

MIT Open Access Articles

Development and evaluation of RNA-seq methods

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. **Please share** how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Levin, Joshua et al. "Development and Evaluation of RNA-seq Methods." *Genome Biology* 11.Suppl 1 (2010): P26.

As Published: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-S1-P26>

Publisher: BioMed Central Ltd.

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/69868>

Version: Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution



POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

Development and evaluation of RNA-seq methods

Joshua Levin^{1*}, Xian Adiconis¹, Moran Yassour^{1,2}, Dawn Thompson¹, Mitchell Guttman¹, Michael Berger¹, Lin Fan¹, Nir Friedman², Chad Nusbaum¹, Andreas Gnirke¹, Aviv Regev¹

From Beyond the Genome: The true gene count, human evolution and disease genomics
Boston, MA, USA. 11-13 October 2010

RNA-seq provides insights at multiple levels into the transcription of the genome as it yields sequence, splicing and expression-level information. We have been developing and comparing a wide range of RNA-seq methods for their ability to annotate transcribed genomic regions, identify differences between normal and cancer states, and quantify mRNA expression levels. We will present results in two areas: (i) strand-specific RNA-seq; and (ii) RNA-seq starting from total RNA.

Strand-specific RNA-seq is a powerful tool for novel transcript discovery and genome annotation because it enables the identification of the strand of origin for non-coding RNA and anti-sense RNA, as well as defining the ends of adjacent or overlapping transcripts transcribed in different directions. Using the well-annotated *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* transcriptome as a benchmark, we directly compared seven library construction protocols, including both published and our own novel methods. We found marked differences in strand-specificity, library complexity, evenness and continuity of coverage, agreement with known annotations, and accuracy for expression profiling. Weighing the performance and ease of conducting each method, we identified the dUTP second strand marking [1] and the Illumina RNA ligation methods as the leading protocols, with the former benefitting from the current availability of paired-end sequencing. Our analysis provides a comprehensive benchmark, and our computational pipeline is applicable for assessment of future protocols in other organisms.

RNA-seq methods that do not require the purification of mRNA will be valuable for several applications, including samples with low input amounts and/or partial degradation. In these experiments, it is necessary to

reduce the fraction of sequencing reads derived from ribosomal RNA. We will present results from multiple approaches, including the use of Not-So-Random (NSR) primers for reverse transcription [2] and the NuGEN Ovation RNA-Seq kit.

Author details

¹Broad Institute of M.I.T. & Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02141, USA. ²School of Computer Science & Engineering, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel.

Published: 11 October 2010

References

1. Parkhomchuk D, *et al*: Transcriptome analysis by strand-specific sequencing of complementary DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009, **37**:e123.
2. Armour CD, *et al*: Digital transcriptome profiling using selective hexamer priming for cDNA synthesis. *Nat Methods* 2009, **6**:647-649.

doi:10.1186/gb-2010-11-S1-P26

Cite this article as: Levin *et al*: Development and evaluation of RNA-seq methods. *Genome Biology* 2010 **11**(Suppl 1):P26.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



¹Broad Institute of M.I.T. & Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02141, USA
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article