#### **ComBo: A Visualization Tool for Comparative Genomic Data**

**by**

Tamara H. Yu

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degrees of

Bachelor of Science in Computer Science and Engineering

and Master of Engineering in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology

August **27,** 2004

Copyright 2004 M.I.T.



The author hereby grants to M.I.T. permission to reproduce and distribute publicly paper and electronic copies of this thesis and to grant others the right to do so.



### **BARKER**

ComBo: **A** Visualization Tool for Comparative Genomic Data **by** Tamara H. Yu

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

August **27,** 2004

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Science in Computer Science and Engineering and Master of Engineering in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

## **Abstract**

Propelled **by** advances in sequencing technology, the advent of the genomic era brings the challenge of managing and interpreting massive amount of genomic data. **A** number of single-genome browsers have been developed for data display. However, they are unsuitable for comparative analysis. We present a dynamic and interactive visualization tool called ComBo that allows users to quickly navigate sequences, browse their similarities in a dot plot format, display sequence annotations in feature maps, and compare annotations in corresponding regions. ComBo allows users to directly control the display. They can compare any two regions in the sequences at any resolution, from an entire chromosome down to the residue level. Users can also retrieve detailed information on any displayed sequence feature or alignment. ComBo can import data from the Calhoun annotation database or flat files. Developed in Java, ComBo has a flexible infrastructure allowing the easy addition of functionality in the future.

Thesis Supervisor: Christopher Burge Title: Associate Professor, MIT Department of Biology

Co-Supervisor: James Galagan

Title: Computational Biologist, Broad Institute

ť Co-Supervisor: *J*<sup>11</sup> P. Mesirov

Title: Director, Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, Broad Institute

# **Contents**





### **List of Abbreviations**

- **API -** Application Programming Interface
- **BLAST -** Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
- **EST -** Expressed Sequence Tag
- **FASTA - FAST-All (a** suite of programs for protein and nucleotide comparisons)
- **FGI -** Fungal Genome Initiative
- **GFF -** General Feature Format
- **GUI -** Graphical User Interface
- **MVC -** Model-View-Controller

## **Chapter 1 Introduction**

### **1.1 Background**

At the dawn of the 21 **st** century, a team of international researchers announced the completion of the first draft of the human genome. It was a major leap toward unveiling the secret of life. Indeed, the field of genomics has advanced so rapidly that it is hard to imagine that merely two decades ago researchers were still painstakingly sequencing the genomes of bacteriophages through direct determination. Much effort has gone into perfecting sequencing techniques and automating the process. As a result, researchers were able to catalog the genetic composition of a wide range of bacterial, archaeal, and eukaryotic organisms during a short time period.

Meanwhile, the development of software tools and database infrastructures for storing, managing, visualizing, and analyzing genomic data has strained to keep up. Research centers around the world have raced to set up public databases for genome sequences, proteins, and gene expression experiments. While managing such a large quantity of information is not easy, the real challenge lies in the quest for ways to rapidly analyze this information and make accurate inferences from it.

Researchers have developed a number of algorithms for interpreting genomic data, and have successfully applied them to automate the annotation and analysis of small genomes. However, these algorithms fall short in delivering high-quality results for more complex genomes. Therefore, we seek novel approaches to leverage human expertise. In particular, we focus on tools that enable researchers to visually interpret data.

Visualization is critical in understanding genomic data: without it, genomes are simply strings of As, Gs, Cs, and Ts. Genome browsers provide an annotated and informationrich view of genomic sequences. Anyone who has seen a **FASTA** or **GFF** file can

certainly appreciate the accessibility of the graphic display of sequences and features in popular genome browsers. Some browsers, such as Ensembl **[1]** and the **UCSC** Genome Browser [2], are set up as web servers, allowing users to view the genomes stored in public databases. Users have a great deal of control over the size of the region being displayed **(by** specifying the zoom level or the coordinates), what features are displayed, and how they are displayed. Clicking on a feature will take the user to a page of available details about that feature. Nevertheless, these web-based browsers share a common weakness: they are static. This severely limits the flexibility and adaptability of the systems. In contrast, browsers such as Apollo **[3]** and Artemis [4] are desktop applications that serve as both dynamic genome viewers and annotation editors.

Research in recent years has illustrated the power of comparative genomics. Recognizing the potential of this approach, the Broad Institute (Broad), one of the leading contributors to the Human Genome Project, has sequenced the genomes of *Mus musculus* (mouse), *Pan troglogytes* (chimpanzee), and *Canisfamiliaris* (dog). In addition, it is devoting resources to a Fungal Genome Initiative *[5],* a collaboration of the fungal and genomics communities to identify and sequence a high-priority set of fungi. One of the central motivations of the **FGI** is to provide a wide range of insightful evolutionary comparisons. Currently, the genomes of eight fungi have been sequenced, five have been annotated, and several more are well underway **[6].** We can expect that within a year or two, at least two dozens fungal genomes will be available. **All** these projects will greatly expand the repository of genomic data, providing an unprecedented opportunity to utilize comparative analysis to further our understanding in genome structures, biological processes, molecular evolution, and many other research areas. Yet, we cannot take advantage of this opportunity without the right tools.

### **1.2 Existing Browsers**

Existing genome browsers are not well suited for comparative studies. None of them is capable of displaying multiple genomes simultaneously. Some explore alternative

displays for comparative data. Ensembl introduced Syntenyview **[7]** for displaying synteny maps. **A** synteny map shows regions in two genomes where related genes are conserved in approximately the same order. Synteny maps are useful in characterizing genome structures, identifying genes in key biological pathways, and studying the process of evolution. Syntenyview displays synteny maps with hyperlinked views. Each view displays one chromosome of a "target" species against all syntenic chromosomes of a "reference" species (Figure **1).** Syntenic regions on the chromosomes are color-coded and linked to another view in the Ensembl browser, where they are displayed as features on one of the genomes (Figure 2). Because it is not possible to compare multiple target chromosomes with multiple reference chromosomes in the same view, this approach falls short in delivering a global representation of the synteny.



**Figure 1:** An EnsembI synteny map for *Homo sapiens* chromosome **9** versus *Mus musculus* genome.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Courtesy of Ensembl. http://www.ensembl.org/Homo\_sapiens/syntenyview?chr=9.



**Figure 2:** A syntenic region on *Homo sapiens* chromosome 9 displayed in the Ensembl browser.<sup>2</sup>

Ensembl is also experimenting with Dotterview, which is "a web interface to the program Dotter" **[8]** that compares two **DNA** sequences using a *dot matrix* (Figure **3).** In a dot matrix, the rows and columns represent positions on two sequences. **If** the residue at position *i* of the "rows" sequence matches the residue at position *j* of the "columns" sequence, the cell at *(i ,j)* is dotted. Unlike most pages on the Ensembl website, Dotterview's content is generated in real time. However, the view takes a long time to refresh after every command, making it difficult to navigate. Moreover, it offers users little control over the display. Another tool called gff2aplot **[9]** reads in an alignment file in **GFF** format and produces a postscript file of a *dot plot.* **A** dot plot is similar to a dot matrix, but instead of comparing individual residues, it compares residues within two windows of sequences. If two sequence windows,  $i_1 - i_2$  and  $j_1 - j_2$ , align by certain criteria (not necessarily base-by-base identity), a line is drawn between  $(i_l, j_l)$  and  $(i_2, j_2)$ to denote the alignment, or similarity. Like gff2aplot, PipMaker **[10]** computes alignments between two sequences and produces a percent identity plot as a PDF or postscript document.



