Apr 78 | KAL | B707 | 2 | 97 | Н | ER |
| 14 Mar 79 | Alia | B727 | 42 | 49 | | L |
| 7 Oct 79 | Swissair | DC-8 | 14 | 142 | | L |
| 31 Oct 79 | Western | DC-10 | 63 | 77 | | L |
| 26 Nov 79 | PIA | B707 | 145 | 145 | | ER |
| 3 Mar 80 | LOT | 11-62 | 77 | 77 | | RA |
| 10 May 80 | Indian Airlines | B737 | 2 | 127* | | ER |
| 7 Jul 80 | Tarom | Tu-154 | 1 | 152 | | RA |

Table 3.5 Accident List--International Airlines, 1976-1986

Remarks: T indicates terrorist activity or hijacking H indicates hostile action

Phase: AA--Airport Approach

App--Approach C--Climb

C--Climb ER--Enroute G--Ground L--Landing

RA--Runway Approach

T/O--Takeoff

^{*} indicates where only combined crew + passenger total was known--number of crew was estimated and subtracted to give number indicated.

| DATE | AIRLINE | TYPE OF AIRCRAFT | PAX DEAD | PAX ON BOARD | RMKS | PHASE |
|-----------|------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|------|-------|
| 14 Oct 80 | THY | B727 | 1 | 100* | Т | G |
| 19 Nov 80 | KAL | B747 | 8 | 198 | | L |
| 23 Dec 80 | Saudia | Tristar | 2 | 288 | | ER |
| 22 Jun 82 | Air India | B707 | 17 | 99 | | L |
| 3 Jun 83 | Air Canada | DC-9-32 | 23 | 41 | | ER |
| 31 Aug 83 | KAL | B747 | 246 | 246 | Н | ER |
| 23 Sep 83 | Gulf Air | B737 | 105 | 105 | PosT | AA |
| 27 Nov 83 | Avianca | B747 | 161 | 172 | | AA |
| 12 Dec 83 | Iberia | B727 | 49 | 84 | | T/0 |
| 1 Jan 85 | Eastern | B727-225 | 21 | 21 | | AA |
| 19 Jan 85 | Cubana | II-18 | 35 | 35 | | T/O |
| 15 Jun 85 | TWA | B727 | 1 | 153 | Т | G |
| 23 Jun 85 | Air India | B747-237B | 307 | 307 | Т | ER |
| 23 Nov 85 | Egyptair | B737 | 58 | 98 | Т | G |
| 2 Apr 86 | TWA | B727 | 4 | 121 | Т | ER |
| 3 May 86 | Air Lanka | Tristar | 16 | 101 | Т | G |
| 31 Aug 86 | Aeromexico | DC-9 | 58 | 58 | | AA |

Table 3.5 Accident List--International Airlines, 1976-1986

Remarks: Tindicates terrorist activity or hijacking H indicates hostile action

Phase: AA--Airport Approach

App--Approach

C--Climb **ER--Enroute** G--Ground L--Landing

RA--Runway Approach T/O--Takeoff

^{*} indicates where only combined crew + passenger total was known--number of crew was estimated and subtracted to give number indicated.

PAX TYPE OF PAX DATE **AIRLINE** ON RMKS **PHASE AIRCRAFT DEAD BOARD** 5 Sep 86 Pan Am B747 16 398 T G 25 Dec 86 59* T Iraqi Airways B737 ER 91

Table 3.5 Accident List--International Airlines, 1976-1986

Remarks: T indicates terrorist activity or hijacking H indicates hostile action

Phase: AA--Airport Approach

App--Approach

C--Climb ER--Enroute G--Ground L--Landing

RA--Runway Approach T/O--Takeoff

^{*} indicates where only combined crew + passenger total was known--number of crew was estimated and subtracted to give number indicated.

| AIRLINE | N: 76-80 | CFQ | N: 81-86 | CFQ |
|-----------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| Aer Lingus | 126,938 | 0 | 162,286 | 0 |
| Air Canada | 210,497 | 0 | 231,414 | .5610 |
| Air France | 651,436 | .0083 | 693,646 | 0 |
| Alitalia | 329,010 | 0 | 319,902 | 0 |
| British Airways | 699,800 | 1.0000 | 755,854 | 0 |
| Iberia | 326,609 | 0 | 406,839 | .5833 |
| KLM | 314,079 | 0 | 374,603 | 0 |
| Lufthansa | 507,509 | 0 | 686,456 | 0 |
| Pan American | 373,757 | 0 | 420,002 | .0402 |
| Sabena | 224,487 | 0 | 249,235 | 0 |
| SAS | 474,846 | 0 | 578,288 | 0 |
| Swissair | 440,572 | .0986 | 451,321 | 0 |
| TWA | 112,986 | 0 | 105,718 | .0413 |
| TOTALS | 4,792,526 | 1.1069 | 5,435,564 | 1.2258 |
| AFQs | 1:4,329,683 | | 1:4,434,299 | |

Table 3.7 Large International Airlines -- BAS Study

| AIRLINE | N: 76-80 | CFQ | N: 81-86 | CFQ |
|---|-----------------------------|--------|----------|--------|
| Aeromexico | 54,902 | 0 | 82,041 | 1.0000 |
| Air India | 75,462 | 1.0000 | 88,135 | 1.1717 |
| Argentinas (Aerolineas Argentina) | 87,178 | 0 | 83,380 | 0 |
| AUA (Austria) | 121,829 | 0 | 162,922 | 0 |
| Avianca (Colombia) | 42,276 | 0 | 52,918 | .9360 |
| East African | Not in digest of statistics | | | |
| Egyptair | 66,669 | 1.0000 | 105,097 | .6122 |
| EIAI | 47,853 | .0033 | 59,220 | 0 |
| Ethiopian | 31,174 | 0 | 40,059 | 0 |
| Finnair | 112,025 | 0 | 145,412 | 0 |
| Iran Air | 49,150 | 0 | 23,448 | 0 |
| JAL (Japan) | 165,160 | .3623 | 219,835 | 0 |
| JAT (Yugoslavia) | 106,608 | 0 | 101,898 | 0 |
| Lan Chile | 30,506 | 0 | 24,695 | 0 |
| Loftleider (now Icelandair) | 24,108 | 0 | 27,492 | 0 |
| LOT (Poland) | 81,658 | 1.0000 | 66,128 | 0 |
| Malev (Hungary) | 58,011 | .4667 | 99,826 | 0 |
| Nigeria Airways | 25,973 | 0 | 38,734 | 0 |
| Olympic | 80,364 | 0 | 105,389 | 0 |
| PIA (Pakistan) | 74,329 | 1.0000 | 91,468 | 0 |
| PAL (Philippines) | 31,993 | 0 | 58,414 | 0 |
| Qantas | 83,511 | 0 | 103,318 | 0 |
| SAA (South Africa) | 57,226 | 0 | 61,618 | 0 |

Table 3.8 Small International Airlines--BAS Study

| AIRLINE | N: 76-80 | CFQ | N: 81-86 | CFQ |
|----------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| TAP Air Portugal | 58,788 | 0 | 75,957 | 0 |
| THY (Turkey) | 35,892 | .0100 | 47,449 | 0 |
| Varig (Brazil) | 62,428 | 0 | 69,070 | 0 |
| Viasa (Venezuela) | 50,842 | 0 | 60,671 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 1,715,915 | 4.8423 | 2,094,594 | 3.7199 |
| AFQs | 1:354,359 | | 1:563,078 | |

Table 3.8 Small International Airlines--BAS Study

| AIRLINES | N: 76-80 | CFQ | N: 81-86 | CFQ |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| Aer Lingus | 126,938 | 0 | 162,286 | 0 |
| Air Canada | 210,497 | 0 | 231,414 | .5610 |
| Air France | 651,436 | .0083 | 693,646 | 0 |
| Air UK | 118,195 | 0 | 122,439 | 0 |
| Alitalia | 329,010 | 0 | 319,902 | 0 |
| American | 139,019 | 0 | 180,682 | 0 |
| AUA (Austria) | 121,829 | 0 | 162,922 | 0 |
| British Airways | 669,800 | 1.0000 | 755,854 | 0 |
| British Caledonian | 102,800 | 0 | 134,464 | 0 |
| Eastern | 183,083 | 0 | 255,399 | 1.0000 |
| Finnair | 112,025 | 0 | 145,412 | 0 |
| Gulf Air | 145,102 | 0 | 218,578 | 1.0000 |
| Iberia (Spain) | 326,609 | 0 | 406,839 | .5833 |
| JAL (Japan) | 165,160 | .3623 | 219,835 | 0 |
| KLM | 314,079 | 0 | 374,603 | 0 |
| Lufthansa | 507,509 | 0 | 686,456 | 0 |
| Pan Am | 373,757 | 0 | 420,002 | .0402 |
| Sabena | 224,487 | 0 | 249,235 | 0 |
| SAS | 474,846 | 0 | 578,288 | 0 |
| Saudia | 86,315 | .0069 | 136,394 | 0 |
| SIA (Singapore) | 148,763 | 0 | 186,541 | 0 |
| Swissair | 440,572 | .0986 | 451,321 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 6,001,831 | 1.4761 | 7,092,512 | 3.1845 |
| AFQs | 1:4,066,006 | | 1:2,227,198 | |

