

MIT Open Access Articles

Insights into Alzheimer's disease from single-cell genomic approaches

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Murdock, Mitchell H and Tsai, Li-Huei. 2023. "Insights into Alzheimer's disease from single-cell genomic approaches." Nature Neuroscience, 26 (2).

As Published: 10.1038/s41593-022-01222-2

Publisher: Springer Science and Business Media LLC

Persistent URL: https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/150474

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike



3

4

Insights into Alzheimer's disease from single cell genomic approaches

*Correspondence to Ihtsai@mit.edu.

- Mitchell H. Murdock¹, Li-Huei Tsai^{1,*} ¹ Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, The Picower Institute for Learning and Memory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02139, USA.
- 5
- 6

7 Abstract

8 Alzheimer's disease (AD) is an age-related disease pathologically defined by the deposition of amyloid 9 plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in the brain parenchyma. Single cell profiling reveals Alzheimer's 10 dementia involves the complex interplay of virtually every major brain cell type. Here, we highlight cell-type 11 specific molecular perturbations in AD. We discuss how genomic information from single cells expand 12 existing paradigms of AD pathogenesis and highlight new opportunities for therapeutic interventions.

13

14 Introduction

Single cell genomics have defined the complex molecular regulation of major cell types in the mouse¹⁻⁴ and 15 16 human brain^{5,6}. Profiling genetic information from single cells from individuals with various stages of AD 17 pathology (Table 1) uncovers detailed cell-type specific molecular programs in AD (Figure 1). Keeping in 18 mind challenges related to interpreting genomic studies from single cells, such as the common necessity 19 to profile single nuclei instead of single cells from archived brain tissue (Box 1), we argue molecular 20 disturbances across major cell types converge on common signaling pathways such as lipid handling, 21 immune response, and metabolic reprogramming (Figure 2). Further defining and manipulating core 22 signaling nodes may generate new opportunities for therapeutic intervention.

23

Excitatory neurons. Synaptic alterations and neuronal loss are well-established in AD, and single cell profiling has revealed molecular programs regulating neuronal dysfunction (**Figure 3**). Several single nucleus RNA-sequencing (snRNA-seq) studies reveal excitatory neurons from AD patients alter genes regulating neurotransmitter release, synaptic vesicle recycling, and glutamate metabolism^{7–9}. Histological findings indicate post-synaptic terminals are lost in AD, and several differentially expressed genes relate to 29 post-synaptic scaffolding molecules, glutamate receptor trafficking, and calmodulin signaling^{8,9}. Importantly, 30 in situ hybridization confirms some of these findings, such as the reduced number of excitatory neurons⁸ 31 and the downregulation of NTNG1, a gene involved in the regulation of neurite outgrowth⁸. Inhibitory 32 synapses, which are highly plastic in the adult brain and are thought to enable flexible modulation of stable 33 excitatory connections¹⁰, are also reduced in number in AD¹¹. These findings are partly reflected in altered 34 expression of genes critical for inhibitory synapses, such as modulation of some integrin genes^{7,8}. The 35 integrity of myelinated axons is critical for long-range projections, and genes related to neuronal-36 oligodendrocyte interactions are modulated in AD neurons, such as upregulation of LINGO1⁷⁻⁹, a negative 37 regulator of myelination (a finding repeated across several transcriptomic studies⁷⁻⁹ and by in situ 38 hybridization⁸). These transcriptional changes suggest synaptic elements are not simply structurally lost in 39 AD, but the very molecular machinery governing their integrity are dysregulated.

40

Several studies highlight how AD neurons modulate stress related genes^{8,12}, particularly genes related to chaperone mediated protein folding^{7–9,13,14} (e.g., *DNAJA1*). Altered genes in AD neurons also relate to mitochondrial translocase, glucose sensing, and glycolysis (e.g., *SLC2A3*, which encodes a glucose transporter enriched at synaptic terminals)^{8,9}. Synaptic mitochondria are critical for sustained synaptic efficacy^{15,16}, and transcriptional profiles provide insight into metabolic programs disrupted in AD neurons.

46

47 Defining genetic signatures of neurons selectively vulnerable to dysfunction may reveal the molecular logic 48 governing AD degeneration (Box 2). Tau aggregates form neurofibrillary tangles within some neurons, a 49 canonical pathological hallmark of AD closely associated with neuronal loss. Fluorescence activated cell 50 sorting (FACS) based on neurons with neurofibrillary tangles reveal synaptic genes are dysregulated in 51 tangle-bearing neurons compared to non-tangle-bearing neurons from AD individuals¹². A separate snRNA-52 seq study revealed BAG3, a master regulator for proteotoxicity-induced signaling, regulates tau 53 homeostasis¹⁷. Reducing BAG3 in primary cortical neurons led to tau accumulation, and BAG3 54 overexpression attenuated tau pathology¹⁷, suggesting selective vulnerability related to tau metabolism 55 may be governed in part by BAG3. Similarly, the transcription factor RORB is thought to mark a population 56 of tangle-burdened neurons that modulate genes related to synaptic proteins and neurotransmitter

57 receptors¹⁸. Thus, RORB may be a marker for selectively vulnerable neurons¹⁸. Conversely, some neurons 58 may be preferentially resilient to AD: a subset of individuals harbor extensive amyloid and tau pathology 59 but do not exhibit dementia¹⁹ and therefore offer a tractable opportunity to interrogate the molecular basis 60 of resilience to cognitive decline. MEF2C is upregulated in excitatory neurons in individuals with high AD 61 pathology and normal cognition compared to age-matched individuals with high pathology and low 62 cognition¹⁹. Impairing *Mef2* in mice causes neuronal hyperexcitability, and *Mef2* overexpression in Tau P301S mice rescued tauopathy-induced hyperpexcitability¹⁹. These findings suggest properties related to 63 64 neuronal firing may explain individual neuronal susceptibility or resilience to degeneration.

65

66 Risk variants from genome wide-association studies (GWAS) further highlight differential risk to AD (Box 67 3). The ε 4 allele of the apolipoprotein E gene (APOE) is considered the most highly validated genetic risk 68 factor for sporadic late-onset AD. While APOE is predominantly expressed by astrocytes and microglia⁸, 69 variable expression of APOE among individual neurons suggests some neurons express relatively higher 70 levels of APOE²⁰. Increased APOE levels were associated with cellular stress and death²⁰, suggesting 71 neuronal APOE and MHC-I signaling might be an important factor driving differential vulnerability to AD 72 neurodegeneration. Apolipoproteins are critical for lipid transport, and other lipid related genes modulated in AD neurons include those related to cholesterol transport (e.g., NPC1^{8,9}, which encodes a lysosomal 73 74 cholesterol transporter whose loss of function is associated with neuronal death²¹) and lipid signaling (e.g., 75 LAMTOR5, related to endosomal/lysosomal transport^{7-9,14}). The findings highlight complex lipid-related 76 signaling networks disrupted in AD neurons.

77

Neuronal DNA damage. DNA damage is well known to occur in AD neurons²², and snRNA-seq reveals AD neurons modulate genes related to DNA repair enzymes^{7–9,14} (e.g., *XRCC6*, which is involved in DNA repair initiation, is downregulated in AD neurons⁸). Moreover, single cell whole genome amplification sequencing suggests DNA damage in neurons is elevated in neurodegeneration²³. High DNA damage in human neurons is enriched in differentially expressed genes of AD individuals²⁴, potentially suggesting dysregulated gene expression in AD neurons may be related to impaired DNA repair. DNA damage may be part of a switch in cellular states associated with metabolic stress (e.g., upregulation of the DNA damage

85 inducible transcript *DDIT3*^{8,9} may be associated with broader program in cellular stress). Neurons burned 86 with DNA damage also active inflammatory signaling in neurodegeneration²⁵. DNA damage is known to 87 occur at neuronal enhancers and promoters²⁶, particularly during learning²⁷, and is required for learning-88 related immediate early gene expression²⁷. Disentangling learning-related DNA damage and aging-related 89 DNA damage in AD may lead to new insights into the progression of neural circuit disruption in AD.

90

91 Neurogenesis. Single cell genomics have generated molecular insight into the progression of new neurons 92 in the adult brain, including the molecular definition of stem cells and their terminal fates^{28–33}, although 93 common markers for neural progenitors may complicate efforts to define human neurogenesis³⁴. Mutations 94 in *PSEN1* may alter the stem cell niche³⁵ and some neural stem cells may be particularly affected by amyloid 95 toxicity³⁶, so AD risk genes could potentially alter neurogenesis in the context of AD.

96

97 Interneurons. Interneurons critically sculpt synchronized patterns of neural activity, and single cell 98 transcriptomics provide molecular definitions of interneuron subtypes from mouse^{3,37,38} and human^{39,40} 99 brain, which reflect their functional repertoire⁴¹. Interneurons share transcriptional changes with excitatory 100 neurons in AD, including genes related to metabolic stress, ion transport, DNA damage, perineuronal net 101 assembly, and ErbB signaling^{7,8,13}. Despite the well-documented functional perturbations of interneuron-102 dependent neuronal rhythms in amyloid mouse models⁴² and mice with targeted replacement of mouse 103 Appee with human APOE4⁴³, transcriptional alterations within distinct interneuron subtypes have evaded 104 comprehensive characterization in AD^{7-9,13,14}. Downregulated genes in AD interneurons include marker 105 genes for canonical interneuron subtypes, including SST, PVALB, and VIP⁷⁻⁹. Interneuron neuropeptides are thought to regulate inhibitory circuits^{44,45} and neurovascular coupling⁴⁶, so impaired interneuron peptide 106 107 signaling in AD may broadly impair neuronal signaling in dementia. The loss of canonical markers might 108 also suggest interneurons lose core aspects of their transcriptional identity, which may reflect global loss 109 of cellular function (Box 2).

Microglia. GWAS findings highlight AD-associated variants in immune related genes (e.g., *TREM2, CD33, HLA-DR*)⁴⁷; accordingly, microglia have received a great deal of attention by single cell profiling⁴⁸ (Figure
 4).

