AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY

A HASS Communications-Intensive Course

Course mission: to explain and evaluate past and present United States foreign policies. What caused the United States' past involvement in foreign wars and interventions? Were the results of U.S. policies good or bad? Would other policies have better served the U.S. and/or the wider world? Were the beliefs that guided U.S. policy true or false? If false, what explains these misperceptions? General theories that bear on the causes and consequences of American policy will be applied to explain and evaluate past and present policies.

The history of United States foreign policy in the 20th century is covered. Functional topics are also covered: U.S. military policy, U.S. foreign economic policy, and U.S. policy on human rights and democracy overseas. Finally, we will predict and prescribe for the future. What policies should the U.S. adopt toward current problems and crises? These problems include the war against Al Qaeda and the wider war on terror; continuing U.S. involvement in Iraq; the Taiwan Straits; the Central African conflicts; and more. What should be the U.S. stance on global environmental and human rights questions?

This is a HASS Communications Intensive course, and so helps fulfill the HASS CI requirement. Communications intensive subjects in the humanities, arts, and social sciences require at least 20 pages of writing divided among 3-5 assignments. Of these 3-5 assignments, at least one should be revised and resubmitted. HASS CI subjects further offer students substantial opportunity for oral expression, through presentations, student-led discussions, or class participation. In order to guarantee sufficient attention to student writing and substantial opportunity for oral expression, the maximum number of students per section in a HASS CI subject is 18.

17.40 meets all HASS-D communication-intensive course requirements. Specifically, it requires 20 pages of writing, requires early submission of at least one paper, and includes two public speaking exercises in section. Sections will normally include fewer than 10 students.

This is an undergraduate course but is open to graduate students.

Format and Requirements. Class format: two 1-hour general meetings and one 1-hour discussion section meeting per week. Class starts promptly at 11:05, ends at 11:55. Grades are based on section participation (15%), two 8-page papers (40%), final exam (30%), and two quizzes (15%). Students must also complete two ungraded two-page response papers that react to class readings or lectures.

* Discussion sections. Students are expected to complete required readings before section and to attend section regularly. Section attendance is mandatory. Unexcused absence from section will be penalized.

Sections will include a public speaking exercise in the format of mock presentations to the National Security Council (NSC). You will be asked to frame and defend to the Council a viewpoint on a foreign policy issue.

* Papers. Students will write two short ungraded response papers that react to course readings and lectures, and two longer papers on questions arising from the course material. The two response papers each will be two pages long, doublespaced. The longer papers will be 8 pages. One 8-page paper assignment asks you to explain a past case of American conduct—what accounts for American behavior? A second 8-page assignment asks you to evaluate a past American policy: was the policy appropriate, or would another policy have produced better results?

The first ungraded response paper is due the week of Sept. 27-Oct. 1; the second is due the week of Oct. 18-21. The first 8-page paper is due at 11:00 a.m. (class time) on Tuesday, November 9. The
second is due at 11:00 a.m. on Thursday, December 2.

We require that you submit a rough draft of at least one of your 8-page papers a week before its due date in order to get comments for rewrite from your TA. You are wise to submit both papers to your TA for comments. So please leave yourself time to get comments on drafts of the 8-page papers from your TAs before you submit final drafts.

Your two response papers should advance an argument about the reading or lectures. Your argument can dispute argument(s) advanced in the reading or lectures; can concur with argument(s) advanced in the reading or lecture; can assess or explain policies or historical events described in the reading and lectures; or can relate current events in the press today to ideas or events in the readings or lectures. We encourage evaluation of policies or ideas covered in the reading or lecture. Are they right or wrong? Good or bad? Somewhere in your paper—preferably at the beginning—please offer a 1-2 sentence summary of your argument. Both papers should be about two typed pages (double spaced—no 1.5 spaced please—with standard one-inch margins on left, right, top and bottom). They will not be graded but are mandatory and must be completed to receive full credit for class participation.

Late papers will be penalized unless extensions are granted well in advance of the paper deadline. Extensions will not be granted except in emergency situations.

Your papers may be improved by keeping up with current international affairs during the semester. Four publications offer especially excellent coverage: The New York Times, The Washington Post, The Economist (a weekly), and The Far Eastern Economic Review (also a weekly).

