6.045J/18.400J: Automata, Computability and Complexity Nancy Lynch

Homework 10.5 (Fake)

Due: Never

Readings: Sipser, Sections 8.5, 8.6, and 10.2.

Problem 1: (Sipser 8.13) Show that TQBF restricted to formulas where the part following the quantifiers is in conjunctive normal form is still PSPACE-complete.

Problem 2: (Sipser 8.27) Recall that a directed graph is *strongly connected* if every two nodes are connected by a directed path in each direction. Let

$$\text{STRONGLY-CONNECTED} = \{\langle G\rangle |\ G \text{ is a strongly connected graph}\}.$$

Show that STRONGLY-CONNECTED is NL-complete.

Problem 3: This problem uses the ideas in the proof of Theorem 8.27.

Describe a nondeterministic log-space Turing machine M that decides the language

$$L = \{\langle G, s, m, k\rangle |\ G \text{ is a directed graph, } s \text{ is a node in } G,\ m, k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and exactly } m \text{ nodes of } G \text{ are reachable from } s \in G \text{ by paths consisting of at most } k \text{ edges}\}.$$

That is, if exactly m nodes are reachable from $s \in G$ by paths of length at most k, than M must accept $\langle G, s, m, k\rangle$ on some computation path. On the other hand, if more or fewer than m nodes are reachable from $s \in G$ by paths of length at most k, then M must reject $\langle G, s, m, k\rangle$ on all computation paths.

Explain why your Turing machine M works correctly and why it works in log space.

Problem 4: Define the language class PP as follows: A language $L \in$ PP if and only if there exists a probabilistic polynomial time Turing machine such that:

- If $w \in L$, then $\Pr[M \text{ accepts } w] \geq \frac{1}{2}$.
- If $w \notin L$, then $\Pr[M \text{ accepts } w] < \frac{1}{2}$.

Prove that:

1. BPP $\subseteq$ PP.
2. NP $\subseteq$ PP.
3. PP $\subseteq$ PSPACE.

Hint for (2): Consider a nondeterministic TM for L, and replace rejections with probabilistic decisions.

Problem 5: The class RP is the class of languages L for which there is a probabilistic Turing machine M that always terminates in polynomial time, and such that for all $w \notin L$, M *always* reject w, and for all $w \in L$, M accepts w with probability at least $\frac{2}{3}$. The class coRP is the class of languages whose complement is in RP.

So far, we have only discussed machines that *always* terminate in polynomial time, but that give a correct answer only with some probability. Here we consider machines that *always* give the right answer when they terminate, but that run in time that is only polynomial on average.

Show that for any language $L \in$ RP $\cap$ coRP there is a probabilistic Turing machine M that runs in expected polynomial time (i.e., the expected number of steps until M terminates is bounded by a polynomial), and that when w terminates it accepts if and only if $w \in L$.

This class RP $\cap$ coRP is called ZPP for "zero probability polynomial".

Problem 6: (Fermat's test) Sipser 10.15. Prove Fermat's little theorem. That is, prove that

$$\text{If } p \text{ is prime, and } a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^+, \text{ then } a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \ (\ mod\ p)$$

(Hint: Consider the sequence $a, a^2, \ldots$. What must happen, and how ?)

Problem 7: (Branching program example) Show that the majority function can be computed by a branching program that has $O(n^2)$ nodes.

Problem 8: (Branching program equivalence test)

1. Give a read-once branching program B_1 that computes the function of three Boolean variables, x_1, x_2, and x_3, that has value 1 if and only if exactly one or exactly three of the variables have value 1.

2. Give a different read-once branching program B_2 that computes the same function as in part (a).

3. Compute the polynomials p_1 and p_2 associated with the output 1 box for programs B_1 and B_2, respectively, using the rules given in Sipser's book, p. 378.

4. Choose arbitrary values from Z_7 for the three variables, and evaluate p_1 and p_2 to check that they indeed give the same result.