Table 3.9 Large International Airlines -- Entire Group

| AIRLINE | N: 76-80 | CFQ | N: 81-86 | CFQ |
|---|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| Aerolin Dominicanas (Dominican Republic) | 3,200 | 0 | 2,889 | 0 |
| Aerolineas Argentina | 87,178 | 0 | 83,380 | 0 |
| Aeromexico | 54,902 | 0 | 82,041 | 1.0000 |
| Aeroperu | 17,407 | 0 | 19,850 | 0 |
| Air Afrique (Yaounde Treaty States) | 58,312 | 0 | 65,888 | 0 |
| Air India | 75,462 | 1.0000 | 88,135 | 1.1717 |
| Air Jamaica | 39,712 | 0 | 36,245 | 0 |
| Air Lanka | 13,628 | 0 | 32,354 | .1584 |
| Air Madagascar | 7,882 | 0 | 5,833 | 0 |
| Air Malawi | 8,312 | 0 | 13,017 | 0 |
| Air Malta | 16,285 | 0 | 25,888 | 0 |
| Air Mauritius | 12,187 | 0 | 31,499 | 0 |
| Air New Zealand | 38,148 | 0 | 40,865 | 0 |
| Air Niugini (Papua New Guinea) | 8,320 | 0 | 10,308 | 0 |
| Air Panama | 15,852 | 0 | 16,770 | 0 |
| Air Zaire | 11,909 | 0 | 10,021 | 0 |
| Alia (Jordan) | 51,762 | .8571 | 88,926 | 0 |
| Alisarda (Italy) | 1,429 | 0 | 2,098 | 0 |
| ALM (Netherlands Antilles) | 21,516 | 0 | 28,999 | 0 |
| ARCO (Uruguay) | 8,829 | 0 | 10,464 | 0 |
| Ariana (Afghanistan) | 10,922 | 0 | 8,023 | 0 |
| Avianca (Colombia) | 42,276 | 0 | 52,918 | .9360 |
| Aviateca (Guatemala) | 21,331 | 0 | 13,069 | 0 |

Table 3.10 Small International Airlines--Entire Group

| AIRLINE | N: 76-80 | CFQ | N: 81-86 | CFQ |
|--|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| Bangladesh-Biman | 14,732 | 0 | 23,193 | 0 |
| British Midland | 19,006 | 0 | 19,372 | 0 |
| BWIA (Trinidad Tobago) | 47,335 | 0 | 64,141 | 0 |
| Cameroon | 9,060 | 0 | 9,233 | 0 |
| Caribbean (Barbados) | 2,579 | 0 | 2,436 | 0 |
| Cathay Pacific (Hong Kong) | 94,357 | 0 | 124,140 | 0 |
| CDA (Dominicana- Dominican Republic) | 19,982 | 0 | 34,127 | 0 |
| COPA (Panama) | 18,725 | 0 | 23,170 | 0 |
| CP Air (Canada) | 45,218 | 0 | 53,685 | 0 |
| Cruzeiro (Brazil) | 22,658 | 0 | 21,816 | 0 |
| CSA (Czechoslovakia) | 62,883 | 0 | 77,336 | 0 |
| Cubana | 7,322 | 1.0000 | 10,068 | 1.0000 |
| Cyprus | 26,828 | 0 | 35,664 | 0 |
| Dan-Air (UK) | 32,328 | 0 | 59,567 | 0 |
| Delta | 44,508 | 0 | 55,402 | 0 |
| Ecuatoriana (Ecuador) | 22,781 | 0 | 28,335 | 0 |
| Egyptair | 66,669 | 1.0000 | 105,097 | .6122 |
| Ethiopian | 31,174 | 0 | 40,059 | 0 |
| ELAI | 47,853 | .0033 | 59,220 | 0 |
| Faucett (Peru) | 2,386 | 0 | 4,034 | 0 |
| Frontier | 13,704 | 0 | 32,707 | 0 |
| Garuda (Indonesia) | 59,426 | 0 | 78,690 | 0 |
| Ghanair | 15,501 | 0 | 13,154 | O |

Table 3.10 Small International Airlines--Entire Group

| AIRLINE | N: 76-80 | CFQ | N: 81-86 | CFQ |
|----------------------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| Icelandair | 24,108 | 0 | 27,492 | 0 |
| Indian Airlines | 25,926 | .0157 | 35,436 | 0 |
| Iran Air | 49,150 | 0 | 23,448 | 0 |
| Iraqi Airways | 37,338 | 0 | 38,823 | .6483 |
| JAT (Yugoslavia) | 106,608 | 0 | 101,898 | 0 |
| KAL (Rep. of Korea) | 78,283 | .0610 | 112,385 | 1.0000 |
| Kuwait Airways | 59,250 | 0 | 84,299 | 0 |
| LAB (Bolivia) | 15,760 | 0 | 20,926 | 0 |
| LACSA (Costa Rica) | 35,267 | 0 | 36,233 | 0 |
| LADECO (Chile) | 2,160 | 0 | 9,724 | 0 |
| Lan Chile | 30,506 | 0 | 24,695 | 0 |
| LAV (Venezuela) | 12,118 | 0 | 16,734 | 0 |
| Libyan Arab | 22,730 | 0 | 39,075 | 0 |
| LOT (Poland) | 81,658 | 1.0000 | 66,128 | 0 |
| Malev (Hungary) | 58,011 | .4667 | 99,826 | 0 |
| MAS (Malaysia) | 78,815 | 0 | 136,488 | 0 |
| MEA (Lebanon) | 65,038 | 1.0000 | 76,689 | 0 |
| Merpati Nusantara (Indonesia) | 2,551 | 0 | 636 | 0 |
| Mexicana | 73,101 | 0 | 92,727 | 0 |
| NLM (Netherlands) | 47,231 | 0 | 76,181 | 0 |
| Nigeria Airways | 25,973 | 0 | 38,734 | 0 |
| Nordair (Canada) | 6,507 | 0 | 14,658 | 0 |
| Northwest | 59,338 | 0 | 103,707 | 0 |
| Olympic | 80,364 | 0 | 105,389 | 0 |
| Pacific Western (Canada) | 16,248 | 0 | 10,707 | 0 |
| PAL (Philippines) | 31,993 | 0 | 58,414 | 0 |

Table 3.10 Small International Airlines--Entire Group

| AIRLINE | N: 76-80 | CFQ | N: 81-86 | CFQ |
|------------------------------|----------|--------|----------|-------|
| PIA (Pakistan) | 74,329 | 1.0000 | 91,468 | 0 |
| Pluna (Uruguay) | 30,941 | 0 | 25,889 | 0 |
| Qantas | 83,511 | 0 | 103,318 | 0 |
| Republic | 71,939 | 0 | 62,169 | 0 |
| Royal Air Maroc (Morocco) | 81,451 | 0 | 80,861 | 0 |
| Royal Nepai | 11,885 | 0 | 19,785 | 0 |
| SAA (South Africa) | 57,226 | 0 | 61,618 | 0 |
| SAHSA (Honduras) | 32,658 | 0 | 34,894 | 0 |
| SAM (Colombia) | 5,019 | 0 | 5,544 | 0 |
| Syrian Arab | 35,455 | 0 | 38,995 | 0 |
| TAAG-Angola | 4,020 | 0 | 11,117 | 0 |
| TAC (Thailand) | 5,698 | 0 | 11,847 | 0 |
| TACA (El Salvador) | 52,575 | 0 | 53,841 | 0 |
| TAN (Honduras) | 15,352 | 0 | 18,605 | 0 |
| TAP Air Portugal | 58,788 | 0 | 75,957 | 0 |
| Tarom (Romania) | 43,946 | .0066 | 30,172 | 0 |
| Thai Internartional | 70,026 | 0 | 116,934 | 0 |
| THY (Turkey) | 35,892 | .01 | 47,449 | 0 |
| Tunis Air | 52,036 | 0 | 73,113 | 0 |
| TWA | 112,986 | 0 | 105,718 | .0413 |
| United | 23,654 | 0 | 52,000 | 0 |
| US Air | 57,617 | 0 | 76,595 | 0 |
| UTA (France) | 77,010 | 0 | 63,228 | 0 |
| Varig (Brazil) | 62,428 | 0 | 69,070 | 0 |
| Viasa (Venezuela) | 50,842 | 0 | 60,671 | 0 |
| Western | 71,589 | .8182 | 86,877 | 0 |
| Zambia Airways | 12,073 | 0 | 11,746 | 0 |

Table 3.10 Small International Airlines--Entire Group

| AIRLINE | N: 76-80 | CFQ | N: 81-86 | CFQ |
|---------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| TOTALS | 3,789,158 | 8.2386 | 4,713,491 | 6.5679 |
| AFQs | 1:459,927 | | 1:717,656 | |

Table 3.10 Small International Airlines--Entire Group

| AIRLINE | N: 77-81 | CFQ | N: 82-86 | CFQ |
|---|--------------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| American | 1,653,904 | 1.0000 | 1,835,573 | 0 |
| Braniff | 945,999 | 0 | 120,231 | 0 |
| Continental | 699,667 | .0109 | 800,845 | 0 |
| Delta | 2,614,593 | 0 | 2,549,287 | .8258 |
| Eastern | 2,586,363 | 0 | 2,448,540 | 0 |
| Frontier | 947,659 | 0 | 609,264 | 0 |
| Republic (from Hughes, North Central and Southern) | 2,507,926 | .7407 | 2,001,056 | .0333 |
| Pan Am (including National) | 552,508 | .0577 | 314,304 | 1.0000 |
| Northwest | 716,747 | 0 | 762,078 | 0 |
| Ozark | 665,468 | 0 | 552,355 | 0 |
| Piedmont | 861,221 | 0 | 1,330,015 | 0 |
| Texas International | 436,746 | 0 | merged with Continental Oct 82 | |
| TWA | 1,257,390 | 0 | 953,697 | 0 |
| United | 2,396,797 | .0452 | 2,308,000 | 0 |
| US Air (Allegheny) | 1,399,814 | .0454 | 1,575,562 | 0 |
| Western | 689,832 | 0 | 723,300 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 20,932,625 | 1.8999 | 18,882,107 | 1.8591 |
| AFQs | 1:11,017,750 | | 1:10,156,585 | |