The writing tutor assigned to this class, Janet Thompson, will be of invaluable help in preparing these papers.

Your papers and public speaking may also be improved by seeking help from MIT’s writing and Communications Center (http://web.mit.edu/writing). They give good writing advice and have useful practice facilities for public speaking.

* Quizzes: two short (15 minute) quizzes will be given. Quiz dates are October 7 (Thursday) and November 16 (Thursday). Three short (define-and-identify) questions will be asked on each quiz.

* Final exam: a list of study questions will be circulated before the final. The final exam questions will be drawn from this list. Students are encouraged to study together to prepare their answers. The final will also include short-answer questions that will not be distributed in advance.

* Films: the 17.40 film society. Two optional evening film-showings will be organized during the term, on topics to be chosen by acclamation of the class, with advice from 17.40 film maven emeritus Daniel Landau. Topics could include the Cuban Missile Crisis, the Vietnam War, or other subjects. Dates and places TBA.

Books to purchase,


Robert F. Kennedy, Thirteen Days: A Memoir of the Cuban Missile Crisis (1971)


These books are also on reserve at Dewey library. Most other assigned readings will be available online. A few assigned readings will be handed out in class.

I also recommend—but don’t require—that students buy a copy of the following book that will improve your papers:

Turabian has the basic rules for formatting footnotes and other style rules. You will want to follow these rules so your writing looks spiffy and professional.

Some of the "further reading" (see p. 13, below) are on reserve at Dewey library, for your consultation should you want to do further reading for your paper assignments. These are denoted with a pound ("#") sign.

Assigned readings average 85 pages per week over 14 weeks. However, note that readings are heavier for some weeks. You should plan ahead and budget your time so you can complete the heavy readings.

On another subject... For information on depression and suicide see the useful MIT medical website: http://web.mit.edu/medical, click on the "Sadness, Loss and Depression--Important Information" link, then the "Depression" and "Suicidal Thoughts" links, which take you to NIHM, ULifeline and other informative sites.
I. THEORIES AND STRATEGIES

Sept. 9: Introduction.
No readings assigned.

Sept. 14: Overview of American Foreign Policy Since 1914.
Tables from Paul Kennedy, *Rise and Fall of the Great Powers*, and Kenneth Oye, ed., *Eagle in a New World*. Class discussion will focus on tables 6, 17, 18, 31, 35, 4-1, and chart 2 on pages 3, 6, 7, 15, 16, 19, and 20 (handwritten numeration), so study these seven with more care; skim the rest.

Sept. 16, 21, 23: Theories of American Foreign Policy.
Stephen Van Evera, "Offense, Defense and the Causes of War," manuscript, pp. 1-36. Your instructor's summary of the argument, made famous by Robert Jervis, that war is more likely when conquest is easy. A key related argument: international conflict arises largely from the "security dilemma"—the tendency of states to threaten others' security by their efforts to secure themselves.

Can the U.S. prevent war by making conquest hard in world trouble-spots? Have America's past conflict with others arisen from the security dilemma?

Stephen Walt, *The Origins of Alliances*, chapter 2 ("Explaining Alliance Formation"), pp. 17-49. Walt presents competing hypotheses on how states choose their friends. Which hypotheses are valid? Do your answers matter for the kind of foreign policy you would recommend?

Robert Jervis, *Perception and Misperception in International Politics* (Princeton: Princeton U. Press, 1976), pp. 58-84. Some ("spiral model" advocates) say international conflict is best resolved by the carrot, while using the stick merely provokes; others ("deterrence" advocates) would use the stick, warning that offering carrots ("appeasement") leads others to make more demands. Who's right? Probably both—but under what circumstances? And how can you tell which circumstances you face?

Walter Isaacson and Evan Thomas, *The Wise Men: Six Friends and the World They Made* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1986), pp. 171-173, 731-733. Does the American foreign policy elite share America's wider democratic values? We learn here that George Kennan thought women, blacks, and immigrants should be denied the vote; Kennan and Dean Acheson saw little wrong with the white minority governments in Rhodesia and South Africa; and John McCloy adopted the cause of Iran's Pahlevi family. Not your typical League of Women Voters views.

(Are such attitudes widespread among foreign policymakers? Do such attitudes matter?)

