

MIT Open Access Articles

Graphene nanopattern as a universal epitaxy platform for single-crystal membrane production and defect reduction

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. **Please share** how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Kim, H., Lee, S., Shin, J. et al. Graphene nanopattern as a universal epitaxy platform for single-crystal membrane production and defect reduction. Nat. Nanotechnol. 17, 1054–1059 (2022).

As Published: 10.1038/s41565-022-01200-6

Publisher: Springer Science and Business Media LLC

Persistent URL: <https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/153569>

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike



27 **Heterogeneous integration of single-crystal materials offers great opportunities for**
28 **advanced device platforms and functional systems¹. Although substantial efforts have been**
29 **made to co-integrate active device layers by heteroepitaxy, the mismatch in lattice polarity and**
30 **lattice constants has been limiting the quality of the grown materials². Layer transfer methods**
31 **as an alternative approach, on the other hand, suffer from the limited availability of**
32 **transferrable materials and transfer process-related obstacles³. Here, we introduce graphene**
33 **nanopatterns as an advanced heterointegration platform that allows the creation of a broad**
34 **spectrum of freestanding single-crystalline membranes with substantially reduced defects,**
35 **ranging from non-polar materials to polar materials and from low-bandgap to high-bandgap**
36 **semiconductors. Additionally, we unveil unique mechanisms to substantially reduce**
37 **crystallographic defects such as misfit dislocations, threading dislocations, and antiphase**
38 **boundaries in lattice- and polarity-mismatched heteroepitaxial systems, owing to the flexibility**
39 **and chemical inertness of graphene nanopatterns. More importantly, we develop a**
40 **comprehensive mechanics theory to precisely guide cracks through the graphene layer, and**
41 **demonstrate successful exfoliation of any epitaxial overlayers grown on the graphene**
42 **nanopatterns. Thus, this approach has the potential to revolutionize heterogeneous integration**
43 **of dissimilar materials by widening the choice of materials and offering flexibility in designing**
44 **heterointegrated systems.**

45
46 With the advancement of current electronic and photonic devices, demands for heterogeneous
47 integration of dissimilar materials are continuously increasing to realize multifunctional chips on a
48 single platform. So far, heterointegration of single-crystalline materials has been carried out either by
49 monolithic approaches using heteroepitaxy or by transfer of semiconductor membranes from foreign
50 substrates. For heteroepitaxy, elemental semiconductors such as Si and Ge have been widely utilized
51 as epitaxial templates for growing compound semiconductors owing to their substantially lower costs
52 and compatibility with mature platforms. However, heteroepitaxy cannot avoid the formation of
53 crystalline defects such as dislocations and antiphase boundaries (APBs), which severely deteriorate
54 the device performance⁴. Although various approaches have been proposed to mitigate this issue, such
55 as employing metamorphic buffer layers or dislocation filtering structures, these methods cannot
56 completely eliminate such epitaxial defects⁵.

57 On the other hand, layer transfer techniques can be employed to intergrate dissimilar materials
58 without being restricted to lattice-matching requirements^{3,6}. For this, active device layers are

59 chemically, mechanically or optically released from the substrate and stacked onto a foreign substrate
60 of interest. However, the applicability of these methods is limited by many technical challenges, such
61 as poor controllability, low throughput, and damage to the substrate^{7,8,9,10,11}. Recently, a 2D material-
62 based layer transfer (2DLT) technique combined with remote epitaxy has been introduced as a
63 promising method to overcome these issues¹²⁻¹⁴. Remote epitaxy allows direct growth on graphene-
64 coated wafers¹⁵, and the epitaxial layers on graphene can be exfoliated off of the substrate
65 instantaneously and precisely from the weak graphene interface^{16,17}. Despite such advantages, remote
66 epitaxy can be accomplished only for compound semiconductors¹⁵, and thus, cheap elemental
67 materials such as Si and Ge cannot be utilized as epitaxial layers or growth templates in remote
68 epitaxy¹⁸.

69 Here, we demonstrate a universal solution that can substantially reduce crystallographic
70 defects in heteroepitaxial layers while allowing fast mechanical layer release. This is realized by an
71 epitaxy on nanopatterned graphene (EPG) technique, where we perform lateral overgrowth on wafers
72 coated with patterned graphene. The EPG technique provides the following unique features: i)
73 epilayers can be readily released off of the wafer by simple mechanical exfoliation by reduced
74 interface toughness with graphene nanopatterns, ii) elemental materials can not only be utilized as
75 substrates but be made as freestanding membranes due to selective nucleation, iii) APB-free growth
76 of compound semiconductors is permitted on elemental semiconductor substrates due to the blockage
77 of step edges by graphene, and iv) dislocations can be substantially reduced in lattice-mismatched
78 heteroepitaxy due to lateral relaxation by the flexibility and chemical inertness of graphene.

79 Fig. 1a shows schematics of the process flow for epitaxy on graphene nanopatterns and release
80 of the epilayers. We first utilize graphene-coated Ge(100) substrates as a growth template, on which
81 Ge and GaAs (that are lattice-matched) are grown through graphene stripes. Graphene is first grown
82 on an on-axis Ge substrate, followed by lithography and dry etching (see Methods and Supplementary
83 Fig. S1,S2 for detailed processes). The growth of Ge and GaAs on GaAs wafers through patterned
84 graphene is also studied. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images in Fig. 1b show that Ge
85 and GaAs films grown by metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) are fully planarized
86 after growing a nominally 1 μm -thick film (detailed growth processes in Methods). The electron
87 backscatter diffraction (EBSD) maps and X-ray diffraction (XRD) characterizations reveal that the
88 entire film is single-crystalline (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. S3), due to the selectivity for the
89 exposed region over the graphene-coated region (see the density of nuclei in Supplementary Fig. S4).

