

MIT Open Access Articles

Pan-Src Family Kinase Inhibitors Replace Sox2 during the Direct Reprogramming of Somatic Cells

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Staerk, Judith et al. "Pan-Src Family Kinase Inhibitors Replace Sox2 During the Direct Reprogramming of Somatic Cells." Angewandte Chemie International Edition 50.25 (2011): 5734–5736.

As Published: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201101042

Publisher: Wiley Blackwell (John Wiley & Sons)

Persistent URL: http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/73479

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0





NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 August 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2011 June 14; 50(25): 5734–5736. doi:10.1002/anie.201101042.

Pan-Src Family Kinase Inhibitors Replace Sox2 during the Direct Reprogramming of Somatic Cells^{**}

Dr. Judith Staerk,

Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 9 Cambridge Center, Cambridge, MA 02142 (USA)

Dr. Costas A. Lyssiotis,

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA, 92037 (USA)

Lea A. Medeiro,

Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 9 Cambridge Center, Cambridge, MA 02142 (USA)

Michael Bollong,

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA, 92037 (USA)

Dr. Ruth K. Foreman,

Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 9 Cambridge Center, Cambridge, MA 02142 (USA)

Dr. Shoutian Zhu,

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA, 92037 (USA)

Michael Garcia,

The Genomics Institute of the Novartis Research Foundation, 10675 John Jay Hopkins Drive, San Diego, CA 92121 (USA)

Qing Gao,

Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 9 Cambridge Center, Cambridge, MA 02142 (USA)

Dr. Laure C. Bouchez,

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA, 92037 (USA)

Dr. Luke L. Lairson,

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA, 92037 (USA)

^{**}We thank Dr. Xu Wu for assistance facilitating chemical analyses; Raaji Alaggapan and Ping Xu for support with tissue culture; and Jessie Dausman, Ruth Flannery and Dongdong Fu for help with animal husbandry and teratoma processing. We thank members of the Jaenisch lab for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by a long-term HFSP postdoctoral fellowship (to J.S.), a National Science Foundation Predoctoral Fellowship (to C.A.L.), a Canadian Institutes for Health Research Postdoctoral Fellowship (to L.L.L.), NIH grants HD 045022 and 5 R37CA084198 (to R. J.) and grants from the Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology of The Scripps Research Institute (to P.G.S.).

Correspondence to: Rudolf Jaenisch, jaenisch@wi.mit.edu; Peter G. Schultz, schultz@scripps.edu. J.S. and C.A.L. contributed equally to this study.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org

Bradley D. Charette,

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA, 92037 (USA)

Dr. Lubica Supekova,

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA, 92037 (USA)

Jeffrey Janes, Dr. Achim Brinker,

The Genomics Institute of the Novartis Research Foundation, 10675 John Jay Hopkins Drive, San Diego, CA 92121 (USA)

Dr. Charles Y. Cho,

The Genomics Institute of the Novartis Research Foundation, 10675 John Jay Hopkins Drive, San Diego, CA 92121 (USA)

Prof. Rudolf Jaenisch, and

Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 9 Cambridge Center, Cambridge, MA 02142 (USA)

Prof. Peter G. Schultz

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA, 92037 (USA)

Rudolf Jaenisch: jaenisch@wi.mit.edu; Peter G. Schultz: schultz@scripps.edu

Ectopic expression of the four transcription factors Oct4, Klf4, Sox2 and c-Myc reprograms adult somatic cells to induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells.^[1] Although iPS cells hold considerable promise as tools in research and drug discovery, the clinical application of iPS cells is hindered by the use of viruses that deliver the exogenous factors and modify the host genome. It is therefore of great interest to replace virally transduced factors with either proteins or small molecules. To date a number of compounds have been identified that facilitate reprogramming of somatic cells. Among these are kenpaullone^[2], valproic acid^[3] and inhibitors of TGF β -signaling.^[4] Here we have exploited a reporter based screen^[2] to identify a new class of compounds that functionally replace Sox2: inhibitors of the Src family of kinases. These molecules provide novel tools to study the molecular mechanism of Sox2 in reprogramming.

