# MODIFICATION OF THE ATMOSPHERIC SEMI-DIURNAL \* LUNAR TIDE BY OCEANIC AND SOLID EARTH TIDES

by

### ANTHONY HOLLINGSWORTH

### B.Sc., National University of Ireland (University College Cork) (1964)

### SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT

.

103

Ť

### OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE

### DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

#### at the

### MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

September, 1970 (i.e. Teb. 1971)

Signature of Author.				
September 1970				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Certified by				
Thesis Supervisor				
Accepted by				
Chairman Departmental Committee				
on Graduate Students				
Lindgren				
WILL STRAIN				
MASS. WEITEN DA				
SZIDT 23,1970)				
STANDARTES LINA				
MACHINE				
NNIV				

#### MODIFICATION OF THE ATMOSPHERIC SEMI-DIURNAL

### LUNAR TIDE BY OCEANIC AND SOLID EARTH TIDES

#### Anthony Hollingsworth

Submitted to the Department of Meteorology on September 1970 in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

#### ABSTRACT

The effects of the earth tide and the ocean tide on the semi-diurnal lunar tide in the atmosphere have been ignored in nearly all studies of this air tide. Elementary arguments show that these boundary effects are not trivial.

Using linear theory we calculated the combined effect of the lunar potential, the earth tide and the ocean tide on a realistic model atmosphere. Love's theory was used to represent the earth tide. Numerical calculation by Bogdanov and Magarik (1967) and by Pekeris and Accad (1969) were used to represent the ocean tide. Our results indicate that the ocean tide has a significant and probably a dominant effect on the lunar air tide. The ocean tide of Pekeris and Accad yielded results that agreed better with the observations.

We calculated the effect of a tide in a "small" or "point' ocean on the atmosphere and found that its effects were global. Hence differences between the observations and our calculations of the lunar air tide cannot easily be reduced by simple manipulation of the forcing function, the ocean tide, in the immediate vicinity of the places where discrepancies occur.

The forcing functions of the problem were represented as Fourier-Hough series, involving 232 Hough functions. The expansions of these Hough functions in terms of Associated Legendre Polynomials are presented in the Appendix.

-

Computations of the semi-diurnal lunar tidal winds at 98 km are presented and compared with observations.

.

Thesis Supervisor: Norman A. Phillips Title: Professor of Meteorology

## DEDICATION

Dom' Tuismuitheóirí

agus

Dom' Bhean Chéile

.

•

Brid

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere thanks to Professor Norman Phillips who suggested the problem and whose help, advice and encouragement, given unstintingly, made it possible for me to carry the work to completion.

I am grateful to Dr. Marvin Geller for some valuable discussions in the early stages of this work and also for the use of some of his programs and data.

My friends, Dr. James Sullivan, Robert Knox, and Peter Webster surely lent me their ears, and much else besides.

Dr. James Quin of Dublin, Professor Paddy Barry and Dr. Siobhan O'Shea of Cork, performed invaluable services in making it possible for me to come to M.I.T.

The chiefest of all my debts, however, is to my wife Breda. Her light-heartedness and spirit were a constant joy. And besides, she typed the bulk of this thesis!

Thanks are due to Mrs. Karen MacQueen for typing assistance and to Miss Isabelle Kole who drafted the figures. The calculations were performed at the M.I.T. Computation Center.

Finally, I gratefully acknowledge financial support from a Jonathan Whitney Fellowship administered by M.I.T.

in 1967-68, from the U.S. Air Force under Contract No. AF19(628)-5826 in 1968-69, and from the National Science Foundation under grant GA-402X in 1969-70.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### CHAPTER I

Introduction	8
Interpretation of the Data	10
An Earlier Study	15

### CHAPTER II

•

.

,

¢

.

Mathematical Theory	18
The Stability Profile	24
Hough Functions	28

.

### CHAPTER III

Motivation	33
Equilibrium Tide	33
Earth Tides	35
Ocean Tides	39
Treatment of the Data	44

### CHAPTER IV

•

APPENDIX

The Resonance Curve of the Model Atmosphere	53
Influence of a Small Ocean	57
Calculated Response when the Ocean Tide is Taken into Account	59
Tidal Winds	71
Summary and Conclusions	79
	82
	05

Biographical Sketch 11
------------------------

.

#### Chapter I

#### 1.1 Introduction

The study of the tides of the atmosphere is at least as old as Laplace and has been pursued with varying intensity since his time. There are several works available that give comprehensive reviews of the whole field among which one might mention Wilkes' book (1949) and the valuable review article by Siebert (1961), and most recently the book by Chapman and Lindzen (1970). We will be concerned here with purely gravitational tides.

The present study was prompted by the results of a study by Geller (1969, 1970). Geller was mainly concerned with the effect of the seasonal variations of the vertical temperature profile of the atmosphere on the phase of the lunar tide. He considered only the direct forcing by the lunar tidal potential and ignored vertical motions of the earth-atmosphere inter-The amplitudes he found at the equator were typically face. of the order of  $30 \mu b$  (1 $\mu b = 10^{6} \text{ bar} = 1 \text{ dyne/cm}^{2}$ ). Moreover, because of the fact that he considered only one mode of oscillation, these amplitudes were independent of longitude. A perusal of Fig. 1, taken from Haurwitz and Cowley (1970), shows that the amplitudes near the equator are somewhat greater than 30 and that the amplitudes do vary with longitude. Our purpose in this investigation is to study the



Fig. 1 Distribution of the amplitude of the semi-diurnal lunar air tide ( in , contour interval 10 , The amplitude maximum over Indonesia is 80 مله . From Haurwitz and Cowley (1970).

reasons for the discrepancy. Assuming, as we do, that Geller's model is a reasonable representation of the atmosphere the discrepancy suggests that there is some other mechanism exciting the atmosphere at the lunar semi-diurnal frequency. Since there is negligible heating at this frequency, Geller, and other writers, have suggested that the lunar semi-diurnal ocean tides and earth tides provide an energy input to the atmosphere. If only the earth tide is taken into account, it can be shown that the amplitudes Geller calculated should be multiplied by about 0.7, thus increasing the discrepancy and making a study of the effect of the ocean tide even more interesting.

### 1.2 Interpretation of the Data

In the following discussion potentials are defined so that  $\underline{F} = -\nabla \phi$  where  $\underline{F}$  is the force due to the potential. The net potential at a point due to the moon is given by

$$\begin{split} \bar{\bar{\Phi}} &= -\frac{3}{4} \frac{9}{E} \left(\frac{a}{D}\right)^3 \left(\frac{a+3}{\alpha}\right)^2 \left[ 3 \cos^2\left(\Delta - \frac{1}{3}\right) \left(\cos^2 \vartheta - \frac{1}{3}\right) \\ &+ \sin 2\Delta \cdot \sin 2\vartheta \cdot \cos\left(\alpha + \lambda\right) \\ &+ \sin^2 \Delta \cdot \sin^2 \vartheta \cdot \cos\left(\alpha + \lambda\right) \end{split}$$

where

g = acceleration of gravity M = mass of the moon E = mass of the earth

q	= mean radius of the earth
D	<pre>= distance between the centers of   the earth and the moon</pre>
z	= height above the surface of the earth
Δ, «	<pre>= north polar distance and the hour angle of the moon</pre>
ν, λ	= colatitude and longitude

 $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $\checkmark$  have complicated time variations; Doodson (1922) has performed the most extensive harmonic development of the lunar tidal potential. According to his computations the largest component  $\not\equiv$  of the lunar potential is given by

$$\overline{\Phi} = -0.90812 \left(\frac{a+3}{a}\right)^2 G \sin^2 \nu \cos 2(\tau + \lambda)$$
  
= -0.90812  $\left(\frac{a+3}{a}\right)^2 G \frac{\sqrt{15}}{4} P_2^2(\mu) \cos 2(\tau + \lambda)$  (1.2.1)

where

and  $P_{1}(\mu)$  is the fully normalized Associated Legendre Polynomial.  $\gamma$  in this expression increases by  $2\pi$  in 1 mean lunar day and hence this potential is periodic of period half a mean lunar day.

Throughout this thesis we shall mean by semi-diurnal lunar frequency that frequency whose period is half a mean lunar day. For the oceanic tide, this is called the " $\mathcal{H}_2$ " tide. [Geller (1969) ignored the numerical factor .90812 in this last expression.]

It is the response of the atmosphere to periodic forces at this frequency that we shall be discussing and this response is usually spoken of as the semi-diurnal lunar tide. Observations of the tide (often spoken of as determinations) have been made at 104 stations over the globe. The tide as seen in surface pressure is a phenomenon of very small amplitude and it is masked by much larger non-periodic events. Hence, it is no easy task to separate the tide from the noise. The method used to make most of the determinations now available is due to Chapman and Miller (1940). Chapman (Chapman and Lindzen (1970)) points out that this method is "truly harmonic." By this he means that it follows the practice of Doodson (1922) who perfected the method of harmonic analysis for sea-tide analysis.

Thus, the determinations of the semi-diurnal lunar tide in surface pressure are determinations of the regular variation of pressure with period half a lunar day. These determinations are not affected by events arising from such factors as variations in the moon's declination or distance from the earth.

It is worthwhile to consider the physical interpretation of the determinations of the lunar tide. Consider the pressure as observed by a barometer fixed to the ground and

moving vertically with it. Let  $\flat(t, 3)$  be the pressure at the barometer,  $\frac{\partial \flat}{\partial t} \Big|_{bar}$  be the time derivative following the

barometer and where the vertical velocity at the boundary.

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{p(t+\Delta t, z+\Delta z) - p(t, z)}{\Delta t}$$

$$= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{p(t+\Delta t, z+\Delta z) - p(t, z+\Delta z)}{\Delta t}$$

$$+ \frac{p(t, z+\Delta z) - p(t, z)}{\Delta t}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}\right)_{z} + \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial z}\right)_{t} \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta t}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}\right)_{z} + \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial z}\right)_{t} \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta t}$$

In our model we will assume that the pressure field is composed of a mean field  $\phi_{a}(y)$  with a small perturbation  $\phi'$ superimposed. Hence, to first order in the perturbations

Again

Then

$$\frac{dt}{dt} = \omega = \frac{\partial t}{\partial t} + \frac{u}{a(ao)} \frac{\partial t}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{v}{a} \frac{\partial t}{\partial o} + \frac{v}{av} \frac{\partial t}{\partial a}$$

and to first order

$$\omega = \frac{\partial b'}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial b_{00}}{\partial 3}.$$

But at the ground, i.e. at the lower boundary of the atmosphere

this being the kinematic boundary condition. Thus, to first order in the perturbations

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \omega$$
  
 $\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}$  lower boundary

and we see that the time derivative of the pressure as observed by a barometer fixed to the earth-atmosphere interface is, to first order, the same as the substantial derivative.

Before concluding this section we consider briefly the effect of tidal variations in gravity on barometric readings. Let  $\mathcal{C}_{Hg}$  denote the density of mercury,  $\mathcal{H}_{\zeta}$  the height of the column of mercury in a barometer,  $\overline{g}$  the standard value of gravity at a given place, g the actual value of gravity at the place,  $\beta_{obs}$  the pressure reported at a station,  $\beta_{true}$ the true pressure at the station,  $\mathcal{S}_{\beta}$  the variation of  $\beta$ due to the tide.  $\beta_{obs}$  is computed according to the formula

$$Pobs = e_{H_g} \overline{g} H_6$$

while Prive is given by

Let

 $q' = q - \overline{q}$ 

Then

$$p_{\text{true}} = \frac{g}{\overline{g}} p_{\text{obs}} = \left(1 + \frac{g'}{\overline{g}}\right) p_{\text{obs}}$$
to first order

Now

$$\delta p_{ovs} \sim 50 \mu b$$
  
 $p_{ovs} \sim 10^{6} \mu b$   
 $g' \sim \overline{E}/a \sim 4.10^{5} \text{ cm/sec}^{2}$ 

Thus

Hence, tidal variations in the force of gravity have negligible effect on determinations of the tide in surface pressure.

### 1.3 An Earlier Study

Some writers (Siebert 1961, Chapman, Pramanik and Topping 1931) have referred very briefly to the fact that motion of the earth and ocean could be a source of tidal

energy in the atmosphere. More recently Sawada (1965) made an approximate calculation of the effect. He calculated the effect of the semi-diurnal lunar tidal potential on an atmosphere with a realistic temperature structure above an ocean-covered globe. The ocean was of uniform depth and the ocean and atmosphere were coupled by the kinematic and dynamic boundary conditions at their interface. Problems such as this are most usefully discussed in terms of Hough functions. An ocean covering the entire globe has free oscillations of any given zonal wave number at the semidiurnal lunar frequency provided the depth of the ocean takes on one of a discrete set of values. The latitudinal structure of such a free mode is given in terms of the Only the latitudinally symmappropriate Hough function. etric modes are relevant in Sawada's problem. There are latitudinally symmetric free oscillations at this frequency and of zonal wave number two provided the depth of the ocean takes one of the values 7077m, 1849m, --- etc. The Hough function appropriate to these oscillations would be denoted by  $H_{1}^{2}$ ,  $H_{4}^{2}$  --- etc.

The semi-diurnal lunar potential can be written as a sum of these (symmetric) Hough functions multiplied by certain factors. Sawada considered separately the effect of the first two terms of this sum on his model ocean-atmosphere system. For the first term, that involving  $H_1^2$ , he found

that if the depth of the ocean were near 7077m the response to this part of the forcing became infinite, as one would expect. For depths away from this value the presence of the ocean had little effect on the phase of the atmospheric pressure oscillation but its presence did amplify the oscillation. The effect of the second term of the forcing function, that involving  $\mathbb{H}_{4}^{1}$ , was, in the presence of the ocean, somewhat different. For depths away from the critical depth of 1849m the ocean had little effect on the amplitude of the oscillation but it's presence changed the phase of the oscillation markedly.

These results were interesting but "the effect of limited oceans closely resembling those actually occurring on the earth remains to be discussed." Sawada did not examine the resonance behavior of the model atmosphere he used. This behavior depends on the value of the separation constant  $\beta$  (cf.§ 4.1),  $\frac{4 \cdot 3^2 \alpha^2}{3 \cdot k}$  in Sawada's notation, and so we cannot say whether the atmosphere he used was resonant near the value of k for which his model ocean was resonant.

#### Chapter 11

#### 2.1 Mathematical Theory

We will regard the lunar tidal motions as small perturbations about a mean state (Dickinson 1969). The mean state we choose is one in which the mean velocities are zero and we assume the perturbations to be small enough that linear theory is valid. The temperature in the mean state is assumed to vary only with height, and electro-magnetic and viscous effects are ignored. We will also assume that the perturbations are hydrostatic, that the atmosphere is of uniform composition, that "standard gravity" is a constant, and that the ellipticity of the earth is kinematically negligible (Lamb, 1932 § 214).

This model atmosphere differs from the mean state of the real atmosphere in a number of ways, particularly in the neglect of meridional temperature and velocity gradients. The effect of meridional temperature gradients has been examined by Chiu (1953) and Siebert (1957), while Sawada (1966) studied the effects of zonal winds with vertical shear. Their approximate results would indicate that the effects were generally small. (The full linear problem under these conditions is rather intractable as the equations are nonseparable.) The linearised equations of motion are (cf. Chapman and Lindzen, 1970)

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial E} - 2 \Omega \sin \theta v + \frac{1}{a \cos \theta} \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial \lambda} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + 2 \mathcal{R} \sin \theta u + \frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial \theta'}{\partial \theta} = 0 \qquad b$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha \cos \theta} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} V \cos \theta \right] + \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} - W = 0 \qquad (2.1.1)$$

$$\frac{d\bar{T}}{dz} + W\left[\frac{d\bar{T}}{dz} + \chi\bar{T}\right] = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} - RT = 0$$
 e

where  $u = \alpha \mod \lambda$ ,  $V = \alpha \varTheta, \lambda = 1$  ongitude,  $\theta = 1$  atitude,  $\alpha = mean radius of the earth, \dot{p} = pressure, \dot{p}_{\infty} = 1013.25 mb$ , mean sea level pressure,  $Z = -ln \dot{p}/p_{\infty}$ ,  $W = \frac{dZ}{dt}$ ,  $\theta = g_3 =$   $= standard geopotential, \dot{p} = 1 unar potential, <math>\theta' = \theta + \dot{p}$ ,  $\bar{T} = \bar{T}(z)$ , the temperature of the undisturbed atmosphere, T = perturbation temperature,  $X = R/c_p$ , R = gas constant for air,  $C_p$  = specific heat of air at constant pressure. We have ignored all heating effects. Since  $\frac{\Delta p}{\partial g} \ll g$  we have also made the approximation  $\overline{D} \overline{D}$ 

$$\frac{\Phi}{\Sigma} = 0$$

as indeed has already been assumed in the standard development leading to (1.2.1). The lower boundary condition is

$$W_{Z=0}^{i} = -\frac{1}{R^{2}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi' - \Phi) - g \omega \right]_{Z=0}^{i} \qquad (2.1.1f)$$

а

d

where  $\omega$  is the vertical velocity of the lower boundary.

If we assume solutions of the form

$$\left\{f(\theta, z, \lambda, t)\right\} = R_{1} e^{i\sigma t} \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{f(\theta, z)\right\}_{\ell} e^{i\ell\lambda}$$
(2.1.2)

and if we write  $\mu = S \cap \theta$  the equations become

- $i \sigma U_{\ell} 2 \mathcal{D}_{\mu} V_{\ell} + \frac{i\ell}{a(1-\mu^2)^2} q_{\ell}' = 0$
- $i\sigma V_{1} + 2 \mathcal{D}_{\mu} U_{\ell} + \frac{(1-\mu^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a} \frac{\partial \phi_{\ell}}{\partial \mu} = 0 \qquad b$
- $\frac{i\ell}{\alpha(1-\mu)^{2}} U_{\ell} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} V_{\ell} (1-\mu^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\partial W_{\ell}}{\partial 2} W_{\ell} = 0$

$$i\sigma T_e + W_e \left(\frac{dT}{dZ} + \chi T\right) = 0$$

 $\frac{\partial q_e}{\partial z} = RT_e e$ 

$$W_{e}|_{Z=0} = -\frac{1}{RT} \left\{ i\sigma \left( \dot{\varphi}_{e} - \dot{\Phi}_{e} \right) - g_{we} \right\}|_{Z=0} \qquad f$$

Solving the horizontal momentum equations for  $U_{\ell}$ ,  $V_{\ell}$  and substituting these expressions in the continuity equation we get

$$U_{\ell} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2} - 4 \mathcal{D}^{2} u^{2}} \left\{ \frac{-\ell \sigma^{2}}{\alpha (1 - u^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \varphi_{\ell}^{\ell} + \frac{2 \mathcal{D} u (1 - u^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \psi_{\ell}^{\ell}}{\partial u} \right\}$$

$$(2.1.4)$$

а

С

d

$$V_{e} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2} - 4 \sqrt{2} \mu^{2}} \left\{ \frac{-2 \sqrt{\mu i L}}{\alpha (1 - \mu^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \phi_{e}^{\prime} + \frac{i \sigma (1 - \mu^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\alpha} \frac{\partial \phi_{e}^{\prime}}{\partial \mu} \right\} b$$

and

$$i \sigma F(\varphi_{\ell}) + (2 \Omega a)^{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial W_{\ell}}{\partial Z} - W_{\ell} \right\} = 0$$
 (2.1.5)

where  ${\sf F}$  is the so-called Hough operator:

$$F = \frac{d}{d\mu} \frac{1-\mu^2}{f^2 - \mu^2} \frac{d}{d\mu} - \frac{1}{f^2 - \mu^2} \left\{ \frac{\ell}{f} \frac{f^2 + \mu^2}{f^2 - \mu^2} + \frac{\ell^2}{1 - \mu^2} \right\}$$
(2.1.6)

where

$$f = \frac{\sigma}{2\Omega}$$

is the non-dimensional frequency.

