The digital abstraction

★ indicates problems that have been selected for discussion in section, time permitting.

Problem 1. The behavior of a 1-input, 1-output device is measured by hooking a voltage source to its input and measuring the voltage at the output for several different input voltages:

We're interested in whether this device can serve as a legal combinational device that obeys the static discipline. For this device, obeying the static discipline means that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if } V_{IN} \leq V_{IL} & \text{ then } V_{OUT} \geq V_{OH}, \text{ and} \\
\text{if } V_{IN} \geq V_{IH} & \text{ then } V_{OUT} \leq V_{OL}.
\end{align*}
\]

When answering the questions below, assume that all voltages are constrained to be in the range 0V to 5V.

A. ★ Can one chose a \(V_{OL}\) of 0V for this device? Explain.

**Hide Answer**

No, since the static discipline requires that \(V_{OUT} \leq V_{QL}\) for a valid "0" output and \(V_{OUT}\) for this device never falls below 0.5V.

B. ★ What's the smallest \(V_{QL}\) one can choose and still have the device obey the static discipline? Explain.

**Hide Answer**
The smallest $V_{OL}$ one can choose is 0.5V, the lowest output voltage produced by the device.

C. ★ Assuming that we want to have 0.5V noise margins for both "0" and "1" values, what are appropriate voltage levels for $V_{OL}$, $V_{IL}$, $V_{IH}$, and $V_{OH}$ so that the device obeys the static discipline. Hint: there are many possible choices, just choose one that obeys the constraints listed above.

Hide Answer

Here are the rules of operation imposed on us by the static discipline:

1. $V_{IN} \geq V_{IH}$ implies $V_{OUT} \leq V_{OL}$
2. $V_{IN} \leq V_{IL}$ implies $V_{OUT} \geq V_{OH}$
3. $V_{OL} + \text{noise margin} = V_{IL}$
4. $V_{OH} - \text{noise margin} = V_{IH}$

So if $V_{OL} = 0.5V$ and assuming 0.5V noise margins, then
   - applying rule 3: $V_{IL} = 1V$
   - applying rule 2 and looking at the VTC: $V_{OH} = 4V$
   - applying rule 4: $V_{IH} = 3.5V$
and finally we can check that rule 1 is obeyed by these choices.

D. Assuming that we want to have 0.5V noise margins for both "0" and "1" values, what is the largest possible voltage level for $V_{OL}$ that still results in a device that obeys the static discipline?

Hide Answer

To determine our maximum $V_{OL}$, let's set it equal to $N$. Then

$$V_{IL} = N + 0.5V$$

which is simply the noise margin added to $V_{OL}$. If we let $M$ be the size of the forbidden region in volts,

$$V_{IH} = V_{IL} + M = N + 0.5 + M.$$

Finally,

$$V_{OH} = V_{IH} + 0.5 = N + M + 1.$$

We'll use the function $VTC(v)$ as the name of the function represented graphically by the voltage transfer characteristic. So, we want to find $N$ and $M$ such that $V_{OH} = VTC(V_{IL})$ and $V_{OL} = VTC(V_{IH})$. If we assume that $V_{IL}$ and $V_{IH}$ lie in the range [1,2.5] (we'll check that assumption in a minute) then

$$V_{OH} = VTC(V_{IL}) \text{ implies } N + M + 1 = VTC(N + 0.5) = 6 - 2*(N + 0.5)$$

$$V_{OL} = VTC(V_{IH}) \text{ implies } N = VTC(N + 0.5 + M) = 6 - 2*(N + M + 0.5)$$

Solving the two equations we get $N = 1$ and $M = 1$ giving us $V_{OL} = 1$, $V_{IL} = 1.5$, $V_{IH} = 2.5$, $V_{OH} = 3$. $V_{IL}$ and $V_{IH}$ lie in the range we assumed above, so we're all set.

E. Assuming that we want to have equal noise margins for both "0" and "1" values, what
is the largest noise margin we can achieve with this device and still obey the static discipline?