To screen for small molecule replacements of Sox2, mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) harboring the firefly luciferase (Fluc) gene in the *Nanog* locus^[2] (NL-MEFs) were transduced with Oct4, Klf4 and c-Myc (OKM), seeded into 1536-well plates in standard growth media and assayed against a large chemical library^[5] (750,000 compounds; 2.2 μ M). Compounds that reproducibly and dose-dependently activated the NL reporter >2.5-fold over vehicle-treated controls (Figure 1a) were then counter-screened in a cell based SV40-driven Fluc assay to rule out false positives that directly and non-specifically induce luciferase signal.^[2, 6]

To confirm that filtered hit compounds which activate Nanog gene expression also replace Sox2, iPS cell colony formation was used as a secondary assay. Specifically, Klf4 and c-Myc were delivered retrovirally to O4NR-MEFs^[1b] (cells harboring a Doxycycline (Dox)-inducible Oct4 cDNA in the *collagen* locus and the neomycin-resistance gene in the *Oct4* locus), and Oct4 expression was induced by addition of Dox to the culture media (day 0). Two days later, positive screen hits (1-10 μ M) were added to OKM-expressing MEFs in place of Sox2. After 10 days of compound treatment, growth media was supplemented with neomycin to select for colonies that reactivated the endogenous *Oct4* locus. The reactivation

of epigenetically silenced pluripotency-associated genes is required for somatic cells to transition to the iPS cell state.^[7]

Dox-independent, neomycin resistant colonies were not observed in DMSO-treated (0.1%, v/v) controls, indicating that vehicle-treated cells had not removed the epigenetic silencing marks from the *Oct4* promoter (which drives Neo^R) and were thus not pluripotent. Among the compounds tested, one compound, iPYrazine (iPY; 10 μ M), promoted the formation of neomycin-resistant iPS cell colonies (Figure 1b, blue bars) that survived and could be cultured in the absence of Dox. Transgenic Oct4 independent (minus Dox) growth of the iPY-treated iPS cells demonstrated that they had reactivated and relied on endogenous Oct4 to maintain the pluripotent state. In addition, OKM transduction combined with iPY treatment of MEFs carrying a GFP reporter under control of the endogenous *Oct4* locus^[8] also gave rise to stable, GFP-positive iPS cell lines (Figure S1, Supporting Information).

iPS cells derived from O4NR-MEFs with iPY, Dox and KM-transduction grew as pluripotent stem cell colonies in the absence of Dox and iPY. Moreover, these cells were indistinguishable from ES cells by morphological criteria and expressed the pluripotency-associated markers Oct4 and SSEA1 (Figure 1c). We next tested the differentiation potential of the iPY-derived iPS cells in a teratoma assay by injecting 10⁶ cells subcutaneously into NOD-SCID mice. Tumors were isolated 3 weeks later and histological analyses demonstrated that cell types of all three germ layers were present; these included neural tissues, bone, cartilage and ciliated epithelium (Figure 1d). Furthermore, iPY-derived iPS cells contributed to live chimeras, as shown in Figure 1d. The results from this series of analyses indicate that the iPY-derived, Sox2-free iPS cells are pluripotent.

In order to identify the biological target of iPY, we profiled the compound against a biochemical panel of tyrosine kinases (51 kinases; Table S1). From this analysis, we found that iPY potently inhibited a number of tyrosine kinases at 5 μ M. Commercially available inhibitors (Figures 2a-b and Table S2) of these candidate kinase targets were then assayed for their ability to replace Sox2 in the iPS cell colony formation assay. As shown in Figure 2b, the pan-Src family kinase (SFK) inhibitors Dasatinib^[9] and PP1^[10] (Figure 2b) were able to recapitulate the activity of iPY. Interestingly, both Dasatinib and PP1 were >2-fold more active than iPY and efficiently replaced Sox2 (Figure 2b). Moreover, the pan-SFK inhibitors gave rise to colonies with a similar efficiency to TGF β inhibitors (SB-431542 and LY-364947). The latter have been reported to replace Sox2 and served as a positive control in this study.^[4] In addition to TGF β inhibitors, Ichida *et al.* have also reported that the SFK inhibitor PP1 is able to replace Sox2.^[4a] Together with our work, these results indicate that iPY is likely playing a role in reprogramming by inhibiting Src kinases, although additional mechanisms cannot be excluded.

