Data Connectivity for the Composite Information System/Tool Kit

by

Toon King Wong

Submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Bachelor of Science in Computer Science and Engineering

at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology

May 1989

Author_____
Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
May 12, 1989

Certified by_____
Professor Stuart E. Madnick
Thesis Supervisor

Accepted by_____
Leonard A. Gould
Chairman, Department Committee on Undergraduate Theses

DATA CONNECTIVITY FOR THE COMPOSITE INFORMATION SYSTEM/ TOOL KIT

by

Toon King Wong

Submitted to the
Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

May 12, 1989

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Bachelor of Science in Computer Science and Engineering

ABSTRACT

The *Composite Information System / Tool Kit* (CIS/TK) is a prototype being developed at the MIT Sloan School of Management for providing connectivity among information systems. At the core of CIS/TK is a distributed database management system called MERGE.

MERGE provides a uniform interface for retrieving and combining data from pre-existing, heterogeneous databases. This is achieved without any additions to the databases or its related programs. Through a global schema, the user is presented with an integrated view of the data. Data is referenced using a common query language called the Global Retrieval Language (GRL). A global query processor executes GRL, and is responsible for retrieving data from local databases and merging data. MERGE also provides facilities for interfacing with modules which can handle data reconciliation.

This thesis describes the design and implementation of MERGE. An application for demonstrating MERGE, the Placement Assistant System, is also presented.

Thesis Supervisor: Stuart E. Madnick
Title: Professor of Management Science

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I owe my many thanks to Stuart Madnick, whom as my advisor, guided and inspired me through this often turbulent but exciting year and a half. It was truly an enriching experience to work with Stu; the best I ever had at MIT. His articulate and enlightening comments often helped me to focus on the right issues, and gave me insight into the solutions presented in this thesis.

Working on the CIS/TK project was a lot of fun, and I attribute this to the wonderful group of people we had. Special mention goes to Mia, our group coordinator, who was dedicated to the task of making everything run smoothly. Her professional touch to all aspects of the project will be a hard act to follow. *Bon Voyage, Mia.*

Finally, my sincere gratitude goes to Rich Wang, who introduced me to this rich and intriguing area of research. Rich took me under his wings, and spent lots of Sunday afternoons teaching me all about databases, and expert systems.

The work reported herein has been supported, in part, by AT&T, Reuters, and the MIT International Financial Services Center.

CONTENTS

To this great institution and Stu.

Chapter 1

Introduction

The *Composite Information System / Tool Kit* (CIS/TK) is a prototype being developed at the MIT Sloan School of Management for providing connectivity among information systems. At the core of CIS/TK is a distributed database management system called MERGE.

MERGE provides a uniform interface for retrieving and combining data from pre-existing, heterogeneous databases as if the data came from a single virtual database. This is achieved without any additions to the databases or its related programs. Through a global schema, the user is presented with an integrated view of the data. Data is referenced using a common query language called the Global Retrieval Language (GRL-- pronounced girl). A global query processor executes GRL, and is responsible for retrieving data from local databases and merging data. In addition, MERGE provides facilities for interfacing with modules which can handle data reconciliation.

This thesis describes the design and implementation of MERGE. An application for demonstrating MERGE, the Placement Assistant System, is also presented.

1.1 Background - The CIS/TK Project

With the increasing use of computer-based information systems, the difficulty of combining information and data from various sources is becoming more apparent and has triggered large research efforts toward integrating information systems. We refer to this class of studies and systems as Composite Information Systems.

The CIS/TK project includes a prototype system being developed at MIT using a combination of artificial intelligence, networking and database technology to support connectivity among information systems.

Several issues in realizing connectivity were identified in previous work [MAD 88-1], the technical issues being divided into three levels: physical connectivity, data connectivity and semantic connectivity as represented in Figure 1.1



Figure 1.1 Three Levels of Connectivity

Physical connectivity refers to the ability to physically link and access information systems. However, getting the data is only the first step. In order to be useful, the data has to be merged and formatted into a manageable form. This ability is referred to as data connectivity. Data from multiple and different sources often have data conflicts such as contradiction, ambiguity and incompleteness. Semantic connectivity refers to the ability to reconcile these inconsistencies using knowledge captured from the user about the assumptions underlying the data.

The goal of CIS/TK is to develop tools and techniques to support the entire spectrum of connectivity, with a focus on semantic connectivity. The CIS/TK approach [MAD 88-2] explicitly allows for the coexistence and usage of a variety of information systems while preserving their local autonomy. These information systems are typically independently developed, hard to modify, and contain data that is dynamically changing.

1.2 Data Connectivity for CIS/TK

Recent developments in CIS/TK have aimed at developing an integrated system for the MIT Sloan School Student Placement Office, allowing integrated access to several databases as Figure 1.2 shows [WAN 88-1]. This thesis focuses on providing data connectivity among the databases; allowing users to access and combine data from the various dissimilar databases as if the data came from a single virtual database. In addition, although this thesis does not explicitly address issues involved in providing application development mechanisms like expert systems, and knowledge base management systems for resolving semantic conflicts, one of the major objectives is to provide an environment and foundation with which research in semantic connectivity can be investigated.

1.3 Goals of Thesis

In order to provide data connectivity for CIS/TK, MERGE must achieve the following goals:

(a) Provide a common data model for viewing the underlying data,
(b) Provide facilities for processing a common query language, and
(c) Serve as a foundation for semantic connectivity research.

In addition, an application called the Placement Assistant System was developed to demonstrate the feasibility of MERGE.



Figure 1.2 Connectivity for the MIT Management School's Placement Office

1.4 Overview of Thesis

The focus of this thesis is in the design of a distributed database management system for CIS/TK.

In Chapter 2, we present some related work in distributed database management systems, and present the approach we adopted in developing MERGE.

In Chapter 3, we present an overview of the MERGE architecture and also some of the major design considerations in developing the system.

In Chapter 4, we present an overview of the Local Query Processor, which provides a uniform method of retrieving data from dissimilar databases.

In Chapter 5, we present the MERGE Data Model, which presents a single, integrated view of the underlying data.

In Chapter 6, we present the Global Query Processor, a facility for processing the common query language GRL.

In Chapter 7, we describe an application, called the Placement Assistant System, to demonstrate the feasibility of MERGE.

Finally, in Chapter 8, we present our conclusions about the design of MERGE and suggests some future work.

Chapter 2

Related Research

Systems that provide data connectivity between databases are generally categorized as distributed database management systems. A large part of this thesis draws upon work done in this area, in particular the Multibase system [ROS 82].

2.1 Approaches to Integration

Depending on the application and the constraints, there are several approaches to the development of distributed database systems. However, most of these systems can be broadly distinguished on two aspects: heterogenuity and control [DEE 82].

Heterogeneous Vs Homogeneous

Homogeneous systems support one data model and one manipulation language. Unfortunately, these systems cannot meet the objectives of most organizations who use many types of computers with different data models and multiple data manipulation languages.

To meet such objectives, it is necessary to use a heterogeneous system. Heterogeneous distributed databases access and manipulate information maintained in existing, distributed,

heterogeneous DBMSs through a single uniform interface. This is accomplished without changing existing database systems and without disturbing local operations.

Centralized vs Decentralized

In a centralized system, all global processing is controlled by a central computer. The disadvantage of this approach is that it creates a bottleneck and reduces the stability of the system, since the failure of the central computer disables the distributed database system.

In a decentralized system, each node keeps a copy of the distributed database system, each supervising the global transactions submitted from it. The system is more stable, since the breakdown of a single node does not disable the whole distributed system. However, the exercise of controls and the preservation of consistency is more difficult.

For MERGE, we opted for a centralized, heterogeneous system. The main reason is because Merge is designed to support different databases which are not wholly under the control of any one organization. In MERGE, all components of the distributed DBMS reside on the central computer. No additions or changes to the local databases or their host systems are required.

2.2 Issues in Heterogeneous Distributed Systems

The major issues faced in developing Distributed Heterogeneous Database Management Systems (DHDBMS) include [BHA 87]:

(a) Developing a Common Data Model,
(b) Providing facilities for Query Processing,
(c) Incorporating Distributed Transaction Management Routines, and
(d) Developing Authorization and Control Data Security Procedures.

Since MERGE is presently designed to perform retrieval-only operations, the problems of transaction control, and data security are not major factors. Instead, this thesis only

focuses on the issues of developing a common data model and providing facilities for query processing.

(a) Common Data Model

The goal of a common data model is to capture the entire meaning of the underlying data. In order to achieve this, it has to resolve data conflicts resulting from the integration of different systems and dissimilar data models.

Data conflicts can be distinguished into two types: structural and semantic. Structural conflicts include differences in data models and differences in implementation of the local databases. Semantic conflicts include differences in naming, data representation, and data scaling. Most work in DHDBMS address the resolution of structural conflicts. However, very few aim at resolving semantic conflicts.

As with most DHDBMS, MERGE adopts a three schema approach in data integration: a conceptual schema, an internal schema, and an external schema. A conceptual schema defines all the data in the environment, which is mapped to many underlying file and DBMS structures; referred to as the internal schema. The conceptual schema is also mapped to many user views; which is referred to as the external schema. The use of multiple schemas and the mappings between them serves as the mechanism for providing transparency across dissimilar systems and architectures.

(b) Query Processing

Query processing and optimization are complicated by the following factors:

(a) Multiple sources for data,
(b) Different local processing capabilities at the local database management systems,
(c) Different communication costs, and
(d) Variable speeds of communication links.

Most DHDBMS have optimizations for more efficient query processing. These strategies include various join strategies, submitting subqueries to DBMS in parallel, parcelling out as

much computation to individual DBMS, and selecting access paths which provide optimal returns in communication costs and speed.

In MERGE, the query processing facilities provide a uniform interface for retrieving data from various DBMS. Presently, optimizations in the query processor are relatively simple and are focused only on retrieving data in a reasonable space of time. Optimizations include the automatic creation and selection of access paths using a changeable set of rules, and a join strategy aimed at narrowing the search space.

2.3 MERGE as a Foundation for Semantic Connectivity

As described in the previous sections, the issues involved in designing MERGE are similar to the problems found in developing distributed database management systems. However, what distinguishes MERGE from these systems is the fact that it is intended to serve as the foundation for further work in semantic connectivity.

This major objective has influenced us in the various stages in the design of MERGE and CIS/TK. MERGE must be extensible and provide interfaces to tools that can resolve semantic conflicts in the data. Several such tools proposed include inter-database instance identification [HOR 88], translation facilities for resolving scale conflicts [MCC 88] and concept inferencing [WAN 88-2]. In contrast, most work in distributed database management systems ends at the data connectivity level [NEU 82] [LIN87].