Hide Answer

It's clear that to maximize our noise margins, $V_{OL}$ should be as small as possible and $V_{OH}$ should be as large as possible. By inspecting the VTC we see that $V_{OL} = 0.5V$ is the best we can do. From earlier parts we know we can achieve a noise margin $N$ of at least $0.5V$.

We can use the following construction to figure out the largest noise margin $N$. Consider two of these devices hooked in series:

![Diagram](image)

The letters "A" through "E" mark points of interest where we'll want to determine the voltage. Assume we apply $0.5V$ at point "A". Then:

- at point "B", the largest voltage we'll measure is $0.5 + N$, i.e., the voltage we applied to the other end of the wire and the worst-case noise perturbation.

- referring to the VTC, with $0.5+N$ on the input we'll measure a voltage of $4 - 2(N + .5 - 1) = 5 - 2N$ at the output (point "C").

- at point "D", the smallest voltage we'll measure is $5 - 3N$, i.e., the voltage we applied to the other end of the wire and the worst-case noise perturbation.

- finally at point "E" we'd want to measure $0.5V$, i.e., a valid "0" voltage level.

In order to get $0.5V$ at point "E", the VTC tells us that the input voltage (voltage at "D") must be greater than or equal to $3V$. So $5 - 3N >= 3$ which we achieve if $N <= 2/3$.

Problem 2. Inverter madness.

A. ★ The following graph plots the voltage transfer characteristic for a device with one input and one output.
Can this device be used as a combinational device in a logic family with 0.75V noise margins?

No. The device doesn't have any region in which its gain is greater than 1, so there are no choices for $V_{IL}$, $V_{OL}$, $V_{IH}$, $V_{OH}$ which provide for non-zero noise margins.

B. You are designing a new logic family and trying to decide on values of the four parameters $V_{IL}$, $V_{OL}$, $V_{IH}$, $V_{OH}$ that lead to non-zero noise margins for various possible inverter designs. Four proposed inverter designs exhibit the voltage transfer characteristics shown in the diagrams below. For each design, either (1) specify suitable values of $V_{IL}$, $V_{OL}$, $V_{IH}$, $V_{OH}$ or (2) explain why no values for these parameters satisfy the static discipline.
Device A: \( V_{\text{OL}} = 1V, \ V_{\text{IL}} = 3.5V, \ V_{\text{IH}} = 5V, \ V_{\text{OH}} = 6V \)

Device B: no values exist, the gain is never greater than one

Device C: no values exist, the gain is never greater than one

Device D: \( V_{\text{OL}} = .5V, \ V_{\text{IL}} = 1V, \ V_{\text{IH}} = 3.5V, \ V_{\text{OH}} = 6V \)


A. ★ Consider a combinational buffer with one input and one output. Suppose we set its input to some voltage (\( V_{\text{IN}} \)), wait for the device to reach a steady state, then measure the voltage on its output (\( V_{\text{OUT}} \)) and find \( V_{\text{OUT}} < V_{\text{OL}} \). What can we say about \( V_{\text{IN}} \)?

A combinational device guarantees that valid inputs lead to valid outputs if we wait longer than the propagation delay. But the opposite inference isn't true: a valid output doesn't imply a valid input -- for example, the buffer is allowed a valid "1" before the input has crossed \( V_{\text{IH}} \).

So the only statement that we can make is that \( V_{\text{IN}} < V_{\text{IH}} \) since if \( V_{\text{IN}} \geq V_{\text{IH}} \) the
buffer is required to produce $V_{OUT} \geq V_{OH}$.

B. Now consider an inverter. Suppose we set its inputs to some voltage ($V_{IN}$), wait for the device to reach a steady state, then measure the voltage on its output ($V_{OUT}$) and find $V_{OUT} > V_{OH}$. What can we say about $V_{IN}$?

Using the same argument as above, the only statement we can make is that $V_{IN} < V_{IH}$.

---

Problem 4. Ternary Logic.

Ternary is a term referring to the number system in base 3. Consider a convention in which a ternary digit is presented as an electric voltage between 0 and 10 V. Let 0-1 V represent a valid "0" output, 4-6 V a valid "1" output and 9-10 V a valid "2" output.

