THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF NARSARSUKITE, Na<sub>2</sub>TiOSi<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub>

by

DONALD RALPH PEACOR

S.B., TUFTS UNIVERSITY

 $(1958)$ 

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT

OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE

DEGREE OF MASTER OF

**SCIENCE** 

at the

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF

TECHNOLOGY

June, 1960

Department of Geology, May 15, 1960

Certified by ....<br>  $\begin{array}{ccc}\n & & & \\
 & \downarrow & & & \\
 & \downarrow &$ 

Accepted by .

Chairman, Departmental Committee

on Graduate Students

. . . . . *.* . .

WATES THET TECH

The Crystal Structure of Narsarsukite,  $Na<sub>2</sub>T1031<sub>A</sub>O<sub>10</sub>$ 

Donald R. Peacor

Submitted to the Department of Geology on May 21, **1960**

in partial fulfillment **of** the requirements for the

degree of Master of Science.

#### Abstract

The space group of nareareukite has been confirmed to be  $14/\text{m}$ . The unit cell dimensions are a  $= 10.7260 \pm 10.12960$ **.Q002 A and c** o 7,948 ± **.001 1, and** the unit **cell** contains 4 Na<sub>2</sub>TiOSi4O<sub>1O</sub> . Three-dimensional intensity data were obtained witf the single-crystal Geiger-counter diffractometer. After correcting for Lorentz and polarization factors and absorption, the intensity data were used to compute a full three-dimensional Patterson synthesis. Restrictions placed on the location of titanium atoms **by** equipoint ranks enabled a complete set of minimumfunction maps **based** on titanium images to **be** constructed. Despite ambiguities, these maps, in conjunction with interpretations of the implication diagram  $I4(xy0)$  and the Harker line LOOz3, provided the locations of the silicon and titanium atoms, The silicon inversion peak on the Patterson maps was then located, and a complete set of minimum-function **maps** based on the silicon inversion **peak** was constructed. **All** atoms were located on these maps with no ambiguities. The atom coordinates derived from these minimum-function maps were sent through 12 **cycles** of least-squares refinement.

The structure is **based** on **a** new type of silica tetrahedra arrangement which has a silicon to oxygen ratio of 4:10, and which may best **be** described as a tube of tetrahedra. It consists of four-rings of tetrahedra which are arranged around the 4 axes, with alternating tetrahedra having vertices pointing up and<br>down. The oxygen atoms at these vertices are bonded to The oxygen atoms at these vertices are bonded to similar four-rings above and below, thus forming an endless tube of four rings of tetrahedra in the **c** direction. Titanium octahedra are bonded together In **an** infinitely long chain which extends along the four-fold axes. These octahedra are bonded to the tube of tetrahedra through the only oxygen atom of the silica tetrahedra whose bond strength **is** not completely satisfied **by** silicon. Irregularly coordinated sodium atoms occupy large voids between the chains and tubes.

The discrepancy factor, R, based on structure factors calculated from the refined atom coordinates<br>1s 14.2 when data with F<sub>0</sub> = 0 are included in the computation and 11.5 when they are not. All interatomic distances are close to previously reported values and Pauling's rules are satisfied,

#### Thests Supervisor: Martin J. Buerger

Titlet Professor **of** Mineralogy and Crystalloeraphy

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

Table of contents

l,



 $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ 

 $\overline{a}$ 

## List of figures

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\$ 



**1V**

 $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$ 



 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ 

#### Acknowledgements

The author is especially indebted to Professor M. **J.** Buerger who originally suggested the thesis topic and who supervised the entire structure determination. The suggestions offered **by** all the graduate students in crystallography, including Tibor Zoltai, Charles Prewitt, Charles Burnham and Roberto Poljak, are gratefully acknowledged. Their **help** in the use of the facilities of the M. I. T. Computation Center was especially appreciated. Specimens were kindly provided **by** Dr. David B. Stewart of the United States Geological Survey.

#### Chapter T

#### Introduction

Before this investigation no work had been done on the crystal structure of narsarsukite. However, certain properties of narsarsukite seemed to indicate possible uniqueness in its crystal structure, It **is** tetragonal, with two perfect prismatic cleavages ((100)) and ((110)). The silicon to oxygen ratio of its formula (4:11) corresponds to that of the amphibole group of minerals. This combination of symmetry, cleavage and formula is unknown in previously solved crystal structures.

In addition, previous work indicated that a structure determination based on the minimum function method developed **by Ms J.** Buerger would be a good test of this method for two reasons. First, narsarsukite was known to contain **72** atoms in its body-centered cell, which indicates a structure analysis of moderate complexity. Secondly, the presence of the moderately heavy atom titanium makes identification of Patterson peaks much easier than otherwise, thus almost guaranteeing the ultimate solution of the problem.

**All** material used in this study came from Sage Creek, Montana, and **is** described in detail **by** Stewart,<sup>9</sup>

#### Chapter II

#### Previous work on narsarsukite

Narsarsukite has been described from only a limited number of localities, which are all in Narsarsuk, Greenland, the original type locality, or the Sweetgrass Hills of Montana. Gossner and Strunz were the first to attempt x-ray studies on the type material.<sup>1</sup> Their results include:

Space group:  $c_{4h}^5 = 14/m$ **Cell** dimensions:

> aelO,78 **kX** units c. 7.99 **kX** units

Unit-cell contents:

 $4(Na<sub>2</sub>T1S140<sub>1</sub>)$  with Fe, Mn, and Mg substituting for Ti, and F and OH substituting for **0.**

Warren and Amberg, working on Greenland material, obtained the following results using single-crystal methods.2 Space group:  $S_{4}^2 \pi I_4$ ,  $C_{4}^5 \pi I_4$ , or  $C_{4h}^5 \pi I_4/m$ 

Cell dimensions:

a\*10.74 **kX** units **c 7,90 kX** units

Unit-cell contents:

 $4(Na_2T1S1_4O_{11})$  with Ti replaced

**by** minor Fe, **Mg,** Mn, and **A1.**

Graham made the original discovery of narsarsukite from Montana.<sup>3</sup> An analysis of this material **is** shown in Table **1.**

### Table 1

Analysis of Halfbreed Creek narsarsukite **by** Ellestad with total iron corrected for FeO and  $Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  by Schaller (after Graham<sup>3</sup>).