**Figure 3:** Ensembl's Dotterview shows a dot matrix between a *Mus musculus* sequence region and a *Homo sapiens* sequence region.

One of the best programs to-date for pair-wise genome comparison is **UC** Berkeley's **VISTA** browser **[11]** (Figure 4). **VISTA** displays the pre-computed best global alignment between two sequences as a peaks-and-valleys graph, which shows the percent of conservation between the sequences at any given point of the alignment. Users can browse the entire alignment or specify a section of it for display. Moreover, they can click anywhere in the graph to zoom in on a region. Genes and repeats in the base sequence are also shown above the graph. Conserved non-coding regions, exons, and UTRs are colored in the graph. The same sequence region displayed in the graph can also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Courtesy of Ensembl.

http://www.ensembl.org/Mus musculus/dotterview?ref=Mus musculus:1:4501577&hom=Homo sapiens:8 *:54643825.*

be displayed in the **UCSC** Genome Browser in a separate window. However, since the **UCSC** browser is a single-genome browser, only the base sequence can be displayed. The main drawback of **VISTA** is that it cannot display all local alignments when a region in one sequence aligns with multiple regions in another sequence.



**Figure** 4: Vista Genome Browser.4

Building upon VISTA's concepts, Phylo-VISTA [12] is a visualization tool developed recently for multiple **DNA** sequence alignments (Figure **5).** Phylo-VISTA takes in a multiple alignment file and a phylogenetic tree. This tree is shown in the top window in Figure **5.** Each leaf node in the tree corresponds to a sequence. Each internal node corresponds to a similarity plot of the sub-tree. Similarity plots for selected nodes are visible in the deepest window. Phylo-VISTA also displays the sequences against the multiple alignment so that users can view the gaps and annotations on each sequence. It has many of the same zooming capabilities as **VISTA.** While Phylo-VISTA lacks many

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Courtesy of VISTA. http://pipeline.lbl.gov/images/help/vgb2applet.gif.

functions that traditional genome browsers have (e.g., rich feature display and annotation editing for individual genomes), the idea of a phylogeny-based multiple alignment view is novel and its implementation noteworthy.



**Figure 5:** Phylo-VISTA.5

### **1.3 ComBo**

After a survey of many popular open-source applications, it is clear that there is a pressing need for genome browsers with sophisticated functionality that can handle the task of genome comparison in addition to single-genome display and annotation. **A** comparative genome browser should be able to display multiple genomes and their alignments side **by** side. It should display the data dynamically, allowing the user to compare arbitrary regions of the genomes in the same view. It should let the user quickly move between levels of detail, from genome-wide panorama down to individual residues.

**<sup>5</sup>**Courtesy of Phylo-VISTA. http://www-gsd.lbl.gov/phylovista/.

In addition to showing the alignments, it should also include genome annotations, so that the user can compare residue sequences as well as their features.

To address these requirements, we designed and implemented a comparative browser called ComBo. The conception of ComBo took root in two applications developed at the Broad Institute, the Argo Genome Browser and the Prototype Comparative Viewer, both of which enjoyed a great deal of success in their respective application domains. Built on top of Argo **[13],** a freely available single-genome browser, ComBo includes all of Argo's display and editing capabilities for a single sequence. In addition, ComBo adapted the concept of a comparative perspective introduced **by** the Prototype Comparative Viewer. The comparative perspective enables the user to dynamically browse alignments between two sequences and compare the sequences' annotation. It uses a dot plot **[14]** to display alignments to represent the similarities between two sequences. It also contains two features maps; each displays one of two sequences along with its annotated features. The perspective initially gives a high-level view of the entire data space, allowing the user to easily identify data patterns and regions of interest. The user can also zoom in to further inspect any part of the view. The feature maps are synchronized with the dot plot to display the same sequence regions. Finally, an inspector component in the perspective provides additional information about any data selected **by** the user.

### **1.4 Thesis Outline**

In this thesis, we describe the design and implementation of ComBo. We begin with an overview of ComBo's predecessors, the Argo Browser and the Prototype Comparative Viewer, in Chapter 2. Next, we give a detailed description of ComBo's design in Chapter **3.** After a brief discussion on data sources and formats in Chapter 4, we turn our attention to the browser's implementation in Chapter **5.** To demonstrate ComBo's features, we go through a use case in Chapter **6.** Finally, we summarize the work in Chapter **7** and discuss future directions in Chapter **8.**

## **Chapter 2 Argo Browser and Prototype Viewer**

The design of ComBo is influenced **by** the Argo Genome Browser and the Prototype Comparative Viewer developed at the Broad Institute. Argo provides the foundation of a genome browser with comprehensive single-genome viewing and editing functionality, while the prototype viewer demonstrates the potential of a comparative perspective that includes sequences' annotations and alignments.

### **2.1 Argo Genome Browser**

The Argo Genome Browser (Argo) is a graphical user interface **(GUI)** program for viewing and editing sequences and their annotated features. Argo's broad functionality as well as its elegant and extensible implementation makes it an ideal foundation for ComBo.

#### **FUNCTIONALITY**

Argo is the main visualization tool in the Calhoun annotation pipeline system **[13].** It displays any sequence region and all features annotated on that region. It provides users the means to dynamically navigate within a sequence region. Whether the user wants to browse the entire sequence, inspect a few residues up close, or look at anything in between, she can. She may also select features, retrieve details about them, and define arbitrary regions of interest. The browser offers many options for customization. It accepts data inputs from Broad's Calhoun database and flat files of various formats.

Argo is also the primary annotation editor used **by** manual annotators at Broad. It has many sequence editing capabilities and a well-designed interface, allowing annotators to easily insert and update evidence features, revise gene models, and use the browser's feature reports to quickly and accurately interpret data.

#### **ARCHITECTURE**

The Argo browser is implemented in Java and has a flexible, modular architecture making it an ideal software environment for the comparative extension. There are three main components in the design: the data model, the data managers, and the user interface. These correspond to three Java packages: calhoun.model, calhoun.db, and calhoun.ui.

The data model is the component in the Argo software bearing the most resemblance to the problem space. It contains abstractions of biologically meaningful objects, such as Sequence, Feature, etc.

The data managers retrieve data from various sources. In the case where annotations are modified, the data managers are also responsible for proper executions of *commit and/or rollback* to ensure data integrity in the database.

Finally, the user interface is responsible for the visualization and interaction of data objects. The core component of Argo's user interface is the FeatureMap (Figure **6)** which is a zoomable, scrollable window that arranges the arrow-shaped Features among other data objects according to their locations on the sequence as marked **by** a ruler at the top.



Figure 6: Argo Genome Browser displays a feature map.<sup>6</sup>

#### **ROLE IN COMBO**

ComBo reuses Argo's framework and object classes, while adding comparative browsing capabilities. Argo's main component, the feature map, and its subcomponents, are adapted as building blocks in ComBo. Consequently, more time could be devoted to adding new features. Argo users also get the benefit of a familiar interface.

## 2.2 Prototype Comparative Viewer

The modification of the Argo browser to handle comparative data is based on a prototype viewer developed in Matlab by computational biologist James Galagan at Broad. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Courtesy of James Galagan, Broad Institute.

prototype provides a dot plot view and an alignment view of the two genomes being compared.

The dot plot view presents the result of the comparison from a global perspective. In Figure *7,* the x-coordinate represents the concatenated complete genome of the fungus *Aspergillusfumigatus.* The y-coordinate represents the complete genome of the fungus *Aspergillus nidulans.* The axis lines indicate the chromosome boundaries. The red boxes in the plot represent regions of conserved synteny, where related genes are conserved in roughly the same order.