Chapter 3

Overview of MERGE

This chapter provides an overall view of the MERGE architecture and also discusses some of the major design considerations in the development of MERGE. The intent of MERGE is to lay the foundation for further research in connectivity, in particular, research in semantic connectivity. Through a global query, MERGE will provide the ability to retrieve data from disparate databases as if the data came from a single database, and thus allow researchers to concentrate on the more challenging issues found in data reconciliation. As the CIS/TK project is one that is continuously evolving, the ability to extend the components within CIS/TK without major modifications is a critical design goal. This key goal strongly influenced us at all stages in the development of MERGE.

In this chapter, we first present the overall architecture of CIS/TK and its relation to MERGE. Then we address some of the problems faced in representing a single, integrated view of the data. In Section 3.3, we describe some of the different types of problems in data reconciliation. Then in Section 3.4, we describe the implementation environment of CIS/TK and MERGE. Lastly, we describe some of the major problems found in the previous prototype of CIS/TK, and how MERGE intends to solve these problems.

3.1 Data Connectivity for CIS/TK

A key component within the CIS/TK system is the query processing facility which controls the execution of queries. The query processing architecture [HOR 88-2] is divided into three levels, each level providing some aspect of connectivity. Figure 3.1 shows the query processing architecture of CIS/TK and how MERGE is related to the various components within CIS/TK. In the following sections, we briefly describe the various levels of the query processing architecture.

3.1.1 The Local Query Processor

The lowest level of the query processing architecture is the Local Query Processors (LQP), which provide physical connectivity to various local DBMS. Each LQP handles communications to a single local database and with the computer on which the database resides. The LQP provides a uniform interface for the GQP to access dissimilar databases, handling the particularities of each local DBMS and its host system.

3.1.2 The Global Query Processor

The middle level is the Global Query Processor (GQP), which provides data connectivity through a global query language and a common data model. The GQP is responsible for parsing a global query and routing the subqueries to the appropriate LQPs' for data retrieval. After the LQPs return the data, it is combined and returned to the AQP level.

A major component of MERGE is the GQP. In our version of MERGE, the GQP is further divided into a parser module and a router module.

3.1.3 The Application Query Processor

The top level is the Application Query Processor (AQP), which provides for semantic connectivity by using the domain of the application to resolve conflicts found in the data. The AQP is responsible for mapping the application query into an equivalent global query



Figure 3.1 The CIS/TK Architecture and MERGE

for retrieving data. Presently, the AQP level is still a subject of initial research, so we will not describe it further.

Of these three levels of query processing, MERGE implements the middle level which includes a global query processor and its associated data model. These components will be further described in Chapters 5 and 6.

3.2 Structure and Data Representation

Representating a single, integrated view of all the data in a distributed database system is especially challenging because of the dissimilar structures adopted by each local DBMS. As with most other DDBMS, CIS/TK adopts a three-schema architecture for representing data, as shown in Figure 3.2. The internal schemas are created by the local DBMS and are assumed to be pre-existing. Merge implements the middle schema, that is the data model, which represents a single, integrated view of the data. The application model is implemented at the AQP level, and represents a subset of the data necessary for a particular



Figure 3.2 The CIS/TK Three-schema Architecture

application. The application model may also represent data not explicitly available in the underlying databases, but which may be derived or deduced from that data.

In MERGE, the structural properties of the data are distinguished from the semantic properties of the data. In the data model, the structural properties of data including attribute names and relationship between tables are represented by a global schema. On the other hand, the semantic properties of data including synonyms and translations between different data representations are a data catalog.

The main reason for separating the structural properties from the semantic properties is because in the near future, we would like to extend and enhance the semantic representation capabilities of our data model to incorporate schemes to represent conflicts in inter-database identification and better schemes for representing synonyms and translations. An integrated representation scheme would make these extensions harder to achieve.

3.3 Data Reconciliation

Combining data from disparate sources is difficult because the data are often found in different formats, and different representations and is usually contradictory and incomplete. In order to combine data, MERGE provides certain necessary data reconciliations.

3.3.1 Types of Data Conflicts

Conflicts in data can be distinguished as two types: syntax conflicts and semantic conflicts. Syntax conflicts are obvious conflicts like differences in naming, formats, and scale representations. For example, in a recruitment database shown in Figure 3.3, the same company may be called several different names, like "Ford Motors" and "The Ford Motor Company". We refer to these similar names as synonyms. Although they represent the same concept, they are spelled differently in the data. In contrast, semantic conflicts are more subtle.



Figure 3.3 An Example of Difference in Naming

Semantic conflicts include differences like contradiction, incompleteness and ambiguity which arise because the local databases were independently developed, and often carry quite different assumptions about the data. A good example is financial databases. Financial data like a company's revenue or net income are often calculated based on the practices of the country where the company is located or incorporated. Thus, to match the performance of two companies based on the revenue data may be misleading because of these different assumptions for calculating revenue.

Unfortunatlely, it is not within the scope of this thesis to detail the different data conflicts found in the real world, and the reader is referred to the works of [WAN 88-3], [PAG 89], which present interesting examples found in the hotel and financial industry. Nevertheless, MERGE has been designed as a basis for future more detailed research on semantic conflicts.

3.3.2 Resolving Conflicts in MERGE

Resolving syntax conflicts, although tedious, is not as difficult as resolving semantic conflicts. Resolving semantic conflicts require an in-depth knowledge of the domain of the application and requires special tools and techniques for the representation of the domain knowledge and for applying this knowledge to data reconciliation. These issues are addressed at the AQP level with tools like the application model and concept inferencing. At the GQP level, only syntactic conflicts are addressed like differences in naming, formats and scale representations. In this version, we will only handle differences in naming.

MERGE provides a data catalog system to represent synonyms, and interfaces to modules which make use of the catalog for data reconciliation. The data catalog is further described in Chapter 5, and the interfaces are described in Chapter 6.

By considering data reconciliation in the development of MERGE, it is possible to design an architecture that can accomodate future extensions of facilities for data reconciliation.

3.4 Implementation Environment

CIS/TK is being developed on a UNIX platform to take advantage of its portability across disparate hardware, its multi-tasking environment, and its communication capabilities to enable access to multiple remote databases in concert. The kernel of CIS/TK is being developed using KOREL [LEV 87], an object-oriented programming language developed in the Common Lisp environment.

Using KOREL, we are able to benefit from the features of the object-oriented paradigm [WEG 86] -- modularity, consistent interfaces and conceptual clarity. Because MERGE is designed to work within CIS/TK, it is also developed using the object-oriented paradigm. However, for efficiency reasons, only the major interfaces in MERGE use KOREL, the other components are developed in LISP, which unlike KOREL, does not incur the extra cost of message-passing.

3.5 Improvement to Prototype

A preliminary prototype of CIS/TK was developed in previous work [WON 88]. Insights gained from the prototype and from a financial application [PAG 89] were helpful in the design of MERGE.

At the global query processing level of the earlier prototype, there was general dissatisfaction with the query language in its readability. In addition, selection of the numerous databases to satisfy a global query had to be manually performed. This proved to be frustrating to users who were unfamiliar with the underlying database configuration.

In MERGE, an improved SQL-like query language was developed. Since SQL [DAT 87] is fast emerging as the de-facto standard for database query languages, users are likely to be more receptive to the new query language. Also, an innovative database selection mechanism that automatically selects the databases for a global query was developed. Another feature of the selection mechanism is that it relies on a set of changeable parameters for determining the criteria for database selection, in contrast to most other optimized mechanisms [ROS 82], where the criterias are imbedded within the mechanism itself, making it difficult to change. These improvements are described further in Chapter 6.

At the conceptual schema level, several inconsistencies in the data model detracted users from a clear understanding of the model. Some of these inconsistencies included differences in the representation of relations and fragments. In the design of MERGE, these issues were addressed and are discussed in Chapter 5.

This chapter provided an overview of MERGE and the major design considerations in developing MERGE. In the next chapter, before presenting the main components of MERGE, we provide an overview of how the GQP can retrieve data through a Local Query Processor. Although the LQPs are not a focus of this thesis, they provide the ability for MERGE to retrieve data from dissimilar databases on various host machines through a common interface.

Chapter 4

Local Query Processing

To access a database, the Global Query Processor relies on the Local Query Processor (LQP) to perform the actual physical connection, and retrieval of data from the database host machine. Each database that is to be accessed by CIS/TK must have an LQP. These LQPs reside on the CIS/TK host machine and not on the database host machines. In this chapter, we provide a brief overview of how data retrievals can be accomplished through the LQP. For a detailed description of how the various LQPs work, please refer to [CHA 88], [GAN 89], [GER 89].

4.1 Retrieving Data Through the LQP

The LQP provides a uniform method of connecting and retrieving data from various databases using a query language called the Abstract Query Language. The basic structure of an AQL query is:

(send-message *lqp* :get-data (*table* (*att1 att2 ... attn*)) *conditions*)

Figure 4.1 shows an LQP processing an AQL query to a SQL-based DBMS. The AQL query is translated by the LQP into an SQL query and executed at the local DBMS. The

raw data from the DBMS is typically returned as a file, which the LQP reformats into a data list with the following format:

((att1 att2 ... attn)
("val1" "val2" ... "val3") ... ("val1" "val2" "val3"))

where the first list contains the attribute names, and the rest of the list contains the values corresponding to those attributes.



Figure 4.1 Retrieving Data Through The LQP

Presently, the databases supported by LQPs include several SQL databases on AT&T 3B2 UNIX machines, and an IBM/RT XENIX machine. Also planned in the near future is the completion of two LQPs to support retrievals from commercial financial databases, which are menu-based systems rather than SQL-based systems.

Chapter 5

The MERGE Data Model

The MERGE Data Model (MDM) serves as the conceptual basis for viewing the distributed database system -- it provides a single, integrated view of the underlying data. The data model is implemented through three components: a global schema, a data catalog, and a query language called the Global Retrieval Language (GRL). These components are used by the Global Query Processor for processing a global query. For the reasons mentioned in Chapter 2, data representation in the MDM distinguishes between the structural properties and the semantic properties; the structural properties are represented by a global schema and the semantic properties by a data catalog.

In this chapter, we discuss the problems in representing a single, integrated view of data in a multi-database environment, and present how the global schema, the data catalog and the GRL address these issues.

5.1 The Global Schema

The objective of a global schema is to represent the structures and relationships in the underlying data. The global schema uses an extended version of the Entity-Relationship

(E-R) model [CHE 76] to describe these structures, chosen because it is widely accepted in database design and simple to understand.

Figure 5.1(a) shows a simple global schema created to represent data available from two sources: a recruiting company database and an alumni database. The underlying databases are shown in Figure 5.1(b). The global schema has two entities: the *alumni* entity and the *company* entity. The *alumni* entity represents all the data about alumni, and the *company* entity representes all the data about companies that are recruiting. The entities are related on the relationship *works_for*, which represents the fact that the alumni information can be joined to the company information using the company names found in both the recruit and alumni databases. We will describe this global schema further when we address the problems in schema integration.