A second Montana narsarsukite locality was discovered near Sage Creek **by** Stewart in 1950 near Graham's original locality.9 His crystals showed many forms, and morphological studies resulted in demonstrating that narsarsukite has the point group  $4/m<sub>n</sub>$ . The center of symmetry (which cannot **be** determined using x-ray techniques) was confirmed **by** a negative test for piezoelectricity, etch-pit symmetry, and symmetrical zoning as exhibited **by** minor color differences. An x-ray powder study gave the following unit cell and space group.

Space group:  $I^4/m$ 

**Cell** dimensions:

 $a_{\pm}$  10.72 $A$  $c_{\pi}$  7.94 $_A^2$ 

Computations using these **cell** dimensions, the analysis **by** Graham on material from his locality, and a **specific** gravity determination  $(\rho = 2.783\pm.014)$  resulted in the following unit-cell contents.

 $4(Na_1, 89K, 03^{Ca}, 01)$   $(T1, 80^{Fe^{m}}, 05^{Mg}, 04^{Fe^{m}}, 01)$  $(A1_{.02}$ S $1_{3.97}$  $)$ <sup>0</sup>10.82

#### Chapter **III**

#### Unit cell and space group

**A.** Space group

Selection of material was especially easy because of the two perfect cleavages of narsarsukite. **A** small cleavage prism was readily obtained and oriented with the optical goniometer. Precession photographs were taken of several levels in different orientations. The intensity distribution of these photographs corresponded with the diffraction symmetry 4/mI-/-. All reflections could be indexed on the basis of a tetragonal cell with  $a=10.77\pm.05$ Å and  $c=7.97\pm.05$ Å, Reflections with h+k+l<sub>#</sub>2n+l were absent, showing that the cell is body centered. It could not be determined if the true space group of narsarsukite was  $I4$ ,  $I4/m$ , or  $I4$  because of the inversion center introduced **by** x-ray diffraction. To resolve this ambiguity, a single crystal with several forms was chosen and examined under a binocular microscope.

Two lines of evidence indicate that the point group of narsarsukite **is** 4/m and not 4 or 4. First, there are eight faces which have indices of the general form, **(hkl),** and which are about equally developed on the crystal examined. **If** these faces are symmetrically equivalent, and their equal development suggests this, then they constitute the ditetragonal dipyramid form of point group  $4/\text{m}$ . The possibility still exists that these faces represent the equal development of two forms of point group 4 or  $\bar{4}$ , however.

Second, there is a small pit on **(010)** which appears to be an etch pit. The only symmetry of this figure is a mirror plane which is normal to the four-fold axis of the crystal. This mirror plane is prohibited **by** point groups 4 and 4, but required **by** point group 4/m. There is the possibility, however, that this pit is a growth imperfection. **If** so, its symmetry might be controlled **by** the symmetry of the two nearby faces of general indices and it was shown above that these might represent equal development of two forms of symmetry lower than 4/m. Thus the symmetry of the pit might **be** false.

None of the above evidence is conclusive. In the absence of contrary evidence, however, the space group was taken to **be** 14/m. This **is** in agreement with the results obtained **by** Stewart discussed in the previous chapter. **A** diagram of this space group projected on (xyO) **is** shown in **Fig. 1.**

#### B. Unit-cell dimensions

It was desireable to have more exact unit-cell dimensions, particularly for the computation of reflection directions to **be** used during the collection of reflection intensities. **A** crystal was oriented with the optical goniometer and precession camera in preparation for its use in the precision-Weissenberg method of determining unit-cell dimensions.

Equi-inclination



equipoint locations

 $\bf 8$ 

Weissenberg photographs of all levels normal to **a** which were within recording range (levels **0-6)** were first taken, since these would be needed as reference in later intensity determinations. The crystal was then transferred to the precision-Weissenberg camera and two photographs (each with the crystal in a different orientation) were taken which allowed very accurate determination of the unit-cell dimensions. Plots of **a** and c versus cos2% were prepared and are shown in Fig. 2. As  $cos^2\theta$  approaches 0, the spacing approaches the true value. The precision value of a was needed to compute values of **e** for the different values of  $cos^2\theta$ . Thus the original inaccuracy in a was introduced into the value of  $c<sub>1</sub>$ . This dimension could therefore not **be** determined with as great accuracy as the former. The results of this determination along with those of other investigators are tabulated in Table 2. **All** results are consistent and within experimental error. C. Unit-cel? content

The unit **cell** content was determined using the standard relation  $nM = \rho W_N$ , wheres

> $n = number of formula weights per cell$ m . weight in grams of one formula weight  $\rho =$  density,  $g_*/cc$ .  $V =$  volume of unit cell in  $\hat{A}^3$ **N a** Avogadro's number, **6.023** X **1023**

Data used included:

**1.** a specific gravity **of** 2.783 t.014 g./cc. as determined **by** Stewart on Sage Creek material.







Graph of c  $vs. cos^2\theta$  $Fig. 2b.$ 

## Table 2





\* kX converted to A

 $\sim$   $\alpha$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

26 the analysis on Halfbreed Creek, Montana material (Table **1).**

3. the unit-cell dimensions of this investigation.

Table **3** shows the results of the computations, These data yield the following unit-cell formulat

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\n\hline\n\text{Na7.58} & & & \text{T1} & 3.22 \\
\text{K 0.14} & & & \text{Fe}^{\text{u}} & 0.60 \\
\text{Ca}_{0.05} & & & \text{Fe}^{\text{u}} & 0.18 \\
\hline\n\text{7.77} & & & \text{Mg} & 0.10 \\
\hline\n & & & & \text{4.10}\n\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\n\hline\n\text{S1}_{15.90} & & & \text{043.48} \\
\hline\n & & & \text{A1} & 0.10 \\
\hline\n & & & \text{16.00} \\
 & & & & \text{0.18} \\
\hline\n\end{array}
$$

The atom subscripts are all close to multiples of  $4$ , the factor required for **all** equipoints of space group 14/m with the exception of two of rank two. The excess of the subscript 4.10 over 4.00 plus, the deficiency of 7.77 under 8.00 suggests that some iron or magnesium is substituting for sodium. This is very unlikely, however, because of the differences in ionic radii and charges, The discrepancies can be easily explained **by** inaccuracies in density determinations and chemical analysis. In addition, the analysis was on material from a different locality than that used for the density and unit-celldimension determinations. The ideal simplest formula **is**  $Na<sub>2</sub>T1S1<sub>4</sub>O<sub>11</sub>$ . The subsequent structure determination showed that the formula should actually be written  $\text{Na}_2 \text{T1OS1}_4 \text{O}_1$ , since one oxygen is coordinated only **by** titanium. The above data are in agreement with those of other investigators.

