

XXI. LINGUISTICS

Academic and Research Staff

Prof. Joan W. Bresnan	Prof. R. Paul V. Kiparsky	Dr. Robert A. Freidin
Prof. Noam Chomsky	Prof. George A. Miller	Dr. Jane B. Grimshaw
Prof. Jerry A. Fodor	Prof. Wayne A. O'Neil	Dr. Justin Leiber
Prof. Merrill F. Garrett	Prof. David M. Perlmutter	Dr. Peter G. Peterson
Prof. Kenneth L. Hale	Prof. Krystyna Pomorska	Dr. Graham R. McKay
Prof. Morris Halle	Prof. John R. Ross	Dr. James H. Y. Tai
Prof. James Harris	Dr. Margaret R. Allen	Dr. Knut T. Taraldsen
Prof. S. Jay Keyser	Dr. Gerald P. Berent	Dr. Ellen M. Woolford

Graduate Students

Hope Anderson	Ann F. Lekach	Kenneth J. Safir
Joseph Aoun	Rochelle Lieber	Barry J. Schein
Mark R. Baltin	Marcia Linebarger	Jane H. Simpson
Hagit Borer	Alec P. Marantz	Todd Sjoblom
Luigi Burzio	John J. McCarthy III	Dominique Sportiche
Lauri Carlson	Karuvannar Mohanan	Donca Steriade
Leland M. George	David G. Nash	Timothy A. Stowell
Bruce P. Hays	Carol J. Neidle	Craig L. Thiersch
Randall Hendrick	Nicholas D. Ostler	Nathalie van Bockstaele
Cheng-Teh J. Huang	Yukio Otsu	Janet S. Wager
Robert J. Ingria	David Pesetsky	Amy S. Weinberg
Oswaldo A. Jaeggli	Janet M. Pierrehumbert	Moira J. Yip
Judy Ann Kegl	Anne Rochette	Maria L. Zubizarreta
	Joel Rotenberg	

National Institute of Mental Health (Grant 5 PO1 MH13390)

Morris Halle

The ultimate objective of our research is to gain a better understanding of man's mental capacities by studying the ways in which these capacities manifest themselves in language. Language is a particularly promising avenue because, on the one hand, it is an intellectual achievement that is accessible to all normal humans and, on the other hand, we have more detailed knowledge about language than about any other human activity involving man's mental capacities.

Scientific descriptions of languages have for a very long time followed a standard format. A number of topics are almost invariably discussed; for example, pronunciation, the inflection of words, word formation, the expression of syntactic relations, word order, and so forth. Moreover, the manner in which these have been treated has also been quite standard. While traditional grammars have many shortcomings, their great practical utility is beyond question; generations of students have acquired adequate command of innumerable languages with the help of grammars of the standard type. A plausible inference that might be drawn from this fact is that languages are somehow not very different from one another and the traditional standard format has succeeded

(XXI. LINGUISTICS)

in capturing essential aspects of what all languages share in common. Accordingly, much of the research of our group has been devoted to studying the common framework that underlies different languages, the general principles that are exemplified in the grammar of different languages. Results strongly indicate that this assumption is indeed correct as far as the linguistic evidence is concerned.

The preceding discussion leads quite naturally to the question, "What evidence from outside of linguistics might one adduce in favor of the hypothesis that all languages are constructed in accordance with a single plan, a single framework?" It seems to us that the most striking evidence in favor of the hypothesis is, on the one hand, the rapidity with which children master their mother tongue, and, on the other hand, the fact that even a young child's command of his mother tongue encompasses not only phrases and utterances he has heard but also an unlimited number of phrases and utterances he has not previously encountered. To account for these two sets of facts, we must assume that in learning a language a child makes correct inferences about the structural principles that govern his language on the basis of very limited exposure to the actual sentences and utterances. In other words, we must assume that with regard to matters of language a child is uniquely capable of jumping to the correct conclusions in the overwhelming majority of instances, and it is the task of the student of language to explain how this might be possible.

A possible explanation might run as follows. Assume that the human organism is constructed so that man is capable of discovering only selected facts about language and, moreover, that he is constrained to represent his discoveries in a very specific fashion from which certain fairly far-reaching inferences about the organization of other parts of the language would follow automatically. If this assumption is accepted, the next task is to advance specific proposals concerning the devices that might be actually at play. The obvious candidate is the theoretical framework of linguistics, for while it is logically conceivable that the structure of language might be quite distinct from that of the organism that is known to possess the ability to speak, it is much more plausible that this is not the case, that the structures that appear to underlie all languages reflect quite directly features of the human mind. To the extent that this hypothesis is correct – and there is considerable empirical evidence in its favor – the study of language is rightly regarded as an effort at mapping the mysteries of the human mind.

Additional detailed information on various projects connected with this research is available through inquiry to the department head, Dr. Samuel J. Keyser, Room 20D-105, Ext. 4141.