To provide a single integrated view of the data, the dissimilar schemas of the local databases have to be integrated. In Section 5.1.1, we discuss the major issues that are faced in schema integration, and present how the global schema addresses these problems. To implement a global schema, we found it necessary to develop a schema definition language to describe the global schema. This is outlined in Section 5.1.2.

5.1.1 Issues in Schema Integration

Some of the major issues in schema integration include resolving problems in:

(a) attribute naming,
(b) attribute organization,
(c) fragmentation,
(d) multiple relations, and
(e) complex relations.



Figure 5.1(a) Simple Placement Global Schema



Figure 5.1(b) Underlying Databases

(a) Attribute Naming

In a multi-database environment, similar attributes are often found with different names. In order to present a unified view of the data, similar attributes with different names have to be resolved.

In the global schema, this is handled by assigning a global attribute name to local attributes that represent the same thing. For example, the *company* entity in our example has a global attribute called *name*. This actually represents two local attributes found in the tables *east_companytb* and *west_companytb*, called *company* and *comp_name* respectively. As a convention, we will address global attributes and local attribute in the following manner:

(entity attribute) - unique identifier for global attribute
(lqp table attribute) - unique identifier for a local attribute

Note that for the unique identifier for a local attribute, the LQP name is used instead of the database name. This is because since each LQP is responsible for accessing one database, it is equivalent to the database name for identification purposes. In addition, within MERGE, accessing data is through the LQPs, so this provides a means of invoking the appropriate LQP for a local attribute. In our examples, we will assume that the LQPs have the same names as the databases.

(b) Attribute Organization

Attribute organization refers to the grouping of attributes in entities. Attribute organization is mostly subjective; attributes are grouped into an entity because they represent a common concept. However, there is one constraint in the global schema that has to be adhered to. For example, in the *simple-placement* global schema, the entity *alumni* has attributes like *major*, *degree* and *position*; which are attributes commonly associated with an alumni. One attribute that is not so clearly defined is *(alumni company)*. This attribute could also be placed in the *company* entity, since it is directly related to information about companies. In fact, within the *company* entity, there is an equivalent attribute called *(company name)*.

However, in our global schema, a decision was made not to merge these two attributes. There are two main reasons for this choice.

In the global schema, in order to express a relationship between two entities, they must have at least one similar attribute. In the relationship between the *alumni* and *company* entities this relationship is expressed as:

(= (alumni company) (company name))

Another more important reason is that it provides a better view of the underlying data structures. The fact that company name is represented in both entities implies that this attribute can be found in at least two databases; the alumni and the recruiting database. This affords us a conceptually clearer view of the underlying data.

(c) Fragmentation

There are basically two types of fragmentation found in databases: horizontal fragmentation and vertical fragmentation. Vertical fragmentation is the separation of data by domain, for example in the recruiting database, data about recruiting companies is divided into companies that are from the West Coast, and companies that are from the East Coast. On the other hand, horizontal fragmentation is the separation of data by attribute values, for example in the alumni database, the attributes for an alumni are divided between two tables: school information like *degree* is found in *schooltb*, and the alumni's company information is found in *companytb*.

In reality, resolving fragmentation is difficult because data is typically overlapped with both horizontal and vertical fragments even within a single table. Most integration schemes do not addresss the issue of overlapping fragments. In the global schema, we will address only non-overlapping fragmentation, leaving the issue of overlapping fragmentation as future work.

In the global schema, the purpose is to integrate these fragments. We integrate fragments by expressing the relationships that exist amongst the fragments. Vertical fragments are

expressed as a merge, and horizontal fragments are expressed as a concatenation. For example, to integrate the fragments in the alumni database into a single entity called *alumni*, we have to express the following relationship between the tables found in the alumni database:

(merge (alumnidb schooltb) (alumnidb companytb)
on (= (alumnidb schooltb ss) (alumnidb companytb ss)))

which means that in order to get data that spans across the tables (fragments) *schooltb* and *companytb*, we need to merge those two tables on the social security local attribute, since the social security is the common attribute between those two tables. In the above relation, the table *schooltb* is represented as *(alumnidb schooltb)* so that we can uniquely identify the table that we are refering to.

To represent a vertical fragment, we use the idea of a concatenate. For example, to integrate the tables in the recruiting database into a single entity called *company*, we express the following relationship:

(concatenate (recruitdb west_companytb)
(recruitdb east_companytb))

which means that in order to get all the companies represented by the *company* entity, we have to concatenate the data found in *west_companytb* to the data found in *east_companytb*.

(d) Multiple Relationships

There is usually more than one way to draw relations between data. For example, in the company and alumni entities, the works_for relationship expresses a join between the company names. However, there is yet another possible join between those two entities; between *(company position)* and *(alumni position)*. A good representation scheme must be flexible enough to allow for the expression of multiple relationships.

In the global schema, multiple relationships between entities can be expressed in a rather straightforward manner. To express the join:

(= (company position) (alumni position)

we can draw another relation, same_position between the entities as shown in Figure 5.2.



Figure 5.2 Expressing Multiple Relations in the Global Schema

(d) Complex Relationships

In some cases, the relationship between two tables is not simply a join between 2 attributes, but instead involves several attributes. For example, consider a database containing the phone bills and the addresses of telephone owners as shown in Figure 5.3. Each table is uniguely identified by the telephone number, which is separated into two fields: *area-code* and *7digits*. In order to join between the two tables to get all information about a phone owner, the tables have to be joined on both the *area-code* and *7digits* attributes.

In previous prototypes of the global schema, complex relationships were not supported. However, in the financial application built by [PAG 89], we found that such complex relationships commonly exist. In this version, we have designed the global schema to support complex relationships between entities by using the following predicate syntax:



Figure 5.3 Complex Relation Between Tables

(and *cond1 cond2*)

For example, to represent the relationship between the two tables in the phone database, the following expression is used:

```
(and  (=  (billtb  area-code)  (addresstb  area-code))
      (=  (billtb  7digits)  (addresstb  7digits))
```

Our solution for handling complex relationships touches only the surface of the problems found in representing relationships. Other possible predicates could include the operator "or" and condition predicates like ">" and "<". We leave the idea of creating a general set of relation operators that can accomodate different types of relations as future work.

5.1.2 An Overview of the Schema Definition Language

In the previous section, we have presented the global schema and how it addresses some of the major issues in schema integration. In this chapter, we describe the language used to implement a global schema, called the schema definition language. The E-R model has traditionally been used for conceptual schema design. Presently, no standard language for implementing an E-R model schema exists. In MERGE, a schema definition language has been developed for implementing the E-R model.

Using an object-oriented paradigm, entities and relationships may be viewed as objects. The schema definition language allows for the creation of these entity and relationship objects. The schema definition of the *simple-placement* global schema is shown in Figure 5.4 The following sections give an overview of how to create entity and relation objects.

Creating a Global Schema

To create a global schema, the *create-schema* statement must be placed at the beginning of the file before creating any entity or relation objects. The format used is:

(create-schema ***name***)

Creating Entities

To create an entity, the *create-entity* statement is used. This statement has the following syntax:

```
(create-entity name
        :attributes ((gatt1 loc1 ... locn)      ;; gattn - global attribute name
                    ...                         ;; locn - (lqp tb col)
                    (gattn loc1 ... locn))
        :table-relations ((merge source1 source2    ;; sourcen - (lqp tb)
                                 on cond)
                          (concatenate source1 source2)
                          ... ))
```

```
;;;; This file implements the simple-placement global schema


;;; place at beginning
;;; creates schema
(create-schema simple-placement)

;;; create company entity
(create-entity company
            :attributes  ((name  (recruitdb west_coastb company)
                                 (recruitdb east_coasttb  comp_name))
                          (position  (recruitdb west_coasttb position)
                                     (recruitdb east_coasttb position))
                          (industry  (recruitdb west_coasttb industry)
                                     (recruitdb east_coasttb industry))
                          (date  (recruitdb west_coasttb date)
                                 (recruitdb east_coasttb date)))
            :table-relations  ((concatenate (recruitdb west_coasttb)
                                            (recruitdb east_coasttb))))

;;; create alumni entity
(create-entity alumni
            :attributes   ((social_sec (alumnidb companytb ss)
                                       (alumnidb schooltb ss))
                           (last-name  (alumnidb schooltb last-name))
                           (first-name (alumnidb schooltb first-name))
                           (company  (alumnidb companytb comp))
                           (degree  (alumnidb schooltb degree))
                           (position (alumnidb companytb position)))
            :table-relations  ((merge (alumnidb companytb)
                                      (alumnitb schooltb)
                                   on (= (alumnidb companytb ss)
                                         (alumnidb schooltb ss))))

;;; create works_for relation
(create-relation works_for
            :entity-from alumni
            :entity-to company
            :join (=  (alumni company) (company name)))
```

Figure 5.4 Schema Definition for *simple-placement* Global Schema

The statement has two slots. The *:attributes* slot is used to assign global names for similar attributes found in the local databases. The *:table-relations* slot is used to express relationships between various fragments (tables) represented by the entity.

Creating Relations

To create a relation, the *create-relation* statement is used. Before creating relations between entities, the entities must be created first because the *create-relation* statement checks for the existence of these entities before creating a relation object. The basic syntax of the create-relation statement is:

(create-relation ***name***
:entity-from ***entity***
:entity-to ***entity***
:join ***(= (entity att) (entity att))***

The *:entity-from* and *:entity-to* slots specify which entities are being joined. The *:join* slot specifies the attributes that are being joined on between the two entities.

This section has given a brief overview of the schema definition language. Please refer to Appendix B for a specification of the schema definition language.

5.2 The Data Catalog

The previous section presented how MERGE represents the structural properties found in the underlying data. In this section, we introduce the data catalog, used to express the semantic properties of the data. In this impementation, only one kind of semantic property is represented: synonyms.

5.2.1 Representing Synonyms

The Idea

Synonyms are represented using a catalog that keeps a list of all synonyms for an attribute. For example, the basic structure of a synonym catalog for the *(company name)* attribute is shown in Figure 5.5. The first column contains the main attribute value, which serves as the unique identifier for the synonyms in each row. For example, a main attribute is "IBM", which is a unique identifier for "I.B.M." and "International Business Machines".

main attribute	syn1	syn2	syn3 ...
IBM	I.B.M	...	International Business Machines
DEC	DEC Inc.	...	Digital Equipment Corporation

Figure 5.5 A Synonym Catalog for Company Names

Problems with One-level Scheme

However, there is a problem with this basic scheme. By representing synonyms at the global attribute level, we assume that the synonyms are shared across all the local attributes

represented by that global attribute. For example, the global attribute *(company name)* represents two actual local attributes: *(recruitdb west_companytb company)* and *(recruitdb east_companytb comp_name)*. By using the above scheme for representing synonyms, both these local attributes are assumed to have, for example, "IBM" as the main attribute for "International Business Machines" and "I.B.M." In some cases, this assumption is not correct.