Eliminating  $\mathcal{T}_{\ell}$  from the hydrostatic and thermodynamic relations we get a second relation between  $\varphi'_{\ell}$  and  $W_{\ell}$  viz.

$$R^{T}i\sigma \frac{\partial q_{\ell}}{\partial z} + W_{\ell} \left(\frac{d\bar{T}}{dz} + \chi \bar{T}\right) = 0 \qquad (2.1.7)$$

As discussed in §2.3 the operator F has eigenfunctions, known as Hough functions, denoted by  $\#_n^\ell(\mu)$ . Thus

$$F(H_{n}^{\ell}(\mu)) = -\beta_{n}^{\ell}H_{n}^{\ell}(\mu)$$
 (2.1.8)

where  $\beta_n^{\ell}$  is the eigenvalue associated with  $H'_n(\mu)$ . The

 $H_n^{\ell}(\mu)$  are orthogonal and are presumed complete. Let us now develop  $W_{\ell}$ ,  $\ell_{\ell}$ ,  $\Xi_{\ell}$  and  $M_{\ell}$  as series of Hough functions:

$$\varphi'_{2}(\mu, z) = \sum_{n=|\ell|}^{\infty} \varphi'_{n}^{\ell}(z) H_{n}^{\ell}(\mu)$$
 a

$$W_{\ell}(\mu, z) = \sum_{n=[\ell]}^{\infty} W_{n}^{\ell}(z) H_{n}^{\ell}(\mu)$$
 b  
(2.1.9)

$$\overline{\Phi}_{\ell}(\mu, Z) = \sum_{n=|\ell|}^{\infty} \overline{\Phi}_{n}^{\ell}(Z) H_{n}^{\ell}(\mu)$$

$$w_{\ell}(\mu, z) = \sum_{n=l\ell}^{\infty} w_{n}^{\ell}(z) H_{n}^{\ell}(\mu) d$$

and let us substitute these series in our equations. From (2.1.5) we have

$$\varphi_n^{\prime \prime} = \frac{1}{i\sigma} \frac{(2 \ln a)^2}{\beta_n^{\prime \prime}} \left( \frac{\partial W_n^{\prime}}{\partial Z} - W_n^{\prime} \right), \qquad (2.1.10)$$

and this, together with (2.1.7) implies

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} W_n^{\ell} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} W_n^{\ell} + \frac{\beta_n^{\ell} R}{(2 \mathcal{A}_n)^2} \left( \frac{d\bar{T}}{dz} + \chi \bar{T} \right) W_n^{\ell} = 0. \qquad (2.1.11)$$

The lower boundary condition takes the form

$$W_{n}^{l}_{Z=0} = -\frac{1}{RT} \left[ i\sigma \left\{ \frac{(2.1.a)^{2}}{i\sigma \beta_{n}^{l}} \left( \frac{\partial W_{n}^{l}}{\partial Z} - W_{n}^{l} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right\} - g_{M_{n}^{l}} \right]_{Z=0}$$
(2.1.12)

If we make the substitution

$$W_n^{\ell}(z) = \ell^{2/2} Y_n^{\ell}(z)$$
 (2.1.13)

С

then (2.1.11) reduces to

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2} Y_n^{\ell} + \left[ -\frac{1}{4} + \beta_n^{\ell} S \right] Y_n^{\ell} = 0. \qquad (2.1.14)$$

Here S = S(z) represents the static stability of the atmosphere:

$$S = \frac{g}{(2 Da)^2} \left[ \frac{d\bar{H}}{dZ} + \chi \bar{H} \right] = \frac{\bar{H}^2 N^2}{4 D^2 a^2}$$

where N is the Brunt-Väisälä frequency and  $\overline{H} = R\overline{\tau}/g$ . The lower boundary condition becomes

$$\frac{d}{dz}Y_{n}^{\ell} + \left(\frac{H}{R_{n}} - \frac{1}{2}\right)Y_{n}^{\ell} = \frac{c\sigma \overline{F}_{n}^{\ell} + g w_{n}^{\ell}}{g h_{n}^{\ell}}, \qquad (2.1.15)$$

where  $h_{M}^{\ell}$  is the "equivalent depth" (Taylor, 1936):

$$h_n^l = \frac{(2Na)^2}{9 \beta_n^l}$$

Within this theoretical framework the steps involved in calculating the effect of a forcing potential or of a known vertical oscillation of the bottom boundary are as follows: One first must calculate the appropriate Hough functions and their eigenvalues in order to make the expansions (2.1.9). Knowing S one then solves the vertical equation (2.1.14)for each mode subject to the lower boundary condition (2.1.15) and an upper boundary condition to be discussed

## shortly (§ 2.2).

### 2.2 The Stability Profile

The profile for S(=), the stability function, (see Fig. 2) used herein is the "mean annual" profile prepared by Geller (1969). Geller constructed this profile as follows. The profile between 30 km and 100 km was calculated from the temperature profile of the 1965 CIRA mean atmosphere. The lower part of the profile was calculated by averaging temperatures collected in a five-year study by the Planetary Circulations Project under Professor V. Starr at M.I.T. These temperatures were first time-averaged for the five years and then averaged with respect to area over the Northern Hemisphere. Above 100 km the CIRA atmosphere was approximated by a straight line

> S = aZ + 6a = 0.0298256 = -0.41222

The upper boundary condition is that at high levels in the atmosphere the solutions correspond to waves whose energy is propagating away from the center of the earth, the socalled "radiation condition". Following Geller (1970) we





note that with

$$S = aZ+6$$

and

$$x = -\frac{1}{(\beta a)^{\frac{2}{3}}} \left[ \beta(aZ+6) - \frac{1}{4} \right]$$

The solutions of

$$\frac{d^2y}{dz^2} + \left[\beta(az+6) - \frac{1}{4}\right]Y = 0$$

are the Airy functions  $A_i(x)$ , and  $B_i(x)$ . For X < oand |x| >> 0 these behave as

$$A_{i}(x) \sim \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}|x|^{\frac{1}{4}}} Sin(g + \frac{\pi}{4})$$

where

$$\int = \frac{2}{3} |x|^{3/2}.$$

At large negative X (large positive Z ), then,

$$B_i(x) + i A_i(x) \sim \frac{1}{\pi \frac{1}{2} |x|^4} e_s(p : \{\frac{2}{3} |x|^{3/2} + \frac{\pi}{4}\}.$$
 (2.2.2)

The time dependence of these solutions is  $e^{i\sigma t}$ and hence in this region the solutions are proportional to

$$expi \left\{ \frac{2}{3} |x|^{32} + \sigma t \right\}.$$

The phase  $\Psi$  is

$$\psi = \frac{2}{3} |x|^{3/2} + \sigma t$$
.

To keep  $\Psi$  constant as E increases |x| must decrease. Hence the phase velocity is in the direction of increasing

 $\chi$  or, equivalently, decreasing Z. Thus the phase velocity of the waves (2.2.2) is downwards and by standard theorems the group velocity of the wave is directed upwards.

Alternatively, we may use Wilkes' (1949) conclusion that the radiation condition is equivalent to

$$\int \left( Y^* \frac{dY}{dZ} \right) > 0 \qquad (2.2.3)$$

In terms of our  $\chi$  this is

$$f_m(Y^* \frac{dY}{dx}) < 0$$

For  $Y = K[B_i(x) + iA_i(x)]$ , K real, we find

$$\int_{m}(y^{*}\frac{dy}{dx}) = -k^{*}W(A_{i}(x), B_{i}(x)) = -f_{i}^{*}$$

so that the combination (2.2.2) is indeed the proper solution in the thermosphere. Our model thermosphere begins at

Z = Ztop = 14.8

and at this level we require the solution in the lower region and its first derivative to be continuous to a solution of the form

$$K\left[B_{i}(x)+iA_{i}(x)\right]$$

and its first derivative where K is a constant.

Following Geller still the two conditions on the function and its derivative may be rewritten, so as to eliminate  ${\cal K}$  , in the form

$$\frac{d}{dz} \operatorname{RL} Y_{n}^{\ell} = a_{n}^{\ell} \operatorname{RL} Y_{n}^{\ell} + b_{n}^{\ell} \operatorname{Im} Y_{n}^{\ell} z = 2 \operatorname{Gp} \qquad (2.2.4)$$

$$\frac{d}{dz} \int_m Y_n^l = - b_n^l R_l Y_n^l + a_n^l \int_m Y_n^l + z_{-}^2 z_{-} p_{-}^l$$

where

$$a_{n}^{\ell} = -(a \beta_{n}^{\ell})^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[ \frac{B_{i}(x_{n}^{\ell}) B_{i}^{\ell}(x_{n}^{\ell}) + A_{i}(x_{n}^{\ell}) A_{i}^{\ell}(x_{n}^{\ell})}{A_{i}^{2}(x_{n}^{\ell}) + B_{i}^{2}(x_{n}^{\ell})} \right]$$
  
$$b_{n}^{\ell} = -(a \beta_{n}^{\ell})^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[ \frac{A_{i}(x_{n}^{\ell}) B_{i}^{\ell}(x_{n}^{\ell}) - B_{i}(x_{n}^{\ell}) A_{i}^{\ell}(x_{n}^{\ell})}{A_{i}^{2}(x_{n}^{\ell}) + B_{i}^{2}(x_{n}^{\ell})} \right]$$

with

$$x_{n}^{e} = -\frac{1}{(a \beta^{e}_{n})^{3}} \left[ \beta^{e}_{n} (a Z_{6p} + 6) - \frac{1}{4} \right].$$

Given a knowledge of S,  $a'_n$ ,  $b'_n$  the equation (2.1.14) can be solved, subject to the boundary conditions (2.1.15), (2.2.4), using exactly the same method used by Geller. The equations were written out in their real and imaginary parts and cast into finite difference form, giving a set of simultaneous linear equations. These were solved using an IBMsupplied routine GELB which is suitable for solving bandstructured matrices. The interval  $\Delta \ge$  was 0.1, corresponding roughly to  $A_3 = 0.8$  km. The reader is referred to Geller (1969) for further details.

### 2.3 Hough Functions

Hough functions are defined as solutions of the eigen-

value problem

$$\frac{d}{d\mu}\left(\frac{1-\mu^2}{f^2-\mu^2}\frac{d\Psi}{d\mu}\right) - \frac{1}{f^2-\mu^2}\left(\frac{\ell^2}{1-\mu^2} + \frac{\ell}{f}\frac{f^2+\mu^2}{f^2-\mu^2}\right)\Psi = -\beta\Psi \quad (2.3.1)$$

for  $\mathcal{M} \in [-1, 1]$  where  $\mathcal{L}$  is an integer and the boundary conditions are that  $\Psi$  be finite at  $\pm 1$ .

This equation was first treated by Laplace (1775, 1776) and it is known as Laplace's tidal equation. Margules (1893) and Hough (1897, 1898) presented solutions of the equation and Hough (1898) presented asymptotic solutions valid for

 $\beta$  small and positive. Longuet-Higgins (1968) has extended the analysis to all values of  $\beta$  while Flattery (1967), using Hough's methods, presents some computations of the Hough functions for different values of  $\beta$  and  $\ell$ .

We are interested in solutions for the semi-diurnal lunar frequency i.e. for

# f = 0.963499

and for  $\ell$  a positive or negative integer. Longuet-Higgins showed that for fixed  $\ell$  and |f| < 1 the problem has two families of eigenfunctions; for one family the eigenvalues have an accumulation point at  $+\infty$  and for the other family the accumulation point is at  $-\infty$ . For the second family he derived relatively simple asymptotic expressions in the limit  $|f| \rightarrow 1$ . In this limit the eigenfunctions assumed a boundary layer character, being of appreciable size only near one of the poles and decaying exponentially towards the equator beyond a certain turning point co-latitude,  $v_t$ . This turning co-latitude in the Northern Hemisphere is given by (cf. his equation 11.6)

where

$$-\frac{i}{4} + \frac{k}{\chi'} - \frac{4m^{2}-1}{4\chi'^{2}} = 0 \qquad (2.3.1)$$

$$\chi' = (-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi^{2}$$

$$\psi = \text{co-latitude in the Northern Hemisphere}$$

$$k = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ l(-1) + (2\nu+1) \right\}$$

$$2m = l(-1)$$

$$\ell = \text{zonal wave number}$$

$$\nu = \text{any positive integer}$$

$$\beta = \text{eigenvalue in question}$$

The asymptotic relationship between the frequency and the eigenvalue is then

$$f = 1 - \frac{|l-1| + (2\nu+1)}{(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{\beta}) \quad (2.3.2)$$

Taking the value for  $\oint$  appropriate to the lunar semidiurnal tide it is easy to show that no matter which mode (i.e. value of  $\nu$ ) is chosen

$$\mathcal{V}_{t} \leq 17.1^{\circ}$$

Beyond this latitude the eigensolutions decay as

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{V}^2}$$

Since  $1-\frac{1}{5}$  is small it is clear from (2.3.2) that  $(-\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is large (>80). By latitude 60N these functions have decayed to insignificance. Exactly similar arguments apply to the Southern Hemisphere.

Since the calculations of the ocean tide that we used did not extend much beyond 60N or 60S it was decided on the grounds of the arguments just presented, to ignore the modes having negative eigenvalues. These modes are insignificant in this problem because the non-dimensional frequency is so close to unity. For lower frequencies, however, such as the diurnal tide, they become very important (cf. Lindzen 1967).

Sets of Hough functions were calculated for twenty nine wave numbers  $\ell$ 

### -14 5 L 5 14

For each zonal wave number eight Hough functions were calculated, the four gravest symmetric and the four gravest anti-symmetric modes. The only exception was the case of

 $\mathcal{L}_{=}o$ . In this case there is a certain degeneracy in that the gravest symmetric mode is a constant and has eigenvalue  $\beta = o$ . This mode was therefore excluded and the next four lowest symmetric modes were computed. The numerical method used was that of Flattery (1967). It is a slight modification of Hough's original method, in which the eigenvalues are found as the zeros of certain continued fractions. The Hough functions are expressed as sums of Associated Legendre Polynomials and a knowledge of the eigenvalue enables one to compute the coefficients in these sums. The eigenvalues and coefficients are presented in the Appendix.

#### Chapter III

#### 3.1 Motivation

As mentioned in the introduction this study was prompted by Geller's (1970) work where he found that the maximum surface response to the lunar tidal forcing to be about 30 while the observed tide has a maximum amplitude of 90 . It seemed clear that some additional forcing must be acting. There is negligible heating at the lunar semidiurnal frequency so the next matter to be investigated is the tidal oscillation of the lower boundary of the atmosphere. If we look at the lower boundary condition (2.1.15) and put  $w'_n = i \sigma g'_n$  where  $g'_n$  is the amplitude of the vertical excursion then we see that if  $g'_n \sim \frac{\overline{g'_n}}{\sqrt{n}}$  $\sim$  20 cm the effect of the vertical oscillation of the lower surface is just as important as the effect of the forcing potential. The oscillation of the lower boundary of the atmosphere has two components, one due to the earth tide over land and the other due to the combined effect of the earth and ocean tides over the ocean.

#### 3.2 Equilibrium Tide

A concept much used in discussions of tides is the notion of an equilibrium tide. Suppose a thin ocean completely covered the globe, and suppose a gravitating body like the moon or sun remained in the same position relative to the earth and exerted a potential on the earth. Then the surface of the water would adopt a position where it coincided with an equipotential of the combined potential fields of the earth and the heavenly body. Elementary theory then shows that if  $\varsigma$  is the deviation of the free surface from its position in the absence of any perturbation then

$$\xi = - \bar{\Psi}_{g}$$
 (3.2.3)

where  $\oint$  is the potential of the disturbing body.

Suppose the lunar ocean tide were an equilibrium tide in the sense that the surface deviation is given by (3.2.3). From our lower boundary condition (2.1.15) we then have

$$w_n = i\sigma g_n^l = -i\sigma \overline{f}_{n/q}^l$$

and thus

$$i \sigma \hat{\Phi}_n + g w_n = 0$$

The forcing term in our problem now vanishes and the only solution for  $\bigvee_{n}^{\ell}(\mathbb{Z})$  is the trivial one

$$Y_n^{\ell}(z) \equiv 0$$

At Z=0 we have

$$Y_n^l(z) = \frac{\omega_n^l(0)}{p_{00}}$$

and so if the deviation of surface height were given by (3.2.3) then a barometer moving up and down with the ocean

surface would observe no tidal pressure change. The same argument would apply to the earth's surface in the absence of an ocean if the earth tide were an equilibrium tide. We therefore conclude that (within the framework of the standard linear analysis) the observed atmospheric lunar tidal oscillation depends on the extent to which the air-ocean and air-land interfaces deviate from an equilibrium tide.

### 3.3 Earth Tides

Until recently relatively little was known about the world wide distribution of the earth tide. Data have been accumulated rapidly during the last twenty years or so and now a fair deal is known about the phenomenon.

The free modes of oscillation of the earth have frequencies of the order of about an hour at most (Press, 1962). Hence, one would expect that static theory would be a good approximation in the study of the phenomenon. Love (1911) developed a theory based on this approximation. According to this theory, if  $\Phi$  is the disturbing potential then the potential  $\Phi_1$  at the surface of the earth due to the deformation caused by  $\Phi$  is given by  $\Phi_1 = k \Phi$  where k is a constant; and the elevation  $\zeta$  of the surface of the earth caused by these two potentials acting together is given by

$$g = -\frac{h\overline{E}}{g}$$
  
where  $h$  is another constant.

Various observable effects of the earth tide, such as the variation of the local vertical with respect to the earth's axis, can be described within this theory by simple combination of k, k and two other numbers; these numbers are known collectively as Love's numbers. Melchior (1966) gives an up to date discussion of the field.

It appears from observations that Love's theory gives a good approximation to the phenomenon. The observed phase lags of the earth tides are never more than 10 minutes; their theoretical phase lag should be zero. The distribution of the amplitude is less well behaved but nonetheless is such that Love's theory still provides a very useful framework in which to organize the results. The Love numbers can also be calculated from observations of the Chandler wobble and of changes in the rate of the earth's rotation. Considering these various sources of information Melchior gives the following values for k and k as the most satisfactory to date

k = 0.290k = 0.584

Let us now suppose that there are no oceans on the earth and that the earth tide responds according to Love's theory. Then we see that our lower boundary condition assumes the form

$$\frac{dY_n^{l}}{dz} + \left(\frac{H}{h_n} - \frac{1}{2}\right)Y_n^{l} = \frac{i\sigma(1+k)\Phi_n - i\sigma gh\Phi_{n/g}}{ghn}$$
$$= \frac{i\sigma(1+k-h)\overline{\Psi}_{n}}{gh_{n}}$$

Thus we see that our forcing term is reduced to about 70% of what it was when the earth was assumed rigid. We carried out this computation, using four Hough functions to represent the forcing potential ( see Appendix for the expansion of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}^{2}$  as a sum of Hough functions); (Geller used only one term of this expansion for his forcing; and also ignored the numerical coefficient .90812 in equation (1.2.1) for the tidal potential.) The amplitude of the response was of course independent of longitude. Fig. 3 shows the latitudinal variation of the amplitude. (The minor "wiggles" at the equator may be due to the truncated representation of  $P_{\lambda}^2$  by only 4 Hough functions, and the details at very high latitudes may be changed slightly if the functions with negative  $\beta$  had been included.) The amplitude near the equator is 🔦 28 المبر . Had we considered the earth rigid this amplitude would be  $\sim$  40, due. These amplitudes are the amplitudes that a barometer fixed to the moving surface of the earth would see. This reduction of the amplitude when one takes the earth tides into account makes it even more interesting to take the ocean tides into consideration.



· .

### 3.4 Ocean Tides

The phenomena of ocean tides and earth tides are closely inter-related (Kuo et al., 1970, Hendershott and Munk 1970) and ultimately they must be treated as two aspects of the same problem. This task has not been undertaken as yet. All published calculations of tides in the open ocean have regarded the ocean bottom as fixed relative to the center of the earth.

Even with the simplification of ignoring the effect of the earth tides the problem of calculating the tides in the open ocean is arduous. The first attempts to deduce the character of the tides in the open oceans were based on deductions from coastal tide-gauge data. Until quite recently, with the development of sensitive pressure sensors which can be placed on the ocean floor, no observations had been made of the tides in the deep ocean. Tide guage data is not a very reliable guide to the behavior of the tide in adjacent regions of the ocean because a multiplicity of local effects complicate the water motions near coasts. Despite this difficulty some authors have prepared co-tidal charts for various ocean basins, based on coastal data and the theory of flow in various idealised ocean The classic paper is that of Dietrich (1944) who basins. presented co-tidal charts for two diurnal tides as well

as for the lunar and solar semi-diurnal tides. However, no attempt was made to deduce the amplitudes of these tides on a global basis.

The accumulation of observations of the tides in the deep ocean will be slow and expensive. Meanwhile research on the problem is advancing rapidly on a third front, namely solving the tidal equations for realistic geometries using electronic computers. A good survey of the work done in this field is presented by Hendershott and Munk (1970). At the time our work was undertaken, two separate calculations of the semi-diurnal lunar tide in the world ocean were available to us, one due to Pekeris and Accad (1969) (denoted by P & A), Fig. 4, and the other due to Bogdanov and Magarik (1967) (denoted by B & M), Fig. 5.

Boganov and Magarik solved Laplace's tidal equations in a model of the world-ocean basin with the boundary condition that the amplitude at coasts and islands take on specified values; these values being taken from tide-guage data. Pekeris and Accad solved the tidal equations in a more detailed representation of the world-ocean basin than B & M, using the boundary condition of zero normal velocity at coasts.

Neither of these solutions are altogether satisfactory. The treatment of dissipative processes is very simplified in P & A and there is no dissipation in the model of B & M.



Fig. 4. Computation of Maccean tide by Pekeris and Accad (1969). Isophase lines denoted by (--). Isoamplitude lines denoted by (--). Amplitudes given in meters, phases in mean lunar hours. Convention for the phase:  $l_{2}$  Cor( $\sigma t - \epsilon$ )



Fig. 5. Computation of  $M_2$  ocean tide by Bogdanov and Magarik (1967). Isophase lines denoted by (---) . Amplitudes given in cm, phases in degrees. Convention for the phase  $\epsilon$  is  $L_2 Cor(\epsilon)$ .

In P & A the results are very sensitive to apparently small changes in the shape of the boundaries. We may quote Hendershott and Munk (1970): "The results suggest that one or more normal modes of the world ocean lie close to the driving frequency. This means that numerical models of the global tides are much more sensitive to details of discretization---than one would have expected."

It was felt that if one were to take account of the ocean tides in this study one should also take the earth tide into account. It is a very difficult problem to correctly take both effects into account so the following crude approximation was adopted. Over land it was assumed that the vertical displacement  $\xi$  at the bottom of the atmosphere was given by the Love theory earth tide,  $\xi_{\rm E}$ :

Over the ocean  $\chi$  was given by

where  $\xi_{o}$  represents the ocean tide solutions by B & M or P & A.

Finally, for completeness, the effect of the additional . potential due to the ocean tide itself was taken into account. Suppose { is the surface elevation of the ocean. Let

$$g = R \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=l}^{\infty} C_r^l P_r(\mu) e^{il\lambda} e^{i\sigma t} \right\}$$
(3.4.1)

Then the potential  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$  due to this deformation is given by

$$\overline{\Phi}_{g} = k \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\Gamma=[k]}^{\infty} C_{\Gamma}^{k} \frac{3\ell_{\pi}^{q}}{\ell_{0}(2\Gamma+1)} P_{\Gamma}^{k}(\mu) e^{i \ell_{\pi}} e^{i \sigma t} \right\}$$
(3.4.2)

where  $\boldsymbol{\ell}$  is the density of the water and  $\boldsymbol{\ell}_o$  is essentially the mean density of the earth (Hough 1898).

### 3.5 Treatment of the Data

The expansions of the Hough functions in terms of Associated Legendre Polynomials that are presented in the Appendix were prepared using the method of Hough (1897, 1898) as presented by Flattery (1967). Standard methods of evaluating the Associated Legendre Polynomials were used in conjunction with these expansions to evaluate the Hough functions (and their derivatives) at intervals of ten degrees in latitude. We now had to calculate the expansions (2.1.9), the Fourier-Hough expansions, for the ocean tidal elevation calculated by P & A and B & M.