90 The mergence and planarization of the film from the patterns are governed by the pattern geometry
91 and crystal orientation-dependent growth rates (see Supplementary Fig. S5)¹⁹.

92 We developed analytical solutions from conventional spalling theory to estimate the criteria
93 for exfoliation at graphene interfaces. In principle, the generation and propagation of cracks through
94 a medium are governed by stress intensity factors, K_I (opening mode) and K_{II} (shear mode), exerted
95 by the stressor layer, and fracture toughness K_{IC} of the spalled medium²⁰ (see Supplementary Section
96 1 for detailed theory). When graphene nanopatterns are introduced, the presence of graphene
97 effectively weakens the interface because the bonding strength of graphene-covered surface is
98 marginal^{21,22}. For a graphene coverage percentage of x , the *effective* fracture toughness at the interface
99 becomes,

$$100 \quad K_{IC,eff} = (1-x) K_{IC} ,$$

101 and, as the thickness of the epilayer deviates from the spalling depth ($K_{II} \neq 0$), the condition for
102 spalling changes to,

$$103 \quad K_I^2 + K_{II}^2 > K_{IC}^2 .$$

104 On the other hand, the delamination of a stressor layer from the surface of the epilayer occurs when
105 the energy release rate provided by the stressor is not sufficient for crack propagation, expressed as,

$$106 \quad K_I < K_{IC,eff} ,$$

107 and outside these spalling and delamination regimes, exfoliation occurs at the graphene interface (see
108 Supplementary Section 1 and Supplementary Figs. S6-S9 for more discussion).

109 The exfoliation criteria we developed (Fig. 1c and 1d) agree well with our experimental results.
110 As shown in the photograph and SEM images in Figs. 1e,f and Supplementary Figs. S10,S11, the
111 entire area of the 1 μm -thick epilayer can be exfoliated off of the wafer, determined by the plots in
112 Figs. 1c and 1d. The surface morphology of the substrate following exfoliation is flat on the graphene-
113 covered regions, while exposed regions show undulation with a height fluctuation of tens of
114 nanometers (Fig. 1g and Supplementary Fig. S12). When the accumulated strain energy within the Ni
115 stressor layer is high ($K_I^2 + K_{II}^2 > K_{IC}^2$), the substrate spalls and reveals its zig-zag {110} cleavage
116 planes of GaAs and a relatively planar (100) plane for Ge, as shown in Fig. 1h and Supplementary
117 Fig. S11c^{20,21}. On the other hand, when the stress is too low ($K_I < K_{IC,eff}$), then cracks cannot propagate,
118 resulting in the delamination of the Ni layer or the handling tape, as shown in Fig. 1i. The same
119 principle can be applied to produce freestanding membranes for different materials as well

120 (Supplementary Fig. S13). This is in contrast to the conventional controlled spalling method, wherein
121 the spalling depth cannot be reliably controlled and the spalled surface is roughened^{7,8}.

122 We also show that the EPG technique can eliminate the formation of APBs in III-V epilayers.
123 The formation of APBs is unavoidable in conventional III-V epitaxy on elemental substrates of on-
124 axis (100) orientation due to the presence of monoatomic steps on the surface²³. Thus, APBs are
125 clearly observed when GaAs is directly grown on Ge(100), as shown in Fig. 2a. However, our EPG
126 shows complete elimination of APBs when the alignment of graphene stripes is along $\langle 110 \rangle$
127 directions of Ge surfaces. As shown in Figs. 1b and 2b, the GaAs film grown on graphene stripes
128 aligned to $\langle 110 \rangle$ directions exhibits no APB. The enhancement of crystal quality by APB elimination
129 is also confirmed by XRD characterizations (Supplementary Figure S14). Interestingly, APBs still
130 appear for GaAs on graphene patterned along $\langle 100 \rangle$ directions (Supplementary Fig. S15). We
131 speculate that this is because step edges tend to form along $\langle 110 \rangle$ directions due to surface
132 reconstruction,²⁴ and such $\langle 110 \rangle$ steps can be periodically covered by graphene patterns along the
133 $\langle 110 \rangle$ directions. In order for APBs to form from graphene nanopatterns, more than two step edges
134 need to co-exist on the exposed region between two graphene stripes, which is unlikely to occur in
135 our pattern dimensions²⁵.

136 The impact of APB elimination is confirmed by comparing optoelectronic and electronic
137 performances of III-V devices. AlGaAs-based red light-emitting diodes (LEDs) grown on patterned
138 graphene are APB-free while those directly grown on Ge exhibit APBs in its microstructures (Figs.
139 2c,d). When we fabricated these LED devices (see Methods for details), we observed a higher reverse-
140 bias dark current and a higher forward-bias recombination current for LEDs with APBs directly grown
141 on Ge (Fig. 2f), substantiating the superior material quality of APB-free LEDs grown on patterned
142 graphene. This is also substantiated by electroluminescence (EL) measurements, which indicate a
143 significantly brighter EL and efficient current spreading in LEDs grown on patterned graphene when
144 compared with LEDs without graphene (Figs. 2g-i).