Table 3.11 U.S. Domestic Airlines--BAS Study

| | | | i a |
|--|-----------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| AIRLINE | N: 79-86 | N includes estimate for 1986? | CFQ |
| Air Florida | 180,286 | no | .9459 |
| Air One | 6,005 | no | 0 |
| America West | 142,998 | yes | 0 |
| Arrow Air | 9,176 | no | 0 |
| Capitol | 20,225 | no | 0 |
| Florida Express | 45,776 | yes | 0 |
| Jet America | 20,581 | no | 0 |
| Midway Metrolink | 189,936 | yes | 0 |
| Midwest Express | 11,435 | yes | 1.0000 |
| Muse Air | 151,804 | yes | 0 |
| New York Air | 232,357 | yes | 0 |
| Northeastern International Airways | 60,077 | no | 0 |
| Pacific East Air | 1,751 | no | 0 |
| People Express | 523,311 | yes | 0 |
| Sunworld | 30,835 | yes | 0 |
| Transamerica | 1,311 | thru Oct | 0 |
| World | 44,562 | yes | .0102 |
| TOTALS | 1,672,426 | | 1.9561 |
| AFQ | 1:854,980 | | |

Table 3.12 U. S. Domestic Airlines--New Entrant Jet Carriers

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS

I will now present the results of this study by first addressing the international data and then the U.S. domestic findings. I will wrap up the chapter with a comparison of U.S. domestic to international airline records.

4.1 International Airlines

In this section, I will discuss the results from the 39 airlines of the original BAS study, and follow up with a discussion of the results from the entire international fleet.

4.1.1 International Airlines--BAS Study

First, I considered the international airlines from the BAS study as a group, and computed the AFQs in the form 1 in X. To look at the results from a historical perspective, I have placed the findings from the BAS study side by side with my results in Table 4.1.

One can see remarkable improvement throughout the 27-year period studied and most notably from the mid 1970s to the mid 1980s. These results are significant in both absolute and relative terms. In the 27-year period, the international carriers have seen a nearly tenfold improvement, while the most recent decade has been characterized by a nearly fivefold improvement in

| Period | Chance of Being Killed on a Flight |
|---------|---------------------------------------|
| 1960-64 | 1 in 163,000 |
| 1965-70 | 1 in 366,000 |
| 1971-75 | 1 in 340,000 |
| 1976-80 | 1 in 1,094,000 |
| 1981-86 | 1 in 1,523,000 |

Table 4.1 Chance of Being Killed on a Flight--International Airlines, BAS Study

fatality rate. In relative terms, the fatality risk has dropped 89% over the entire period of study, and 77% over the last ten years alone.

After separating these airlines into groups by traffic level as in the BAS study, one arrives at the results in Table 4.2.

| Airline Size | 1960-64 | 1965-70 | 1971-75 | 1976-80 | 1981-86 |
|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Large | 1: 271,000 | 1: 512,000 | 1: 616,000 | 1: 4,330,000 | 1: 4,434,000 |
| Small | 1: 53,000 | 1: 168,000 | 1: 134,000 | 1: 354,000 | 1: 563,000 |

Table 4.2 Chance of Being Killed on a Flight--International Airlines, Grouped by Size, BAS Study

Again, one sees substantial improvement within each group over the entire period, but most particularly from the mid '70s to mid '80s. The large international group enjoyed the greatest improvement in absolute terms, sixteenfold over the entire period of study, and sevenfold over the last decade,

while the small carriers improved by a factor of ten over the entire period and a factor of four in the last ten years.

Examining the difference in improvement between the large and small international airlines in percentage terms, however, shows the large and small carriers to be more alike. The fatality rate for the large internationals dropped 94% over the 27 year period and 86% from the mid '70s to the mid '80s, while the small internationals showed a 91% decrease over the entire period and a 76% decrease in fatality rate in the last decade.

4.1.2 International Airlines--Entire Group

By combining the values for the CFQs and N from Tables 3.9 and 3.10, I arrived at an AFQ of 1:1,007,853 for 76-80, and 1:1,210,574 for 81-86 for the entire group of 122 international airlines. It is interesting to note that although the entire group is responsible for over 50% more traffic than the 39 from the BAS study, the fatality rate is remarkably similar.

I then separated the 122 international airlines into large and small groups based on an average activity level of 20,000 flights per year or more for the large group, and less than that for the small group. The small airlines had an AFQ of approximately 1:460,000 from 1976-80 and 1:718,000 from 1981-86. Their record is rather close to that of the small airlines in the original BAS study. The large airlines, however, provide a little different story.

In the period 1976-80, the entire group of large international carriers had an AFQ of 1:4,066,000 which was quite close to the group from the BAS study. On the other hand, for 1981-86, their AFQ was 1:2,227,000. In trying to

determine whether this apparent downturn in safety is statistically significant, one must consider the volatility of the data being studied. Given the fact that fatal airline crashes are quite rare, chance alone can cause large fluctuations in the AFQs. For example, if one fully fatal crash in the entire group of large internationals were to have occurred in the period 76-80 rather than 81-86, the AFQs would have been 1:2,424,000 for the first period, and 1:3,247,000 for the second. An improvement rather than an apparent decline would have been indicated! This same volatility could easily be responsible for the apparent stagnation in improvement in safety levels in the large internationals of the BAS study from the period 76-80 to the period 81-86.

Finally, I ranked the 122 international airlines from worst to best in terms of AFQs from 1976-86 in Table 4.3. I have noted the industry average for the internationals of the BAS study during the period 1971-75 on the table. In their study, BAS found that "the best airlines tended to be fairly large and/or from technologically advanced countries," with some exceptions [1]. In looking at Table 4.3, one sees a strikingly similar pattern. All of the airlines with poorer observed records than the industry average for the previous period (1971-75), are small airlines. Also, with one exception (Western), they are from countries which are technologically less advanced. One must keep in mind however, that there are many small airlines which maintained a perfect record during the period. Whether these perfect records are attributable to a fine performance in terms of safety or just luck, given the scarcity of flights, would be very difficult to determine.

In general, the dichotomy between the large and small international carriers of the BAS study continued into the recent years and also seems to hold

| AIRLINE | N (in thousands) | CFQ | AFQ (as odds) |
|---|------------------|------|---------------|
| Cubana | 17 | 2.00 | 1:8,500 |
| Air India | 164 | 2.17 | 1:76,500 |
| Avianca (Colombia) | 95 | 0.94 | 1:101,100 |
| Egyptair | 172 | 1.61 | 1:106,800 |
| Iraqi Airways | 76 | 0.65 | 1:116,900 |
| · Aeromexico | 137 | 1.00 | 1:137,000 |
| MEA (Lebanon) | 142 | 1.00 | 1:142,000 |
| LOT (Poland) | 148 | 1.00 | 1:148,000 |
| Alia (Jordan) | 141 | 0.86 | 1:164,000 |
| PIA (Pakistan) | 166 | 1.00 | 1:166,000 |
| KAL (Rep. of Korea) | 191 | 1.06 | 1:180,000 |
| Western | 158 | 0.82 | 1:192,700 |
| Air Lanka | 46 | 0.16 | 1:287,000 |
| Malev (Hungary) | 158 | 0.47 | 1:338,500 |
| International Airline AFQ, 1971- 75 | | | 1:340,000 |
| Gulf Air (Gulf States) | 364 | 1.00 | 1:364,000 |
| Eastern | 438 | 1.00 | 1:438,000 |
| Air Canada | 442 | 0.56 | 1:789,300 |
| JAL (Japan) | 385 | 0.36 | 1:1,069,400 |
| Iberia (Spain) | 733 | 0.58 | 1:1,263,800 |
| British Airways | 1,456 | 1.00 | 1:1,456,000 |
| Indian Airlines | 61 | 0.02 | 1:3,050,000 |
| TWA | 219 | 0.04 | 1:5,475,000 |
| Tarom (Romania) | 74 | 0.01 | 1:7,400,000 |
| THY (Turkey) | 83 | 0.01 | 1:8,300,000 |
| Swissair | 892 | 0.10 | 1:8,920,000 |

Table 4.3 Worst to Best Listing of International Airlines--Entire Group

| AIRLINE | N (in thousands) | CFQ | AFQ (as odds) |
|---|------------------|-------|---------------|
| Pan Am | 794 | 0.04 | 1:19,850,000 |
| Saudia | 223 | 0.01 | 1:22,300,000 |
| ELAI | 107 | 0.003 | 1:35,666,700 |
| Air France | 1,345 | 0.01 | 1:134,500,000 |
| All remaining airlines have a CFQ of 0. | | | |

Table 4.3 Worst to Best Listing of International Airlines--Entire Group

true for the entire fleet of international airlines. One cannot, and should not say that, merely because an international airline is small, it is less safe than the large ones. Certainly the 25-year accident free records of Qantas and Finnair provide no motivation for saying so. Nevertheless, one cannot deny that as a group, the small international airlines' safety record is considerably poorer than that of the larger international carriers. It has been argued that the small carriers are at a disadvantage because a single crash has a more profound effect on their safety records [7]. But this assertion misses the point that the "disadvantage" is balanced by a corresponding drop in the number of opportunities to have an accident.

I would like to turn my attention now to the U.S. domestic scene.

4.2 U.S. Domestic Airlines

I will first examine the results from the airlines of the original study and then I will take a hard look at the "children of deregulation."

4.2.1 U.S. Domestic Airlines--BAS Study

Again, I will put my results together with those of the original study in Table 4.4.