B & M and P & A calculated the sea surface height in the form

$$g = a(\theta, \lambda) \cos(\sigma t - \epsilon(\theta, \lambda))$$

and they presented their results as maps of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ . From these maps we read values of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  at intervals of ten degrees in latitude and longitude. We denote such a point value of  $\chi$  (or  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ) by

 $\xi_{mn} = \xi(e_m, \lambda_n)$ 

where

$$\Theta_{\rm m} = \frac{T}{2} - \frac{T}{18} \, {\rm m} \qquad 0 \le {\rm m} \le 18$$

$$\lambda_n = \frac{n\pi}{18} \qquad 0 \le n < 36$$

 $\xi_{mn}$  was written in the form

where

For each latitude circle (i.e. for each m) a complex Fourier analysis of  $A_{mn}$  was performed, the Fourier coefficients being denoted by  $\pi_{lm}$ . A second quantity

> § F S mn

was calculated for each point by summing the Fourier series. Thus

These sums were calculated for three values of s, S = 6,9,14A correlation coefficient  $\Gamma$  between g and  $g^F$  was calculated as follows

$$F = \frac{\langle \Xi_{m} \Xi_{n} S_{mn} S_{mn}^{F} Cop \theta_{m} \rangle}{\left[ \langle \Xi_{m} \Xi_{n} S_{mn}^{L} Cop \theta_{m} \rangle \langle \Xi_{m} \Sigma_{n} (S_{mn}^{F})^{2} Cop \theta_{m} \rangle \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

where  $\langle \rangle$  indicates an average over one period. The values of  $\overline{r}$  are shown in Table 3.5.1.

5	P	ξA	ВĘМ
6	. 8	968	.9074
9	. 9	513	.9516
14	. 98	844	.9835
Table : and gr	3.5.1 Correlation for three values	coefficient of <b>S.</b>	s between §

The latitudinal behavior of the coefficients *CL*M (Ifixed) now had to be expressed by expansions in sums of Hough functions. Since the Hough functions are real, the real and imaginary parts of *CLm* could be handled separately. For each I we had a set of nineteen numbers, RI Kem on Im Kem to be represented in a least squares sense by a sum of eight Hough functions. This number, eight, was chosen as a compromise between the desire for accuracy and the cost of calculating the expansions in the Appendix. This problem in the method of least squares is well known, (Hildebrand To solve it one must solve the so-called normal 1956). equations. Two IBM supplied routines APFS and APLL (IBM 1968) were used to set up and solve these equations, using the values of the Hough functions already calculated as data. The solutions yielded the coefficients  $\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma}^{\prime}$  in the expression

$$c_{em} \sim \sum_{r=1,lj}^{j,l+7} \mathcal{L}_r^l H_r^l(\mu_m)$$

The Fourier-Hough expansions were then summed for each point to yield a new quantity  $\xi_{mn}^{FH}$  given by\*

$$g_{mn}^{FH} = Rl e^{i\sigma t} \stackrel{s}{\underset{l=-s}{\overset{il}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\overset{l=1}{\underset{r=1el}{\underset{r=1e}{\underset{r=1el}{\underset{r=1el}{\underset{r=1e}{r=1e}{\underset{r=1e}{\underset{r=1e}{\underset{r=1e}{\underset{$$

A correlation coefficient between  $\xi$  and  $\xi^{F*}$  was calculated according to a formula similar to that given above. The results are shown in Table 3.5.2

S	РĘА	В & М
6	.8503	.8538
9	.9011	.8908
14	.9301	.9146

Table 3.5.2 Correlation coefficients between  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^{F#}$  for three values of  $\varsigma$ .

It was felt that taking 14 wavenumbers was sufficient since with such a representation one accounted for over 80% of the variance of the functions being fitted. Expressions

\*  $H_o^{\circ}(\omega)$  is a constant  $(=2^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  and the term in  $H_o^{\circ}(\omega)$ represents a purely radial oscillation of the lower boundary of the atmosphere. Such an oscillation is physically impossible and so this term must be excluded. In order to use eight Hough functions for each sum on r the limits on this sum in the case  $\ell = \circ$  were  $|\ell|+1$  to  $|\ell|+8$ . of the form (3.5.1) with S = 14 were used in all further calculations involving the ocean tidal elevation.

The amplitude and phase of  $g^{FH}$  for P & A and B & M are plotted in Figs. 6 and 7 respectively. (Note that in these figures the solid lines are lines of equal amplitude and the dashed lines are lines of equal phase.) The coefficients  $\mathcal{M}_{F}$  in the expansion of the vertical velocity at the lower boundary are then given by

$$w_r^l = i\sigma \cdot b_r$$

The expansion of the lunar tidal potential as a Fourier-Hough series was straightforward since only wave number two was involved and an expression for  $\mathcal{P}_{L}^{\iota}$  as a sum of Hough functions had already been calculated.

The gravitational potential due to the displacement of the ocean waters was relatively small, being in magnitude about 10% of the lunar potential. This "ocean tide" potential was computed in straightforward fashion. The Associated Legendre Polynomials were evaluated at intervals of ten degrees in latitude and a truncated Fourier-Legendre series of the form

$$Rl \stackrel{14}{\underset{l=-14}{\overset{14}{\overset{}}}} \stackrel{11|_{17}}{\underset{r=1l}{\overset{l}{\overset{}}}} (r P_r^{l}(\mu) e^{i\lambda} e^{i\sigma t}$$

for the ocean tide was generated in exactly the same way as the Fourier-Hough series already discussed. The calculation



Fig. 6. Plot of  $\mathfrak{f}^{\mathsf{FH}}$  for P & A, with  $\mathfrak{S} = 14$ . Isoamplitude lines denoted by (--). Isophase lines denoted by (--). Amplitude lines plotted in intervals of 20 cm. Phase lines plotted in intervals of 60°. The convention for the phase  $\epsilon$  is  $\ell_{\mathsf{L}} \log(\mathfrak{a} t - \epsilon)$ 



Fig. 7. Plot of  $\mathfrak{G}^{F\#}$  for B & M, with  $\mathfrak{S} = 14$ . Isoamplitude lines denoted by (--). Isophase lines denoted by (---). Amplitude lines plotted in intervals of 20 cm. Phase lines plotted in intervals of 60°. The convention for the phase  $\mathfrak{E}$  is  $\ell_1 \mathfrak{G}_2(\mathfrak{o} t - \mathfrak{e})$ 

of the potential in the form\*

analogous to (3.4.2) was then a simple matter. The expansions for the Associated Legendre Polynomials in terms of Hough functions (cf. Appendix) were then used to transform the Fourier-Legendre series into a Fourier-Hough series. For a given  $\ell$  the largest values of  $C_{\Gamma}^{\ell}$  were those for which  $|l| \leq r \leq |l| + 2$ . The expressions for the Associated Legendre Polynomials are fairly accurate for  $r < \#_{+q}$ . For r > |l|+4 the expressions underestimate the variance of the Legendre functions by a not insignificant amount. However, this was not thought a serious error since these coefficients were relatively small to begin with and the factor in the expression (3.5.2) further reduces them relative to the coefficients for  $|l| \le r \le |l|+2$ .

When the expressions for the ocean tidal height and the potential due to this displacement were calculated we were then in a position to solve the vertical equation (2.1.14) with the boundary conditions (2.1.15) and (2.2.4)

<sup>\*</sup>  $P_{o}^{\circ}(\mu)$  is a constant  $(= g^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  and the term in  $P_{o}^{\circ}(\mu)$  in this series represents a purely radial oscillation of the lower boundary of the atmosphere. Such an oscillation is physically impossible; it is precluded by the effective incompressibility of the earth and the oceans, and so this term must be excluded (cf. footnote to (3.5.1)).

for each mode of interest. With  $-14 \le l \le 14$  and 8 coefficients for each value of  $\ell$  this meant that the vertical equation had to be solved 232 times.

Once  $Y_n^{\ell}$  was known as a function of Z it was a simple matter to compute the value of W (and hence  $\omega$ ), U, V at any point, using the expressions for these quantities presented in Chapter II. We discuss the results of these computations in the next chapter.

### Chapter IV

# 4.1 The Resonance Curve of the Model Atmosphere

Before considering the response of our model atmosphere to the forcing functions described in previous chapters it is important to investigate its resonance behavior. Once  $A = i\sigma \Phi_n + g \omega n$ the forcing function is fixed the solution of the vertical equation depends only on the atmospheric stability function S and the separation con- $^{\cdot}$  stant  $oldsymbol{eta}$  . The atmosphere is said to have a free mode if there is a value of  $\beta$  for which there is a non-zero solution of the vertical equation when the forcing term is zero or equivalently if there is a value of  $\beta$  for which the solution to the vertical equation is infinite when the forcing term is finite. For such a value of  $\mathcal B$  the atmosphere is said to be resonant.

For an arbitrary value of the forcing function A the ratio

 $M = \frac{V_{z=0}}{A}$ 

was computed, at intervals of 0.1 in  $\beta$  for  $0 \le \beta \le 35$ . The amplitude and phase of M are shown in Fig. 8. For  $\beta \le 8 \cdot 8$  the value of the phase was between  $-0.001^{\circ}$  and  $0^{\circ}$  but this cannot be shown on a log-graph. This curve is called



a resonance curve.

There are two peaks in the resonance curve. The major peak occurs at  $\beta = 8.8$  corresponding to an equivalent depth of 10.006 km. This may be compared with Taylors (1929) calculation based on the waves generated by the Krakatoa explosion, that the atmosphere had a free mode with an equivalent depth of 10.3 km. We note too that at this value of  $\beta$  a 180° shift occurs in the value of the phase of M. There is a second maximum in the resonance curve at  $\beta = 13.42$  corresponding to an equivalent depth of 6.56 km\*.

This second maximum is not a true resonance but it is associated with some changes in the phase. This feature of the resonance curve may be compared with a similar feature in a resonance curve presented by Jacchia and Kopal (1952). They were seeking to construct an atmospheric temperature profile which met the requirements of the resonance theory of the solar tides (Pekeris 1937) and was consistent with what was then known about the vertical temperature structure of the atmosphere. The profile they settled on had two maxima in its resonance curve. The larger maximum occurred at  $\beta = 8.47$  (equivalent depth 10.388 km) and appeared to be a true resonance. The smaller maximum occurred at  $\beta = 11.05$ (equivalent depth 7.93 km). At this maximum the value of [M]

\* M was computed at intervals of 0.001 in  $\beta$  for  $12 \le \beta \le 14$ 

was 81. We have no information on the phase of their Mand so we cannot say if this was a true resonance. The profile which Jacchia and Kopal were led to had an unrealistically high temperature of about  $350^{\circ}$  near 50 km, and they found that the location and magnitude of the lesser maximum on the resonance curve were very sensitive to small changes in temperature near 50 km. It seems reasonable to suppose then that the peak which Jacchia and Kopal found near  $\beta = ||.05$  is simply an unrealistic modification of the secondary peak on Fig. 8.

For  $\beta > 35$  \* the factor M decreases slowly, as  $\beta$  increases, to a value of  $\sim 1.2$  at  $\beta \sim 1000$ . The phase does not change significantly in this region.

Also shown in Fig. 8 are the seventeen values of  $\beta$ in the range  $0 \notin \beta \notin 35$  and the corresponding mode numbers for which the equation was solved in our computations of the response to oceanic forcing. For all but four of these we have |M| < 2; the largest value of |M| is about 6. It is clear therefore that we were not exciting any resonant modes of the atmosphere.

\* M was computed at intervals of 2.0 in  $\beta$  for 35  $\leq \beta \leq 1000$ 

### 4.2 Influence of a Small Ocean

As a further exploration of the behavior of the model atmosphere the following experiment was performed.

It was supposed that the entire surface of the globe was immovable land with the exception of a small square ocean ten degrees of latitude and longitude on a side (i.e. one point in the latitude-longitude grid mesh of section 3.5). The surface of the ocean was supposed to execute a vertical oscillation of amplitude one meter at the lunar semi-diurnal frequency. This may be thought of then as an approximation to a point source of . We calculated the amplitude and phase of the resulting oscillation as it would be seen by a barometer fixed to the ground. For the "oceanic" region we applied the correction discussed at the beginning of the next section. All effects of the lunar potential and the earth tide were The result of the calculation is shown in Fig. 9, ignored. for an ocean centered on 40°N and 0°E whose "tide" had zero phase lag relative to local lunar time.

The effect of this localized forcing is felt globally. In the calculations presented below there are differences between the calculated and the observed pressure oscillations. The conclusion to be drawn from Fig. 9 is that these differences cannot easily be reduced by simple



Fig. 9 Response in surface pressure to a tide in a "point" ocean at 40°N, 0°E (cf.§ 4.2) Amplitudes (----) in  $\mu b$ , phases (---) in degrees. The convention for the phase  $\epsilon$ is  $\ell_2 \cos(\sigma \epsilon + \epsilon)$ 

manipulation of the forcing function, (the imperfectly known ocean tide) in the immediate vicinity of the places where discrepancies occur.

# 4.3 Calculated response when the ocean tide is taken into account.

The results of the calculations described at the end of  $\int 3.5$  are shown in Figs. 10 and 11 for the ocean tides of B & M and P & A respectively. The result of solving (2.1.14) was to give an expression for  $\omega = \frac{dy}{dt}$ . As discussed in section 1.2, this would be the pressure as seen by a barometer fixed to the moving ocean or land surface. Now the "oceanic" barometers for the lunar tidal observations are fixed to islands, which we shall assume to move vertically with the Love earth tide. In order to compare the theoretical results with observations in an oceanic area, we must therefore compute the pressure change as seen by a barometer which moves up and down with the earth tide. This requires a correction over the ocean. Now

$$\omega_{z=0}^{i} = \frac{ot}{ot} - \frac{g}{g}\left(w_{E} + w_{o}\right)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{E}$  is the vertical velocity due to the earth tide and  $\mathcal{M}_{0}$  is the vertical velocity due to the ocean tide. The quantity we wish to compute,



. . . . . . .

Fig. 10. Tidal response in surface pressure to forcing by lunar potential, earth tide and ocean tide due to B & M. Amplitudes (---) in . Phases (---) in degrees. The convention for the phase  $\epsilon$  is  $l_{\iota} c_{\sigma}(\sigma t + \epsilon)$ . Script letters  $l_{\prime}\epsilon$  are observed amplitudes and phases.

is given by

OF - gente = mi + gento

This quantity  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} - \int (\omega_E)$  is plotted in Figs. 10 and 11 for the two cases in which  $\omega_c$  corresponds respectively to the B & M and P & A data. As discussed earlier, in both cases the forces perturbing the atmosphere were

- 1) The force due to the lunar tidal potential
- 2) The force due to the potential caused by the earth tide
- 3) The effect of the vertical oscillation of the lower boundary of the atmosphere due to the earth tide
- 4) The effect of the vertical oscillation of the lower boundary of the atmosphere due to the ocean tide
- 5) The effect of the potential due to the ocean tide

It turned out that the introduction of the forcing due to the ocean tide had quite marked effects on the atmosphere. For convenience the data on which Fig. 1 is based are entered on Figs. 10 and 11 in the form  $\mathcal{L}/\epsilon$  where  $\mathcal{L}$ gives the amplitude and  $\epsilon$  the phase, when the oscillation is written in the form

# $L Cos(ot + \epsilon)$

 $\epsilon$  being in degrees. When we speak of the tidal crest moving in a given direction we mean that the phase  $\epsilon$  decreases in that direction. This convention is opposite to the oceanic convention used on Figs. 4, 5, 6, and 7. The data was prepared from the tabulations of Haurwitz and Cowley (1970). We consider first the response when B & M was used. The comparison is best made on a regional basis.

Melanesia and Micronesia The computations yield an amphidrome in this region. The computed amplitudes are small by a factor of two. The computed phases appear to be of the right size and the direction of motion of the computed tidal crest agrees with the observations in the region 10S - 15N.

Eastern Asia and Indonesia The observed amplitude maximum over Indonesia is not found in the computations; rather a maximum occurs over Korea with a local minimum over Indonesia. The computed tidal crest moves north-eastward, not westward, so that while the computed and observed phases agree near southern Japan, they disagree over the rest of the region.

<u>Australia</u> The computed amplitudes are of the right order of magnitude but here too the computed tidal crest moves towards the northeast rather than towards the west.

India The computed amplitudes are too small again by a

factor of two, roughly. The computed phases differ from the observed phases by 180°. The computed tidal crest

moves towards the northeast; the motion of the observed tidal crest has an easterly component also.

<u>Africa East of 15E</u> The calculated amplitudes disagree very much with the observed amplitudes, being too small by a factor of 3 or more. The computed phases typically lead the observed phases by 30°; the computed tidal crest moves northwest while the observed tidal crest moves westward.

<u>West Africa</u> The calculated amplitudes appear to be of the right order of magnitude. The calculated phases lag behind the observed phases by about 60<sup>0</sup>. The computed and observed tidal crests both move towards the southwest.

Europe The calculated amplitudes are too high, in some

cases by a factor of two. However, the computed amplitudes do decrease towards the north. The computed phase was very nearly uniform at  $\sim 345^{\circ}$  over most of Europe west of 30E. Thus the computed tidal crest moves towards the southeast over northwestern Europe, towards the southwest over southern Europe and North Africa and towards the northeast over Russia. The observed tidal crest moves towards the northwest over northern Europe and

towards the southwest over southern Europe.

North America The computed amplitudes disagree markedly

with the observations in western North America. The observations show a noticeable increase in amplitude from west to east across the continent while the computations show the amplitude increasing from east to west. The observed tidal crest moves westward while the computed crest moves north-westward over the United States.

Latin America The agreement between the computed and observed amplitudes is good except in the

neighborhood of the River Plate; this region will be discussed further shortly. The computed phases agree fairly well with the observed phases and the computed tidal crest moves more or less due west.

### Isolated Island Stations:

Bermuda The computed amplitude is too small by a factor of

two. The computed phase lags the observed phase by about 60°.

<u>Azores</u> The computed amplitudes are about right. The computed phases lead the observed phase by about 50<sup>0</sup>. <u>St. Helena</u> The computed amplitude is small by a factor of two. The computed phase lags the observed phase

by  $60^{\circ}$ .

Honolulu The computed amplitude is too large by a factor of two. The computed phase leads the observed phase by  $70^{\circ}$ .

We turn now to consider the response when P & A is used as the ocean tide forcing.

Melanesia and Micronesia The computed amplitudes are too small by a factor of two. The computed phases agree fairly well with the observed phases and the direction of motion of the computed crest is westward.

Eastern Asia and Indonesia The computed amplitudes agree quite well with the observed

amplitudes in this region. The computations show a maximum in amplitude, of about 80 mb in the China sea and the computed amplitude declines towards the north at about the same rate as does the observed amplitude. In northern Japan the computed phases lead the observed phases by about 60° but over the rest of the region the agreement between the two is much better and consequently the directions of motion of the computed and observed tidal crests agree well.



Fig. 11. Tidal response in surface pressure to forcing by lunar potential, earth tide and ocean tide due to P & A. Amplitudes (--) in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Phases (---) in degrees. The convention for the phase  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\mathcal{L}_2(\varpi(\sigma t + \mathcal{E}) \cdot Script$  letters  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{E}$  are observed amplitudes and phases.

Australia The computed amplitudes do not agree well with

the observations, particularly near Tasmania. The computed phases seldom differ from the observed phases by more than  $\sim 30^{\circ}$  and the computed motion of the tidal crest has a large westerly component.

India The computed amplitudes agree fairly well with the

observations as do the computed phases. The computed motion of the tidal crest is towards the southwest while the observed crest appears to have an eastward motion.

Africa East of 15E There is controversy about some of the determinations of the lunar tide in this region. Haurwitz and Cowley (1967) point out that some of the amplitudes determined in earlier studies turned out to be erroneously large. It may be that the true, amplitudes in the region of Tanzania are nearer 60 whether the start of the second In any event our computations yielded a maximum amplitude of 90 whether at 40°E 10°S. The computed amplitudes were too high by a factor of two in southern Africa. In the region where observations are available the computed phases were typically 100° thus leading the observed phases by ~40°.

The Middle East The existence of the amphidrome indicated by the computations does not agree with the

## available observations.

West Africa The calculated amplitudes agree well with two

of the three available observations but do not agree well with the large amplitude reported for Lagos. The computed phases do not agree well with the observations, for the computed tidal crest moves north-westward while the observed tidal crest waves moves south-westward.

Europe The computed amplitudes are too high by a factor of

two or three. The computed phases do not agree with the observed phases because the computed tidal crest moves northwards while the observed tidal crest moves mainly westward.

North America As with the computations using B & M the

present computations yield a pattern in which the amplitude declines from west to east across the continent. The computed tidal crest moves towards the southeast rather than towards the west.

Latin America The computed amplitudes in the northern part of the continent agree fairly well with the observations. However, the computed tidal crest moves eastward while the observed tidal crest moves westward. The calculations yield an amphidrome near the east coast of the continent in the vicinity of the River Plate. Haurwitz and Cowley (1967) point out that the determinations for Montevideo and Buenos Aires yield amplitudes that are considerably lower than those for other stations at the same latitude or further south. The computed amplitudes agree quite well with the observations in the southern part of Latin America. The calculated phases agree fairly well with the observations also.

### Isolated Island Stations:

The Azores The comments on the computations for Europe also apply here.

Bermuda The computed amplitude is a little low in comparison

with the observed amplitude; the computed phase lags the observed phase by 140°.

<u>St. Helena</u> The existence of the amphidrome predicted by the computations in the vicinity of St. Helena

is contradicted by the large observed amplitude at St. Helena.

Honolulu The computed amplitude is a little low but there

is fair agreement between the computed and observed phases.