145 We next show the defect reduction and strain relaxation in lattice-mismatched heteroepitaxial
146 systems, which is conducted by theoretically and experimentally comparing heteroepitaxy on
147 patterned graphene with direct heteroepitaxy and SiO₂ mask-based conventional selective-area
148 epitaxy. In three-dimensional molecular dynamics (MD) simulation models, we studied the epitaxy
149 of Ge on Si(100) as a representative case of ~4 % lattice-mismatched systems. Three cases are
150 investigated: direct epitaxy without masks, epitaxy with thin and flexible masks (analogous to
151 graphene), and epitaxy with thick and rigid masks (analogous to SiO₂) (see Methods for detailed MD

152 simulations setup). Fig. 3a shows the direct heteroepitaxy case without masks, in which multiple misfit
153 and threading dislocations are formed due to the substantial lattice mismatch, along with stacking
154 faults. In the presence of flexible monolayer graphene masks, the formation of dislocations and
155 stacking faults is effectively suppressed (Fig. 3b). Deformation of graphene is clearly observed by
156 laterally accommodating the misfit strain. On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 3c, rigid patterns exhibit
157 strain buildup at the edges of the mask as it cannot dynamically accommodate misfit strain at the
158 edges, resulting in misfit dislocations, threading dislocations, and stacking faults (see also
159 Supplementary Fig. S16).

160 Experimentally, we study heteroepitaxy of InAs on InP substrates with 3.2% lattice-mismatch
161 as a model system. Heteroepitaxy is performed on both monolayer graphene and 30-nm-thick SiO₂
162 masks with the same mask width and periodicity. Compared to the case of direct growth of 1 μm-
163 thick InAs on InP, a substantial reduction of dislocations is observed for 1 μm-thick InAs grown on
164 graphene patterns as shown in the scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images in Figs.
165 3d and 3f. Geometrical phase analysis (GPA) at the interfaces shows that the lattice near the interface
166 is significantly distorted in direct heteroepitaxy (Fig. 3e), whereas the strain is mostly relaxed near
167 the edge of graphene (Fig. 3g). The high-resolution STEM image and GPA maps in Fig. 3g and
168 Supplementary Fig. S17 clearly show slight bending of graphene near the edge and complete
169 relaxation of strain in the film above graphene, which substantiates the graphene's unique effect on
170 dislocation reduction by its bendability and chemical inertness^{26,27}. On the other hand, SiO₂ patterns
171 are less effective than graphene patterns in dislocation reduction (Fig. 3h) as predicted by our
172 simulations. The epilayer is only slightly relaxed near the edges of the SiO₂ mask (Fig. 3i) due to the
173 rigidity and thickness of SiO₂, inducing localized strain (denoted by an arrow in Supplementary Fig.
174 S17). Therefore, these findings clarify that the deformable and slippery nature of graphene provides
175 an additional path for strain relaxation and enables reduction of dislocations, whereas nucleation and
176 threading of new dislocations are observed at the edges of the SiO₂ masks. It should be noted that
177 effective elimination of APBs by the EPG technique has also been confirmed for lattice-mismatched
178 heteroepitaxy system such as InGaAs on graphene-coated Ge substrates (see Supplementary Fig. S18).
179 In addition, heteroepitaxial films on the patterned graphene are successfully released from the
180 substrates as in the case of GaAs and Ge material systems.

181 We note that air voids are occasionally formed during lateral overgrowth on graphene or SiO₂
182 masks, as shown in the cross-sectional STEM images in Figs. 2a, 3f, 3h, and Supplementary Fig. S19,
183 S20. This phenomenon is well-understood in epitaxial lateral overgrowth of III-V materials²⁸⁻³¹, and

184 the formation of voids can be controlled or eliminated by tuning the mask geometry or growth
185 conditions^{29,30,32}. When laterally grown layers are merged, threading dislocations may be generated at
186 the coalescence boundaries^{32,33}, which is also observed in our EPG approach (Supplementary Fig.
187 S19). Although such threading dislocations initiated at coalescence boundaries adversely affect the
188 overall crystal quality of epilayers, we emphasize here that the overall crystal quality is improved by
189 the EPG technique due to the roles of graphene revealed above.

190 The impact of EPG technique on highly mismatched systems is studied by growing InAs on
191 GaAs substrates, exhibiting 7.2% lattice-mismatch, with graphene patterns having various pitches and
192 opening widths. As shown in the electron-channeling contrast images (ECCI) of InAs epilayers of the
193 same thicknesses of 1 μm (see Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. S21), dislocation density is
194 progressively reduced on the surface as the graphene coverage increases. Monotonic decrease of
195 dislocation density is observed by plotting the density as the function of the graphene coverage from
196 0% to 92%. This proves that the impact of graphene coverage is substantial as it shows an order of
197 magnitude reduction of dislocations by simply varying the coverage (Fig. 4b). MD simulations also
198 predict a reduction of dislocations and stacking faults by increased graphene coverage as shown in
199 Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. S22, in agreement with experimental results. It should be highlighted
200 that this technique provides unique solutions for obtaining heteroepitaxial films with significantly
201 reduced dislocation densities that can be mechanically released from the substrate.

202 In conclusion, we demonstrate graphene nanopatterns as a universal platform for the epitaxy
203 of single-crystal films, where both elemental and compound semiconductors can be used for the
204 substrates as well as the epilayers. The three modes of peeling – spalling, exfoliation, and
205 delamination – are theoretically proposed and experimentally demonstrated, proving that the grown
206 films can be readily exfoliated with good controllability regardless of the film thickness due to the
207 weak interfaces intentionally formed by graphene stripes. Moreover, APBs completely disappear
208 when III-V films are grown on elemental substrates with graphene stripes, resulting in high-quality
209 optoelectronics III-V devices that can be made freestanding and transferred onto foreign platforms for
210 heterointegration. Our theoretical analysis of dislocation reduction by the dangling-bond-free and
211 ultrathin graphene in lattice-mismatched heteroepitaxy supports the experimental results. Overall, we
212 provide a new pathway for the production of various high-quality and single-crystal membranes,
213 overcoming polarity and lattice-matching constraints which have been a critical obstacle for
214 heterointegrated multifunctional systems.