# Table **3**

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

# Calculation of unit-cell contents

# $\rho \nabla \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} = \text{nM} = 1533$



#### Chapter IV

#### Measurement of intensities

#### A. Selection and preparation of material

In order to assure maxium accuracy in intensity determinations the selection of a crystal was made with great care, An ideal sample must meet two requirements. First, the crystal must **be** as small as possible to bring absorption down to a minimum. Secondly, it should be perfectly cylindrical so that absorption corrections may be easily and accurately made. The final crystal chosen was a cleavage fragment. Because of the development of the **((100))** and **((110))** cleavages this was a nearly cylindrical prism **4031** mm. in diameter and **.250** mm. long. This was so small that orientation with the optical goniometer was only approximate because reflections from the cleavages were nearly undetectable. Final perfect orientation was achieved with the procession camera. The crystal was then transferred to the Geiger-counter apparatus.

#### B. Intensity measurements

**All** intensities were measured with the singlecrystal Geiger-counter diffractometer. The crystal setting

and the Geiger setting for each reflection were obtained using a computer program prepared **by** Mr. Charles Moore of these laboratories. Computations were made on the **I.** B. M. 704 computer at the M. I. T. Computation Center.

This program also gave corrections for the Lorentz and polarization factors, as well as values of  $sin \Theta$ , for each reflection.

Special care **was** taken to confine the intensities to the linearity range of the Geiger counter, Aluminum foils were used to decrease the reflection intensities when they were **greater** than about 120 counts per second. These foils were carefully calibrated for their absorptions. In order to keep almost all of the reflections below a counting rate of 120 counts per second a very low voltage was used. This unfortunately resulted in such low intensities that approximately **15 %** of the reflections were below the recording range of the Geiger counter. However, since a very low voltage was used, the most intense reflections had intensities which fell well within the the absolute linearity range of the Geiger counter. It was hoped that this gain in accuracy in measurement of **high** intensity reflections would make up for the loss of data on reflections of very low intensity.

There are about 480 reflections in the asymmetric unit of the copper reciprocal sphere of narsarsukite, but only 469 were within the recording range of the apparatus. These reflections were measured and corrected for the necessary factors, The Lorentz and polarization corrections were provided as noted above **by** an I. B. M.

704 computer program. The linear absorption coefficient was based on the analysis of Graham's Montana narsarsukite. Absorption corrections were made according to the theory developed for a cylindrical specimen **by** Buerger and Niizeki.<sup>5</sup> The corrected intensities were then ready for use as Fourier coefficients in a Patterson synthesis.

#### Chapter V

#### Structure analysis

It was decided that a two-dimensional Patterson projection would contain too many coalescing peaks since narsarsukite is **a** mineral of moderate complexity (72 atoms in the body-centered cell). Therefore, it was planned to solve the structure **by** applying the minimum function to the three-dimensional Patterson synthesis, which was sure to contain very few coalescing peaks.

#### **A.** The Patterson synthesis

The three-dimensional Patterson synthesis was computed on the I. B. M. 704 computer at the M. I. T. Computation Center using MIFRI.  $8a$  standard program for computing Fourier series. Since the Patterson synthesis has symmetry 4/m and the cell **is** body centered, it was only necessary to obtain the synthesis for one sixteenth of the volume of the unit **cell.** This asymmetric unit was obtained in sections normal to **c,** with Patterson values computed at intervals of **1/60** along all three axes. The section was computed with z varying from **0/60** to **15/60,** and x and **y** varying from **0/60** to **30/60.**

Using the height of the origin peak obtained in the synthesis as a guide, the heights of peaks on the Patterson maps were computed. These are shown in

Table 4. In order to determine the height of the peaks it **is** first necessary to choose an absolute-zero level on the Patterson maps. Since this choice may **be** subject to a fairly large error, this same error will be inherent in the computation of the expected peak heights shown in Table 4, The correlation between expected peak heights and **peak** heights actually found may **be** somewhat inaccurate because of this.

#### B. Preliminary considerations

Comparison of the ranks of the various equipoints of space group 14/m with the number of each kind of atom in the unit **cell** places initial restrictions on some atom positions, The possible positions are listed in Tables **5** and **6. All** equipoints have one of the ranks 2, 4, **8,** or **16.** Since no combination of **16** and **8** will **yield** 44, the total number of oxygen atoms in the unit **cell,** at least four of the oxygen atoms must **be** located on an equipoint of rank four or on two equipoints of rank two. Therefore at least four oxygen atoms must occupy one of the equipoints 4e, 4d, 4c, or Pa and **2b.** Since there are only four titanium atoms in the unit cell, titanium must also occupy one of these equipoints. Equipoints 4c and 4d are on the  $\frac{1}{4}$  axes while 4c. 2a. and **2b** are on the 4-fold axes. It **is** possible that both oxygen and titanium occupy the same set of axes. For example titanium might occupy position 4c and

## Table 4

Weights of peaks to be expected in Patterson

synthesis of narsarsukite assuming half ionization.



