LECTURE 17

Last time:

- Differential Entropy
- AEP for continuous random variables
- Coding Theorem
- Gaussian Channels

Lecture outline

- Gaussian Channel Capacity as Sphere Packing
- Parallel Gaussian Channels
- Waveform Channels
- Wide-band limit.

Review

•
$$Y = X + W$$

$$C = \max_{f_X: E[X^2] \le \sigma_X^2} I(X;Y) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_W^2}\right)$$
•
$$Y_i = X_i + W_i$$
with power constraint $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \le \sigma_X^2$.

Maximum achievable rate is C.

• **Key:** treat average power constraint in proving the coding theorem.

Discussions on Gaussian Channel Capacity

• Consider an arbitrary random vector \underline{Y} with power constraint $E[Y^2] \leq \sigma_Y^2$. By WLLN, \underline{Y} lies in a sphere of radius $\sqrt{n\sigma_Y^2}$ with high probability.

$$\operatorname{Vol}(S^{(n)}(\sqrt{n\sigma_Y^2})) = \frac{\sqrt{n\sigma_Y^2}n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}$$
$$= A_n(n\sigma_Y^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

• Consider an i.i.d. Gaussian random vector \underline{W} with variance σ_W^2 . What is the typical set $A_{\epsilon}^{(n)}$ look like?

$$\begin{split} & \frac{W}{W} \in A_{\epsilon}^{(n)}(W) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \left| -\frac{1}{n} \log f_{\underline{W}}(\underline{w}) - h(W) \right| \leq \epsilon \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \left| \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi \sigma_{W}^{2} + \frac{\|\underline{w}\|^{2}}{2n\sigma_{W}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi e \sigma_{W}^{2} \right| \leq \epsilon \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \left| \frac{\|\underline{w}\|^{2}}{n\sigma_{W}^{2}} - 1 \right| \leq \epsilon \end{split}$$

The typical set is a shell of the sphere $S^{(n)}(\sqrt{n\sigma_W^2})$.

A few easy conclusions

- For the Gaussian vector, since every <u>w</u> inside the sphere has higher pdf, the volume of the sphere is concentrated on the shell.
- The typical set of a Gaussian r.v. covers almost all the volume that a power limited r.v. can cover.

Sphere Packing in Gaussian channel

- for a transmitted codeword \underline{x} , the set of \underline{y} that are joint typical with \underline{x} is a sphere centered at \underline{x} with radius $\sqrt{n\sigma_W^2}$.
- the maximum data rate is

$$R = \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{A_n (n\sigma_Y^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{A_n (n\sigma_W^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\sigma_Y^2}{\sigma_W^2}\right)$$

- Need \underline{Y} to be i.i.d. Gaussian.
- For \underline{x} and \underline{y} independently chosen according to the marginal distribution, the probability that they are jointly typical is

$$\frac{A_n (n\sigma_W^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{A_n (n\sigma_Y^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \approx 2^{-nI(X;Y)}$$

• For a code book of $\underline{x}_i, i = 1, \dots, 2^{nR}$, and an independently chosen \underline{y} , the probability that there exists i, such that $(\underline{x}_i, \underline{y})$ are jointly Gaussian

$$2^{nR} \frac{A_n (n\sigma_W^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{A_n (n\sigma_Y^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \approx 2^{-n(I(X;Y)-R)} \to 0$$

Extensions of the Sphere Packing Argument

 Can use the sphere packing argument in the X space. For any fixed <u>y</u>, the set of <u>x</u> that are jointly typical with <u>y</u> is a sphere of radius

$$\left(n\frac{\sigma_W^2\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_X^2+\sigma_W^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

centered at \hat{X} .

• Let
$$m = 2n$$
,

$$\frac{1}{m} \log \operatorname{Vol}(S^{(m)}(\sqrt{m\sigma_W^2}))$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{(m\sigma_W^2)^n \pi^n}{\Gamma(n+1)}$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{2n} \log \left(\frac{2n\sigma_W^2 \pi}{ne^{-1}}\right)^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e \sigma_W^2)$$

Summary for Sphere Packing

- Useful geometric approach to the channel capacity, and the optimal input distribution
- Not limited to the AWGN channels.
- Very hard to prove rigorously.

Example: In the AWGN channel, if the average power constraint is replaced by

$$x_i^2 \leq \sigma_W^2, \quad \forall i$$

optimal input should be "uniform" in the cube.

Example: In the AWGN channel, assume the signal-to-noise ratio is very high. Suppose now the receiver can only receive $\underline{Y}/||\underline{Y}||$, i.e., the norm of \underline{Y} is completely lost.

optimal input should have "uniform" distribution on the direction of \underline{X} .

A Greedy way to Spend Power

Consider the AWGN channel, with power constraint

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum x_i^2 \le P$$

The transmitter

- Divide the power in to $P = P_1 + P_2$, and the data stream into $R = R_1 + R_2$, and assign to two virtual users
- Each user has a random code book, generate codewords \underline{X}_1 and \underline{X}_2 . The superposition $\underline{X} = \underline{X}_1 + \underline{X}_2$ is transmitted.