215

216

217 **Acknowledgments**

218 The team at MIT acknowledges support by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Young
219 Faculty Award (award no. 029584-00001), the Air Force Research Laboratory (award no. FA9453-
220 18-2-0017 and FA9453-21-C-0717), the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency
221 and Renewable Energy (EERE) under the Solar Energy Technologies Office (Award No. DE-
222 EE0008558), and Universiti Tenaga Nasional and UNTEN R&D Sdn. Bhd., Malaysia through TNB
223 Seed fund grant no. U-TV-RD-20-10. STEM was performed at the Center for Electron Microscopy
224 and Analysis (CEMAS) at The Ohio State University. M.Z and J.H acknowledge support by the
225 National Science Foundation under NSF Award Number DMR-2011876.

226

227 **Author contributions**

228 J.K. and S.H.B. conceived the idea. H.K., S.L. and J.S. designed and coordinated the experiments.
229 M.A., Y.Z. and Y.S. conducted theoretical studies and simulations of epitaxy. Epitaxial growth was
230 performed by H.K., K.Lu., and Y.B. Graphene growth and transfer were performed by H.K., S.L.,
231 K.Lu., N.M.H., K.S.K., H.S., H.S.K., S.-I.K., J.-H.L. and J.-H.A. Patterning, exfoliation and device
232 fabrication were performed by S.L., J.S., H.K., K.Lu., B.-I.P., C.C., H.Y., Y.M., and S.S. Exfoliation
233 theory is developed by H.K., S.L., N.M.H., K.Lee., S.-H.B. and J.K. STEM measurements and GPA
234 analysis were conducted by M.Z. and J.H. Material characterizations were conducted by H.K., S.L.,
235 N.M.H., K.Lu., C.S.C., J.M.S., H.Y., Y.M., and S.S. Optoelectronic characterizations were conducted
236 by H.K. and J.S. The manuscript was written by H.K., Y.S., and J.K. with input from all authors. All
237 authors contributed to the analysis and discussion of the results leading to the manuscript.

238

239 **Competing interests**

240 The authors declare no competing interests.

241 **Fig. 1 | Graphene nanopattern for single-crystal membrane growth and release. a,** Schematic of
242 epitaxy on nanopatterned graphene and layer release. **b,** Plan-view SEM images (left) and EBSD maps
243 (right) of GaAs and Ge grown on GaAs and Ge substrates, showing planarized single-crystalline thin
244 films. Scale bars, 2 μm . **c,** Three modes of peeling as a function of the stressor thickness and epilayer
245 thickness at the Ni stress level of 600 MPa and graphene coverage of 70 % on Ge substrate. The
246 dashed line represents natural spalling depth without graphene (blue line). **d,** Effect of graphene
247 coverage on the peeling modes at the stressor stress of 600 MPa and epilayer thickness of 1 μm . **e,**
248 Photograph of an exfoliated GaAs film (left) and remaining 2-inch Ge wafer (right). **f,g,** Plan-view
249 SEM images (**f**) and AFM image (**g**) of the substrate after the peeling. **h,** Plan-view SEM image of
250 GaAs substrate in the spalling regime. **i,** Plan-view SEM images of sample surfaces in delamination
251 regime, showing delamination at the Ni/epilayer interface (left) and tape/Ni interface (right).

252 **Fig. 2 | APB elimination by graphene nanopatterns.** **a,b**, Cross-sectional STEM images of GaAs
253 grown directly on Ge (**a**) and on nanopatterned graphene-coated Ge (**b**). **c,d**, Plan-view SEM images
254 of AlGaAs red LEDs grown on bare Ge (**c**) and on nanopatterned graphene-coated Ge (**d**). **e**, Cross-
255 sectional SEM image of LED fabricated by exfoliating the LED structure from the substrate and
256 transferring onto polyimide/silicon substrate. **f**, I-V curves of fabricated LEDs on Ge with and without
257 nanopatterned graphene. Error bars represent standard deviation after log transformation. **g**,
258 Comparison of EL spectra of LEDs on Ge without (left) and with (right) nanopatterned graphene
259 under various injection currents. **h,i**, Microscopic photographs of EL from red LEDs with different
260 sizes and geometries on nanopatterned graphene-coated Ge (**h**) and on bare Ge (**i**). Injection currents,
261 3 mA, 3 mA, 5 mA, and 7 mA (from left to right). Scale bars, 10 μm .

262

263 **Fig. 3 | Defect reduction in lattice-mismatched heteroepitaxy by graphene nanopatterns.** MD
264 simulations of heteroepitaxy **a**, without graphene, **b**, on thin and flexible graphene mask, and **c**, on
265 thick and rigid mask. Dislocations are colored according to their Burgers vector: blue for $1/2\langle 110 \rangle$,
266 green for $1/6\langle 112 \rangle$, purple for $1/6\langle 110 \rangle$, and cyan for $1/3\langle 111 \rangle$. Atoms are colored as blue and
267 transparent for perfect diamond cubic structure, orange and opaque for stacking faults. Carbon atoms
268 are colored gray, and epilayer/substrate interfaces are indicated as dashed lines. **d**, Low-magnification
269 STEM image of InAs grown directly on InP without a mask, and **e**, high-resolution STEM image (left)
270 and corresponding GPA maps showing in-plane (center) and out-of-plane (right) strain. **f**, Low-
271 magnification STEM image of InAs grown on graphene pattern, and **g**, high-resolution STEM image
272 and corresponding GPA maps at the edge of graphene, showing relaxed InAs film with slightly
273 deformed graphene. **h,i**, Same set of data for InAs grown on SiO₂ pattern, showing severe strain at
274 the interface and at the mask edge. Enlarged atomic-resolution STEM image of **i** is in Supplementary
275 Fig. S23.