A. ★ Assuming noise margins 1 V wide, show the mapping of logic levels to voltages for this ternary system. Include valid logic-level outputs, noise margins and forbidden zones. Your chart should resemble the following diagram, except of course it will incorporate 3 valid signal levels:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valid 0 out</th>
<th>Noise Margin</th>
<th>Forbidden zone</th>
<th>Noise Margin</th>
<th>Valid 1 out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0V</td>
<td>$V_1$</td>
<td>$V_2$</td>
<td>$V_3$</td>
<td>$V_4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. ★ Graph the transfer characteristic for a device capable of acting as a ternary logic buffer, i.e., a device that produces at its output the same logic level present at its input, as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IN</th>
<th>OUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We need three stable regions, one for each valid output, and a high gain between valid levels to help restore poor inputs to an output valid level.

C. Can a device with the following transfer characteristic be used as a ternary logic buffer? Why or why not?

No, it can't be used as a ternary logic buffer. The device has only two stable regions.

D. How many bits of information are carried in a ternary signal on a single wire?

\[ \log_2(3) = 1.585 \text{ bits} \]

E. How many different combinations of valid logic levels can be encoded on three ternary wires? How many bits of information does this represent? How many wires would be needed to carry this same amount of information in binary?

27 different combinations can be encoded. This corresponds to \( \log_2(27) = 4.75 \) bits of information. Each binary wire carries one bit, so we would need 5 wires.

F. What is the information flow in bits/second for three ternary wires if a new set of
values is sent every 10 ms? What is the information flow in bits/second for three binary wires if a new set of values is sent every 10 ms?

Trinary: $4.75 \text{bits/packet} \times 1 \text{ packet/10ms} = 475 \text{ bits/second}$
Binary: $3 \text{ bits/packet} \times 1 \text{ packet/10ms} = 300 \text{ bits/second}$

Problem 5. **Barracks logic** is built out of sleeping soldiers covered by electric blankets. Each blanket has a control switch with discrete control settings ranging in 5-degree (Fahrenheit) intervals from 0 to 50 degrees. The temperature of a soldier covered by one or more electric blankets will be the sum of the ambient temperature in the barracks plus the setting on the controller for each blanket.

Each soldier has a preferred sleeping temperature, which varies from individual to individual but is always within the range of 60 to 80 degrees, inclusive. If a soldier's temperature departs from her preferred temperature, the soldier will wake up once every minute and adjust the control by one 5-degree increment in the appropriate direction (if the soldier is cold, the solider will increase the setting on the control, and vice versa). The soldier will continue these adjustments by 5-degree increments until she once again reaches her preferred temperature (and goes to sleep) or runs out of settings (in which case she grumbles angrily in bed).

If soldiers are allowed to control their own blankets, each will soon reach their preferred temperature and slide into nocturnal bliss (assuming a suitable ambient temperature). The interesting aspects of barracks logic result from switching the controls of the various blankets to different soldiers. Inputs to the system are accomplished by placing a few controls in the hands of outsiders, and outputs are read from the control settings of certain soldiers designated by the logic designer.

A. ★ Draw the graph of output control setting vs. input control setting for a typical soldier in steady state. Assume an ambient temperature of 40 degrees. Suggest good choices of the valid regions for the two logical values, the forbidden zone, and the noise margins. Let logical 0 be when a control is completely off and logical 1 be when the control is completely on (or at the highest setting).

The soldiers have infinite gain since they continue to adjust their blanket as long as their temperature differs even slightly from their preferred temperature. (Actually given the control works only in 5-degree increments, it's unlikely than any solider will sleep unless the difference between the ambient temperature and her preferred temperature is exactly 5 degrees.) So the typical soldier's graph looks like:
where the vertical part of the curve for a particular soldier would be located anywhere between 60 and 80 degrees.

Examining the curve we see that it's possible to achieve a 20-degree noise margin for low values and a 10-degree noise margin at high values:

- $T_{OL} = 0$ degrees
- $T_{IL} = 20$ degrees
- $T_{IH} = 40$ degrees
- $T_{OH} = 50$ degrees

B. List some sources of noise that justify the need for noise margins.

Hide Answer

Noise appears as a difference in temperature between the setting of the input control and what the soldier actually feels. Sources of noise: changes in ambient temperature caused by drafts, changes in blanket operature due to line voltage variations, etc.