We might summarise these results as follows. Forcing of our model atmosphere with the lunar potential and the solid earth tides produces a response which is uniform in longitude and has a maximum amplitude of ~28 du at the equator. The lines of equal phase run almost due north-south, the only amphidromes being at the poles. The introduction of an additional forcing due to ocean tides changes the picture considerably. The longitudinal uniformity of the amplitude of the response is destroyed and the pattern of equal-phase lines becomes more complex. Certain features of the calculated response seem to be directly related to similar features in the forcing. For example, over the central and southern Atlantic there is a distinct resemblence between the patterns of ocean tidal amplitude and atmospheric air tide amplitude when P & A was used. 0n the other hand, over land there is no such simple explanation for the results of the computation.

The results using P & A gave fairly good agreement with the observations over Asia, East Africa, and South America and poor agreement over Europe and North America. The agreement between the results using B & M and the observations was not as good.

In order to further illustrate the difference that the introduction of the ocean tide makes to these calculations

two scatter diagrams, Figs. 12 and 13, were prepared. For each station listed by Haurwitz and Cowley (1970) we plotted the pressure amplitude determined from observations against the pressure amplitude for that station predicted by one of two computations. Each figure has the observed amplitude as abscissa. Fig. 12 has as ordinate the predicted amplitude when only the lunar potential and the earth tides are taken into account (i.e. the amplitudes predicted in Fig. 3). Fig. 13 has as its ordinate the amplitude predicted when in addition to these effects the ocean tide due to P & A is taken into account (i.e. the amplitudes predicted in Fig. 11). Points falling on the diagonal are perfect predictions. It is clear from a comparison of these two figues that the ocean tide is an important and possibly the most important influence on the lunar air tide.

# 4.4 Tidal Winds

The subject of lunar tides in the ionosphere is a subject of some interest (Matsushita 1967). We computed the tidal winds at Z = 14.  $\mathcal{B}_{5}$  i.e. at a height of  $\sim 98$  km<sub>5</sub> in our model when the forcing consisted of the lunar potential, the earth tide (potential and surface movement) and the ocean tide and its potential according to P & A. The computations were made at ten-degree intervals in latitude and longitude. The results are presented in the form




of tidal ellipses in Fig. 14. The line that is flagged at each of these points indicates (1) the sense of rotation of the wind vector (the wind rotates in the sense in which the tip of the flag points) and (2) the wind direction when the mean moon is in upper or lower transit at Greenwich i.e. the phase of the wind oscillation. For example, consider the wind at 40N, 30W near the Azores. At upper or lower transit of the mean moon at Greenwich the wind at this location is towards 30.24° west of south. Some 1.6 mean lunar hours before this transit at Greenwich the wind had attained its maximum speed of 5 m/sec while blowing towards 12.5  $^{\rm O}$  south of west. Some 4.4 mean lunar hours after this transit at Greenwich the wind at 40N, 30W will again attain its maximum speed while blowing towards 12.5  $^{\rm O}$ north of east. The tidal ellipses were computed by first computing

$$\underline{\mu} = \mu \underline{i} + v \underline{j}$$

at the point in the form

$$\underline{\mu} = A \cos(\sigma t + \alpha) \underline{i} + B \cos(\sigma t + \beta) \underline{j}$$

We then calculated  $A', B', J, \Theta$ , so that the velocity could be written

$$\underline{U} = A' \log(\sigma t + f) \underline{i}' + B' \sin(\sigma t + f) \underline{j}'$$



Fig. 14. Tidal wind velocities, represented as tidal ellipses, at Z = 14.8 (~98 km), when the atmosphere is forced by the lunar potential, the earth tide and the ocean tide due to P & A. Flagged line at each point indicates the sense of rotation of the wind vector and the direction towards which the wind is blowing at lunar transit at Greenwich.

where

$$\underline{i}' \cdot \underline{i} = \cos \theta$$
  
 $\underline{i}' \cdot \underline{j} = \sin \theta$ 

The appropriate formulæ for the transformation are

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \frac{2ABCop(\alpha - B)}{A^{2} - B^{2}}$$

$$f = \tan^{-1} \frac{2ACope Sin^{2}\theta - BCop \beta Sin 2\theta}{BSin \beta Sin 2\theta - BCop \beta Sin 2\theta}$$

$$A^{1} = A \frac{Cop \alpha + Sin(\alpha - f)Sin f}{Cop \theta Cop f}$$

$$B^{1} = A \frac{Sin(\alpha - f)}{Sin \theta}$$

Most observations of motions in the region 80-110 km fall into two classes. The first class consists of radar observations of meteor trails. It is generally accepted that the velocities computed by this method represent motions of the neutral atmosphere. The second class consists of "drift" observations by the radio fading technique. It is not known whether the velocities derived from these latter observations pertain to the neutral atmosphere or to the charged species (Rawer, 1968). Even if these velocities do pertain to the neutral atmosphere there is some doubt as to the height at which the velocities are being measured.

Greenhow and Neufeld (1961) from meteor wind observations at Jodrell Bank derived an upper bound of 2 m/sec on the semi-diurnal lunar tidal velocity. Müller (1966) made an extensive study of tides in meteor wind data from Sheffield, England but did not report any determinations at the semi-diurnal lunar period. Müller (1968, 1970) reports an experiment he carried out to compare velocities measured by the two methods. He found evidence that the velocities measured by the radio fading method do represent motions of the neutral atmosphere at levels somewhat higher than the levels where meteor trails are measured. In his experiment he found that meteor-wind observations gave winds that pertained to an average height of 95 km while the average E-region reflection height was 103 km. Our calculations were made for an intermediate level, 98 km.

Using drift observations Phillips (see Briggs and Spencer, 1954) determined lunar tidal winds at Cambridge, England, Chapman (1953) did a similar calculation for Montreal, and Ramana and Rao (1962) did one for Waltair, India. Table 4.4.1 shows a comparison between these determinations and our computations for the points indicated.

t' is local lunar time.

	Observed	Computed	ordinates
Cambridge	u = 16 Sin (2t'-81°)	$u = 0.4 \sin(2t - 160^{\circ})$	50N,0E
52.2N,0.1E	v = 14 Sin (2+3°)	v = 10.3 Sin(2t-208°)	
Montreal	u = 21 Sin (26-106)	u = 3.0 Sin (2t - 32°)	50N,70W
45.4N,73.8W	v = 24 Sin(2t+3°)	$v = 1.0 \sin(2t - 43)$	)
Waltair	$u = 12 Sin(2t + 21^{\circ})$	$u = 4.8 \sin(2t+2.50)$	) 20N,80E
17.7N,83.3E	v = 5 Sin (2++90)	V = 4.0 Sin (2ť+28	8°)

Table 4.4.1 Tidal velocities determined from E-region drifts and computed tidal velocities at 98 km. Unit is 1 m/sec.

The drift velocities tend to be somewhat larger than the computed velocities, which is what one would expect, given the height difference between the levels to which the velocities pertain.

Some determinations of tidal winds at the surface have been made (Chapman and Lindzen, 1970). A comparison of the observed winds and the computed winds is shown in Table 4.4.2. Velocities at 2=0 were computed using P & A as the ocean tidal forcing. The determinations are presented in the form

$$l_2$$
 Sin  $(2t + \lambda_2)$ 

where  $\mathbf{t}$  is the local lunar time.

C -

A + .

	Observed			At Computed ord		t co dinat	ces			
	U		V		U		V			
Upsala	<b>l</b> <sub>2</sub> 0.75	λ <u>.</u> 179 <sup>0</sup>	<i>l</i> <sub>2</sub> 0.6	λ. 24 <sup>0</sup>	<i>l</i> <sub>2</sub> 0.1	λ <u>.</u> 333°	<b>l</b> <sub>2</sub> 0.4	λ <sub>2</sub> 2690	60N	20E
Greensboro, NC	1.8	80 <sup>0</sup>	1.3	11 <sup>0</sup>	0.6	30°	0.6	249 <sup>0</sup>	4 0 N	280E
Hong Kong	2.2	69 <sup>0</sup>	1.0	278 <sup>0</sup> .	0.3	253 <b>°</b>	0.6	6 <sup>0</sup>	20N	110E
San Juan, P.R.	0.6	253 <sup>0</sup>	1.4	87 <sup>0</sup>	0.8	329 <sup>0</sup>	0.5	204 <sup>0</sup>	20N	290E
Aguadilla	1.5	100 <sup>0</sup>	1.5	65 <sup>0</sup>	0.8	329°	0.5	204 <sup>0</sup>	20N	290E
Balboa, Panama	0.6	195 <sup>0</sup>	1.2	29 <sup>0</sup>	1.2	41°	0.6	162 <sup>0</sup>	10N	280E
Mauritius	1.0	220 <sup>0</sup>	1.2	356 <sup>0</sup>	1.2	355°	.0.8	288 <sup>0</sup>	20S	60E

Table 4.4.2 Comparison of observed surface tidal winds and winds computed at the coordinates shown. Unit is l cm/sec.

There is no agreement between the computed and observed phases. The computed wind speeds generally underestimate observed wind speed.

#### 4.5 Summary and Conclusions

The results of the previous chapters show that the lunar air tide is significantly influenced by both oceanic tides and solid earth tides. Our computations exhibited some measure of agreement with the observations, but the agreement was by no means perfect.

However, given the uncertainties of our knowledge of the ocean tide it is encouraging that the agreement was as good as it was.

It would appear that a good deal of work remains to be done before one could say that the semi-diurnal lunar air tide is fully understood. On the observational side there have been a total of 104 determinations of the tide in surface pressure. Many large gaps in our knowledge of the distribution of tidal parameters remain. For instance, there are no determinations available over most of Asia. As regards the ocean tide hardly any observations of the tide in the deep ocean have been made.

It was disappointing that over North America, where the air tide is well known, agreement between theory and observation was so poor. It was interesting nonetheless that P & A and B & M produced similar distributions of amplitude in this region. This raises the question of the effect of topography on the lunar tide. Wallace and Hartranft (1969) show that topography markedly affects the solar diurnal tide in the troposphere and lower stratosphere. However, it is not clear to what extent the effect is mechanical rather than thermal.

The model we used neglected dissipation. Geller (1969) studied the effect of infra-red cooling to space and found

that our knowledge of the physical processes involved, particularly those involving ozone, was poor and uncertain. Because of this uncertainty it was thought best, at this time, not to pursue the matter in the present study.

In summary, it is felt that this study has achieved its primary purpose of showing that solid earth tides and ocean tides particularly must have a considerable effect on the semi-diurnal lunar air tide.

## Appendix

Hough functions were computed following the method of Hough as presented by Flattery (1967). This method yields expressions for the Hough functions as sums of (completely normalized) Associated Legendre Polynomials, thus

$$H_n^{l}(\mu) = \sum_{r=|l|} C_{n,r}^{l} P_r(\mu) \qquad A.1$$

The  $H_n^{\ell}(\mu)$  are normalized so that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} H_n^{\ell}(\mu) \, d\mu = 1 \qquad A.2$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{\Gamma=|\ell|} C_{n,\Gamma}^{\ell-2} = 1$$
 A.3

It follows that

$$P_{r}^{\ell}(\mu) = \sum_{n=|\ell|}^{\infty} C_{n,r}^{\ell} H_{n}^{\ell}(\mu) \qquad A.4$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n,r}^{l,2} = 1$$
 A.5

For any given Hough function coefficients were calculated successively until the stage was reached where the addition of the square of the last coefficient when added to the sum of squares of the previous coefficients changed this sum by less than one part of  $10^{10}$ . No further coefficients were determined and the coefficients were normalized so that the sum of their squares was 1.

To illustrate the use of the tables, suppose we want to find  $\zeta_{17,21}^{-14}$ , the coefficient of  $P_{21}^{-14}(A)$  in the expansion of  $H_{17}^{-14}(A)$ . In the table of expansion coefficients for anti-symmetric Hough functions of zonal wave number -14 we find the column headed H(-14, 17). This column lists the  $\zeta_{17,17}^{-14}$ . In that row of the column labeled P(-14, 21) we find the value of  $\zeta_{17,21}^{-14}$  to be 0.101577.

The degree to which the Associated Legendre Polynomials are represented by the resulting sums of the form A.4 is shown by the sum of the squares of the relevant coefficients in the rightmost column. For a perfect representation this sum would be 1.

Shown above the expansion coefficients of a Hough function is the value of the eigenvalue pertaining to it. These are further tabulated in Table A.1.

# TABLE OF EIGENVALUES p.

ZONAL								
WAVE						-		
NC.L					N			
	111	L +1	1L1+2	1L1+3	1L +4	IL +5	1L1+6	111+7
-14	217.3	263.4	314.6	371.1	433.0	500.2	572.9	651.0
-13	187.8	230.7	278.9	332.3	391.1	455.3	524.9	600.0
-12	160.4	200.3	245.3	295.7	351.4	412.5	479.0	551.1
-11	135.2	172.0	213.9	261.2	313.8	371 <b>.</b> 8	435.4	504.4
-10	112.1	145.8	184.7	228.8	278.4	333.4	393.9	460.0
-9	91.2	121.8	157.6	198.6	245.1	297.1	354.6	417.7
-8	72.5	99.9	132.6	170.6	214.0	263.0	317.5.	377.6
-7	55.9	80.2	109.8	144.8	185.1	231.1	282.6	339.8
-6	41.5	62.7	89.2	121.1	158.4	201.4	249.9	304.2
-5	29.2	47.3	70.7	99.5	133.9	173.8	219.5	270,9
-4	19.0	34.1	54.4	80.2	111.6	148.6	191.4	239.9
-3	11.1	23.0	40.3	63.0	91.5	125.6	165.6	211.4
-2	5.2	14.1	28.3	48.1	73.7	105.0	142.2	185.4
-1	1.6	7.3	18.5	35.5	58.3	87.0	121.6	162.3
· 0	0.0	2.7	11.1	25.4	45.6	71.9	104.3	142.8*
1	6.7	18.8	37.1	61.7	92.6	129.9	173.6	223.6
2	12.5	27.1	47.7	74.2	106.7	145.4	190.2	241.2
3	20.5	38.0	61.3	90.4	125.4	166.3	213.3	266.3
4	30.6	51.2	77.3	109.2	146.9	190.4	239.9	295.3
5	43.0	66,5	95.6	130.4	170.9	217.2	269.3	327.3
6	57.5	84.1	116.2	153.9	197.3	246.4	301.3	362.0
7	74.1	103.8	138.9	179.6	225.9	277.9	335.7	399.2
8	93.0	125.7	163.9	207.6	256.8	311.7	372.4	428.7
9	113.9	149.7	191.0	237.7	289.9	347.8	411.3	480.6
10	137.1	176.0	220.2	270.0	325.3	386.1	452.6	524.7
11	162.3	204.3	251.7	304.5	362.8	426.6	496.0	571.1
12	189.8	234.9	285.3	341.1	402.4	469.3	541.7	619.7
13	219.4	267.6	321.1	380.0	444.3	514.2	589.6	677,6
14	251.1	302.4	359.0	420.9	488.3	561.2	639.7	723.7

 $*\beta_8^{\circ} = 187.4$ 

TABLE A.1

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L=-14

SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 217.281 314.629 432.990 572.884 VALLES

				•	SUM OF
	H(-14,14)	H(-14,16)	H(-14,18)	H(-14,20)	SCUARES
P(-14, 14)	0.993105	0.115039	0.021765	0.005368	0.999993
P(-14, 16)	-C.116399	0.945198	C.289659	0.089696	0.998895
P(-14, 18)	0.013866	-0.258831	C.820911	C.436794	C.554176
P(-14,20)	-0.001464	0.062921	-0.467060	0.614712	0.599977
P(-14,22)	0.000135	-0.010321	C.149446	-C.58703C	0.367044
P(-14,24)	-0.000011	0.001391	-0.034757	C.266966	0.072481
P(-14,26)	0.00001	-0.000158	C.0064C7	-0.083491	0.007012
P(-14,28)	-0.00000	0.000016	-C.COCS77	0.020121	C. C00406
P(-14,30)	C.000000	-0.000001	0.000127	-0.003947	0.000016
P(-14,32)	-0.00000	0.00000	$-C_{\bullet}000014$	0.000651	C.CC0000
F(-14,34)	0.000000	-0.00000	0.00001	-C.000092	0.00000
P(-14,36)	0.0	0.000000	-0.000000	0.000011	0.000000
P(-14,38)	0.0	-0.00000	0.000000	-C.CODCC1	00000.0
SUM OF					
SQUARES	1.00000	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	•

ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 263.368 371.147 50C.219 651.C31 VALLES

					SLM OF
	H(-14,15)	4(-14,17)	H(-14,19)	+(-14,21)	SCUARES
P(-14, 15)	C. 977580	0.203751	<b>C.</b> 050647	0.014934	0.999966
F(-14, 17)	-C.207778	0.893449	0.368963	0.137607	0.556491
F(-14, 19)	0.C33834	-0.386661	0.727511	0.488227	0.518290
P(-14,21)	-C.CC4520	0.101577	-C.535391	0.485598	0.532788
F(-14,23)	0.000509	-0.020009	C.205294	-0.617777	C•424195
P(-14,25)	- C. C00049	0.003176	-0.055642	0.331407	0.112.937
P(-14,27)	C.CC00C4	-C.0C0421	0.011781	-C.118716	0.014232
P(-14,29)	-0.00000	0.000048	-0.002046	0.032329	0.001049
P(-14,31)	C.CCCCO	-0.000005	C.000300	-0.007111	0.000051
P(-14,33)	-C.CC0000	0.00000	-0.000038	0.001309	C.CCC002
P(-14,35)	C.C00000	-0.000000	0.000004	-0.000206	0.00000
P(-14,37)	C • C	0.000000	-C. CC0000	0.000028	C.COC000
F(-14,39)	0.0	-0.000000	0.000000	-C.COCC3	0.00000
SLM OF					•
SQUARES	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	•

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L=-13

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	187.762	278.905	391.094	524.872
VALUES				

					SUM CF
	+(-13,13)	H(-13,15)	H(-13,17)	4(-13,19)	SCLARES
P(-13,13)	C.993198	0.114213	0.021830	0.005506	0.595594
P(-13,15)	-C.115643	0. \$45335	C.289131	0.090523	0.598823
P(-13,17)	0.013504	-0.258860	. C. 820042	C.436757	0.952725
P(-13,19)	-0.001382	0.062273	-0.468932	0.610929	0.597011
P(-13,21)	0.000122	-0.010019	0.149465	-0.590070	C.370623
P(-13,23)	-0.C00009	0.001314	-0.034371	C.268705	0.073385
P(-13,25)	C.CC0001	-0.000145	0.006224	-0.083589	0.007026
P(-13,27)	-C.CC0000	0.000014	-C. CC0927	C.C19926	0.000398
P(-13,25)	C.CC0000	-0.000001	0.000117	-0.003848	0.000015
P(-13,31)	-0.00000	0.00000	-C.000013	0.000622	C.C00000
P(-13,33)	0.0	-0.000000	0.00001	-0.000086	000000.0
P(-13,35)	<b>C</b> • 0	0.00000	-0.000000	0.000010	0.00000
P(-13,37)	0.0	-0.C00000	0.000000	-C.CCOCC1	000003.0
SUN CF					
SQLARES	1.000000	1.00000	1.00000	1.000000	

## ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

ΕI	GEN	
VA	LUE:	S

230.744 332.330 455.256 599.988

					SUM UF
	h(-13,14)	H(-13,16)	H(-13,18)	F(-13,20)	SCLARES
P(-13,14)	C. \$77727	0.202929	C.050991	0.015319	C•999965
P(-13,16)	-0.207187	0.893298	0.368783	C.13907C	0.996248
P(-13,18)	C.C33245	-0.387581	0.725420	0.487895	C.915601
P(-13,20)	-0.004333	0.101118	-C.538045	0.479786	0.529931
P(-13,22)	0.000472	-0.019622	0.206070	-C.620614	C.428012
P(-13,24)	- C. C00044	0.003046	-0.055401	0.334193	0.114764
P(-13,26)	0.00003	-0.000393	0.011566	-C.119376	0.014384
P(-13,28)	-0.00000	0.000043	-0.001971	0.032244	0.001044
P(-13,30)	0.00000	-0.000004	C.0C0282	-0.007003	0.000049
F(-13,32)	-0.000000	0.00000	-0.000035	C.CC1268	0.00002
P(-13,34)	C.C00000	-0.00000	0.000004	-0.000196	0.00000.0
P(-13,36)	0.0	0.00000	-0.00000	C.COC026	000000.0
F(-13,38)	0.0	-0.000000	C.CO0000	-0.000003	0.00000
SUM CF					
SQUARES	1.000000	1.00000	1.000000	1.0000CC	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L=-12

1.54

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	160.398	245.335	351.357	479.033
VALLES				

					SUM CF
<b>`</b>	H(-12,12)	+(-12,14)	H(+12,16)	4(-12,18)	SQLARES
P(-12,12)	0.993305	0.113267	0.021886	0.005623	0.999995
P(-12, 14)	-C.114770	0.945491	C.288529	0.091434	0.998734
P(-12,16)	0.013097	-0.298889	C.819052	0.436705	C.951065
P(-12,18)	-C.001293	0.061546	-0.471048	0.606648	0.593698
P(-12,20)	0.000109	-0.009687	C.149497	-0.593463	0.374642
P(-12,22)	-0.00008	0.001232	-0.033951	0.270673	C.C74418
P(-12,24)	0.00000	-0.000131	C.006029	-0.083717	0.007045
P(-12,26)	-0.00000	0.000012	-0.000875	0.019721	C.0CC390
P(-12,28)	C.CC0000	-0.00001	0.000107	-C.003743	·C.COCC14
P(-12,30)	-0.00000	0.CC0000	-0.000011	0.000592	C. C00000
F(-12,32)	0.0	-0.000000	0.000001	030000.0-	000003.00
P(-12,34)	C • C	0.000000	-0.000000	0.000009	0.000000
P(-12,36)	0.0	-0.000000	0.00000	-0.000001	0.00000
SLM OF					
SCLARES	1.00000	1.00000	1.C00000	1.000000	

## ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN VALLES

•

•

200.274 295.670 412.459 551.126

					SLM OF
	H(-12,13)	4(-12,15)	+(-12,17)	+(-12,19)	SGLARES
P(-12, 13)	<b>C</b> •977894	0.201983	C. 051354	0.015743	0.999960
P(-12,15)	-C.2C6504	0.893126	C.368576	C.14C692	0.995961
P(-12,17)	C.C32583	-0.388624	0.723048	0.487493	0.912537
P(-12,19)	-C.CC4128	0.100607	-0.541029	0.473235	0.526803
P(-12,21)	0.000433	-0.019198	0.206955	-0.623739	0.432250
P(-12,23)	-C.C00038	0.002908	-0.055146	6.337327	0.116839
P(-12,25)	0.00003	-0.000363	0.011336	-C.120137	C.C14562
P(-12,27)	-0.00000	0.000038	-0.001891	0.032167	0.001038
P(-12,29)	C.CCOCO	-0.000003	C.CO0264	-0.006891	0.000048
P(-12,31)	-0.00000	0.00000	-C.CO0031	C. CC1225	0.000002
P(-12,33)	0.00000	-0.000000	0.00003	-0.000185	C.CCC000
P(-12,35)	0.0	0.000000	-C.000000	0.000C24	C.CO0000
P(-12,37)	C • C	-0.000000	0.000000	-0.000003	000000.0
SUM OF					
SCUARES	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	•

#### **EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR** HCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L=-11

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EICEN	135.187	213.920	313.781	435.369	
VALUES	×				

. . ×

					SUM CF
	H(-11,11)	H(-11,13)	H(-11,15)	H(-11,17)	SCUARES
P(-11,11)	0.993428	0.112157	0.021950	C.005774	C.595554
P(-11,13)	-C.113750	0.945671	C.287841	0.092451	0.998633
F(-11,15)	0.012636	-0.298916	C.817914	0.436637	0.949145
P(-11,17)	-C.C01197	0.060723	-0.473458	0.601762	0.589969
P(-11,19)	0.00096	-0.009323	0.149547	-0.597273	0.379186
P(-11,21)	-0.00006	0.001146	-0.033492	0.272919	C.(756C8
P(-11,23)	0.0000	-0.000116	C.005819	-0.083885	0.007071
P(-11,25)	-0.00000	0.000010	-0.000821	0.019507	C.CCC381
P(-11,27)	C.CC0000	-0.000001	0.000097	-0.003634	0.00013
P(-11,29)	-C.CC0000	0.000000	-0.000010	C.000561	0.00000
F(-11,31)	0.0	-0.000000	0.00001	-0.000073	0.00000
P(-11,33)	C • C	0.00000	-C.000000	80000.0	0.00000
P(-11,35)	0.0	-0.000000	0.000000	-C.COOCC1	0.000000
SUM CF					
SCLARES	1.00000	1.000000	1.00000	1.000000	

EIGEN	171.959
VALUES	

	171.959	261.167	371.830	504.449
S				

					SUM OF
	H(-11,12)	H(-11,14)	H(-11,16)	+(-11,18)	SQUARES
P(-11,12)	C.578C88	0.200882	C.051756	0.016241	0.999952
P(-11, 14)	-0.205707	0.892930	0.368343	C.1425C8	0.995625
P(-11,16)	0.031832	-0.389816	0.720327	0.486999	0.909605
P(-11, 18)	-C.CC3905	0.100035	-C.5444C9	C.465792	0.523366
P(-11,20)	0.000392	-0.018732	0.207976	-C.627195	0.436979
P(-11,22)	-C.CC0033	0.002760	-0.054877	0.340880	0.119218
P(-11,24)	C.00002	-0.000333	0.011091	-C.121C25	0.014770
P(-11,26)	-0.00000	0.000034	-0.001808	0.032100	0.001034
P(-11,28)	C.CC0000	-0.00003	C.CO0245	-C.006775	0.000046
P(-11,30)	-0.CG0000	0.00000	-C.000028	0.001181	0.00001
P(-11,32)	C.CC0000	-0.000000	0.000003	-0.000174	0.00000.0
P(-11,34)	C • C	0.00000.0	-C. 00000C	C.000622	C. CCOCOO
P(-11,36)	0.0	-0.000000	0.000000	-0.00002	000000.0
SUM OF					
SCLARES	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L=-10

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 112.131 184.661 278.370 393.886 VALUES

		-			SUM OF
	H(-10, 10)	H(-10,12)	H(-10,14)	H(-10, 16)	SCLARES
P(-10,10)	C.993573	0.110849	0.022019	0.005947	0.599994
P(-10,12)	-C.112541	0.945881	C. 287047	C.C93591	C.998512
P(-10, 14)	0.012110	-0.298942	0.816589	0.436545	C.946902
P(-10, 16)	-0.001092	0.059787	-0.476229	0.596132	C.585743
P(-10, 18)	0.000082	-0.008921	C.149621	-C.6C15EC	0.384364
P(-10,20)	-0.000005	0.001054	-0.032989	0.275506	0.076993
P(-10,22)	C•CC0000	-0.0001C2	0.005594	-0.084108	0.007106
P(-10, 24)	-0.00000	0.000008	-0.000765	0.C19284	C.0C0372
P(-10,26)	C. CC0000	-0.000001	0.000087	-0.003520	0.00012
P(-10,28)	-C.CCCCOO	C. 000000	-C.CCCC8	C.CCC530	0.000000
P(-10,30)	0.0	-0.000000	0.000001	-0.000067	C.CCC00C
P(-10,32)	C • C	0.000000	-C.CCCOOO	0.000007	0.000000
P(-10,34)	0.0	0.0	C. 000000	-0.000001	C. CCC000
SLM OF					
SCLARES	1.00000	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	

ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	145.799	228.823	333.371	459.965
VALLES				

					SLM OF
	H(-10,11)	H(-10,13)	H(-10,15)	H(-10,17)	SQUARES
P(-10, 11)	C•978314	0.199610	0.052197	0.016801	0.999949
P(-10, 13)	-0.204767	0.892697	C.368C75	C•144548	0.995210
P(-10, 15)	C.03C975	-0.391191	0.717178	0.486387	0.904907
P(-10, 17)	-C.C3660	0.09391	-C.54827C	C.457263	0,519581
P(-10, 19)	0.C00350	-0.018218	0.209167	-0.631035	0.442238
F(-10, 21)	-0.00028	0.002603	-0.054597	C.344940	0.121971
P(-10,23)	0.C00002	-0.000302	0.010829	-0.122072	0.015019
P(-10, 25)	-0.00000	0.000029	-C.001720	C.032049	0.001030
F(-10, 27)	0.00000	-0.000002	0.000226	-0.006657	C.CCC44
P(-10,25)	-0.((0000	0.000000	-0.000025	0.001136	0.000001
P(-10, 31)	0.00000	-0.00000	C.000002	-0.000163	C. CC0000
P(-10,33)	0.0	0.000000	-0.00000	0.00023	0.00000
P(-10,35)	C•O	-0.00000	C.00000	-0.000002	0.000000
SLM OF					
SQUARES	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= -9

#### SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 91.230 157.557 245.123 354.590 VALUES

				~	SUM OF
	H( -9, 9)	H( -9,11}	4( -9,13) 1	H( -9,15)	SCUARES
P( -9,	9) C.993744	0.109283	0.022065	C.CC6164	0.599994
P( -9,1	1) -0.111088	0.946128	0.286115	0.094879	C.\$\$8363
P( -9,1	3) C.O11504	-0.298963	C.815032	0.436423	0.944252
P( -9,1	5) -0.000978	0.058711	-0.479451	0.589572	C.580917
P( -9,1	7) C.000068	-0.008476	0.149733	-C.606488	C.390320
P( -9,1	$9) - C \cdot C = 00004$	0.000957	-C.032438	0.278519	0.078626
P( -9,2	1) 0.000000	-0.000088	C.005353	-0.084405	0.007153
P( -9,2	3) -C.CCC000	0.000007	-0.000706	0.019054	0.000364
P( -9,2	5) 0.CC00C0	-0.00000	C.00CC77	-C.CC34C1	C.CO0012
P( -9,2	7) -0.00000	0.0000.00	-0.00007	C.000497	0.00000
P( -9,2	9) C.C	-0.000000	C.00001	-0.000061	0.00000
P( -9,3	1) 0.0	0.000000	-6.000000	0.000066	000000.0
P( -9,3	3) ·C.O	0.0	0.000000	-0.000001	0.00000
SUM OF					
SCUARES	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.00000	

ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

121.792 198.638 297.087

EIGEN VALUES

					SUM OF
	H( -9,10)	H( -9,12)	4( -9,14)	H( -9,16)	SCUARES
F( -9,10	0.978581	0.198094	0.052683	C.C17435	0.999941
P( -9,12	2) - C. 203640	0.892422	0.367766	0.146855	0.594705
P( -9,14	() C.C25587	-C.392797	C.713489	0.485615	0.900077
P( -9,16	-0.003391	0.098662	-0.552721	0.447393	0.515407
P( -9,18	3) C.C00306	-0.017648	0.210575	-C.635321	0.448286
P( -9,20	-C.000023	0.002434	-0. 054307	0.349625	C.125193
P( -9,22	0.000001	-0.000270	0.010550	-0.123320	0.015319
P( -9,24	i) -C.CC0000	0.000025	-0.001629	0.032022	0.001028
P( -9,26	0.00000	-0.000002	0.00207	-0.006536	0.00043
P( -9,28	-c.cc0000	0.000000	-0.000022	0.01090	0.00001
P( -9,30	)) C.CCCCCO	-0.00000	0.00002	-0.00152	C.CO0000
F( -9,32	2) 0.0	0.000000	-0.000000	C.000018	0.000000
P( -9,34	i) C.C	-0.000000	C.000000	-0.000002	0.000000
SUM OF					
SCUARES .	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

417.682

### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= -8

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 72.482 132.610 214.045 317.488 VALUES

. . n

						SLM OF
		H( -8, 8)	H( -8,10)	H( -8,12)	H( -8,14)	SGUARES
Ρ(	-8, 8)	C•553949	0.107380	0.022081	C.CC6372	C.999993
Р(	-8,10)	-0.109308	0.946423	0.285011	0.096335	0.998176
Р(	-8,12)	C.C1C8C1	-0.298977	C.813171	C.436255	0.941069
Ρ(	-8,14)	-C.CC856	0.057463	-0.483244	C.581835	0.575360
Р(	-8,16)	C.CO0054	-0.007982	0.149898	-0.612133	C.397240
Ρ(	-8,18)	-0.00003	0.000855	-0.031832	0.282073	C.C80579
Ρ(	-8,20)	0.000000	-0.000073	C.C05C93	-0.084802	C.CC7217
Ρ(	-8,22)	-C.CC0000	0.000005	-0.000646	C.018821	0.000355
Ρ(	-8,24)	000000.0	-0.000000	0.000067	-C.CO3278	C. C00011
Ρ(	-8,26)	-0.000000	0.00000	-0.000006	0.000465	0.00000.0
Р(	-8,28)	C.O	-0.000000	C.CC0000	-0.000055	C.C00000
P (	-8,30)	0.0	0.000000	-C.CCCCC	0.000006	0.00000.0
P(	-8,321	C • 0	0.0	0.000000	-0.000000	0.000000
SUM	OF		-			
SCU	ARES	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

#### ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 59.941 170.613 262.583 377.612 VALUES

		H( -8, 9) (	4 - 9,111 1	11 - 0 121 1	BI C. 15)	SUM OF
			11 -0,117 1	11 -04121	n( -8,15)	SCUARES
4 (	-8, 9)	0.978901	0.196270	0.053197	0.018160	0.999929
Р(	-8,11)	-C.2C2266	0.892091	0.367402	0.149487	0.994068
Ρ(	-8,13)	0.028837	-0.394699	C.709111	C.484627	0.894321
Ρ(	-8,15)	-0.003095	0.097830	-0.557913	0.435835	0.510800
Р(	-8,17)	C.000260	-0.017014	0.212262	-0.640130	C.455111
<b>F (</b>	-8,19)	-C.600018	0.002254	-0.054013	C.355093	0.129013
Ρ(	-8,21)	C.CO0001	-0.000238	0.010252	-C.124830	0.015638
Ρ(	-8,23)	-0.00000	C.0CC021	-0.001534	0.032032	C.CC1C28
Ρ(	-8,25)	C. CC0000	-0.000001	C. CCC187	-C.006416	C.000041
F(	-8,27)	-0.000000	0.000000	-0.000019	0.001044	0.000001
Р(	-8,29)	C • O	-0.00000	0.000002	-C.000142	000000.0
Ρ(	-8,31)	0 • C	0.00000	-C.CCCCCC	C.C00C16	C.CO0000
Ρ(	-8,33)	0.0	-0.000000	C.OCCOCO	-0.000002	0.00000
SUN	1 CF					
SQL	JARES	1.000000	1.00000	1.00000	1.000000	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= -7

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 55.889 109.819 185.141 282.593 VALLES

					SUM CF
	H( -7, 7)	4( -7, 9) 1	+( :-7,11)	H( -7,13)	SCLARES
P( -7, 7)	0.994200	0.105014	0.022054	0.006593	0.999991
P( -7, 9)	→C.107081	0.946779	C.283682	0.097996	0.557936
F( -7,11)	0.009976	-0.298980	C.81C9C8	0.436024	0.937178
P( -7,13)	-C.000724	0.056002	-0.487778	C.572569	0.568899
P( -7,15)	C.000041	-0.007431	C.150145	-C.618651	0.405377
P( -7,17)	-0.000002	0.000749	-0.031167	0.286329	C.C82956
P( -7,19)	C. C00000	-0.000060	C.0C4815	-0.085341	0.007306
F( -7,21)	-0.000000	0.00004	-C.C00583	0.018589	C.COC346
P( -7,23)	C.CC0000	-0.000000	0.000057	-C.003151	0.00010
P( -7,25)	0.0	0.00000	-C.000005	C.00C432	0.00000
P1 -7,271	0.0	-0.000000	0.00000	-0.000049	0.00000
P( -7,29)	C.O	0.000000	-0.000000	0.000305	0.00000
P( -7,31)	0.0	0.0	0.000000	-0.000000	C. C00000
SLM CF					
SCUARES	1.CC0000	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	

EIGEN	80.243	144.751	231.067	339.771
VALLES				

						SLM OF
		H( -7, 8)	H( -7,10) H	+( -7,12)	+( -7,14)	SCUARES
P( -	-7, 8)	C.979292	0.194035	C.C53761	0.019010	0.999914
P( -	•7,10)	-C.200558	0.891682	0.366978	C.152521	C.993255
P( -	-7,12)	0.027484	-0.396988	0.703822	0.483340	C.887338
P( -	-7,14)	-C.CC2769	0.096877	-C. 564047	C.422114	0.505721
P( -	-7,16)	0.000215	-0.016306	0.214324	-0.645548	C.462933
P( -	-7,18)	-C.000013	0.002062	-0.053727	C.361560	0.133616
P( -	-7,20)	° 0.000001	-0.000205	0.009936	-0.126688	C.C16149
P( -	-7,22)	-0.00000	0.00017	-C.001436	0.032096	0.001032
P( -	-7,24)	C.CC0000	-0.000001	0.000168	-0.006298	0.00040
P( -	-7,26)	-C.CC0000	0.00000.0	-C.CC0016	0.000557	0.000001
P( -	-7,28)	C•O	-0.00000	C. 000001	-0.000131	0.000000
P( -	-7,301	0.0	0.00000	-C.000000	0.000015	C. CO0000
P( -	-7,32)	C • C	-0.000000	0.00000	-0.00001	0.000000
SUM	OF					
SGUA	RES	1.000000	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= -6

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 41.451 89.186 158.416 249.922 VALUES

						SUM OF
		H( -6, 6)	H( -6, 8)	H( -6,10)	+( -6,12)	SGLARES
P( ·	-6, 6)	C•994514	0.101557	0.021948	0 <b>.</b> 006875	0.999990
Ρ(	-6, 8)	-C.104216	0.947219	0.282058	C.C99916	0.997624
P(	-6,10)	0.C09001	-0.298967	0.808095	C.4357C6	0.932319
Ρ( ·	-6,12)	-0.000585	0.054268	-C.493296	0.561260	C.561299
P(	-6,14)	0.0	-0.006816	0.150521	-0.626399	0.415078
Ρ(. ·	-6,16)	0.0	0.000638	-0.030437	0.291520	0.085911
Ρ(	-6,18)	0.0	0.0	0.004518	330630.0-	C.C07432
P( -	-6,20)	0.0	0.0	-C.C00520	0.018369	0.00338
P (	-6,22)	C • O	0.0	C • O -	-C.003022	0.00009
P( -	-6,24)	C • C	0.0	0.0	C.CO0359	- C. CCCCOO
SUM	OF					
SQU	ARES	1.00000	1.CC0000	1.CC060C	1.CC00CC	

EIGEN	62.701	121.056	201.351	304.182	
VALUES					
					SUM OF
н	( -6, 7)	H( -6, S) H	H( -6,11) H	1(-6,13)	SCUARES
P(-6,7)	0.979781	0.191233	0.054344	0.019992	C.\$\$\$893
P( -6, S)	-C.198380	0.891163	0.366473	C.156052	0.992181
F( -6,11)	0.025873	-0.399801	C.697310	0.481622	C.878711
P( -6,13)	-0.002411	0.095779	-0.571407	0.405557	C.500162
P( -6,15)	C.CC0169	-0.015513	C.216896	-C.651678	0.471969
P( -6,17)	0.0	0.001858	-C.053468	0.369328	C.139266
P(-6, 19)	0.0	-0.000173	C.C096C3	-0.129022	0.016739
P( -6,21)	0.0	0.0	-0.001334	C.C32244	C. C01041
P( -6,23)	0.0	0.0	0.000149	-0.006188	0.00038
P( -6,25)	C • C	0.0	0.0	0.000951	0.000001
SUM OF		•			
SCUARES	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000001	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= -5

#### SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 29.166 70.712 133.882 219.498 VALUES

				_									_					_				SUM	OF	
	•		H	-5	, 1		H(	-5		7)	H		-5	•	91	H	( -	•5,	11	.)	S	ເດີເຊັ	RES	
Р(	-5,	5)	C	.99	)49	916		0.0	98	302	22	0	• 0	21	.69	3	C .	C 0	71	33	C	.99	598	8
Р(	-5,	7)	-0	•10	)04	402		0.9	47	77	'2	C	• 2	280	03	0	0.	10	21	38	C	. 99	720	1
P (	-5,	S)	0	.00	278	335	-	0.2	98	93	1	C	. 8	304	49	8	C.	43	52	49	0	.92	608	1
Ρ(	-5,1	1)	-0	.00	04	440		0.0	152	18	4	- C	• 5	i00	16	6	0.	54	71	53	C	.55	226	6
P(	-5,1	3)	0	• C			-	0.0	06	12	8	0	.1	.51	10	3	-0.	63	55	83	0	.42	683	5
Р(	-5,1	5)	C	• 0				0.0	CO	52	5	-0	• 0	29	64	1	0.	29	79	94	C	• C 8	9 <b>67</b>	9
Ρl	-5,1	7)	0	• 0				0.0	)			0	• 0	04	20	1	-C.	80	71	42	С	• C C	761	1
Р(	-5,1	9)	0	• 0			4	0.0	)			-0	• 0	00	45	6	С.	01	81	78	0	.00	033	1
Ρ(	-5,2	1)	0	•0				0.0	)			0	. 0	)			-0.	00	28	ç4	C	• C C	000	8
P (	-5,2	3)	0	•0			1	0.0	)			0	.0	)			0.	00	03	66	0	.00.	000	0
SUM	OF																							
SCL	I FRES		1	•00	000	000		1.0	00	00	0	1	• 0	00	00	0	1.	C 0	00	CC				

ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	47.312	99.531	173.650	270.878	
VALUES					
					SUM
	H( -5, 6) I	4( -5, 8) H	{( -5,10) }	1( -5,12)	SGUA
F( -5, 6	C.980407	0.187622	0.054922	0.021129	C. 59
P( -5, 8	-C.195515	0.890482	0.365872	C.160213	0.99
P( -5,10	0.023926	-0.403350	689085	C.47526C	C.86
P( -5,12)	-0.002021	0.094511	-C.5804C7	0.385179	C.49
P( -5.14	) C.000125	-0.014623	C.220196	-C.658626	0.49

Р(	-5,10)	0.023926	-0.403350	C.689CE5	C.47926C	C.867792
Ρl	-5,12)	-0.002021	0.094511	-C.5804C7	0.385179	C.494172
Р(	-5,14)	C.000125	-0.014623	C.220196	-C.658626	0.492488
Pl	-5,16)	0.0	0.001642	-0.053270	C.378839	C.14636C
Р(	-5,18)	C • C	-0.000141	0.C09258	-0.132026	0.017517
Р(	-5,20)	C • C	C • C	-0.001230	0.032520	C.C01059
<b>P (</b>	-5,22)	0.0	0.0	0.000130	-0.006093	C.CCC37
P(	-5,24)	C•0	0.0	0.0	0.000908	0.00001

SCUARES 1.000000 1.000000 1.000000 1.000001

SUM OF

0F RES 9862 0714

## EXPANSION CCEFFICIENTS FCR HOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= -4

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 19.035 54.401 111.555 191.360 VALUES

		-						SUM UF
		Н (	-4	, 4)	H( -4, 6)	H( -4, 8)	H(-4,10)	SCUAR ES
Р(	-4, 4	4)	C • 9 9	55448	0.09255	52 C. 021178	B C.007358	C•999985
Ρ(	-4, 6	5) -	0.09	95091	0.94848	3 0.27743	6 C.104736	0.996605
Р(	-4, 8	9)	C • C (	6437	-0.29886	5 C.79973	2 0.434576	0.917790
Ρ(	-4,10	)) -	C.00	0297	0.04964	4 - 0. 508961	B C.529C46	0.5414C2
Ρ(	-4,12	2)	C • C		-0.00536	0.152039	G -C.646695	0.441359
Р(	-4,14	<b>(</b> )	0.0		0.00041	2 - C. 028790	0.306299	C.C94648
P (	-4,16	5)	0.0		0.0	0.003861	7 -0.088676	C.CC7878
Р(	-4,18	3)	C • C		0.0	-0.000392	2 0.018047	0.000326
Ρ(	-4,20	))	0.0		0.0	C• 0	-C.CC2771	C.CC0008
Ρ(	-4,22	2)	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.000335	C.C00000
SUM	OF					•		
ຣເປ	IARES		1.00	0000	1.00000	0 1.00000	1.CC00CC	

