

BASEMENT



HD28
.M414
NO. 1339-
82.

Dewey



WORKING PAPER
ALFRED P. SLOAN SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT

ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF UNIVARIATE
POPULATION K-MEANS CLUSTERS

M. Anthony Wong
Sloan School of Management
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139

Working Paper #1339-82

MASSACHUSETTS
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
50 MEMORIAL DRIVE
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139



ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF UNIVARIATE
POPULATION K-MEANS CLUSTERS

M. Anthony Wong
Sloan School of Management
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139

Working Paper #1339-82

Key Words and Phrases: population k-means clusters; within-cluster sums of squares; cluster lengths.

ABSTRACT

Let f be a density function defined on the closed interval $[a, b]$. The k-means partition of this interval is defined to be the k-partition with the smallest within cluster sum of squares. The properties of this k-means partition when k becomes large will be obtained in this paper. The results suggest that the k-means clustering procedure can be used to construct a variable-cell histogram estimate of f using a sample of observations taken from f .

M.I.T. LIBRARIES
JAN 1 1 1983
RECEIVED

1. INTRODUCTION

Let the univariate observations X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be sampled from a distribution F with density function F . In cluster analysis, the k-means clustering method (see Hartigan (1975), Chapter 4) is often used to partition the sample of N observations into k clusters with means U_1, \dots, U_k . The resultant clusters satisfy the property that no movement of an observation from one cluster to another reduces the sample within cluster sum of squares

$$WSS_N = \sum_{i=1}^N \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} || X_i - U_j ||^2.$$

For these sample k-means clusters, if I_j is used to denote the interval containing all points in R^1 closer to U_j than to any other cluster means, then $\{I_1, \dots, I_k\}$ defines a k-partition of the sampled space. The corresponding k-means partition in the population F is defined by the k-population means m_1, \dots, m_k , which are selected in such a way that the within cluster (or interval) sum of squares

$$WSS = \int \inf_{1 \leq j \leq k} || x - m_j ||^2 dF$$

is minimized.

The k-means method has been widely used in clustering applications (see Blashfield and Aldenderfer, 1978), and the efficient computational algorithm given in Hartigan and Wong (1979) has been included in the multivariate programs BMDPKM of the BMDP statistical package. The properties of sample k-means clusters have also been studied by several investigators. In Fisher (1958), and Fisher and Van Ness (1971), it is shown that k-means clusters are convex, i.e., if an observation is a weighted average of observations in a cluster, the observation is also in the cluster. And the asymptotic convergence (as $N \rightarrow \infty$) of the sample k-means clusters to the population k-means cluster for fixed number of clusters k has been studied by MacQueen (1967), Hartigan (1978), and Pollard (1981), in which conditions that ensure the almost sure convergence of the set of means of the k-means clusters can be found. However, little work have been done in examining the properties of population k-means clusters, especially when k becomes large. In Dalenius (1951), it is shown that the cut-point between neighboring population clusters is the average of the means in the clusters, and in Cox (1957), the cut-points for the k-means clusters in the standard normal distribution are given for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 6$.

In this paper, the asymptotic properties (as k becomes large) of the population k-means clusters in one dimension are obtained. It is shown in Section 2 that the optimal population

partition is such that the within cluster sums of squares of the k cluster intervals are asymptotically equal, and that the sizes of the cluster intervals are inversely proportional to the one-third power of the underlying density at the midpoints of the intervals. The implications of these results are discussed in Section 3.

2. ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF POPULATION K-MEANS CLUSTERS

Let $f(x)$ be a density function defined on the interval $[a,b]$, and denote the i th derivative of f at x by $f^{(i)}(x)$. Let the k -partition of $[a,b]$ specified by the $k-1$ cutpoints $a < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_{k-1} < b$ be the k -partition with the smallest within cluster sum of squares

$$WSS = \sum_{i=1}^k WSS_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} (x - m_i)^2 f(x) dx,$$

where $a = y_0$, $b = y_k$, and

$$m_i = \frac{\int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} x f(x) dx}{\int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} f(x) dx}.$$

In this section, we will describe the properties of this k -means

partition of a finite interval $[a,b]$ as the number of cluster intervals (or cells) becomes large.

Theorem: Let $f(x)$ denote a density function on the interval $[a,b]$. And let $a = y_{0k} < y_{1k} < \dots < y_{(k-1)k} < y_{kk} = b$ be the cutpoints specifying the k -means partition of $[a,b]$. If f is positive and has four bounded derivatives in $[a,b]$, then we have uniformly in $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$k e_{ik} f_{ik}^{1/3} \rightarrow \int_a^b [f(x)]^{1/3} dx \quad (2.1)$$

$$k p_{ik} f_{ik}^{-2/3} \rightarrow \int_a^b [f(x)]^{1/3} dx \quad (2.2)$$

$$k^3 WSS_{ik} \rightarrow [\int_a^b [f(x)]^{1/3} dx]^3 / 12 \quad (2.3)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

where $e_{ik} = y_{ik} - y_{(i-1)k}$

$$f_{ik} = f(1/2 y_{ik} + 1/2 y_{(i-1)k})$$

$$p_{ik} = \int_{y_{(i-1)k}}^{y_{ik}} f(x) dx$$

and $WSS_{ik} = \int_{y_{(i-1)k}}^{y_{ik}} [x - \int_{y_{(i-1)k}}^{y_{ik}} x f(x) dx / p_{ik}]^2 f(x) dx.$

(The theorem states that, for large k , the within cluster sums of squares of the k intervals are nearly equal; it follows that the length of the interval containing a point x of density $f(x)$ is proportional to $f(x)^{-1/3}$.)