Figure **7:** Prototype Comparative Viewer's dot plot view of the alignments between *Aspergillus nidulans* and Aspergillus fumigatus.<sup>7</sup>

**<sup>7</sup>**Courtesy of James Galagan, Broad Institute.

Zooming in on one of these boxes, Figure 8 shows the actual alignments and gaps of the two genomes in this region. The genes in this region are also marked as bars along the axes. The same region is displayed in Figure 9 as an alignment graph, which is useful in visualizing homology on a fine-grained level.

The viewer also showcases some powerful functions such as synchronized browsing between the individual genomes and the comparative graphs. The Prototype viewer is intended to be a prototype tool for research. However, the favorable comments this prototype received made a strong case that these functions should be implemented for a wider audience in the richer context of the Calhoun system.



Figure 8: A magnified section of the dot plot in Figure 7.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Courtesy of James Galagan, Broad Institute.



Figure 9: Prototype Comparative Viewer's alignment graph view of the same region as in Figure 8.9

 $\epsilon$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Courtesy of James Galagan, Broad Institute.

## **Chapter 3 Design**

### **3.1 Goals**

The ultimate goal for any user interface design is to make it easy for users to accomplish tasks. **A** ComBo user seeks to discover meaningful correlations between two sequences, but her ability to do so is often hindered **by** the overwhelming amount of data available. Thus, it is vital that ComBo allows the user to quickly explore the entire data space. There are also times when the user wants to focus on a local region of interest and the rest of the data space becomes distracting. Hence, ComBo's second goal is to draw the user's attention to relevant information based on the context.

Driven **by** these goals, the design of ComBo reflects two key concepts:

- *1. Dynamic user interaction* emphasizes flexible display of up-to-date data with convenient user control. Views are generated dynamically rather than chosen from a static set, making them **highly** customizable through direct manipulation. **A** straightforward and efficient navigation paradigm further enhances the user's experience with data exploration.
- 2. *Content management* is a combination of filtering out the irrelevant information from the view and presenting the relevant information in a logical and intuitive manner. Content management is necessary to prevent the browser from overwhelming the user with data.

Moreover, for versatility, ComBo has been designed to retain the standard functionality of the Argo genome browser. Users can browse and edit a single genome, look at alignments, and compare genomes and annotations all in one application window.

## **3.2 Overview**

Building on the Argo foundation, ComBo introduces a *comparative perspective,* which is a visual container for components joined together to assist the user in data comparison. As shown in Figure **10,** the comparative perspective is divided into four quadrants, holding a *dot plot*, two *feature maps*, and an *inspector*. The horizontal and vertical feature maps display two separate sequences with annotations. The dot plot displays alignments between these two sequences. The inspector displays detailed information about the maps' data contents.



Figure 10: ComBo's comparative perspective.

The borders of these four components join to form the axes of a position-based coordinate system shared between the maps: The coordinates on the X and Y-axes correspond to positions on the respective sequences, with the origin designated as the **5'** end of each sequence. Map contents, features and alignments, are placed according to their sequence positions.

The user may browse the entire map or zoom into a smaller region to examine it more closely. The feature maps are synchronized with the dot plot to display identical ranges of sequences, allowing the user to focus on a subset of the alignments and compare features around the same location.

### **3.3 Components**

#### **DOT PLOT**

The dot plot displays pre-computed alignments, which represent correspondences between the X and Y-sequences at the residue level (Figure **11).** Specifically, the projections of each alignment onto the X- and Y-axes bound the matching sequence regions. An alignment is inverted if they are on different strands of the sequences.



Figure 11: In this dot plot, the alignment in the center represents an inverse correspondence between two sequence features.

#### **FEATURE MAP**

The feature map displays a sequence and its features. ComBo uses a modified version of Argo's feature map that has two possible orientations instead of one. The vertical version looks and behaves like its horizontal counterpart, but is rotated **90** degrees counterclockwise. As mentioned earlier, the dot plot and its adjacent feature map along each axis of the comparative perspective align to share the same coordinates for the same sequence. This allows the user to cross-reference alignments and features at the same location.

#### **INSPECTOR**

Like the feature map, the inspector is also a concept inherited from Argo. It displays the properties and details of the currently selected feature, alignment, or a user-defined sequence region.

### **3.4 Interactions**

ComBo's strength as a comparative genome browser lies in the components' ability to interact with the user and among themselves. ComBo refines and builds upon many ideas in Argo's user interface, particularly the navigation paradigm in the feature map. To tie different pieces of data together to help the user discover the biological connection, ComBo utilizes a new technique: synchronization of views and actions among the maps.

#### **NAVIGATION**

The dot plot and the feature maps are *zoomable and scrollable.* Only dimensions of a map that correspond to sequences are zoomable. This means a horizontal feature map is zoomable along the X-axis and a vertical feature map is zoomable along the Y-axis. We will refer to the zoomable dimension of a feature map as the *length dimension* and the non-zoomable one as the *height dimension.* On the other hand, a dot plot is both horizontally and vertically zoomable.

ComBo has two basic navigation modes, *select and zoom.* The comparative perspective uses select-mode **by** default. **A** user may click on any feature, alignment, or sequence segment to retrieve additional information about them in the inspector. The user may also Control-select or area-select multiple items. She may switch to zoom-mode temporarily **by** holding down the Shift key. In zoom-mode, she can left-click to zoom in and rightclick to zoom out **by** a magnification factor. She may also zoom into an arbitrary region in the view defined **by** dragging the mouse.

#### **SYNCHRONIZATION**

The role of synchronization is to help the user stay on track with relevant data. ComBo synchronizes both zooming and selection to ensure a logical, consistent, and informative comparative perspective.

Synchronous zooming guarantees that neighboring maps make the same sequence range visible. This is achieved **by** maintaining the following invariant:

- **1.** The views of two adjacent maps must have the same size along the border they share, and must align perfectly;
- 2. These two views must show the same segment of their corresponding sequence and nothing more; and
- **3. If** the user changes one of the views **by** zooming or scrolling the map, the adjacent map must automatically adjust to match the user-updated view.

As a result, users can easily cross-reference alignments, potentially related features, and their nucleotide sequences.

ComBo also synchronizes selection highlights. When an alignment is selected, ComBo highlights the ranges it spans in both the horizontal and vertical dimensions. The same regions are also highlighted in the feature maps allowing users to easily identify overlapping features, as illustrated in Figure 12.



Figure 12: Selected alignment and its highlights.

Likewise, if a feature or a user-defined sequence segment is selected in a feature map, ComBo highlights the corresponding sequence region in the feature map and the dot plot. Furthermore, if the highlight overlaps with any alignments, the dot plot will interpolate and highlight those regions the selection aligns with in the other feature map. Finally, ComBo updates all highlights whenever the map views change. (Figure 13)



Figure 13: Highlight synchronization by interpolation. The highlights on the X-axis are interpolated from the highlight for the selected vertical feature.

## **Chapter 4 Data**

### **4.1 Data Objects**

ComBo is designed to display the relationships between two sequences. The *sequences* may be **DNA,** RNA, or protein. The raw sequence data are simply two strings of residues. To begin uncovering potential underlying connections, researchers rely on two classes of evidence: alignments and features.

The first class of evidence is the sequences' pair-wise *alignments* at the residue level. An alignment is a near-perfect match between two regions on separate sequences. There are many programs available for finding global and local alignments between two sequences. Once the alignments have been computed externally, they can be loaded into ComBo for display. While short gaps are common in alignments, ComBo currently ignores them and simply treats an alignment as a pair of segment locations.

