





LIBRARY  
OF THE  
MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE  
OF TECHNOLOGY





14

50-71

Dewey  
MASS. INST. TECH.  
AUG 13 1971  
LIBRARIES

**WORKING PAPER**  
**ALFRED P. SLOAN SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT**

A CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR EXTREME VALUES  
FROM GAUSSIAN SEQUENCES\*

- by  
*Elmer*  
Roy E. Welsch

Working Paper 550-71  
June 1971

**MASSACHUSETTS  
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
50 MEMORIAL DRIVE  
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139**



MASS. INST. TECH.  
AUG 2 1971  
DEWEY LIBRARY

A CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR EXTREME VALUES  
FROM GAUSSIAN SEQUENCES\*

- by  
*Elmer*  
Roy E. Welsch

Working Paper 550-71

June 1971

\*This research was supported in part by the U. S. Army Research Office (Durham) under Contract No. DA-31-124-ARO-D-209.

HD28  
.M414  
no. 550-71

RECEIVED  
AUG 13 1971  
M. I. T. LIBRARIES



## MOS Classification Numbers (1970):

Primary	62G30
Secondary	60G15, 62E20

Key Words: order statistics, Gaussian processes, extreme-value theory, weak convergence.



## Abstract

Let  $\{X_n, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  be a stationary Gaussian stochastic process with means zero, variances one, and covariance sequence  $\{r_n\}$ . Let  $M_n = \max \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  and  $S_n =$  second largest  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ . Limit properties are obtained for the joint law of  $M_n$  and  $S_n$  as  $n$  approaches infinity. A joint limit law which is a function of a double exponential law is known to hold if the random variables  $X_i$  are mutually independent. When  $M_n$  alone is considered Berman has shown that a double exponential law holds in the case of dependence provided either  $r_n \log n \rightarrow 0$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n^2 < \infty$ . In the present work it is shown that the above conditions are also sufficient for the convergence of the joint law of  $M_n$  and  $S_n$ . Weak convergence properties of the stochastic processes  $M_{[nt]}$  and  $S_{[nt]}$  with  $0 < a \leq t < \infty$  are also discussed.



1. Introduction. This paper extends and simplifies a theorem obtained by the author in section 4 of [6]. The reader is assumed to have some acquaintance with those results.

Let  $\{X_n, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  be a discrete parameter stationary Gaussian stochastic process, characterized by expectation, and covariance function, respectively:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} E(X_n) &\triangleq 0, \\ E(X_i X_{i+n}) &\triangleq r_n, \quad r_0 \triangleq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This paper treats some of the limit properties of the random variables

$$\begin{aligned} M_n &= \max \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}, \\ S_n &= \text{second largest } \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

A double exponential limit law is known to hold for  $M_n$  if the random variables  $X_i$  are mutually independent, that is  $r_n \triangleq 0, n \neq 0$ . Berman [2] has shown that the same law holds in the case of dependence provided either

$$(1.2) \quad r_n \log n \rightarrow 0, \text{ or}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n^2 < \infty.$$

The author [8] has shown that the processes  $\{M_{[nt]}, S_{[nt]}\}$ , properly normalized, and with  $0 < a \leq t < \infty$ , converge weakly in the Skorohod space  $D^2[a, \infty]$  when the Gaussian sequence is strong-mixing and



$$(1.4) \quad r_n \log n = o(1).$$

The limit law is the same as that which occurs in the independent case.

Condition (1.4) is weaker than (1.2) but we imposed the strong-mixing condition. In many cases strong-mixing is difficult to verify and it is natural in view of Berman's work to see if the weak convergence results mentioned above hold when the strong-mixing assumption is dropped and just (1.2) or (1.3) is assumed. The purpose of this paper is to show that this is, in fact, true. The reader is referred to [7] for some examples of why it is of interest to consider the joint distribution of  $M_n$  and  $S_n$ . A more extensive discussion of the maxima of stationary Gaussian processes is contained in [4].