David Pearson, "The Media and Government Deception," Propaganda Review, Spring 1989, pp. 6-11. Pearson thinks the American press is obedient to official views, and afraid to criticize. Anti-establishment paranoia or the real picture?


Nicholas Kristoff, "Save Our Spooks," New York Times, May 30, 2003. Governments misperceive the world if their intelligence agencies misreport foreign realities. This can happen if government leaders press their intelligence agencies to tell the leaders what they want to hear regardless of the facts.

Michael R. Beschloss, "Foreign Policy's Big Moment," New York Times, April 11, 1999, p. 4/17. Claimed here: during political campaigns U.S. politicians pander to U.S. voters by framing dangerous foreign policy positions that they cannot abandon once in office. The country is thereby led into folly. A corollary: a prime threat to America is ... an American public that responds well to irresponsible pandering.

Sept. 28, 30, Oct. 5: American interests and grand strategies.

Gaddis, Strategies of Containment, pp. 3-53. George Kennan was a prime intellectual architect of America’s Cold War containment policy. In pages 25-53 Gaddis explicates his ideas.


David E. Sanger, "Bush to Formalize A Defense Policy of Hitting First," New York Times, June 17, 2002. The Bush Administration has embraced a general doctrine of preventive war against rogue states that aspire to develop weapons of mass destruction. Iraq is only the first rogue state that the administration would attack. Good idea?

G. John Ikenberry, "America's Imperial Ambition," *Foreign Affairs*, Vol. 81, No. 5 (September/October 2002). The Bush Administration has embarked on a fateful imperial rampage. It will end badly. Others will eventually coalesce to check the U.S.


Chaim Kaufmann, "See No Evil," *Foreign Affairs*, Vol. 81, No. 4 (July/August 2002): 142-149. The U.S. could have stopped genocide in Rwanda in 1994 and elsewhere but chose not to. Good choice? Should the U.S. intervene to prevent such horrors?


Leslie H. Gelb and Justine A. Rosenthal, "The Rise of Ethics in Foreign Policy," *Foreign Affairs*, Vol. 82, No. 3 (May/June 2003): 2-7. Ethical concerns once played little role in U.S. foreign policy; now they have an important place at the table.

Andrew C. Revkin, "Scientists Say a Quest for Clean Energy Must Begin Now," *New York Times*, November 1, 2002. A new study warns that we must start looking for clean energy sources now or we may destroy the planet. Later may be too late. No kidding. This will require broad international cooperation. Sadly we're not very good at international cooperation. Oh dear.

II. AMERICA'S MAJOR WARS: WORLD WAR I, WORLD WAR II, COLD WAR, & KOREA

Oct. 7, 12, 14: World War I and World War II.


Oct. 19, 21: Cold War Origins and Conduct; the Korean War.

A. Cold War origins and conduct:


B. Korea:


III. INTERLUDE: U.S. NATIONAL SECURITY POLICY; THE TERROR WAR; U.S. FOREIGN ECONOMIC POLICY


Martin Rees, *Our Final Hour: A Scientist's Warning: How Terror, Error, and Environmental Disaster Threaten Humankind's Future in this Century--On Earth and Beyond* (NY: Basic Books, 2003): 41-60, 73-88. The advance of science has a fearsome byproduct: we are discovering ever more powerful means of destruction. These destructive powers are being democratized: the mayhem that only major states can do today may lie within the capacity of millions of individuals in the future unless we somehow change course. Deterrence works against states but will fail against crazed non-state organizations or individuals. How can the spread of destructive powers be controlled?

For more on controlling the longterm bioweapons danger see www.cissm.umd.edu/documents/pathogensmonograph.pdf

Henry C. Kelly, "Terrorism and the Biology Lab," *New York Times*, July 2, 2003. The biology profession must realize that its research, if left unregulated, could produce discoveries that gravely threaten our safety. Biologists must develop a strategy to keep biology from being used for destructive ends.

Matthew Meselson, "Averting the Hostile Exploitation of Biotechnology," *CBWCB*, June 2000:
16-19. We must pursue arms control measures to limit the spread of biological weapons.

