Recitation 6: Decidability and Undecidability

March 10, 2005

Problem 1: These are the key concepts from lecture this week:

- 1. Undecidability p. 172-176 have great example proofs.
- 2. Reductions p. 171-172 will help with the terminology (e.g., "reduce A from B", etc.)
- 3. Computation history p. 176, 179, 185 give the definition and some examples.
- 4. Diagonalization method p. 160-168. This concept is both elegant and difficult; make sure you understand it.

Problem 2: Show that the following language is undecidable:

$$HALT_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ halts and accepts or rejects on all inputs} \}$$

Problem 3: Show that the following language is undecidable:

$$E_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM which accepts no strings} \}$$

Recall that E_{DFA} was decidable.

Problem 4: Show that the following language is undecidable:

$$EQ_{TM} = \{ \langle M, N \rangle \mid M \text{ and } N \text{ are TMs such that } L(M) = L(N) \}$$

Reduce from both E_{TM} and A_{TM} . Recall that EQ_{DFA} was decidable.

Problem 5: It is good practice to get a feeling for which languages are undecidable, and which variations turn them into decidable problems.

Consider the Post Correspondence Problem over small alphabets.

- 1. Show that the problem is decidable over the unary alphabet $\{0\}$.
- 2. Show that the problem is undecidable over the binary alphabet $\{0,1\}$.

Problem 6:(PCP Unleashed) Some facts: PCP with one or two tiles is known to be decidable. With more than 6 tiles, it is undecidable. For $3 \le m \le 6$ tiles, the decidability of PCP is open!!

Let us try to prove the simplest case : PCP is decidable if we have just one tile.