As with the international fleet, the U.S. domestic airlines have shown a marked improvement over the entire (in this case, 30 year) period, with the most notable change happening in the last 10 years.

| Period | Chance of Being Killed on a Flight |
|---------|---------------------------------------|
| 1957-61 | 1 in 988,000 |
| 1962-66 | 1 in 1,087,000 |
| 1967-71 | 1 in 2,064,000 |
| 1972-76 | 1 in 2,599,000 |
| 1977-81 | 1 in 11,018,000 |
| 1982-86 | 1 in 10,157,000 |

Table 4.4 Chance of Being Killed on a Flight--U.S. Domestic Airlines, BAS Study

In absolute terms, one can see a tenfold improvement over the entire period, and a fourfold improvement in the last ten years. This becomes a 90% drop in fatality rate over the 30-year period, and a 74% drop in the most recent decade. When one considers that the U.S. domestic airlines started the period of study with the most favorable AFQ (three times better than the nearest competitor), these percentage improvements are all the more impressive. One could attribute the apparent level off in the rate of improvement from the second to the last period to chance fluctuations as I explained with the large international carriers.

Since the last two periods (77-81 and 82-86) include the post-deregulation period, it would certainly seem that deregulation has not had a detrimental effect on the well-established trunk carriers. The record isn't nearly as favorable, however, for the new entrant jet carriers.

4.2.2 New Entrant Jet Carriers

It is interesting to contrast the established trunk carriers' AFQ of 1:10,600,000 during 1977-86 with the record of the new entrant jet carriers, born of deregulation. As one can see in Table 3.10, the new entrant jet carriers have earned an unenviable AFQ of 1:854,980 over their brief lifetimes. Their record is clearly somewhat worse than that of the established U.S. domestic airlines. In fact, it is worse than the other U.S. domestics by a factor of 12. It would seem that the new entrant jet carriers' record has more in common with the worst group (small internationals--1:718,000) than with the U.S. domestics with whom they share a working environment. Again, one should evaluate whether the difference between the records of the new entrant jet carriers and the established U.S. domestics could be explained by random fluctuations alone. As I have noted before, the data is quite volatile, but even removing a fully fatal crash from the period in question would only improve the new entrants' AFQ to 1:1,749,217, still six times less safe than the established carriers.

I would now like to look at how likely the new entrants' safety record would be if they were as safe as the U.S. domestic airlines which were established before deregulation. To do this, one needs the AFQ for the established U.S. domestics (1:10,600,000), and the number of flights of the new entrants, let's call it M (= 1,672,000).

In their analysis [1], BAS pointed out that the AFQ is approximately equal to the probability that any given flight will experience a major crash (i.e., a crash with few, if any, survivors). They also stated that, under the equal safety hypothesis, an airline's CFQ should be roughly Poisson distributed with mean equal to, in this case

$$M \times AFQ(group) = (1,672,000) \times (\frac{1}{10,600,000}) = .158$$

So, the probability of k₀ major crashes is roughly equal to

$$p_k(k_0) = \frac{(.158)^k e^{-.158}}{k_0!}, \ k_0 = 0.1.2,...$$

The chance of zero major crashes in the approximately 1,657,000 flights flown by the new entrants was

$$p_r(k_0) = e^{-.158} = .854$$

The chance of one or fewer major crashes was

$$\sum_{k_0=0}^{1} p_k(k_0) = e^{-.158} + (.158)e^{-.158} = .989$$

This means that the actual event which did occur (the equivalent of at least two major crashes) had only about one percent chance of occurring if the new entrant jet carriers were as safe as the established U.S. domestics. Indeed, if the Arrow Air crash of December 1985 had not been excluded because the flight was a military charter, the computed chance that the new entrants were as safe as the established domestics would be approximately one tenth of one percent!

Looking at individual carrier records, Midwest Express, with an AFQ of 1:11,435, is second only to Cubana on the worst to best listing on Table 4.3. Air Florida, with an AFQ of 1:190,597, is in a position roughly equivalent to the 11th worst international carrier. Seeing that these two airlines are almost

solely responsible for the poor record of the new entrants makes one wonder if any conclusion can be made about the group on the whole. Certainly, People Express, New York Air and Midway Airlines have maintained a perfect record while flying a high volume of traffic. Actually, with the limitations that these sparse data impose, one cannot determine whether the group of new entrants is homogeneous with respect to their safety behavior.

Then what can one say about the impact (if any) of deregulation on safety? As I had mentioned in Chapter Two, the government has ordered continuing safety studies since deregulation, partially because they were concerned about a shrinking FAA inspector force handling a rapidly growing number of air carriers. These studies have always shown an improvement in airline safety in the U.S. since deregulation. In fact, the U.S. improvement in airline safety over the last two periods studied has been so dramatic, that when you average in the data for the (relatively small) new entrant jet carriers, it has little effect on the apparently improved safety levels. I have shown in this study, however, that when the new entrants are considered as a separate group, one sees a very different story. Rather than appearing as the best in terms of safety records as do the more established airlines which operate in the very same system, they have a record in competition with the worst airlines as a group. It would seem that the positive performance of the established carriers in the U.S. has masked the relatively poor safety performance of the "children of deregulation."

4.3 Comparison of U.S. Domestic Airlines with International Airlines

The original study cites that overall, the U.S. domestic airlines were safer (in terms of fatality rate) by a factor of four than the large internationals which were, in turn, four times safer than the small internationals [1].

I tested the hypothesis that the ratio of fatality rates of the U.S. domestic carriers to those of the large international airlines were equal to four over the entire period. I performed the same test on the ratio of the large international fatality rates to those of the small international airlines. Although the periods studied for the U.S. domestics did not precisely coincide with those of the internationals, there is sufficient overlap to provide a basis for comparison.

The lack of a distinct trend (increasing or decreasing) in the ratios obtained tended to support the nomality assumption required for the one sample *t*-test. Strictly speaking, the ratios are not normal random variables, but the probabilistic behavior of the *t* ratio is often only minimally affected by the nonnormality of the population being sampled. [3].

When I tested these ratios over the period of both studies using a one-sample *t*-test, I found both of them to be essentially constant at four at any usual significance level (.05, .10, and .01).

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSIONS AND EXTENSIONS

5.1 Conclusions

An important consideration in analyzing the results of this study is the fact that (fortunately) the data are so sparse. While it may be difficult to draw hard and fast conclusions, the consistent trends offer compelling evidence of a continuing, statistically significant improvement in airline safety overall since the beginning of the jet age.

Specifically, this study has led me to conclude that:

- 1) In the past quarter of a century, all major segments of the airline industry have experienced a nearly 90% drop in fatality rate.
- 2) This continuing improvement has been most marked in the last decade with a minimum of 74% improvement in all groups.
- 3) The dichotomy in safety levels between the small and large international carriers, with the small internationals lagging behind their larger counterparts by a factor of approximately four, has continued throughout the entire 25-year period studied. The results from the entire international fleet of 122 airlines for the past ten years were consistent with the results from the 40 selected carriers studied over the 25-year period.

4) The extraordinary improvement by the safest segment studied (the established U.S. domestics) seems to have masked the negative impact of the poor safety record of the "children" of deregulation. In fact, the U.S. domestic airlines are still safer than the large international airlines by around a factor of four.

5.2 Extensions

entrant jet carriers through another five year period or two, but that will probably not be possible. There has been a process of attrition among the new entrants, Air Florida, Arrow Air, and People Express to name a few. As a case in point, Continental has plans to absorb New York Air and People Express by February 1, 1987. It would seem that the new entrants were a transient phenomenon. Given that their presence did not visibly harm the safety records of the major U.S. carriers, we can be hopeful that the new entrants will exert no "residual harm" after they disappear.

The other aspect for future study would be to see if the essentially constant improvement trend continues in each major division of airlines studied here. Some authors suggest that there is a limiting effect, putting a ceiling on the level of airline safety attainable. One would think that if that were the case, the most progressive airlines in terms of safety would reach that ceiling first, namely the long established U. S. domestic airline. It will also be interesting to see if the U. S. airlines maintain their relative position with respect to the large

and small international airlines.

REFERENCES

- Barnett, Arnold; Abraham, Michael; Schimmel, Victor; "Airline Safety: Some Empirical Findings," <u>Management Science</u> 25 (November 1979): 1045-1056.
- 2. Endres, Gunter G., ed. <u>World Airline Fleets '85</u>. London: Aviation Data Centre, Ltd., 1985.
- 3. Larsen, Richard J. and Marx, Morris L., <u>An Introduction to Mathematical</u>

 <u>Statistics and its Applications</u>. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1986.
- 4. Martin, Paul K., ed. <u>The Airline Handbook</u>. Cranston, RI: Aerotravel Research, 1984.
- 5. Meyer, John R. and Oster, Clinton V., <u>Deregulation and the New Airline</u>

 <u>Entrepreneurs</u>. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1984.
- 6. Ramsden, J.M., "National Records Compared," <u>Flight International</u>, 20 January 1972, pp. 188-191.
- 7. Ramsden, J.M., "World Airline Safety Audit," <u>Flight International</u>, 26 Jan 1985, pp. 29-34.

- 8. <u>Air Carrier Traffic Statistics</u>, published monthly by U. S. Civil Aeronautics Board, various editions 1977-1986.
- 9. <u>Annual Report on the Effect of Airline Deregulation on the Level of Air Safety</u>. Department of Transportation. Washington, D.C.,: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1986.
- 10. Aviation Week and Space Technology, various issues 1976-1986.
- 11. <u>Flight International</u>, annual air safety summaries in late January issues and various issues 1976-1986.
- 12. Official Airline Guide, North American Edition, published bimonthly by Reuben H. Donnelley, various issues 1977-1986.
- 13. Official Airline Guide, Worldwide Edition, published bimonthly by ReubenH. Donnelley, various issues 1976-1986.
- 14. The New York Times, various issues 1976-1986.
- 15. <u>Traffic</u>, ICAO Digest of Statistics, Series T, various editions 1976-1980.
- 16. <u>World Airline Accident Summary</u>, published quarterly by U.K. Civil Aviation Authority, various editions 1976-1986.