"Nuclear Breakout," New York Times, July 27, 2003; and "Curb the Spread of Nuclear Arms," New York Times, July 29, 2003 (letters). A snippet arguing that to halt nuclear proliferation the world must strengthen the 1968 nuclear non-proliferation treaty. Today that treaty doesn't even ban the enrichment of uranium or reprocessing of plutonium, the two basic methods of making nuclear bombs. Let's do something about it! And letters in reaction.

William Perry, "Preparing for the Next Attack," Foreign Affairs, Vol. 80, No. 6 (November/December 2001): 31-45. Perry, a former U.S. Defense Secretary, warns that al Qaeda will strike again, this time with weapons of mass destruction, unless we avert their attack. He's cool toward national missile defense--a favorite Bush administration project--because Al Qaeda won't use missiles to send us its horrors.


For more discussion of the latest U.S. strategy statement see the "Defense Strategy Review Page" of the Project on Defense Alternatives, at www.comw.org/qdr/.

Oct. 28, Nov. 2: The U.S. War on Terror.

"The Uranium Underground," Time, December 17, 2001, pp. 40-45. Vast amounts of nuclear materials are swishing around the former Soviet Union unguarded--enough to build tens of thousands of atomic bombs. Washington doesn't seem to care much. Is this stupid or what? Duck and cover!


Pages 38-55, 62-68, 91-94 describe the Islamist currents of thinking that spawned Osama Bin Laden's Al Qaeda. Al Qaeda's violence stems from a stream of Islamist thought going back to ibn Taymiyya, a bellicose Islamic thinker from the 13th century; to Abd al-Wahhab (1703-1792), the harsh and rigid shaper of modern Saudi Arabian Islam; to Rashid Rida (1866-1935) and Hassan al-Banna (?-1949); and above all to Sayyid Qutb (?-1966), the shaper of modern Islamism. Taymiyya, al-Wahhab and Qutb are covered here. Covered also (pp. 91-94) is the frightening rise of apocalyptic thinking in the Islamic world. What causes the murderous thinking described here?

Pages 447-489 are a survey and evaluation of Bush administration counter-terror strategies.

Not assigned but also valuable are pp. 219-393, a survey of Clinton administration counter-terror strategies and policies.

Christopher F. Chyba, "Toward Biological Security," Foreign Affairs, Vol. 81, No. 3 (May/June 2002): 122-137. The danger posed by biological weapons in terrorist hands may be even scarier than the danger of nuclear weapons.

Administration's program for homeland security is surprisingly lame. There are big holes in the homeland security program.


Nov. 4: American Foreign Economic Policy, 1945-present.

"World Trade: Jousting for Advantage," The Economist, September 22, 1990, pp. 5-25; and "World Trade: All Free Traders Now?", The Economist, December 7, 1996, pp. 21-23. The first item is a pro-free-trade survey of the basic questions in trade, and a preview of the now-passed North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and General Agreement on Tariff and Trade (GATT), Uruguay Round. Focus on pp. 12-19, "The Economics of Free Trade," which explicates David Ricardo's theory of comparative advantage; you can skim the rest. The second item surveys later trade issues.

"Pakistanis Fume as Clothing Sales to U.S. Tumble," New York Times, June 23, 2002. The U.S. could provide large benefit to the poor of Pakistan by dropping its barriers to the import of Pakistani textiles. This could also jolly up the Pakistanis to support the U.S terror war. But the U.S. textile lobby won't allow it. U.S. special interests override the U.S. national interest. Too bad for you and me.


IV. COLD WAR CRISES: BERLIN, TAIWAN STRAITS, AND CUBA 1962

Nov. 9, 16: The 1962 Cuban Missile Crisis; other Cold War Crises.

Paterson, Clifford and Hagan, American Foreign Policy, pp. 291-295, 335-340. Standard synopses of the Taiwan Straits crises and the Cuban Missile Crisis.

Kennedy, Thirteen Days, pp. 1-106. A gripping memoir of the Cuban Missile Crisis by a central participant.

Fred Kaplan, "Kennedy and Cuba at 35," Boston Sunday Globe, October 12, 1997, pp. D1-D3. Later revelations about the Cuban Missile Crisis. JFK was the most dovish official in the government. He secretly traded the U.S. Jupiter missiles in Turkey for the Soviet missiles in Cuba. He was willing to give even further if needed. What if someone else
had been president?

