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The flavor-changing neutral-current decay \( D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \) [1] is highly suppressed in the standard model by Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani [2] cancellation. Burdman, Golowich, Hewett, and Pakvasa [3] estimate the branching fraction to be about \( 10^{-18} \) from short-distance processes, increasing to about \( 4 \times 10^{-13} \) with long-distance processes. These rates are many orders of magnitude beyond the reach of the present generation of experiments; the best published upper limit is 1.4 \( \times \) 10\(^{-7} \) at the 90% confidence level from Belle [4].

However, new physics contributions can significantly enhance the branching ratio. The authors of Ref. [3] consider the effects on \( D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \) that arise from a number of extensions to the standard model: \( R \)-parity violating supersymmetry, multiple Higgs doublets, extra fermions, extra dimensions, and extended technicolor. Some of these scenarios could increase the branching fraction to the range of \( 10^{-8} \) to \( 10^{-10} \), and in particular, \( R \)-parity violating supersymmetry could raise it to the level of the existing experimental bound. Similar enhancements can occur in \( K \) and \( B \) decays, but charm decays are sensitive to new physics couplings in the up-quark sector. Golowich, Hewett, Pakvasa, and Petrov [5] have shown that in some new physics scenarios there is a correlation between the new physics contribution to \( D^0 - \bar{D}^0 \) mixing and the branching fraction of \( D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \). If new physics dominates both processes, then the measured mixing can be used to constrain \( \mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \), or a measurement of both can shed light on the phenomenology of the new physics.

In this paper we report on a search for \( D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \) using data corresponding to 360 \( \text{pb}^{-1} \) of integrated luminosity collected by the Collider Detector at Fermilab II (CDF II). The 65 \( \text{pb}^{-1} \) of integrated luminosity comprising our previous search [6] is included. We significantly improve the sensitivity of the new search by analyzing a much larger data sample, extending the muon acceptance beyond the central region, identifying muons with a likelihood technique, and discriminating signal from \( b \)-hadron related background with the help of a probability ratio.

The CDF II detector [7] components pertinent to this analysis are tracking systems and muon detectors. The inner tracking system is composed of a silicon microstrip detector [8] surrounded by an open-cell wire drift chamber [9]. These are located within a 1.4 T solenoidal magnetic field and measure charged particle momenta, \( \vec{p} \). Four layers of planar drift chambers [10] detect muons with \( p_T > 1.4 \text{ GeV}/c \) and provide coverage in the central pseudorapidity range \( |\eta| < 0.6 \), where \( p_T \) is the magnitude of the momentum transverse to the beam line, \( \eta = -\ln(\tan(\theta/2)) \), and \( \theta \) is the angle of the track with respect to the proton beam line. Conical sections of drift tubes cover the forward pseudorapidity region \( 0.6 < |\eta| < 1.0 \) for muons with \( p_T > 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c \).

We determine the \( D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \) branching fraction using the kinematically similar \( D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \) decay as a reference signal,

\[
\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{N_{\mu\mu}}{N_{\pi\pi}} \frac{A_{\pi\pi}}{A_{\mu\mu}} \frac{\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)}{\epsilon_{\mu\mu}},
\]

where \( \mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.397 \pm 0.027) \times 10^{-3} \) is the world average branching fraction [11], \( N_{\mu\mu} \) and \( N_{\pi\pi} \) are the numbers of \( D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \) and \( D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \) events observed, \( A_{\mu\mu} \) and \( A_{\pi\pi} \) are the combined acceptances and efficiencies for reconstructing dimuon and dipion \( D^0 \) decays, and \( \epsilon_{\mu\mu} \) is the efficiency of dimuon identification. Except for the requirement of muon identification and the assignment of final state particle mass, the event selection criteria are the same for both the \( \mu^+ \mu^- \) and \( \pi^+ \pi^- \) modes. In the spirit of obtaining an unbiased result, we hid the data in the signal mass window (“blinding”) and fixed the selection criteria before revealing the data in the signal region (“unblinding”). The ratio of acceptances times efficiencies \( A_{\pi\pi}/A_{\mu\mu} \) is estimated from simulated data samples, while the efficiency for dimuon identification,
\( \epsilon_{\mu\mu} \) is determined from \( J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^- \) data. The backgrounds are estimated using \( D^0 \to K^-\pi^+ \) data, blinded \( D^0 \to \mu^+\mu^- \) data, and simulated samples.