SFKs are a class of proto-oncogene tyrosine kinases that include nine mammalian members (i.e., c-Src, Yes, Fyn, Fgr, Lck, Hck, Blk, Lyn and Frk).^[11] Several members of the SFK family have been reported to influence embryonic stem (ES) cell self-renewal and differentiation.^[12] For example, activation of c-Src signaling promotes ES cell differentiation.^[13] Consistent with this observation we find that the activation of Src signaling in MEFs with JK239^[14] potently inhibits 4-factor reprogramming (Figure 2c). Together, our results suggest that SFK signaling is an important mediator of somatic cell reprogramming, where activation of the SFK pathway prevents reprogramming and inhibition allows for reprogramming in the absence of exogenous Sox2.

Previously, Ichida *et al.* demonstrated that small molecule mediated inhibition of TGF β signaling with LY-364947 or E-616452 can replace Sox2 through the activation of Nanog expression.^[4a] The results from our screen, which rely on Nanog activation as a surrogate

for the replacement of Sox2, suggest that the inhibition of SFK- and TGF β -signaling may converge on a similar mechanism; that is, the function of Sox2 can be replaced during direct reprogramming by activating Nanog expression. Another potential scenario comes from the observation that both Nanog^[15] and SFK inhibition^[13] are capable of maintaining the selfrenewing pluripotent state in ES cells. Thus, TGF β inhibitor-mediated Nanog activation and pan-SFK inhibition may instead converge on a common mechanism in which the differentiation of newly formed iPS cells is prevented, thereby assisting in the transition to an undifferentiated state. In either case, it is interesting to note that inhibition of distinct signaling responses converge on a common end point.

In summary, we applied a cell-based, high-throughput chemical screen to identify small molecules that replace Sox2 during somatic cell reprogramming. The identification of novel SFK inhibitors provides new chemical tools to study the mechanisms underlying direct reprogramming and may ultimately help to bring iPS cell technology one step closer to clinical application.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