## Table **5**

## Limitations placed on atom positions **by**

#### equipoint rank



**\*** Where **3** or more positions of rank but two must be equipoint 4e 4 appear all **20mt**





 $\sim 100$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}$ 

oxygen position 4d. Reference to the space group diagram **(Fig. 1)** shows that this distribution consists of strings of alternating titanium and oxygen atoms. Titanium atoms would be located along the  $\overline{4}$  axes at **z** 0/60, **30/60,** and **60/60** while oxygen atoms would **be** at **z a 15/60** and 45/60. Thus the interval between titanium and oxygen atoms would **be 15/60 a** c/4. The observed e/4 distance **(1.99 A)** compares favorably with known Ti-O distances (e.g. 1.96Å),<sup>4</sup> strongly suggesting that this type of titanium-oxygen distribution is correct. There are four possible arrangements of the strings of alternating titanium and oxygen atoms, however, each corresponding to a combination of the equipoints given above. Each arrangement yields a Ti-O distance of  $c/4$ . **C.** Interpretation of the Patterson synthesis

A plot of the Harker line  $\lceil OOz \rceil$  from  $z = 0$  to **Z a 30/60** is shown in **Fig. 3.** Peaks can be distinguished at  $z = 23/60$ ,  $14/60$ , and  $30/60$ . Reference to the space group diagram (Fig. **1)** showed that the titanium and oxygen atoms which may alternate along the  $4$  or  $\overline{4}$  axes should be separated **by** an interval of z **a 15/60.** Since there are four oxygen and four titanium atoms per unit **cell,** there should **be** a peak of height 4(Tit0) at **z a 15/60** of the Harker line. Table 4 shows that this height is 4x93 **a** 372, which agrees well with the peak of height **380** found at **z a** 14/60 of the Harker line.



 $\mathbb Z$ 

 $\mathbb{S}$ 

In addition, titanium atoms should be separated by an interval of  $z = 30/60$ , as should oxygen atoms. There should therefore be a peak at z **= 30/60** of the Harker line with height  $2(T1:T1)+2(0:0)$ . Table 4 shows this height to **be** 412484 **a** 496, which **is** close to the height **of** 520 actually found. This is consistent with a titanium-oxygen chain distribution along the 4 or  $4$  axes.

Since the minimum function was to be used in determining the crystal structure, it was necessary to locate an inversion peak in order to begin the imageseeking process. Buerger has shown how to locate inversion peaks through the use of correlation minimumfunction maps.6 Since this case involves a four-fold axis with a perpendicular mirror plane, an inversion peak can **be** located on any level z **by** exactly superimposing level z on level **0** and contouring the minimum function. This was done for all levels from z **\* 0** to  $z = 30/60$ . If silicon occupies the equipoint with general coordinates, the symmetry 4/m should produce a peak of weight 4(si:Si) on the Harker line on the same level as the silicon inversion peak. Examination of the Harker line had shown one peak which was still unaccounted for at z **a 23/60.** The correlation map of level z **a 23/60** was therefore thought to offer the best prospect for the location of a silicon inversion peak.

This level does in fact show a strong peak believed to **be** a possible inversion peak, as well as its expected rotation-equivalent peak. The heights of these two peaks are several times greater than the computed expected values of the silicon peaks, showing that they are multiple peaks. **A** minimum-function solution based on **a** multisle peak yields a multiple solution, so these peaks could not **be** used in the minimum-function image seeking process. It was decided to attempt to find an inversion peak **by** another process, since no other candidate inversion peaks could **be** located **by** the correlation minimum-function method.

Another procedure was suggested **by** the restrictions placed on the titanium locations **by** the equipoint distribution, The possible titanium locations are listed in Table 5. All coordinates of equipoints 2a,  $2b$ ,  $4c$ , and  $4d$  are fixed, but the z coordinate of equipoint 4e **is** variable. Reference to the space group diagram shows that the probable titanium-oxygen chain distribution suggested above requires this coordinate to **be 15/60,** since the titanium atoms must be separated **by** an interval of z **a 30/60.** With this qualification, it can easily **be** seen from the space-group diagram that all four possible titanium distributions differ from each other only in the location of the origin. This requires that the Patterson-peak distribution

resulting only from TitTi vectors be the same for all four cases. Therefore it is not possible to ascertain which distribution is the correct one merely **by** noting the locations of the high **TisTi** peaks on the Patterson maps, Since, in all four possible distributions, titanium atoms **lie** on the 4 or 4 axes separated from one another **by** an interval of **z a 30/60,** a method **is** available for readily solving the structure, Consider, first, only two of the titanium atoms of one of the **possible** equipoint distributions, separated only **by** an Interval of z **a 30/60.** The Patterson maps contain an image of the crystal structure in both of these atoms, If these two images can be brought together and the minimum function mapped, the result will **be** an approximation to the crystal structure. In particular, **if** all Patterson maps differing by an interval  $z = 30/60$  are exactly superimposed and the minimum function mapped, the correct solution results. Notice that it makes no difference where the two titanium atoms are located in the actual structure, just as long as they **lie** one above the other separated **by** the given interval. There are, however, ambiguities in the minimum-function solution described above. First, oxygen atoms are distributed like the titanium atoms (on the  $4$  or  $\frac{1}{4}$  axes separated by an interval of z **a 30/60).** There **is** also an image of the structure in the oxygen atoms. The above mapping

procedure will therefore **yield** a solution based on these images, superimposed on the solution based on the images of the structure in the titanium atoms. This should cause little difficulty however, since the Patterson peaks based upon titanium as an image point far out-weigh peaks based upon oxygen. The minimumfunction solution will thus contain high peaks representing the structure. Superimposed on these will **be** a ghost due to the structure seen from the oxygen atoms.

**A** second ambiguity in, the minimum-function maps is  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ caused **by** the presence of a false inversion center with coordinates  $x \neq \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $y \neq \frac{1}{4}$  in each level of the minimum function. An ambiguity of two thus results in the location of each atom. This ambiguity **is** caused **by** the centering translation of the unit **cell.** Consider the two titanium atoms upon which the minimum-function solution **is** to **be** based. These are located one above the other separated by an interval of  $z = 30/60$ . Now refer to the space group diagram. In all possible titanium distributions, it can be seen that these first two atoms are related to a similar pair **by** the component of the unit-cell centering translation which is normal to **c.** These second two atoms are also located one above the other, separated by an interval of  $z = 30/60$ . The Fatterson maps also contain images of the structure in the pair of atoms. The images seen **by** each pair

of atoms are therefore related **by** this translation, which is equivalent to an inversion center at  $x = \frac{1}{4}$ , y a of each level. The result produced **by** comparing any two Patterson levels separated by an interval of z **30/60** is a minimum-function map with a double solution to the structure, One solution is related to the other **by** the component of the centering translation normal to o, which **is** equivalent to an inversion center at  $x = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $y = \frac{1}{4}$  for each level of the minimum function.