APPENDIX

Table A.1 Number of Flights (Departures) for Formerly Intrastate Carriers, 1977-1981

| Airline | 1977* | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
|-------------|--------|------|--------|--------|---------|
| Air Cal | 39,364 | DNR | 44,410 | 46,977 | 57,171 |
| Air Florida | 6,620 | DNR | 20,822 | 36,404 | 48,318 |
| PSA | 77,012 | DNR | 90,417 | 70,068 | 75,076 |
| Southwest | 29,245 | DNR | 70,818 | 91,143 | 140,029 |

*1977 Data were extracted from June 1, 1977 Official Airline Guide, North American Edition. They assume 100% completion of scheduled flights

DNR = Data Not Reported

1979-1981 Data were extracted from Table A.6

| AIRLINE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Aer Lingus | 24,593 | 24,326 | 24,904 | 24,497 | 25,618 |
| Aerolin Dominicanas (Dominican Republic) | 640* | 640* | 640* | 640† | 640 |
| Aerolineas Argentina | 14,797 | 15,557 | 17,417 | 18,412 | 20,995 |
| Aeromexico | 11,061 | 9,813 | 10,253† | 10,692 | 13,083† |
| Aeroperu | 3,483 | 2,994 | 3,827 | 3,881 | 3,222 |
| Air Afrique (Yaounde Treaty States) | 12,688 | 11,546 | 11,299 | 11,599 | 11,180 |
| Air Canada | 42,365 | 38,402 | 40,208 | 43,363 | 46,161 |
| Air France | 121,728 | 129,951 | 138,737 | 136,851 | 124,169 |
| Air India | 13,953 | 15,543 | 15,170 | 14,929 | 15,867 |
| Air Jamaica | 7,739 | 7,129 | 7,631 | 8,842 | 8,371 |
| Air Lanka | 3,090 | 3,939 | 1,877 | 1,219 | 3,503 |
| Air Madagascar | 1,836 | 1,753 | 1,839 | 1,349 | 1,105 |
| Air Malawi | 1,699 | 1,734 | 2,000 | 1,512 | 1,367 |
| Air Malta | 2,430 | 2,522 | 3,366 | 3,629 | 4,338 |
| Air Mauritius | 1,034* | 1,034 | 2,223† | 3,412 | 4,484 |
| Air New Zealand | 7,710 | 7,163 | 7,475 | 7,544 | 8,256 |
| Air Niugini (Papua New Guinea) | 1,464 | 1,492 | 1,535 | 1,867 | 1,962 |
| Air Panama | 3,728 | 3,240 | 3,001 | 2,991 | 2,892 |
| Air UK | 17,892† | 20,788† | 23,497 | 31,296† | 24,722 |
| Air Zaire | 2,938 | 2,779 | 2,280 | 2,064† | 1,848† |
| Alia (Jordan) | 8,272 | 8,912 | 9,892 | 11,328 | 13,358 |

Table A.2 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1976-1980 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Alisarda (Italy) | 284 | 192 | 282 | 330 | 341 |
| Alitalia | 69,752 | 70,967 | 69,474 | 57,592 | 61,225 |
| ALM (Netherlands Antilles) | 3,060 | 4,937 | 4,614* | 4,614* | 4,291† |
| American | 23,174 | 26,846 | 28,569 | 29,748 | 30,682 |
| ARCO (Uruguay) | 1,512 | 1,512 | 1,556 | 1,935† | 2,314 |
| Ariana (Afghanistan) | 2,148 | 2,547 | 2,373 | 2,395 | 1,459 |
| AUA (Austria) | 22,146 | 23,077 | 25,006 | 25,270 | 26,330 |
| Avianca (Colombia) | 8,446 | 7,865 | 8,189 | 8,594 | 9,182 |
| Aviateca (Guatemala) | 4,541 | 4,954 | 4,361 | 4,259 | 3,216 |
| Bangladesh- Biman | 2,301 | 2,577 | 2,907 | 3,533 | 3,414 |
| British Airways | 131,966 | 130,054 | 141,725 | 149,871 | 146,184 |
| British Caledonian | 18,946 | 18,206 | 20,607 | 21,543 | 23,498 |
| British Midland | 3,563 | 3,783 | 4,669 | 3,931 | 3,120 |
| BWIA (Trinidad Tobago) | 8,054 | 10,574 | 6,061 | 10,357 | 12,289 |
| Cameroon | 2,064* | 2,064 | 1,400 | 1,644* | 1,888 |
| Caribbean (Barbados) | 467 | 574 | 648 | 586 | 304† |
| Cathay Pacific (Hong Kong) | 17,035 | 17,677 | 19,264 | 20,507 | 19,874 |

Table A.2 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1976-1980 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIDLING | 1076 | 1077 | 4070 | 1070 | 4000 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| AIRLINE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| CDA (Dominicana- Dominican Republic) | 2,924 | 3,696 | 4,130 | 4,616† | 4,616† |
| COPA (Panama) | 3,586 | 3,556 | 3,595 | 4,220 | 3,768 |
| CP Air (Canada) | 10,812 | 8,856 | 8,534 | 8,346 | 8,670 |
| Cruzeiro (Brazil) | 3,905 | 3,915 | 4,339 | 5,187 | 5,312 |
| CSA (Czechoslova- kia) | 12,333 | 12,462 | 12,722 | 13,163 | 12,203 |
| Cubana | 1,106 | 1,077 | 1,226 | 2,072 | 1,841† |
| Cyprus | 4,699 | 5,231 | 5,206 | 5,444 | 6,248 |
| Dan-Air (UK) | 4,621 | 5,833 | 6,239 | 7,855 | 7,780 |
| Delta | 8,484 | 8,526 | 9,607 | 9,017 | 8,874 |
| Eastern | 38,881 | 34,604 | 35,090 | 38,723 | 35,855 |
| Ecuatoriana (Ecuador) | 2,651 | 3,958 | 4,661 | 5,573 | 5,938 |
| Egyptair | 11,150 | 13,239 | 12,629 | 13,963 | 15,688 |
| Ethiopian | 6,597 | 6,567 | 5,962 | 6,081 | 5,967 |
| ELAI | 9,213 | 10,117 | 9,689 | 9,861 | 8,973 |
| Faucett (Peru) | 276 | 414 | 650 | 574 | 472 |
| Finnair | 21,903 | 21,074 | 22,052 | 22,927 | 24,069 |
| Frontier | 1,427 | 1,438 | 1,619 | 3,753 | 5,467 |
| Garuda (Indonesia) | 9,214 | 10,486 | 11,626 | 12,982 | 15,118 |
| Ghanair | 3,100 | 3,071 | 3,418 | 2,956† | 2,956† |
| Gulf Air (Gulf States) | 24,617 | 25,285 | 28,602 | 33,299* | 33,299* |
| Iberia (Spain) | 62,148 | 62,793 | 64,049 | 68,428 | 69,191 |

Table A.2 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1976-1980 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
|------------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Icelandair | 4,862 | 4,985 | 5,435 | 5,170 | 3,656 |
| Indian Airlines | 4,135 | 5,311 | 5,447 | 5,553 | 5,480 |
| Iran Air | 12,578 | 13,489 | 13,489 | 5,539 | 4,046 |
| Iraqi Airways | 6,253 | 7,737 | 8,087 | 8,544 | 6,717 |
| JAT (Yugoslavia) | 22,237 | 21,624 | 22,017 | 20,365† | 20,365* |
| JAL (Japan) | 28,120 | 30,056 | 33,256 | 36,347 | 37,381 |
| Japan Asia Airways | 2,416 | 3,797 | 3,806 | 3,886 | 4,437 |
| KLM | 56,977 | 58,395 | 66,850 | 66,665 | 65,192 |
| KAL (Rep. of Korea) | 12,277 | 12,966 | 14,754 | 16,452 | 21,834 |
| Kuwait Airways | 9,740 | 11,600 | 12,474 | 12,544 | 12,892 |
| LAB (Bolivia) | 2,107 | 2,816 | 3,178 | 3,975 | 3,684 |
| LACSA (Costa Rica) | 6,119 | 6,465 | 6,905 | 7,823 | 7,955† |
| LADECO (Chile) | 128 | 278 | 357 | 428 | 969 |
| Lan Chile | 6,349 | 6,466 | 5,998 | 5,564 | 6,129 |
| LAV (Venezuela) | 1,539 | 1,683 | 1,734 | 3,494 | 3,668 |
| Libyan Arab | 3,993 | 4,308 | 4,633 | 4,810* | 4,986 |
| LOT (Poland) | 14,008 | 15,264 | 16,781 | 17,823 | 17,782 |
| Lufthansa | 98,931 | 99,598 | 100,308 | 101,988 | 106,684 |
| Malev (Hungary) | 4,308 | 8,998† | 13,688 | 15,451 | 15,566 |
| MAS (Malaysia) | 13,978 | 14,958 | 15,456 | 16,031 | 18,392 |
| MEA (Lebanon) | 12,537* | 12,537 | 13,303 | 13,021 | 13,640 |