The second class of evidence consists of sequence *features.* These are specific locations on the sequences annotated as genes, repeats, BLAST alignments, **EST** alignments, etc. Feature data are computed **by** external feature finding programs or identified through manual annotation.

### **4.2 Data Sources**

Sequence and feature data are typically loaded together for display. ComBo imports these single-genome data from two main data sources, the Calhoun database and flat files. The Calhoun database is an Oracle relational database at the Broad Institute, which tracks sequences from in-house genome projects as well as external databases. Additionally, it tracks features on these sequences. Both sequences and features have a variety of objector type-specific attributes. ComBo is also capable of loading data from flat files in Fasta, Genbank, or **GFF** format.

ComBo loads alignments separately from sequence and feature data. As there is not yet any standard format for comparative data, ComBo does not import alignments from databases. Moreover, the code for loading alignments from flat files are written as needed for custom data formats. Currently, ComBo accepts data files that describe pair-wise alignments in a simple, generic format, in which each line describes one alignment with twelve tab-delimited fields:

- 
- 2. Sequence#1 start, **8.** Sequence#1 contig,
- 
- 4. Sequence#2 start, **10.** Percent identity,
- 
- **6.** Sequence#1 strand, 12. Expected value.
- **1.** Alignment **ID, 7.** Sequence#2 strand,
	-
- **3.** Sequence#1 stop, **9.** Sequence#2 contig,
	-
- **5.** Sequence#2 stop, **11.** Alignment score, and
	-

This format essentially specifies an alignment as a pair of sequence segments with a few additional attributes. It does not include gap information.

## **Chapter 5 Implementation**

The ComBo browser was implemented in Java. Besides being Argo's choice of language, Java has the obvious benefit of platform independence, eliminating a lot of the guesswork about native graphical peculiarities in different systems.

What is more, Argo has grown quickly into a complex system. It is foreseeable that ComBo will similarly take on more features and become an increasingly sophisticated and well-rounded tool. In order to keep the system manageable and extendable, great care must be taken to keep the code modular. Java, being an object-oriented language, is a natural choice for this task.

Keeping a system with so many functionally interdependent components modular and comprehensible is no straightforward task. To cope with complexity, the implementation of ComBo loosely follows the Model-View-Controller paradigm **[15]** pioneered **by** Java's predecessor, SmallTalk-80.

### **5.1 Model-View-Controller Paradigm**

In the early 1980s, a programming language called SmallTalk-80 introduced the Model-View-Controller (MVC) paradigm, a powerful user interface design pattern. In the MVC paradigm, the modeling of the problem space, the user input, and the graphical output are explicitly separated and handled **by** three parts of the program, each specialized for its task. The *model* manages the behavior and data of the application domain. The *view* produces the graphical output for the model on screen. Finally, the *controller* interprets the mouse and keyboard inputs from the user and commands the model and/or the view to update accordingly.

The aim of MVC is to separate concerns and promote code reuse. MVC allows one to develop the software's user interface frontend and data-centric backend independently. One implication of this partition is that a single data model can take on several different views. Another is that no matter how the backend implementation changes, as long as it maintains the same interface, the frontend is not affected at all. Moreover, **MVC** encourages the separation of input and output. The controller accepts user inputs and updates the model accordingly, while the view generates the output based on the state of the model. Overall, MVC is an effective abstraction that simplifies user interface development.

### **5.2 Application of MVC in ComBo**

ComBo is structured according to the Model-View-Controller paradigm, as illustrated in Figure 14. ComBo imports and models genomic data objects using the Java packages calhoun.api, calhoun.db, and calhoun.model, graphically presents them in an application window using calhoun.ui, and orchestrates their actions and interactions using calhoun.action and calhoun.event.



**Figure 14:** ComBo's program structure from the Model-View-Controller point of view.

There are many advantages of structuring ComBo this way. First, since the code for accessing data (calhoun.db) is separate from the data model interface (calhoun.model), ComBo can take in data of any format from any source given a data manager to translate the data into the representation defined in the data model interface. The calhoun.api package provides templates for writing custom data managers. Second, the MVC pattern makes it possible to associate multiple views with the same data. This allows powerful features, such as toggling between views, to be added to ComBo in the future.

### **5.3 The Model**

#### **DATA TYPES**

The model of ComBo is responsible for supplying data to the frontend. The first step is to let the frontend know what kinds of data to expect. The calhoun.model package defines the following data types:

**A** Sequence is a Java object that models a genomic sequence. Sequence has a number of attributes, including a unique **ID,** a name, a source, and a *raw sequence,* which is the string of residues it represents.

**A** segment models a segment of a sequence. Its attributes include the sequence the segment is on, the starting and stopping positions on the sequence, and the strand if applicable.

**A** Feature represents an annotated feature on a sequence. It is a special kind of Segment bearing some biological significance. Besides those inherited from segment, Feature has additional type-specific attributes, such as the product of a gene.

An Alignment object is a simple model for a pair-wise alignment. It disregards gap information; instead, it represents the alignment as a pair of Segment objects indicating which parts of the sequences share the similarity. In the future, gaps will likely be addressed in a nested-Alignments fashion that resembles the nested-Features approach for handling introns in a feature map and is compatible with the existing framework.

#### **DATA MANAGERS**

Data managers are modules that import and translate raw data into data objects recognizable **by** ComBo. The calhoun.api package specifies the programming interface for data managers. The calhoun.db package contains functional implementations. Figure **<sup>15</sup>** illustrates the core Java classes and interfaces for data managers.

There are three main types of DataManagers: SequenceManager for sequences, TrackManager for features, and AlignmentManager for alignments. Three abstract classes, AbstractSequenceManager, AbstractTrackManager, and AbstractAlignmentManager, serve as starting points for any concrete data manager implementation. They can be further subclassed based on data sources. AbstractSingleFiledAlignmentManager and AbstractSingleFiledTrackManager can be extended to create data managers that import data from flat files.

For ComBo, we were able to reuse various single-genome data managers written for Argo, such as the BroadSequenceManager for importing sequence data from the Calhoun database and the GenbankDatabaseDataManager for importing Genbank data (sequences and features). For the generic file-based test alignment data format described in Chapter 4, we created a TestAlignmentManager **by** extending the AbstractSingleFiledAlignmentManager template in the API. It parses a flat file and produces a set of Alignment data objects as defined in calhoun.model.

Finally, the DataManagerRegistry helps the application track data managers available. When the user makes a data loading request, ComBo delegates the task to the appropriate data

manager, which reads data from the source, creates data objects such as sequences and Features, and passes them to the view for display.



Figure **15:** Data Manager API. Colored objects represent the classes relevant to the implementation of the data manager for the alignment data described in Chapter 4.

## **5.4 The** *View*

The view of ComBo is primarily responsible for displaying data from the model. Together with the controller, it gives users the means to directly manipulate the appearance as well as the actual data. ComBo organizes data into maps and maps into perspectives.

#### **COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE**

At the top of the **UI** hierarchy for ComBo's comparative perspective is the Java object ComparativePerspective, which is a JlnternalFrame containing exactly four components: **(1)** a DotPlotWindow, (2) a vertical FeatureMapWindow, **(3)** a horizontal FeatureMapWindow, and (4) an InspectorWindow. The first three components are all maps. The ComparativePerspective manages the layout of the components relative to one another and sets them up for the controller. However, the responsibility of data display lies entirely on the maps, which will be dissected in the following sections.