For more on the Cuban Missile Crisis you can visit an excellent website on the crisis put together by the National Security Archive at www.nsarchive.org/nsa/cuba_mis_cri. Documents can be seen, tapes can be listened to, and intelligence photos can be viewed at this site. And for more sources on the crisis see a website from Harvard's Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, www.cubanmissilecrisis.org.

V. AMERICAN INTERVENTIONS IN THE THIRD WORLD


Herring, *America’s Longest War*, chapters 4 and 7 (pp. 121-157, 242-283). A more detailed account, from a middle-of-the-road perspective, of the key decisions to escalate and de-escalate the war. Herring's book is the most prominent general history of the war.


Sol W. Sanders & William Henderson, "The Consequences of 'Vietnam'", *Orbis*, vol. 21, no. 1 (Spring 1977), pp. 61-76. The authors re-evaluate the propositions at issue in the debate over the war, concluding that postwar events show that the hawks were right and the doves wrong.


Elisabeth Bumiller, "Was a Tyrant Prefigured by Baby Saddam?" *New York Times*, May 15, 2004). Saddam Hussein was severely abused as a child and as a result suffered narcissism and other personality disorders. Does this help explain the 1991 and 2003 Iraq wars? Can the U.S. deter or coerce such people if it better understands their personal demons?


Another reading will be handed out on the 2003 Iraq war.

VI. THE ROAD AHEAD: CURRENT CRISES AND FUTURE POLICIES

December 7, 9: Current issues and crises; overviews of Bush Administration foreign policy; the future of American foreign policy.


Note: In 2003-2004 the Bush Administration found itself cooperating with China against the grave threat posed by North Korea's advancing nuclear weapons program. What light does this shed on Kagan's argument?

Chas. W. Freeman, Jr., "Preventing War in the Taiwan Strait," *Foreign Affairs*, Vol. 77, No. 4 (July/August 1998), pp. 6-11. Taiwan could suck the U.S. into a Taiwan-PRC conflict unless the U.S. restrains Taiwan now.

Nicholas D. Kristof, "The Nuclear Shadow," *New York Times*, August 14, 2004. We are losing control of nuclear weapons. No one in Washington seems to care. A collective snore is heard from the government. Isn't it obvious that unless we take prompt action terrorists will get hold of nuclear materials, make nuclear weapons, and nuke us until we glow?

nuclear disarmament a feasible or practical goal?

David Sanger, "The North Korean Uranium Challenge," New York Times, 5/24/04. Saddam's Iraq didn't have nuclear weapons or a nuclear program. North Korea has both—and it has a nutty government that might sell the nukes it makes to the highest bidder. What to do? Smash 'em? Won't work. Cut a deal? The Bush administration is opposed. Overthrow the North Korean government? That's tough work. But we better do something!!


John Browne, "Beyond Kyoto," Foreign Affairs, Vol. 83, No. 4 (July/August 2004): 20-32. Browne outlines a program for action to address the grave and growing danger of climate change.


Clyde Prestowitz, "Why Don't We Listen More," Washington Post, July 7, 2002. Bush is losing the U.S. friends by acting with little regard for their interests and ideas. Instead the U.S. should consult its friends and take their interests into account before acting.
FURTHER READING

Readings denoted below with a "##" are on reserve at Dewey library.

Historiographical surveys on American foreign policy:


Bibliographies on American foreign policy:


For more bibliographies see also:

Foreign Affairs: this journal's "Recent Books on International Relations" section reviews most important books on U.S. foreign policy.

American Historical Review: more than half of this journal is devoted to useful book reviews, many of books on U.S. foreign relations.

Thomas G. Paterson, J. Garry Clifford, and Kenneth J. Hagan, American Foreign Relations: A History Since 1895, 5th ed. (2000); this text (assigned for this course) has useful bibliographical notes at the ends of chapters.

Jerald A. Combs, The History of American Foreign Policy, 2 vols. (NY: Knopf, 1986); this text also has useful bibliographical notes at the ends of chapters.

Websites to consult:

www.biu.ac.il/SOC/besa/meria/research-g/us-policy.html. This site is a research guide to internet resources on American foreign policy. See other websites referenced there.

www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/. This is the National Security Archive website, an excellent source of primary
documents about U.S. foreign and security policy.