276

277 **Fig. 4 | Effect of graphene coverage. a**, ECCI images of InAs grown on nanopatterned graphene-
278 coated GaAs with different graphene coverages. Scale bars, 200 nm. **b**, Surface dislocation density as
279 a function of graphene coverage measured by ECCI. **c**, MD simulations of heteroepitaxy of Ge on
280 Si(100) with different mask widths covering 0%, 20%, and 40% of the surface, showing a decrease
281 of defects by increased graphene coverage. The color coding is the same as Fig. 3.

282 **References**

- 283 1. Shulaker, M. M. *et al.* Three-dimensional integration of nanotechnologies for computing and data
284 storage on a single chip. *Nature* **547**, 74–78 (2017).
- 285 2. Kunert, B. *et al.* How to control defect formation in monolithic III/V hetero-epitaxy on (100) Si? A
286 critical review on current approaches. *Semiconductor Science and Technology* **33**, 093002 (2018).
- 287 3. Kum, H. *et al.* Epitaxial growth and layer-transfer techniques for heterogeneous integration of
288 materials for electronic and photonic devices. *Nature Electronics* **2**, 439–450 (2019).
- 289 4. Chen, S. *et al.* Electrically pumped continuous-wave III–V quantum dot lasers on silicon. *Nature*
290 *Photonics* **10**, 307–311 (2016).
- 291 5. Li, Q. & Lau, K. M. Epitaxial growth of highly mismatched III-V materials on (001) silicon for
292 electronics and optoelectronics. *Progress in Crystal Growth and Characterization of Materials* **63**,
293 105–120 (2017).
- 294 6. Yoon, J. *et al.* GaAs photovoltaics and optoelectronics using releasable multilayer epitaxial
295 assemblies. *Nature* **465**, 329–333 (2010).
- 296 7. Raj, V. *et al.* Layer transfer by controlled spalling. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics* **46**, 152002
297 (2013).
- 298 8. Jain, N. *et al.* III-V Solar Cells Grown on Unpolished and Reusable Spalled Ge Substrates. *IEEE*
299 *Journal of Photovoltaics* **8**, 1384–1389 (2018).
- 300 9. Yablonovitch, E., Gmitter, T., Harbison, J. P. & Bhat, R. Extreme selectivity in the lift-off of epitaxial
301 GaAs films. *Applied Physics Letters* **51**, 2222 (1998).
- 302 10. Cheng, C. W. *et al.* Epitaxial lift-off process for gallium arsenide substrate reuse and flexible
303 electronics. *Nature Communications* **4**, 1–7 (2013).
- 304 11. Wong, W. S., Sands, T. & Cheung, N. W. Damage-free separation of GaN thin films from sapphire
305 substrates. *Applied Physics Letters* **72**, 599 (1998).
- 306 12. Kim, Y. *et al.* Remote epitaxy through graphene enables two-dimensional material-based layer
307 transfer. *Nature* **544**, 340–343 (2017).
- 308 13. Shim, J. *et al.* Controlled crack propagation for atomic precision handling of wafer-scale two-
309 dimensional materials. *Science (1979)* **362**, 665–670 (2018).
- 310 14. Kim, J. *et al.* Principle of direct van der Waals epitaxy of single-crystalline films on epitaxial
311 graphene. *Nature Communications* **5**, 4836 (2014).
- 312 15. Kong, W. *et al.* Polarity governs atomic interaction through two-dimensional materials. *Nature*
313 *Materials* **17**, 999–1004 (2018).
- 314 16. Kum, H. S. *et al.* Heterogeneous integration of single-crystalline complex-oxide membranes. *Nature*
315 **578**, 75–81 (2020).
- 316 17. Qiao, K. *et al.* Graphene Buffer Layer on SiC as a Release Layer for High-Quality Freestanding
317 Semiconductor Membranes. *Nano Letters* **21**, 4013–4020 (2021).
- 318 18. Kim, H. *et al.* Impact of 2D-3D Heterointerface on Remote Epitaxial Interaction through Graphene.
319 *ACS Nano* **15**, 10587–10596 (2021).