**A** third problem **is** the lack of knowledge of the absolute origin in the minimum-function maps. The titanium atom will be represented **by** a **high** peak at the origin of one of the minimum-function maps. But there are four possible equipoints where the titanium may **be** located and only one of these includes the real origin of the crystal, The set of minimum-function maps will contain the true solution to the structure, but the absolute unit-cell coordinates of the atoms will depend on the placement of this set of maps in crystal space. If the titanium atom can be given its true location, then the set of minimum-function maps may **be** given their true placement and the absolute coordinates of the atoms will be known,

The minimum-function mapping procedure outlined above was carried out for the asymmetric unit of the unit cell, **by** usingtwo titanium atoms as image points.

Levels of the Patterson function separated **by** an interval of z **v 30/60** were compared. Levels **0/60** to **15/60** were successively compared with levels **30/60** to 45/60 to yield a set of **15** minimum-function maps. These maps contain, of course, the ambiguities discussed above, **A** high peak of the expected weight representing the titanium atom is at the origin. It was only necessary then to determine which position of the four possibilities that this titanium occupied, to give the entire set of minimum-function maps their correct placement in crystal space. Only one large peak and its inversion equivalent was found to have general coordinates (Fig. 4). Since silicon is the only relatively heavy atom which may have general coordinates (Table **5),** this peak height **(320)** was compared with the computed expected height of the silicon peak. This height  $2(S1:T1)_\Xi$  248 is close to the height actually found. The location of the silicon atom was thus determined relative to the titanium atom, with the exception of the ambiguity due to the inversion center.

An implication map  $I_A(xy_0)$  was next prepared (Fig. **5).** This is a projection of an approximation to the crystal structure, with ambiguities, on (xyO). There are high **peaks** on this map corresponding to all possible titanium positions. Since this map **is** a projection, equipoints 4c and 4d are projected to  $0, \frac{1}{2}$ , 0.



Fig. 4. Minimum-function map based on images of the structure in titanium, with Si:Ti peaks



Fig. **5.** Implication map  $I_4$  (xyO)

It **is** possible that the titanium atoms are located on one of these two equipoints. The set of minimum-function maps was placed so that the **high** titanium peak of these maps was superimposed on the high peak at  $0, \frac{1}{2}, 0$  of the implication **map.** Since each type **of map** is an approximation to the crystal structure, there should **be** good correlation of high peaks, if the titanium atom **is** located at equipoint 4c or 4d, There is, however, no **peak** on the implication map oorresponding to either of the possible silicon peaks on the minimum-funotion maps, The possible titanium positions 4a and 4d are thus eliminated, The other positions which the titanium may occupy (4e, fa, **2b)** are loeated at **0,0,0** of the implication map. Minimum-function **maps** were **placed** over the implication maps so that the high titanium peak was superimposed on the **high peak** at **0,0,0** of the implication map, There **is** good correlation, not only of the silicon peaks, but of **all** minimum-ftnction **peaks,** with implication peaks, The titanium atoms are thus located either **on** oquipoint 4e (with a e **15/60)** or Pa and 25. **In** addition, the silicon atom has **been** determined relative to the titanium atom with the exception of the ambiguity due to the inversion center.

It can easily be seen from the minimum<sup>arunction</sup> maps that the silicon and titanium atoms are separated **by** an interval of z **\*** 4/60. **If** the titanium **is** on

equipoint **4e(0,0,15/60)** the silicon atom is on level **11/60.** This **yields** a high silicon reflection **peak** on the Harker line  $C00z$ <sup>l</sup> at  $z = 22/60$ . There is, in fact, a high peak there, the only one on the Harker line unaccounted for. On the other hand, if the titanium atom is located on equipoint 2a(0,0,0), the silicon atom would **be** on level **z a** 4/60, **A** high peak would thus appear on the Harker line at  $z \pm 8/60$ . The location of **a** trough in the Patterson funotion at z **= 8/60** eliminates this possibility, The titanium atom is thus definitely located at  $0,0,15/60$  (equipoint 4e). Therefore the levels of the minimum function with the titanium atom at the origin can **be** given their proper placement in crystal  $space_*$  This locates the silicon atom with an ambiguity due only to the inversion center in the level in which it occurs,

The location of an inversion **peak** in the Patterson function can easily **be** predicted **if** the locations of the atoms causing it are known. Since the silicon atom is on level 11/60, the inversion peak should occur on level **22/60,** Patterson maps of levels close to **z a** 22/60 were inspected to **see if** the silicon peak could be found in the predicted location. There are, in fact, inversion peaks of the correct weight corresponding to each of the two possible silicon-atom locations, **Using** one of these inversion **peaks, a**

complete set of minimum function maps of the asymmetric unit was prepared. The Patterson superpositions are listed in Table **7.** The initial superpositions resulted in M<sub>2</sub> maps but these could be combined with themselves using the four-fold axis to obtain M<sub>8</sub> maps which are a good approximation to the electron density. This same procedure was carried out using the other possible silicon inversion peak as the starting point for the image-seeking process. It was noticed, however, that the set of maps obtained in this case was exactly the same as that based on the other inversion peak, differing from it only in the location of the origin.

**Do** Interpretation of the minimum-function maps.

**A** projection on (xyO) of the peaks of the threedimensional minimum-function maps based on the silicon inversion peak **is** shown in Fig. **6.** Only peaks in the interval  $z = 0$  to  $\frac{1}{4}$  are shown. The large peak at the origin with coordinates  $0,0, \frac{1}{4}$  is, of course, the titanium peak. The smaller peak at the origin with z **= 0** is an oxygen peak,(0I)whose location was suggested above **by** the titanium-oxygen chain hypothesis. In addition, the peak at  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ , O represents the other oxygen atom  $(O_{II})$  whose location was suggested. The silicon peak which served as the basis of the image-forming function is marked with a cross. The next highest peak **is** located on level

## Table **7**

Patterson levels to **be** compared to **yield** the minimun function baed on the silicon inversion peak,



 $\label{eq:3.1} \left\langle \varphi_{\alpha} \left( \varphi_{\alpha} \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle \varphi_{\alpha} \right\rangle = \left\langle \varphi_{\alpha} \right\rangle = \left\langle \varphi_{\alpha} \right\rangle$ 





Projection on (xy0) **of** the peaks of the asymmetric unit of the three-dimensional minimum-function which is based on the silicon inversion peak

z aC, a position of rank **8.** Since there are **8** sodium atoms in the unit cell this relatively heavy peak must represent a sodium atom. This then locates all cations and four oxygen atoms with no ambiguities.