114

115 Plaque-associated microglia. One of the first single cell transcriptomic studies profiling microglia revealed 116 a distinct subtype in 5XFAD mice, a popular amyloid model harboring five mutations associated with familial 117 AD. Microglia from the hippocampi of 5XFAD mice were dubbed "disease-associated microglia" (DAM)⁴⁹. 118 Microglia harboring DAM transcriptional signatures were revealed to localize to amyloid plaques. One study 119 elegantly sorted microglia from 5XFAD mice according to their levels of plaque labeled with methoxy-X04, 120 a fluorescent probe that binds to fibrillar β-sheets of amyloid⁵⁰. Interestingly, methoxy-labeled microglia 121 increased expression of hypoxia-inducible factor *Hif1a⁵⁰*, which may be associated with a broad switch in 122 metabolic programs in human AD microglia associated with HIF1 signaling⁵¹. Studies in 5XFAD mice 123 indicate plaque-associated microglia upregulate genes related to cell surface receptors (Trem2, Tyrobp, 124 Clec7a), integrins (Itgax), and immune-related pathways (Csf1, Ccl6)^{9,49,50,52}. This transcriptional signature 125 is partly recapitulated in CKp25⁵², APP-PS1⁵³, APP^{NL-G-F 54}, Tau P301S, and P301L mice⁵³ (although genetic 126 background may drive substantial microglial diversity⁵⁵). While additional studies are needed to clarify the 127 extent to which mice recapitulate human AD microglia, several studies indicate human AD microglia 128 modulate genes related to cell migration and phagocytosis^{7-9,14}. Collectively, these findings highlight the 129 transcriptional basis for microglia to cluster around plaques and phagocytose debris^{7-9,14}.

130

131 Lipid metabolism in AD microglia. TREM2 acts in microglia as a sensor for a wide array of lipids, and the 132 R47H variant of *TREM2* is associated with increased risk of AD and enhanced Akt signaling in microglia⁵⁶. 133 In adipose tissue of non-AD individuals, TREM2 drives a gene expression program involved in 134 phagocytosis, lipid catabolism, and energy metabolism⁵⁷, suggesting *TREM2* may broadly regulate lipid-135 related metabolic programs in macrophages. In accord with experimental studies highlighting the 136 importance of lipid sensing and metabolism in microglia, microglial lipid metabolism pathways are broadly 137 disrupted in AD⁷⁻⁹. Interestingly, the DAM phenotype in 5XFAD mice is dependent on *Trem2*^{9,49}. Several 138 findings in mice suggest manipulating microglial lipid pathways regulate pathology. For example, knocking down mouse *Apoe* conferred neuroprotection in APP/PS1 mice⁵⁸, and overexpressing low-density lipoprotein receptor, which mediates lipid clearance, alleviates pathology when overexpressed in Tau P301S mice and shifts microglia transcriptional signatures to a homeostatic state⁵⁹. Lipid metabolism is critical for microglia to rapidly remodel plasma membrane for local brain surveillance and regulate neural activity, and these findings suggest targeting microglial lipid-related pathways may alleviate AD pathology.

144

145 Microglial perturbations in neuronal support. It is increasingly relevant how microglia regulate neuronal circuits^{60,61}. Microglia regulate neural computations in part by complement-mediated synapse elimination⁶², 146 147 fractalkine signaling⁶³, and purine sensing⁶⁰, and, accordingly, several studies indicate AD microglia 148 modulate genes related to complement (C1Q^{7,8}), fractalkine receptors (e.g., CX3CR1^{8,14}), and purine 149 receptors (e.g., P2Y12R⁷). Thus, neuronal dysfunction in AD may arise in part due in part to alterations in 150 microglial capacity to sense and control neuronal activity. Perturbations in microglial metabolic state, related 151 in part to cellular stress and glycolytic shifts, may converge on signaling pathways that impair microglial 152 capacity to regulate neuronal activity (for example, a model using CRISPR-edited induced pluripotent stem 153 cells found lipid accumulation induced by APOE4 impairs microglial surveillance of neuronal network 154 activity⁶⁴). Microglia state may also be associated with distinct GABAergic circuits⁶⁵ and pyramidal neuron 155 subtypes⁶⁶, so future work defining microglial-neuronal crosstalk may reveal the molecular logic of microglia 156 governing neuronal dysfunction in AD.

157

158 Vascular function of microglia and macrophages. Capillary-associated microglia are thought to regulate 159 blood flow via purinergic signaling^{67,68}. Microglia and perivascular macrophages are thought to harbor 160 distinct ontogeny⁶⁹, and subpopulation of cells marked by high *Mrc1*, a marker of peripheral macrophages⁷⁰, 161 may represent a transcriptionally distinct population of vascular-associated macrophages^{71,72}. Signaling 162 between vascular-associated microglia/macrophages and vascular cells may influence neurovascular 163 function in AD. For example, secreted factors from AD microglial might regulate the integrity of endothelial 164 tight junctions. Chemokines secreted by microglia may influence the inflammatory state of endothelial walls 165 (which in turn can lead to neutrophil adhesions and capillary stall-induced blood flow reductions⁷³). Future

studies may further define how vascular-associated macrophages influence vascular permeability andneurovascular coupling in AD.

168

White matter associated microglia. Microglia in white matter have a distinct transcriptional state compared to grey matter microglia⁷⁴ and share genes associated with disease-associated microglia (e.g., upregulation of *APOE*, complement, and lipid metabolism related genes, and downregulation of homeostatic markers)⁷⁵.
Similar transcriptional signatures of white matter microglia are present in 5XFAD and APP^{NL-G-F} mice, and *TREM2* knockout reduces the presence of white matter microglia⁷⁵. The dysfunction of microglia in white matter may relate to repairing and phagocytosing myelin⁷⁶. Further defining white matter associated microglia may reveal interventions to promote the health of myelinated axons.

176

177 State transitions of microglia inflammation. Morabito et al. performed snATAC-seg and snRNA-seg to define 178 AD-associated gene-regulatory programs at the epigenomic and transcriptomic levels⁷⁷. Some microglia in 179 AD had more open binding sites for SPI1, which encodes PU.1, a master regulator of myeloid cell 180 differentiation. PU.1 may act as a transcriptional repressor in late-stage AD microglia⁷⁷, and a complex 181 network of transcription factors in AD microglia (e.g., ELF5, ETS1, ETV5, SPIC)⁷⁷ may be involved in 182 microglia state transitions in AD^{77,78}. Indeed, PU.1 expression levels⁷⁹ and PU.1-dependent transcriptional 183 control^{53,80} are thought to critical regulate microglial function, such as microglial clearance of amyloid. Single 184 cell transcriptomics and CRISPRi/a screens might further reveal gene programs modulating microglial state⁸¹. 185

186

Astrocytes. Astrocytes are involved in neuronal trophic support, extracellular ion homeostasis, and brain
 fluid balance. Single cell profiling reveals the molecular heterogeneity of astrocytes^{82–85} and astrocytic
 perturbations in AD (Figure 5).

190

Astrocyte metabolism. Astrocytes are a central driver of energy homeostasis in the brain. Several snRNAseq from human AD cortex reveal AD astrocytes alter genes related to cellular stress and metabolic reprogramming genes related to cell stress (e.g., *CIRBP*, *CABLES*, *CSRP1*)^{7–9}, as well as many genes related to the structural remodeling of the astrocytic cytoskeleton (e.g., *GFAP*)^{7–9} and extracellular matrix (e.g., the versican gene *VCAN* and integrin genes *ITGB8* and *ITGB4*)^{7–9}. Upregulation of *GFAP* in AD astrocytes is also observed cross several snRNA-seq datasets from AD patients^{7–9} and 5XFAD mouse hippocampus⁸⁶, which may contribute to clinically relevant biomarkers (**Box 3**). Given that astrocytes critically respond to and regulate the inflammatory state of the brain following injury and neurodegeneration, potentially in a region-specific manner⁸⁴, these findings highlight transcriptional underpinnings of astrocytic metabolic reprogramming in AD.

201

202 Many dysregulated pathways in AD astrocytes converge on lipid signaling (e.g., PLCE1, which encodes a 203 phospholipase, and apolipoprotein family genes)⁷⁻⁹. Genes related to lipid synthesis and transport (e.g., 204 LDLR)⁸ are perturbed in AD astrocytes^{7–9}. Metabolic reprogramming in AD astrocytes may contribute to 205 impaired capacity to regulate neuronal circuits. One study showed that astrocytes break down cytotoxic 206 fatty acids secreted by hyperactive neurons⁸⁷. Lipid associated pathways are dysregulated in disease-207 associated astrocytes from 5XFAD mouse hippocampus, including those in cholesterol pathways⁸⁶, 208 recapitulating aspects of AD astrocyte dysfunction in humans related to transport and storage in lipid 209 droplets, and detoxification of reactive oxygen species (e.g., SOD2)⁹. Removing astrocytic APOE4 in mice 210 decreases disease-associated transcriptional signatures across multiple cell types and protects against 211 tau-mediated neurodegeneration⁸⁸. These findings suggest lipid-related signaling networks in astrocytes 212 may represent a core perturbation in AD.

213

214 Dysfunctional synaptic communication in AD astrocytes. Astrocytes facilitate neurotransmitter shuttling and 215 synaptic trophic support. Several snRNA-seq from human patients reveal AD astrocytes alter genes related 216 to glutamate receptor subunits (e.g., GRIA2, GRM3, GRID2)^{7,8,13,86}. Gap junctions and potassium handling 217 in astrocytes critically support neural function, and several snRNA-seg studies from human AD patients 218 reveal genes related to gap junctions (e.g., upregulation of GJA1, which encodes the major astrocytic gap 219 junction component connexin 43)⁸ and ion transporters (e.g., downregulation of KCNIP4)⁷⁻⁹ are 220 dysregulated in AD astrocytes. Collectively, these transcriptional changes highlight molecular pathways 221 dysregulated in astrocytes governing extracellular ion homeostasis and neuronal function.