Suppose "IBM" represents a different company in each table. Refering to Figure 5.4, "IBM" in *east_companytb* represents "Itsy-Bitsy Machines" and "IBM" in the *west_companytb* represents "International Business Machines." The one-level scheme does not allow us to represent this difference of names at the local database level. In order to represent these differences, we have developed a two-level scheme for representing synonyms.

A Two-Level Scheme

As shown in Figure 5.6, the synonym catalog consists of a single global synonym table and several local synonym tables. The global synonym table contains local attributes that have synonyms, and for each local attribute also contains a pointer to the local synonym table. For example, in the global synonym table **global_syntb**, the attribute *(recruitdb west_companytb name)* has a pointer to the local synonym table **west_syntb**. Each local synonym table contains the actual synonyms for each local attrinute. For example, the synonym **west_syntb** contains synonyms for the attribute *(recruitdb west_companytb name)*.



Figure 5.6 Two-Level Scheme for Synonym Catalogs

5.3 The Global Retrieval Language

The third component of the MERGE Data Model is the language used for querying the global schema. The Global Retrieval Language (GRL) provides a common query language for retrieving and joining data expressed in the global schema. GRL is very simple to undertand and supports retrieval-only capabilities.

5.3.1 GRL Design Issues

The objective of GRL is to provide a common language for querying different database systems. Since the query capabilities of each database system varies widely, the choice of the query capabilties that GRL should provide is an important issue.

Presently, CIS/TK is targeted for decision support applications where retrieving data from separate systems is more common than updates. Global updates is not only a difficult technical issue but is also hard to implement in reality due to the autonomy of the various databases. We thus do not focus on update capabilities.

Some of the databases that MERGE intend to support do not have any manipulation capabilities, for example, Reuters, an on-line financial database is a retrieval-only system. In contrast, database systems like ORACLE SQL not only have retrieval capabilities, but they also have data manipulation capabilities like *max*, *min*, and *group*. In order to provide for a common language that can access disparate systems, several options were available to us.

The first option is for GRL to provide for most types of query capabilties, and when a local database does not have a GRL supported capability, for example *max*, MERGE can provide for a global implementation of the capability. However we decided not to implement any manipulation type capabilities to keep the GRL simple and general. Instead manipulation capabilties will be provided at the AQP level, where the manipulation capabilities can be custom built according to the application.

Having decided on retrieval-type operations, there was still the issue of what kinds of retrieval-type capabilities we should support. A key thing that MERGE intends to support is the merging of data from different sources, thus a join capability was necessary.

Another issue in the design of GRL was in the design of the syntax. In the previous prototype, the query language was very LISP oriented, which was hard to undertsand for most users, but more efficient to process within a LISP environment. For the current version of GRL, we compromised on a SQL-like, LISP-like language. The SQL-like syntax will make GRL more easy to understand. Ultimately, a font-end SQL language could be developed as future work to serve as the common query language.

5.3.2 An Overview of GRL

A typical GRL query and the format which it returns data is shown in Figure 5.8. In the next section, we describe how to use some of the features of GRL.

"Find the AT&T company's recruiting dates, positions, and alumni who work for that company."

GRL:

```
(join  (select  company  (position  date)
              where  (=  name  "AT&T"))
       (select  alumni  (last-name  first-name  degree)
       on  works_for)
```

Data:

```
(((company  position)  (company  date)  (alumni  last-name)
  (alumni  first-name)  (alumni  degree))
 ("accountant"  "3 March"  "Hotchkiss"  "George"  "MS 79")
 ("engineer"  "4 March"  "Hotchkiss George"  "MS 79")
                                                  ... )
```

Figure 5.8 A Typical Global Query in GRL

Selecting an Entity

To select a single entity and its attributes in a global schema, the *select* statement is used. For example, to query the entity *alumni* for the attributes *last-name, first-name , and position* with a condition that the *degree* is equal to "SB 79", the following query is used:

```
(select alumni (last-name first-name position)
        where (= degree "SB 79"))
```

The data returned looks like:

```
(((alumni last-name) (alumni first-name) (alumni position))
 ("Smith" "John" "manager")
 ("Hopkins" "John" "physician")
 ... )
```

If all the attributes within an entity are to be selected, then the *-option can be used:

```
(select alumni * where (= degree "SB 79"))
```

which is equivalent to the following query:

```
(select alumni (last-name first-name degree position)
        where (= degree "SB 79"))
```

Complicated conditions can also be expressed within a *select* statement. For example, to find all the alumni who have a degree equal to "SB 79" and is working in the position of "manager", the following query is used:

```
(select alumni (last-name first-name)
        where (and (= degree "SB 79")
                   (= position "manager")))
```

Similarly, an *or* condition can be expressed in a similar fashion.

Joining Entities

To join multiple entities, the *join* statement is used. For example, to join the two entities *alumni* and *company*, we can use the following query:

```
(join (select company (position date)
        where (= name "AT&T"))
      (select alumni (last-name first-name degree)
```

on works_for)

When there is only one relationship between two entities, the query can be specified without the *on* clause. In addition, the join statement supports multiple nested join statements with the following format:

```
(join  (select  entity1   (att1  ... attn ) where ... )
       (join  (select  entity2  (att1  ... attn )  where ... )
              (join  (select  entity3  (att1  ... attn ) where ... )
                     (... ))))
```

For a more detailed description of the GRL syntax, please refer to Appendix B.

Chapter 6

Global Query Processing

In the last chapter, we presented the data model and its associated components. The Global Query Processor (GQP) is the basic engine for executing a global query, using the components of the data model for attribute mapping and data reconciliation. The GQP is part of the CIS/TK query processing architecture and acts as the interface between the local query processors and the application query processor.

Section 6.1 provides an overview of the GQP architecture, and Section 6.2 addresses some of the main issues in developing the GQP. In Sections 6.3 and 6.4, the two main components of the GQP -- the Query Parser and Query Router are described in further detail.

6.1 Overview of the GQP Architecture

The GQP architecture is divided into two main parts: query parsing and query routing. Figure 6.1 summarizes the main subcomponents in the GQP and their interaction. The partitioning of the GQP reflects the two main tasks that happen during query processing: determining the subtasks that need to be done and executing these subtasks. In addition, by separating the parser from the router, we can in the future change the routing algorithm without requiring modifications to the entire GQP. In the previous prototypes, the router was imbedded in the parser. This scheme made it hard to extend the system. Furthermore,



Figure 6.1 The GQP Architecture

it made the system hard to understand and debug. The partitioned parser-router design offers a better alternative.

The Query Parser

The query parser accepts a global query specified in the GRL syntax. It creates a parse tree that maps out all the subtasks that need to be done to satisfy the query. The parser tree is created through four subcomponents in the parser.

The *syntax checker* module catches any syntax errors in the query before any further processing is done. After syntax checking, the query is sent to the *query expansion* module, which expands the query into an internal form that is easier to manipulate. In addition, attributes not specified in the global query but are necessary for joining tables is inserted into the expanded query. After expansion, the query is sent to the *create access plan* module, which creates all possible access paths by mapping the global attributes to its equivalent local names, and then filters the choices based on a set of selection rules. The module then creates a parse tree based on the access paths chosen. A typical parse tree is shown in Figure 6.2. Finally, the *query enhancer* module inserts into the parse tree any semantic information found in the data catalog. Presently, only synonyms and translation enhancements are planned.



Figure 6.2 Example of Parse Tree

The Query Router

The query router accepts the parse tree. The router is responsible for executing the parse tree and combining the data into a format that reflects the initial global query, for example, removing attributes inserted for joining purposes but not specified in the global query, and converting the local attribute names back into its equivalent global names. The router has four submodules that accomplish the above mentioned tasks.

The *access plan router* module executes each leaf of the parse tree, and is responsible for invoking the many subqueries to the LQPs. After the execution of each leaf, the data returned is sent to the *global convert* module, which maps the local attribute names into the equivalent global names. The *insert* module then builds a set of constraints that is inserted into the next leaf of the parse tree. The execute-convert-insert loop is completed when the entire parse tree is executed. All the data is then sent to the *combine* module where it is combined. Finally, the combined data is formatted by the *format* module into a form that reflects the initial global query.

The previous section has presented an overall view of the main components of the GQP and their interactions. In the following section, we will present how the GQP tackles some interesting issues posed by query processing in a distributed database environment.

6.2 Issues in Global Query Processing

6.2.1 Automatic Database Selection

In a distibuted database system, data can usually be retrieved from several sources. The problems faced in database selection are mainly due to (1) overlapping data, and (2) replicated data. When the number of underlying databases is large, it is infeasible to expect the user to manually select the databases that correspond to a global query -- some mechanism that aids or automates the selection process is required.

The Problem - Many Combinations To Choose From

Figure 6.3 shows a global query fragment that is mapped to several fragments in the underlying data. For the global attribute *att1*, there are two possible fragments (or sources) where the data can be retrieved, i.e., *d1* or *db2*. For *att2*, the data can be retrieved from either fragments *db3* or *db4*, which are overlapped. However, *att3* can only be retrieved from *db4*.



Figure 6.3 Mapping of Global Attributes To Possible Fragments

Thus to satisfy the global query, the possible combination of fragments to select include the following:

1. (db1 db3 db4),
2. (db1 db4),
3. (db2 db3 db4), or
4. (db2 db4)

Faced with several choices, a combination can be selected on a number of possible criterions, for example, on the least number of fragments, on the lowest communication costs or on the least communication time delay. For example, if we want to optimize on the number of sources accessed, we would either choose combinations 2 or 3 since they require access to only two fragments.

A Changeable Set of Selection Rules

Choosing a particular combination of sources is based on factors that are usually dependent on the application and the requirements of the user. For example, in financial applications, knowledge and the ability to choose the source of the data is an important criterion stressed by many users [PAG 89]. In most DDBMS, the selection mechanism is fixed and imbedded within the routing algorithm. In MERGE, we recognise the fact that the criterias for source selection often change and have accordingly developed a selection mechanism that utilizes a set of changeable rules for source selection. In addition, options for both automatic selection, manual selection or a mixture of both are possible.

Currently, we have developed a default set of simple rules to automatically select an access path. It is based on the criteria of accessing the least number of fragments, and if possible within one database, or table. These rules are detailed in section 6.3.3. The current set of rules is intended only to show the feasibility of such a selection mechanism and it ignores factors like communication costs and delays. However, by choosing a rule scheme, we will be able to accomodate future extensions.

6.2.2 Join Strategy

The GQP has to join data from multiple databases. One strategy for joining data is to separately query each database and join the data at the global level. In this strategy, the results from a database query are not used in subsequent queries to other databases. The search space for each query is thus rather large.

The other strategy is to use the results from one database query as constraints for the next subquery. This has the advantage of narrowing the search space in the subqueries.

In our GQP, the second strategy is adopted. The MERGE system is targeted for decision support applications where the amount of data retrieved is usually small but involves several databases. Compared to the second strategy, the first strategy results in large amounts of data being retrieved from each database. This significantly lengthens the total retrieval time.