**Figure 16:** Object diagram of the main Java objects pertaining to a *map.*

### **MAP HIERARCHY**

The different map-related objects in ComBo are related according to the hierarchy shown in Figure **16.** The map schema is specified in the interface Map and partially implemented in the abstract class ScrollableMap, which becomes the basis for feature map and dot plot's implementations.

The Map interface in the hierarchy embodies the concept of a *map,* which is a representation of data locations. Analogous to a Global Positioning System that uses the globe's longitude and latitude as its coordinate system for locating a subject, Map uses positions on one or more sequences as the coordinate system for locating a piece of data, such as a feature or an alignment.

**A** ScrollableMap is an implementation of Map. It uses a JScrollPane to display the map object as shown in Figure **17.** It has a fixed-sized *viewport,* which serves as a window to the *view* in the back. The view is a **2D** rendering of the map (later referred to as the MapPanel). Since the view can have any size, zooming can be achieved **by** stretching or shrinking the map along its zoomable dimensions. To zoom in, for instance, the view's size increases, so that the map is drawn bigger. Since the viewport stays the same, the user sees what used to be only part of the viewport now occupying the entire viewable space. When the map's size is bigger than the viewport's size, the user can scroll the map horizontally and vertically to bring different parts of the map into the viewable area.



Figure **17:** ScrollableMap uses a JScrollPane to display a map through a viewport.

ComBo has two uses for the ScrollableMap: the FeatureMapWindow for displaying features and the DotPlotWindow for displaying alignments. Besides avoiding duplicate code between FeatureMapWindow and DotPlotWindow, the map hierarchy allows the same navigation mechanism to be reused in the future in other views, such as an alignment graph (Figure **9), by** simply extending from ScrollableMap.

#### **VIEW HIERARCHY**

The view of a ScrollableMap is a MapPanel, which is a JPanel-type container for *glyphs, or* graphical objects, that represent, group, and highlight the data. Like ScrollableMap, MapPanel is an abstract class. It has two concrete subclasses, FeatureMapPanel and DotPlotPanel, which serve as views for FeatureMapWindow and DotPlotWindow respectively.

MapPanel has two main functions: keeping track of **glyphs** and managing their layout. Recall that the locations of data such as features and alignments are in terms of sequence positions. To determine where a **glyph** should be drawn, **A** MapPanel must translate their sequence positions to Java's component coordinates and retranslated whenever the view is resized.

**If** two or more features share the same sequence position in a FeatureMapPanel, their **glyphs** are stacked up, or put in separate rows, along the height dimension. The stacking order is customizable. On the other hand, stacking is not an option in a DotPlotPanel since there is not a non-sequence dimension. Therefore, some overlapping AlignmentGlyphs are unavoidably obscured, at least partially.

#### **MAP HEADERS**

Displaying data in a FeatureMapPanel illustrates their locations relative to one another, yet a map is incomplete without a ruler indicating their absolute locations on the sequence(s). FeatureMapWindow displays rulers through the viewport of the column (north) or row (east) header of the JScrollPane. Figure **18** shows two FeatureMapWindows. The vertical feature map holds rulers in the row header, whereas its horizontal counterpart holds them in the column header.

The "Header" section in Figure **16** outlines the ruler hierarchy in ComBo. **A** RulerPanel is the graphical representation of a ruler. Two commonly used RulerPanels are the PositionRulerPanel and the SequenceRulerPanel. The PositionRulerPanel marks the sequence positions and is always visible. The SequenceRulerPanel displays the residue at each position. **If** the segment of the sequence viewable through the viewport is too long for the residue letters to be legible, the panel is left blank.

#### **MAP CONTENTS**

MapPanel uses *glyphs* to represent, group, and highlight data. The categorization of **glyphs** parallels their models: AlignmentGlyph corresponds to Alignment; SegmentGlyph corresponds to Segment. SegmentGlyph can be divided into three sub-categories: FeatureGlyph, ColumnGlyph and HighlightGlyph. Figure **16** diagrams the relationship between these classes. Figure **18** and Figure **19** illustrate the **glyphs** taken from screenshots.



**Figure 18:** Components in a feature map, including **glyphs** and rulers.



Figure 19: Components in a dot plot.

**A** FeatureGlyph represents a Feature, and is drawn as an arrow to indicate the feature's strand orientation. The user may also indicate an arbitrary segment on a sequence **by** creating a ColumnGlyph. **A** ColumnGlyph is drawn as a semi-transparent rectangle covering the entire section of the feature map bounded **by** the endpoints of the segment. **A** ColumnGlyph remains in the FeatureMapPanel until the user explicitly deletes it or the FeatureMapPanel is disposed. However, unlike a feature, the segment it represents is not stored as permanent data. Neither FeatureGlyph nor ColumnGlyph is used in DotPlotPanel.

AlignmentGlyph, FeatureGlyph, and ColumnGlyph are selectable. Their selection is reflected in the Inspector, which displays various properties of the underlying alignment, feature, or column. The selection is also highlighted **by** HighlightGlyphs. HighlightGlyph is similar to ColumnGlyph, except it is not created **by** the user, and it is transient, meaning that it will be destroyed as soon its corresponding selection is deselected. There are three types of HighlightGlyphs: direct, neighboring, and interpolated. They are categorized **by** the contexts for which they are created. They play a central role in synchronization, which will be discussed in more detail later.

### **5.5 The Controller**

The controller of ComBo handles all interactions with the user. There are two parts to the controller logic. The first involves *direct manipulation of objects* **by** the user, such as opening a new feature map or selecting a **glyph.** This is handled **by** actions and input listeners. The second involves the *interactions between objects* as the byproducts of direct manipulation. The theme of the interactions is synchronization, which is achieved **by** the resize listener and the view synchronizer.

#### **ACTIONS**

An Action is a Java object that represents a command. It is commonly used in conjunction with a menu item. When the menu item is selected, the associated action is performed. For example, the action for the menu item "Refresh Map Data" is to reload the data from its source and regenerate the map. The calhoun.action package contains many such Actions.

Actions are neatly packaged controller logics that help make the code modular, easy to understand, and reusable. Unfortunately, not all controller logics can be wrapped up as Actions: mouse events make a prime example. Actions work well with standard **GUI** objects such as menus and toolbars, but another type of controller is necessary to handle more free-form interactions.

#### **INPUT LISTENERS**

Each map has a set of listeners that handles events from input devices, such as mouse clicks, characters typed, etc. FeatureMapKeyListener and DotPlotKeyListener listen for KeyEvents, while FeatureMapMouseListener and DotPlotMouseListener listen for MouseEvents and MouseMotionEvents.

The main function of the key listeners is to set the mode. There are two modes in ComBo: select and zoom. ComBo responds to mouse inputs differently depending on what the current mode is. The default mode is select. When the user holds the Shift key down, the mode is temporarily shifted to zoom.

The mouse listeners react to a mouse event based on the current mode and the type of object clicked on. The following table summarizes mouse listeners' behaviors:



Table **1:** Mouse controls in maps.

So far, we have described Actions and input listeners, which give the user the means to control and navigate individual maps. However, to make the most out of the data, these maps need to cooperate in a comparative context. Two additional controller components

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The drag modes are artifacts of Argo. They are not meaningful in the comparative context, but are retained in ComBo so that a user can open a standalone feature map and still get all the Argo functionality.

are responsible for managing the interactions between maps, the ResizeListener and the ViewSynchronizer. In a nutshell, the ResizeListener controls the layout of the maps in such a way that enables the ViewSynchronizer to easily coordinate zooming and highlighting in the views.