Table A.2 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1976-1980 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| Merpati Nusantara (Indonesia) | 524 | 522 | 684 | 562 | 259 |
| Mexicana | 11,366 | 12,231 | 14,426† | 16,621 | 18,457 |
| NLM (Netherlands) | 10,901 | 10,187 | 6,163 | 7,546 | 12,434 |
| Nigeria Airways | 4,180 | 4,422 | 4,561 | 5,512 | 7,298 |
| Nordair (Canada) | 981* | 981 | 1,048 | 1,082 | 2,415 |
| Northwest | 12,279 | 12,147 | 7,329 | 13,203 | 14,380 |
| Olympic | 16,828 | 16,242 | 17,384 | 16,420 | 13,490 |
| Pacific Western (Canada) | 3,063 | 2,939 | 2,951 | 3,441 | 3,854 |
| PAL (Philippines) | 5,834 | 4,580 | 5,435 | 7,193* | 8,951 |
| Pan Am | 81,910 | 78,966 | 73,523 | 72,701 | 66,657 |
| PIA (Pakistan) | 11,709 | 13,647 | 15,835 | 16,469 | 16,669 |
| Pluna (Uruguay) | 6,546 | 5,817 | 6,258† | 6,698 | 5,622* |
| Qantas | 18,835 | 17,345 | 16,254 | 15,426 | 15,651 |
| Republic | 13,444 | 15,008 | 13,949 | 14,014 | 15,524 |
| Royal Air Maroc (Morocco) | 17,240 | 17,105† | 16,970 | 16,095 | 14,041 |
| Royal Nepal | 1,784 | 2,295* | 2,295* | 2,806 | 2,705 |
| SAA (South Africa) | 10,843 | 10,912 | 11,611 | 11,975 | 11,885 |
| Sabena | 39,888 | 42,842 | 45,978 | 48,012 | 47,767 |
| SAHSA (Honduras) | 5,902 | 5,533 | 6,117 | 6,123 | 8,983 |

Table A.2 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1976-1980 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| SAM (Colombia) | 1,400 | 1,027 | 927 | 783 | 882 |
| SAS | 93,122 | 92,196 | 95,755 | 99,308 | 94,465 |
| Saudia | 12,890 | 15,456 | 17,414 | 19,277 | 21,278 |
| SIA (Singapore) | 25,724 | 27,486 | 30,254 | 32,833 | 32,466 |
| Swissair | 85,528 | 86,550 | 88,163 | 89,249 | 91,082 |
| Syrian Arab | 7,441 | 7,444† | 7,447 | 6,857* | 6,266 |
| TAAG-Angola | 436 | 518 | 1022* | 1022* | 1022* |
| TAC (Thailand) | 1,200 | 858 | 1,092 | 1,290 | 1,258† |
| TACA (EI Salvador) | 11,982* | 11,982 | 11,850 | 8,683 | 8,078 |
| TAN (Honduras) | 2,765 | 2,679 | 2,963 | 3,397 | 3,548 |
| TAP Air Portugal | 10,869 | 10,688 | 11,772 | 12,664 | 12,795 |
| Tarom (Romania) | 7,650 | 10,323 | 8,195 | 8,430 | 9,348 |
| Thai Int'l | 12,419 | 12,585 | 13,868 | 14,786 | 16,368† |
| THY (Turkey) | 7,421 | 7,091 | 7,064 | 7,158* | 7,158* |
| Tunis Air | 9,789 | 9,626 | 10,197 | 11,103 | 11,321† |
| TWA | 24,750 | 23,761 | 23,745 | 23,002 | 17,728 |
| United | 5,696 | 4,706 | 5,089 | 3,980 | 4,183 |
| US Air | 13,143 | 12,250 | 11,779 | 10,571 | 9,876 |
| UTA (France) | 14,703 | 16,588 | 16,357 | 15,382 | 13,980 |
| Varig (Brazil) | 3,905 | 3,915 | 4,339 | 5,187 | 5,312 |
| Viasa (Venezuela) | 9,541 | 9,962 | 10,220 | 10,486 | 10,633 |
| Western | 12,956 | 14,012 | 15,054 | 15,117 | 14,450 |

Table A.2 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1976-1980 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| Zambia Airways | 2,148 | 2,584 | 2,696 | 2,447* | 2,198 |

Table A.2 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1976-1980 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Aer Lingus | 25,124 | 24,413 | 24,864 | 27,793 | 30,046 |
| Aerolin Dominicanas (Dominican Republic) | 4,616† | 5,102 | 5,607 | 6,346 | 6,228† |
| Aerolineas Argentina | 17,272 | 14,275 | 12,507 | 11,960 | 13,683† |
| Aeromexico | 14,219 | 12,630 | 9,024 | 16,054 | 15,057 |
| Aeroperu | 2,673 | 2,951 | 3,655 | 3,635 | 3,468 |
| Air Afrique (Yaounde Treaty States) | 10,872† | 11,415 | 10,874 | 10,275 | 11,226 |
| Air Canada | 43,778 | 36,194 | 35,264 | 37,872 | 39,153 |
| Air France | 116,706 | 111,059 | 114,421 | 116,074 | 117,693 |
| Air India | 14,244 | 14,483 | 15,046 | 15,356 | 14,503 |
| Air Jamaica | 7,706 | 8,076 | 6,772 | 5,059 | 4,316 |
| Air Lanka | 4,061 | 3,450 | 5,414 | 5,941 | 6,744 |
| Air Madagascar | 975 | 940 | 919 | 963 | 1018 |
| Air Malawi | 1,623 | 2,052 | 2,133† | 2,281 | 2,464 |
| Air Malta | 4,588 | 4,843 | 4,350 | 4,019 | 4,044 |
| Air Mauritius | 4,253 | 4,606 | 4,985 | 5,579 | 6,038† |
| Air New Zealand | 8,074 | 6,641 | 6,465 | 6,217 | 6,734 |
| Air Niugini (Papua New Guinea) | 2,064 | 2,004 | 1,628 | 1,808 | 1,402† |
| Air Panama | 2,623 | 2,704 | 2,779† | 2,906 | 2,879 |
| Air UK | 20,170 | 18,853 | 18,772 | 17,748 | 23,448 |
| Air Zaire | 1,751† | 1,654† | 1,654* | 1,654* | 1,654* |
| Alia (Jordan) | 15,724 | 14,950* | 14,950* | 14,950* | 14,176 |

Table A.3 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1981-1985 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Alisarda (Italy) | 245 | 358 | 338 | 425 | 366 |
| Alitalia | 52,337 | 52,388 | 51,263 | 53,292 | 55,311 |
| ALM (Netherlands Antilles) | 4,517 | 5,266 | 4,804† | 4,804* | 4,804* |
| American | 27,166 | 31,754 | 29,645† | 31,245 | 30,436 |
| ARCO (Uruguay) | 2,295* | 2,275† | 1,338 | 1,588 | 1,484 |
| Ariana (Afghanistan) | 1,207 | 1,136 | 1,136* | 1,136* | 1,136* |
| AUA (Austria) | 27,022 | 26,987 | 27,063 | 27,336 | 27,257 |
| Avianca (Colombia) | 10,196 | 9,203 | 8,677 | 8,998 | 7,922 |
| Aviateca (Guatemala) | 3,080 | 3,102 | 2,042 | 1,519 | 1,663† |
| Bangladesh- Biman | 3,709* | 3,709* | 3,709* | 4,004 | 5,531 |
| British Airways | 132,725 | 123,257 | 117,821 | 121,441 | 130,305 |
| British Caledonian | 22,914 | 22,117 | 22,544 | 23,143 | 21,873 |
| British Midland | 2,159 | 3,680 | 3,982 | 3,481 | 3,035 |
| BWIA (Trinidad Tobago) | 11,505* | 10,720† | 10,479 | 10,479* | 10,479* |
| Cameroon | 1,730* | 1,571 | 1,483 | 1,483* | 1,483* |
| Caribbean (Barbados) | 367 | 209† | 264 | 540† | 528 |
| Cathay Pacific (Hong Kong) | 19,588 | 19,859 | 19,569 | 21,774 | 21,675 |

Table A.3 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1981-1985 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
|--|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| CDA (Dominicana- Domincan Republic) | 4,616† | 5,102 | 5,607 | 6,346 | 6,228† |
| COPA (Panama) | 3,622 | 3,534† | 3,990 | 3,922 | 4,051 |
| CP Air (Canada) | 8,352 | 8,132 | 7,997 | 9,564 | 9,820 |
| Cruzeiro (Brazil) | 3,727 | 3,200 | 3,404 | 3,921 | 3,782 |
| CSA (Czechoslova- kia) | 12,870 | 12,089 | 12,475 | 12,720 | 13,591 |
| Cubana | 1,678† | 1,678* | 1,678* | 1,678* | 1,678* |
| Cyprus | 5,744 | 5,682 | 5,861 | 6,193 | 6,092 |
| Dan-Air (UK) | 7,020 | 7,420 | 8,328 | 10,815 | 12,992 |
| Delta | 9,290 | 8,870 | 8,198 | 8,650 | 10,197 |
| Eastern | 38,151 | 42,152 | 44,638 | 44,342 | 43,058 |
| Ecuatoriana (Ecuador) | 5,618* | 5,298 | 4,461 | 4,598 | 4,180† |
| Egyptair | 16,045 | 16,913† | 18,129 | 17,640 | 18,185 |
| Ethiopian | 7,344† | 6,543† | 6,543* | 6,543* | 6,543* |
| ELAI | 8,989 | 8,306 | 8,197 | 10,480 | 11,624 |
| Faucett (Peru) | 762 | 644 | 760 | 536 | 666 |
| Finnair | 25,396 | 24,440 | 23,855 | 23,453 | 24,134 |
| Frontier | 6,150 | 6,389 | 5,403 | 5,485 | 4,640 |
| Garuda (Indonesia) | 15,306† | 14,374 | 12,954 | 11,562 | 12,247 |
| Ghanair | 2,494 | 2,132† | 2,132* | 2,132* | 2,132* |
| Gulf Air (Gulf States) | 33,299* | 33,299* | 37,995 | 37,995* | 37,995* |
| Iberia (Spain) | 66,334 | 67,107 | 66,669 | 69,161 | 68,784 |