C. ★ Even though it is the middle of February, a sudden warm spell raises the ambient temperature in our barracks logic system to 55 degrees. Sketch a new graph of output control setting vs. input control setting in the warmer barracks.

Hide Answer

Since the solider works the same, the whole graph shifts to the left since the input settings would be lower to achieve the same temperature at the soldier:
D. Over what range of ambient temperatures will barracks logic function reliably?

"Reliable" in this example means that all soldiers can produce both outputs (i.e., output control set to 0 and 50). Let N be the desired noise margin in degrees. If the temperature rises above 60-N degrees, soldiers with a preferred temperature of 60 will always have their output control set to 0 regardless of the setting of their input control. Similarly if the temperature falls below 30+N degrees soldiers with a preferred temperature of 80 will always have their output control set to 50 regardless of the setting of their input control.

E. Does the following arrangement perform a useful function? What is it?

The soldier functions as an inverter.

F. To create a system with multiple inputs, we allow several blankets to be placed over a single soldier. What is the maximum fanin possible in barracks logic if 170 degrees is the highest temperature a soldier can tolerate without his characteristics being permanently altered?

If each soldier can tolerate 170 degrees then 170-ambient is the number of degrees that can be added to a soldier's environment by blankets. Each blanket can add up to 50 degrees, so (170-ambient)/50 is the limit on the number of blankets that can be
Problem 6. Bread and Circuits, Inc. has discovered an interesting electronic device (a Z-module) which is made using a single yeast cell, a speck of flour, and a grain of salt. The Z-module has two inputs carrying voltages $V_A$ and $V_B$ and a single output carrying $V_C$. The output $V_C$ settles, after a 10ns period of stable input voltages, to the product of the input voltages restricted to range of 0V to 2V. In other words,

$$V_C = \begin{cases} V_A \cdot V_B & \text{when } 0 \leq V_A \cdot V_B \leq 2 \\ 2 & \text{when } V_A \cdot V_B > 2 \end{cases}$$

Assume that the Z-module treats negative input voltages as if they were 0.

B&C is trying to make a logic family using Z-modules. As a starting point, they claim that

constitutes a valid combinational buffer under the proper voltage-to-logic representation conventions and will yield reasonable noise margins.

A. Which, if any, of the following proposed voltage thresholds yields a valid buffer with positive noise margins?

A. $V_{OL} = 1.5V$, $V_{IL} = 1.6V$, $V_{IH} = 1.7V$, $V_{OH} = 1.8V$
B. $V_{OL} = 0.7V$, $V_{IL} = 0.9V$, $V_{IH} = 1.1V$, $V_{OH} = 1.3V$
C. $V_{OL} = 0.1V$, $V_{IL} = 0.3V$, $V_{IH} = 1.7V$, $V_{OH} = 1.9V$
D. $V_{OL} = 0.5V$, $V_{IL} = 0.5V$, $V_{IH} = 1.5V$, $V_{OH} = 1.5V$

Choice A would allow two "low" inputs (e.g., 1.6V) to generate a "high" output ($1.6 \cdot 1.6 = 2.56$ which is above $V_{OH}$) => not okay.

Choice B would allow two "high" inputs (e.g., 1.1V) to generate an underdetermined output ($1.1 \cdot 1.1 = 1.21$ which is above $V_{OL}$ but below $V_{OH}$) => not okay.

Choice C has positive noise margins and the allowed input voltages result in the desired behavior => okay

Choice D doesn't have positive noise margins => not okay.

B. Determine the voltage thresholds that maximize the noise margins of B&C's buffer. If the noise margins are not independent, maximize the smaller of the two.

To maximize the noise margins of the buffer, we consider the voltage transfer
characteristic of the Z-module. Since \( V_{IN}^2 \geq 2 \) will result in a \( V_{OH} \) of 2, then we have \( V_{IH} \geq \sqrt{2} \). Thus, \( V_{IH} = \sqrt{2} \), and \( V_{OH} = 2 \).

