LECTURE 6

TEXTILES, FIREARMS, AND THE ROLE OF THE STATE

- A. Private sector and public sector roles in early American industrialization
 - 1. Leading private sector industries:
 - --Textiles
 - --transportation (steamboats, canals, railroads)
 - --heavy industry (iron, heavy machinery)
 - 2. Public sector industries:
 - --small arms (muskets, rifles, swords)
 - --small arms spinoffs: machine tools
 - --transportation (canals, railroads)
- B. The textile industry
 - 1. Rhode Island system (1790--
 - 2. Waltham-Lowell system (1813--
 - 3. Spanish Claims, Daniel Webster, and the birth of Lowell (1818-1824)
- C. The small arms industry
 - 1. Alexander Hamilton's <u>Report on Manufactures</u> (1790) and the establishment of national armories at Springfield, MA and Harpers Ferry, VA (1794)
 - 2. Private contractors: Eli Whitney (1798-1824+), New Haven, CT Simeon North (1798-1852), Middletown, CT
 - 3. The legend of Eli Whitney and interchangeable parts
 - 4. The Army as a catalyst of innovation:
 - --Col. Decius Wadsworth (c. 1815-1821) and his successor at the Army Ordnance Bureau, Col. George Bomford (1821-1842)
 - -- John C. Calhoun as Secretary of War (1817-1825)

- 5. Early successes:
 - --Simeon North (c.1816)
 - -- John H. Hall at Harpers Ferry (c. 1824-26)
 - --Hall and North (1832)
- 6. The final stage: Springfield Armory, 1835-1842-1855
 - --innovations at Springfield (e.g. Thomas Warner)
 - -- private contractors and patents
 - --Springfield as a clearinghouse of the new technology

D. Some conclusions:

- 1. The long-term impact of textiles
- 2. The long-term impact of "armory practice"

Examples:

- a.) The London Crystal Palace Exhibition (1851) and the British Committee on the Machinery of the United States (1854-55).
 - --coined the expression "American system of manufactures" as a short-hand reference to armory practice and interchangeable manufacturing.
 - --visit Lowell but dismiss it as reflecting British practice.
- b.) Springfield Armory's "open door" policy and its implications
 - --origins of the machine tool industry"
 - --spinoffs and the emergence of technically-related industries
- c.) An example of spinoff: Sharps Rifle Company, Hartford, CT (1855-1876)
 - --Sharps goes gangbusters during the Civil War, but loses government contracts in 1865 and saddled with debt. Turns toward western market, but still in trouble
 - --Sharps rents space to Weed Sewing Machine Company (1873)
 - --Weed buys the Sharps factory and its equipment (1876)
 - --Pope Manufacturing Co. (bicycles) rents space from Weed (1880)
 - --Pope buys out Weed (1890) and eventually goes into auto business

Examples of other industries/groups that borrow from armory practice:

- --typewriters (Remington)
- --pocket watches (Waltham)
- --business machines (National Cash Register, IBM)
- --railroad car builders (Wason Car Co., et al.)
- --agricultural machinery builders
- --cameras (Eastman)
- --religious groups (Shakers)
- d.) Springfield Armory and the origins mass production during the Civil War

1861 - 13,840 rifle muskets

1862 - 102,410

1863 - 217,784

1864 - 276,200

1865 - 195,341

Compare these numbers with the Ford Motor Company's production of the Model T Ford:

1910 - 20,727 Model T's

1913 - 189,088 (the year the moving assembly line is introduced)

1914 - 230,788

1915 - 394,788

E. Consequences:

- 1. Anticipated: mechanized production; uniformity, even interchangeability of gun parts
- 2. Unanticipated:
 - a. labor discord over shop floor issues (piece rates, hours of labor, regulations). Example: clock strike at Harpers Ferry (1842)

 Work rules and labor controversies
 - b. rapid dissemintion of armory practice methods via the newly established machine tool industry (c. 1845+)
 - c. Implications for mass production

U.S. Industrial Economy C. 1815-1867