223 To gain insight into the molecular basis driving transitions between astrocytic cell states, several groups 224 have analyzed transcription factor expression profiles. The transcription factor AEBP1, a coactivator of the 225 master immune signaling regulator NFKB, may regulate AD-related state transitions in astrocytes⁷. The 226 master lysosomal regulator TFEB was upregulated in astrocytes in AD patients^{7,8}, and given the importance 227 of TFEB to lysosomal pathways⁸⁹, dysfunction in intracellular lipid related processes may represent a key 228 driver of AD related dysfunction⁷. Several genes overlap between AD microglia and astrocytes (e.g., CTSB, 229 CTSD, and APOE)⁹⁰, potentially suggesting a common glial inflammatory milieu may emerge in AD 230 including weakened metabolic coordination with neurons⁹. The complex, bidirectional inflammatory milieu 231 generated by astrocytes and microglia (and shared in part likely is shared by oligodendrocyte related cells), 232 collectively may regulate neuronal function. Dysfunction in secreted cytokines and lipid related trafficking 233 may represent a functionally redundant glial response to AD pathology. For example, the metabolic shift in 234 microglia highlighted above may be shared in part by AD astrocytes, which modulate genes involved in cell 235 growth and signal transduction. These changes collectively highlight common lipid- and immune-related 236 signaling pathways shared across major cell types in AD.

237

Oligodendrocytes. Single cell transcriptomics from mouse⁹¹ and human brain^{92,93} reflect the highly heterogeneous nature of oligodendrocytes. Molecular programs perturbed in AD oligodendrocytes reflect alterations in the diverse functional repertoire of these cells, including myelination, sensing neural activity, and immune function^{7–9,13,14,18} (**Figure 6**).

242

Deficits in white matter volume and myelination rates are well appreciated in AD⁹⁴. Many myelin related genes are perturbed in AD oligodendrocytes, such as upregulation of *LINGO1*^{7,8} (a negative regulator of myelination, which is also upregulated in AD excitatory neurons) and downregulation of *CNTN2* and *OPALIN* (genes thought to regulate axon homeostasis)¹⁴. Genes involved in myelin production are altered in oligodendrocytes early in AD, including genes for enzymes related to fundamental cellular building blocks (e.g., *CERS2*⁸, *CARNS1*^{7–9}, and *QDPR*^{7–9}, which encode genes important for the processing of molecules important for white matter integrity) and myelination itself (such as *PLP1*, *OLIG1*, and *MBP*)⁸. Several studies from human brain also highlight alterations in genes for lipid transport, including genes related to apolipoproteins and lipid receptors (e.g., *ABCA6*, *LRP1*, *LDLRAD4*)^{7–9}, lysosome function (e.g., *LAMP1*)⁸, and solute carriers (e.g., *SLC38A2*, *SLC5A11*)^{7–9}. These findings highlight cellular pathways related to biosynthetic processes and lipid handling converge on myelin synthesis, and may explain in part how oligodendrocyte dysfunction contributes to deficits in the structure and plasticity of myelination in AD.

255

256 Myelination is a highly regulated and dynamic process that may be specific for anatomically distinct neural 257 circuits^{95,96}. The plasticity of myelin may relate to the capacity of oligodendrocytes to sense neural activity, 258 such as the sensory experience-dependent myelination remodeling on parvalbumin inhibitory interneurons 259 but not on excitatory callosal projection neurons⁹⁷. snRNA-seq suggests AD oligodendrocytes modulate 260 genes related to ion channels (e.g., KCNH8)7-9 and glutamate receptor subunits (e.g., GRM3, 261 GRID2)7,8,13,14. These findings suggest AD oligodendrocytes may exhibit impaired capacity to sense and 262 regulate neural activity. Memory preservation is thought to require new myelin formation⁹⁸, so impaired 263 oligodendrocyte capacity to adaptively monitor neural activity and facilitate myelin remodeling may govern 264 cognitive decline in AD.

265

266 Oligodendroglial immune and vascular functions. Studies in mice reveal antigen processing and 267 presentation capabilities of oligodendrocytes^{92,99}, and AD oligodendrocytes modulate genes related to 268 MHC-I and MHC-II⁸. Many other immune pathways are perturbed in AD, including interferon response and 269 inflammation (e.g., CD63 and IRF2, which are involved in the activation of immune cells)¹³. 270 Oligodendrocytes display major transcriptomic alterations in 5XFAD mice¹⁰⁰. Reactive oligodendrocytes 271 marked by Serpina3n+ C4b+ in plaque bearing regions of 5XFAD mice are thought to emerge in a TREM2-272 dependent manner⁹. Oligodendrocytes may also respond to¹⁰¹ and participate in¹⁰² blood-brain barrier 273 integrity by secreting growth factors that regulate vascular cell function (e.g., PDGF signaling, which is 274 thought to regulate vascular function, is dysregulated AD oligodendrocytes⁸). Oligodendrocytes also 275 support extracellular matrix remodeling, a critical factor in remyelination, and AD oligodendrocytes 276 modulate genes related to collagens, laminins, and chondrotins⁸. Some of these changes may reflect injury 277 related to white matter injury, a common clinical finding in AD. Collectively, these findings highlight278 heterogeneous molecular programs adopted by AD oligodendrocytes.

279

280 Oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs). OPCs are distributed throughout grey and white matter. snRNA-281 seq reveals heterogeneous subtypes of OPCs in mouse^{91,103,104} and in the human cortex^{105,106}. OPCs 282 regulate neural activity and harbor immune and vascular related function, and snRNA-seq reflects 283 alterations in OPC state perturbations in these processes. For example, several snRNA-seg studies from 284 human subjects indicate AD OPCs downregulate genes for ion channels (e.g., KCNIP1, CACNA1D)^{7,8}, and 285 may alter genes encoding neurotransmitter receptors (e.g., GALR1)^{7,8}, glutamate receptors (e.g., GRIA2)⁹, 286 and synaptic genes (e.g., SNAP25)⁸. Like oligodendrocytes, OPCs are thought to dynamically sense and 287 modulate neural activity¹⁰⁷. Transcriptional findings highlighting OPC modulation of genes related to voltage 288 gated ion channels suggest OPCs may harbor altered capacity to sense neural networks, which may 289 explain in part dysfunction in adaptive myelination and neuronal integrity in AD. OPCs modulate immune 290 related genes (e.g., *IFIT1*)¹³, highlighting a potential role for inflammation and immune mechanisms in OPC 291 mediated dysfunction in AD. Oligodendrocyte differentiation is partly dependent on OLIG1, which is 292 upregulated in AD OPCs⁸, supporting a role for alterations in the dynamic reprogramming of OPC fate that 293 may be responsible for oligodendrocyte alterations in AD. OPCs with DNA damage may alter their 294 differentiation programs, and, notably, amyloid itself is thought to induce senescence in OPCs, which can 295 be reversed by senolytic treatment¹⁰⁸.

296

Vascular cells. Alterations in vascular function critical contribute to brain homeostasis, and reduced blood
 flow may emerge early in AD¹⁰⁹. snRNA-seq has provided molecular definitions of functionally distinct
 vascular cells in mouse^{71,110–113} and human brain^{114–117}. These studies suggest vascular cells in AD have
 altered immune signaling, neurovascular coupling, and permeability^{14,116}.

301

Brain endothelial cells control the movement of ions, molecules, and cells between the blood and the parenchyma, a constellation of properties collectively referred to as the blood brain barrier (BBB)¹¹⁸. snRNA-seq from AD patients reveal transcriptional perturbations in many BBB processes, including alterations in tight junctions (e.g., *CLDN5*), solute transporters (e.g., *SLC2A1*), and adhesion
 molecules^{14,116}. In endothelial cells of APP/PS1 mice, mNat1, a regulator of insulin sensitivity, was found to
 govern endothelial cell necroptosis¹¹⁹.

308

309 Pericytes reside in the basement membrane and wrap around capillaries. Pericytes express genes involved 310 in actomyosin contraction⁷¹, consistent with functional evidence suggesting pericyte contractility controls vascular dynamics (e.g., by controlling blood flow at capillary junctions¹²⁰ and regulating basal capillary 311 diameters¹²¹), which may contribute to AD related hypoperfusion¹²². Human hippocampal pericytes from 312 313 APOE4 carriers elevate expression of NFAT, and modulating NFAT through calcineurin signaling reduces 314 APOE expression and ameliorates amyloid deposition NFAT¹²³. Mice with targeted expression of APOE4 315 in vascular mural cells modulate the transcriptome of many cells, particularly astrocytes¹²⁴. Collectively, 316 these studies provide transcriptomic evidence for observations related to pericyte dysfunction in vascular 317 dysfunction in AD related to neurovascular coupling and BBB integrity.

318

319 **Future Directions**

320 Single cell profiling reveals cell-type specific alterations in AD, and highlights core signaling pathways that 321 are dysfunctional across cell types. Combining genetic information with other metrics of cellular functions 322 will enhance our understanding of AD alterations in distinct cell types (Figure 7). For example, preserving 323 anatomical information using spatial transcriptomics may generate insight into the anatomical progression 324 of AD and define distinct neuronal projections susceptible to AD dysfunction. Expanding patient samples to resolve contributions from sex, race/ethnicity^{125,126}, genetic risk variants, and factors such as education, 325 326 sleep, and exercise habits, will further enhance our understanding of the cellular phenotypes driving 327 memory decline in AD patients. Existing datasets must be integrated and made easily shareable to expand 328 the heterogeneity of AD response and to define concordant gene expression changes across studies. 329 Further defining how human molecular signatures are recapitulated in mouse models and cell culture 330 preparations will lead to new experimental opportunities to dissect disease mechanisms.

Further characterizing distinct neuronal microcircuits and cell types that become dysfunctional in AD—and defining which cell states contribute to CSF and plasma biomarkers—may lead to new frameworks to define cellular substrates of AD progression. By identifying vulnerable cell types and the molecular programs that give rise to them, therapeutic interventions might reverse aberrant cellular trajectories. While many transcriptional alterations cell type specific, these changes ultimately might converge on shared signaling pathways across cell types that might represent targets for new therapeutic strategies.

338

339 Conclusion

340 Single cell profiling facilitates a nuanced portrait of the diverse cellular processes perturbed in the AD brain.

341 These varied molecular programs help explain the divergence between healthy aging and cognitive decline,

342 and highlight cell-type specific molecular programs involved in AD. Core signaling modules are disrupted

343 across multiple cell types, and manipulating disrupted cellular states will pave the way for new therapeutic

344 opportunities.

