

# Recovering the Moments of a Function From Its Radon-Transform Projections: Necessary and Sufficient Conditions \*

Peyman Milanfar,  
William C. Karl,  
and Alan S. Willsky

Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems  
Room 35-433  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02139

June 15, 1992

## Abstract

The question we wish to address in this paper is the following: To what extent does a limited set of noise-free Radon-Transform projections of a function  $f(x, y)$  determine this function? This question has been dealt with in the mathematical literature to some extent. Noteworthy are results due to Volcic [4, 9], Fishburn et al [10], Falconer [3], Gardner [5, 11], Kuba [8] and other references contained therein. These results almost exclusively deal with the case when the function  $f(x, y)$  is an indicator function over its domain of definition  $\mathcal{O}$ . There has also been some effort in the physics and engineering literature to answer this question. Some noteworthy examples are [17, 18]. In this paper, we will prove that one may uniquely recover the first  $p$  geometric moments [13] of a bounded, positive function  $f(x, y)$ , with compact support, from a fixed number  $p$  of Radon-Transform [6] projections. We further show that one can not uniquely recover any higher order moments of  $f(x, y)$  from such limited information. The importance of this result lies in the fact that it directly shows to what extent a limited number of projections of a function determine the function. This, in essence, is a precise notion of the geometric complexity that a limited set of projections can support. In applications of this result to tomographic reconstruction problems such as in Medical Imaging [1], our result shows, in a quantitative way, how well one can theoretically expect to reconstruct an object being imaged in the absence of noise. From a more abstract viewpoint, it sheds some light on the reconstructability of certain elementary binary objects from a limited number of tomographic projections.

## 1 Background and Notation

The Radon-Transform of a function  $f(x, y)$  defined over a compact domain of the plane  $\mathcal{O}$  is defined by

$$g(t, \theta) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{R}^2} f(x, y) \delta(t - \omega \cdot [x, y]^T) dx dy. \quad (1)$$

For every fixed  $t$  and  $\theta$ ,  $g(t, \theta)$  is simply the line-integral of  $f$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  in the direction  $\omega = [\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)]^T$ , where  $\delta(t - [\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)] \cdot [x, y]^T)$  is a delta function on a line at angle  $\theta + (\pi/2)$  from the  $x$ -axis, and distance  $t$  from the origin.

---

\*This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant 9015281-MIP, the Office of Naval Research under Grant N00014-91-J-1004, the US Army Research Office under Contract DAAL0386-K-0171, and the Clement Vaturi Fellowship in Biomedical Imaging Sciences at MIT.

Not all functions  $g(t, \theta)$ , however, are Radon Transforms of some  $f(x, y)$ . Several well-known mathematical properties of the Radon transform known as the consistency relations specify valid 2-D Radon transforms. The Radon transform of some function  $f(x, y)$  is constrained to lie in a particular functional subspace of the space of all real-valued functions  $g(t, \theta) : \mathcal{R} \times \mathbf{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ , where  $\mathbf{S}^1$  is the unit circle. This subspace is characterized by the fact that  $g$  must be an even function of  $t$ , and that certain coefficients of the Fourier expansion of  $g$  must be zero [6, 7].

Let  $\omega = [\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)]$  denote a unit direction vector, and  $\mathbf{x} = [x, y]$  a vector in  $\mathcal{R}^2$ . Using the definition of the Radon Transform we can write

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t, \omega) t^k dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^k \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^2} f(\mathbf{x}) \delta(t - \omega \cdot \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} dt = \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^2} f(\mathbf{x}) (\omega \cdot \mathbf{x})^k d\mathbf{x} \quad (2)$$

