

**Problem 1** (a) After forming the augmented matrix and doing row reduction, the third row becomes  $[0 \ 0 \ 0 \ -1]$ , which corresponds to the equation  $0 = -1$ , so there is no solution.

(b) The same argument shows that in order for  $Ax = b$  to have a solution,  $b$  must satisfy  $b_3 = b_1 + b_2$ .

(c) If  $A$  were invertible, there would always be a solution  $Ax = b$ .

**Problem 2** (a)

$$A = LU = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) From  $U$  in part (a) we see that every column is a pivot column. The pivot columns from  $A$  are a basis for the column space:  $(2, 2, 0)$ ,  $(2, 5, 3)$ ,  $(1, 0, 2)$ . Since the rank is three, the column space is all of  $\mathbf{R}^3$ , so another basis would be the standard basis  $(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 0, 1)$ . In fact, any three independent vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  will do.

(c) The rank is three because there are three pivots.

**Problem 3** (a) This is an  $LU$  factorization. The  $U$  is the echelon form of  $A$ , so you can see that there are three pivots, so the rank of  $A$  is three.

(b) A basis for  $N(A)$  consists of the special solutions. These are  $(-1, -2, 1, 0, 0)$  and  $(-1, 1, 0, -1, 1)$ .

(c) A particular solution is  $(-30, -15, 0, 10, 0)$  so the complete solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -30 \\ -15 \\ 0 \\ 10 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_5 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Problem 4** (a) One basis would be  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(b) The subspace consisting of all multiples of  $A$  is a subspace which contains  $A$  but not  $B$ .

(c) True: If a subspace  $V$  contains  $A$  and  $B$ , then it contains  $A - B = I$ .

(d) Same answer as (b) will work.

**Problem 5** There are many different proofs. One is to say that if  $A^2 = 0$  then obviously  $A^2$  is not invertible. Therefore  $A$  isn't invertible, because the product of invertible matrices is invertible. I.e., if  $A$  were invertible, then  $A^2$  would be invertible.