Forty oxygen atoms still remain to be located. Four peaks, three of rank **16** and one of rank **8,** remain on the minimum-function peak projection. **All** of these are peaks of low weight and have close to the expected oxygen-peak height. Two of the peaks of rank **16** and the peak of rank **8,** when repeated **by** symmetry operations, complete tetrahedral coordination for silicon and yield Si-O distances close to standard values. In addition, titanium has its usual octahedral coordination and all Ti-O distances are close to values found in other structures. These three peaks were therefore taken to be approximations to the electron density of oxygen atoms, They are labled  $O_{\text{III}}$ ,  $O_{\text{IV}}$ , and  $O_{\text{V}}$  in the projection of the minimum-function peaks. This completes the location of all atoms of the unit cell, **One** false minimum-function **peak** remains unlabled.

Four checks were made of the correctness of the proposed structure. First, comparison with the implication diagram shows good correlation of implication peaks with minimum-function peaks, Second, tie original set of minimum-function maps based on titanium shows one-to-one peak correlation with the maps based

on the silicon inversion peak (with the exception of the ambiguity due to centrosymmetry in the former maps). Third, a two-dimensional Patterson projection  $P(xy)$  was prepared. Since the titanium atom is located at  $0.0.1$ . and since Patterson peaks involving the relatively heavy titanium atom should dominate this map, it should **be** a rough approximation to a projection of the crystal structure. This map was prepared using the **I.** B. **Mt** 704 computer at the **W.** Z. T. Computation Center in conjunction with the Fourier series computation program MIFR1.<sup>8</sup> It is shown in Fig. 7. There is good peak correlation . again. Fourth, all cation-anion distances compare well with distances previously recognized in other crystal structures,

**3,**





Patterson synthesis P(xy)

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

# Chapter VI Refinement

Refinement of the atom parameters of **narsarsukite** was carried out using the Busing and **Levy** least-squares program on the **I.** Bo **Y,** 704 computer at the **Y,** I. *T* Computation Center. This program permits simultanious refinement of **all** atom coordinates, temperature factors (overall, individual isotropic, or individual anisotropie) and one or more **scale** factors. It computes structure factors and the discrepancy factor,  $R_{4}$  based on input parameters, Therefore, in order to compute a discrepancy factor for the parameters obtained **as** the output for a given **cycle#** a separate computation or another **cycle** must **be** run.

The coordinates of the atoms of narsarsukite derived from the minimum-function map based on the silicon inversion peak are tabulated in the first section of **Table** 8. These were used as input data for the first **cycle** of refinement along with the following data:

- 1. An arbitrary **seale** factor of **1.0**
- 2. All  $F_{of}$  including data with  $F_{of}$  **0**
- 3. **An** arbitrary value of **0.7** for all individual temperature factors

4, ?orm factors assuming half-ionization **Six cycles** were run in which only the scale factor and the atom coordinates were allowed to refine. The refined

## Table 8

Coordinates of atoms derived from the minimum-

function maps and coordinates and isotropic

 $\alpha$ 

 $\sim 10$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 





l.

41

т

atom coordinates and scale factor of each **cycle** were used as input data for the next cycle. **All** other input data, including the individual temperature factors, remained unchanged. The largest change in the fractional coordinate of the atoms during the sixth **cycle** was 2X10<sup>-4</sup>. The stage of the refinement during which the temperature factors were **held** constant was therefore regarded as completed, The individual isotropic temperature factors, along with the atom coordinates and scale factor, were allowed to vary in all subsequent cycles. The temperature factor of  $0_{11}$  obtained from *Cycle* **7** was *negative,* The least-squares program does not refine **if** negative temperature factors are used as input data, so **a** method was sought to resolve this difficulty.

The points of a plot of  $\ln F_0/F_0$  vs.  $\sin^2 \theta$ should **lie** approximately along a straight line with a slope which is a function of the overall temperature factor if values of **F**<sub>c</sub> are based on the correct structure. The intersection of the line with the  $\ln F_0/F_0$  axis provides the **scale** factor, With perfect experimental intensity data and perfectly refined atom coordinates, **all** points of the plot **fall** exactly on a straight line, The distance of **a** point from the line is a rough measure of the accuracy of **Fg.** It was **believed** that the negative temperature factor obtained in *cycle* **7** was

caused **by** inaccurate intensity data, and that the type of plot described above would confirm this, In preparation for such a plot, values of  $F_c$  for all reflections were computed using a temperature factor of zero and atom parameters of **cycle 6.** Values of sIn **0** for each reflection were provided **by** the I. B. M. 704 program which had also provided Lorentz and polarization factors, etc.. The resulting plot showed a wide scatter of points, which indicated that some data were inaccurate, but there was a majority of points which occupied **a** wide band with a negative slope, Arbitrary border lines were drawn outlining this band, with approximately *130* points falling outside of these limits, It was believed that these represented the most inaccurate data, and might have caused the temperature factor of  $O_{TT}$  obtained from cycle 7 to be negative, **Only** those reflections which **fell** within the band limits were used as input data for cycle 9 (340 reflections). **A** small positive number (O.?'O) was used for the temperature factor of O<sub>TT</sub> and all parameters were allowed **to** vary. During this **cycle all** temperature factors remained positive, confirming the fact that the inaccurate data **had** been responsible for the negative temperature factor.

The points of a plot of  $\ln \frac{F_0}{F_0}$  vs.  $\sin^2\theta$  should lie approximately along **a** straight line with neglgible

slope after correcting for the temperature factor. Since the line now had zero slope, a data rejection test other than the one described above could **be** used, Mr. Charles Prewitt prepared a patch for the leastsquares program which allowed only those data with  $|Fo-Fc|/p<sub>e</sub> < 0.25$  to be included in the refinement. This rejection test has essentially the same effect as the one used in cycle **9,** in that it includes data in the refinement process which occupy a wide band on the plot of  $\ln F_{0}/F_{c}$  vs. sin<sup>2</sup> $\theta$ . It has the advantage of allowing all reflections, whether used in the refinement process or not, to **be** included in the input data **deck** and therefore to **be** included in the calculation of the discrepancy factor. This rejection test also excluded data with  $\mathbf{F}_0 \neq 0$  (approximately 15% of the reflections) from the refinement process.