345 Acknowledgements

346 We are grateful to Hansruedi Mathys. Manolis Kellis and all members of his lab, and all members of the 347 Tsai lab for insightful discussions. We thank the following individuals for valuable discussions and helpful 348 feedback on this manuscript: Matheus Victor, Jay Penney, Emily Niederst, Leyla Akay, Djuna von Maydell, 349 Ping-Chieh Pao, Lorenzo Bozzelli, Adele Bubnys, Gwyneth Welch, Dong-Shin Park, and Julia Maeve 350 Bonner. L-H.T. acknowledges NIH R01AT011460-01 and NIH R37-NS051874-2. We thank the JPB 351 Foundation, the Belfer Neurodegeneration Consortium, the Glenn Foundation for Medical Research, the 352 Cure Alzheimer's Fund and the Alana Foundation. We gratefully acknowledge generous support from the 353 following individuals and institutions: Robert A. and Renee Belfer, the Ko Hahn family, the Carol and Gene Ludwig Family Foundation, the Halis Family Foundation, Lester A. Gimpelson, the Dolby family, Jay L. and 354 355 Carroll D. Miller, David B. Emmes, the Marc Haas Foundation.

356

All figures were created with BioRender.

359 Author contributions

360 M.H.M. and L-H.T. conceived the original idea and wrote the paper.

361362 Competing interests

363 The authors declare no competing interests related to this project.





Figure 1: Overview of central advantages of single cell approaches for the study of AD. Single cell 366 approaches highlight cell type and cell subtype specific vulnerability to disease. (a) Cell type specific 367 responses to disease. Bulk quantifications of gene expression report population averages, which belie changes from specific cell populations that may drive distinct pathological responses. For example, snRNA-368 seq revealed that APOE is downregulated in AD astrocytes but upregulated in microglia^{7,8} and some 369 neurons²⁰. (b) Cell subtype responses to disease. Bulk profiling based on cell type markers might mask 370 within-cell type heterogeneity, such as layer-specific neurons, non-myelinating oligodendrocytes. In 371 372 contrast, single cell profiling unmasks differential vulnerabilities to AD within distinct subsets of major cell 373 types. For example, neurons selectively vulnerable to AD neurodegeneration are marked by RORB¹⁸ and elevated APOE/MHC-I signaling²⁰, and neurons resilient to AD pathology are enriched in *MEF*2¹⁹. 374



7 Figure 2. Shared cellular pathways disturbed in AD as revealed by single cell genomics.

Differentially expressed genes across cell types are related to shared signaling motifs. Identifying common disrupted pathways may uncover core nodes of perturbation and lead to new therapeutic interventions related to multiple cells. Below we highlight common cellular pathways that are disrupted across multiple cell types in AD. Arrows denote transcriptional directions from prefrontal cortex⁸ (up arrow means up in AD compared to non-AD) and with a focus on genes showing concordant expression changes from other datasets and brain regions as highlighted in the text

changes from other datasets and brain regions as highlighted in the text.

Immune signaling. Nearly every cell type generates immune responses in AD, including transcriptional
 responses related to cytokine, chemokine, and MHC signaling. MHC signaling may related to synaptic
 plasticity and the unfolded protein response. The low-grade AD-related inflammation in every cell type
 associated with AD may be associated with metabolic reprogramming.

Lipid handling. Lipid signaling is crucial for many cell functions, such as sensing and shuttling lipid species
 and to accommodate the dynamic remodeling of plasma membrane required for the structural plasticity of
 dendritic spines, microglial processes, astrocytic endfeet, and nodes of Ranvier. Perturbed lipid signaling
 in many brain cell types in AD, underscore the importance of lipid signaling and metabolism.

•Unfolded protein response. Nearly every major cell type modulates protein misfolding pathways and
 integrated stress responses, and, related, mitochondrial function, highlighting energetic disruptions in AD
 cells. These findings suggest the milieu of the AD brain affects unfolded protein response and cellular stress
 even in cells not directly burdened by pathology.

•DNA damage and cellular senescence. DNA damage in neurons is associated with aging and is elevated
 in neurodegeneration²³, DNA damage is essential for the expression of learning related immediate early
 gene expression²⁷. Many cells in AD have impaired DNA repair enzyme pathways, potentially suggesting
 senescent state and loss of core cellular functions.

400 • *Vascular interactions*. Recent studies are beginning to profile the complex network of vascular cells in AD.

401 Existing datasets highlight signaling pathways perturbed across multiple brain cell types relating to 402 neurovascular coupling and BBB dysfunction in AD, including the cell-type specific secretion of 403 inflammatory molecules known to regulate vascular cells.



404 405

Figure 3. Single cell genomics reveal cell type specific perturbations in sensing and regulating 406 neural activity in AD. Neurons account for the vast majority of differentially expressed genes in AD. Genes 407 related to pre-synaptic, post-synaptic, and inhibitory synaptic machinery emerge in single transcriptomes 408 of AD neurons. For example, AD neurons upregulate SYN1, a gene that encodes synapsin 1, critical for 409 synaptic vesicle function, and downregulate TSPAN7, which encodes a tetraspanin thought to regulate 410 post-synaptic dendritic spine structure. Transcriptional programs associated with altered electrical 411 properties may be associated with neuronal vulnerability to AD. Additionally, non-neuronal cells modulate 412 genes that are involved in synaptic function. For example, genes related to synaptotagmin related genes are differentially expressed in astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, oligodendrocyte precursor cells, and microglia. 413 414 Several differentially expressed genes in non-neuronal cells converge on pathways that ultimately influence 415 neuronal function, such as genes related to synaptic pruning and activity-dependent ion channels. For 416 example, voltage gated ion channels, which might help non-neuronal cells sense neuronal activity, are also 417 modulated in multiple cell types. These highlight how many brain cell types are involved in sensing and 418 regulating neural activity, and suggest neural circuit dysfunction in AD is likely the consequence of multi-419 cellular signaling cascades.



Figure 4: Molecular programs adopted in AD microglia revealed by single cell genomics. Microglia 423 dysfunction in AD modulate genes related to synapse function, phagocytosis, and immune response. 424 Microglia regulate genes involved in myelination, such as LINGO1, a negative regulator of myelination, as 425 well as genes involved in axonogenesis (e.g., UNC5C and SLTI2). AD microglia also modulate complement 426 related genes, such as C1QC, which regulate synaptic pruning. Microglial harbor properties associated with 427 phagocytosis, and microglial response to amyloid has been well characterized, and genes in AD microglia 428 potentially related to amyloid response include those related to microglial plaque clustering phenotypes, 429 such as cell migration (e.g., MYO1E, which encodes a gene related to myosin), as well as genes involved 430 in metabolic reprogramming and cell growth (e.g., SAT1, which encodes an acetyltransferase, and RPS19, 431 a ribosomal subunit). As the innate immune cells of the brain, microglia are intimately involved in immune 432 response, and several differentially expressed genes in AD microglia are involved in immune response, 433 such as VSIG4 and FCGBP, genes involved in immunoglobulin response. TREM2 is a lipid receptor that is thought to govern microglia transitions to disease-associated states^{9,49}. Subtypes of microglia that regulate 434 435 plaques are marked by Hif1a in 5XFAD mice, which is associated with metabolic reprogramming in human 436 AD microglia.



438 439 Figure 5. Molecular programs adopted in AD astrocytes revealed by single cell genomics. Several 440 lines of evidence suggest astrocytes in AD become inflammatory and impair neural circuit function, 441 including plaque-associated barriers, and modulating lipid-related signaling networks. Single cell 442 genomics shed additional insight on these pathways and reveals astrocytes in AD modulate genes 443 related to neurotransmitter recycling, inflammatory response, and lipid metabolism. AD dysregulates 444 astrocytic genes involved in neurotransmitter receptors (such as GRIA2 and GRM3, which encode 445 subunits of glutamate receptors), ion channels (such as KCNIP4, which encodes a protein that interacts 446 with voltage-gated potassium channels), and even genes involved in synapses (such as LRRC7, which 447 encodes a component of the post synaptic density of excitatory synapses, and SYTL4, which encodes a 448 synaptotagmin). AD astrocytes also modulate genes involved in lipid metabolism, including APOE and PLCE1, which encodes a phospholipase. Several astrocytic genes differentially expressed in AD relate to 449 450 cytoskeletal remodeling, including GFAP (which encodes an intermediate filament), PLEC (which 451 encodes plectin, a protein that interacts with intermediate filaments), SYNM (which encodes another 452 intermediate filament), and ITGB4 (which encodes an integrin). AD astrocytes modify genes involved in 453 cell growth, such as SMURF2 (a member of the SMAD family important for cell growth). Collectively, 454 these transcriptional changes highlight signaling pathways altered in AD astrocytes.



Figure 6. Molecular programs adopted in AD oligodendroglia revealed by single cell genomics.

459 Oligodendrocytes in AD have altered pathways related to myelin synthesis, lipid trafficking, lipid

460 metabolism, and immune related changes. Oligodendrocyte precursor cells also changes expression of

461 genes related to neurotransmitter sensing and immune response.

462



463

465 Figure 7. Emerging methods to interrogate single cell profiles in AD.

466 Emerging methods in single cell profiling will enhance our understanding of the distinct cellular signaling 467 networks perturbed in AD. (a) Genetic analysis of single cells potentially enables the construction of dynamical cellular models according to "pseudotime," a quantitative measure of biological progression 468 469 through a cellular process. Applying these models to AD potentially enables the trajectory of distinct cell 470 types adopting new transcriptional states relating to disease progression, progression of microglia from 471 homeostatic states to disease-associated states⁵². (b) Spatial transcriptomics is an umbrella term referring 472 to techniques that combine mRNA readouts with spatial information. For example, one study revealed 473 reactive subsets of astrocytes occupy distinct anatomical locations, such one astrocyte population lining white matter tracts that express the matrix metalloprotease inhibitor Timp1, which has been shown to be 474 475 involved in amyloid response and has been shown to drive oligodendrocyte production and myelination and 476 another astrocyte population marked by the cytokine Cxc/10+ adjacent to blood vessels⁸⁴. Preserving spatial information while performing single cell profiling will likely further enhance our understanding of the 477 478 molecular mechanisms driving AD. (c) Ligand-receptor signaling involves predicting signaling interactions 479 based on ligand/receptor databases. This analysis has revealed, for example, dense peptidergic intracortical signaling networks⁴⁴. Expanding our understanding of cellular physical and signaling 480 481 interactions between brain cells with enhanced methods of examining these interaction networks will undoubtedly yield important insight into the progression of signaling networks in AD. (d) Multi-modal 482 483 profiling of single cells to simultaneously chromatin, RNA, and potentially metabolites are emerging 484 methods. These studies have revealed additional levels of regulation in distinct cell types in AD77. Combined 485 with enhanced sequencing depth, these methods will generate a richer portrait of cellular function in 486 neurodegeneration.