Textbooks and surveys:


**Historical document & essay collections:**


**Journals:**

*Foreign Affairs.* The first and most famous journal of American foreign policy opinion. Published by the Council on Foreign Relations. For many decades it offered yawnsome pontifications by senior officials who repeated conventional wisdoms but now covers many issues very well.
*Foreign Policy.* A prominent if irritatingly undocumented journal of current policy.
*Diplomatic History.* The main journal covering American diplomatic history.
*Journal of Cold War History.* A good new history journal.
*Security Studies.* Another journal of military and foreign policy.
*The National Interest.* The leading conservative foreign policy journal.
*Survival.* A Europe-oriented journal of military and foreign policy.
*American Historical Review.* A general historical journal that once gave good coverage to American diplomatic history but has lately drifted into postmodern gibberizing.

**Press and radio on world affairs:**

*The Economist.* A British weekly newsmagazine. The best single printed news source on current world affairs.

BBC World Service. Good world news coverage, aired in Boston at 9:00-10:00 a.m., 1:00-2:00 p.m., and 12:00-2:00 a.m. weekdays, and 4:00-5:00 Saturdays and Sundays, on WBUR (90.9 FM radio). Less fun than KISS 108 but better for your brain.

Readers on 1990s policy questions:


John T. Rourke, *Taking Sides*, 4th ed. (Guilford, Conn.: Dushkin, 1992)

Theories of International Politics & of American Foreign Policy:


Kenneth N. Waltz, *Theory of International Politics* (Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1979)


Peace Movements:


Foreign lobbies, propaganda, and the press as influences on American foreign policy:


Jeffrey Birnbaum, "The Influence Merchants," *Fortune*, December 7, 1998, pp. 134-152, especially the
chart on p. 137. Washington insiders rank the Israel lobby the second most powerful lobby in Washington, behind only the AARP and ahead of the NRA, the AMA, the AFL-CIO, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, the VFW, and others.

Paul Findley, *They Dare to Speak Out: People and Institutions Confront Israel's Lobby* (Westport, CT: Lawrence Hill and Co., 1985)

**American Grand Strategy:**


**The United States and Human Rights:**

of Florida Press, 1988)

The United States and conflict prevention/conflict termination:

The United States and democracy:

Foreign aid and NGOs:

The United States and World War I:
Thomas J. Knock, To End All Wars: Woodrow Wilson and the Quest for a New World Order (NY: Oxford University Press, 1992)

**The United States and World War II:**

Selig Adler, *The Uncertain Giant, 1921-1941: American Foreign Policy Between the Wars* (NY: Collier, 1965)

**Origins of the Cold War:**
Soviet-American relations, the Cold War:


James A. Nathan and James K. Oliver, *United States Foreign Policy and World Order* (Glenview, Ill.: Scott, Foresman, 1989)


Chinese-American Relations:


The Korean War:

James A. Nathan and James K. Oliver, *United States Foreign Policy and World Order*, pp. 142-190.


U.S. National Security Policy:


McGeorge Bundy, *Danger and Survival: Choices About the Bomb in the First Fifty Years* (New York: Random House, 1988)


Steven E. Miller, ed., *Strategy and Nuclear Deterrence: An International Security Reader* (Princeton:
Princeton University Press, 1984)

U.S. Foreign Economic Policy:


Cold War Crises: Berlin, Offshore Islands, and Cuba 1962:

Berlin, 1948 & 1958-1962:


Offshore Islands:


Cuban Missile Crisis:


The Indochina War:


David Halberstam, *The Best and the Brightest* (Greenwich, Conn.: Fawcett Crest, 1973)


Marvin E. Gettleman, Jane Franklin, Marilyn Young and H. Bruce Franklin, eds. *Vietnam and America, A Documentary History* (NY: Grove Press, 1985)


Peter A. Poole, *Eight Presidents and Indochina* (Huntington, NY: Krieger, 1978)


A bibliography is:

### The Spanish-American-Filipino War, 1898-1902:


Robert L. Beisner, *From the Old Diplomacy to the New, 1865-1900* (NY: Crowell, 1975)

Thomas G. Paterson and Stephen G. Rabe, eds., *Imperial Surge: The United States Abroad, the 1890s-Early 1900s* (Lexington, MA: D.C. Heath, 1992)