Cycles **10, 11** and 12 were run using the new rejection test, permitting all parameters to vary. After cycle **11** it was discovered that incorrect weights had been applied to the reflection data. **All** reflections had been weighted equally, when in fact, all zero-level reflections have a multiplicity one half that of reflections of general indices. **Cycle** 12 was accordingly run with corrected weights for the zero-level reflections, The largest fractional coordinate change **of** this **cycle** was 4X10<sup>-4</sup>. The refinement process was therefore terminated.

Final coordinates ant temperature factors are shown in Table **8.** The discrepancy factor based on these coordinates is  $14.2$  when reflections with  $F_0 = 0$  are included in the computation and **11#5** when these are omitted.

#### Final structure

The structure of narsarsukite is illustrated in **Figs. 8** and **9.** Narsarsukite has a new type of silica tetrahedra arrangement whose silicon to oxygen ratio is 4:10. The formula should be written Na<sub>2</sub>TiOSi<sub>4</sub>0<sub>10</sub> since some oxygen atoms are coordinated only **by** titanium and sodium atoms. Fig. **8 is** a projection of the structure from  $z = 0$  to  $z = \frac{1}{2}$  on  $(xy0)$ . Fig. 9a is a three-dimensional representation of a section of the new silica tetrahedra arrangement, while **Fig. 9b is** a simplified drawing of this same type of arrangement.

The main points involved in an understanding of the structure of narsarsukite may **be** appreciated with reference to Fig. 8. **A** crystal may **be** built from this unit **by** repetitions involving translations uvO and reflections across mirrors at  $z = 0$  and  $z = \frac{1}{2}$ , which are the limits of the portion of the crystal projected. There are two basic units in the structure. First, there is a series of titanium octahedra arranged along the four-fold axes. Each titanium atom shares an oxygen atom with the titanium atoms immediately above and below. There is thus an infinite chain of octahedra which share corners, the four-fold axis being the axis of the chain.



Fig. 8. Projection of the structure from  $z \equiv 0$  to  $z = \frac{1}{2}$  on (xy0)







Fig. **9b**

Fig. 9a. Fig. **9b.** Simplified version of the tube of silica Tube of silica tetrahedra found in narsarsukite tetrahedra found in narsarsukite

The second major unit of the structure is the network of silica tetrahedra. The tetrahedra are arranged around the **Z** axes in rings of four, each tetrahedron sharing a corner with two other tetrahedra of the ring. They are oriented so that alternating tetrahedra of the ring point up and down. Thus two tetrahedra of each four-ring have vertices pointing up and two down. These vertices are shared **by** similar four-rings above and below. This arrangement can **be** appreciated **by** noting that the oxygen atoms shared **by** adjacent four-rings lie in mirror planes arranged parallel to the plane of the four-rings. The repetition of these four-rings in the **c** direction **by** the mirror planes yields a tube of tetrahedra arranged around the  $\overline{4}$  axis.

The specific nature of the new silica tetrahedra arrangement can **be** readily grasped with reference to the simplified illustration of Fig. **9b.** The four-rings of tetrahedra with vertices alternating up and down, bonded to four-rings above and below, are easily distinguished. This structure may **be** derived from the mica sheet network, which **is** a planar arrangement of six-rings. Let a narrow band, infinitely long, and two six-ring units wide, **be** separated from a mica sheet, as shown in **Fig. 10. If** this band is bent around parallel to its long axis, so that the long edges are bonded



Fig. **10.** Band of silica tetrahedra derived from a mica sheet

together, the silica tetrahedra tube of narsarsukite is obtained. The tetrahedra of the mica network which are arranged with unshared vertices pointing upward in Fig. **10** have unshared vertices pointing away from the axis of the tube. Thus each tetrahedron of the narsarsukite arrangement shares three vertices with other tetrahedra, as in the phyllosilicates.

Each tetrahedron has one corner unshared **by** other tetrahedra, It is the oxygen at this vertex which is bonded to a titanium atom, thus forming a bond between the chain of titanium octahedra and the tube of silica tetrahedra, both of which extend indefinitely in the c direction. The sodium atoms occupy the large voids between the chain of titanium octahedra and the tube of silica tetrahedra,

Interatomic distances are tabulated in Table **9. All** four Si-O distances are approximately equal, suggesting that the oxygen arrangement around silicon approximates that of a tetrahedron. There are two Si-0-Si bond angles which are not symmetry equivalent. These involve adjacent tetrahedra of a four-ring, and adjacent tetrahedra of different four-rings. The Si-O.S1 bond angles are 141.0 and **138.9** respectively. This compares fairly well with angles of **150** found **by** Nieuwenkamp in low quartz.3a

Ti-O distances are relatively irregular, indicating

## Table 9

Interatomic distances

Metal atom and coordinates	Number and type of oxygen neighbors and coordinates	Interatomic distance
$51 \quad x, y, z$ $T1 \quad x_{\bullet}y_{\bullet}z$ $Na = x_1y_2z$	1 $0_{TT}$ $x, y, z$ 1 $0_{\text{IV}}$ $x, y, z$ $1 \quad 0_V \qquad x_1 y_1 z$ $1 \quad 0 \quad y \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow -x, \frac{1}{2} - z$ 1 $0T$ x,y,z 1 $O_{II}$ $x, y, z$ 4 $o_{\text{IV}}$ $x, y, z$ 1 $0_{II}$ $x, y, z$ 2 $0_{\text{TV}}$ $x, y, z$ 2 $0^{\dagger}_{\text{IV}}$ $y \cdot \overline{x} \cdot z$ 2 $O_V^{\text{th}}$ $\frac{1}{2} - x \cdot \frac{1}{2} - y \cdot \frac{1}{2} - z$	$1.61 \text{ Å}$ 1.58 1,65 1.62 1,90 2.08 1.99 2.48 2.39 2,72 2.51