Table A.3 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1981-1985 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
|------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Icelandair | 3,251 | 4,057 | 4,402 | 4,866 | 5,458 |
| Indian Airlines | 5,739 | 5,958 | 6,031 | 5,742 | 5,983 |
| Iran Air | 1,859 | 2,516 | 3,390 | 4,121 | 5,781 |
| lraqi Airways | 6,628† | 6,539 | 6,414† | 6,414* | 6,414* |
| JAT (Yugoslavia) | 20,365* | 18,712 | 16,851* | 14,990† | 15,490 |
| JAL (Japan) | 36,792 | 36,604 | 35,152 | 35,979 | 37,654 |
| Japan Asia Airways | 4,225 | 4,270 | 4,112 | 4,281 | 4,367 |
| KLM | 62,564 | 61,688 | 62,939 | 62,116 | 62,648 |
| KAL (Rep. of Korea) | 19,902 | 20,346 | 19,975 | 20,954 | 15,604† |
| Kuwait Airways | 13,367 | 13,313 | 14,598 | 14,719 | 14,151 |
| LAB (Bolivia) | 3,716 | 3,165 | 2,898 | 3,591 | 3,778† |
| LACSA (Costa Rica) | 7,008 | 6,876 | 5,987 | 5,552 | 5,405 |
| LADECO (Chile) | 1,236 | 1,336 | 1,395† | 1,919† | 1,919* |
| Lan Chile | 6,007 | 4,458 | 3,565† | 3,555† | 3,555* |
| LAV (Venezuela) | 3,822 | 3,650 | 2,820 | 2,618 | 1,962 |
| Libyan Arab | 5,557 | 5,999* | 6,441 | 7,026 | 7,026* |
| LOT (Poland) | 15,668 | 4,965 | 8,681 | 10,902 | 12,956 |
| Lufthansa | 106,654 | 108,872 | 113,892 | 114,994 | 121,022 |
| Malev (Hungary) | 16,094† | 16,621 | 15,699 | 17,018 | 17,197 |
| MAS (Malaysia) | 19,003† | 19,040 | 19,664 | 20,017 | 29,382† |
| MEA (Lebanon) | 12,429 | 12,852† | 12,852* | 12,852* | 12,852* |

Table A.3 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1981-1985 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Merpati Nusantara (Indonesia) | 102 | 134 | 92 | 104 | 102 |
| Mexicana | 21,028 | 17,672 | 17,922 | 11,627 | 12,239 |
| NLM (Netherlands) | 13,458 | 14,317 | 12,529 | 11,909 | 11,984 |
| Nigeria Airways | 8,524 | 5,975 | 6,451 | 5,928 | 5,928* |
| Nordair (Canada) | 3,351 | 1,526 | 1,806 | 1,925† | 2,935† |
| Northwest | 14,866 | 15,033 | 16,550 | 18,434 | 19,267 |
| Olympic | 14,098 | 14,009 | 14,698 | 20,014 | 21,285 |
| Pacific Western (Canada) | 3,534 | 2,638 | 1,865 | 828 | 921 |
| PAL (Philippines) | 8,975† | 8,998† | 10,618 | 9,801† | 10,011† |
| Pan Am | 65,152 | 67,255 | 69,049 | 72,296 | 73,125 |
| PIA (Pakistan) | 15,826 | 14,646 | 14,835 | 15,093 | 15,534 |
| Pluna (Uruguay) | 5,622† | 4,545† | 4,327 | 3,987 | 3,704 |
| Qantas | 15,616 | 18,050 | 16,927 | 17,283 | 17,721 |
| Republic | 13,152 | 12,309 | 10,871 | 8,571 | 8,633 |
| Royal Air Maroc (Morocco) | 16,376 | 15,708 | 16,892 | 12,401 | 9,742 |
| Royal Nepal | 2,864 | 3,068 | 2,839 | 2,912 | 4,051† |
| SAA (South Africa) | 11,992 | 11,728 | 10,228 | 9,492 | 9,089 |
| Sabena | 43,020 | 40,422 | 39,071 | 40,360 | 43,181 |
| SAHSA (Honduras) | 6,604 | 6,534 | 5,981 | 5,175 | 5,300† |

Table A.3 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1981-1985 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| SAM (Colombia) | 818 | 835 | 931 | 932 | 1,014 |
| SAS | 90,447 | 89,568 | 94,770 | 98,025 | 102,739 |
| SIA (Singapore) | 29,917 | 29,879 | 34,621 | 29,838 | 31,143 |
| Swissair | 78,935 | 77,276 | 74,761 | 72,359 | 73,995† |
| Syrian Arab | 7,306 | 7,289 | 6,100† | 6,100* | 6,100* |
| TAAG-Angola | 1,525 | 1,520† | 1,852* | 1,852* | 2,184† |
| TAC (Thailand) | 1,376 | 1,965 | 2,167 | 2,133 | 2,103† |
| TACA (EI Salvador) | 8,124 | 9,041 | 8,257 | 9,171† | 9,624† |
| TAN (Honduras) | 3,469 | 2,724 | 2,717 | 3,019 | 3,338† |
| TAPAir Portugal | 13,125 | 13,191 | 12,783 | 12,430 | 12,214 |
| Tarom (Romania) | 5,200 | 4,878 | 4,650 | 5,056 | 5,194 |
| Thai Int'l | 17,660 | 18,730 | 18,733 | 19,755 | 21,028† |
| THY (Turkey) | 7,158* | 7,158* | 7,252 | 8,627 | 8,627* |
| Tunis Air | 12,125 | 12,265 | 12,066 | 12,223 | 12,217 |
| TWA | 14,168 | 13,140 | 14,619 | 18,629 | 22,581 |
| United | 5,000 | 7,000 | 9,000 | 11,000 | 10,000 |
| US Air | 9,860 | 11,788 | 13,024 | 12,957 | 14,483 |
| UTA (France) | 12,876 | 12,092 | 11,538 | 8,938 | 8,892 |
| Varig (Brazil) | 11,441 | 11,627 | 11,028 | 11,828 | 11,573 |
| Viasa (Venezuela) | 10,296† | 10,563 | 9,953 | 9,953* | 9,953* |
| Western | 15,558 | 13,021 | 15,876 | 14,882 | 13,770 |
| Zambia Airways | 1,707 | 2,126 | 2,121 | 2,138 | 1,827 |

Table A.3 Traffic Data for International Airlines 1981-1985 testimated from partial data, *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
|--|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| American | 354,945 | 358,295 | 352,775 | 311,622 | 276,267 |
| Braniff | 189,670 | 206,375 | 228,997 | 177,487 | 143,470 |
| Continental | 142,890 | 150,507 | 156,163 | 133,149 | 116,958 |
| Delta | 507,485 | 531,745 | 540,041 | 527,157 | 508,165 |
| Eastern | 512,099 | 531,270 | 538,694 | 519,113 | 485,187 |
| Frontier | 189,608 | 198,699 | 207,381 | 182,484 | 169,487 |
| Republic (from Hughes North Central & Southern) | 495,647 | 527,803 | 500,054 | 520,108 | 464,314 |
| Pan Am (including National) | 137,605 | 123,023 | 109,502 | 105,843 | 76,535 |
| Northwest | 162,477 | 91,925 | 155,406 | 157,484 | 149,455 |
| Ozark | 153,753 | 157,792 | 123,871 | 117,441 | 112,611 |
| Piedmont | 175,060 | 168,970 | 168,581* | 168,191 | 180,419 |
| Texas Int'l | 90,029 | 91,798 | 89,280 | 89,398 | 76,241 |
| TWA | 275,993 | 271,602 | 273,454 | 237,218 | 199,123 |
| United | 529,891 | 567,560 | 465,663 | 461,683 | 372,000 |
| US Air (Allegheny) | 292,140 | 294,631 | 277,606 | 273,754 | 261,683 |
| Western | 147,703 | 149,965 | 153,798 | 127,314 | 111,043 |

Table A.4 Traffic Data for U.S. Domestic Airlines 1977-1981 *estimated from adjacent years

| AIRLINE | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|
| American | 286,399 | 319,339† | 368,847 | 430,494 |
| Braniff | 50,565 | service suspended | 34,388 | 17,639 |
| Continental | 136,650 | 158,554 | 134,295 | 185,673 |
| Delta | 484,361 | 501,194 | 511,166 | 526,283 |
| Eastern | 458,621 | 469,404 | 493,653 | 513,431 |
| Frontier | 135,031 | 133,438 | 134,850 | 123,567 |
| Republic | 447,716 | 440,721 | 397,899 | 357,360 |
| Pan Am | 69,204 | 71,447 | 69,861 | 51,396 |
| Northwest | 137,313 | 151,239 | 149,966 | 161,780 |
| Ozark | 104,293 | 110,187 | 110,003† | 113,936 |
| Piedmont | 187,966 | 227,546 | 269,847 | 322,328 |
| Texas Int'l | merged with Con- tinental | | | , |
| TWA | 181,721 | 180,577 | 189,485 | 200,957¤ |
| United | 393,000 | 445,000 | 526,000 | 472,000 |
| US Air (Allegheny) | 279,736 | 301,058 | 319,448 | 337,160 |
| Western | 126,094 | 147,077 | 141,129 | 154,500 |