488	Table 1. Single-cell transcriptomic and epigenetic datasets from post-mortem AD tissue.
489	♦ signifies particularly noteworthy study

Study	Data ID	Patient cohort	Brain region	Sequencing strategy	Total nuclei
♦Mathys ⁸	syn18485175	48	PFC (BA10)	snRNA-seq	80,660
Davila ¹³	N/A	112	Hippocampus Entorhinal cortex	snRNA-seq	489,558
♦ Grubman ⁷	GSE138852	12	Entorhinal cortex	snRNA-seq	13,214
♦Leng ¹⁸	GSE147528	10	Caudal entorhinal cortex	snRNA-seq	42,528
_			Superior frontal gyrus	snRNA-seq	63,608
Zhou ⁹	syn21125841	32	Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	snRNA-seq	66,311
Lau ¹⁴	GSE157827	21	PFC (BA6, BA8, and BA9)	snRNA-seq	169,496
Otero- Garcia ¹²	GSE129308	8	Prefrontal cortex (BA9)	AT8 and MAP2 FACS	63,110
Alsema ¹²⁷	GSE146639	27	superior parietal lobe superior frontal gyrus	CD11/CD45 FACS; bc-Smart-seq2	
Marinaro ¹²⁸	N/A	12	PFC (BA9)	FACS neurons and glia; snRNA-seq	89,325
♦ Yang ¹¹⁶	GSE163577	17	hippocampus	Vascular enriched	143,793
		8	superior frontal cortex	fraction then snRNA- seq	
Gerrits ¹²⁹	GSE148822	18	occipitotemporal cortex and fusiform gyrus	NEUN ⁻ /OLIG2 ⁻ FACS, then snRNA- seq	482,472 nuclei
Del- Aguila ¹³⁰	http://ngi.pub/snu cIRNA-seq/	3	Parietal lobe	snRNA-seq	26,331
Olah ¹³¹		14	dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	CD11b+/CD45+,	16,242
		3	TNC	snRNA-seq	
♦Morabito ⁷⁷	syn3219045	20	PFC	snATAC-seq and snRNA-seq	191,890
Xu ¹³²	GSE181279	5	PBMCs	CD45 selection, then TCR-seq	36,849
Gate ¹³³	GSE134578	18	peripheral CD8+ TEMRA; CSF cells	TCR-seq	21,267
Smith ¹³⁴	GSE160936	12	entorhinal and somatosensory cortex	NEUN-/SOX10-	52,706 astrocytes and 27,592 microglia

491 Box 1. Considerations related to interpreting single cell data for the study of Alzheimer's disease. 492

AD classification. Assigning AD status is nontrivial because some individuals bearing AD pathology are cognitively normal, while some individuals clinically diagnosed with AD are found to not harbor AD pathology¹⁹. Variants in genetic risk factors such as APOE or TREM2⁹ generate pleiotropic molecular effects. These factors underscore the importance of considering AD classification in profiling patient samples and interpreting single cell data.

Patient selection. Sex is a critical consideration in patient selection, as sex-specific AD associations have
 emerged in single cell profiling⁸. Additionally, racial and ethnic factors may be associated with differential
 AD risk^{125,126}, but these potential differences driving AD susceptibility are poorly understood at the single
 cell level. Many other confounds, including education, diet, sleep patterns, and exercise habits are known
 to generate epidemiological effects on AD risk and therefore may affect conclusions from single cell
 profiling—and may confer a tractable opportunity to define underpinnings of AD vulnerability.

Brain region. The anatomical routes AD pathology progresses through the brain are incompletely understood. Single cell studies are beginning to unravel this complexity by examining multiple brain regions, and spatial transcriptomics is facilitating insight into brain-wide transcriptional modules associated with AD dysfunction.

511 Single cell preparations. Archived brain tissue often suffers from compromised structural integrity, 512 complicating efforts to purify whole cells, so most studies of human tissue rely on nuclei purification¹³⁵. 513 However, single nuclei preparations of microglia may not capture many AD-associated microglial genes 514 that are observed in single soma preparations¹³⁶, and cytoplasmic mRNA may be lost in many other cell 515 types. Additionally, debris related to single cell preparations following nuclei purification can complicate 516 downstream analyses; while sorting nuclei by FACS prior to loading cells may remove some debris, stress-517 associated artifacts from cell sorting may confound some analyses.

519 Tissue preparation. Enzymatic dissociation induces stress-related transcriptional changes in microglia, and 520 a cocktail of transcription/translation inhibitors (actinomycin D, ansiomycin, and triptolide) are thought to prevent dissociation related transcriptional responses¹³⁷. Differences in single cell preparations between 521 experimenters may further bias the enrichment of certain cell types, which may explain differences in 522 523 proportions in brain cell types across datasets. Furthermore, some cell types evade certain preparations. 524 For example, many vascular cells resist single cell dissociation protocols, which may account for their low yields in most datasets, and strainer-based methods to capture intact blood vessels helps enrich vascular 525 526 segments for single cell analysis¹¹⁶.

528 Computational analysis. Quality filtering, such as metrics of cell health (e.g., number of mitochondrial genes 529 in nuclei datasets) and feature selection (e.g., number of genes detected, which can be a proxy for 530 doublets), can affect downstream conclusions. Additionally, clustering resolution to define cell types can be 531 highly dependent on individual experiments, and individual clustering algorithms can require user-defined 532 parameters. Differential gene expression analysis is also highly variable, reinforcing the importance of 533 biological validation. As sample sizes increase, batch correction and data integration across multiple 534 datasets presents several statistical challenges. Thus, harmonizing single cell datasets may be essential 535 to generate biological insight across analytical methods and tissue preparation protocols.

537 *Genomic programs and biological function.* AD-specific transcriptional differences may not reflect biological differences, partly because gene transcripts may not generate differences in protein levels owing to multiple
 539 levels of regulation. Furthermore, non-genomic programs may account for many aspects of AD dysfunction,
 540 including post-translational modifications and mRNA regulation, such as regulation of local protein
 541 synthesis in distinct cellular compartments and cell types, which may not be captured by single cell profiling.

542

536

543 Box 2. Cellular identity, cell states, and disease-associated molecular programs 544

545 Classifying cell types requires multiple levels of characterization to correlate transcriptional and epigenomic 546 profiles of cellular identity with functional and developmental state¹³⁸. In the study of AD, single cell 547 genomics have provided a great deal of insight into transcriptional alterations in major brain cell types, but 548 how these transcriptional profiles correlate with functional state in AD progression are incompletely 549 understood. Sub-clustering analysis within major cell types often reveals transcriptionally distinct subtypes 550 of cells within the AD brain that may be associated with AD pathology and cognitive dysfunction. Given the 551 functional plasticity of cells, these sub-clusters may represent functionally distinct cell states that emerge 552 in disease. Nevertheless, even neurons not burdened by tau pathology¹⁸ and microglia not directly 553 phagocytosing amyloid plague⁵⁰ modulate genes related to cellular stress and protein folding in AD. These 554 findings highlight the heterogeneity of disease-related molecular programs across and within cell types.

555 556 Disease-related molecular signatures may reflect cells sampling a distinct space of their cell identity, and 557 the plasticity of these cell states may reflect the capacity for disease-modifying treatments to return cells to 558 a homeostatic equilibrium. For example, the transcription factor PU.1 is thought to govern aspects of 559 microglial state in AD^{77,79}, and modulating PU.1 can alter microglial gene expression¹³⁹ in a potentially 560 therapeutically useful manner. Similarly, genes related to neuronal hyperactivity may relate to vulnerability 561 or resilience to degeneration, and targeting neuronal hyperactivity using levetiracetam, an anti-epileptic agent which reduces hyperactivity and improves memory in APP/PS1 mice¹⁴⁰, may provide benefit for some 562 563 AD patients¹⁴¹. Other efforts have identified molecular regulators of disease-associated states in astrocytes^{77,86} and oligodendrocytes⁷⁷. Further defining the molecular regulators of cellular states—and 564 565 interrogating how therapeutic intervention might target state-related pathways-may advance the treatment 566 of AD.

568 Single cell transcriptomics reveal downregulation of cell-type specific marker genes for some cell types. For 569 example, microglia, interneurons, and endothelial cells downregulate canonical markers in AD. Impairment 570 of signaling pathways directly encoded by these marker genes may influence neuronal circuits (such as reduced fractalkine and purine signaling in microglia^{67,68}, or reduced neuropeptide signaling in 571 572 interneurons⁴⁶). More broadly, loss of marker genes may indicate the very transcriptional programs governing the identity of distinct cell types is lost in AD, potentially leading to cellular senescence. 573 574 Accordingly, senolytic treatment, which ameliorates pathology and cognitive impairment in APP/PS1 575 mice¹⁰⁸ may represent a therapeutic strategy for the treatment of AD¹⁴².

576 577

567

579 Box 3. Single cell genetics, GWAS risk variants, and AD biomarkers.580

581 Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have highlighted genetic variants associated with sporadic,
 582 late-onset Alzheimer's disease^{47,143,144}. Genomic information from single cells facilitates biological insight
 583 captured from GWAS studies in several ways:

Single cell approaches help define which cell types highly express AD risk genes. For example,
 compared to other brain cell types, microglia are thought to express relatively higher levels of the risk
 variants *TREM2* and *CD33*^{58,145}. The observation that expression of AD risk genes are enriched in
 immune cells has contributed to the hypothesis that immune mechanisms may play causal roles in AD⁴⁷.