2. Some Properties of Gaussian Distributions. Let  $(r_{ij})$  be a  $k \times k$  symmetric positive definite matrix with 1's along the diagonal, and let  $\phi_k(x_1, \dots, x_k; r_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq k)$  be the  $k$ -dimensional Gaussian density function with mean vector 0 and covariance matrix  $(r_{ij})$ ;  $\phi_k$  is a function of the  $x$ 's and the  $k(k-1)/2$  parameters  $r_{ij}$ . Define:

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{Q}_k(c, d, \alpha, \{r_{ij}\})$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^c dx_1 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^c dx_{\alpha-1} \int_d^{\infty} dx_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^c dx_{\alpha+1} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^c dx_k \cdot \phi_k(x_1, \dots, x_k; \{r_{ij}\}).$$

The integral from  $d$  to  $\infty$  will always be on the  $\alpha^{\text{th}}$  dummy variable and we assume that  $0 < c \leq d$ . The partial derivative with respect to  $r_{hl}$  is obtained by the method of Slepian [5]:

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{Q}_k}{\partial r_{hl}}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^c \cdots \int_d^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^c \phi_k(x_1, \dots, x_{h-1}, c, x_{h+1}, \dots, x_{l-1}, c, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_k) \prod_{\substack{j \neq h \\ j \neq l}} dx_j$$

when  $h \neq \alpha$ ,  $l \neq \alpha$ ,  $h \neq l$ , and

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{Q}_k}{\partial r_{\alpha l}}$$

$$= - \int_{-\infty}^c \cdots \int_{-\infty}^c \phi_k(x_1, \dots, x_{\alpha-1}, d, x_{\alpha+1}, \dots, x_{l-1}, c, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_k) \prod_{j \neq \alpha, j \neq l} dx_j$$



with a corresponding expression when  $h \neq \alpha$  and  $l = \alpha$ . If the upper limits of integration in (2.2) are replaced by  $(\infty, \dots, \infty)$  then the value of the integral is increased. Now integrate  $k-3$  variables from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$  to obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{Q}_k}{\partial r_{h\ell}}(c, d, \alpha, \{r_{ij}\}) \\ \leq \int_d^\infty \phi_3(c, c, x_\alpha; \Sigma(h, \ell, \alpha)) dx_\alpha$$

where

$$\Sigma(h, \ell, \alpha) \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r_{h\ell} & r_{h\alpha} \\ r_{\ell h} & 1 & r_{\ell\alpha} \\ r_{\alpha h} & r_{\alpha\ell} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that if the limits of integration in (2.3) are replaced by  $(\infty, \dots, \infty)$  then

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{Q}_k}{\partial r_{\alpha\ell}} \right| \leq \phi_2(c, c; r_{\alpha\ell}) = (2\pi)^{-1} (1-r_{\alpha\ell}^2)^{-1/2} \exp[-c^2/(1+r_{\alpha\ell})]$$

Since  $\{X_n\}$  is a stationary process,  $r_{ij}$  is a function of the difference  $j - i$ ,  $i < j$ ; we write  $r_{j-i} = r_{ij}$ . The function  $\tilde{P}_k$  is defined as

$$\tilde{P}_k(c, d, \alpha, r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) = \tilde{Q}_k(c, d, \alpha, \{r_{ij}\});$$

the partial derivatives are given by the chain rule as



$$\partial \tilde{P}_k / \partial r_j = \sum_{\ell-h=j} \partial \tilde{O}_k / \partial r_{h\ell}.$$

Let the sequences  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  be defined as

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} a_n &= (2 \log n)^{-1/2} \\ b_n &= (2 \log n)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}(2 \log n)^{-1/2}(\log \log n + \log 4\pi). \end{aligned}$$

It is known (cf. [3]) that when  $r_n \stackrel{\Delta}{=} 0$ ,  $n \neq 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{M_n \leq a_n x + b_n\} = \exp(-e^{-x}) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} G(x)$$

for all  $x$ .

Both (1.2) and (1.3) imply that  $r_n \rightarrow 0$ ; therefore, there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that

$$\sup_n |r_n| = \delta < 1.$$

Define:  $\delta(n) = \sup_{k \geq n} |r_k|$ ,  $q_n = n^\beta$ ,  $\delta_n = \delta(q_n/2)$  where  $0 < \beta < (1-\delta)^2/2(1+\delta)$ .

Clearly (1.2) implies that

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(n) \log n = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n \log n = 0.$$



3. Convergence Theorems. In this section we extend Berman's results to the joint laws of  $M_n$  and  $S_n$ .

Theorem 1. Let  $\{X_n, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$  be a stationary Gaussian sequence satisfying (1.1). If either

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n \log n = 0$$

or

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n^2 < \infty$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{M_n \leq a_n x + b_n, S_n \leq a_n y + b_n\} = \begin{cases} G(y)\{1 + \log [G(x)/G(y)]\} & y < x \\ G(x) & y \geq x. \end{cases}$$

The following three lemmas will be needed in the proof. For convenience let  $c_n = a_n y + b_n$  and  $d_n = a_n x + b_n$ , and to avoid technical details we will assume that  $n$  is so large that  $c_n > 0$ .