Since \( V_{OUT} = V_{IN}^2 \) in the lower region of the voltage transfer characteristic, then let us represent \( V_{IN} \) with "\( x \)" and \( V_{OUT} \) with "\( x^2 \)". Then the lower noise margin is represented by the function \( f(x) = x - x^2 \).

To maximize the noise margin, we take the first derivative of \( f(x) \) and set it to zero: \( f'(x) = 1 - 2x = 0 \). Solving for \( x \) gives 0.5. Thus, \( V_{IL} = 0.5V \), and \( V_{OL} = x^2 = 0.25V \).

C. Ivan Idea, chief logician at B&C, is exploring the use of a single Z-module as a two-input logic gate, again with positive noise margins. He suspects that a Z-module, under the appropriate logic conventions, can be used for an AND or OR gate which obeys the static discipline. Ivan's been at it for several weeks and needs your help.

Can you find a way to use a Z-module for an AND or OR combinational device? If so, give the appropriate input and output voltage thresholds and the function performed. If not, carefully explain why the Z-module can't be used as AND or OR.

\[ \text{Hide Answer} \]

No, the Z-module can't be used as either an AND gate or an OR gate.

In order to implement an OR gate, we must have the behavior that a logic "1" input and a logic "0" input produces a logic "1" output. In the case of a single Z-module, if we had 2V as the first input and 0V as the second input (noiseless, perfect input voltages) the output is 0V, instead of a logic "1" voltage.

In order to implement an AND gate, we must have the behavior that a logic "1" input and a logic "0" input produces a logic "0" output. According to the static discipline, a "0" output means \( V_{OUT} \) should be less than equal to \( V_{OL} \). If we substitute 2V for the logic "1" input and consider the "0" input, we have \( V_{OUT} = V_{IL} \times 2 \leq V_{OL} \). But we can't have \( V_{OL} > V_{IL} \), so the Z-module can't function as an AND gate.

D. Ivan sidesteps the previous enigma by allowing himself the use of several Z-modules as components of a single logic gate. He assumes (and you may too) that noise enters the system only between logic gates, not between the components of a single gate. He notes that each of B&C's proposed buffers (using one Z-module) is an amplifier with gain greater than one. Ivan reasons that by cascading many such devices (as shown below), he can achieve arbitrarily high gain and hence excellent noise margins.

His plan is to use such a high-gain cascade on the output of a Z-module to restore the validity of marginal signal levels. Describe the voltage transfer characteristic (i.e., \( V_{IN} \) vs. \( V_{OUT} \)) of a cascade of a large number of Z-modules (via an expression or sketch).
For N cascaded Z-modules, the voltage transfer characteristic is:

\[ V_{OUT} = \begin{cases} 
V_{IN}^{2N} & 0 \leq V_{IN}^{2N} \leq 2 \\
2 & V_{IN}^{2N} \geq 2 
\end{cases} \]

As \( N \to \infty \), the VTC looks like:

E. Is there any way by which many Z-modules can be used to build a 2-input AND gate whose noise margins are both greater than 0.75V? If so, sketch an approach (giving a diagram and calculating the noise margins). If not, give a brief but convincing explanation.

Hide Answer

It is possible to build an AND gate whose noise margins greater than 0.75V. Our strategy is to clean up both input signals independently before computing the logic function. A cascade of 5 Z-modules is enough to restore marginal signals, and the last Z-module on the right performs the AND function. The diagram looks like this:

Problem 7. Combinational construction rules

In lecture, we learned two basic principles regarding the class of combinational devices. The first allows us to build a combinational device from, e.g., electronic components:

- A **combinational device** is a circuit element that has
  - one or more digital **inputs**
  - one or more digital **outputs**
  - a **functional specification** that details the value of each output for every possible combination of valid input values
  - a **timing specification** consisting (at minimum) of an upper bound \( t_{pd} \) on the required time for the device to compute the specified output values from an arbitrary set of stable, valid input values.

while the second allows us to construct complex combinational devices from acyclic circuits containing simpler ones:
A set of interconnected elements is a combinational device if
- each circuit element is combinational
- every input is connected to exactly one output or to some vast supply of 0's and 1's
- the circuit contains no directed cycles

In this problem, we ask you to think carefully about why these rules work - in particular, why an acyclic circuit of combinational devices, constructed according to the second principle, is itself a combinational device as defined by the first. You may assume for the following that every input and output is a logical 0 or 1.