The \( D^0 \) data come from a sample enriched in heavy flavor using a silicon vertex trigger that selects events having displaced vertices using custom hardware processors \([12,13]\). The trigger selects events containing two oppositely charged particles reconstructed as helical tracks formed from signals in the drift chamber and silicon detectors, each with \( p_T > 2 \) GeV/c, and transverse momentum sum \( p_T^+ + p_T^- > 5.5 \) GeV/c, where \( \pm \) refer to the oppositely charged pair. Information from the silicon detectors is used to precisely determine the track positions near the beam line. Decays of particles with picosecond lifetimes are preferentially selected by requiring each track of the pair (the trigger tracks) to have an impact parameter between 120 \( \mu \)m and 1.0 mm with respect to the beam line, and the pair to be consistent with originating from the decay of a particle traveling a transverse distance \( L_{xy} > 200 \) \( \mu \)m from the beam line \([14]\). Finally, the tracks must be separated azimuthally by an angle \( 2^\circ < |\Delta \phi| < 90^\circ \), a range that is highly efficient for heavy-flavor decays while suppressing non-heavy-flavor backgrounds. The requirements are made first at trigger level, and then verified in a complete event reconstruction.

From the trigger sample, three non-overlapping subsamples of dimuon candidates are selected because the muon efficiencies in the central and forward regions are significantly different, and independent treatment improves the sensitivity. The three subsamples are central-central (CC) where both tracks lie in the range \( |\eta| < 0.6 \), central-forward (CF) where one track lies in the range \( |\eta| < 0.6 \) and the other in the range \( 0.6 < |\eta| < 1.0 \), and forward-forward (FF) where both tracks fall in the range \( 0.6 < |\eta| < 1.0 \).

All of the \( D^0 \) candidates used in the analysis come from \( D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+ \) candidates. A large fraction of reconstructed \( D^0 \) mesons come from \( D^* \) decays and the narrow resonance width limits the phase space for random combinatorics. The \( D^0 \) candidates consist of pairs of oppositely charged particles, matched to trigger tracks, with invariant mass \( 1.845 < M_{\mu\mu} < 1.890 \) GeV/c\(^2\), where the tracks are assigned the muon mass. The one degree of freedom fit for the vertex of the track pair must have \( \chi^2 < 20 \). We select \( D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+ \) decays by combining a third track, assigned the \( \pi^+ \) mass, with the \( D^0 \) candidate and requiring the mass difference \( M_{\mu\mu}\pi^+ - M_{\mu\mu} \) to fall in the range of the resonance, \( 144 \) MeV/c\(^2\) to \( 147 \) MeV/c\(^2\), where \( \mu\mu + \pi \) refers to the combination of the track pair with the third track. To be considered for this analysis, the third track must have \( p_T \geq 0.4 \) GeV/c. About 88% of the selected \( D^* \) decays are produced directly in the \( p\bar{p} \) interaction (prompt) with the remainder coming from \( b \)-hadron decay (secondary) \([15]\).

Figure 1 shows the resulting invariant mass spectrum for \( D^0 \) candidates in the CC subsample. The search window, spanning the range around the \( D^0 \) mass from 1.845 to 1.890 GeV/c\(^2\), is heavily populated with mass-shifted \( D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^- \) decays and underlying background. The more numerous \( D^0 \to K^-\pi^+ \) decays are mass shifted below 1.800 GeV/c\(^2\), well separated from the search region. The distributions for the CF and FF subsamples have similar widths for the \( D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^- \) peak, and similar fractions and shapes for the underlying background. To be considered as a \( D^0 \to \mu^+\mu^- \) candidate, both \( D^0 \) daughter tracks must satisfy a muon likelihood requirement \([16]\) based on energy loss information from the tracker, the electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeter energy deposition, and track based isolation, in addition to the muon detector information. The additional information reduces the probability to misidentify pions and kaons as muons by about a factor of 2.5 over that obtained with muon detector information alone, while decreasing the muon identification efficiency by about 20%.