Table A.5 Traffic Data for U.S. Domestic Airlines 1982-1985 testimated from partial data, pobtained from CAB statistics

| | <u></u> | | , | _ | | 7 | _ |
|-------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|------------|
| AIRLINE | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| Air Florida | 20,822 | 36,404 | 48,318 | 36,220 | 29,875 | 8,647 | |
| Air One | | | | | | 6,005 | |
| America West | | | | | 5,744 | 43,030 | 47,112 |
| Arrow Air | | | | 718 | 2,417 | 2,795 | 3,246 |
| Capitol | | 231 | 3,494 | 6,208 | 5,108 | <u>5,184</u> | |
| Florida Express | | | | | | 9,082 | 18,347 |
| Jet America | | | | 2,404 | 4,065 | 7,065 | 7,047 |
| Midway Metrolink | | 9,910 | 14,726 | 22,592 | 23,678 | 22,028 | 48,501 |
| Midwest Express | | | | | | 2,033 | 4,701 |
| Muse Air | | | 3,171 | 14,118 | 23,021 | 35,386 | 38,054 |
| New York Air | | 216 | 21,753 | 29,928 | 31,587 | 38,233 | 55,320 |
| Northeastern Int'l Airways | | | | 1,148 | 6,474 | 51,839 | 616 |
| Pacific East Air | | | | 76 | 1,318 | 357 | |
| People Express | | | 14,862 | 37,490 | 74,941 | 110350 | 142834 |
| Sunworld Int'l | | | | | 337 | 7,966 | 11,266 |
| Transamerica | | 12 | 8 | 74 | 251 | 341 | <u>341</u> |
| World | | 3,406 | 6,781 | 6,137 | 4,426 | 7,430 | 8,191 |

Table A.6 Traffic Data for U.S. Domestic Airlines--New Jet Entrants **bold type** indicates CAB statistics, <u>underlining</u> indicates estimate

Table A.7 1976 Accidents

| Date | Airline | Int'l or Domestic | Explanation |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------|---|
| 1 Jan | MEA | Int'l | Occurred in Saudi Arabia |
| 19 Mar | Cubana | Domestic | Training flight-crew only |
| 5 Apr | Alaska Airlines | Domestic | Domestic Airline |
| 27 Apr | American | Domestic | New York to U.S. Virgin Islands |
| 21 May | PAL | Domestic | Domestic service only from Davao (departure point) |
| 27 Jun | MEA | Int'l | Crew only |
| 4 Jul | Air France | Int'l | Flight from Tel Aviv to Athens |
| 28 Jul | CSA | Domestic | Flight From Bratislava to Prague, Czechoslovakia |
| 30 Aug | Air France | Int'l | Hijacker was the only fatality |
| 10 Sep | British Airways | Int'l | Occurred over Zagreb, Yugoslavia |
| 20 Sep | THY | Domestic | Istanbul to Antalya, Turkey |
| 6 Oct | Cubana | Int'i | Occurred in Barbados |
| 12 Oct | Indian Airlines | Domestic | Flight from Bombay to Madras, India |
| 23 Nov | Olympic | Domestic | Scheduled to land at Larissa domestic service only |
| 25 Dec | Egyptair | Int'l | Occurred in Bangkok, Thailand |
| 31 Dec | Faucett | Domestic | Trujillo to Tarapoto, Peru |

Table A.7 1977 Accidents

| Date | Airline | Int'l or Domestic | Explanation |
|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|
| 30 Mar | Merpati Nusantara | Domestic | Flight from Palu to Totitoli, Indonesia |
| 4 Apr | Southern | Domestic | Huntsville to Atlanta |
| 22 Jul | Ethiopian Airlines | Domestic | Teppi to Jimma, Ethiopia |
| 22 Sep | Malev | Int'l | Occurred in Bucharest, Romania |
| 28 Sep | JAL | Int'l | Occurred in Kuala Selangor, Malaysia |
| 17 Oct | Lufthansa | Int'i | Only fatalities were hijacker and crew |
| 3 Nov | El Al | Int'l | Occurred over Belgrade |
| 19 Nov | TAP | Domestic | Flight from Lisbon to Madeira Islands, Portugal |
| 4 Dec | MAS | Domestic | Penang to Kuala Lumpur |

Table A.7 1978 Accidents

| Date | Airline | Int'l or Domestic | Explanation |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 Jan | Air India | Int'l | Enroute to Dubai in Middle East |
| 11 Feb | Pacific Western | Domestic | Calgary to Cranbrook, B.C. |
| 1 Mar | Continental | Domestic | Los Angeles to Honolulu |
| 1 Mar | Nigeria | Domestic | Sokoto to Lagos, Nigeria |
| 3 Mar | LAV | Domestic | Caracas to Cumana, Venezuela |
| 21 Apr | KAL | Int'l | Occurred in Kem, USSR |
| 8 May | National | Domestic | Mobile to Pensacola |
| 26 Jun | Air Canada | Domestic | Toronto to Winnipeg, Canada |
| 18 Aug | PAL | Domestic | Cebu City to Manila, P.I. |
| 23 Dec | Alitalia | Domestic | Rome to Palermo, Sicily |
| 28 Dec | United | Domestic | New York to Portland |

Table A.7 1979 Accidents

| Date | Airline | Int'l or Domestic | Explanation |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| 12 Feb | Allegheny | Domestic | Clarksburg to Washington |
| 14 Mar | Alia | Int'l | Amman to Doha, Qatar |
| 25 May | American | Domestic | Chicago to Los Angeles |
| 11 Jul | Garuda | Domestic | Domestic flight |
| 4 Aug | Indian Airlines | Domestic | Poonato to Panvel AP, Bombay |
| 7 Oct | Swissair | Int'l | Occurred in Athens |
| 31 Oct | Western | Int'l | Occurred in Mexico City |
| 26 Nov | PIA | Int'l | Jeddah, Saudi Arabia to Karachi, Pakistan |
| 23 Dec | THY | Domestic | Domestic flight |

Table A.7 1980 Accidents

| Date | Airline | Int'i or Domestic | Explanation |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------|---|
| 21 Jan | Iran Air | Domestic | Domestic flight |
| 3 Mar | LOT | Int'l | New York to Warsaw |
| 27 Apr | Thai Airways | Domestic | Udon to Bangkok, Thailand |
| 10 May | Indian Airlines | Int'l | Bagdogra, East Pakistan to Calcutta, India |
| 7 Jul | Tarom | Int'i | Occurred in Nouadhibou, Mauritania |
| 19 Aug | Saudia | Domestic | Riyadh to Jeddah, Saudi Arabia |
| 14 Oct | THY | Int'l | Munich to Ankara |
| 19 Nov | KAL | Int'l | Los Angeles to Alaska to Seoul |
| 23 Dec | Saudia | Int'l | Dhahran to Karachi, Pakistan |

Table A.7 1981 Accidents

| Date | Airline | Int'l or Domestic | Explanation |
|--------|------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 26 Mar | LOT | Domestic | Warsaw to Slupsk, Poland |
| 27 Jul | Aeromexico | Domestic | Monterrey to Chihuahua, Mexico |
| 20 Sep | World | Int'l | Crewmember was the only fatality |
| 7 Oct | NLM | Domestic | Rotterdam to Eindhoven |
| 30 Oct | Cameroon | Domestic | Domestic flight |
| 8 Nov | Aeromexico | Domestic | Acapulco to Guadalajara, Mexico |

Table A.7 1982 Accidents

| Date | Airline | Int'l or Domestic | Explanation |
|--------|---------------|----------------------|---|
| 13 Jan | Air Florida | Domestic | Washington to Tampa |
| 23 Jan | World Airways | Domestic | Newark to Boston |
| 25 Jan | Tarom | Domestic | Training flight |
| 9 Feb | JAL | Domestic | Fukuoka, Kyushu to Haneda AP |
| 20 Mar | Garuda | Domestic | Jakarta to Tanjunkarang, Indonesia |
| 22 Jun | Air India | Int'l | International flight |
| 9 Jul | Pan Am | Domestic | Miami to Las Vegas |
| 11 Jul | PAL | Domestic | Jolo AP serves domestic routes only for PAL |

Table A.7 1983 Accidents

| Date | Airline | Int'l or Domestic | Explanation |
|--------|------------|----------------------|---|
| 9 Jan | Republic | Domestic | Minneapolis to Brainerd, MN |
| 16 Jan | THY | Domestic | Istanbul to Ankara, Turkey |
| 2 Jan | Garuda | Domestic | Tanjunkarang to Jakarta, Indonesia |
| 3 Jun | Air Canada | Int'l | Occurred in Florence, Kentucky |
| 31 Aug | KAL | Int'l | Shot down over Sea of Japan near Sakhalin, USSR |
| 23 Sep | Gulf Air | Int'l | Karachi, Pakistan to Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates |
| 8 Nov | TAAG | Domestic | Lubango to Luanda, Angola |
| 27 Nov | Avianca | Int'l | Occurred near Madrid, Spain |
| 12 Dec | Iberia | Int'l | Madrid to Rome |

Table A.7 1984 Accidents

| Date | Airline | Int'l or Domestic | Explanation |
|--------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 5 Aug | Bangladesh- Biman | Domestic | Chittagong to Dacca, Bangladesh |
| 30 Aug | Cameroon | Domestic | Domestic flight |

Table A.7 1985 Accidents

| Date | Airline | Int'l or Domestic | Explanation |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------|---|
| 1 Jan | Eastern | Int'l | Occurred in mountain near La Paz,Bolivia |
| 19 Jan | Cubana | Int'l | Havana to Managua |
| 19 Feb | Iberia | Domestic | Madrid to Bilbao, Spain |
| 15 Apr | Thai Airways | | non-scheduled passenger flight |
| 15 Jun | TWA | Int'l | Occurred in Beirut, Lebanon |
| 23 Jun | Air India | Int'l | Occurred in Atlantic Ocean |
| 2 Aug | Delta | Domestic | Fort Lauderdale to Dallas |
| 12 Aug | JAL | Domestic | Tokyo to Osaka |
| 6 Sep | Midwest Express | Domestic | Milwaukee to Atlanta |
| 23 Nov | Egyptair | Int'l | Athens to Cairo |