The above identity clearly holds in higher dimensions as well. For our purposes, however, we shall only deal with  $\mathcal{R}^2$ . Assuming that the support,  $\mathcal{O}$ , of  $f(x, y)$  is contained within the unit disk, we can write the identity (2) as

$$H^{(k)}(\theta) \equiv \int_{-1}^1 g(t, \theta) t^k dt = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} \cos^{k-j}(\theta) \sin^j(\theta) \mu_{k-j,j} \quad (3)$$

where the right hand side is obtained by expanding the term  $(\omega \cdot \mathbf{x})^k = (\cos(\theta)x + \sin(\theta)y)^k$  according to the binomial theorem, and where  $\mu_{k-j,j}$  are the geometric moments of  $f(x, y)$  defined as follows.

$$\mu_{p,q} = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] \in \mathcal{O}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) x^p y^q d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \quad (4)$$

The identity (3) was apparently first discovered by I.M. Gelfand and M.I. Graev in 1961 [19]. Note that the left hand side of (3) is simply the  $k^{\text{th}}$  order moment of the projection function  $g(t, \theta)$  for a fixed angle  $\theta$ , denoted by  $H^{(k)}(\theta)$ . Defining the vector of  $k^{\text{th}}$  order moments of  $f$  as

$$\mu^{(k)} = [\mu_{k,0}, \mu_{k-1,1}, \dots, \mu_{0,k}]^T, \quad (5)$$

we have that

$$H^{(k)}(\theta) = D^{(k)}(\theta) \mu^{(k)} \quad (6)$$

$$D^{(k)}(\theta) = [\gamma_{k,0} \cos^k(\theta), \gamma_{k,1} \cos^{k-1}(\theta) \sin(\theta), \dots, \gamma_{k,k-1} \cos(\theta) \sin^{k-1}(\theta), \gamma_{k,k} \sin^k(\theta)] \quad (7)$$

$$\gamma_{k,j} = \binom{k}{j}, \quad (8)$$

so that  $D^{(k)}(\theta)$  is a  $1 \times (k+1)$  matrix. Thus moments of order  $k$  of the projection *only* depend on moments of order  $k$  of  $f(x, y)$ . This observation will form the basis for the result that will be established in the next section.

## 2 The Result

Here we will show our main result, that given a fixed number  $p$  of noise free integral projections of a real valued function of 2 variables  $f(x, y)$ , we may uniquely recover the first  $p$  geometric moments of  $f(x, y)$  but *cannot* uniquely recover any higher order moments.

The function of interest,  $f(x, y)$ , is completely and uniquely defined by the complete set of its ( $k$ -th order) geometric moments [12],  $\mu^{(k)}$ , for all  $k \geq 0$ . In particular, we define the vector of geometric moments of  $f(x, y)$  up to order  $N$  by  $\mathcal{M}_N = [\mu^{(0)T}, \mu^{(1)T}, \dots, \mu^{(N)T}]^T$ . We assume that we are given (noise-free) integral projections of  $f(x, y)$  at a fixed number of angles  $\theta_i$ . Essentially we are given ‘‘cuts’’ of the Radon transform  $g(t, \theta)$  of  $f(x, y)$  at a finite number of  $\theta$ . Note that each projection  $g(t, \theta_i)$  itself is uniquely and completely

defined by the complete set of its geometric moments  $H^{(k)}(\theta_i)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . In the previous section we showed that  $H^{(k)}(\theta)$  and  $\mu^{(k)}$  are related as follows:

$$H^{(k)}(\theta) = D^{(k)}(\theta)\mu^{(k)} \quad (9)$$

so that  $D^{(k)}(\theta)$  is a  $1 \times (k+1)$  matrix. In particular, for a given projection at angle  $\theta$ , we have the following

$$\begin{bmatrix} H^{(0)}(\theta) \\ H^{(1)}(\theta) \\ \vdots \\ H^{(N)}(\theta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D^{(0)}(\theta) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & D^{(1)}(\theta) & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & D^{(N)}(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{(0)} \\ \mu^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \mu^{(N)} \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_N(\theta) = \mathcal{D}_N(\theta)\mathcal{M}_N \quad (11)$$

where the obvious association is made in the last equation.