Proof: The proof is in four parts.

(I) The k -partition of $[a,b]$ consisting of k equal intervals has a within cluster sum of squares of order k^{-2} ; the contribution from the i th interval to the optimal within cluster sum of squares is of order e_{ik}^3 . Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^k e_{ik}^3 = O(k^{-2})$, which implies that $\sup_i e_{ik} = O(k^{-2/3})$. To avoid complexity of notation, the k 's indexing partition will be dropped.

(II) In this part of the proof, it will be shown that lengths of neighboring clusters are of the same order of magnitude. Let m_i be the mean of the i th interval. Then

$$m_i = \frac{\int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} x f(x) dx}{\int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} f(x) dx}.$$

Consider any two neighboring intervals e_j and e_{j+1} . By the optimality of the partition, as is shown in Dalenius (1951),

$$y_j - m_j = m_{j+1} - y_j.$$

Thus, $e_j \geq y_j - m_j$

$$= m_{j+1} - y_j$$

$$= \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} x f(x) dx / \int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} f(x) dx - y_j$$

$$= \int_0^{e_{j+1}} x f(x + y_j) dx / \int_0^{e_{j+1}} f(x + y_j) dx$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{M_1}{M_u} \cdot e_{j+1}, \text{ where } M_1 = \inf_{a \leq x \leq b} f(x) \text{ and}$$

$$M_u = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} f(x).$$

Similarly, $e_{j+1} \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{M_1}{M_u} \cdot e_j.$

(III) We will now establish the asymptotic relationship between the lengths of neighboring intervals. Denote the center of the i th interval by C_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$). It follows that

$C_i = y_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2} e_i.$ Using the Taylor series expansion, we have, for any x in the i th interval, $f(x) = f(C_i) + (x - C_i) \cdot f^{(1)}(C_i) + \frac{1}{2} (x - C_i)^2 \cdot f^{(2)}(C_i) + \frac{1}{6} (x - C_i)^3 \cdot f^{(3)}(C_i) + \frac{1}{24} (x - C_i)^4 \cdot f^{(4)}(q_x)$, where q_x is between x and C_i . Since the first four derivatives are bounded on $[a, b]$, it follows from the above

series expansion that we have simultaneously for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$p_i = \int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} f(x) dx = e_i [f(C_i) + \frac{1}{24} f^{(2)}(C_i) e_i^2 + 0(e_i^4)], \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} x f(x) dx = e_i [C_i f(C_i) + \frac{1}{12} f^{(1)}(C_i) e_i^2 + \frac{1}{24} C_i f^{(2)}(C_i) e_i^2 + 0(e_i^4)] \quad (2.5)$$

(Note that the universal bound contained in the 0 term depends only on the various bounds of the derivatives of f and is independent of i .)

Therefore,

$$m_i = \int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} x f(x) dx / p_i = C_i + \frac{1}{12} \cdot \frac{f^{(1)}(C_i)}{f(C_i)} e_i^2 + 0(e_i^4) \quad (2.6)$$

Since the partition is optimal, we have simultaneously for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, $(C_i + \frac{1}{2} e_i) - m_i = m_{i+1} - (C_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2} e_{i+1})$, which when combined with (2.6) gives

$$e_i - \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{f^{(1)}(C_i)}{f(C_i)} e_i^2 + 0(e_i^4) = e_{i+1} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{f^{(1)}(C_{i+1})}{f(C_{i+1})} e_{i+1}^2 + 0(e_{i+1}^4).$$

Since it has been shown in part [II] that e_i and e_{i+1} are of the same order of magnitude, we have for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$e_{i+1} + \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{f^{(1)}(C_{i+1})}{f(C_{i+1})} e_{i+1}^2 = e_i - \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{f^{(1)}(C_i)}{f(C_i)} e_i^2 + o(e_i^4).$$

It follows that

$$e_{i+1} = e_i \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{f^{(1)}(C_i)}{f(C_i)} e_i + \frac{f^{(1)}(C_{i+1})}{f(C_{i+1})} \cdot \frac{e_{i+1}^2}{e_i} + o(e_i^3) \right) \right\}.$$

After some Taylor series manipulation, we have

$$e_{i+1}/e_i = [f(C_{i+1})/f(C_i)]^{-1/3} \cdot [1 + o(e_i^2)]. \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, since it can be shown from (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6) that

$$WSS_i = \int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} (x-m_i)^2 f(x) dx = \frac{1}{12} f(C_i) e_i^3 [1 + o(e_i^3)],$$

and from (2.4), $p_i = f(C_i) e_i [1 + o(e_i^2)]$, we obtain from 2.7 that

$$WSS_{i+1}/WSS_i = 1 + o(e_i^2) \quad (2.8)$$

$$\text{and } p_{i+1}/p_i = [f(C_{i+1})/f(C_i)]^{2/3} [1 + o(e_i^2)]. \quad (2.9)$$