#### **RESIZE LISTENER**

The ComparativePerspective controls the sizes and locations of its four components with a ResizeListener. In order to achieve perfect synchronization, the ResizeListener guarantees the following about the components:

- **1.** Each component is anchored to its respective corner of the perspective,
- 2. The vertical FeatureMapWindow and the DotPlotWindow are equal in height,
- **3.** The horizontal FeatureMapWindow and the DotPlotWindow are equal in width,
- 4. Neighboring maps abut without overlap, and
- **5.** The InspectorWindow takes up the remaining space.

The result is a four-quadrant setup with the joint borders forming the X- and Y-axes. The ResizeListener allows the user to redistribute the space **by** dragging the origin or one of the axes. Figure 20 illustrates the layout properties guaranteed and the resizing operations supported **by** the ResizeListener.



**Figure** 20: ResizeListener in the comparative perspective.

ResizeListener utilizes an event listener pattern to supervise the layout and provide immediate visual feedbacks to the user's resizing gestures. Specifically, the ComparativePerspective instantiates a ResizeListener that listens for MouseEvents and MouseMotionEvents in all four components. When the user clicks on one of these components near the axes and drags it, the ResizeListener causes the component to resize following the mouse movement and simultaneously adjusts the other three components. Contents are shown during resizing activities as they provide immediate feedback to the user in determining where to place the boundaries.

#### **VIEW SYNCHRONIZER**

**A** ViewSynchronizer is an object that relays updates from a *source* map to a *target* map. The source and the target share a border, which is either horizontal  $(X)$  or vertical  $(Y)$ . The ComparativePerspective contains four ViewSynchronizers:



**Table 2:** Four instances of ViewSynchronizer in ComparativePerspective.

The ViewSynchronizer listens for both zoom and select changes in the source **by** implementing the ChangeListener and ContainerListener interfaces.

#### *Zoom-Synchronization*

The ViewSynchronizer is a ChangeListener registered with the source's viewport. When the MapPanel in the viewport resizes or changes its position, it fires a ChangeEvent. Zoomrelated operations on a map, including zoom-in, zoom-out, and scroll, can all be captured as ChangeEvents. Thanks to ResizeListener's guarantees about map sizes and positions, zoom synchronization is straightforward: when the ViewSynchronizer receives a

ChangeEvent from the source, it sets the view of the target to the same size and the same position as the source along the shared border. (See an example in Figure **21.)** This method is simple yet robust. We can count on the listener catching every zoom change in the source and making the appropriate update in the target regardless of what causes the zoom operation.



Figure 21: **A** ViewSynchronizer propagates a zoom change (magnification) from its source to its target in the vertical dimension.

The ViewSynchronizer is also a ContainerListener registered with the source's view. It receives a ContainerEvent when a component is added to or removed from the view. **If** this component is a HighlightGlyph, then the viewSynchronizer must update the highlights in the target's view. However, before diving into the details of select-synchronization, it is necessary to first clarify the different types **of** HighlightGlyphs and how they relate to data **glyphs** as well as to each other.

#### *Highlight Glyphs*

Unlike most **glyphs** in ComBo, which are created to represent data objects, HighlightGlyphs' primary function is to provide visual cues to related data regions across multiple maps. They are central to synchronization because they best emphasize the logical relations among the maps.

Recall that there are three types of HighlightGlyphs corresponding to the three ways HighlightGlyphs are used: highlighting a selected data **glyph,** mirroring a HighlightGlyph in an adjacent map, and interpolating the intersection of an AlignmentGlyph and another HighlightGlyph. Each of these uses is illustrated in Figure 22 and is described separately below.



Figure 22: The relationship among highlight **glyphs** and data glyphs.

First, when the user selects a FeatureGlyph, a ColumnGlyph, or an AlignmentGlyph, ComBo creates a *direct* HighlightGlyph to highlight each sequence segment the selected data **glyph** corresponds to. (The highlights in the dot plot of Figure 12 are two examples of *direct* HighlightGlyphs.) **A** direct HighlightGlyph is created in the same map as the selected data **glyph** and is destroyed **by** the map when the data **glyph** is deselected.

Secondly, a *neighboring* HighlightGlyph is created to mirror a non-neighboring HighlightGlyph in an adjacent map and any of its subsequent changes. For example, when the vertical FeatureMapWindow creates a direct HighlightGlyph, the DotPlotWindow in turn creates a neighboring HighlightGlyph that highlights the same Y range (Figure **13).**

Thirdly, when the neighboring HighlightGlyph that mirrors a direct HighlightGlyph in feature map **A** intersects with an AlignmentGlyph, we interpolate the segment on sequence B that would align with the highlighted segment on sequence **A,** and create an *interpolated* HighlightGlyph for it. (Both vertical highlights in the dot plot of Figure **13** are *interpolated* HighlightGlyphs.)

#### *Select-Synchronization*

**All** HighlightGlyphs are either directly or indirectly created or destroyed **by** the selection mechanism of MapPanel, or map. Since the map alone can set a data **glyph** as selected or unselected, only the map determines when to create or delete a direct HighlightGlyph. On the other hand, one map does not know about direct HighlightGlyphs that other maps have created. It needs the ViewSynchronizer to act as an agent. Only the ViewSynchronizer can instruct the map to create or delete a neighboring or interpolated HighlightGlyph.

When a ViewSynchronizer receives a ContainerEvent about the addition of a direct or interpolated HighlightGlyph to the source, it instructs the target to create a neighboring HighlightGlyph. **If** the target is a DotPlotMapPanel, it also asks the target to create interpolated HighlightGlyphs if there are any. On the other hand, when the ViewSynchronizer is notified of the removal of a HighlightGlyph from the source, it makes sure that all dependent HighlightGlyphs in the target get removed.

### **5.6 Model Updates**

ComBo makes extensive use of the *event listener pattern* to communicate changes among components. **A** component, the listener, registers interest in changes of another

component, the source. When the source changes, it sends an event notification to all of its listeners. This pattern is particularly common in the controller. The input listeners and the ResizeListener use it to capture mouse and keyboard events in the maps. The ViewSynchronizer listens for changes in the contents of containers and in the bounds of components. These **GUI** components manage to never miss an update **by** taking full advantage of Java's event supports in AWT and Swing.

#### **OBSERVER PATTERN**

The need for timely update notifications, however, is not limited to the **GUI** components of ComBo. Data models also need a way of informing their views about their changes immediately to make sure that the views never display stale data and that users receive immediate feedbacks for the changes or commands they make.

ComBo allows any objects to utilize the event listener pattern **by** providing two interfaces in the calhoun.util package, Observer and Observable. **By** implementing the Observable interface, an **object** can notify its observers about changes or even just send them a generic announcement. Any object can receive messages from the Observable object **by** implementing the Observer interface and registering itself with the Observable.

The Observer pattern is powerful, but must not be overused. In a situation that requires event notification, one should always consider Java's event listener implementation first. While the Observer pattern can also do the job, it often requires meticulous coding—one has to take great care to make sure that the notifyObservers() method is called in all possible update scenarios. This becomes **highly** error-prone when the component's behavior is complex, with a large number of potential scenarios. Therefore, the use of the Observer pattern must be kept in check.

#### **SEGMENT UPDATE**

One good use of the Observer pattern is to relay updates on a segment model to its frontend SegmentGlyph. **A** Segment model may change due to updates from the database or operations performed **by** the user, such as dragging the bounds of a ColumnGlyph. Therefore, upon initialization, FeatureGlyphs and ColumnGlyphs should register themselves as Observers of their segment models. When a segment's start or stop position changes, Segment calls notifyObservers(), so that when the glyph receives the notification, it can update its model and redraw itself immediately.