E I C V A L	GEN LUES	34.079	80.186	148.591	239.910	
		H(-4, 5)	H{ -4. 7) I	1 -	11	SUM OF
PI	-4. 5)	(, 981237	0.182767	11 -4, 91 r		SCUARES
PI	-4, 71	-0.191592	0 9905//	0.245154	0 1(520)	0.00000
· · ·	· · · · ·	00151332	0.007944	0.505154	0.165201	0.528625
Pl	-4, 91	0.021540	-0.407983	0.678361	0.475894	C.E53563
Ρ(	-4,11)	-0.001601	0.093053	-0.591669	0.359464	C.487947
Р(	-4,13)	C.COCCE5	-0.013624	0.224574	-C.666479	0.494814
F (	-4,15)	C.O	0.001418	-0.053202	C.390763	0.155528
Ρ(	-4,17)	C • C	-0.000111	0.008910	-0.136012	0.018575
Ρ(	-4,19)	C.O	0.0	-0.001127	0.033003	0.001090
P(	-4,21)	0.0	0.0	C.000112	-C.CO6C27	C.CCC036
Ρ(	-4,231	C • C	0.0	0.0	C.003868	0.000001
SUM	OF			•		
ຣເບ	IARES	1.000000	1.00000	1.000000	1.000001	

## EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= -3

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 11.058 40.256 91.463 165.571 VALUES

						SUM OF
	ł	1( -3, 3)	H( -3, 5)	+( -3, 7)	-( -3, 9)	SQUARES
Ρ(	-3, 3)	C•996176	0.084576	C.020113	Ċ•CC7450	C.999981
F (	-3, 5)	-C.C87233	0.949419	0.274032	0.107799	0.995721
Ρ(	-3, 7)	C+C04768	-0.298776	C.793102	C•433535	C•906253
Р(	-3, 9)	-0.000165	0.046500	-C.520676	C•504924	0.528214
Ρ(	-3,11)	C • O	-0.004509	C.153611	-0.660379	0.459717
Ρ(	-3,13)	C•0	0.000302	-0.027912	0.317349	0.101490
F (	-3,15)	C • O	<b>0 • 0</b> ,	0.003523	-0.090996	0.008293
Ρl	-3,17)	<b>C</b> • O	0.0	-C.000330	0.618036	0.000325
Ρ(	-3,19)	0.0	0.0	C.O	-0.002662	C.CCCCC7
Ρ(	-3,21)	C • C	0.0	0.0	0.000307	. 0.000000
SUM	I OF					
ຣເເ	JARES	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

EIGEN	23.000	63.036	125.621	211.362
VALLES				

					SUM CF
	H(-3, 4)	H -3, 6) I	4( -3, 8) H	+( -3,10)	SGLARES
P(-3, 4)	<b>C.</b> 982386	0.176039	0.055676	C.C23938	0.999746
P( -3, 6)	-C.185932	0.888165	C.364315	0.171289	C.985473
P( -3, 8)	0.018571	-0.414319	0.663773	C.470857	0.834306
P( -3,10)	-C.0C1160	0.091408	-0.606180	0.325970	0.482068
P( -3,12)	C.000050	-0:012511	C.23C652	-C.675235	C.5C9300
P( -3,14)	0.0	0.001187	-C.C53397	0.406170	0.167826
P( -3,16)	C•0	-0.000083	C.0C8579	-0.141515	G.C20100
F( -3,18)	0.0	0.0	-0.001026	C.C3383C	0.001146
P( -3,20)	C • C	0.0	0.000095	-0.006015	0.00036
P( -3,22)	C • C	C • O	C. 0	C.CC0835	0.00001
SUM OF		•			
SCUARES	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000001	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= -2

SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALLES

EIGEN 5.233 28.291 73.661 142.245 VALUES

		H( -2, 2)	4( -2, 4) 1	H( -2.6)	+(-2, 8)	SUM OF
Ρ(	-2, 2)	0.997212	0.071942	0.017875	0.007129	0.999977
<b>P (</b>	-2, 4)	-C.C74568	0.950658	0.269415	0.111437	0.994314
Ρ(	-2, 6)	0.002848	-0.298745	0.783215	C.431799	C.889132
Р(	-2, 8)	-0.000062	0.042565	-0.537(79	0.471109	0.512210
Р(	-2,10)	C • C	-0.003581	C.156436	-0.677547	0.483555
Ρ(	-2,12)	0.0	0.000201	-0.027101	C.3328C2	0.111492
Ρ(	-2,14)	C • C	0.0	0.003182	-0.094707	0.008980
Ρ(	-2,16)	0.C	0.0	-C.00C272	0.018268	C.CCC334
Ρ(	-2,18)	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.002586	0.000007
Ρ(	-2,20)	C • C	0.0	0.0	0.000282	0.000000
SUM	OF					
scr	JARES	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 14.C77 48.111 105.020 185.381 VALLES

					SUM OF
	H( -2, 3) 1	H( -2, 5) H	1(-2,7)	+(-2, 9)	SCUARES
F( -2, 3)	0.984072	0.165933	0.055261	0.025453	C.999634
P( -2, 5)	-C.177148	0.885927	0.363396	C.178922	0.980318
P( -2, 7	0.014837	-0.423591	0.642715	C.462751	C.8C69C8
P( -2, 9)	-0.000724	0.089681	-0.625610	0.280433	0.478073
P( -2,11)	0.0	-0.011294	0.239623	-C.684569	0.526181
P( -2,13)	0.0	0.000958	-C.054142	0.426900	C.185176
P( -2,15)	C.O	0.0	0.008309	-0.149537	0.022430
P( -2,17	) C.C	0.0	-C.000934	C.C35276	C.C01245
P( -2,19)	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.006106	0.00037
P[ -2,21]	C • C	0.0	0.0	0.009816	0.000001
SUM OF		•			
SGUARES	1.000001	1.000001	1.000001	1.000001	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= -1

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	1.556	18.535	58.270	121.614
VALUES				

					SUM OF
	H(-1, 1)	H( -1, 3) H	1( -1, 5)	-1, 7	SCLARES
P( -1,	<b>1) C.</b> 998679	0.049264	0.012806	0.005559	C.999982
F( -1, )	3) -0.051378	0.952150	0.263017	0.115812	0.551820
P( -1,	5) C.CCC538	-0.25.5262	0.766798	0.428497	0.861147
P( -1,	7) 0.0	0.037664	-C.561875	C.420007	0.493528
P( -1,	9) C.O	-0.002614	0.162046	-C.699403	0.515430
P( -1+1.	1) C.C	0.000116	-C.026624	0.356053	C.127482
P( -1,1	3) 0.0	0.0	C.C02880	-0.101164	C.C1C242
P( -1,1	5) C.C	0.0	-0.000222	C.019036	0.000362
P( -1,1	7) C.O	0.0	C • C	-C.C02586	C.C00007
P( -1,19	9) 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000267	0.00000
SLM OF					
SCUARES	1.00001	1.00000	1.00000	1.000000	

EIGEN VALUES	7.310	35.485	86.962	162.267	
					SUM OF
ł	+( -1, 2) +	4( -1, 4)	H( -1, 6) H	+( -1, 8)	SGLARES
F( -1, 2)	C.986742	0.149281	0.053028	0.026463	0.999457
P(-1, 4)	-C.161979	0.881689	0.362637	0.188863	0.970787
P(-1, 6)	C.010160	-0.438673	C.6C9477	C.448472	C.765127
P( -1, 8)	-0.000337	0.088350	-C.653013	C.214582	0.480278
P(-1,10)	C • O	-0.010043	C.254114	-0.693032	0.544969
P(-1, 12)	0.0	0.000743	-0.056138	C.456475	C.211521
P(-1, 14)	C • C	0.0	0.008213	-0.162213	C.C26381
P(-1, 16)	C • C	0.0	-C. 000864	0.037956	$C \cdot C \cdot C \cdot C \cdot 1 \cdot 4 + 1$
F( -1,18)	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.006415	0.000041
P( -1,20)	C • C	0.0	0•Q	0.000828	0.00001
SUM OF					
SCUARES	1.000000	1.000001	1.000001	1.000001	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= C

.

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	11.101	45.617	104.274	187.445
VALUES				

						SUM OF
		H( 0, 2)	H( 0,4)	H( 0, 6)	H( 0, 8)	SCUARES
Р(	0, 0)	C • O	0.0	0.0	0 • C	0.0
P(	0,21	0.952265	0.254643	0.121459	0.073174	C•591758
Р(	C, 4)	-C.3C3573	C.733842	C•420358	C.267869	C.879134
Р(	0, 6)	0.032122	-0.604289	0.332460	C•358185	C. £05023
Ρ(	0, 8)	-0.001716	0.175243	-0.726672	-C.096282	C.568036
Ρ(	0,10)	C. C00055	-0.027412	C.395561	-0.600398	0.517698
P(	0,12)	0.0	0.002728	-0.114186	C.5874C2	0.358087
Ρ(	0,14)	C. 0	-0.000189	0.021211	-0.270813	0.073790
Р(	0,16)	C • O	0.0	-0.002789	C.C78727	0.006206
Ρ(	0,18)	0.0	0.0	0.000275	-0.016185	0.000262
Р(	0,20)	0.0	0.0	C. 0	0.002509	0.000006
F(	0,22)	0 • C	0.0	0.0	-0.000306	C.CCCC00
SUM	OF					
SQUA	ARES	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

## ANTI-SYMPETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALLES

EIGEN 2.7C3 25.373 71.900 142.778 VALUES

						SUM CF
		H( 0, 1)	H( 0, 3)	H( 0, 5)	H( C, 7)	SCLARES
Р(	0, 1)	C.9914(8	0.117078	0.045234	0.024754	0.999256
Ρ(	0, 3)	-C.130722	0.871187	C.363C24	C.202577	C•948878
Ρ(	0, 5)	0.004661	-0.468204	0.548326	0.418120	0.694722
Ρ(	0, 7)	-C.000077	0.089609	-C.694633	C.109364	0.502505
F (	0, 9)	0.0	-0.009060	0.281306	-C.694556	C.561622
F (	0,11)	0 • C	0.000572	-0.061520	C.502887	0.256681
Ρ(	0,13)	C•C	0.0	0.008643	-C.185214	C.C34379
F(	0,15)	0.0	0.0	-C.000855	0.043637	0.001905
Ρ(	C,17)	<b>C</b> • 0	C.• 0	0.0	-0.007283	0.000053
F (	0,19)	C • C	0.0	C. O	0.000917	C.CCC001
SLM	CF					
SQLI	ARES	1.00000	1.000000	1.000001	1.000001	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 1

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 6.734 37.095 92.604 173.567 VALUES

						SUM CF
		H( 1, 1) +	1( 1, 3) +	H( , 1, 5) H	H( 1, 7)	SCLARES
Ρ(	1, 1)	0.537637	0.257775	0.135686	0.088029	0.571771
P (	1, 3)	-0.346085	0.632025	C.383567	0.259137	C.733507
P (	1, 5)	0.032555	-0.696041	C.137349	0.208198	C.547744
Р(	1, 7)	-C.001384	0.220006	-0.747753	-0.277007	0.684272
Р(	1, 9)	C.000034	-0.034773	C.482477	-C.491967	0.476024
Ρ(	1,11)	-0.000001	0.003351	-0.151042	0.656672	0.454C43
Р(	1,13)	0.00000	-0.000219	C.029200	-0.345514	0.120233
Ρ(	1,15)	-C.CO00C0	0.000010	-0.003896	C.1C8729	C.C11837
Ρ(	1,17)	<b>C</b> • 0	-0.000000	0.000383	-0.023534	0.000554
Ρ(	1,19)	C • O	0.00000	-C.000029	C.C03772	0.00014
P (	1,21)	0.0	-0.000000	C.C00002	-0.000469	0.00000.00
Ρ(	1,231	C • 0	0.000000	-0.000000	0.000047	0.000000
Pl	1,25)	C • C	0.0	0.00000	-0.00)0(4	C. CO0000
SUM	OF					
SGUA	ARES	1.000000	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	

## ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN VALUES

•

18.808 61.679 129.896 223.620

					•	SUM UM
	1	H( 1, 2)	H( 1, 4) H	1( 1, 6)	+( 1, 8)	SCUARES
Ρ(	1, 2)	C. 825998	0.366408	C.220813	C.152498	0.888541
P (	1, 4)	-0.553053	0.390970	C.323962	0.246856	0.624614
Р(	1, 6)	C.1C8415	-0.763298	-0.095008	C.C62183	0.607270
Р(	1, 8)	-C.C1C310	0.351536	-C.652583	-0.388353	C.7CC366
Ρ(	1,10)	0.000583	-0.080954	C.59C795	-0.289251	0.439259
Р(	1,12)	-C.000022	0.011502	-0.242018	0.666004	0.502267
Р(	1,14)	C.000001	-0.001121	0.060625	-0.448785	C.2C5C88
Р(	1,16)	0.0	060000.0	-C.01C49C	C.174033	0.030398
Ρ(	1,18)	C • C	-0.000004	C.001343	-0.045965	0.002115
Ρ(	1,20)	0.0	0.0	-C.C00133	C.CC8971	083333.00
Ρ(	1,22)	<b>C</b> • 0	0.0	0.000011	-0.001360	C.CCCC02
Р(	1,24)	C.0	0.0	-C.CC0001	0.000166	000000.000
Ρ(	1,26)	0.0	0.0	0.0	-C.000017	000000.0
SLM	OF					
SGUA	ARES	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 2

SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 12.462 47.660 106.743 190.214 VALUES

						•																S	UM	OF	
		н(		2,	21	) H	1(	2	, 4	+)	H	ſ	2,	6	)	1	(	2,	1	8)		S۵	LAR	ES	
Р(	2, 2		C • 1	562	27(	8	0	• 2	284	431	L	0.	10	58	93	3	0.	. C 6	2	92	5	۲.	594	16	1
Ρ(	2, 4)	) -	0.	268	88	77	C	•7	506	558	3	0.	42	19	70	2	С.	26	6	31	1	0.	884	76	3
PI	2, 61		С.	C 2 9	991	L 0	-0	• 5	94]	LŞE	5	Ç.	34	20	66	5	0.	36	4	52:	3	С.	603	85	0
P (	2, 8	) -	0.	001	.73	39	0	.1	749	54	7 -	· C •	72	32	69	9 -	- C .		20	8 <b>ç</b> :	6	0.	562	21	7
Ρ(	2,10	)	C.	coc	) C 6	52	-0	•02	280	258	3	C.	39	58	59	. (	- C .	.59	79	95	8	С.	515	04	5
P (	2,12	) –	C .	coc	00	)1	0	.0	021	883	3 -	· C •	11	57	99	}	0.	58	72	22	5	С.	358	25	1
P(	2,14	)	G .	coc	00	00	-0	.0	002	208	3	C.	02	18	74	4 -	- C .	27	2	97.	2	С.	C 7 4	\$9	2
Ρ(	2,161	) -	C .	ссс	00	0	0	• 0 (	000	)1]		-0.	00	29	31	L	0.	.08	01	17	2	0.	006	43	6
Р(	2,18	)	C .	coc	00	00	-0	• 0 •	coc	00	)	C.	00	C 2	95	5 -	- C .	C 1	68	571	2	С.	occ	27	8
Ρ(	2,20	)	C . (	0			0	.0	coc	00	) -	- C.	C 0	СС	23	3	C.	СС	20	51	6.	0.	ссс	CC	7
Р(	2,221	)	C .	С			-0	• 0 (	000	00	)	0.	00	00	01	-	-0.	.00	03	32	3	0.	coc	000	0
Р(	2,241		C . (	С			0	• C (	ccc	cc	) -	· C.	CC	00	00	)	0.	.00	00	)32	2	0.	ccc	000	C
F(	2,261	)	0.0	0			0	•0				0.	00	C O	00	) -	- C .	00	0(	C C :	3	0.	ссс	00	0
SUM	OF																								
SGUA	RES		1.	ccc	00	0	1	.0	coc	00	)	1.	СC	C C	CC		1.	сс	00	<b>C</b> (	С				

ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALLES

145.409

241.189

74.177

EIGEN V/LUES 27.121

																										S	UM	OF	:
			H(		2,	3	)	H(		2,	5	)	Η(		2,	•	7)	H	{ (		2,	, '	9	)	1	SG	UAF	RES	5
Р(	2, 3	3)	(	0	88	87	12		0.	35	56	76	5	С.	19	95	32	3	(	•	12	26	1 '	79	(	C.	ç7(	38	6
Ρ(	2,	5)	- (	C • '	44 <sup>4</sup>	98	22		0.	56	18	21	-	0.	42	23	55	6	6		30	)4	34	46	(	C • 1	790	000	; 5
Ρ(	2,	7)	(	C . I	80	81	18	-	0.	68	90	11		0.	11	5	45	9	(		2 !	56	5(	5	1	С.	561	. 83	\$4
Ρ(	2, 9	9)	-(	).	00	93	16	)	0.	28	12	61	-	0.	69	2	00	5	- (	•	26	C.	29	92	1	C .	625	581	8.
Ρ(	2,1	1)	1	C . (	CC	06	2 C	-	0.	06	26	49	)	С.	50	3	13	8	- (		45	51	7	79.	. 4	0.	461	117	8
Р(	2,13	3)	- (	).(	00	00	29		0.	CO	90	Ce	5 <b>-</b>	С.	18	7	20	3	(	) 。	63	33	90	55	1	0.	437	703	88
Ρ(	2,1	5)	(	C 🔹 !	00	00	01	-	0.	0 C	CS	14	F	C.	04	4(	67	5	-(	)。	36	5	66	59	í	с.	135	571	0.
Р(	2,1	7)	- (	).	000	00	00		0.	00	00	69	) -	ο.	00	7	57	0	(		12	29	9 (	12	i	0.	C 16	593	34
Р(	2,1	ç)	(	C .	CC	00	00		0.	СC	00	C4	F	C.	00	0	96	7	-(	).	03	32	49	57	1	0.	CCI	105	54
Р (	2,2	1)	- (	C.	C C (	00	00		0.	СC	00	CC	) –	С.	CO	C	39	7	(	).	00	)6	11	11	(	0.	000	003	37
F (	2,23	3)	(	0.0	0			-	0	00	00	CC	)	С.	C O	C	C C -	8	-(	).	CC	:0	S (	26	ł	С.	C C C	CCC	1
Р(	2,2	5)	(	0.	С				0.	CC	00	00	) –	0.	00	00	00	1	C	).	00	0.	1(	39	(	0.	000	000	0
Р(	2,2	7)	(	2(	0			-	0.	00	00	00	)	C.	00	00	00	0	-(	).	СС	00	C 1	1	ł	C .	000	000	0
SLM	OF																												
SQLA	RES		]	1.	CCO	00	00		1.	СС	00	00	)	1.	CO	00	00	0	]		00	0	00	00					

## EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 3

.