We estimate the muon identification efficiency per track as a function of transverse momentum using a sample of \( J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^- \) decays collected by a trigger requiring an identified muon candidate together with a second track displaced from the \( p\bar{p} \) beam line. The second track has the same characteristics as a trigger track used to form \( D^0 \) decays, in particular, no muon identification requirement, giving an unbiased sample for determining the muon identification efficiency. The muon likelihood requirement is found to be approximately 70% efficient for central muons and 40% efficient for forward muons. The misidentification probabilities for pions and kaons are determined as a function of track \( p_T \) using the large sample of Cabibbo-favored \( D^0 \to K^-\pi^+ \) decays selected in the same manner as the signal sample, but using kaon and pion masses, and requiring the charge of the third track to be opposite to the
The dominant background is cascade dimuons from inclusive $B \to D \mu \nu Y \to \mu^+ \mu^- \nu \nu X$ decays where $B$ represents a $b$ hadron, $D$ represents a $c$ hadron, and $X$ and $Y$ represent other possible decay products. We estimate the number of cascade dimuons and semi-muonic $B$ decays with a misidentified hadron ($B \to \pi \mu \nu X$, $K \mu \nu X$, and $p \mu \nu X$) using Monte Carlo (MC) simulation and applying the measured, $p_T$ dependent, muon misidentification probabilities to the hadrons. The MC uses EVTGEN [17] to decay $b$ and $c$ hadrons, with branching fractions taken from [11], and a GEANT [18] detector simulation. The MC sample is scaled to match the $J/\psi \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ peak from $B \to J/\psi X \to \mu^+ \mu^- X$ decays that pass the displaced vertex trigger simulation to the corresponding peak in the data.

Prompt $D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ signal is separable from the dominant cascade dimuon background by the tendency for cascade dimuon events to point away from the $p\bar{p}$ collision point, and the longer lifetime of $b$ hadrons relative to $D^0$ mesons. To reduce this background contribution, we construct a probability ratio based on two quantities: the impact parameter, $d_0$, of the $D^0$ candidate to the reconstructed $p\bar{p}$ collision point, and the significance of the transverse displacement, $s_L$, of the $D^0$ candidate decay from the $p\bar{p}$ collision point, defined as the transverse displacement divided by its uncertainty, $L_{xy}/\sigma_{L_{xy}}$. The probability ratio takes the form

$$p(d_0, s_L) = \frac{p^S(d_0)p^\mu(s_L)}{p^S(d_0)p^\mu(s_L) + p^B(d_0)p^\bar{B}(s_L)},$$

(2)

where $p^S(x)$ [$p^B(x)$] are the probability density functions for the variable, $x$, for $D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^- [B \to \mu^+ \mu^- \nu \nu X]$ decays. The probability density functions are computed from MC simulation. This formulation assumes that the input variables are uncorrelated. A correlation between the variables has the effect of inducing a suboptimal analysis performance, but does not introduce any bias to the result. The probability ratio distribution is shown in Fig. 2 for $B \to \mu^+ \mu^- \nu \bar{\nu} X$ MC and $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ data. The $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ data consists of the same admixture of prompt and
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**FIG. 2** (color online). The probability ratio distribution of cascade dimuon MC and $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ data. The $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ data have the same mixture of prompt production and $b$-decay production as the reference and signal modes, but are statistically independent. The arrow indicates the minimum value for signal selection.

The charge of the kaon. This selects the Cabibbo-favored decays and yields a nearly pure sample of kaons and pions satisfying the same requirements as the decay tracks in the signal sample. The probability to satisfy the muon likelihood requirement varies smoothly with $p_T$ and is about 0.5% (0.2%) for $\pi^-$, 1.3% (0.3%) for $K^+$, and 0.7% (0.3%) for $K^-$ tracks within the central (forward) acceptance.

After requiring muon identification for both tracks, the surviving background events fall into four categories: $b$-hadron decays with two real muons (cascade dimuons); $b$ decays with one real muon (semi-muonic $B$ decays); $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays where both pions are misidentified as muons; and combinatorial background where random combinations of hadrons are misidentified as muons. The surviving $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ events are associated predominantly with real $D^*$ decays, while the other backgrounds are associated with a mix of real $D^*$ decays and random track combinations. We find the background contributions from semi-muonic $c$-hadron decays and $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ decays to be negligible.

### Table I. Background estimates, numbers of reference mode events, acceptances, efficiency factors, and numbers of observed events for the CC, CF, and FF dimuon classes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>FF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cascade dimuons</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-μμ $B$ decays</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combinatorial background</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ misID</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total background</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\pi\pi}$</td>
<td>24400 ± 200</td>
<td>9620 ± 130</td>
<td>6940 ± 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\pi\pi}/A_{\mu\mu}$</td>
<td>0.872 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.872 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.872 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$e_{\mu\mu}$</td>
<td>0.437 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.257 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.161 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed events</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
secondary production as the $D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ signal and $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ reference modes. The expected sensitivity of the analysis was determined, following the procedure described below, with the $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ efficiency in place of the blinded $D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$ efficiency) for a range of probability ratio requirements, and the best sensitivity is achieved for a minimum requirement of 0.35. This requirement keeps 87% of the signal while removing on average 75% of the cascade dimuon and semi-muonic $B$ decays. The results are summarized in the first two rows of Table I, where the uncertainties come from statistics.