- 320 19. Kazi, Z. I., Thilakan, P., Egawa, T., Umeno, M. & Jimbo, T. Realization of GaAs/AlGaAs lasers on Si
321 substrates using epitaxial lateral overgrowth by metalorganic chemical vapor deposition. *Japanese*
322 *Journal of Applied Physics, Part 1: Regular Papers and Short Notes and Review Papers* **40**, 4903–
323 4906 (2001).
- 324 20. Suo, Z. & Hutchinson, J. W. Steady-state cracking in brittle substrates beneath adherent films.
325 *International Journal of Solids and Structures* **25**, 1337–1353 (1989).
- 326 21. Lee, J. H. *et al.* Wafer-scale growth of single-crystal monolayer graphene on reusable hydrogen-
327 terminated germanium. *Science (1979)* **344**, 286–289 (2014).
- 328 22. Björkman, T., Gulans, A., Krasheninnikov, A. v. & Nieminen, R. M. Van der Waals bonding in
329 layered compounds from advanced density-functional first-principles calculations. *Physical Review*
330 *Letters* **108**, 235502 (2012).
- 331 23. Faucher, J., Masuda, T. & Lee, M. L. Initiation strategies for simultaneous control of antiphase
332 domains and stacking faults in GaAs solar cells on Ge. *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B,*
333 *Nanotechnology and Microelectronics: Materials, Processing, Measurement, and Phenomena* **34**,
334 041203 (2016).
- 335 24. Rio Calvo, M. *et al.* Crystal Phase Control during Epitaxial Hybridization of III-V Semiconductors
336 with Silicon. *Advanced Electronic Materials* **8**, 2100777 (2022).
- 337 25. Zhong, L. *et al.* Evidence of spontaneous formation of steps on silicon (100). *Physical Review B* **54**,
338 R2304 (1996).
- 339 26. Bae, S. H. *et al.* Graphene-assisted spontaneous relaxation towards dislocation-free heteroepitaxy.
340 *Nature Nanotechnology* **15**, 272–276 (2020).
- 341 27. Jiang, J. *et al.* Carrier lifetime enhancement in halide perovskite via remote epitaxy. *Nature*
342 *Communications* **10**, 4145 (2019).
- 343 28. Asai, H. & Ando, S. Lateral Growth Process of GaAs over Tungsten Gratings by Metalorganic
344 Chemical Vapor Deposition. *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* **132**, 2445–2453 (1985).
- 345 29. Hsu, C.-W., Chen, Y.-F. & Su, Y.-K. Nanoepitaxy of InAs on Geometric Patterned Si (001). *ECS*
346 *Journal of Solid State Science and Technology* **1**, P140–P143 (2012).
- 347 30. Zaima, K., Hashimoto, R., Ezaki, M., Nishioka, M. & Arakawa, Y. Dislocation reduction of GaSb on
348 GaAs by metalorganic chemical vapor deposition with epitaxial lateral overgrowth. *Journal of Crystal*
349 *Growth* **310**, 4843–4845 (2008).
- 350 31. Kunert, B. *et al.* Study of planar defect filtering in InP grown on Si by epitaxial lateral overgrowth.
351 *Optical Materials Express, Vol. 3, Issue 11, pp. 1960-1973* **3**, 1960–1973 (2013).
- 352 32. Ironside, D. J., Skipper, A. M., García, A. M. & Bank, S. R. Review of lateral epitaxial overgrowth of
353 buried dielectric structures for electronics and photonics. *Progress in Quantum Electronics* **77**,
354 100316 (2021).
- 355 33. McMahan, W. E., Vaisman, M., Zimmerman, J. D., Tamboli, A. C. & Warren, E. L. Perspective:
356 Fundamentals of coalescence-related dislocations, applied to selective-area growth and other epitaxial
357 films. *APL Materials* **6**, 120903 (2018).

358

360 **Methods**

361 **Graphene formation.** For Ge substrates, graphene is grown directly on Ge(100) by CVD (TCVD-
362 50B, Graphene Square Inc.) at atmospheric pressure. Ge(100) wafers are first cleaned in a diluted HCl
363 solution (10% HCl in water) for 3 minutes, followed by water rinsing and nitrogen blow-drying. After
364 loading Ge substrates into the CVD system, the CVD tube is first purged with Ar for 30 minutes at
365 room temperature, followed by ramping the temperature up to 910 °C which takes 30 minutes. At
366 910 °C, graphene is grown by flowing H₂ of 730 sccm and CH₄ of 200 sccm for 60 minutes. After the
367 growth, the tube is cooled down to room temperature by flowing Ar of 140 sccm. The graphene
368 thickness obtained is between mono- to bilayer.

369 For III-V substrates, graphene is first formed on a copper foil by CVD, followed by a standard wet
370 transfer process to transfer the graphene onto III-V. The details on the graphene growth and transfer
371 can be found in ref.23. GaAs substrates are deoxidized by a diluted HCl, and InP substrates by a 5:1
372 buffered oxide etchant (BOE; J.T.Baker, USA), and both are cleaned with water right before scooping
373 the graphene. Because remote epitaxy of III-V requires dry-transferred graphene and does not work
374 on wet-transferred graphene due to interfacial oxidation, employing wet-transferred graphene in this
375 study ensures that the growth of single-crystalline membranes is the result of a purely lateral
376 overgrowth, not by a mixed growth mode with a portion of remote epitaxy³⁴.

377 **Graphene patterning.** After the graphene formation, graphene is patterned at nanoscale by various
378 types of lithographic methods, including e-beam lithography, interference lithography, and stepper
379 lithography. E-beam lithography technique is mainly used to study the epitaxial film growth and
380 exfoliation behavior depending on the pattern geometries. 200 nm-thick polymethyl methacrylate
381 (PMMA) resist layer is spin-coated on graphene and baked at 180 °C for 2 min, followed by exposure
382 using Elionix ELS-F125 e-beam lithography system. Exposed samples are then developed in methyl
383 isobutyl ketone (MIBK):isopropanol (IPA) = 1:3 for 60 s and washed out in pure IPA. Developed
384 PMMA patterns are transferred to graphene by reactive ion etching (RIE) via Plasma-Therm 790 with
385 O₂ (20 s, 6 mTorr, 90 W), followed by rinsing overlying PMMA layer in acetone to finish graphene
386 patterning process. For large-area thin film growths and LED device fabrication, interference
387 lithography or stepper lithography is employed to produce millimeter- to centimeter-scale patterns.
388 Nanoscale gratings are interferometrically or photolithographically patterned utilizing the interference
389 pattern of 325 nm HeCd laser generated by the Lloyds-mirror lithographic system or exposing in GCA

390 AS200 i-line Stepper, respectively. For both processes, 100 nm-thick positive photoresist (Futurrex
391 PR1-100A1) is first spin-coated on graphene and baked at 120 °C for 2 min. After exposure, the
392 samples are developed in Futurrex RD6 diluted at 3:1 with deionized (DI) water for 15 s and rinsed
393 in pure DI water. The rest of the process is the same as e-beam lithography.