590 •Distinct cell types differentially modulate the expression of AD risk genes¹⁴⁴. For example, the risk gene 591 CNTNAP2, a neuroxin family gene involved in cell adhesion, is upregulated in late-pathology AD neurons⁸ 592 but downregulated in astrocytes^{7,8}; BIN1 is upregulated only in AD excitatory neurons^{7,8}; APOE is 593 upregulated in microglia⁷⁻⁹ and vulnerable populations of neurons²⁰ but downregulated in astrocytes⁷⁻⁹. 594 The gene products of these risk variants likely affect many cellular processes in AD. Manipulations of risk 595 variants within certain cells types, such as selective removal of neuronal APOE4¹⁴⁶ or astrocytic APOE4⁸⁸ 596 in mice, highlight how cell type specific expression of risk gene variants governs signaling alterations in 597 AD.

598 599 Mutations in one gene can modify the expression and function of many other genes across many cell types. For example, individuals harboring APOE4¹²³ and TREM2 R47H⁹ mutations carry differential 600 expression for many genes across many cell types. Single genetic variants, such as 5XFAD mice lacking 601 Ccr7¹⁴⁷ or Trem2⁹, and APOE4-knock in mice^{88,148}, generate widespread transcriptional variations in 602 many cell types. Collectively, these findings underscore how single genetic perturbations vastly alter 603 604 many cell types. Recent in vitro studies enable the perturbation of AD risk factors in distinct cell types, 605 such as APOE genotype in distinct vascular¹²³ and glial¹⁴⁹ cell types, which further illustrate how risk 606 variants affect distinct cell types. Single cell studies also suggest highlight expression of genes upstream 607 of GWAS genes. For example, AD astrocytes upregulate of TFEB, which is upstream of ten GWAS loci, 608 and which might control astrocytic disease-state transition⁷.

609 610 Single cell genomics also generate insight into cell-type specific contributions to AD biomarkers. For 611 example, CHI3L1, which encodes chitinase-like protein, a candidate cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarker for preclinical AD, is upregulated in AD microglia⁹. Similarly, AD microglia upregulate SORL1⁹ and A2M⁹, 612 613 which encode CSF biomarkers, and downregulate FTH19, a serum marker. These findings highlight the potentially causal roles of immune mechanisms in AD. AD neurons downregulate NEFL and BDNF^{7,8}, 614 615 which encode plasma biomarkers. Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), another biomarker, is upregulated 616 in astrocytes from AD patients^{7,8} and 5XFAD mice⁵⁰. These findings showcase how single cell 617 approaches define cell states potentially responsible for AD biomarkers, which may facilitate efforts to 618 define cellular substrates driving distinct clinically distinct subtypes and pathological stages of AD. 619

620 Collectively, the overlap between risk variants and single cell genomics provide insight into cell types and
 621 signaling pathways that may govern AD progression. Further defining how genetic risk interface with non 622 genetic factors my further reveal signaling nodes governing AD pathogenesis, potentially informing new
 623 therapeutic approaches.

- 626 References:
- 4. Yao, Z. *et al.* A transcriptomic and epigenomic cell atlas of the mouse primary motor cortex. *Nature*598, 103–110 (2021).
- 629 2. Zeisel, A. et al. Molecular Architecture of the Mouse Nervous System. Cell 174, 999-1014.e22 (2018).
- 630 3. Tasic, B. *et al.* Shared and distinct transcriptomic cell types across neocortical areas. *Nature* 563, 72–
 631 78 (2018).
- 4. Saunders, A. *et al.* Molecular Diversity and Specializations among the Cells of the Adult Mouse Brain.
 Cell 174, 1015-1030.e16 (2018).
- 5. Lake, B. B. *et al.* Neuronal subtypes and diversity revealed by single-nucleus RNA sequencing of the human brain. *Science* 352, 1586–1590 (2016).
- 6. Zhong, S. *et al.* A single-cell RNA-seq survey of the developmental landscape of the human prefrontal cortex. *Nature* 555, 524–528 (2018).
- 638
 7. Grubman, A. *et al.* A single-cell atlas of entorhinal cortex from individuals with Alzheimer's disease
 639 reveals cell-type-specific gene expression regulation. *Nat Neurosci* 22, 2087–2097 (2019).
- 8. Mathys, H. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 570, 332–337 (2019).
- 642
 9. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Human and mouse single-nucleus transcriptomics reveal TREM2-dependent and TREM2-independent cellular responses in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Med* 26, 131–142 (2020).
- Villa, K. L. *et al.* Inhibitory synapses are repeatedly assembled and removed at persistent sites in vivo. *Neuron* 89, 756–769 (2016).
- Kurucu, H. *et al.* Inhibitory synapse loss and accumulation of amyloid beta in inhibitory
 presynaptic terminals in Alzheimer's disease. *European Journal of Neurology* n/a,.
- 648 12. Otero-Garcia, M. *et al.* Molecular signatures underlying neurofibrillary tangle susceptibility in
 649 Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron* 0, (2022).
- Davila-Velderrain, J. et al. Single-cell anatomical analysis of human hippocampus and entorhinal
 cortex uncovers early-stage molecular pathology in Alzheimer's disease. 2021.07.01.450715
 https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.07.01.450715v1 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.07.01.450715.
- Lau, S.-F., Cao, H., Fu, A. K. Y. & Ip, N. Y. Single-nucleus transcriptome analysis reveals
 dysregulation of angiogenic endothelial cells and neuroprotective glia in Alzheimer's disease. *PNAS* **117**, 25800–25809 (2020).
- Li, S. & Sheng, Z.-H. Energy matters: presynaptic metabolism and the maintenance of synaptic transmission. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 1–19 (2021) doi:10.1038/s41583-021-00535-8.
- Cheng, X.-T., Huang, N. & Sheng, Z.-H. Programming axonal mitochondrial maintenance and
 bioenergetics in neurodegeneration and regeneration. *Neuron* **110**, 1899–1923 (2022).
- Fu, H. *et al.* A tau homeostasis signature is linked with the cellular and regional vulnerability of
 excitatory neurons to tau pathology. *Nat Neurosci* 22, 47–56 (2019).
- Leng, K. *et al.* Molecular characterization of selectively vulnerable neurons in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Neurosci* 24, 276–287 (2021).
- Barker, S. J. *et al.* MEF2 is a key regulator of cognitive potential and confers resilience to
 neurodegeneration. *Science Translational Medicine* 13, eabd7695.
- Zalocusky, K. A. *et al.* Neuronal ApoE upregulates MHC-I expression to drive selective
 neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Neurosci* 24, 786–798 (2021).
- Tiscione, S. A. *et al.* IP3R-driven increases in mitochondrial Ca2+ promote neuronal death in
 NPC disease. *PNAS* 118, (2021).
- 670 22. Welch, G. & Tsai, L.-H. Mechanisms of DNA damage-mediated neurotoxicity in 671 neurodegenerative disease. *EMBO Rep* **23**, e54217 (2022).
- Lodato, M. A. *et al.* Aging and neurodegeneration are associated with increased mutations in single human neurons. *Science* 359, 555–559 (2018).
- 24. Zhu, Q., Niu, Y., Gundry, M. & Zong, C. Single-cell damagenome profiling unveils vulnerable
 genes and functional pathways in human genome toward DNA damage. *Science Advances* 7,
 eabf3329.
- Welch, G. M. *et al.* Neurons burdened by DNA double-strand breaks incite microglia activation
 through antiviral-like signaling in neurodegeneration. *Sci Adv* 8, eabo4662 (2022).
- 679 26. Wu, W. *et al.* Neuronal enhancers are hotspots for DNA single-strand break repair. *Nature* 593, 440–444 (2021).

- 681 27. Madabhushi, R. *et al.* Activity-Induced DNA Breaks Govern the Expression of Neuronal Early 682 Response Genes. *Cell* 161, 1592–1605 (2015).
- Habib, N. *et al.* Div-Seq: Single-nucleus RNA-Seq reveals dynamics of rare adult newborn neurons. *Science* 353, 925–928 (2016).
- Berner, H., Zeisel, A., Lönnerberg, P. & Linnarsson, S. Conserved properties of dentate
 gyrus neurogenesis across postnatal development revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. *Nat Neurosci* 21, 290–299 (2018).
- Burante, M. A. *et al.* Single-cell analysis of olfactory neurogenesis and differentiation in adult humans. *Nat Neurosci* 23, 323–326 (2020).
- Rohrback, S. *et al.* Submegabase copy number variations arise during cerebral cortical
 neurogenesis as revealed by single-cell whole-genome sequencing. *PNAS* 115, 10804–10809 (2018).
- Ayhan, F. *et al.* Resolving cellular and molecular diversity along the hippocampal anterior-to posterior axis in humans. *Neuron* **109**, 2091-2105.e6 (2021).
- 33. Zhou, Y. *et al.* Molecular landscapes of human hippocampal immature neurons across lifespan.
 Nature 607, 527–533 (2022).
- 696 34. Franjic, D. *et al.* Transcriptomic taxonomy and neurogenic trajectories of adult human, macaque, 697 and pig hippocampal and entorhinal cells. *Neuron* (2021) doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2021.10.036.
- 698 35. Arber, C. *et al.* Familial Alzheimer's Disease Mutations in PSEN1 Lead to Premature Human 699 Stem Cell Neurogenesis. *Cell Reports* **34**, (2021).
- Cosacak, M. I. *et al.* Single-Cell Transcriptomics Analyses of Neural Stem Cell Heterogeneity and
 Contextual Plasticity in a Zebrafish Brain Model of Amyloid Toxicity. *Cell Reports* 27, 1307-1318.e3
 (2019).
- 703 37. Mi, D. *et al.* Early emergence of cortical interneuron diversity in the mouse embryo. *Science* 360, 81–85 (2018).
- Tasic, B. *et al.* Adult mouse cortical cell taxonomy revealed by single cell transcriptomics. *Nat Neurosci* 19, 335–346 (2016).
- 707 39. Krienen, F. M. *et al.* Innovations present in the primate interneuron repertoire. *Nature* 586, 262–
 708 269 (2020).
- Yu, Y. *et al.* Interneuron origin and molecular diversity in the human fetal brain. *Nat Neurosci* 24, 1745–1756 (2021).
- 41. Bugeon, S. *et al.* A transcriptomic axis predicts state modulation of cortical interneurons. *Nature*607, 330–338 (2022).
- Martinez-Losa, M. *et al.* Nav1.1-Overexpressing Interneuron Transplants Restore Brain Rhythms
 and Cognition in a Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *Neuron* 98, 75-89.e5 (2018).
- Alzheimer's disease-like pathology. *Nat Commun* 8, 1464 (2017).
- 717 44. Smith, S. J. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomic evidence for dense intracortical neuropeptide
 718 networks. *eLife* 8, e47889 (2019).
- 719 45. Zhong, W. *et al.* The neuropeptide landscape of human prefrontal cortex. *Proceedings of the* 720 *National Academy of Sciences* 119, e2123146119 (2022).
- 46. Cauli, B. *et al.* Cortical GABA Interneurons in Neurovascular Coupling: Relays for Subcortical
 Vasoactive Pathways. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 8940–8949 (2004).
- 47. Jansen, I. E. *et al.* Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies new loci and functional pathways
 influencing Alzheimer's disease risk. *Nat Genet* 51, 404–413 (2019).
- 725 48. Chen, Y. & Colonna, M. Microglia in Alzheimer's disease at single-cell level. Are there common
 726 patterns in humans and mice? *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 218, (2021).
- 49. Keren-Shaul, H. *et al.* A Unique Microglia Type Associated with Restricting Development of
 Alzheimer's Disease. *Cell* 169, 1276-1290.e17 (2017).
- 729 50. Grubman, A. *et al.* Transcriptional signature in microglia associated with Aβ plaque phagocytosis.
 730 Nat Commun 12, 3015 (2021).
- March-Diaz, R. *et al.* Hypoxia compromises the mitochondrial metabolism of Alzheimer's disease
 microglia via HIF1. *Nat Aging* 1, 385–399 (2021).
- Mathys, H. *et al.* Temporal Tracking of Microglia Activation in Neurodegeneration at Single-Cell
 Resolution. *Cell Rep* 21, 366–380 (2017).