#### **HIGHLIGHT UPDATE**

HighlightGlyph implements both the Observer and Observable interfaces. **A** direct HighlightGlyph recalculates its bounds when it receives an update from its Segment model. **A** neighboring HighlightGlyph updates its segment model and recalculates its bounds when it receives a notification from the direct or interpolated HighlightGlyph it mirrors. **If** this neighboring HighlightGlyph is in a DotPlotMapPanel, it also asks the panel to refresh the interpolated HighlightGlyphs because after the change in its bounds, it may not intersect with the same AlignmentGlyphs anymore.

While direct and neighboring HighlightGlyphs update their Segment models only when notified, interpolated HighlightGlyphs need to be updated more frequently. An interpolated HighlightGlyph updates even when the map zooms. Unlike other **glyphs,** its Segment model is a guess **by** interpolation. At a resolution level where there are more sequence bases than pixels to represent them, the interpolation is inevitably crude. Left not corrected, the error gets magnified increasingly as the resolution gets better **by** zooming in. Therefore, it is essential for an interpolated HighlightGlyph to recalculate its Segment model every time its setBounds() method is called.

## **Chapter 6 Use Case**

To demonstrate ComBo's functionality, we present a use case comparing two sequences *from Aspergillusfumigatus and Aspergillus nidulans* respectively. Aspergillus is a group of commonly found molds. Most of them are not pathogenic. However, *A. fumigatus* is an exception-it is the most infectious mold worldwide. Despite its notoriety in medicine, genomic studies on *A. fumigatus* had been lagging until recently when the Sanger Institute sequenced its genome, allowing scientists to perform analyses on this newly available data **[16].** One approach in studying *A. fumigatus* is to compare its genome with the model fungus *A. nidulans.* This use case shows how ComBo can lend support to this task.

### **6.1 Load Data**

The user begins **by** loading data into a new comparative perspective. First, she selects the "New Comparative Perspective..." item from the File menu. **A** dialog box will appear on screen (Figure **23)** prompting for data locations of two sequences (including their annotations) and the alignments between them.

The *A. fumigatus* data are stored locally **by** contigs in Genbank-format files. Each file has the sequence and all gene features in the given contig. The user chooses contig **19** as the first sequence. The *A. nidulans* data are from the Broad database. The annotations include genes as well as many other features such as BLAST hits. This time, she chooses contig 104 as the second sequence. The pre-computed alignments that indicate corresponding regions between the above two sequences also come from a local file. This particular file is not in any popular data format and requires its own data loader custom-made using the data manager API. (See Chapter 4 for a more detailed account of this alignment data.)



**Figure 23:** Dialog box for loading data into a new comparative perspective. The user must specify two sequences, including their annotations, and a data set of alignments between the sequences.

### **6.2 Comparative Perspective**

Once all the data have been loaded in, ComBo displays the comparative perspective as shown in Figure 24. The map in the lower-right is the feature map for *A. fumigatus. The* small blue **glyphs** represent the genes on the sequence. The map in the upper-left is the feature map for *A. nidulans.* It contains **glyphs** of many colors representing features of different types. The user has the option of turning off any irrelevant types in the feature map display. The dot plot in the upper-right shows the alignments.

One might notice that the *A. fumigatus* contig is significantly larger than the *A. nidulans* contig. Only part of *A. fumigatus* shown here corresponds to *A. nidulans.* In fact, there

appears to be an inversion in this syntenic region, made evident by the reversed orientation of one of the three major alignments in the dot plot.



Figure 24: Alignments between A. fumigatus and A. nidulans show synteny.

### 6.3 Zoom

Next, the user wants to inspect this synteny more closely. So she holds down the Shift key to switch to zoom-mode and drags the mouse around the region to zoom in. (Figure 25)



Figure 25: Dragging the mouse around a region we want to zoom into.

When the user releases the mouse button, the perspective looks like Figure 26. The view in the dot plot is exactly a blown-up version of the area enclosed by the rectangle in Figure 25. Furthermore, the horizontal and vertical feature maps have also zoomed in to the corresponding regions automatically to synchronize with the dot plot.



Figure 26: A magnified view of a group of alignments and the features on the corresponding sequence segments.

The features in the horizontal feature map are still indistinguishable. So the user clicks on this map near the center of the syntenic region with the Shift key down to zoom in on the X-axis alone. Figure 27 shows the updated view of the perspective.



Figure 27: Comparative perspective after zooming in on the horizontal feature map.

## 6.4 Select

The user can click on any data glyph to select it. In Figure 28, she has selected an alignment. The segments that this alignment corresponds to on the X- and Y-sequences are highlighted in all three maps. Both feature maps show features inside the highlighted regions. To find out what they are, the user can zoom in further or get a detail report in the inspector by selecting one feature at a time. If the user only wants to see the ID of a glyph, she can move the mouse over it, and the mouse tool-tip will display the ID. In Figure 28, the ID of the selected alignment is shown in both the inspector and the mouse tool-tip.



**Figure 28:** Selection of an alignment.

# **6.5 Multiple Select**

The user may also select multiple **glyphs,** either **by** holding down the Control key while clicking on the **glyphs** or **by** dragging the mouse over an area to select everything within. In Figure **29,** the user has selected five alignments.



**Figure 29:** Multiple selections.

## **6.6 Interpolation**

The user can also select feature **glyphs.** In Figure **30,** she has selected an exon in the horizontal feature map. The segment this feature covers is highlighted on both sides of the X-axis. The highlight intersects with two alignments in the dot plot. So the intersections are also interpolated onto the Y-axis.



Figure 30: Selection of a feature.

## *6.7 Residue Level*

Finally, when the user has zoomed in far enough, she reaches the residue level, as shown in Figure **3 1.** In this case, each feature map shows the positive and negative strands of the nucleotide sequence. This allows the user to compare the sequences base **by** base.



**Figure 31:** Residue level.

## *6.8* **Summary and Availability**

In summary, we showed how ComBo successfully assisted a user in comparing two sequence regions from *A. fumigatus and A. nidulans.* We demonstrated how the user may load data from different sources, browse the data on a global scale, zoom in to focus on smaller regions, make selections, and examine the details. The mouse control is intuitive, allowing the user to manipulate the maps and perform the operations with ease.

ComBo with source code is available for free upon request. It can be run on any computer that has J2SE Java Runtime Environment vi.4.2 installed. We welcome inquiries and comments on ComBo as we continue to make this a more powerful tool, better suited for the need of the research community.

## **Chapter 7 Conclusion**

Recent technological advances in genomics have provided scientists a wealth of data promised to hold the key in answering many profound questions about genetics and evolution. NCBI's GenBank, one of the largest **DNA** sequence databases worldwide, has **27.2** million sequences from 140,000 organisms. **[17]** To help scientists make use of these data, we developed ComBo, a software tool for visualizing and comparing sequences.

ComBo is a graphical genome browser that allows users to compare sequences and inspect sequence annotations. It displays the similarities between two sequences in a dot plot. The sequences correspond to the X- and Y-axes of the dot plot. Each line, or alignment, in the dot plot represents a match between its projected segments on the axes. Two feature maps are placed alongside the axes of the dot plot, displaying the annotated features on the corresponding sequences. An inspector window displays detail information on any selected data.