394 **Epitaxy.** Ge, GaAs, and InAs epitaxy are conducted in a close-coupled showerhead MOCVD reactor
395 using arsine, trimethylgallium, trimethylaluminum, trimethylindium, and germane as sources of As,
396 Ga, Al, In, and Ge, respectively. Disilane and dimethylzinc are used as Si and Zn dopants, respectively.
397 The reactor pressure is kept at 100 Torr during the growth, and nitrogen is used as a carrier gas. Ge
398 growth is conducted at 650 °C with a growth rate of ~30 nm/min. GaAs growth is conducted at 650 °C
399 with a growth rate of ~33 nm/min and a V/III flow rate ratio of ~45. InAs growth is conducted at
400 650 °C with a growth rate of ~23 nm/min and a V/III flow rate ratio of ~65. For the growth on GaAs
401 and InP substrates, arsine and phosphine are respectively flown during the temperature ramp-up from
402 300 °C to the growth temperature to prevent substrate desorption before the growth. Similarly, after
403 the growth of GaAs and InAs films, arsine is flown during the temperature ramp-down to 300 °C. For
404 the growth of red LED structures, a 2 μm-thick GaAs buffer is first grown at 650 °C, followed by a
405 700 nm-thick p-GaAs bottom contact layer, 350 nm-thick p-Al_{0.65}Ga_{0.35}As barrier, 300 nm-thick
406 Al_{0.35}Ga_{0.65}As emitter, 350 nm-thick n-Al_{0.65}Ga_{0.35}As barrier, and 100 nm-thick n-GaAs top contact
407 layer at 700 °C. Although p-GaAs is more commonly used as a top contact layer, we employed n-
408 GaAs as a thin top contact layer and p-GaAs as a thick bottom contact layer because we did not
409 employ an additional current spreading scheme and both holes and electrons are laterally injected.

410 **2DLT and device fabrication.** The grown films are exfoliated by first depositing a 30 nm-thick Ti
411 adhesion layer by e-beam evaporation, with a deposition rate of ~0.1 nm/sec. Next, a Ni stressor layer
412 is deposited by direct current (DC) sputtering in the same chamber with a DC power of 500 W and a
413 constant Ar flow of 6 sccm. The stress level of Ni is controlled by the chamber pressure during the
414 sputtering, which typically ranged around 1.1-1.8 mTorr, with a higher pressure resulting in a higher
415 stress level. After the deposition of metal, a thermally releasable tape (TRT; Revalpha, release
416 temperature ~150 °C; Semiconductor Equipment Corp., USA) is attached to the metal by gently
417 rubbing with a cotton swab. The tape edge is then lifted up by holding with a tweezer, which initiates
418 cracks from the sample edge. The cracks propagate as the tape is further lifted up, and the mechanical
419 exfoliation finishes when the entire TRT/stressor/epilayer stack is detached from the substrate.

420 Exfoliated AlGaAs LED layer on TRT is transferred on a Si wafer by treating with oxygen plasma
421 (Anatech Barrel Plasma System), spin-coating 1 vol% aqueous solution of (3-

422 Aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) at a speed of 3000 rpm for 30 seconds,
423 and baking at 110 °C for 1 minute on both LED and receiver substrate surfaces. The substrate is
424 subsequently spin-coated with polyimide precursor (PI-2545; HD Microsystems, USA) at a speed of
425 3000 rpm for 30 seconds, baked at 110 °C for 30 seconds, bonded with the LED film on TRT and
426 pressed in steel vise (Toomaker's vise; Tormach, Inc., USA), and baked further at 180 °C for 10
427 minutes before TRT is removed. Final curing in a 250 °C convection oven completed the transfer
428 process. Wet etching in FeCl₃ solution (MG Chemicals, Canada) and in 5:1 BOE removed Ti/Ni layers.

429 LED mesa structures are fabricated by photolithography and reactive ion etching (RIE; PlasmaPro
430 100 Cobra 300 System; Oxford Instruments, UK) in Cl₂ gas. Both the top and bottom metal contact
431 pads are formed by photolithography, electron-beam evaporation of Cr/Au (~15/100 nm), and metal
432 lift-off.

433 **Characterizations.** Cross-sectional STEM specimens were prepared with conventional focused ion
434 beam lift-out technique using Helios NanoLab 600. Argon ion milling under 900 and 500 eV was
435 used to clean the surface amorphous layer and minimize subsurface damage. STEM images were
436 collected using a probe-aberration corrected Thermo Fisher Scientific Themis Z S/TEM operated at
437 300 kV, 20 mrad convergence semi-angle. Strain mapping of the film with respect to the substrate
438 was conducted using GPA³⁵ based on atomic resolution images.

439 Atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements were conducted using an AFM probe with a silicon
440 tip (PPP-NCHR, Nanosensors) by noncontact mode (Park NX10, Park Systems).