Now consider the problem where we observe a number  $p$  of (noise free) projections  $g(t, \theta_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , and wish to uniquely recover as much of  $f(x, y)$  as possible. We look at this problem in the following way: we observe  $H^{(k)}(\theta_i) \forall k, i = 1, \dots, p$  and wish to uniquely recover as many of the  $\mu^{(j)}$  as possible. Note that we treat moments of a given order,  $j$ , as a unit, e.g. even though  $\mu^{(1)}$  consists of two numbers, we consider it determined only if both are uniquely determined. Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1 ( $p$  Moments From  $p$  Projections)** *Given  $p$  (line integral) projections of  $f(x, y)$  at  $p$  different angles  $\theta_i$  in  $[0, \pi)$ , one can uniquely determine the first  $p$  geometric moment vectors  $\mu^{(j)}$ ,  $0 \leq j < p$  of  $f(x, y)$ . Further this can be done using only the first  $p$  order geometric moments  $H^{(k)}(\theta)$ ,  $0 \leq k < p$  of the projections. Conversely, moments of  $f(x, y)$  of higher order cannot be uniquely determined from  $p$  projections.*

**Proof** Consider (11) and suppose that we stack up our observations at the different angles to obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}_N(\theta_1) \\ \mathcal{H}_N(\theta_2) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{H}_N(\theta_p) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_N(\theta_1) \\ \mathcal{D}_N(\theta_2) \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{D}_N(\theta_p) \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_N \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_N = \mathbf{D}_N \mathcal{M}_N \quad (13)$$

so that the matrix  $\mathbf{D}_N$  relating  $\mathcal{M}_N$  and the projections is  $pN \times \frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{2}$ . Clearly to be able to determine  $\mathcal{M}_N$  uniquely we must have full column rank of  $\mathbf{D}_N$ . Now we may rearrange the rows of (12), grouping together the moments of the same order from all projections, without changing the column rank of  $\mathbf{D}_N$ .

This operation yields the following equivalent equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} H^{(0)}(\theta_1) \\ H^{(0)}(\theta_2) \\ \vdots \\ H^{(0)}(\theta_p) \\ \hline H^{(1)}(\theta_1) \\ H^{(1)}(\theta_2) \\ \vdots \\ H^{(1)}(\theta_p) \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline H^{(N)}(\theta_1) \\ H^{(N)}(\theta_2) \\ \vdots \\ H^{(N)}(\theta_p) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D^{(0)}(\theta_1) & & & & \\ D^{(0)}(\theta_2) & & & & \\ \vdots & \circ & \dots & & \circ \\ D^{(0)}(\theta_p) & & & & \\ \hline & D^{(1)}(\theta_1) & & & \\ & D^{(1)}(\theta_2) & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & D^{(1)}(\theta_p) & & & \\ \hline \vdots & & \ddots & & \circ \\ \hline & & & & D^{(N)}(\theta_1) \\ & \circ & \dots & \circ & D^{(N)}(\theta_2) \\ & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & D^{(N)}(\theta_p) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{(0)} \\ \mu^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \mu^{(N)} \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{H}_p^{(0)} \\ \tilde{H}_p^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{H}_p^{(N)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D}_p^{(0)} & \circ & \dots & \circ \\ \circ & \tilde{D}_p^{(1)} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \circ \\ \circ & \dots & \circ & \tilde{D}_p^{(N)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{(0)} \\ \mu^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \mu^{(N)} \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

where  $\tilde{H}_p^{(k)}$  is thus the collection of moments of order  $k$  in each projection and  $\tilde{D}_p^{(k)}$  is the matrix relating moments of order  $k$  of the object to moments of order  $k$  in each of the  $p$  projections. Now since the overall matrix is block diagonal the problem of determining each  $\mu^{(k)}$  decouples so that  $\tilde{H}_p^{(k)} = \tilde{D}_p^{(k)} \mu^{(k)}$ . In particular, we can determine  $\mu^{(k)}$  uniquely if and only if the corresponding matrix block:

$$\tilde{D}_p^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} D^{(k)}(\theta_1) \\ D^{(k)}(\theta_2) \\ \vdots \\ D^{(k)}(\theta_p) \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

has full column rank. Let us now examine the conditions when  $\tilde{D}_p^{(k)}$  will have full column rank.