The receiver receives

$$\underline{Y} = \underline{X}_1 + \underline{X}_2 + \underline{W}$$

First treat <u>X</u>₂+<u>W</u> as the noise, can support

$$nR_1 \le I(\underline{X}_1; \underline{Y}) = \frac{n}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P_1}{P_2 + N}\right)$$

• Upon decoding X_1 , subtract X_1 from Y_1 , then decode X_2 . Can support rate

$$nR_2 \le I(\underline{X}_2; \underline{Y}|\underline{X}_1) = \frac{n}{2}\log\left(1 + \frac{P_2}{N}\right)$$

• The over all data rate is $R_1 + R_2$, the channel capacity is achieved.

Discussions

- To generalize, we can have many virtual users. Useful in multiple access channels.
- Highly depends on the fact that the sum of independent Gaussian r.v.'s is Gaussian
- Can be used when the capacity is the only concern.

Example Consider a Gaussian channel with nR = 10bits, random coding need $2^{nR} = 1024$ code words. If divided into two virtual users of $nR_i = 5bits$ each, need only two codebooks of 32 codewords each. Does this mean the complexity is greatly decreased?

Parallel Gaussian Channels

Assume we have a set of Gaussian channels in parallel,

 $Y_i = X_i + W_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k$

where the noise W_i are independent of X's and are independent of each other, with power σ_i^2 .

The power constraint is

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i^2\right] \le P$$

Capacity

$$C = \max_{f_{X_1,\dots,X_k}: \sum EX_i^2 \le P} I(X_1,\dots,X_k;Y_1,\dots,Y_k)$$

$$I(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$$

$$= h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) - h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k | X_1, \dots, X_k)$$

$$= h(Y_1, \dots, Y_k) - \sum_{i=1}^k h(W_i)$$

$$\leq \sum_i [h(Y_i) - h(W_i)]$$

$$\leq \sum_i \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{P_i}{\sigma_i^2} \right)$$

Equality achieved if X_i 's are independent and with power $E[X_i^2] = P_i$, subject to power constraint $\sum P_i \leq P$.

Now we need the optimal power allocation

$$\max_{P_1,\ldots,P_k:\sum P_i \le P} \sum \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P_i}{N_i} \right)$$

Water Filling

Define

$$J = \sum \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P_i}{N_i} \right) + \lambda \left(\sum P_i \right)$$

Need $\forall i$

$$\frac{1}{P_i + N_i} + \lambda = 0$$

or

$$P_i = \nu - N_i$$

However, since $P_i \ge 0$, so we have

$$P_i = (\nu - N_i)^+$$

and $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ can be solved by

$$\sum_{i} (\nu - N_i)^+ = P$$

• Use the greedy approach to get the same result.

Waveform Channel: White Gaussian Noise

Y(t) = X(t) + W(t)

- W(t) is a Gaussian random process. Any set of samples are i.i.d. Gaussian distributed with zero mean and variance $E[W(t)^2] = \frac{N_0}{2}$.
- Autocorrelation function $R(\tau) = E[W(t)W(t \tau)] = \frac{N_0}{2}\delta(\tau)$.
- Power Spectral Density is flat $\frac{N_0}{2}$ over all frequency
- Do we really have white Gaussian noise?

Front end of the receiver

Goal: provide sufficient statistics for bandlimited transmitted signals.

Assume the X(t) has non-zero frequency components only in [-W, W], can sample at $T_s = \frac{1}{2W}$.

 can be thought as a bank of matched filter matched to

$$\operatorname{sinc}\left(t-rac{n}{2W}
ight)$$

where

$$\operatorname{sinc}(t) = \frac{\sin(2\pi W t)}{2\pi W t}$$

- The front end provide sufficient statistics since
 - the out-of-band noise is independent of the transmitted signals
 - the out-of-band noise is independent of the in-band noise

Discrete-Time Channel Model

- 2W samples per second.
- The noise power in each sample is $\frac{N_0}{2}$.
- Average per symbol signal power P/2W.

We get back to

$$Y_i = X_i + W_i$$

The overall capacity in (bits/sec)

$$C = 2W \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P/2W}{N_0/2}\right)$$

$$C = W \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right)$$

P has unit (watt), and N_0 (watt/hz).

Admiring Shannon's Formula

$$C = W \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{N_0 W} \right)$$

- Question 1 Do we always want more bandwidth?
- **Question 2** What can we do with infinite bandwidth?

$$C \rightarrow \frac{P}{N_0} \log_2 e(bits/sec)$$

Capacity grows linearly with the power (very power efficient).

• The energy required to send 1 bit is $E_b = P/C$ watts $\times 1$ sec,

$$\frac{E_b}{N_0} = \frac{1}{\log_2 e} = -1.59(dB)$$

• **Question 3** What can we do with infinite power?

$$\lim_{W \to \infty} \frac{C}{W} \to \log \frac{P}{N_0}$$

capacity linearly increases with W (spectral efficient)