Figure 6.4 GQP Join Strategy

The retrieval time for the first strategy can be significantly improved if each query can be executed in parallel. However, our present communications server cannot handle multiple tasks. A new communications server that can handle multiple tasks is currently being implemented [GAN 89].

6.2.3 Local DBMS Optimizations

Most DBMS have capabilities for joining and manipulating data. In a distributed database system, a major issue is whether the system should make use of the local DBMS's

capabilities. Using the capabilities of local DBMS has the advantage of relieving the global query processor from extra processing.

In our version of the GQP, we chose not to make this local DBMS optimization. The main reason being that such a feature would require a more complex GQP, since Merge is designed to retrieve data from heterogeneous databases with varying capabilties. For example in Multibase, a catalog is used to keep track of the capabilities supported by each database. If a query to Multibase uses a capability that is not found in the local database, it wil augment such a capability at the global level. However, this incurs the cost of extra checks and augmentation, making the global query processor much more complicated. At presently, we do not intend to implement optimize the GQP for using the local DBMS capabilities, although it serves as an interesting piece of future work, especially in applications where speed is more critical.

6.2.4 Interfacing for Data Reconciliation

One of the most complex parts of query processing is performing data reconciliation. In Chapter 2, we discussed the needs for reconciling certain types of data conflicts at the GQP level, namely resolving syntax type conflicts so that data from separate sources can be combined. For the reasons of extensibility, data reconciliation in the GQP is actually done by tools that are not imbedded within the GQP. For example, a translation facility [MCC 88] is a tool currently being used in the preliminary version of the GQP for performing translations between different data formats and different scale units. As new tools like instance identification and domain mapping are developed for reconciling data, the GQP should be able to accomodate them. In MERGE, we have developed a consistent interface within GQP for accomodating new tools.

Data reconciliation during query processing can basically happen at two places: (1) before getting the data and (2) after getting the data. For example, consider the following global query:

(select company (position date industry) where (= name "AT&T"))

(1) Before Getting the Data

The previous query is asking for the "AT&T" company's recruiting positions and dates. However, "AT&T" is also represented as several other names in the underlying data, for example "AT&T Corp.", and "American Telephone + Telegraph". Thus before getting the data, the equivalent synonyms for "AT&T" should be inserted into the query in order to get all "AT&T" company's recuiting information. In the GQP, insertion of synonyms is performed in the *query enhancer* module.

(2) After Getting the Data

After getting the data, the data from different sources have to combined. However, as discussed in Chapter 2, in order to combine the data, the data has first to be resolved for conflicts in naming, formats and scales. For example, if the previous query retrieved data from two tables, as shown in Figure 6.5, in order to get all the information regarding the "AT&T" company, the company names returned from each database have to be standardized before combining the data returned. Data reconciliation after gettting data is done in the *combine* module of the router.

In the GQP, data reconciliations before getting the data are done in the *query enhancer* module of the parser. Data reconciliations after getting the data are done in the *combine* module of the router. In this way, as new tools are developed to support data reconciliation, there is a consistent way within the GQP to accomodate them. Any other method, like imbedding data reconciliation within the query processor, has the disadvantage of not being easily extendable.



Figure 6.5 Data Reconciling before Combination

6.3 The Query Parser: How it Works

In this section, we provide a detailed description of how the parser works. Recalling the *simple-placement* global schema described in Chapter 5, a typical GRL query based on that schema is:

"Find the AT&T company's recruiting dates, positions, and alumni who work for that company."

```
(join (select company (position date)
            where (= name "AT&T"))
      (select alumni (last-name first-name degree position)
      on works_for)                                          --- Query (1)
```

This query is accepted by the parser and is transformed into a parse tree. The transformation stages are described next, and they include error checking, query expansion, creating an access plan, and query enhancing.

6.3.1 Stage 1: Error Checking

In the error checking stage, the query is both checked for syntax and lexical errors. Syntax checking involving checking the correctness of the query syntax. In lexical checking, the entities, attributes and relations specified in the query are checked against the current global schema, and an error signalled if an entity, attribute or relation is not found in the global schema.

6.3.2 Stage 2: Query Expansion

In the query expansion stage, the global query is expanded into a form that is easier to manipulate within the GQP. Several types of expansions are involved:

Relation Expansion

First, the join relationship is expanded. The join relationship is the *on* clause of the GRL query. For example in query (1), the join relationship is *works_for*. The join relationship

is expanded into the actual join condition. For example, the relationship *works_for* would be expanded into:

(= (company name) (alumni company))

This join information is obtained from the global schema. For our example, this would be the *:join* slot of the*works_for* relation object.

* Expansion

Secondly, the * option is expanded. The * option is used to select all the attributes in an entity. For example, to get all the attributes within the *alumni* entity, the following query can be used:

(select alumni * where (= name "Sam"))

which is expanded into:

(select alumni (name social_security degree major position company)
where (= name "Sam"))

Join-Key Expansion

Thirdly, the attributes are expanded to include the join-key attributes. For example, we found earlier that query (1) has the join condition:

(= (company name) (alumni company))

The join-key attributes are (company name) and (alumni company), i.e., these two attributes are used these entities. However, *query 1* does not specify either of these join-key attributes. A join cannot be performed if data for that attribute is not retrieved. The expanded query for query (1) is:

```
(join (select company (position date name)
            where (= name "AT&T"))
```

```
(select  alumni (last-name first-name degree position company)
 on (= (company name) (alumni company))                    --- Query (1.2)
```

Attribute Expansion

The last step in the expansion is to expand each attribute in a GRL statement into a form that is more easier to manipulate. Each attribute is expanded into a list (*entity attribute*).

After query expansion, query (1.2) looks like the following:

```
(join (select company ((company position) (company date) (company name))
            where (= (company name) "AT&T"))
      (select alumni ((alumni last-name) (alumni first-name)
                      (alumni degree) (alumni position) (alumni company)))
        on (= (company name) (alumni company)) )                --- Query (1.3)
```

Next, the expanded query is passed to the *create access plan* stage.

6.3.3 Stage 3: Creating an Access Plan

In this stage, an access plan is created that maps out all the subtasks that need to be done to satisfy the query. Creating an access plan involves (1) find all possible access paths, and (2) selecting an access path, and (3) creating an access plan (parse tree) based on (2). These steps are summarized in Figure 6.6, and are further elaborated next.

(1) Find Access Paths

To find the access paths for a query, each *select* statement of a query is applied the procedure described next. For our examples, we will use the first *select* statement of query (1.3).

Procedure:

(i) Map Global Attributes to Local Names. Each global attribute is mapped to all the possible local names. For example, the attribute (*company name*), is mapped to the following local names:

((recruitdb west_companytb company)
(recruitdb east_companytb comp_name))

After all the global attributes have been mapped into the local names, this map information is stored in a local cache to facilitate quick lookups.



Figure 6.6 Creating an Access Plan

(ii) Joins between Sources. To find the possible access paths, all joins between the sources have to be first enumerated. All the join relationships between the sources can be obtained from the global schema, from the *:table-relations* of the entity object. These relationships are then used to find all possible source combinations. For example, in order to satisfy query (1.3), the sources found previously in (i) which include:

For the company entity:

1.1 (recruitdb west_companytbl)

1.2 (recruitdb east_companytbl) , and

For the alumni entity:

2.1 (alumnidb alumnitb)

2.2 (alumnidb schooltb)

have relations of a *concatenate* and *merge* respectively. In other words, in order to satisfy the global query that involves the entity *company,* the two sources 1.1 and 1.2 need to be concatenated together. Similarly, to satfisfy the global query for the *alumni* entity, the two sources 2.1 and 2.2 need to be merged.

Step 2: Select Access Path

The selection of an access path is by default done automatically. The default rule set is shown in Figure 6.7. The goal of the default rule set is to determine the least number of sources needed to satisfy a query.

In our example query (1.3), the selection rule applied is very simple because there is only one combination of sources required to satisfy the query, that is, the *only combination?* near the top of the flow chart in Figure 6.6 is found to be true, and the rule selection process ends.



Figure 6.7 Default Selection Rules

Step 3: Create a Parse Tree

The last step is to create the parse tree using the access path selected from step (2). The parse tree created for query 1.3 is shown in Figure 6.8. The parse tree is specified in an intermediate query language for which the router understands.



Figure 6.8 Parse Tree for Query 1.3

The parse tree for query (1.3) is the following intermediate query:

```
(join (concatenate (get-table recruitdb west_companytb (position date company)
                                where (= company "AT&T"))
                   (get-table recruitdb east_companytb (position date comp_name)
                                where (= comp_name "AT&T"))
      (merge (get-table alumnidb alumnitb (last-name first-name position
                                                    company ss))
             (get-table alumnidb schooltb (degree ss)
             on (= (alumnidb alumnitb ss)
                   (alumnidb schooltb ss))
      on (= (company name) (alumni company)))
```

This parse tree is then sent to the query enhancement stage.

6.3.4 Stage 4: Query Enhancing

The two types of query enhancement include synonym identification and translations. These enhancements are described next.

Synonym Identification

The first type of query enhancement is synonym identification. All attributes in a query are checked against the synonym catalog for synonyms. For example, to check whether *(recruitdb west_companytb company)* has synonyms, the following command is used:

(get_syntb 'recruitdb 'west_companytb 'company)

If synonyms exist, the local synonym table for that attribute is returned, else nothing is returned. Recall our example in Figure 5.5 from Section 5.2.1 on the two-level scheme representation for synonym catalogs. The local synonym table for *(recruitdb west_companytb company)* from that example would be:

west_syntb

Each synonym table is inserted into the parse tree at the leaf (get-table statement) where the synonym occurred in the following format:

(get-table ***lqp tb*** **(*attl ... attn*) where *conds***
syns ***((attl syn_table1) ... (attn syn_tablen))***

where *attn* is the name of the local attribute that corresponds to the synonym table *syn_tablen*. For example **west_syntb** would be inserted as:

```
(get-table recruitdb west_companytb (position date name)
                    where (= name "AT&T")
                     syns ((name *west_syntb*)))
```

After all the attributes are checked, the parse tree is augmented to include these synonym table names. The actual insertion of synonyms does not take place until query routing.