Lemma 1. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and

$0 < \epsilon \leq \gamma_n \leq 1$ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad 1 - \Phi(b_n \gamma_n) = O(n^{-\gamma_n^2})$$





where  $\phi(\cdot)$  is the standardized Gaussian distribution function.

Proof. We shall use the following approximation for the tail of the standard normal distribution ([1], page 933):

$$(3.2) \quad 1 - \phi(x) \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{x} \exp(-x^2/2) \quad x > 2.2$$

Since  $b_n \gamma_n \rightarrow \infty$  we can ignore the constraint  $x > 2.2$ .

To prove (3.1) we note that

$$(3.3) \quad b_n^2 = 2 \log n - \log \log n + o(1)$$

and then use (3.2) to obtain for large  $n$

$$1 - \phi(b_n \gamma_n) \leq K' n^{-\gamma_n^2 / \gamma_n} ((\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_n^2)} - o(1)).$$

The conditions on  $\gamma_n$  now imply that (3.1) holds for all  $n$ .

Lemma 2. If the conditions of Theorem 1 hold and

$$\gamma_n = (1 - 3\delta_n)/(1 + 2\delta_n) \text{ then}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \phi_2(c_n, c_n, \delta_n) \sum_{j=q_n+1}^{n-1} |r_j| = 0$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^2 [1 - \phi(b_n \gamma_n)] \phi_2(c_n, c_n, \delta_n) \sum_{j=q_n+1}^{n-1} |r_j| = 0.$$



Proof. The proof of (3.4) is contained in Theorem 3.1 of the paper by Berman [2]. We consider (3.5) first when (1.2) is assumed. Lemma 1 implies that

$$n[1 - \phi(b_n \gamma_n)] \leq K n^{1-\gamma_n^2}.$$

Now

$$1 - \gamma_n^2 = \delta_n \{(10 - 5\delta_n)/(1 + 2\delta_n)^2\} = \delta_n O(1)$$

and using (2.8) we have

$$n^{1-\gamma_n^2} = O(1)$$

which, when combined with (3.4), yields (3.5).

When (1.3) holds, the Schwarz inequality implies that (3.5) is less than

$$(3.6) \quad n^2 [1 - \phi(b_n \gamma_n)]^2 \phi_2^2(c_n, c_n, \delta_n) n^3 \sum_{j=q_n+1}^{n-1} r_j^2.$$

Finally we use Lemma 1 and substitute for  $\phi_2(c_n, c_n, \delta_n)$  and  $\gamma_n$  so that (3.6) is dominated by

$$K'' (\log^2 n) n^{3+\delta_n} O(1) \cdot n^{-4/(1+\delta_n)} \sum_{j=q_n+1}^{n-1} r_j^2$$

which tends to 0, completing the proof of Lemma 2.



Lemma 3. If the conditions of Theorem 1 hold then

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |\tilde{P}_n(c_n, d_n, \alpha, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) - \tilde{P}_n(c_n, d_n, \alpha, r_1, \dots, r_{q_n}, 0, \dots, 0)| \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. By the law of the mean, there exist numbers  $r'_i$  between 0 and  $r_i$ ,  $i = q_n+1, \dots, n-1$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{P}_n(c_n, d_n, \alpha, r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}) - \tilde{P}_n(c_n, d_n, \alpha, r_1, \dots, r_{q_n}, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= \sum_{j=q_n+1}^{n-1} r_j (\partial \tilde{P}_n / \partial r_j)(c_n, d_n, \alpha, r_1, \dots, r_{q_n}, r'_{q_n+1}, \dots, r'_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the sum in (3.7) is less than

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{j=q_n+1}^{n-1} |r_j| \sum_{\ell=h=j} |(\partial \tilde{Q}_n / \partial r_{h\ell})(c_n, d_n, \alpha, r_1, \dots, r_{q_n}, r'_{q_n+1}, \dots, r'_{n-1})|.$$

We now consider three cases:

- (i)  $\ell = \alpha$  or  $h = \alpha$  (both cannot occur),
- (ii)  $|\ell - \alpha| > q_n/2$  and  $|h - \alpha| > q_n/2$ ,
- (iii)  $|\ell - \alpha| \leq q_n/2$  or  $|h - \alpha| \leq q_n/2$  (both cannot occur)  
 $h \neq \alpha$  and  $\ell \neq \alpha$ .