Substituting for  $D^{(k)}(\theta_i)$  from (7) we find that  $\tilde{D}_p^{(k)}$  is of the form:

$$\tilde{D}_p^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{k,0} \cos^k(\theta_1) & \gamma_{k,1} \cos^{k-1}(\theta_1) \sin(\theta_1) & \dots & \gamma_{k,k-1} \cos(\theta_1) \sin^{k-1}(\theta_1) & \gamma_{k,k} \sin^k(\theta_1) \\ \gamma_{k,0} \cos^k(\theta_2) & \gamma_{k,1} \cos^{k-1}(\theta_2) \sin(\theta_2) & \dots & \gamma_{k,k-1} \cos(\theta_2) \sin^{k-1}(\theta_2) & \gamma_{k,k} \sin^k(\theta_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{k,0} \cos^k(\theta_p) & \gamma_{k,1} \cos^{k-1}(\theta_p) \sin(\theta_p) & \dots & \gamma_{k,k-1} \cos(\theta_p) \sin^{k-1}(\theta_p) & \gamma_{k,k} \sin^k(\theta_p) \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

and the matrix  $\tilde{D}_p^{(k)}$  is  $p \times (k+1)$ . Now  $\tilde{D}_p^{(k)}$  will have full column rank if and only if its columns are independent. Note that we must have  $p > k$ . The columns will be independent if and only if there is no set of  $\alpha_i$  (not all zero) such that:

$$\alpha_0 \cos^k(\theta_i) + \alpha_1 \cos^{k-1}(\theta_i) \sin(\theta_i) + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \cos(\theta_i) \sin^{k-1}(\theta_i) + \alpha_k \sin^k(\theta_i) = 0 \quad \forall \theta_i, 1 \leq i \leq p \quad (18)$$

In particular, this will be true (when  $p > k$ ) if the homogeneous trigonometric polynomial of order  $k$  defined by (18) has at most  $k$  roots  $\theta$  in  $[0, \pi)$ . This motivates the following lemma, which we prove in Appendix A.

**Lemma 1 (Roots of Homogeneous Trigonometric Polynomial of order  $k$ )** *A homogeneous trigonometric polynomial of order  $k$  of the following form:*

$$\alpha_0 \cos^k(\theta) + \alpha_1 \cos^{k-1}(\theta) \sin(\theta) + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \cos(\theta) \sin^{k-1}(\theta) + \alpha_k \sin^k(\theta) \quad (19)$$

*vanishes for at most  $k$  distinct values of  $\theta$  in  $[0, \pi)$ .*

Given this lemma we see that  $\tilde{D}_p^{(k)}$  will have full column rank if and only if  $p > k$ , i.e. the number of projections  $p$  greater than the order  $k$  of the moment vector  $\mu^{(k)}$  that we are interested in! In particular, we can achieve this full column rank if  $p = k + 1$ , so we use no more than the first  $p$  moments of the projection. Also note that this implies that if  $\tilde{D}_p^{(n)}$  is of full column rank for some  $n$  then so is  $\tilde{D}_p^{(k)}$  for all  $k < n$ .

Now the preceding arguments essentially show the first two statements of the theorem. Given  $p$  projections the matrices  $\tilde{D}_p^{(k)}$  will have full column rank for  $0 \leq k < p$ . Thus we can uniquely find the moments  $\mu^{(k)}$  from the corresponding  $\tilde{E}_p^{(k)}$  and  $\tilde{D}_p^{(k)}$ . In particular, note that due to the block diagonal structure of (15), using higher order moments of the projections is of no help in determining a given moment  $\mu^{(k)}$ .