After query enhancements, the parse tree for query (1.3) is the following:

```
(join (concatenate (get-table recruitdb west_companytb (position date company)
                                where (= company "AT&T")
                                 syns ((company *west_syntb*)))
                   (get-table recruitdb east_companytb (position date comp_name)
                                where (= comp_name "AT&T")
                                syns ((comp_name *east_syntb)))
      (merge (get-table alumnidb alumnitb (last-name first-name position
                                                    company ss))
             (get-table alumnidb schooltb (degree ss)
             on (= (alumnidb alumnitb ss)
                   (alumnidb schooltb ss))
       on (= (company name) (alumni company)))                    --- Parse Tree (1.3)
```

6.4 Query Router: How it Works

The query router accepts a parse tree which it then executes. Before going into the details of how each module of the router works, we will run through an example using the parse tree created for query (1.3). From hereon, we will refer to that parse tree as parse tree (1.3). The numbers in bold in the following example correspond to where the parse tree are being processed within the router, as shown in Figure 6.1. For convenenience, we reproduce parse tree (1.3):

ACCEPTS:

```
(join (concatenate (get-table recruitdb west_companytb (position date company)
                                where (= company "AT&T")
                                 syns ((company *west_syntb*)))
                   (get-table recruitdb east_companytb (position date comp_name)
                                where (= comp_name "AT&T")
                                syns ((comp_name *east_syntb*)))
      (merge (get-table alumnidb alumnitb (last-name first-name position
                                                    company ss))
             (get-table alumnidb schooltb (degree ss)
             on (= (alumnidb alumnitb ss)
                   (alumnidb schooltb ss))
       on (= (company name) (alumni company)))
```

The router traverses the parse tree in a left to right, depth-first mode. For parse tree (1.3), the first left branch:

5(a):

```
(concatenate (get-table recruitdb west_companytb (position date company)
                                where (= name "AT&T")
                                 syns ((company *west_syntb*)))
             (get-table recruitdb east_companytb (position date comp_name)
                                where (= comp_name "AT&T")
                                syns ((comp_name *east_syntb*)))
```

would be first executed. The *access path* module executes this branch by generating subqueries to the appropriate LQPs. The data returned from the LQPs is combined:

6(a):

```
(((recruitdb west_companytb position) (recruitdb west_companytb date)
  (recruitdb west_companytb company))
 ("manager"  "February 5"  "AT&T")
  ...
 ("programmer"  "February 6"  "AT&T"))
```

This data is sent to the *global convert* module which converts the header list (the first list in the data) into the equivalent global attribute names:

7(a):

```
(((company position) (company date) (company name)
  ("manager"  "February 5"  "AT&T")
   ...
  ("programmer"  "February 6"  "AT&T"))
```

This is processed by the *insert constraints* module which takes the data and builds constraints for the right branch of parse tree (1.3). These constraints are inserted into the right branch:

5(b):

```
(merge (get-table alumnidb alumnitb (last-name first-name position
                                          company ss)
                    where (= company "AT&T"))          ;; constraint inserted
       (get-table alumnidb schooltb (degree ss)
       on (= (alumnidb alumnitb ss)
             (alumnidb schooltb ss))
```

This right branch of parse tree (1.3) is then executed by the *access router* module. The data returned from the LQPs are combined and sent to the *global convert* module:

6(b):

```
(((alumnidb alumnitb last-name) (alumnidb alumnitb first-name)
  (alumnidb alumnitb position) (alumnidb alumnitb company) (alumnidb alumnitb ss))
 ("Ernest" "George" "accountant" "AT&T" "888002147")
  ...
 ("Horton" "Dave" "engineer" "AT&T" "214700888"))
```

The converted data is sent to the *insert constraints* module:

7(b):

```
(((alumni last-name) (alumni first-name) (alumni position)
  (alumni company) (alumni ss))
  ("Ernest" "George" "accountant" "AT&T" "888002147")
  ...
 ("Horton" "Dave" "engineer" "AT&T" "214700888"))
```

However, no constraints are built because all the branches of the parse tree have been executed. The next stage involves combining all the data returned from the left and right branches:

8:

```
(join ((company position) (company date) (company name)
       ("manager" "February 5" "AT&T")
         ...
       ("programmer" "February 6" "AT&T"))
       (((alumni last-name) (alumni first-name) (alumni position)
         (alumni company) (alumni ss))
        ("Ernest" "George" "accountant" "AT&T" "888002147")
         ...
       ("Horton" "Dave" "engineer" "AT&T" "214700888"))
       on (= (company name) (alumni company)))
```

This data is joined into one big list:

9:

```
(((company position) (company date) (company name)
  (alumni last-name) (alumni first-name) (alumni position)
  (alumni company) (alumni ss))
 ("manager"  "February 5"  "AT&T" "Ernest"  "George"  "accountant"  "AT&T"
       "888002147" )
   ...
 ("programmer"  "February 6"  "AT&T" "Horton"  "Dave"  "engineer"  "AT&T"
       "214700888")))
```

This is processed by the *format* module which removes any attributes not specified in the original query. Refering to the orginal query (1.3), this includes removing *(alumni company)* and *(alunmi ss)*, which were necessary in joining the data but not specified in query (1.3):

RETURNS:

```
(((company position) (company date) (company name)
  (alumni last-name) (alumni first-name) (alumni position)
 ("manager"  "February 5"  "AT&T" "Ernest"  "George"  "accountant")
   ...
 ("programmer"  "February 6"  "AT&T" "Horton"  "Dave"  "engineer" ))
```

This section has provided a run-through of how the modules in the router interact. In the next section, we describe how each module works.

6.3.1 The Access Path Router

The intermediate query router recognizes four operators, which in its basic form are the following:

GET-TABLE *lqp table (attl ... attn)* **WHERE** *conds*. Selects the attributes *attl,.. attn* from the table *table* on the restriction *conds*.

MERGE *get-table get-table* **ON** *conditions*. Merges two sets of data returned from the *get-table* statements using the *conditions* as restrictions. All duplicate entries in the data are eliminated.

CONCATENATE *get-table get-table*. Concatenates two sets of data returned from the *get-table* statements. Does not eliminate any duplicates.

The *access path router* accepts a parse tree which it then proceeds in a left-to-right depth first manner to break down into the subqueries consisting of the intermediate queries. The intermediate quries are then executed. When the *access path router* encounters a *get-table* statement, the appropriate LQP specified in the statement is invoked in the following manner:

(send-message *lqp* :get-data *(table (attl ... attn))* *conds*)

After executing all the get-table statements within a subquery, the data returned from the LQPs are combined with the either the *merge* or *concatenate* operator.

6.3.2 Global Convert

The global convert accepts a list of data from the access path router and converts the header of that list into the global attribute names. For example in 6(a), the header list is:

((recruitdb west_companytb position) (recruitdb west_companytb date)
(recruitdb west_companytb company))

Each of these local attributes is converted into its equivalent global attributes by looking up in a temporary cache, created during the parsing of the query. For example, to look up the global attribute for the first local attribute in the header list shown above, the following command is used:

(lookup-3map 'recruitdb 'west_companytb 'position *loc->gs*)

where **loc->gs** is the name of the local cache. The local attribute name returned is:

((company position))

This is done for all the elements in the header list, which is then appended to the rest of the data into the following:

```
(((alumni last-name) (alumni first-name) (alumni position)
  (alumni company) (alumni ss))
  ("Ernest" "George" "accountant" "AT&T" "888002147")
  ...
 ("Horton" "Dave" "engineer" "AT&T" "214700888"))
```

6.3.3 Insert Constraints

This module takes the data returned from one branch of the parse tree and uses it to constrain the next query found in the right branch. For example in 7(a), the data returned from the left branch of parse tree (1.3):

```
(((company position) (company date) (company name)
  ("manager" "February 5" "AT&T")
   ...
```

("programmer" "February 6" "AT&T"))

is matched with the *on* part of the *join* statement, the condition being:

(= (company name) (alumni company))

A match is found when one of the attributes in the header list of the data match with an attribute in the condition list. In this case, a match is found for *(alumni company)*. The data for the match is found from the data and used as constraints:

(= (alumni company) "AT&T")

which is converted into the local attribute name:

(= (alumniidb alumnitb company) "AT&T")

and inserted into the left-most leaf in the right branch of the parse tree:

```
(merge (get-table alumnidb alumnitb (last-name first-name position
                                          company ss)
                    where (= company "AT&T"))        ;; constraint inserted
       (get-table alumnidb schooltb (degree ss)
       on (= (alumnidb alumnitb ss)
             (alumnidb schooltb ss))
```

6.3.4 Combine

The combine module takes the data returned from each branch of the parse tree and combines it on the *join* operator. The joining process involves a cartesian product of the data and then a restriction is performed on the resulting data list.

In the future, when data reconciliation facilities like translations are implemented, they can be interfaced to the GQP in the *combine* module.

6.3.5 Format

The format module takes the combined data and strips off attributes that were not specified in the initial query but were used in the the joining process. The data is then returned to the to the caller of the GQP, completing the query processing process.

The last two chapters described the data model and the global query processor. In the next chapter, we test these components with an application called the Placement Assistant System.

Chapter 7

Application: Placement Assistant System

This chapter describes a simplified version of the Placement Assistant System (PAS) being implemented by the CIS/TK project. It is used to demonstrate the MERGE system operating within the CIS/TK environment, which currently supports access to several SQL-based DBMS. In the next section, we describe the operational scenario of the simplified PAS, and in section 7.2, show a sample session with the system.

7.1 Implementation Scenario

The following describes the scenario of the PAS system:

As a student, it would be nice to have a Placement Assistant System (PAS) to help plan and prepare you for your job interviews. This task normally involves selecting a set of companies on any several criteria, such as industry, location, economic performance, position. You will then want to check which companies will be sending recruiters to your school, resolve any conflicts, and define your schedule of interviews. In order to focus your energies and improve your chances, you will want to gather relevant information from



Figure 7.1 Machine Configuration for PAS

both external and internal sources (if it happens that an alumnus works for any of the companies). This would allow you to be knowledgeable about the company, prepare you to ask questions, and solicit support for your application.

The Placement Assistant System is to be an on-line system that helps you in the various phases of the placement process. There are several databases, shown in Figure 7.1, at your disposal:

1- **ALUMNI** (on an AT&T 3B2 computer). This will give you access to data regarding alumni and the corporations which employ them,

2- **RECRUIT** (on an IBM PC/RT computer). The RECRUIT database, maintained by the Placement Office at SLOAN, provides information as to which companies are recuiting, the positions for which they are hiring, and whn they will be coming.

3- **FINSBURY** and **I.P. SHARP** (external databases). Commercial data banks such as Finsbury or I.P. Sharp provide general information about location, industry, products, financial situation of major corporations.

Presently, this version of PAS does not have the capability to access the external databases through CIS/TK, so the data from the external databases is downloaded onto an SQL database (Financial on MIT2C) which is then accessed by the CIS/TK system. Efforts to provide on-line connection to the external databases are near completion and are further described in [GER 89] [Gan 89].

In the next section, we describe a sample session with MERGE.

7.2 Sample Session

MERGE provides a common query language for retrieving, and combining data from the various databases described in the last section. A global schema that represents the underlying data is shown in Figures 7.2(a) and 7.2(b) The following is a session that a student might go through with MERGE to find out more about recruiting companies:

1. "Find all companies recruiting in the communications industry". This query involves accessing two databases (alumni and recruit). The first access is to the alumni database, which gets the standard industry code (SIC) for the communications industry, and then the recruit database is accessed to get companies with that stardard industry code.

