

MIT Open Access Articles

Can the meniscus affect the nature of a chondrocyte?

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. **Please share** how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Vanderploeg, E.J., and A.J. Grodzinsky. "Can the meniscus affect the nature of a chondrocyte?" *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* 17 (2009): 969-970. Web. 26 Oct. 2011. © 2011 Elsevier Ltd.

As Published: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2009.05.007>

Publisher: Elsevier Ltd.

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/66581>

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0





Published in final edited form as:

Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2009 August ; 17(8): 969–970. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2009.05.007.

Can the Meniscus Affect the Nature of a Chondrocyte?

Eric J. Vanderploeg and Alan J. Grodzinsky

It is well understood that proper joint kinematics and loading are important factors in maintaining the health of the articular cartilage of the knee. This state of normal, physiologic loading facilitates a balance between anabolic and catabolic processes resulting in low level, homeostatic cartilage matrix turn-over and remodeling. In this way articular cartilage can function throughout an entire lifetime without loss of its load bearing or lubricating characteristics. However, situations that dramatically alter knee joint biomechanics, such as chronic disuse or overuse¹⁻⁴, anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury^{5,6}, or damage to the fibrocartilaginous menisci⁷⁻¹¹, can result in progressive cartilage degradation and strongly correlate with the onset of osteoarthritis (OA).

Much has been learned in the past decades about the biology and biochemistry of menisci and ligaments in the knee as well as their role in stabilizing the joint and facilitating cartilage function. However, the underlying mechanisms by which these adjacent soft tissues may interact with cartilage and regulate chondrocyte behavior, via mechanical and/or biological pathways, are not well understood. To complicate the picture, Cartilage structure and composition are known to vary with depth and also topographically across the joint surface. The prevailing hypothesis is that these variations in cartilage properties develop *in vivo* in response to different local mechanical loading environments. On one hand, cartilage structural heterogeneity presents difficulties to the investigator and adds complexity to experimental design. But an understanding of the origin of this heterogeneity may yield profound lessons in chondrocyte mechanobiology and the role of interactions between cartilage and adjacent soft tissues in health and disease.

In this issue of *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*, Bevill *et al.*¹² report on gene expression in cartilage explants harvested from different regions of the tibial plateau: both the central region not covered by the meniscus, and the peripheral region fully covered by the meniscus. Selected genes involved in both anabolic and catabolic processes were analyzed via real time RT-PCR. Immediately following tissue harvest, baseline gene expression for type II collagen and aggrecan was higher in central region explants, suggesting constitutive differences in chondrocyte activity in these distinct areas. But there were no topographically-dependent differences in transcription of the non-ECM genes studied, including MMPs, aggrecanases, TIMPs, and TNF- α . Then, after 48 hours of free-swelling culture, the transcriptional response to 6-hours of continuous load-controlled dynamic compression was investigated. Expression of type II collagen, aggrecan, MMP-3, and TIMP-2 was upregulated in the central explants, but only type II collagen and TIMP-2 in the peripheral explants; additionally, the magnitude of mechanically-induced changes in expression were more pronounced in the central region explants. Finally, to address a question of experimental methodology regarding the consequences of the authors' compression protocol on cartilage explant deformation, separate

© 2009 Osteoarthritis Society International. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

experiments confirmed that load-controlled dynamic compression also caused a continually increasing “static” creep compression reaching nearly 20% in peripheral explants by the end of the 6 hour loading period, approximately two-fold higher than that in central explants.

This study brings forward an important added perspective of the whole joint to chondrocyte mechanobiology and cartilage pathophysiology. The observation that gene expression levels in the central and peripheral regions of tibial plateau cartilage became equivalent after 48 hours of free swelling culture suggest that the local mechanical environment in the joint mediates ECM transcription. The finding that chondrocytes in these distinct regions respond differently to similar loading conditions also suggests that there may be retained phenotypic differences in response to the local mechanical environment. And the differences in the creep compression response to dynamic loading reflect markedly lower stiffness of peripheral compared to central tibial plateau cartilage. Taken together, it is possible that after meniscal damage or surgery, cartilage from the central and peripheral regions may be differentially susceptible to degradation, further complicating the situation as the mechanical and biochemical properties of the cartilage become more regionally disparate.