In the first case (2.5) applies and



$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{j=\bar{a}_n+1}^{n-1} |r_j| \sum_{\substack{\ell=\alpha \text{ or} \\ h=\alpha}}^{\ell=\bar{h}=j} |\partial \bar{Q}_n / \partial r_{h\ell}| \leq 2n\phi_2(c_n, c_n, \delta_n) \sum_{j=\bar{a}_n+1}^{n-1} |r_j|$$

which goes to 0 with  $n$  by Lemma 2.

For the second case we use (2.4) so that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \bar{Q}_k}{\partial r_{h\ell}} \right| \leq \int_d^\infty \phi_3(c_n, c_n, x_\alpha; \Sigma'(h, \ell, \alpha)) dx_\alpha$$

where  $\Sigma'(h, \ell, \alpha)$  contains some primed elements. Now we compute the conditional distribution of  $x_\alpha$  given the first two variates, represented here by  $c_n$ . Thus

$$\int_d^\infty \phi_3(c_n, c_n, x_\alpha; \Sigma'(h, \ell, \alpha)) dx_\alpha = \phi_2(c_n, c_n, r_{h\ell}) (1 - \Phi((d_n - \mu_n)/\sigma_n))$$

with (suppressing the primes on the elements of  $\Sigma'$ )

$$\mu_n = c_n(r_{h\alpha} + r_{\ell\alpha}) / (1 + r_{h\ell})$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = (1 - r_{h\ell}^2 - r_{h\alpha}^2 - r_{\ell\alpha}^2 + 2r_{h\ell}r_{h\alpha}r_{\ell\alpha}) / (1 - r_{h\ell}^2).$$

By assumption

$$(3.8) \quad \max(|r_{h\alpha}|, |r_{\ell\alpha}|, |r_{h\ell}|) \leq \delta_n$$

and using this fact we obtain





$$(d_n - \mu_n)/\sigma_n \geq b_n(1 - 3\delta_n)/(1 + 2\delta_n)$$

provided  $n$  is taken so large that  $1 - 3\delta_n > 0$ . Summarizing we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{j=q_n+1}^{n-1} |r_j| \sum_{\substack{\ell-h=j \\ |\ell-\alpha| > q_n/2 \\ |h-\alpha| > q_n/2}} |\partial \tilde{Q}_n / \partial r_{h\ell}| \\ \leq n^2 [1 - \phi(b_n \gamma_n)] \phi_2(c_n, c_n, \delta_n) \sum_{j=q_n+1}^{n-1} |r_j| \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_n = (1 - 3\delta_n)/(1 + 2\delta_n)$ . Applying Lemma 2 completes the proof.

The third case is similar to the second one except (3.8) is no longer satisfied. But either  $|r_{\alpha\ell}| < \delta_n$  or  $|r_{\alpha h}| < \delta_n$  and, of course,

$|r_{h\ell}| < \delta_n$ . Conditioning as before we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \sum_{j=q_n+1}^{n-1} |r_j| \sum_{\substack{\ell-h=j \\ |\ell-\alpha| < q_n/2 \\ |h-\alpha| \leq q_n/2}} |\partial \tilde{Q}_n / \partial r_{h\ell}| \\ \leq n^{1+\beta} [1 - \phi(b_n \hat{\gamma}_n)] \phi_2(c_n, c_n, \delta_n) \sum_{j=q_n+1}^{n-1} |r_j| \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\gamma}_n = (1 - 2\delta_n - \delta)/(1 + 2\delta_n \delta)$ . Lemma 2 shows that

$n \phi_2(c_n, c_n, \delta_n) \sum_{j=q_n+1}^{n-1} |r_j| \rightarrow 0$  and since  $\beta < (1-\delta)^2/2(1+\delta)$ , Lemma 1 implies

that  $n^\beta [1 - \phi(b_n \hat{\gamma}_n)] \rightarrow 0$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.



