Basis of BOLD functional imaging contrast
Blood Oxygenation Level Dependant

BOLD Can see change in T2* image due to hemodynamic response associated with neuronal activation.

Ogawa et al.
Basis of fMRI

Qualitative Changes during activation

Observation of Hemodynamic Changes
  • Direct Flow effects
  • Blood Oxygenation effects
Field Homogeneity and Oxygen State
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Addition of paramagnetic compound to blood

Signal from water is dephased by local fields, $T2^*$ shortens, S goes down on EPI
Addition of paramagnetic compound to blood

Signal from water is dephased by local fields (T2* shortens), S goes down on EPI

Magnetic stuff ↑ MR signal ↓
Conversely, 

Reducing amount of a paramagnetic substance in the blood will make the image intensity go up.

- Magnetic stuff ↓  MR signal ↑

What happens during neuronal activation?
Neuronal Activation . . .

Produces *local* hemodynamic changes  
(Roy and Sherrington, 1890)

Increases local blood flow

Increases local blood volume

BUT, relatively little change in oxygen consumption
decrease in deoxygenated red cell concentration

Arterial in flow (4 balls/sec.)

Arterial in flow (6 balls/sec.)

Venous out consumption = 3 balls/sec.

Venous out consumption = 3 balls/sec.
NMR and Activation

Summary:

Flow $\uparrow$ increases signal on “T1-weighted” or flow weighted scans

DeoxyHb $\downarrow$ increases signal on “T2/T2*-weighted” scans

Blood Vol. $\uparrow$ Decreases signal on contrast agent CBV scans.
Why does flow go up so much?

If O2 consumption rises only modestly (15%), why does flow need to go up a lot (50%)?

“Uncoupling” between flow and metabolism?

No real paradox: as flow↑ oxygen extraction is hampered by decreased capillary transit time.

The simple answer is it takes a lot of flow increase...

“Balloon model”

Time response of BOLD

“positive” BOLD response

stimulus

5s

Post stimulus undershoot
Contrast/Noise Ratio and Echo Time (TE)
Contrast/Noise Ratio and Echo Time (TE)

\[ S_a = S_0 \exp(-R_a t) \]
\[ S_b = S_0 \exp(-R_b t) \]

\[ R_a = \frac{1}{T_{2a}^*} \]
\[ R_b = \frac{1}{T_{2b}^*} \]
\[ \Delta R = R_a - R_b \]

\[ \Delta S = S_0 e^{-R_a t} - S_0 e^{-R_b t} \]
\[ \Delta S = S_0 e^{-R_a t} - S_0 e^{-(R_a - \Delta R)R_b t} \]
\[ \Delta S = S_0 e^{-R_a t} \left(1 - e^{\Delta R t} \right) \]
\[ \Delta S = -S_0 e^{-R_a t} \Delta R t \]

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Delta S) = 0 \]
\[ t = \frac{1}{R_a} \]
\[ TE = T_{2a}^* \]
Signal dephasing changes that accompany activation (BOLD effect)

a more detailed look…
Internal contrast agent: the deoxygenated red blood cell

Oxygenated Red Cell  de-Oxygenated Red Cell

Red blood cell
6um dia.,
1-2um thick
Brain: Arterial side

- Arterioles, 25μm dia.
- Capillary, 6μm dia.

- Capillaries are long and skinny, randomly oriented
- \( \text{O}_2 \) exchange is in capillary
Brain: venous side

Venules, 25-50um dia.,
Collecting veins
Veins
Blood Oxygen saturation
~60% oxygenated for resting individual.

• Venules have the same BV as caps
• Venules have 2x the deOxyHb conc. Of caps.

>> venules are more magnetic.

Venules are ~ randomly oriented
Brain vessel facts

Resting state saturation:
- 60% venous oxygen saturation
- 80% saturation in capillaries
- 100% saturation in arteries

Activated state (with 70% increase in flow and 20% increase in CMRO2):
- 72% venous oxygen saturation
- 86% saturation in capillaries
- 100% saturation in arteries

MGH-NMR Center
What does the water see?

Freely diffusing water is the source of image signal

In 50ms, water diffuses 25um on average thus moves ~4x diameter of capillary...

Water diffuses readily in and out of red blood cells. (spends about 5ms in a red blood cell)

In the 50ms timescale of fMRI, only 5% of H2O leaves the cap. bed.
Two water spaces: Extravascular (tissue) and Intravascular (blood)

Water does not exchange between these pools (in <0.1s)

The blood component has 2 sub spaces (capillaries and venules) with different vessel size and oxygenation levels.

Water diffuses freely in the extravascular space.

There is 20x more water in the extravascular space.
T2 or T2* changes?

T2 changes require the water dynamically move in a local field distribution.

Water only moves 25um during encoding so the local fields must change significantly on 25um scale to get T2 effect.

Field around red blood cell changes on this scale
Intravascular: T2 or T2* changes?

Field around red blood cell changes on the scale of mean free path of water.

$B_0$

10um

Water diffusion path
T2 changes in the blood

Dynamic dephasing from diffusion in vicinity of the magnetic field of the RBC.

Easier to talk about dephasing rate: \( R_2 = 1/T_2 \)

Empirical and Monte Carlo simulations:

\[
R_2 = \frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{1}{T_{2o}} + aB_o^2 \text{[Hematocrit]}(1 - O_2 \text{Sat})^2
\]

Blood becomes darker on SE at high field…
Intravasculature: T2* changes

Static dephasing from the different fields inside larger vessel with different orientations.

\[ \Delta \nu = \alpha B_o (1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta) [1 - O_2 Sat] \]
\[ \Delta \nu \approx 0 - 10 \text{ Hz} \]
Intravascular summary

Both T2 and T2* changes, must really do a careful simulation to figure out relative contribution.

At high enough field we expect T2 to get very short inside vessels.
Extravascular: T2 or T2* changes?

Field outside large “magnetized” venule is approx. constant on length scale of water mean path

But, field (thus freq.) water experiences will depend on the orientation and size of vessel. Thus T2* effect.
The Boxerman-Weisskoff model

Monte Carlo simulation of dephasing in vascular tree using known size distributions.

Tissue and blood components

Track static and dynamic dephasing.

Include size of RBC ~ size of capillary

The B-W model: Intravascular effects

• There are both T2 and T2* effects.

• But don’t forget intravascular space has 20x fewer spins

• Relative importance of blood pool increases at high Bo or for spin echos.
The B-W model at 1.5T: Extravascular effects

T2 vs. T2*

T2* effects (gradient echo) are ~3-4x larger

T2* effects are derived from bigger vessels
The B-W model at 1.5T: Extravascular vs Intra

1) Venule
2) Capillary
3) Extravascular

At 1.5T 2/3 is intravascular
At 3T, 1/2 is intravascular
Tests of B-W model
dephasing flowing spins

Add a bipolar diffusion gradient to grad echo BOLD to remove signal from flowing spins.

Range of flow velocities crushed can be adjusted

Spoiling venule flow (>10 mm/s) eliminates 30% of BOLD

Spoiling capillary + venule flow (>0.5 mm/s) eliminates 60% of signal

The last 30% of the signal must be extravascular…
Effects of going to higher $B_0$

Blood T2s become short enough that activation makes the blood go from really dark to very dark.

Velocity spoiling that would eliminate 2/3 of the BOLD effect at 1.5T only eliminates half at 3T and has no effect at 9.4T.

>> BOLD signal becomes more extravascular at high field.
How does BOLD relate to electrophysiology

Anaesthetized monkeys

BOLD response near electrode tip correlated with LFP measurements