Moving forward, several issues and new directions are highlighted by this study. First, as the authors and other investigators have emphasized, gene expression does not necessarily correlate with protein synthesis; heavily glycosylated macromolecules involving post translational modification, such as aggrecan¹³, can exhibit opposite trends of transcription and biosynthesis in response to the same load. Therefore, further studies would be instructive to quantify biosynthesis rates of a broad array of proteins and proteoglycans relevant to cartilage homeostasis. Secondly, since clinical problems may result from chronic alterations to the kinematic environment in the joint following meniscus or ligament injury, an extended period of on/off dynamic loading cycles could be of interest. Time dependent changes in transcription and biosynthesis following the onset of altered load (from minutes to days) may reveal adaptation or the potential for failure. Based on the literature, one could also incorporate estimates of the change in load magnitude and distribution following partial or total meniscectomy⁸.

At the same time, joint injury involving damage to the ACL, meniscus, synovium and other tissues, also involves critically important biological sequelae superimposed on altered joint kinematics. Joint injury is known to involve the immediate release of a broad array of inflammatory cytokines¹⁴ and proteases¹⁵ into the synovial fluid that can dramatically alter the catabolic/anabolic balance within cartilage even in the absence of changes in loading profile. Thus, the additive and synergistic effects of altered loading in the presence of catabolic agents may have more severe consequences than alterations in either loading or cytokine levels alone, changes that can be explored using a systems approach (proteomic¹⁶ as well as genomic¹⁷). The combined effects of overload and cytokine insult may also vary markedly with age. Interestingly, Bevill et al.¹² used juvenile cartilage. While some investigators may question the relevance of using immature cartilage in studies ultimately relevant to OA, injuries to juvenile/immature joints are common¹⁸, and often responsible for initiating the cascade of inflammatory events and altered kinematics that may ultimately progress to OA.

At a complementary level, the authors’ methodology also highlights the challenges in creating *in vitro* systems to model complex *in vivo* problems and, specifically, the mechanisms responsible for chondrocyte response to static versus dynamic cartilage deformation in the joint. The choice of load control (versus displacement control) is often motivated by the desire to mimic *in vivo* joint loading. However, the resulting sustained static (creep) compression of cartilage disks may have very different effects on transcription and biosynthesis than those caused by dynamic compression. Indeed, the authors state that the suppressive effects of the cumulative creep compression in their study (rather than the stimulatory effects of dynamic

compression) may explain the observed “regional effects” on gene expression reported here. Thus, displacement control can be used in a complementary manner to enable mechanistic interpretation of the separate effects of static and dynamic compression. Regardless of the approach, it is important to measure and report both the load and displacement waveforms in any such experiment in order to clearly interpret the results and to be able to compare with other experiments in the literature.

The human knee joint is a complex system of tissues that must function cooperatively for effective and pain-free movement. Studying any one component in isolation in a manner that is also relevant to a clinical outcome can be challenging. Studies such as that of Bevill *et al.*¹² suggest that normal function and maintenance of tissues in the knee (e.g. tibial cartilage and the menisci) may be interdependent, and therefore research focused on resolving how each of these tissues may influence the others would be intriguing. By incorporating aspects of molecular, cell and tissue-level biology, mechanical behavior, and clinical disease progression, future work can extend our fundamental understanding of joint mechanobiology, ultimately leading to better disease prevention and treatment.