;;;; Query to Global Query Processor:

```
(GQP  (SELECT  COMPANY  (DATE  POSITION  NAME)
                 WHERE  (=  INDUSTRY  "Communications")))
```

Global Schema:



Figure 7.2(a) Global Schema for PAS

Figure 7.2(b) Underlying Tables for PAS

;;;; This query is sent to the parser which returns the following parse tree:

```
<2  (PARSER  (MERGE  (GET-TABLE  LOCAL2E  SICCODETB
                              (INDUSTRY  SIC_CODE)  WHERE
                                    (=  INDUSTRY  "Communications"))
                         (GET-TABLE ORACLE2E COMPANYTBL
                          (VISIT_DAY  POSITION  COMPANY_NAME
                                                SIC_CODE))
                      ON
                         (=  (ORACLE2E  COMPANYTBL  SIC_CODE)
                             (LOCAL2E  SICCODETB  SIC_CODE)))
                   ...
```

;;;; The parse tree is then passed to the router which routes each subquery to the appropriate LQP:

```
2>  (QUERY_ROUTER
       (MERGE  (GET-TABLE  LOCAL2E  SICCODETB  (INDUSTRY  SIC_CODE)
                           WHERE  (= INDUSTRY  "Communications"))
               (GET-TABLE  ORACLE2E  COMPANYTBL
                           (VISIT_DAY  POSITION  COMPANY_NAME
                                       SIC_CODE))
            ON
               (=  (ORACLE2E  COMPANYTBL  SIC_CODE)
                   (LOCAL2E  SICCODETB  SIC_CODE))))
```

;;;; The first subquery is to the alumni database to get the SIC for "communications":

```
3>  (SEND-MESSAGE  LOCAL2E  :GET-DATA
                (SICCODETB  (INDUSTRY  SIC_CODE)
                     (= INDUSTRY "Communications")))

SQL query to be sent to DBMS....
SELECT INDUSTRY, SIC_CODE FROM SICCODETB WHERE INDUSTRY =
'Communications'

Connecting to localdb on machine mit2e...Done.
```

;;;; The LQP returns the following data:

```
<3  (SEND-MESSAGE
          (("INDUSTRY"  "SIC_CODE")
            ("Communications"  "48")))
```

;;;; Next, the router executes the right branch (recruiting information) with the newly found information on SIC as a constraint:

```
3>  (QUERY_ROUTER
      (GET-TABLE  ORACLE2E  COMPANYTBL
            (VISIT_DAY  POSITION  COMPANY_NAME  SIC_CODE)  WHERE
                  (= SIC_CODE "48")))
```

;;;; Get data from about recruiting information:

```
4>  (SEND-MESSAGE  ORACLE2E  :GET-DATA
      (COMPANYTBL  (VISIT_DAY  POSITION  COMPANY_NAME  SIC_CODE)
                   (= SIC_CODE "48")))

SQL query to be sent to DBMS....
SELECT VISIT_DAY, POSITION, COMPANY_NAME, SIC_CODE FROM
COMPANYTBL WHERE SIC_CODE = '48'

Connecting to oracldb on machine mit2e...Done.

<4  (SEND-MESSAGE
       (("VISIT_DAY"  "POSITION"  "COMPANY_NAME"  "SIC_CODE")
          ("February 5" "investment mgmt" "AT&T" "48")
          ("January 28" "finance" "AT&T" "48")
          ("January 29" "marketing" "AT&T" "48")
          ("February 9" "international" "AT&T" "48")))
```

;;;; The data is combined with the previous data and returned:

```
<1  (GQP  (((COMPANY  DATE)  (COMPANY  POSITION)  (COMPANY  NAME))
               ("February 5" "investment mgmt" "AT&T")
              ("January 28" "finance" "AT&T")
              ("January 29" "marketing" "AT&T")
               ("February 9" "international" "AT&T")))
```

---

2. "Find the alumni who work at AT&T, and the company's financial information for the year 1987". This query involves access to three databases: the alumni, recruit, and financial databases. First the alumni database is accessed to retrieve data about the alumni, and then the recuit database is accessed to retrieve tha states. Finally, the IPSHARP database is accessed to retrieve AT&T's financial data for the year 1987. This data is then combines together.

;;;; Query to Global Query Processor:

```
1>  (GQP  (JOIN  (SELECT  ALUMNI  (LAST-NAME  FIRST-NAME  DEGREE)
                          WHERE  (=  COMPANY  "AT&T"))
                    (JOIN  (SELECT  COMPANY  (STATE))
                           (SELECT  FINANCE  (PROFIT  CURRENCY  MULT)
                              WHERE    (=  PERIOD  "19871231")))))
```

;;;; This query is sent to the parser which returns:

```
<2  (PARSER  (JOIN  (GET-TABLE  LOCAL2E  ALUMNITB
                                (COMPANY_NAME LAST_NAME FIRST_NAME
                                              DEGREE)
                                WHERE  (= COMPANY_NAME "AT&T"))
                    (JOIN  (GET-TABLE  ORACLE2E COMPANYTBL
                                       (COMPANY_NAME STATE))
                           (MERGE  (GET-TABLE DISCLOSURE2E GENINFO
                                              (CO CURR COMPNO))
                                   (GET-TABLE DISCLOSURE2E GENNUM
                                              (CF NS MULT COMPNO)
                                      WHERE    (= CF  "19871231"))
                               ON
                                 (=  (DISCLOSURE2E  GENINFO
                                                    COMPNO)
                                     (DISCLOSURE2E GENNUM
                                                    COMPNO)))
                        ON  (=  (COMPANY NAME)
                                (FINANCE COMPANY)))
                 ON  (=  (ALUMNI COMPANY)  (COMPANY NAME)))
```

;;;; This parse tree is sent to the router:

```
2>  (QUERY_ROUTER  (GET-TABLE  LOCAL2E  ALUMNITB
                               (COMPANY_NAME LAST_NAME FIRST_NAME DEGREE)
                                  WHERE  (=  COMPANY_NAME  "AT&T")))
```

;;;; invoke LQP

```
3>  (SEND-MESSAGE  LOCAL2E  :GET-DATA
                   (ALUMNITB  (COMPANY_NAME  LAST_NAME  FIRST_NAME
                                                        DEGREE)
                        (=  COMPANY_NAME  "AT&T")))

SQL query to be sent to DBMS....
SELECT COMPANY_NAME, LAST_NAME, FIRST_NAME, DEGREE FROM
ALUMNITB WHERE COMPANY_NAME = 'AT&T'

Connecting to localdb on machine mit2e...Done.
```

;;;; data returned from LQP

```
<3  (SEND-MESSAGE
                  (("COMPANY_NAME"  "LAST_NAME"
                           "FIRST_NAME""DEGREE")
                    ("AT&T"  "George"  "Ernest"  "SM 1979")))
```

;;;; routes next leaf in parse tree, which gets the state information

```
2>  (QUERY_ROUTER
               (GET-TABLE  ORACLE2E  COMPANYTBL
                      (COMPANY_NAME  STATE)  WHERE
                                (=  COMPANY_NAME  "AT&T")))
```

;;;; invokes the lqp for the recruiting database

```
3>  (SEND-MESSAGE  ORACLE2E  :GET-DATA
              (COMPANYTBL  (COMPANY_NAME  STATE)
```

```
                                (=  COMPANY_NAME  "AT&T")))
```

;;;; which returns

```
<3  (SEND-MESSAGE
                  (("COMPANY_NAME" "STATE") ("AT&T" "MA")
                  ("AT&T" "NJ") ("AT&T" "MA") ("AT&T" "MA")))
```

;;;; routes next leaf

```
2>  (QUERY_ROUTER
              (MERGE (GET-TABLE DISCLOSURE2E GENINFO (CO CURR
                                                     COMPNO)
                        WHERE (= CO "AT&T"))
                     (GET-TABLE DISCLOSURE2E GENNUM
                          (CF NS MULT COMPNO)
                        WHERE (= CF "19871231"))
                  ON
                     (= (DISCLOSURE2E GENINFO COMPNO)
                        (DISCLOSURE2E GENNUM COMPNO))))
```

;;; invokes LQP

```
3>  (SEND-MESSAGE DISCLOSURE2E :GET-DATA
                 (GENINFO (CO CURR COMPNO) (= CO "AT&T")))

SQL query to be sent to DBMS....
SELECT CO, CURR, COMPNO FROM GENINFO WHERE CO = 'AT&T'

Connecting to discl_2 on machine mit2e...Done.
```

;;;; data returned

```
<3  (SEND-MESSAGE (("CO" "CURR" "COMPNO") ("AT&T" "$-US"
                                           "470")))
```

;;;; route next last leaf

```
3>  (QUERY_ROUTER
                 (GET-TABLE DISCLOSURE2E GENNUM
                      (CF NS MULT COMPNO) WHERE
                     (AND (= CF "19871231") (= COMPNO "470"))))
```

;;;; invokes the LQP for financial data

```
4>  (SEND-MESSAGE DISCLOSURE2E :GET-DATA
                  (GENNUM (CF NS MULT COMPNO)
                          (AND (= CF "19871231")
                               (= COMPNO "470"))))

SQL query to be sent to DBMS....
SELECT CF, NS, MULT, COMPNO FROM GENNUM
WHERE (CF = '19871231') AND (COMPNO = '470')
```

```
Connecting to discl_2 on machine mit2e...Done.
```

;;;; data returned by LQP

```
<4  (SEND-MESSAGE
                    (("CF" "NS" "MULT" "COMPNO")
```

;;;; data is formatted and returned to GQP

```
<1  (GQP  (((ALUMNI LAST-NAME)  (ALUMNI FIRST-NAME)  (ALUMNI
DEGREE)
              (COMPANY STATE)  (FINANCE PROFIT)  (FINANCE CURRENCY)
            (FINANCE MULT))
             ("George" "Ernest" "SM 1979" "NJ" "33598.0" "$-US"
             "million")
             ("George" "Ernest" "SM 1979" "MA" "33598.0" "$-US"
            "million")))
```

In this chapter, we demonstrated the feasibility of MERGE for providing data connectivity for CIS/TK. Unfortunattely, due to time constraints and problems in the data reconciliation facilities, we could not show these tools in action. In the next chapter, we present the conclusion of our work, and point towards some possible future work in developing MERGE.

Chapter 8

Conclusion

In this thesis, the design of a distributed database management system for providing data connectivity for CIS/TK was presented. This was motivated by the goal of providing a single, integrated environment to access and combine data from various heterogeneous, pre-existing databases. The key difference between MERGE and other Distributed DBMS is that MERGE is designed with the intent of serving as a foundation for further work in semantic connectivity. In order to achieve this, it was necessary to design MERGE to be extensible, and to define interfaces for the addition of tools for semantic data reconciliation. In this chapter, we first discuss how the design of MERGE faired in satisying these goals. Then, we present some possible future work for extending MERGE.