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	2C•450	61.276	125.379	213.292
VALUES		1		

							SUM OF
	•	H( 3,	3) H(	3, 5)	H( 3, 7)	H( 3, 5)	SCLARES
F (	3, 3)	0.972	315 0.	207817	0.085685	0.046175	C.598058
Р(	3, 5)	-C.231	.893 0.	803626	C.421437	0.246234	0.937829
Ρ(	3, 7)	C.C28	728 -0.	535644	0.450442	C.429225	0.674872
<b>P</b> <sup>1</sup> (	3, 9)	-0.002	079 0.	153039	-0.692498	0.030425	C.5C3905
Ρ(	3,11)	C. COO	098 -0.	025572	°C• 349571	-C.633159	0.523744
F(	3,13)	-0.00	003 0.	002847	-C.100123	0.538858	C. 300444
Р(	3,15)	0.0	-0.	000228	0.019134	-0.236562	0.056328
Ρ(	3,17)	C•O	0.	000014	-0.002651	C. C679CC	0.004617
Р(	3,19)	0.0	-0.	000001	0.000280	-0.014086	0.000198
Ρ(	3,21)	C.C	0.	C	-C.000023	0.002237	0.000005
Ρ(	3,231	0.0	0.	0	0.000002	-C.000282	C. CCCCCO
Ρ(	3,25)	C • C	0.	Ö	0.0	0.000029	0.0000.0
Ρ(	3,271	C • 0	0.	0	0.0	-0.000003	0.000000
SUM	OF						
SGU	RES	1.000	000 1.	000000	1.000000	1.000000	

	<b>FUII</b>	-SYMMETRIC	. EIGENFUNC	IILNS AND I	EIGENVALUES	
EIG	EN UES	38.001	90 - 377	166.336	266.285	
						SUM CE
		H(3, 4)	H( 3, 6)	H( 3, 8) H	H(3,10)	SCLAPES
Ρ(	3, 4)	C.914728	0.336790	C.168334	0.100186	C.988528
Ρ(	3, 6)	-C.396181	0.644654	C.453122	0.304480	0.870566
Ρ(	3, 8)	0.078918	-0.638191	0.238805	C.354340	C.5961C1
Ρ(	3,10)	-0.009208	0.246194	-0.691316	-0.153864	0.562288
.Р (	3,12)	C.0CC710	-0.C55014	0.451499	-0.523044	C.480454
Ρ(	3,14)	-0.00039	0.008217	-0.161376	C.599037	C.364956
Pl	3,16)	C.C00C02	-0.CCC887	0.038216	-C.320588	0.104238
Ρ(	3,18)	C • C	0.000073	-C.00656C	0.109856	0.012111
Ρĺ	3,20)	C • O	-0.000005	0.000862	-0.027043	0.000732
Р(	3,22)	0.0	0.0	-C.CC0090	0.005089	C.C00026
F (	3,24)	0.0	0.0	6.000068	-C.000762	C.CCCC01
Ρ(	3,26)	C • C	0.0	0.0	0.000093	0.00000.0
P (	3,28)	0.0	0.0	0.0	-C.CC001C	0.000000
SUM	OF					
SQLA	ARES	1.000000	1.000000	1.00000	1.000000	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 4

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGE	EN IES	30.624	77.317	146.895	239.899	
		H( 4, 4)	H( 4, 6) H	4(4,8)	4( 4,10)	SUM OF SCUARES
Ρ(	4, 4)	0.977301	0.193726	0.C72442	0.035731	0.555171
Ρ(	4, 6)	-C.210008	0.834156	0.413655	0.225647	0.961947
Р(	4, 8)	C.C27830	-0.496544	C. 519861	0.461379	C.730456
Ρ(	4,10)	-0.002346	0.139656	-C. 665695	C.120177	0.477102
Ρ{	4,12)	C.000136	-0.024202	0.318918	-0.646908	0.520785
Ρ(	4,14)	C•0	0.002890	-0.090608	C.502749	C.26C975
Ρ(	4,16)	C • C	-0.000254	0.017641	-0.213090	0.045718
Ρ(	4,18)	C•O	0.0	-0.002537	C.C6C616	0.003691
Ρ(	4,20)	0.0	0.0	0.000282	-C.C12675	C.CC0161
Ρ(	4,22)	C • C	0.0	0.0	0.002054	0.00004
Р(	4,24)	C • O	0.0	C.O.	-C.CC0267	0.00000
Ρ(	4,26)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ρ(	4,28)	C • O	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SUM	CF					
SGUA	RES	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	1.003000	

EIGEN	51.157	109.205	190.444	295.298
VALLES				

																					S	LM	CF	
		H	11	4,	5)	H		4,	7)	H	(	4,	9	)	H (		4,	1	1)		S۵	LAR	ES	
Ρ(	4, 5	5)	С.	\$2	903	9	0.3	320	183	8	0.	14	78(	65		0.	<b>C</b> 8	1	56	3	С.	554	56	7
Ρ(	4, 7	?)	- C.	36	254	7	0.	694	93	0	C.	46	230	67		0.	29	24	4 <del>C</del> .	2	0.	<u>913</u>	68	6
Ρ(	4, 9	))	0.	07	321	9 -	-0.	601	.19	4	0.	32	25	74		C .	41	1	3 0	5	С.	639	84	С
Ρ(	4,11	.)	- C.	00	923	4	0.	223	799	9 -	-0.	68	21(	00	-	0.	60	8	82	2	0.	520	16	8
Ρ(	4,13	3)	0.		C 8 O	1 -	-0.	050	52	8	0.	41	55	51	-	С.	56	1	ςç	4	0.	491	10	7
Р(	4,15	5)	0.	0			0.	007	85	1 -	- C .	14	524	42		С.	56	9	02	3	0.	344	94	4
Ρ(	4,17	7)	С.	0		-	-0.	ссс	90	0	С.	03	45	05	-	С.	29	0	40	9	0.	C 8 5	52	9
Ρ(	4,19		0.	0			0.	0		-	-0.	00	604	47		С.	C S	7	61	5	0.	009	56	5
Ρ(	4,21	.)	C.	. C			0.	0			0.	00	082	21	-	0.	02	3	97	3	С.	000	57	5
Ρ(	4,23	3)	C.	. C			0.	0			°C.	0				С.	CC	4	55	5	С.	000	02	1
Ρĺ	4,25	5)	С.	0			0.	0			0.	0			-	0.	00	0	65	5	۲.	CCC	00	0
Ρ(	4,27	7)	C.	0			0.0	<b>)</b> (			0.	0				0.	0				0.	0		
Ρ(	4,29	))	0.	0			0.0	0			0.	0				0.	С				C.	С		
SUM	OF													•										
SGU	ARES		1.	00	000	1	1.	C C O	00	L	1.	CC	000	01		1.	00	0	00	0				

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 5

#### SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 42.965 95.639 170.911 269.323 VALUES

	-																									9	SUM	0	F
		ŀ	1(	- 5	,	5)	ł	4(	4	5,	7	)	H (		5	,	9)		F (		5	, ]	. 1	)		SG	SU A	RΕ	S
Ρ(	5, 5	5)	(	9	80	31	.2	C	).:	183	36	52	2	0.	06	53	31	. 7		0.	C	28	88	8	1	0.	99	95	84
Ρ(	5,	7)	- (	.1	95	51	71	(		853	35	86	)	0.	40	)4	73	31		0.	2	07	'9	79	9	С.	· 5 7	46	02
Ρ(	5, 9	9)	(		27	' C 8	31	-(	).4	46	84	46	5	0.	56	88	40	)1		C.	, 4	78	34	8	5	С.	77	22	02
Ρ(	5,1	1)	-0	.0	02	54	+8	(	)•]	130	03	34		0.	64	3	20	)4		0.	1	88	86	7	3	C.	46	63	C2
Р(	5,13	3)	C	.0	00	17	12	-(	).(	22	33	CC	)	С,	29	96	71	. 9	-	0.	6	51	.5	42	2	0.	51	3 C	92
P (	5,1	5)	C	0	) •			C	).(	00	29	53		0	90	34	C 5	:4		С.	4	74	4	36	5	0.	23	21	63
P(	5,1	7)	(					-(	• (	00	02	81		0.	01	.6	68	88	-	0.	.1	96	52	84	4	0.	C 3	88	66
Ρ(	5,19	5)	(	<b>.</b> C				C	).(	)			-	•0.	00	)2	48	37		0.	0	55	56	7(	0	0.	C 0	31	05
Р(	5,23	1)	C	.0				C	(	)				C.	C (	0	29	1	-	0.	0	11	7	7!	5	C.	CC	C1	39
Ρ(	5,23	3)	(					C	.0	)				0.	0					0.	0	01	.9	53	1	0.	00	00	04
Ρ(	5,2	5)	0	0				C	•(	)				0.	0				-	C.	0	00	)2	62	2	С.	CC	0C	00
Ρ(	5,27	7)	C	•0				C	•	)				0.	0					0.	0					С.	C		
Р(	5,29	9)	C					(	)。(	)				0.	0					0.	.0					0.	. C		
SUM	OF																												
SQUI	ARES		1	• 0	00	00	00	1	• (	00	00	00		1.	00	0	00	0		1.	.0	00	0	00	)				

#### ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 66.523 ( 130.414 217.200 227.320 VALUES

																	S	MU	OF
	1	H(	5,	6)	Н (	5,	8)	Н(	5	5,1	10)	Н	(	5,	12	)	SC	LAF	RES
Ρ(	5, 6)	0.	93	8037	0	•30	3094	+ 1	0.1	132	251	4	C .	06	82	85	С.	,997	7058
Ρ(	5, 8)	- C .	.33	9422	C	.728	8778	3	0.2	<b>i</b> 63	882	3	G.	27	81	80	0.	,538	3841
F (	5,10)	C.	.069	9222	-0	.573	3059	)	<b>C</b> •3	883	858	7	0.	. 44	66	5 3	C.	679	5854
Р(	5,12)	- C .	• C C (	9277	0	.207	7966	,	0.6	570	86	7	-0.	.00	00	C4	0.	, 493	3398
Ρ(	5,14)	0.	• C C (	0885	-0	.04	7491	• 1	<b>C</b> • 3	388	272	5	-C.	58	52	C 6	С.	,495	5832
Ρ(	5,16)	-0.	.000	063	0	.007	7651	_	C. 1	133	392	6	0.	54	36	47	0,	,313	3546
Ρ(	5,18)	С,	• C C (	0004	-0	.000	\$\$26	, 1	C . (	)32	204	1	-0.	26	82	57	С.	.072	2989
Р(	5,20)	- Ċ .	.000	0000	0	.000	C 8 8		0.0	05	574	1	0.	<b>.</b> C 8	91	45	С.	, C C 7	7980
Ρ(	5,22)	C a		0000	-0	.000	007	' <u>j</u> i	0.0	000	) 8 C	7	-0.	02	19	55	C.	000	0483
Р(	5,24)	- C .	• C C (	0000	0	.000	0000	) —	C. (	000	09	2	0.	00	42	28	С.	,000	0018
F (	5,261	0.	.000	0000	-0	.000	0000	) (	0.0	00	000	ς	-0.	.00	06	59	С.	, C C (	0000
Р(	5,28)	- C .		0000	0	.000	0000	) - (	0.0	00	00	1	0.	00	00	85	С.	.000	00.00
Ρ(	5,30)	C	.000	0000	-0	.000	0000	) (	C. (	CCC	cc	С	- C .	. C O	ÇC	C۶	6 e	CC(	0000
SUM	OF																		
SQUA	ARES	1.		0000	1	.000	COCC	)	1.(	00	000	0	1.	.00	ЭЭ	00			

.

## EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR FCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 6

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 57.469 116.186 197.281 301.286 VALUES

															SUM	OF
		H(	6, 6	) H(	6,	8)	Η(	6,1	0)	H{	6	5,1	2)		SGLA	RES
Р(	6, 6)	C.	9823	13 (	0.176	141	C.	056	727	' (	0.0	)24	14	8 (	0.95	9765
F (	6, 8)	-0.	1853:	52 (	0.867	859	C.	396	258	} (	C • 1	193	31	6 (	82.0	1927
Р(	6,10)	C.	0264	44 -(	2.447	262	۰0.	604	253	; (	C.4	487	74	7 .(	0.80	3762
Ρ(	6,121	-0.	C027	00 0	.123	406	-0.	624	358		C • 2	242	£4	7 (	3.46	3937
Р(	6,14)	0.	0002	04 -0	022	643	0.	279	785	- (	C. 6	551	78	3 (	50	3614
Р(	6,16)	0.	0	(	0.003	018	- C.	C79	207	' (	).4	51	58	8 (	.21	0215
Ρ(	6,18)	C.	0	-(	000.	3C8	0.	016	021	-(	0. 1	183	52	5 (	0.03	3938
Ρ(	6,20)	С.	0	(	0.0		-0.	002	468		0.0	52	05	c d	0.00	2715
Ρ(	6,22)	С.	С	(	0.0		0.	000	302	-(	).(	11	14	9 (	000	0124
Ρ(	6,24)	0.	0	C	0.0		0.	0		C	).(	201	88	<u> </u>	C.CO	0004
Ρ(	6,26)	C.	C	C	0.0		0.	0		- (	0.0	000	26	1 (	00.	0000
SUM	CF							-								
SQUA	RES	1.	00000	00 1	.000	000	1.	000	000	· ]	1.0	00	00	0		

EIC	EN	84.074	153.898	24 <b>6.</b> 395	361.996	
VAL	UES					
			•			SUM OF
		h( 6, 7)	H( 6, 9)	H( 6,11)	H( 6,13)	SGLARES
Ρ(	6,7)	C•944188	0.2.7889	C.120776	0.058568	0.998245
Р(	6, 9)	-C.322549	0.753088	C.462000	0.264418	0.954541
Ρ(	6,11)	0.066222	-0.550965	0.430041	C.470059	C.713939
Р(	6,13)	-0.009311	0.196092	-0.659627	0.056538	0.476843
Р(	6,15)	C.CC959	-0.045264	0.367749	-0.599617	C.456829
Ρ(	6,17)	0.0	0.007530	-0.125459	0.522103	0.288388
Ρ(	6,19)	C • C	-0.000556	(.030266	-0.251131	0.063984
Ρ(	6,21)	0.0	0.0	-0.005541	C. C82861	0.006897
Ρ(	6,23)	C.0	0.0	0.000804	$-C \cdot C20514$	0.000421
Ρ(	6,25)	0.0	0.0	C• 0	0.004008	C.C00016
Р(	6,27)	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.000639	0.00000.0
SLM	OF	-		-		
SQUI	ARES	1.000001	1.000001	1.000001	1.000000	

### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HEUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 7

#### SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	74.131	138.932	225.933	335.656
VALUES				

						SUM GF
		h( 7, 7)	H( 7, 9)	H(+ 7,11)	H( 7,13)	SCLARES
P (	7, 7)	C•9E3731	0.170343	0.051782	0.020747	C.999855
Р(	7, 9)	-0.177750	0.878083	0.388636	0.181184	C.986491
Р(	7,11)	0.025899	-0:430722	0.631788	C.492627	C. E28029
Ρ{	7,13)	-C.CC2817	0.118032	-0.608454	C.286217	0.466076
Р(	7,15)	C.000234	-0.022132	0.266400	-0. 645755	0.493658
Ρ(	7,17)	-0.000015	0.003078	-0.075451	C.432745	0.192970
Р(	7,19)	C.C00001	-0.000333	0.015526	-0.173458	0.030329
Ρ(	7,21)	-0.00000	0.000029	-0.002465	0.C49268	0.002433
Ρ(	7,231	C.CC0000	-0.00002	0.000313	-0.010688	0.000114
Ρ(	7,25)	-C.CC0000	0.000000	-C. CC0033	0.001851	C.C00003
Ρ(	7,27)	0.0	-0.000000	0.000003	-0.000263	0.00000
Ρ(	7,29)	0.0	0.00000	-0.000000	0.000032	0.000000
P(	7,31)	0.0	÷0,000000	c.ccccc	-C.CCCC3	0.00000
SUM	OF					
SQUA	ARES	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.000000	

## ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN VALLES 103.799 179.620 277.929 399.154

						SUM OF
	ł	H( 7,8)	H( 7,10)	H( 7,12)	H( 7,14)	SCLARES
Ρ(	7, 8)	C•548640	0.2896(8	C.111589	0.051265	0.998871
Ρ( ΄	7,10)	-0.309701	0.771360	0.458791	C.251958	0.964883
Р(	7,12)	C.C63871	-0.533173	0.466565	0.485888	C.742123
Р(	7,14)	-C.C09332	0.186825	-C.649C59	0.103648	C.467011
F (	7,16)	0.001024	-0.043544	C.350866	-C.6C8712	C•495535
Р(	7,18)	-C.CCCC88	0.007450	-0.118850	C•503666	0.267861
Р(	7,20)	C.0000C6	-0.000987	0.028917	-0.237431	0.057211
Ρ(	7,22)	-0.00000	0.000105	-0.005403	C.077987	0.006111
Ρ(	7,24)	C. CCCOCO	-0.000009	C.COC8C8	-0.019430	0.000378
P (	7,26)	-0.00000	0.000001	-C.000099	C.C3852	C.COCC15
Р(	7,28)	C.CC0000	-0.000000	C.000010	-0.000627	0.00000.0
Ρ(	7,30)	C • C	0.00000	-C.000001	03000°J	C.CCC000
Ρ(	7,32)	0.0	-0.000000	C.CCC000	-0.000010	000000.0
SLM	OF					
SQUA	RES	1.000000	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	

#### EXPANSION CCEFFICIENTS FOR HCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 8

÷

#### SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	92.950	163.862	256.827	372.354
VALLES				

										•											SU	M	CF	
		E(	8	, 8	3)	H(	8,	10	)	H (		8,	12	)	H (		٤,	14	}		sει	٨R	ES	
Р(	8,8)	. (	.9	847	85	0	.16	57	44		0.	04	794	44	•	0.	C1	81	.97	(	.9	99	903	
Р(	8,10)	- (	2.1	718	179	0	.88	59	15		G.	38	189	96		0.	17	10	73	(	9.9	89	498	
F(	8,12)	(	C. C:	254	30	-0	• 4]	.74	54		С.	65	35'	74		C.	45	49	34,Ş	(	3.	47	048	
P(	8,14)	- (	) • C	629	05	0	.11	.37	30	-	0.	59	49(	C 7	-	0.	32	20	18Ġ	Ċ	.4	7 C	597	
Р(	8,16)	(	0.0	002	.60	-0	.02	17	18		C .	25	55	35	-	C.	64	66	\$3	0	.4	83	983	
Ρ(	8,18)	- (	0.0	000	)19	0	.00	31	33		0.	07	244	43		G.	41	69	13:3	(	).1	79	091	
Р(	8,20)	(	C . C	000	01	-0	.00	:03	56		۰۵	01	514	40		С.	16	52	89	0	) • C	27	550	
Ρ(	8,22)	-(	0.0	coc	00	0	.00	00	33		0.	00	24	69		0.	C 4	70	56	(	) • C	02	220	
Ρ(	8,24)	(	0 • C	C 0 C	00	-0	.00	00	03		0.	00	032	26	-	C .	01	03	34	(	) • C	<b>0</b> C	107	
Ρ(	8,26)	- (	C . C	ссс	00	0		C 0	00	-	0.	00	003	36		C .	00	18	26	(	.0	00	003	
Ρ(	8,28)	C	0.0			-0	.00	00	CO		C .	CC	000	ЭЗ	-	0.	00	02	. 67	(	<b>.</b> .c	CC	ссс	
Ρ(	8,30)	(	) • C			0	.00	00	00	-	0.	00	000	00		0.	00	<b>)</b> C	33	C	.0	00	000	
Ρ(	8,32)	C	0.0			-0	. 00	00	CO		C .	00	000	00	-	0.	CC	00	C 3	(	C • C	00	000	
SLM	OF																							
SGLA	RES	1	- C (	000	00	1	.00	00	00		] _ (	00	000	0		1.	00	20	00					

EIGEN	125.689	207.551	311.746	438.694
VALLES				

			,			SUM OF
		H( 8, 9) I	H( 8,11) H	+( 8,13)	-( 8,15)	SCUARES
Ρ(	8, 9)	C.952005	0.282785	C.104251	0.045624	0.999231
Ρ(	8,11)	-0.299595	0.785575	C.455064	C.240913	C. 972007
F(	8,13)	0.061974	-0.518550	C.496001	0.496845	0.765608
Ρ(	8,15)	-C.C09342	0.175378	-0.639365	C.143410	C.461618
Ρ(	8,17)	0.001080	-0.042168	0.336972	-C.614445	C.492873
Ρ(	8,19)	-C.OCCC99	0.007354	-C.11353C	0.487753	0.250846
Ρ(	8,21)	0.000007	-0.001017	C.027853	-0.226196	C.C51941
Ρ(	8,23)	-0.000000	0.000113	-0.005304	0.074081	0.CC5516
_ P (	8,25)	<b>C.CCOCO</b>	-0.00010	C.CO0815	-0.018581	0.000346
F (	8,27)	-C.000000	0.000001	-C.000104	0.03737	0.000014
Ρ(	8,29)	C.CC0000	-0.000000	0.000011	-0.000621	000000.0
Ρ(	8,31)	0.0	0.000000	-C.000001	0.000067	C.CCCCCO
Ρ(	8,33)	0.0	-0.000000	C.000000	-0.000011	0.000000
SUM	OF					
รฉบ	ARES	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

#### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZUNAL WAVE NUMBER L= 9

## SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 113.926 190.967 289.939 411.335 VALUES

						SUM OF
		۲( 9, 5)	H( 9,11)	H( 9,13)	H( 9,15)	SGLARES
Р(	9, 9)	0.985599	0.162010	0.044902	0.016250	0.999933
Ρ(	9,11)	-C.167212	°C•892C96	0.375967	0.162573	C.991577
Р(	9,13)	0.025023	-0.40,6577	0.671219	0.495734	0.862218
Р(	9,15)	- (.002976	0.110204	-0.583259	0.352090	0.476313
Р(	9,171	C.000283	-0.021371	0.246542	-C. 643050	C.474753
Р(	9,19)	-0.000022	0.003181	-0.065974	C.403476	0.167700
Ρ(	9,21)	C.CCOCC1	-0.000378	C.014831	-0.158519	0-025348
Ρ(	9,231	-0.00000	0.000037	-C.C02478	0.045250	0.002054
Ρ(	9,25)	C.CC0000	-0.000003	0.000338	-0.010054	C.000101
Р(	9,27)	-C.CC0000	0.00000	-C.CO0038	C.OC181C	C.000003
F (	9,291	C.000000	-0.00000	C.000004	-C.000271	C.CC0000
Ρ(	9,31)	C • C	0.00000	-0.000000	0.000035	0.00000
Ρ(	9,331	C.O	-0.000000	C. CCCCOC	-C. CCOCC4	0.00033.0
SUM	OF					
SQUA	RES	1.000000	1.000000	1.00000	1.000000	

E I G E VAL U	EN JES	149.743	237.676	347.809	480.557	
						SUM OF
		h( 9,10)	H( 9,12)	H( 9,14)	H( 5,16)	SCLARES
Ρ(	9,10)	C.\$54633	0.277083	C.098265	0.041175	C.999450
P (	9,12)	-0.291443	0.796933	0.451225	C.231195	C. \$77(57
Р(	9,14)	0.060407	-0.506326	0.520213	0.504544	C.785201
Ρ(	9,16)	-0.009343	0.173256	-C. 630562	0.177350	C.459167
F (	9,18)	0.001128	-0.041035	0.325331	-0.617574	0.489418
Ρ(	9,20)	-C.CC0110	0.007353	-0.109147	0.473902	0.236550
Ρ(	9,22)	C.CCCC09	-0.001045	0.026989	-C.2168C2	0.047733
Р(	9,24)	-0.00001	0.000122	-0.005229	C.070875	0.005051
Р(	9,261	C.CC0000	-0.000012	C.CCC824	-0.017899	0.000321
Ρ(	9,28)	-C.CCCCO	0.000001	-0.000108	0.003650	C. C00013
F(	9,30)	C. CC0000	-0.000000	0.000012	-0.000619	0.000000
Ρ(	9,32)	C•C	0.000000	-C.CCC0C1	C.CCOC89	0.00000
F (	9,34)	0.0	-0.000000	C.COC000	-0.000011	0.00000
SLM	CF					
SQUA	RES	1.000000	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	
# EXPANSION CCEFFICIENTS FOR HCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 10

SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 137.057 220.242 325.252 452.568 VALUES

				,		SUM CF
		H( 10,10)	H( 10,12) I	H( 10,14)	H( 1C,16)	SGLARES
Р(	10,10)	0.986245	0.158921	0.042439	0.014703	0.999951
Р(	10,12)	-0.163413	0.857(54	C. 370746	0.155349	0.993068
Ρ(	10,14)	C.024669	-0.397501	0.685790	0.495579	C. 874523
Ρ(	10,16)	-0.003031	0.107258	-0.573153	C.377539	0.482554
Ρ(	10,18)	C.C00303	-0.021075	C.238965	-C. 639199	0.466124
Ρ(	10,20)	-0.000025	0.003223	-0.067905	0.391887	0.158197
Ρ(	10,22)	C. CC0002	-0.000358	C.014575	-C.152810	0.023563
Ρ(	10,24)	-0.CC0000	0.000041	-0.002489	0.043744	0.001920
P (	10,26)	<b>C</b> • <b>C C O O O O</b>	-0.000004	0.000349	-0.009826	0.000057
Ρ(	10,28)	-C.COCCO	0.00000	-C.000041	C.C018C1	C.000003
F (	10,30)	0.00000	-0.000000	C.CC0004	-0.000276	000000.0
P (	10,32)	0 • C	0.000000	-0.000000	0.000036	0.000000
Р(	10,34)	0.0	-0.00000	0.000000	-0.00004	C. CO0000
SUN	OF					
SQL	ARES	1.00000	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	2

# ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	175.958	269.985	386.098	524.703
VALUES				-

						SLM OF
		H( 10,11)	H( 10,13) I	H( 10,15)	F( 10,17)	SCLARES
Р(	10,11)	C•956739	0.272250	C.093315	0.037593	0.999590
F (	10,13)	-0.284730	0.806210	0.447477	C.222645	C. 980853
P (	10,15)	<b>C. C</b> 5 9 <b>C</b> 8 9	-0.495959	0.540454	C.5100C4	0.801663
Р(	10,17)	-(.(05338	0.168131	-0.622595	0.206619	0.458672
F (	10,19)	0.001171	-0.040084	0.315435	-0.620027	0.485540
Ρ(	10,21)	-C.CC0120	0.007321	-0.105469	0.461752	0.224392
Р (	10,23)	0.00010	-0.001071	0.026272	-C.208826	C.C44299
Ρ(	10,25)	-0.000001	0000129	-0.005172	0.068192	0.004677
Ρ(	10,27)	C.CC0000	-0.000013	C.000834	-0.017337	0.000301
F (	10,29)	-0.00000	0.00001	-0.000113	0.003582	C.CCCC13
Ρ(	10,31)	C.CC0000	-0.00000	0.000013	-0.000618	0.00000
Р(	10,33)	-0.000000	0.00000	-0.000001	0.000051	000000.0
Ρ(	10,35)	0.0	-0.000000	0.000000	-0.000012	000000.0
SUM	GF					
SGL	JARES	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

# EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HOUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 11

.

SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 162.344 251.682 362.757 496.031 VALUES

1. ÷ .

						SUM OF
		H( 11,11)	H( 11,13)-I	H( 11,15)	h( 11,17)	SCLARES
Ρ(	11,11)	0.986769	0.156324	0.040390	0.013468	0.999963
Р(	11,13)	-C.160264	0.901215	0.366127	0.149155	0.994170
Р (	11,15)	0.024358	-0.389814	C.658C19	C•49485C	C•8E4657
Р(	11,17)	-C.C03075	0.104758	-0.564312	0.399377	C•488934
Ρ(	11,19)	0.000321	-0.020818	0.232492	-C.635323	C.458122
Р(	11,21)	-0.000028	0.003259	-0.066144	0.381805	0.150160
Ρ(	11,23)	0.00002	-0.CCC416	C.014360	-0.147528	0.022089
F (	11,25)	-0.00000	0.000044	-C.002502	0.042469	0.001810
Р(	11,27)	C.CC0000	-0.000004	0.000361	-0.009637	0.000093
Ρl	11,29)	-C.CC0000	0.00000	-0.000044	0.CC1795	C.CO00C3
Ρ(	11,31)	0.00000	-0.000000	0.000005	-C.000282	0.00000.0
Ρ(	11,33)	0 • C	<b>C.CCCCCO</b>	-C.000000	0.000038	0.00000.0
Ρ(	11,35)	0.0	-0.000000	6.000000	-C.CO00C4	0.0000.000
SUM	6 GF					
SGL	ARES	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

# ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN VALUES	204.331	304.470	426.595	571.103	
		•			SUM OF
	H( 11,12)	H( 11,14)	+( 11,16) +	( 11.18)	SQUARES
P( 11,12)	C. \$58463	0.268114	C.089149	0.034659	0.999685
P( 11,14)	-C.279107	0.813921	0.443907	C.2151C4	0.583651
P( 11,16)	C. C57964	-0.487061	0.557621	0.513851	0.815613
P( 11,18)	- (. (9329	0.163777	-C. 615388	0.232091	0.459479
P( 11,20)	0.001208	-0.039272	0.306916	-C. 621072	C.481471
P( 11,22)	-C.CCC129	0.007295	-0.102335	0.451018	0.213943
P( 11,24)	C.000012	-0.001096	C.025665	-C.201965	0.041450
P( 11,26)	-0.000001	0.000137	-C.C05127	0.065912	0.004371
P( 11,28)	C. C C O O O O	-C.0CC014	C.0C0844	-0.016866	0.000285
P( 11,30)	-0.00000	0.000001	-0.000117	0.003527	0.00012
P( 11,32)	C. CCCOCO	-0.00000	0.000014	-0.000620	0.00000.0
P( 11,34)	-C.CCOCO	0.00000	-C.000001	C.CCCC53	C. CC0000
F( 11,36)	0.0	-0.000000	C. COCOOC	-C.00)012	000000.0
SUM OF					
SQUARES	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.000000	

### EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 12

SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 189.786 285.287 402.445 541.7CE VALLES

						SUM CF
		H( 12,12)	H( 12,14)	4( 12,16)	H( 12,18)	SGLARES
Ρ(	12,12)	0.987203	0.154106	0.038680	0.012453	C•999969
Ρ(	12,14)	-0.157610	0.9(467)	C.362C27	0.143793	0.995010
Ρ(	12,161	0.024082	-0.383222	. C.708420	0.493772	C.8931C9
Pl	12,18)	-C.C03111	0.102609	-0.556519	0.418310	0•495234
Р(	12,201	0.000337	-0.020591	0.226900	-C.631526	0.450733
Ρ(	12,221	-0.000031	0.003292	-0.064626	/C•372953	0.143282
Ρ(	12,24)	C.C00002	-0.000433	C.C14175	-C.1437C3	0.020852
Ρ(	12,261	-0.00000	0.000648	-0.002514	C.041372	C.001718
F (	12,28)	C. CC00C0	-0.00005	0.000371	-0.009479	0.000090
Р(	12,301	-C.COOGO	0.00003.0	-0.000047	C.001793	C.COC033
<b>F (</b>	12,32)	C.C00000	-0.00000	C.000005	-0.000287	000000.0
Ρ(	12,34)	C • C	0.000000	-0.000000	0.000040	0.000000
Ρ(	12,36)	0.0	-0.000000	C. 000000	-C.COOC(5	0.0003.
SUN	/ CF					
SCI	ARES	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	1-000000	

### ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	234.863	341.127	469.290	619.738
VALUES				

						SUM CF
		H( 12,13)	H( 12,15)	H( 12,17)	4( 12,19)	SCLARES
Ρ(	12,13)	(•959899	0.264530	0.085611	0.032228	0.999751
Ρ(	12,15)	-C.274330	0.820430	C.440555	C.2C8429	C.\$85893
Ρ(	12,17)	0.056993	-0.479341	0.572351	0.516651	C.827530
Ρ(	12,19)	- (.009316	0.160029	-0.608857	0.254439	0.461142
P (	12,21)	0.001240	-0.038569	0.299507	-C.62142C	C•477357
Ρ(	12,231	-C.COC138	0.007272	-0.099632	0.441474	0.204878
Ρ(	12,25)	C.000013	-0.001118	C.025145	-C.196CCC	C.C39050
Ρ(	12,27)	-0.000001	0.000144	-0.005090	0.063948	C.CC4115
Ρ(	12,29)	C. CC0000	-0.00016	C.COC855	-C.016465	0.000272
Ρ(	12,31)	-C.CCCCO	0.000001	-C.000122	0.003484	C.CCC012
Ρ(	12,33)	C.CC0000	-0.000000	0.000015	-0.000622	000000.00
Ρ(	12,35)	-C.C00000	0.00000	-C.000002	0.00055	000003.0
F (	12,37)	0.0	-0.000000	0.000000	-C.COOC13	000000.0
SUM	( CF					
SCI	JARES	1.000000	1.00000	1.00000	1.000000	

# EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 13

SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 219.383 321.052 444.310 585.588 VALLES

						SUM OF
		H( 13,13) (	H( 13,15)	h( 13,17)	H( 13,19)	SGLARES
Ρ(	13,13)	0•987568	0.152198	0.037225	0.011595	0.999975
Ρ(	13,15)	-C.155343	0.9076(6	C.35837C	0.139112	0.995661
Ρ(	13,17)	0.023838	-0.377507	C.717371	C.492489	C. 50C246
Ρ(	13,19)	-C.CC3140	0.100742	-0.549601	0.434873	0.501335
Ρ(	13,21)	0.000352	-0.020390	0.222019	-0.627863	0.443920
Р(	13,23)	-0.000034	0.003320	-0.063303	0.365122	0.137333
Р(	13,25)	C.CC0C03	-0.000449	0.014015	-C.140010	0.019799
Ρ(	13,27)	-C.CC0000	0.000051	-C.002526	C.040419	0.001640
Pl	13,25)	C.CC0000	-0.000005	0.000382	-0.009343	0.000087
Ρ(	13,31)	-C.CC0000	0.00000	-C.000049	0.001792	C.CC0003
P(	13,331	0.000000	-0.000000	0.000006	-0.00292	000000.0
Ρ(	13,35)	C • C	0.000000	-C.000001	0.000041	0.00000
Ρ(	13,37)	0.0	-0.00000	C.00000C	-C.COOCC5	C.C00000
SUN	OF					
SCI	JARES	1.000000	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	

ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	267.552	379.953	514.174	670.592
VALUES				

						SLM OF
	1	H( 13,14)	H( 13,16)	H( 13,18)	+( 13,20)	SGLARES
Ρ(	13,14)	C•961114	0.261402	C.082562	0.030173	0.955799
Р(	13,16)	-0.270220	0.825993	0.437427	C. 202489	0.987628
Ρ(	13,18)	C.C56145	-0.472581	0.585126	0.518593	0.837797
Ρ(	13,20)	-(.(09302	0.156770	-C.6C2925	0.274192	C.463363
<b>F (</b>	13,22)	0.001269	-0.037954	C.293004	-0.621288	C.473291
Ρ(	13,24)	-C.C00146	0.007253	-0.097274	0.432935	0.196948
Ρ(	13,26)	0.000014	-0.001139	C.024693	-C.190765	6.037002
Ρ(	13,28)	-0.000001	0.000151	-0.005061	0.062239	0.003899
.Ρ(	13,30)	C. CC0000	-0.000017	C.CC0865	-0.016119	0.000261
F(	13,32)	-0.00000	0.00002	-0.000126	0.003448	C. CC0012
F(	13,34)	C.CCOOOO	-0.00000	0.000016	-0.000625	0.000000
Р(	13,36)	-C.CCCCCO	0.000000	-C.CCC002	860000.0	0.00000.0
F (	13,38)	0.0	-0.00000	0.000000	-0.000013	0.00000
SUN	CF					
SGU	ARES	1.000000	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	

EXPANSION COEFFICIENTS FOR HCUGH FUNCTIONS OF ZONAL WAVE NUMBER L= 14

SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN 251.135 358.979 488.348 639.663 VALLES

						SUM CF
		H( 14,14)	H( 14,16) H	H( 14,18) H	+( 14,20)	SCLARES
P (	14,141	0.587879	C.150537	0.035965	C.C1C882	C. 599578
Ρ(	14,16)	-0.153386	0.910130	0.355088	C.134997	0.596176
Ρ(	14,18)	C.C23619	-0.372505	0.725154	C•491C94	0.506339
Ρ(	14,201	-0.003164	0.099104	-0.543423	C•449478	C.5C717C
P (	14,221	C.CC0364	-0.020209	0.217721	-0.624363	0.437640
Ρ(	14,241	-0.000036	0.003345	-C.C62138	C.358146	C.132141
P (	14,261	0.000003	-0.000463	0.013874	-C.136753	0.018894
Pl	14,281	-C.CCCCOO	0.00055	-C.002538	C•C395E3	0.001573
Ρ(	14,301	0.00000	-0.000066	G.OCC391	-C.C9225	C.CCC85
Ρ(	14,321	-C.CC000	0.000000	-0.000052	C.C01793	0.0003
Ρ(	14,34)	C. C00000	-0.00000	C. 000006	-0.000297	0.00000
F (	14,361	0.0	0.000000	-0.000001	C.000043	C•CCCC00
Ρ(	14,38)	0 • C	-0.000000	0.000000	-0.000005	0.000000
SU	1 OF					
SGI	JARES	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	

ANTI-SYMMETRIC EIGENFUNCTIONS' AND EIGENVALUES

EIGEN	302.357	420.544	561.240	723.655	
VALUES					
					SLM OF
	H( 14,15) I	H( 14,17) H	1( 14,19) 1	H( 14,21)	SCUARES
F( 14,15	0.962154	0.258650	C.C79919	0.028424	0.999836
P( 14,17	) - C. 266649	C.830800	0.434523	C.197182	0.989022
P( 14,19	) 0.055399	-0.466615	0.596304	C.519932	C.E46707
P( 14,21	) -0.CC9286	0.153910	-0.597522	0.291766	0.465935
P( 14,23	) C.CC1294	-0.037411	C.287249	-0.620819	0.469329
P( 14,25	) -C.CC0153	0.007236	-0.095199	C.425255	C.189957
P( 14,27	) C.CC0016	-0.001158	C.024296	-0.186133	0.035237
P( 14,29	) -C.CC0001	0.000157	-C.005036	C.C6C736	0.003714
F( 14,31	) 0.000000	-0.000018	C.000875	-C.C15818	C.CCC251
P( 14,33	) - C • C C 0 0 0 0	0.000002	-0.000130	0.003418	0.000012
P( 14,35	000000.0	-0.00000	0.000017	-C.CC0628	000000.00
P( 14,37	) -0.000000	0.000000	-0.000002	0.000100	0.00000
P( 14,39	) C.C	-0.00000	C.CC0000	-0.000014	6.00000
SLM OF					
SCUARES	1.00000	1.000000	1.000000	1.0000000	

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bogdanov, K. T. and Magarik, V. A., 1967: Numerical solution of the distribution problem for the semi-diurnal tidal waves (M<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>) in the world ocean, <u>Dokl. Akad. Nauk</u> SSSR, 172, 1315-1317.
- Briggs, B. H. and Spencer, M., 1954: Horizontal movements in the ionosphere, <u>Rep. Progr. Phys.</u>, <u>17</u>, 245-280.
- Chapman, J. H., 1953: A study of winds in the ionosphere by radio methods, <u>Canad. J. Phys.</u>, 31, 120-131.
- Chapman, S. and Lindzen, R. S., 1970: Atmospheric Tides, <u>Thermal and Gravitational</u>, D. Reidel Publ. Co. Dordrecht Holland, 200 pp.
- Chapman, S., Pramanik, S. K. and Topping, J., 1931: The world wide oscillations of the atmosphere, <u>Gerland's</u> <u>Beitr. z. Geophys.</u>, <u>33</u>, 246-260.
- Chiu, W. C., 1953: On the oscillations of the atmosphere, Arch. Meteorol. Geophys. Biokl. A, 5, 280-303.
- CIRA, 1965: (COSPAR Intern. Reference Atmosphere), North-Holland Publ. Co. 313 pp.
- Dickinson, R. E., 1969: On the formulation of a non-linear atmospheric tidal theory from the meteorological primitive equations, <u>Pure and Applied Geophysics</u>, <u>72</u>, 1969/I 198-203.
- Dietrich, G., 1944: Die Gezeiten des weltmeeres als geographische erscheinung, Zeitschrift der Gesellschaft für Erdkunde zu Berlin, No. 3/4, 69-85.
- Doodson, A. T., 1922: The harmonic development of the tide generating potential, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 100, 305-329.
- Flattery, T. W., 1967: Hough Functions, Tech. Rept. 21, Dept. Geophys. Sci., Univ. of Chicago.
- Geller, M. A., 1969: The Lunar Tide in the Atmosphere. Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Geller, M. A., 1970: An investigation of the semi-diurnal lunar tide in the atmosphere, J. Atmos. Sci. 27, 202-218.

- Greenhow, J. S. and Neufeld, E. L., 1961: Winds in the upper atmosphere, <u>Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.</u>, <u>87</u> 472-489.
- Haurwitz, B. and Cowley, A. D., 1967: New determinations of the lunar barometric tide, <u>Beitr. Phys. Atmos.</u>, 40, 243-261.
- Haurwitz, B. and Cowley, A. D., 1970: The lunar barometric tide, its global distribution and annual variation, Pure and Applied Geophysics, 75, 1-29.
- Hendershott, M. and Munk, W., 1970: Tides, in Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 2, Annual Reviews, Inc., Palo Alto, California, 205-224.
- Hildebrand, F. B., 1956: Introduction to Numerical Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 511 pp.
- Hough, S. S., 1897: On the application of harmonic analysis to the dynamical theory of tides, Part I. On Laplace's 'Oscillations of the first species', and on the dynamics of ocean currents, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A, 189, 201-257.
- Hough, S. S., 1898: The application of harmonic analysis to the dynamical theory of the tides, Part II. On the general integration of Laplace's dynamical equations, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A, 191, 139-185.
- IBM, 1968: System/360 Scientific Subroutine Package (360A-CM-03X) Version III, Programmer's Manual, Fourth Ed.
- Jacchia, L. G. and Kopal, Z., 1952: Atmospheric oscillations and the temperature profile of the upper atmosphere, J. Meteorol. 9, 13-23.
- Kuo, J. T. et al., 1970: Transcontinental tidal gravity profile across the United States, <u>Science</u>, <u>168</u>, 968-971.
- Lamb, H., 1932: Hydrodynamics, 6th ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
- Laplace, P. S. (Later Marquis de la Place), 1775, 1776: Recherches sur quelques points du systeme du monde, Mem. de l'Acad. Roy. des Sciences.

- Lindzen, R. S., 1967: Thermally driven diurnal tide in the atmosphere, Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 93, 18-42
- Longuet-Higgins, M. S., 1968: The eigenfunctions of Laplace's tidal equations over a sphere, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A 262, 511-607.
- Love, A. E. H., 1911: General theory of earth tides, in Some Problems of Geodynamics, Cambridge University Press.
- Margules, M., 1893: Luftbewegungen in einer rotierenden Sphäroidschale, <u>Sitzber. Akad. Wiss. Wien</u>, <u>11a</u>, <u>102</u> 11-56, 1369-1421.
- Matsushita, S., 1967: Lunar tides in the ionosphere, in Handbuch der Physik, XLIX/2, Springer-Verlag, 547-602.
- Melchior, P., 1966: Earth Tides, Academic Press, New York.
- Müller, H. G., 1966: Atmospheric tides in the meteor zone, Planet. Space Sci., 14, 1253-1272.
- Müller, H. G., 1968: Meteor winds and ionospheric drifts, J. Atmosph. Terr. Phys., 30, 701-706
- Müller, H. G., 1970: The Sheffield meteor wind experiment, Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 96, 195-213.
- Pekeris, C. L., 1937: Atmospheric oscillations, Proc. Roy. Soc., A 158, 650-671.
- Pekeris, C. L., and Accad, Y., 1969: Solution of Laplace's equation for the M<sub>2</sub> tide in the world oceans, <u>Phil</u>. <u>Trans. Roy. Soc. A</u><sup>2</sup>265, 413-436.
- Press, F., 1962: Long period waves and free oscillations
  of the earth, in <u>Research in Geophysics</u> Vol. II,
  M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1-26.
- Ramana, K. V. V. and Rao, B. R., 1962: Lunar daily variation of horizontal drifts in the ionosphere at Waltair, J. Atmosph. Terr. Phys., 24, 220-221.
- Rawer K. (ed), 1968: <u>Winds and Turbulence in Stratosphere</u>, <u>Mesosphere and Ionosphere</u>, North Holland Pub. Co., 421 pp., op. cit.
- Sawada, R., 1965: The possible effect of oceans on the atmospheric lunar tide, J. Atmos. Sci., 22, 636-643.
- Sawada, R., 1966: The effect of zonal winds on the atmospheric lunar tide, Arch. Meteorol. Geophys. Biokl., A 15, 129-167.

- Siebert, M., 1957: <u>Tidal Oscillations in an Atmosphere with</u> <u>Meridional Temperature Gradients</u>, Sci. Rept. No. 3, Project 429, N. Y. Univ., Dept. of Meteorol. Oceanogr.
- Siebert, M., 1961: Atmospheric tides, in <u>Advances in Geo-</u> physics, Vol. 7, Academic Press, New York, pp. 105-182.
- Taylor, G. I., 1929: Waves and tides in the atmosphere, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 126, 169-183.
- Taylor, G. I., 1936: The oscillations of the atmosphere, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 156, 318-326.
- Wallace, J. M. and Hartrauft, F. R., 1969: Diurnal wind variations, surface to 30 km., <u>Monthly Weather Review</u>, <u>96</u>, 446-455.
- Wilkes, M. V., 1949: Oscillations of the Earth's Atmosphere, Cambridge University Press, 1949, 74 pp.

#### BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

I was born and reared in Dublin. They tell me the day was July 6, 1943, a Tuesday. I went to school to the Christian Brothers in James' Street from 1950 to 1960 and I did fairly well there. I got a cadetship in the Irish Meteorological Service in the year 1961 and I went to University College in Cork to study Mathematics, Mathematical Physics and sundry other topics of worth. I must have done fairly well there too because they gave me a first class honors degree in 1964. I went forecasting in Shannon Airport in 1965 and it was there I met and courted Breda Cunningham. We got married in 1967. That same year M.I.T. gave me a Jonathan Whitney Fellowship and so in September we packed our bags and came here. We've been here since.

1

117