Elinor Fuchs & Joyce Antler, *Year One of the Empire: A Play of American Politics, War and Protest Taken from the Historical Record* (Boston: Houghton-Mifflin, 1973)

### Histories of other American interventions in the Third World:

The interventions of 1900-1934:


Overviews of Cold War interventions:

Peter J. Schraeder, ed., *Intervention Into the 1990s*, 2nd ed. (Boulder, Colo.: Lynne Rienner, 1992)

Overviews of covert operations:


Iran 1953:


Guatemala 1954:


Indonesia 1957:

Audrey Kahin and George McT. Kahin, *Subversion as Foreign Policy: The Secret Eisenhower and Dulles*
Debacle in Indonesia (Seattle WA: University of Washington, 1995)

Bay of Pigs 1961:


Dominican Republic 1965:


Chile 1973:

Nathaniel Davis, The Last Two Years of Salvador Allende (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1985)
Arturo Valenzuela, The Breakdown of Democratic Regimes: Chile (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1978)
U.S. Senate, 94th Congress, First Session, Hearings Before the Select Committee to Study Government Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities, Vol. 7: Covert Action, pp. 144-203 (“Covert Action in Chile, 1963-73”) (This is the Chile study of the “Church Committee Hearings.”)

African interventions since the 1970s:


Angola 1975:


Central Americas in the 1980s:

Eldon Kenworthy, America/Américas: Myth in the Making of U.S. Policy Toward Latin America (University Park: Penn State Press, 1995)
Walter LaFeber, Inevitable Revolutions (Norton, 1984)
Robert Parry and Peter Kornbluh, "Iran-Contra's Untold Story," *Foreign Policy*, No. 72 (Fall 1988), pp. 3-30.

Reagan Doctrine, 1985-1991:

Persian Gulf War, 1991:

Analytical assessments of Third World intervention:
The end of the Cold War and the future, 1990s perspectives:


The terror war:

Anonymous, *Imperial Hubris: Why the West is Losing the War on Terror* (Washington, DC: Brassey's, 2004)

Environmental issues:

George W. Bush's Foreign Policy, 2001–


SCHEDULE FOR 17.40

Sept.

Th 9: First day of class.
T-F 14-17: First section meetings.

Oct.

Th 7: Quiz in class.
M-F 18-21: Second response paper due in sections (2 pages)
    Paper topics handed out.

Nov.

T 2: Outlines/rough drafts of first paper due in class.
T 9: First paper due in class (8 pages).
Th 16: Quiz in class.
Tues. 23: Outlines/rough drafts of second paper due in class. (This is two days early because Thanksgiving interferes.)

Dec.

Thurs. 2: Second paper due in class (8 pages)
Thurs. 9: Last day of class
Section Presentations: What They Are

Top policy makers are often asked to present their views on important foreign and security policy matters to the National Security Council (NSC), the key Executive Branch forum where such matters are discussed. In our sections you will also be asked to present to the NSC. As in a real NSC appearance you will give a short presentation and then you will be questioned by an opinionated and perhaps skeptical panel that includes the President of the United States and some Cabinet officers. You should assume that the meeting is held in tight secrecy. There is no point in playing to the cameras because there aren't any. But you better impress the President or he/she might fire you!

Your presentation will last five (5) minutes. If you run over you may be cut off. Your presentation should include (1) an argument, and (2) supporting evidence or reasoning. Your TA and your fellow students will then pose questions and ask you to address counter-arguments, in role as NSC members. Be prepared to defend your argument with deductive or historical evidence.

You choose the topic of your presentation. You can make an argument that reacts to an issue raised in class or in the course readings, or you can address a subject of special concern to you. Your presentation can overlap with your paper topic.

We suggest that you bring an outline of your presentation and either hand it out or put it up on the blackboard, to help your audience follow your argument.

We also suggest that you summarize your argument in a couple of sentences before marching through it. Again, this makes you easier to follow.

We recommend that you practice your speech a couple of times--to the mirror or, better still, to a friend--before giving it. You can also practice using the facilities of MIT's writing and Communications Center (http://web.mit.edu/writing), and can get helpful advice from their staff.

The NSC sometimes hears differing views in its deliberations. Accordingly we will try to organize presentations as debates between two members of the section who frame different views of an issue.