The $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ misidentification background is estimated by applying the $p_T$ dependent muon misidentification probabilities to the pion tracks in $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ events. Combinatorial background is estimated by applying the $p_T$ dependent muon misidentification probabilities to $D^0$ candidates with masses above the search window, $1.890 \leq M_{\mu\mu} \leq 4.000 \text{ GeV}/c^2$, where both tracks fail the muon likelihood requirement, and extrapolating to the search window with a fitted quadratic function. We assume the tracks are 85% pions and 15% kaons, based on the assumption that $b$ decays are the primary source of trigger tracks, and include a systematic uncertainty coming from the variation when the mixture is varied by 10%. The results are listed in the third and fourth rows of Table I, where the uncertainties are the combined statistical and systematic uncertainties. We check the nonpeaking background estimates by comparing the background prediction and data in the dimuon invariant mass range above the search window, from $1.890$ to $4.000 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. As shown in Fig. 3, good agreement is seen between data and the background model in the three subsamples. An alternative method to estimate the nonpeaking background contribution exploits the mass spectrum of pions from $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$. We fit this range in data with a constant value, indicated by “flat rate” in Fig. 3, and extrapolate to the search window. The two methods agree within the uncertainties listed in Table I.

We determine $N_{\pi\pi}$ by performing a $\chi^2$ fit with Gaussian signal plus linear background to the $\pi^+ \pi^-$ mass distribution (like Fig. 1 but assigning the pion mass to tracks) and integrating the Gaussian over the search window. The ratio of acceptances times efficiencies $A_{\pi\pi}/A_{\mu\mu}$ is estimated using MC simulation, where the dominant systematic uncertainty comes from reweighting the MC to reproduce the $p_T$ and $\eta$ distributions of $D^0$ decays reconstructed in data. The dominant source of inefficiency for dipion decays relative to dimuon decays comes from hadronic interactions in the detector, about an 11% relative inefficiency. Pion decay in flight accounts for the remaining 2% of the relative inefficiency. To determine the effective dimuon identification efficiency, $\epsilon_{\mu\mu}$, we convolute the $p_T$-dependent muon identification efficiency with the $p_T$ spectrum of pions from $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$. The results are listed in the lower half of Table I.

We use a hybrid frequentist/Bayesian method to determine the branching ratio $B(D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ and perform a multichannel calculation that allows for the combination of the three dimuon subsamples. The calculation uses likelihood ratio ordering [19], and includes uncertainties on input quantities (nuisance parameters) according to Cousins-Highland [20]. For the input quantities shown in Table I, the sensitivity for $B(D^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ is estimated to be $5.2 \times 10^{-7}$ ($6.0 \times 10^{-7}$) at the 90% (95%) confidence level. The results are summarized in the first two rows of Table I, where the uncertainties come from statistics.

The results are summarized in the first two rows of Table I, where the uncertainties come from statistics.
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level. After unblinding, we observe 3 CC, 0 CF, and 1 FF dimuon events in the search window, consistent with background expectation, and calculate a limit of $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \leq 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$ (3.0 \times 10^{-7}) at the 90% (95%) confidence level. For no signal, the probability for the estimated background to yield the observed number of events or fewer is determined to be 16%. We checked the result with a Bayesian algorithm used in previous CDF analyses [21]. Like the frequentist calculation, the Bayesian calculation is multichannel and includes nuisance parameters. The estimated sensitivity with the Bayesian algorithm is $6.5 \times 10^{-7}$ (7.8 \times 10^{-7}) at the 90% (95%) credibility level. The check yields less stringent limits of $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \leq 4.3 \times 10^{-7}$ at the 90% credibility level and $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \leq 5.3 \times 10^{-7}$ at the 95% credibility level, as expected since Bayesian limits are not significantly affected by downward fluctuations relative to expected background [22] such as those that occurred in the present case.

In conclusion, we present a search for flavor-changing neutral-current $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays using data corresponding to 360 pb$^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity. We observe 4 candidate events in the search mass window while we expect $9 \pm 2$ background events across the 3 event classes. We set an upper bound on the branching ratio $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \leq 2.1 \times 10^{-7}$ at the 90% confidence level and $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \leq 3.0 \times 10^{-7}$ at the 95% confidence level. This result supersedes and improves on by a factor of 10 the previous CDF result [6]. Although our limit is less stringent than the best published result [4], we expect to improve it significantly with the analysis of the full CDF data.
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[1] Throughout this paper, inclusion of charge conjugate modes is implicit.