 $\sim$ 

**a** moderate distortion of the octahedral arrangement of oxygen around titanium. The distortion involves the two oxygen atoms above and below the titanium atom on the four-fold axis. The titanium atom is closer to  $O_T(Ti-0 = 1.90 \text{ Å})$  than to  $O_{TT}$  (Ti-O  $\pm$  2.08  $\hat{A}$ ). In addition, the **z** coordinate of the remaining four oxygen atoms of the titanium octahedron (.268) is greater than that of the titanium atom (.239). These oxygen atoms thus **lie** in a plane (normal to the four-fold axis) which is displaced away from the titanium atom toward  $O_{TT}$ . In an ideal octahedron this plane includes the titanium atom\* The titanium atom is thus **displaced** away from the center of the octahedron, toward one end. This effect is slightly accentuated **by** displacement of the four oxygen atoms (not on the four-fold axis) in the opposite. direction. Exactly this same type of arrangement occurs<sup>4a</sup> in tetragonal BaTiO<sub>3</sub>. In this structure, titanium octahedra are bonded in a chain on the four-fold sxes. The titanium atom is displaced **0.13** A toward one **end** of the octahedron. Ti-0 distances closely correspond to those of narsarsukite, **as** shown **by** the following tablet

 $\mathbf{r}$ 

#### narsarsukite BaTiO<sub>3</sub>



5, *l*

The displacement of all titanium atoms toward the same end of the crystal is associated with ferroelectricity in BaTiO<sub>3</sub>. This property may be exhibited by Na<sub>2</sub>TiOSi<sub>1</sub> **3\*2** .4 ll **if** an appropriate phase change from narsarsukite **occurs,**

Reference to Table **9** shows that seven oxygen atoms have Na-O distances which compare well with Na-O distances found in other crystal structures. Two of these oxygen atoms  $(O_V)$  have bonds which are probably saturated by silicon. If these two atoms are disregarded, the oxygen coordination around sodium **is** five, Reference to Fig\* **8** shows that the polyhedron formed **by** these five oxygen atoms is very irregular. It does not actually enclose the sodium atom since all five oxygen atoms **lie** to one side of the sodium atom. This is also true, but to a lesser degree, even when the additional two oxygen atoms are included in the polyhedron.

#### Conformity of narsarsukite to Pauling's rules,

If the possibility of coordination of  $O_V$  with oxygen is excluded, O<sub>v</sub> and O<sub>TTT</sub> have electrostatic valency bonds exactly satisfied, since each is coordinated **by** two silicon atoms. Assuming **a** coordination of five oxygen atoms around sodium, the bonds from sodium to oxygen have electrostatic valency strenths of **1/5#** Titanium and silicon have electrostatic valeney strengths of 4/6 and **I** respectively. **0** and **0** are each coordinated **by** two titanium atoms, and four sodium atoms,

Their charge (-2) is slightly oversatisfied

 $[2(4/6) + 4(1/5) = 32/15$ . O<sub>IV</sub> is coordinated by one titanium atom, one silicon atom, and two sodium atomsi Its charge is also slightly oversatisfied

 $[1+4/6 + 2(1/5)]$   $\approx$  62/30. If a coordination of six is assigned to sodium the electrostatic bonds are exactly satisfied. The extremely irregular coordination of oxygen around sodium, and the possibility that  $O_V$  should be included in the oxygen coordination sphere, makes exact calculation of electrostatic valencies complicated, The above calculations show that **all** bonds are satisfied within limits of interpretation of the sodium coordination.

Several points indicate that coordination may **be** much more complex than indleated above. It is possible that the two oxygen atoms  $(O_V)$  excluded above should be included in the sodium coordination sphere, especially since the two oxygen atoms  $(O_{yy})$  with considerably larger Na-O distances were included. That these two oxygen atoms  $(O_V)$  are probably making some contribution to Na is shown by the relatively large Si-O<sub>V</sub> distance **(1.65 1)** which indicates that the oxygen atom may not be as closely bonded to silicon as usual. As noted above, inclusion of these two oxygen atoms in the sodium acordination polyhedron would make the polyhedron more regular.

If  $O_V$  is contributing some bond strength to sodium,

this oxygen atom cannot contribute its total bond strength to silicon. The resulting excess of silicon bond strength may be conpensated for by  $O_{yy*}$  This oxygen atom exhibits an 31-0 distance (1.58  $\lambda$ ) which is smaller than usual, indicating that it may **be** contributing more than a bond strength of -1 to silicon. In addition, this oxygen atom also has a very high Na-O distance, indicating that it may contribute little of its total bond strength to sodium, The "average" effect of  $O_{TV}$ and O<sub>V</sub> may be such as to yield a six-fold oxygen coordination of sodium and a four-fold coordination of silicon, thus exactly satisfying Pauling's rule.

- 1. Gossner, B., and Strunz, H. (1932), Die chemische Zusammersetzung von Narsarsukit: Zeits. Krist.,  $82, 150 - 151.$
- $2.$  Warren, B. E., and Amberg, C. R. (1934), X-ray study of narsarsukite, Na<sub>p</sub>(Ti,Fe)Si<sub>A</sub>O<sub>77</sub>: Am. Mineral., 19, 546-548.
- $5*$  Graham, William A. P. (1935), An occurrence of narsarsukite in Montana: Am. Mineral., 20, 598-601.
- 3a: Nieuwenkamp, W. (1935), Die Kristallstruktur des Tief-Cristobalits SiO<sub>o</sub>: Zeit. Krist., 92, 82-88.
- 4. Evans, R. C. (1952), Crystal Chemistry, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Aa. Megaw, Helen D. (1957), Ferroelectricity in Crystals, London, Methuen and Co.
- 5. Buerger, M. J., and Niizeki, N. (1958), Correction for absorption for rod-shaped single crystals: Am. Mineral., 43, 726-731.
- 6. Buerger, Martin J. (1959), Vector Space, New York, John Wiley and Sons.
- $7.$  Busing, R. William, and Levy, Henri A. (1959), A crystallographic least squares refinement program for the I. B. M. 704, Oak Ridge Tennessee, Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
- 8. **Sly,** William **G.,** and Jhoemaker, David **Po (1959),** MIFR1: two-and three-dimensional crystallographic Fourier summation program for the I. B. M. 704, Mass. Insti. Tech..
- **9.** Stewart, **D.** f. **(1959),** Narearsukite from Sag, Creek, Sweetgrass Hills, Montana: Am. Mineral., 44, **265-273,**