Consider the following 2-input acyclic circuit whose two components, A and B, are each combinational devices:

![Diagram of acyclic circuit with inputs x and y, and outputs a0, a1, b0, b1, and z.]

The propagation delay - the upper bound on the output settling time - for each device is specified in nanoseconds. The functional specifications for each component are given as truth tables detailing output values for each combination of inputs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a0</th>
<th>a1</th>
<th>A(a0,a1)</th>
<th>b0</th>
<th>b1</th>
<th>B(b0,b1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Give a truth table for the acyclic circuit, i.e. a table that specifies the value of z for each of the possible combinations of input values on x and y.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Describe a general procedure by which a truth table can be computed for each output of an arbitrary acyclic circuit containing only combinational components. [HINT: construct a functional specification to each circuit node].

Since each circuit node is connected to an output of some combinational element, the
functional specification for each circuit node is given by the functional specification for the combinational element which drives it. If we start with components that are only connected to inputs to the overall circuit, we can build truth tables for their outputs that only involve those inputs. We can then work on the next tier of components and build truth tables for their outputs that only involve overall circuit inputs. Continuing in this manner, we'll eventually reach components that drive the overall circuit outputs and be able to construct truth tables for each output that only involves overall circuit inputs.

In our example, we'd first build a truth table for the output of the A module and then use that table to build a table for Z:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Internal</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>A(x,y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpd</td>
<td>0ns</td>
<td>3ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Specify a propagation delay (the upper bound required for each combinational device) for the circuit.

The propagation delay for Z is 5ns.

D. Describe a general procedure by which a propagation delay can be computed for an arbitrary acyclic circuit containing only combinational components. [HINT: add a timing specification to each circuit node].

1. Label each INPUT to the circuit with tpd=0.
2. Repeatedly
   - Find a circuit element E whose input nodes are each labeled with a prop delay but whose output nodes are not.
   - Label each output node of E with the delay M, where M is the prop delay of E plus the MAXIMUM of the times on the input nodes.
   - When you can't find an unlabeled output node, stop.
3. The prop delay spec for the device is the MAX of the prop delay labels on the output nodes.

E. Do your general procedures for computing functional specifications and propagation delays work if the restriction to acyclic circuits is relaxed? Explain.

No. Without cycles, you're guaranteed to be able to find a new output node to label (i.e., the output of some element E whose inputs are already labeled) until the entire
circuit is labeled. If you have cycles, the algorithm breaks down. You can be left with a cycle of elements whose outputs are unlabeled and some of whose inputs are unlabeled.

**Problem 8.** If you are given the following 2-input and 2-output combinational block:

![Combination Block Diagram]

with the following functional description: The output $X$ is the logical complement of the input $A$, and the output $Y$ is the logical complement of the input $B$. And valid outputs are guaranteed after valid inputs have been established for 1 second.

A. Does this device adhere to the static discipline?

**Hide Answer**

Yes: there's an unambiguous functional specification for each output and a maximum propagation delay has been specified.

B. Suppose that the output $X$ is connected to the input $B$, what output would you expect?

**Hide Answer**

If you assume that the circuit was composed of two inverters (one with $A$ as its input, the other with $B$ as its input) then we would expect $Y = A$ after 2 second propagation delay.

However, there are other implementations that have the same functional specification. In particular, $X$ might be implemented with logic that uses both $A$ and $B$ as inputs. In this case, connecting $X$ to $B$ would create a cycle and the value of $Y$ might be undetermined.

C. Suppose the functional description was changed to the following: The output $X$ is a 1 if both $A$ and $B$ are "0", and $Y$ is a 1 if either $A$ or $B$ but not both are "1". Does this change the answer the previous question?

**Hide Answer**

No. Since $X$ and $Y$ are functions of both $A$ and $B$, it's more obvious that a cycle would be created when $X$ was connected to $B$. 