- Friedman, B. A. *et al.* Diverse Brain Myeloid Expression Profiles Reveal Distinct Microglial
 Activation States and Aspects of Alzheimer's Disease Not Evident in Mouse Models. *Cell Reports* 22, 832–847 (2018).
- 54. Sala Frigerio, C. *et al.* The Major Risk Factors for Alzheimer's Disease: Age, Sex, and Genes
 Modulate the Microglia Response to Aβ Plaques. *Cell Reports* 27, 1293-1306.e6 (2019).
- Yang, H. S. *et al.* Natural genetic variation determines microglia heterogeneity in wild-derived
 mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Cell Reports* 34, 108739 (2021).
- 56. Sayed, F. A. *et al.* AD-linked R47H-TREM2 mutation induces disease-enhancing microglial states
 via AKT hyperactivation. *Science Translational Medicine* (2021) doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.abe3947.
- 74457.Jaitin, D. A. *et al.* Lipid-Associated Macrophages Control Metabolic Homeostasis in a Trem2-745Dependent Manner. *Cell* **178**, 686-698.e14 (2019).
- Krasemann, S. *et al.* The TREM2-APOE Pathway Drives the Transcriptional Phenotype of
 Dysfunctional Microglia in Neurodegenerative Diseases. *Immunity* 47, 566-581.e9 (2017).
- 59. Shi, Y. *et al.* Overexpressing low-density lipoprotein receptor reduces tau-associated
 neurodegeneration in relation to apoE-linked mechanisms. *Neuron* 109, 2413-2426.e7 (2021).
- Badimon, A. *et al.* Negative feedback control of neuronal activity by microglia. *Nature* 586, 417–423 (2020).
- Merlini, M. *et al.* Microglial Gi-dependent dynamics regulate brain network hyperexcitability. *Nat Neurosci* 24, 19–23 (2021).
- Hong, S. *et al.* Complement and microglia mediate early synapse loss in Alzheimer mouse
 models. *Science* 352, 712–716 (2016).
- Gunner, G. *et al.* Sensory lesioning induces microglial synapse elimination via ADAM10 and
 fractalkine signaling. *Nat Neurosci* 22, 1075–1088 (2019).
- Victor, M. B. *et al.* Lipid accumulation induced by APOE4 impairs microglial surveillance of neuronal-network activity. *Cell Stem Cell* 29, 1197-1212.e8 (2022).
- Favuzzi, E. *et al.* GABA-receptive microglia selectively sculpt developing inhibitory circuits. *Cell* **184**, 4048-4063.e32 (2021).
- 56. Stogsdill, J. A. *et al.* Pyramidal neuron subtype diversity governs microglia states in the neocortex. *Nature* 1–7 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05056-7.
- Bisht, K. *et al.* Capillary-associated microglia regulate vascular structure and function through
 PANX1-P2RY12 coupling in mice. *Nat Commun* 12, 5289 (2021).
- 68. Császár, E. *et al.* Microglia modulate blood flow, neurovascular coupling, and hypoperfusion via
 purinergic actions. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **219**, e20211071 (2022).
- Masuda, T. *et al.* Specification of CNS macrophage subsets occurs postnatally in defined niches.
 Nature 604, 740–748 (2022).
- 770 70. Munro, D. A. D., Movahedi, K. & Priller, J. Macrophage compartmentalization in the brain and
 771 cerebrospinal fluid system. *Science Immunology* 7, eabk0391 (2022).
- 772 71. Vanlandewijck, M. *et al.* A molecular atlas of cell types and zonation in the brain vasculature.
 773 *Nature* 554, 475–480 (2018).
- 774 72. Van Hove, H. *et al.* A single-cell atlas of mouse brain macrophages reveals unique transcriptional
 775 identities shaped by ontogeny and tissue environment. *Nat Neurosci* 22, 1021–1035 (2019).
- 776
 73. Hernández, J. C. C. *et al.* Neutrophil adhesion in brain capillaries reduces cortical blood flow and impairs memory function in Alzheimer's disease mouse models. *Nature Neuroscience* 22, 413 (2019).
- 778 74. Sankowski, R. *et al.* Mapping microglia states in the human brain through the integration of high 779 dimensional techniques. *Nat Neurosci* 22, 2098–2110 (2019).
- 780 75. Safaiyan, S. *et al.* White matter aging drives microglial diversity. *Neuron* **109**, 1100-1117.e10
 (2021).
- 782 76. Hughes, A. N. & Appel, B. Microglia phagocytose myelin sheaths to modify developmental myelination. *Nat Neurosci* 23, 1055–1066 (2020).
- 784 77. Morabito, S. *et al.* Single-nucleus chromatin accessibility and transcriptomic characterization of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **53**, 1143–1155 (2021).
- 786
 78. Novikova, G. *et al.* Integration of Alzheimer's disease genetics and myeloid genomics identifies
 787 disease risk regulatory elements and genes. *Nat Commun* 12, 1610 (2021).
- 788 79. Huang, K. *et al.* A common haplotype lowers PU.1 expression in myeloid cells and delays onset
 789 of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Neurosci* 20, 1052–1061 (2017).

- 790 80. Cao, H. *et al.* Association of SPI1 Haplotypes with Altered SPI1 Gene Expression and
 791 Alzheimer's Disease Risk. *J Alzheimers Dis* 86, 1861–1873.
- 792 81. Dräger, N. M. *et al.* A CRISPRi/a platform in human iPSC-derived microglia uncovers regulators
 793 of disease states. *Nat Neurosci* 1–14 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41593-022-01131-4.
- Batiuk, M. Y. *et al.* Identification of region-specific astrocyte subtypes at single cell resolution. *Nat Commun* 11, 1220 (2020).
- Bayraktar, O. A. *et al.* Astrocyte layers in the mammalian cerebral cortex revealed by a single-cell
 in situ transcriptomic map. *Nat Neurosci* 23, 500–509 (2020).
- Hasel, P., Rose, I. V. L., Sadick, J. S., Kim, R. D. & Liddelow, S. A. Neuroinflammatory astrocyte
 subtypes in the mouse brain. *Nat Neurosci* 24, 1475–1487 (2021).
- 800 85. Escartin, C. *et al.* Reactive astrocyte nomenclature, definitions, and future directions. *Nat* 801 *Neurosci* 24, 312–325 (2021).
- 802 86. Habib, N. *et al.* Disease-associated astrocytes in Alzheimer's disease and aging. *Nat Neurosci* 23, 701–706 (2020).
- 804 87. Ioannou, M. S. *et al.* Neuron-Astrocyte Metabolic Coupling Protects against Activity-Induced Fatty
 805 Acid Toxicity. *Cell* 177, 1522-1535.e14 (2019).
- 806
 88. Wang, C. *et al.* Selective removal of astrocytic APOE4 strongly protects against tau-mediated neurodegeneration and decreases synaptic phagocytosis by microglia. *Neuron* 109, 1657-1674.e7 (2021).
- 809 89. Ballabio, A. & Bonifacino, J. S. Lysosomes as dynamic regulators of cell and organismal
 810 homeostasis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 21, 101–118 (2020).
- 811 90. Xu, Y., Kong, J. & Hu, P. Computational Drug Repurposing for Alzheimer's Disease Using Risk
 812 Genes From GWAS and Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Studies. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* 12, 1614
 813 (2021).
- 814 91. Marques, S. *et al.* Oligodendrocyte heterogeneity in the mouse juvenile and adult central nervous
 815 system. *Science* 352, 1326–1329 (2016).
- 816
 92. Falcão, A. M. *et al.* Disease-specific oligodendrocyte lineage cells arise in multiple sclerosis. *Nat* 817 *Med* 24, 1837–1844 (2018).
- 93. Jäkel, S. *et al.* Altered human oligodendrocyte heterogeneity in multiple sclerosis. *Nature* 566,
 543–547 (2019).
- 820 94. Chen, J.-F. *et al.* Enhancing myelin renewal reverses cognitive dysfunction in a murine model of 821 Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron* **109**, 2292-2307.e5 (2021).
- Bonetto, G., Belin, D. & Káradóttir, R. T. Myelin: A gatekeeper of activity-dependent circuit
 plasticity? *Science* 374, eaba6905.
- 824 96. de Faria, O. *et al.* Periods of synchronized myelin changes shape brain function and plasticity.
 825 Nat Neurosci 24, 1508–1521 (2021).
- Yang, S. M., Michel, K., Jokhi, V., Nedivi, E. & Arlotta, P. Neuron class–specific responses
 govern adaptive myelin remodeling in the neocortex. *Science* (2020) doi:10.1126/science.abd2109.
- Pan, S., Mayoral, S. R., Choi, H. S., Chan, J. R. & Kheirbek, M. A. Preservation of a remote fear memory requires new myelin formation. *Nat Neurosci* 23, 487–499 (2020).
- 830 99. Kirby, L. *et al.* Oligodendrocyte precursor cells present antigen and are cytotoxic targets in
 831 inflammatory demyelination. *Nat Commun* **10**, 3887 (2019).
- Kenigsbuch, M. *et al.* A shared disease-associated oligodendrocyte signature among multiple
 CNS pathologies. *Nat Neurosci* 25, 876–886 (2022).
- Arai, K. & Lo, E. H. An Oligovascular Niche: Cerebral Endothelial Cells Promote the Survival and
 Proliferation of Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells. *J Neurosci* 29, 4351–4355 (2009).
- Pham, L.-D. D. *et al.* Crosstalk between oligodendrocytes and cerebral endothelium contributes
 to vascular remodeling after white matter injury. *Glia* 60, 875–881 (2012).
- 838 103. Marques, S. *et al.* Transcriptional Convergence of Oligodendrocyte Lineage Progenitors during
 839 Development. *Developmental Cell* 46, 504-517.e7 (2018).
- 840
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
 841
- Huang, W. *et al.* Origins and Proliferative States of Human Oligodendrocyte Precursor Cells. *Cell* **182**, 594-608.e11 (2020).
- Fu, Y. *et al.* Heterogeneity of glial progenitor cells during the neurogenesis-to-gliogenesis switch
 in the developing human cerebral cortex. *Cell Reports* 34, 108788 (2021).