Proof of Theorem 1. When  $y \geq x$ , Berman's result applies so we consider  $y < x$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P\{M_n \leq a_n x + b_n, S_n \leq a_n y + b_n\} \\
 (3.9) \quad & = P\{M_n \leq c_n\} \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n (P\{X_\alpha > c_n; X_i \leq c_n, 1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq \alpha\} \\
 & \quad - P\{X_\alpha > d_n; X_i \leq c_n, 1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq \alpha\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first term in (3.9) converges to  $G(y)$  by Berman's result. Each term in the sum of (3.9) is of the form treated in Lemma 3. Hence we need only find the limit of

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \tilde{P}_n(c_n, c_n, \alpha, r_1, \dots, r_{q_n}, 0, \dots, 0) - \tilde{P}_n(c_n, d_n, \alpha, r_1, \dots, r_{q_n}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

This can be accomplished by using the proof developed in [6] for a strong-mixing sequence. For each  $n$  we are essentially considering a Gaussian sequence which is  $q_n$ -dependent. If

$$p_n = \frac{n - n^{1-\beta}}{n^{1-2\beta}}, \quad k_n = n^{1-2\beta}$$

then

- (a)  $k_n \rightarrow \infty, p_n \rightarrow \infty$
- (b)  $n/k_n p_n \rightarrow 1, n = k_n(p_n + q_n)$



and we may apply the block techniques of Theorem 1 of [6] provided we interpret  $\alpha(\cdot)$  as a function with  $\alpha(n) = 0$  if  $n \geq q_n$ . The  $q_n$ -dependence is used in place of strong-mixing. The only work that remains is to verify that

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_n k_n \sum_{j=1}^{p_n-1} (p_n - j) P\{X_1 > c_n, X_{j+1} > c_n\} = 0.$$

When (1.2) holds the proof is exactly the same as the one used for Theorem 3 of [6]. If (1.3) holds then the proof of Theorem 3 of [6] again applies except that a new argument is needed to show that

$$(3.12) \quad n \log n \sum_{[p_n^\alpha]+1}^{p_n} |r_j| n^{-2/(1+|r_j|)} \rightarrow 0.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the square of (3.12) is dominated by

$$(\log n)^2 (p_n/n)^{3-4/(1+\delta([p_n^\alpha]))} \sum_{j=[p_n^\alpha]+1}^{p_n} r_j^2$$

which clearly tends to 0. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.



4. Concluding Remarks. The weak convergence results of Theorem 2 of [6] are also valid. The convergence of the finite dimensional distributions of  $(M_{[nt]} - b_n)/a_n$  and  $(S_{[nt]} - b_n)/a_n$  can be proved in a manner similar to that given above. Even if just the one-dimensional process  $M_{[nt]}$  is considered, it is necessary to verify the convergence of the second maximum since this is an essential part of the tightness proof given in Theorem 2 of [6]. We are able to use that tightness proof in this case because it depends on the form of the limit law for  $S_n$  and not on the strong-mixing property.





References

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I. (1964). Handbook of Mathematical Functions. National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series No. 55.
- [2] Berman, S. M. (1964). Limit theorems for the maximum term in stationary sequences. Ann. Math. Statist. 35 502-516.
- [3] Cramer, H. (1946). Mathematical Methods of Statistics. Princeton Univ. Press.
- [4] Pickands, J. (1967). Maxima of stationary Gaussian processes. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Gebiete 7 190-223.
- [5] Slepian, D. (1962). The one-sided barrier problem for Gaussian noise. Bell System Tech. J. 41 463-501.
- [6] Welsch, R. E. (1970). A weak convergence theorem for order statistics from strong-mixing processes. Technical Report No. 57, Operations Research Center, M.I.T., December, 1970. To appear in the Ann. Math. Statist., October, 1971.
- [7] Welsch, R. E. (1971). Limit laws for extreme order statistics from strong-mixing processes. Sloan School of Management Working Paper 533-71, M.I.T., May, 1971. To appear in the Ann. Math. Statist.









BASEMENT

Date Due

DEC 05 '76

MAY 05 '77

MAR 10 '78

~~DEC 3 '78~~

~~DEC 3 '80~~

JUN 22 '84

MAY 14 '85

Lib-26-67

MIT LIBRARIES DUPL  
3 9080 003 826 952

543-71

MIT LIBRARIES DUPL  
3 9080 003 826 994

545-71

MIT LIBRARIES DUPL  
3 9080 003 795 983

546-71

MIT LIBRARIES DUPL  
3 9080 003 875 868

548-71

MIT LIBRARIES DUPL  
3 9080 003 826 911

549-71

MIT LIBRARIES DUPL  
3 9080 003 906 523

550-71

MIT LIBRARIES DUPL  
3 9080 003 795 942

551-71

MIT LIBRARIES DUPL  
3 9080 003 906 804

552-71

MIT LIBRARIES DUPL  
3 9080 003 795 900

554-71