441 SEM and EBSD characterizations were conducted using a Zeiss Merlin high-resolution SEM system.
442 SEM images were measured using a beam acceleration voltage of 3 kV and a current of 0.1 nA, and
443 EBSD maps were measured using an EBSD detector with a beam acceleration voltage of 15 kV and
444 a current of 3 nA.

445 Raman and EL spectra were measured using a Renishaw Invia Reflex Micro Raman system with a
446 CCD detector, and I-V characteristics were measured using a Signatone Probe Station (Signatone
447 Corp., USA) equipped with a semiconductor parameter analyzer (Agilent 4156C; Keysight
448 Technologies, USA) and a camera system connected with an optical microscope for collecting images.

449 **Molecular dynamics simulations.** MD simulations were carried out via LAMMPS^{36,37} package with
450 equations of motion integrated using the velocity-Verlet algorithm under a time step of 1 fs. To mimic
451 the behavior of Ge-Si systems, Stillinger–Weber potential parameterized by Ethier³⁸ was employed.
452 The interaction of carbon atoms within a graphene layer is also described by Stillinger–Weber

453 potential, parameterized by Bourque *et al.*³⁹. The interaction between graphene and Si-Ge is hard-
454 sphere only for simplicity since the weak vdW attraction is negligible at high temperatures. For
455 convenience, C-Si and C-Ge interactions are also modeled using the Stillinger-Weber formulation
456 with only the two-body term (effectively a tailed Lennard-Jones 12-6 potential) with the following
457 identical parameter: $\varepsilon = 0.04 \text{ eV}$, $\sigma = 0.35 \text{ nm}$, $p = 12$, $q = 6$, $a = 1.12$, $A = 1$, and $B = 1$. Our
458 molecular dynamic simulations consist of a 1.2-nm-thick Si(100) substrate with the cross-section
459 dimensions of 20.2 (x) by 6.0 (y) nm². To mimic the rigid SiO₂ mask, multiple layers of graphene are
460 introduced without permitting the carbon atoms to move. The simulation box in the z-direction is 43.6
461 nm. The lattice constant of Si was chosen to be 0.545 nm (lattice constant at the growth temperature
462 used here). The bottom layer of Si substrate was fixed. The growth temperature was set to 2100 K in
463 order to facilitate the diamond cubic structure formation under the extremely high deposition rate,
464 which is typical for MD simulations. Lower growth temperature leads to significant amorphous Ge
465 film formation. Si and Ge crystals are stable under this temperature as shown in the animations, which
466 is attributed to the deficiency of the potential used here³⁸. The temperature is controlled using Nose–
467 Hoover thermostat⁴⁰. We note that the Ge/Si/C system instead of the experimentally-employed
468 In/As/P/C system can eliminate the complexity such as non-stoichiometry, while still effectively
469 representing lattice-mismatched epitaxy environments with graphene nanopatterns. To model the
470 flexible graphene mask, there is an external force of 0.015 eV/Å applied on each carbon atom
471 (excluding about 1 nm width on both edges) towards the Si substrate to prevent the graphene mask
472 from leaving the substrate. Ge atoms are introduced randomly at the top of the simulation box
473 periodically with a downward velocity. For all the MD simulations presented here, the growth rates
474 are identical, which is roughly 0.1 nm/ns. Periodic boundary conditions (PBC) were applied in all
475 three directions of the simulation box. Therefore, both the flexible mask and rigid mask represent
476 infinitely long stripes with equal spacing on the silicon substrate. A wall was imposed on the top of
477 the simulation box to prevent atoms from depositing to the backside of the substrate. The interaction
478 is a 9-3 Lennard-Jones potential ($\varepsilon = 0.01 \text{ eV}$, $\sigma = 0.1 \text{ nm}$, $\sigma_{cutoff} = 0.25 \text{ nm}$). OVITO
479 visualization software⁴¹ was used to generate simulation snapshots.

480

481

482 **Data availability**

483 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon
484 reasonable request.

485

486

487 **Methods-only references**

- 488 34. Kim, H. *et al.* Role of transferred graphene on atomic interaction of GaAs for remote epitaxy. *Journal*
489 *of Applied Physics* **130**, 174901 (2021).
- 490 35. Hÿch, M. J., Snoeck, E. & Kilaas, R. Quantitative measurement of displacement and strain fields from
491 HREM micrographs. *Ultramicroscopy* **74**, 131–146 (1998).
- 492 36. Plimpton, S. Fast Parallel Algorithms for Short-Range Molecular Dynamics. *Journal of Computational*
493 *Physics* **117**, 1–19 (1995).
- 494 37. Zhang, Y., Huang, L. & Shi, Y. Silica Glass Toughened by Consolidation of Glassy Nanoparticles.
495 *Nano Letters* **19**, 5222–5228 (2019).
- 496 38. Ethier, S. & Lewis, L. J. Epitaxial growth of Si_{1-x}Gex on Si(100)₂ × 1: A molecular-dynamics study.
497 *Journal of Materials Research* **7**, 2817–2827 (1992).
- 498 39. Bourque, A. J. & Rutledge, G. C. Empirical potential for molecular simulation of graphene
499 nanoplatelets. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **148**, 144709 (2018).
- 500 40. Nosé, S. A unified formulation of the constant temperature molecular dynamics methods. *The Journal*
501 *of Chemical Physics* **81**, 511 (1998).
- 502 41. Stukowski, A. Visualization and analysis of atomistic simulation data with OVITO—the Open
503 Visualization Tool. *Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering* **18**, 015012
504 (2009).

505