References

1. Hagiwara Y, Ando A, Chimoto E, Saijo Y, Ohmori-Matsuda K, Itoi E. Changes of articular cartilage after immobilization in a rat knee contracture model. *J Orthop Res* 2009;27:236–42. [PubMed: 18683886]
2. Setton LA, Mow VC, Muller FJ, Pita JC, Howell DS. Mechanical behavior and biochemical composition of canine knee cartilage following periods of joint disuse and disuse with remobilization. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 1997;5:1–16. [PubMed: 9010874]
3. Jurvelin J, Kiviranta I, Tammi M, Helminen JH. Softening of canine articular cartilage after immobilization of the knee joint. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1986:246–52. [PubMed: 3720093]
4. Spector TD, Harris PA, Hart DJ, Cicuttini FM, Nandra D, Etherington J, et al. Risk of osteoarthritis associated with long-term weight-bearing sports: a radiologic survey of the hips and knees in female ex-athletes and population controls. *Arthritis Rheum* 1996;39:988–95. [PubMed: 8651993]
5. Nelson F, Billingham RC, Pidoux I, Reiner A, Langworthy M, McDermott M, et al. Early post-traumatic osteoarthritis-like changes in human articular cartilage following rupture of the anterior cruciate ligament. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2006;14:114–9. [PubMed: 16242972]
6. Lohmander LS, Ostenberg A, Englund M, Roos H. High prevalence of knee osteoarthritis, pain, and functional limitations in female soccer players twelve years after anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:3145–52. [PubMed: 15476248]
7. Englund M, Guermazi A, Roemer FW, Aliabadi P, Yang M, Lewis CE, et al. Meniscal tear in knees without surgery and the development of radiographic osteoarthritis among middle-aged and elderly persons: The multicenter osteoarthritis study. *Arthritis Rheum* 2009;60:831–9. [PubMed: 19248082]
8. Song Y, Greve JM, Carter DR, Giori NJ. Meniscectomy alters the dynamic deformational behavior and cumulative strain of tibial articular cartilage in knee joints subjected to cyclic loads. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2008;16:1545–54. [PubMed: 18514552]
9. Davies-Tuck ML, Martel-Pelletier J, Wluka AE, Pelletier JP, Ding C, Jones G, et al. Meniscal tear and increased tibial plateau bone area in healthy post-menopausal women. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2008;16:268–71. [PubMed: 18093847]
10. Burger C, Mueller M, Wlodarczyk P, Goost H, Tolba RH, Rangger C, et al. The sheep as a knee osteoarthritis model: early cartilage changes after meniscus injury and repair. *Lab Anim* 2007;41:420–31. [PubMed: 17988437]
11. Roos H, Lauren M, Adalberth T, Roos EM, Jonsson K, Lohmander LS. Knee osteoarthritis after meniscectomy: prevalence of radiographic changes after twenty-one years, compared with matched controls. *Arthritis Rheum* 1998;41:687–93. [PubMed: 9550478]
12. Bevill SL, Briant PL, Levenston ME, Andriacchi TP. Central and peripheral region tibial plateau chondrocytes respond differently to in vitro dynamic compression. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2009

13. Wheeler CA, Jafarzadeh SR, Rocke DM, Grodzinsky AJ. IGF-1 does not moderate the time-dependent transcriptional patterns of key homeostatic genes induced by sustained compression of bovine cartilage. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2009
14. Irie K, Uchiyama E, Iwaso H. Intraarticular inflammatory cytokines in acute anterior cruciate ligament injured knee. *Knee* 2003;10:93–6. [PubMed: 12649034]
15. Tchetverikov I, Lohmander LS, Verzijl N, Huizinga TW, TeKoppele JM, Hanemaaijer R, et al. MMP protein and activity levels in synovial fluid from patients with joint injury, inflammatory arthritis, and osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:694–8. [PubMed: 15834054]
16. Stevens AL, Wishnok JS, White FM, Grodzinsky AJ, Tannenbaum SR. Mechanical injury and cytokines cause loss of cartilage integrity and upregulate proteins associated with catabolism, immunity, inflammation, and repair. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2009
17. Chan PS, Schlueter AE, Coussens PM, Rosa GJ, Haut RC, Orth MW. Gene expression profile of mechanically impacted bovine articular cartilage explants. *J Orthop Res* 2005;23:1146–51. [PubMed: 16140194]
18. Oeppen RS, Jaramillo D. Sports injuries in the young athlete. *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 2003;14:199–208. [PubMed: 12777890]