### 3 Conclusion

We have shown that to uniquely specify the first  $p$  moments of a function  $f(x, y)$  one needs exactly  $p$  integral projections at distinct angles in the interval  $[0, \pi)$ , and that this number is both necessary and sufficient. The importance of this result lies in the fact that it directly shows to what extent a limited number of projections of a function determine the function. From a practical standpoint this is quite important. In applications of the above result to tomographic reconstruction problems such as in Medical Imaging [1], our result shows, in a quantitative way, how well one can theoretically expect to reconstruct an object being imaged in the absence of noise. From a more abstract viewpoint, it sheds some light on the reconstructability of certain elementary binary objects from a limited number of tomographic projections. For instance, it is known [2, 12] that any binary ellipse is uniquely determined from 3 projections at distinct angles. It is also known that the moment sets  $\{\mu^{(0)}, \mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}\}$  uniquely determine a binary ellipse in the plane [15]. Our result shows essentially that 3 projections suffice to uniquely determine the set of numbers  $\{\mu^{(0)}, \mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}\}$  hence showing that 3 projections suffice to uniquely determine any binary ellipse, thereby providing an alternate proof.

A somewhat more subtle instance of the usefulness of our result occurs in the reconstruction of binary polygonal objects in the plane. A fascinating theorem due to Davis [16, 14] states that a triangle in the plane is uniquely determined by its moments of up to order 3. i.e.  $\{\mu^{(0)}, \mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}, \mu^{(3)}\}$ . Furthermore, Davis has, in essence, provided an explicit algorithm for reconstructing the triangle from this set of numbers. Our result would imply that exactly 4 projections are sufficient to determine this set of moments. Hence, together with the work of Davis, our result provides a closed form solution to the problem of reconstructing a triangular region in the plane from only 4 tomographic projections in the absence of noise.

## A Proof of Lemma 1

**Proof:** Let  $p(\theta)$  denote the homogeneous polynomial in question. i.e.

$$p(\theta) = \alpha_0 \cos^k(\theta) + \alpha_1 \cos^{k-1}(\theta) \sin(\theta) + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1} \cos(\theta) \sin^{k-1}(\theta) + \alpha_k \sin^k(\theta). \quad (20)$$

- **CASE I:** Assume that  $p(\pi/2) \neq 0$ . Then we can write  $p(\theta)$  as

$$p(\theta) = \cos^k(\theta)q(\theta). \quad (21)$$

where  $q(\theta)$  has no roots at  $\theta = \pi/2$  and

$$q(\theta) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \tan(\theta) + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1} \tan^{k-1}(\theta) + \alpha_k \tan^k(\theta). \quad (22)$$

Letting  $u = \tan(\theta)$  we observe that the right hand side of (22) is simply a polynomial of order  $k$  in  $u$ . By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra [20], this polynomial has at most  $k$  real roots. This is to say that there exist at most  $k$  values  $u_i \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $q(\tan^{-1}(u_i)) = 0$ . Given this, we have that the roots of  $q(\theta)$  are

$$\theta_i = \tan^{-1}(u_i). \quad (23)$$

We know that the function  $\tan^{-1}$  is one-to-one over the interval  $[0, \pi)$ . Since  $q(\pi/2) \neq 0$  by assumption, it follows that there exist at most  $k$  angles  $\theta_i \in [0, \pi)$  for which  $q(\theta_i) = 0$ .

- **CASE II:** Let  $r_0(\theta) = p(\theta)$ , and define the functions  $r_i(\theta)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  as follows.

$$r_i(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{r_{i-1}(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)} & \text{if } r_{i-1}(\pi/2) = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } r_{i-1}(\pi/2) \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

If  $p(\pi/2) = 0$ , then we have

$$p(\pi/2) = \alpha_k \sin^k(\pi/2) = \alpha_k = 0. \quad (25)$$

Therefore we have that

$$p(\theta) = \cos(\theta)(\alpha_0 \cos^{k-1}(\theta) + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1} \sin^{k-1}(\theta)) = \cos(\theta)r_1(\theta) \quad (26)$$

If  $r_1(\theta)$  does not vanish at  $\pi/2$ , Case I shows that it has at most  $k - 1$  roots in  $[0, \pi)$ , which together with  $\cos(\theta) = 0$  give at most  $k$  roots for  $p(\theta)$  in  $[0, \pi)$ .