846 107. Káradóttir, R., Hamilton, N. B., Bakiri, Y. & Attwell, D. Spiking and nonspiking classes of 847 oligodendrocyte precursor glia in CNS white matter. Nat Neurosci 11, 450-456 (2008). 848 108. Zhang, P. et al. Senolytic therapy alleviates Aβ-associated oligodendrocyte progenitor cell 849 senescence and cognitive deficits in an Alzheimer's disease model. Nat Neurosci 22, 719-728 (2019). 850 109. Zhang, H. et al. Cerebral blood flow in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: A 851 systematic review and meta-analysis. Ageing Research Reviews 71, 101450 (2021). 852 Chen, M. B. et al. Brain Endothelial Cells Are Exquisite Sensors of Age-Related Circulatory Cues. 110. 853 Cell Reports 30, 4418-4432.e4 (2020). 854 Kalucka, J. et al. Single-Cell Transcriptome Atlas of Murine Endothelial Cells. Cell 180, 764-111. 855 779.e20 (2020). 856 Yousef, H. et al. Aged blood impairs hippocampal neural precursor activity and activates 112. 857 microglia via brain endothelial cell VCAM1. Nat Med 25, 988-1000 (2019). 858 Zhao, L. et al. Pharmacologically reversible zonation-dependent endothelial cell transcriptomic 113. 859 changes with neurodegenerative disease associations in the aged brain. Nat Commun 11, 4413 860 (2020). 861 Wälchli, T. et al. Molecular atlas of the human brain vasculature at the single-cell level. 114. 862 2021.10.18.464715 https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.10.18.464715v1 (2021) 863 doi:10.1101/2021.10.18.464715. 864 Sun, N. et al. Single-cell multi-region dissection of brain vasculature in Alzheimer's Disease. 115. 865 2022.02.09.479797 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.09.479797 (2022). 866 Yang, A. C. et al. A human brain vascular atlas reveals diverse mediators of Alzheimer's risk. 116. 867 Nature 1-8 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04369-3. 868 117. Winkler, E. A. et al. A single-cell atlas of the normal and malformed human brain vasculature. 869 Science 375, eabi7377 (2022). 870 Profaci, C. P., Munji, R. N., Pulido, R. S. & Daneman, R. The blood-brain barrier in health and 118. 871 disease: Important unanswered questions. J Exp Med 217, e20190062 (2020). 872 Zou, C. et al. Reduction of mNAT1/hNAT2 Contributes to Cerebral Endothelial Necroptosis and 119. 873 Aβ Accumulation in Alzheimer's Disease. Cell Reports 33, 108447 (2020). 874 Gonzales, A. L. et al. Contractile pericytes determine the direction of blood flow at capillary 120. 875 junctions. PNAS 117, 27022-27033 (2020). 876 121. Hartmann, D. A. et al. Brain capillary pericytes exert a substantial but slow influence on blood 877 flow. Nat Neurosci 24, 633-645 (2021). 878 Nortley, R. et al. Amyloid β oligomers constrict human capillaries in Alzheimer's disease via 122. 879 signaling to pericytes. Science 365, (2019). 880 Blanchard, J. W. et al. Reconstruction of the human blood-brain barrier in vitro reveals a 123. 881 pathogenic mechanism of APOE4 in pericytes. Nat Med 26, 952-963 (2020). 882 124. Yamazaki, Y. et al. Vascular ApoE4 Impairs Behavior by Modulating Gliovascular Function. 883 Neuron 109, 438-447.e6 (2021). 884 Barnes, L. L. Alzheimer disease in African American individuals: increased incidence or not 125. 885 enough data? Nat Rev Neurol 18, 56-62 (2022). 886 Vila-Castelar, C., Fox-Fuller, J. T., Guzmán-Vélez, E., Schoemaker, D. & Quiroz, Y. T. A cultural 126. 887 approach to dementia — insights from US Latino and other minoritized groups. Nat Rev Neurol 18, 888 307-314 (2022). 889 Alsema, A. M. et al. Profiling Microglia From Alzheimer's Disease Donors and Non-demented 127. 890 Elderly in Acute Human Postmortem Cortical Tissue. Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience 13, 134 891 (2020). 892 Marinaro, F. et al. Molecular and cellular pathology of monogenic Alzheimer's disease at single 128. 893 cell resolution. 2020.07.14.202317 https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.07.14.202317v1 894 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.07.14.202317. 895 129. Gerrits, E. et al. Distinct amyloid-β and tau-associated microglia profiles in Alzheimer's disease. 896 Acta Neuropathol 141, 681-696 (2021). 897 Del-Aguila, J. L. et al. A single-nuclei RNA sequencing study of Mendelian and sporadic AD in the 130. 898 human brain. Alzheimer's Research & Therapy 11, 71 (2019). 899 Olah, M. et al. Single cell RNA sequencing of human microglia uncovers a subset associated with 131. 900 Alzheimer's disease. Nat Commun 11, 6129 (2020).

- 901 132. Xu, H. & Jia, J. Single-Cell RNA Sequencing of Peripheral Blood Reveals Immune Cell 902 Signatures in Alzheimer's Disease. Frontiers in Immunology **12**, 2727 (2021).
- 903 Gate, D. et al. Clonally expanded CD8 T cells patrol the cerebrospinal fluid in Alzheimer's 133. 904 disease. Nature 577, 399-404 (2020).
- 905 134. Smith, A. M. et al. Diverse human astrocyte and microglial transcriptional responses to 906 Alzheimer's pathology. Acta Neuropathol 143, 75-91 (2022).
- 907 Krishnaswami, S. R. et al. Using single nuclei for RNA-seq to capture the transcriptome of 135. 908 postmortem neurons. Nat Protoc 11, 499-524 (2016).
- 909 Thrupp, N. et al. Single-Nucleus RNA-Seq Is Not Suitable for Detection of Microglial Activation 136. 910 Genes in Humans. Cell Rep 32, 108189 (2020).
- 911 Marsh, S. E. et al. Dissection of artifactual and confounding glial signatures by single-cell 137. 912 sequencing of mouse and human brain. Nat Neurosci 25, 306-316 (2022).
- 913 Zeng, H. What is a cell type and how to define it? Cell 185, 2739–2755 (2022). 138.
- 914 Rustenhoven, J. et al. PU.1 regulates Alzheimer's disease-associated genes in primary human 139. 915 microglia. Molecular Neurodegeneration 13, 44 (2018).
- 916 140. Sanchez, P. E. et al. Levetiracetam suppresses neuronal network dysfunction and reverses 917 synaptic and cognitive deficits in an Alzheimer's disease model. Proceedings of the National Academy 918 of Sciences 109, E2895-E2903 (2012).
- 919 Vossel, K. et al. Effect of Levetiracetam on Cognition in Patients With Alzheimer Disease With 141. 920 and Without Epileptiform Activity: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Neurology 78, 1345-1354 921 (2021).
- 922 Gonzales, M. M. et al. Senolytic Therapy to Modulate the Progression of Alzheimer's Disease 142. 923 (SToMP-AD): A Pilot Clinical Trial. J Prev Alzheimers Dis 9, 22-29 (2022).
- 924 Wightman, D. P. et al. A genome-wide association study with 1,126,563 individuals identifies new 143. 925 risk loci for Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 53, 1276-1282 (2021).
- 926 Bellenguez, C. et al. New insights into the genetic etiology of Alzheimer's disease and related 144. 927 dementias. Nat Genet 54, 412-436 (2022).
- 928 Hammond, T. R. et al. Single-Cell RNA Sequencing of Microglia throughout the Mouse Lifespan 145. 929 and in the Injured Brain Reveals Complex Cell-State Changes. Immunity 50, 253-271.e6 (2019).
- 930 Grone, B. P. et al. Early and lifelong effects of APOE4 on neuronal gene expression networks 146. 931 relevant to Alzheimer's disease. 2022.06.16.496371 Preprint at 932
 - https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.06.16.496371 (2022).
- 933 Da Mesquita, S. et al. Aging-associated deficit in CCR7 is linked to worsened glymphatic function, 147. 934 cognition, neuroinflammation, and β -amyloid pathology. Science Advances 7, eabe4601.
- 935 Taubes, A. et al. Experimental and real-world evidence supporting the computational repurposing 148. 936 of bumetanide for APOE4-related Alzheimer's disease. Nat Aging 1, 932–947 (2021).
- Lin, Y.-T. et al. APOE4 Causes Widespread Molecular and Cellular Alterations Associated with 937 149. 938 Alzheimer's Disease Phenotypes in Human iPSC-Derived Brain Cell Types. Neuron 98, 1141-1154.e7 939 940 (2018).