ComBo allows users to dynamically control the display. Users can browse the data from a global perspective or zoom into any arbitrary region (down to residue level) to study the local pattern. Zooming and selection are synchronized such that the maps always display and highlight the corresponding regions. Finally, users can view detail information on any selected data in the inspector window.

ComBo can import sequence and annotation data from the Calhoun database or flat files of various formats, such as Fasta, Genbank, and **GFF.** ComBo currently supports a generic file-based format for alignments, as there are no widely accepted comparative data formats available. However, additional data formats can be added easily using the API package.

ComBo was implemented in Java. **A** lot of development effort went into making the program easy for future developers to understand and expand. The program follows the Model-View-Controller paradigm to separate the frontend from the backend and the input from the output. This structure allows ComBo to easily adapt additional data sources and formats and display data in different views.

## **Chapter 8 Future Considerations**

ComBo has a lot of potential in becoming an all-around visualization tool for genomic data. It is already a single-genome viewer and editor as well as a browser for comparing two genomes using the dot plot method. The program has the infrastructure for integrating new modules for additional capabilities. Through discussions with bioinformatics researchers and software developers at the Broad Institute, we have identified the next steps in improving ComBo's functionality and usability for a wider audience.

We need to begin **by** drafting a definitive format for comparative data. The format ought to include gap information and sequence references in addition to the general description of the locations of the similarities. Secondly, we need to expand the alignment data model to include gap representation. Finally, we plan to incorporate the alignment graph (similar to the one shown in Figure **9)** into ComBo at the residue level, so that users can compare the sequences base **by** base and view gaps in a more natural way.

## **Acknowledgements**

This thesis has been a tremendous experience only made possible **by** the wonderful people **I** have had the privilege and pleasure to work with and learn from in this past year. **<sup>I</sup>**would like to take this opportunity to express my heartfelt gratitude for them:

Thanks to James Galagan for his immense support. From coming up with the idea for the thesis to offering guidance every step along the way, he was beyond inspirational and helpful.

Thanks to Professor Chris Burge for taking me as his student and so generously providing supervision on this work. **I** learned more biology from him and his research group this year than in my four years of undergraduate school combined.

Thanks to Dr. Jill Mesirov for giving me valuable advice during the development of ComBo and the write-up of the thesis.

Thanks to Sarah Calvo for having faith in me when **I** first knocked on her door without any knowledge of the field. She and the Annotation team brought me in to work on the Calhoun system and opened my eyes to the wonders of bioinformatics and genomics.

Thanks to Reinhard Engels for always willing to answer my questions about the Argo Browser.

And thanks to Jade Vinson, Michele Clamp, Mark Borowsky, Li-Jun Ma, and Dave DeCaprio for their useful comments and feedbacks on ComBo.

## **References**

- **[1] J.** Stalker, B. Gibbins, P. Meidl, **J.** Smith, W. Spooner, H. Hotz, and **A.** V. Cox, "The Ensembl Web Site: Mechanics of a Genome Browser," Genome Research, vol. 14, no. *5,* **pp. 951-955,** 2004.
- [2] W. **J.** Kent, **C.** W. Sugnet, T. **S.** Furey, K. M. Roskin, T. H. Pringle, **A.** M. Zahler, and **D.** Haussler, "The Human Genome Browser at **UCSC,"** Genome Research, vol. 12, no. **6, pp. 996-1006,** 2002.
- **[3] S. E.** Lewis, **S.** M. **J.** Searle, **N.** Harris, M. Gibson, V. Iyer, **J.** Ricter, **C.** Wiel, L. Bayraktaroglu, **E.** Birney, M. **A.** Crosby, et al, "Apollo: a sequence annotation editor," Genome Biology, vol. **3,** no. 12, research0082.1-0082.14, 2002.
- [4] K. Rutherford, **J.** Parkhill, **J.** Crook, T. Horsnell, P. Rice, M. Rajandream, and B. Barrell, "Artemis: sequence visualization and annotation," Bioinformatics, vol. **16,** no. **10, pp.** *944-945,* 2000.
- *[5]* Fungal Genome Initiative Steering Committee, "Fungal Genome Initiative: **A** White Paper for Fungal Genomics," [Online document], 2004 Jul **10,** Available HTTP: http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fgi/July2004FGIWP.pdf
- **[6]** Broad Institute, "Status of **FGI** projects," [Online document], 2004, Available HTTP: http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/fgi/status.html.
- **[7]** M. Clamp, **D.** Andrews, **D.** Barker, P. Bevan, **G.** Cameron, Y. Chen, L. Clark, T. **Cox, J.** Cuff, V. Curwen, et al, "Ensembl 2002: accommodating comparative genomics," Nucleic Acids Research, vol. **31,** no. **1, pp.** 38-42, **2003.**
- **[8] E.** Birney, **D.** Andrews, P. Bevan, M. Caccamo, **G.** Cameron, Y. Chen, L. Clarke, **G.** Coates, T. Cox, **J.** Cuff, et al, "Ensembl 2004," Nucleic Acids Research, vol. **32,** Database issue D468-D470, 2004.
- **[9] J.** F. Abril, R. Guigo, and T. Wiehe, "gff2aplot: Plotting sequence comparisons," Bioinformatics, vol. **19,** no. **18, pp. 2477-2479, 2003.**
- **[10] S.** Schwartz, Z. Zhang, K. **A.** Frazer, **A.** Smit, **C.** Riemer, **J.** Bouck, R. Gibbs, R. Hardison, and W. Miller, "PipMaker: **A** Web Server for Aligning Two Genomic **DNA** Sequences," Genome Research, vol. **10,** no. 4, **pp.** *577-586,* **2000.**
- [11] **C.** Mayor, M. Brudno, **J.** R. Schwartz, **A.** Poliakov, **E.** M. Rubin, K. **A.** Frazer, L. **S.** Pachter, and **I.** Dubchak, **"VISTA:** visualizing global **DNA** sequence alignments of arbitrary length," Bioinformatics, vol. **16,** no. **11, pp.** 1046-1047, 2000.
- [12] **N.** Shah, **0.** Couronne, L. **A.** Pennacchio, M. Brudno, **S.** Batzoglou, **E.** W. Bethel, **E.** M. Rubin, B. Hamann, and **I.** Dubchak, "Phylo-VISTA: an interactive visualization tool for multiple **DNA** sequence alignments," Bioinformatics, vol. 20, no. *5,* **pp. 636-643,** 2004.
- **[13] J. E.** Galagan, **S. E.** Calvo, **D.** DeCaprio, R. Engels, T. Elkins, **S.** Purcell, **S.** Wang, **S.** Smirnov, Y. Wu, B. Rehman, et al, "Calhoun: **A** System for Whole-Genome Annotation and Analysis," Submitted for publication.
- [14] **J.** V. Maizel, Jr and R. P. Lenk, "Enhanced graphic matrix analysis of nucleic acid and protein sequences," In Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **1981, pp. 7665-7669.**
- *[15]* **G. E.** Krasner and **S.** T. Pope, **"A** Description of the Model-View-Controller User Interface Paradigm in the Smalltalk-80 System," Journal of Object-Oriented Programming, vol. **1,** no. **3, pp.** 26-49, **1988.**
- **[16]** Sanger Institute, *"Aspergillusfumigatus* genome project," [Online document], 2004, Available HTTP: http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/A fumigatus/.
- **[17] D. A.** Benson, **I.** Karsch-Mizrachi, **D. J.** Lipman, **J.** Ostell, and **D.** L. Wheeler, "GenBank: update," Nucleic Acids Research, vol. **32,** Database issue: **D23-D26,** 2004.

 $C984 - 97$