8.1 Insights

Several insights about the design of MERGE were gained during the implementation of the system as well as during the development of the PAS application for testing the system.

The separation of the GQP into two parts: the query parser and the query router proved to be a very effective design choice. It provided a very clear way to describe the system -- something which was found to be lacking in the preliminary prototype. This was mainly because the MERGE GQP design corresponded well to the tasks involved in global query processing, that is, planning all the subtasks that need to be done and actually executing

these tasks. This separation of the GQP will allow future developers to change the router without affecting the parser, if such a need arises due to particular needs of the application.

The facility for automatically selecting databases for a global query proved to be a big relieve for both casual users and developers of the system. In addition, the use of a changeable set of rules for performing database selection allowed one to change the criteria for determing an access path depending on the application and the requirements of the user. When testing the system with the PAS application, there were several times when we had to change the rules because of the type of data we wished to retrieve. By separating the criterias for database selection from the selection mechanism itself, we can in the future expand upon the current default rules without modifications to the system, something that is not possible with systems that imbed the selection rules in the selection mechanism.

On the other hand, creating interfaces for data reconciliation within the GQP proved to be a harder issue than at first thought, especially when the range of possible tools and their implementations for data reconciliation are unknown. Nevertheless, the two basic ideas about performing data reconciliation before data is retrieved and after data is retrieved proved to be useful guidelines for interfacing to such tools. Difficulties arise when data reconciliation required the coordination of both pre-data retrieval and post-data retrieval enhancements.

Focusing on the other component of MERGE, that is the data model, we found that the distinction of the structural properties and the semantic properties of data allowed us to tackle each problem separately with considerable success. This was because the structural properties remained fairly stable and once a global schema was created, there was rarely any need to modify it. As for the semantic properties, even with the simple PAS application, we were constantly finding examples of different types of semantic conflicts. This convinced us that the MERGE data model, with its goal of extensibility, was an appropriate representation scheme.

During the implementation of this thesis, we attempted to build some simple data reconciliation tools for resolving synonyms and translations. However, we found that

without a domain mapping system, that is, a facility that allows one to express the properties of the underlying data, like integer, string or character, we were really hampered in our attempts to build such tools.

8.2 Future Work

The insights gained point to some possible future work for developing MERGE. Firstly, we think at least some form of domain mapping support is needed to express the basic properties of the data, perhaps like integers, and string identification. Other areas include the development of a wider range of selection rules to optimize access time or costs. Also, the development of a query language that can provide more operations would be useful, for example an extended version of the SQL language.

Other possible areas of future work that are not directly within MERGE but relevant, include the development of data reconciliation tools, with which we can test the GQP for its data reconciliation interfacing abilities.

Work in this thesis on providing data connectivity for CIS/TK and serving as a foundation for semantic connectivity has only scratched the surface of many interesting issues. Nevertheless, we feel that the contribution of this thesis will allow researchers to explore the intriguing problems in semantic connectivity without having to be burdened with the tasks of getting the data from various dissimilar machines.

REFERENCES

[BHA 87] Bhalla S., Prasad B., Gupta A., and Madnick S., "A Technical Comparison of Distributed Heterogeneous Database Management Systems," 1987.

[BRO 84] Brodie, M.L., "On the Development of Data Models," *On Conceptual Modelling: Perspectives from Artificial Intelligence, Databases, and Programming Languages*, 1984.

[CHA 88] Champlin, A., "Interfacing Mutiple Remote Databases in an Object-Oriented Framework", *Bachelor's Thesis*, MIT, May 1988.

[CHE 76] Chen, P. "The entity-relationship model: Towards a unified view of data," *ACM Trans. Database Syst. 1*, 1 March, 1976.

[DAT 87] Date, C.J., *The SQL Standard*, 1987.

[DEE 82] Deen, S.M. "Distributed Databases - An Introduction," *Distributed Data Bases*, 1982.

[GAN 89] Gan, F. "An Intelligent Communications Server." *B.S.Thesis*, MIT, 1989.

[GER 89] Gerber, H. "Optimizing Information Retrieval For Disparate Menu Driven Database Systems." *B.S. Thesis*, MIT, 1989.

[HOR 88] Horton, D.C., Madnick, S.E., Wang, Y.R., "Inter-Database Instance Identification in Composite Information Systems, "*Proceedings of the Twenty-Second Annual Hawaii International Conference on Systems Sciences*, January, 1989.

[HOR 88-2] Horton, D.C., "An Object-Oriented Approach Towards Enhancing Logical Connectivity in a Distributed Database Environment," *M.S. Thesis*, MIT Sloan School, 1988.

[KIN 84] King, R., McLeod D., "A Unified Model and Methodology for Conceptual Database Design" *On Conceptual Modelling: Perspectives from Artificial Intelligence, Databases, and Programming Languages*, 1984.

[LEV 87] Levine S., "Interfacing Objects and Databases", *M.S. Thesis*, MIT, 1987.

[LIN 87] Lindsay B., "A Retrospective of R*": A Distributed Database Management System," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Vol 75 , No5, May 1987.

[MAD 88-1] Madnick, S.E., Wang, Y.R., "A Framework of Composite Information Systems for Strategic Advantage," *Proceedings of the Twenty-First Annual Hawaii International Conference on Systems Sciences*, January 1988.

[MAD 88-2] Madnick, S.E., Wang Y.T., "Evolution Towards Strategic Applications of Databases Through Composite Information Systems," *Connectivity Among Information Systems*, Vol 1, MIT, Cambridge MA, 1988.

[MCC 88] McCay, B.C., "Translation Facility of the Composite Information System Tool Kit, Version 1.0," *Technical Report CIS-88-10*, MIT, Aug. 1988.

[NEU 82] Neuhold, E. J., Walter, B., "An Overview of the Architecture of the Distributed Data Base System "POREL"", *Distributed Data Bases*, September 1982.

[PAG 89] Paget, M.L., "A Knowledge-Based Approach toward Integrating International On-line Databases", *M.S. Thesis*, MIT, 1989.

[ROS 82] Rosenberg, R.L, Landers, T., "An Overview of Multibase", *Distributed Databases*, September 1982.

[SCH 82] Schneider, H.J., *Distributed Data Bases*, September 1982.

[SHA 84] Shaw, M., "The Impact of Modelling and Abstraction Concerns on Modern Programming Languages" *On Conceptual Modelling: Perspectives from Artificial Intelligence, Databases, and Programming Languages*, 1984.

[STO 84] Stonebraker, M., "Adding Semantic Knowledge to a Relational Database System," *On Conceptual Modelling: Perspectives from Artificial Intelligence, Databases, and Programming Languages*, 1984.

[WAN 88-1] Wang, Y.T., Madnick, S.E., "Logical Connectivity: Applications, Requirements, and An Architecture," MIT, 1988.

[WAN 88-2] Wang, Y.T., Madnick, S.E., Horton D.C., and Wong, T.K. "Concept Agents in CIS/TK: A Tool Kit for Composite Information Systems," *Proceedings of the International Computer Symposium*, Taiwan, December 1988.

[WEG 86] Weger, P., "Perspectives on Object-Oriented Programming," *Technical Report No. CS-86-25*, Brown University, December 1986.

[WON 88] Wong, T.K., Alford, M. "The CIS/TK Implementation V1.0," *Technical Report CIS-88-11*, MIT, August 1988.

APPENDIX A.1 - Schema Definition for PAS

```
;;;; MIT PLACEMENT OFFICE GLOBAL SCHEMA
;;; This file tests the schema definition language
;;; 3 entities: alumni, company and finance
;;; 2 relationships: works_for, finance_info


(create-schema mit-placement)

(create-entity alumni
             :attributes ((first-name (local2e alumnitb first_name))
                          (last-name (local2e alumnitb last_name))
                          (degree (local2e alumnitb degree))
                          (company (local2e alumnitb company_name)))
             )

(create-entity company
             :attributes ((name (oracle2e companytbl company_name))
                          (position (oracle2e companytbl position))
                          (date (oracle2e companytbl visit_day))
                          (sic (oracle2e companytbl sic_code)
                             (local2e siccodetb sic_code))
                          (industry (local2e siccodetb industry)))
             :table-relations ((merge (oracle2e companytbl)
                                      (local2e siccodetb)
                                      on (= (oracle2e companytbl sic_code)
                                            (local2e siccodetb sic_code))))
             )

(create-entity finance
             :attributes ((company (disclosure2e geninfo co)
                                   (dataline2e data company_name))
                          (code (dataline2e data code))
                          (compno (disclosure2e geninfo compno)
                                  (disclosure2e gennum compno))
                          (revenue (disclosure2e gennum ni)
                                   (dataline2e data efo))
                          (profit (disclosure2e gennum ns)
                                  (dataline2e data sales))
                          (currency (disclosure2e geninfo curr)
                                    (dataline2e data currency))
                          (mult (disclosure2e gennum mult))
                          (period (disclosure2e gennum cf)
                                  (dataline2e data periodending)))
             :table-relations ((merge (disclosure2e geninfo)
                                      (disclosure2e gennum)
                                      on (= (disclosure2e geninfo compno)
                                            (disclosure2e gennum compno))))
             )


(create-relation works_for
             :entity-from alumni
             :entity-to company
             :join (= (alumni company)
                      (company name)))
```

```
(create-relation finance_info
             :entity-from company
             :entity-to finance
             :join (= (company name)
                      (finance company)))
```

APPENDIX B.1 - GLOBAL RETRIEVAL LANGUAGE

join-query

::= (JOIN *select-query join-query*
{ON *label* })

select-query

::= (SELECT *entity* [(*att... att*) | *]
{WHERE *select-condition*})

label

::= name of relation object

entity

::= entity object

att

::= attribute

select-condition

::= (*binary-op select-condition select-condition*) |
(= (*entity att*) *val*)

binary-op

::= AND | OR

val

::= value surrounded by double quotes

APPENDIX B.2 -SCHEMA DEFINITION LANGUAGE

create-schema

::= (CREATE-SCHEMA *schema*)

create-entity

::= (CREATE-ENTITY *entity*
:ATTRIBUTES (*map ... map*)
{:TABLE-RELATIONS (*tb-rel ... tb-rel*)})

create-relation

::= (CREATE-RELATION relation
:ENTITY-FROM *entity*
:ENTITY-TO *entity*
:JOIN *join-rel*)

schema

::= name of global schema

entity

::= entity object

map

::= (*att* [(*lqp tb col*) .. (*lqp tb col*)])

lqp

::= local query processor object

tb

::= table

col

::= column

tb-rel

::= (MERGE *source source*
ON *merge-cond*)

source

::= (*lqp tb*)

merge-cond

::= (AND (= (*lqp tb col*) (*lqp tb col*))
merge-cond) |
(= (*lqp tb col*) (*lqp tb col*))

join-rel

::= (AND (= (*entity att*) (*entity att*))
join-rel) |
(= (*entity att*) (*entity att*)