From the definition of  $r_i(\theta)$ , it is clear that

$$r_i(\theta) = \begin{cases} \alpha_0 \cos^{k-i}(\theta) + \cdots + \alpha_{k-i} \sin^{k-i}(\theta) & \text{if } r_{i-1}(\pi/2) = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } r_{i-1}(\pi/2) \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

Now suppose that  $r_i(\pi/2) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$  and  $r_n(\pi/2) \neq 0$ , where  $1 \leq n \leq k$ . Again, from the definition of  $r_i(\theta)$  it follows that

$$p(\theta) = \cos^n(\theta)r_n(\theta). \quad (28)$$

From Case I,  $r_n(\theta)$  has at most  $k - n$  roots in  $[0, \pi)$ , which along with  $\cos^n(\theta) = 0$  give at most  $k$  roots for  $p(\theta)$  in  $[0, \pi)$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] G. T. Hermann *Image Reconstruction From Projections* Academic Press, New York, 1980
- [2] William C. Karl *Reconstructing Objects from Projections*. PhD thesis, MIT, Dept. of EECS, 1991.
- [3] K. J. Falconer *A result on the Steiner symmetrization of a compact set*. Journal of the London Mathematical Society (2), 14(1976), 385-386
- [4] A. Volcic *A three-point solution to Hammer's X-ray problem*. Journal of the London Mathematical Society (2), 34 (1986) 349-359
- [5] R. J. Gardner *Symmetrals and X-rays of planar convex bodies* Arch. Math., V. 41, 183-189 (1983)
- [6] Sigurdur Helgason *Radon Transform* Birkhauser, Boston 1980
- [7] D. Ludwig *The Radon transform on Euclidean space* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 19, 49-81 (1966)
- [8] A. Kuba *Reconstruction of measurable plane sets from their two projections taken in arbitrary directions*. Inverse Problems 7 (1991) 101-107.
- [9] A. Kuba, A. Volcic *Characterization of measurable plane sets which are reconstructable from their two projections* Inverse Problems 4 (1988) 513-527
- [10] P. C. Fishburn, J. Lagarias, J. Reeds, and L. A. Shepp *Sets uniquely determined by projections on axes I. continuous case* SIAM J. Appl. Math., V. 50, No. 1, 288-306, February 1990
- [11] R. Gardner *Sets determined by finitely many X-rays or projections* Pubblicazioni dell'istituto di analisi globale e applicazioni, Serie "Problemi non ben posti ed inversi", No. 50, 1990
- [12] M. R. Teague *Image analysis via the general theory of moments*. J. Opt. Soc. America, V. 70, pp. 920-930, Aug. 1980
- [13] C. H. Teh and R. T. Chin *On Image Analysis by the Method of Moments* IEEE Trans. Patt. Anal. Machine Intell., V. 10, pp.496-513, July 1988
- [14] Phillip J. Davis *Triangle Formulas in the Complex Plane*. Mathematics of Computation, 18 (1964), pp. 569-577
- [15] A. D. Aleksandrov, A. N. Kolmogorov, and M. A. Lavrent'ev *Mathematics: Its content, method, and meaning* The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1969
- [16] P. J. Davis *Plane Regions Determined by Complex Moments* Journal of Approximation Theory, 19 (1977) 148-153
- [17] G. Talenti *Recovering a function from a finite number of moments* Inverse Problems 3 (1987) 501-517
- [18] A. Klug, and R. A. Crowther *Three dimensional image reconstruction from the viewpoint of information theory* Nature, Vol. 238, Aug. 25, 1972
- [19] I.M. Gelfand, M.I. Graev, and Z. Ya. Vilenkin. Translation by Eugene Saletan. *Generalized Functions: Volume 5, Integral geometry and related problems in representation theory* Academic Press, 1966.
- [20] S. MacLane, and G. Birkhoff *Algebra* Chelsea Publishers, New York, 1988