- a) Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, Narita M, Ichisaka T, Tomoda K, Yamanaka S. Cell. 2007; 131:861. [PubMed: 18035408] b) Wernig M, Meissner A, Foreman R, Brambrink T, Ku M, Hochedlinger K, Bernstein BE, Jaenisch R. Nature. 2007; 448:318. [PubMed: 17554336]
- Lyssiotis CA, Foreman RK, Staerk J, Garcia M, Mathur D, Markoulaki S, Hanna J, Lairson LL, Charette BD, Bouchez LC, Bollong M, Kunick C, Brinker A, Cho CY, Schultz PG, Jaenisch R. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106:8912. [PubMed: 19447925]
- Huangfu D, Maehr R, Guo W, Eijkelenboom A, Snitow M, Chen AE, Melton DA. Nat Biotechnol. 2008; 26:795. [PubMed: 18568017]
- 4. a) Ichida JK, Blanchard J, Lam K, Son EY, Chung JE, Egli D, Loh KM, Carter AC, Di Giorgio FP, Koszka K, Huangfu D, Akutsu H, Liu DR, Rubin LL, Eggan K. Cell Stem Cell. 2009; 5:491.
 [PubMed: 19818703] b) Maherali N, Hochedlinger K. Curr Biol. 2009; 19:1718. [PubMed: 19765992]
- Plouffe D, Brinker A, McNamara C, Henson K, Kato N, Kuhen K, Nagle A, Adrian F, Matzen JT, Anderson P, Nam TG, Gray NS, Chatterjee A, Janes J, Yan SF, Trager R, Caldwell JS, Schultz PG, Zhou Y, Winzeler EA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105:9059. [PubMed: 18579783]
- 6. Thorne N, Auld DS, Inglese J. Curr Opin Chem Biol. 2010; 14:315. [PubMed: 20417149]
- 7. Jaenisch R, Young R. Cell. 2008; 132:567. [PubMed: 18295576]
- Lengner CJ, Camargo FD, Hochedlinger K, Welstead GG, Zaidi S, Gokhale S, Scholer HR, Tomilin A, Jaenisch R. Cell Stem Cell. 2007; 1:403. [PubMed: 18159219]
- 9. Das J, Chen P, Norris D, Padmanabha R, Lin J, Moquin RV, Shen Z, Cook LS, Doweyko AM, Pitt S, Pang S, Shen DR, Fang Q, deFex HF, McIntyre KW, Shuster DJ, Gillooly KM, Behnia K, Schieven GL, Wityak J, Barrish JC. J Med Chem. 2006; 49:6819. [PubMed: 17154512]
- Hanke JH, Gardner JP, Dow RL, Changelian PS, Brissette WH, Weringer EJ, Pollok BA, Connelly PA. J Biol Chem. 1996; 271:695. [PubMed: 8557675]
- 11. Yeatman TJ. Nat Rev Cancer. 2004; 4:470. [PubMed: 15170449]
- a) Anneren C, Cowan CA, Melton DA. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:31590. [PubMed: 15148312] b) Meyn MA 3rd, Schreiner SJ, Dumitrescu TP, Nau GJ, Smithgall TE. Mol Pharmacol. 2005; 68:1320. [PubMed: 15985613]
- 13. Meyn MA 3rd, Smithgall TE. Sci Signal. 2009; 2:ra64. [PubMed: 19825829]
- Supekova L, Supek F, Lee J, Chen S, Gray N, Pezacki JP, Schlapbach A, Schultz PG. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:29. [PubMed: 17951261]

 a) Chambers I, Silva J, Colby D, Nichols J, Nijmeijer B, Robertson M, Vrana J, Jones K, Grotewold L, Smith A. Nature. 2007; 450:1230. [PubMed: 18097409] b) Vallier L, Mendjan S, Brown S, Chng Z, Teo A, Smithers LE, Trotter MW, Cho CH, Martinez A, Rugg-Gunn P, Brons G, Pedersen RA. Development. 2009; 136:1339. [PubMed: 19279133] Staerk et al.



Figure 1. Chemical complementation of Sox2

(a) OKM-transduced NL-MEFs were treated for 7 days with Dasatinib (0.5 μ M), iPYrazine (10 μ M), LY-364947 (a positive control; 1 μ M) or vehicle (0.1% DMSO, v/v). The Nanog signal from treated cells is compared to that of non-transduced NL-MEFs, NL-ES cells and NL-iPS cells. Nanog activity is reported in relative light units (RLU). Error bars, standard deviation (n = 3). (b) O4NR-MEFs were transduced with Klf4 and c-Myc and grown in Dox (blue bars); transduced with OKM (no Dox; green bars); or transduced with OKM (no Dox) and grown in 1 mM VPA (red bars). Oct4-transduced O4NR-MEFs were used in order to take advantage of the stringent pluripotency marker, the Oct4-Neo^R selection cassette. The OKM-expressing MEFs were treated with iPY (10 μ M), DMSO (0.1%), or transduced with Sox2. At day 12, resultant colonies were selected upon supplementation of growth media with neomycin. Colonies that survived were stained for AP and counted 3 days later. Error bars, standard deviation (n = 3). (c) iPS cells derived from KM-transduced, Dox and iPY-treated O4NR-MEFs stain positive for the pluripotency-associated markers Oct4 and SSEA-1. (d) iPY-derived iPS cells form teratomas consisting of all three germ layers and contribute to live chimeras.

Staerk et al.





(a) Chemical structures of selected kinase inhibitors used in this study. (b) Inhibition of Srckinase signaling by dasatinib (0.5 μ M) or PP1 (10 μ M) replaces Sox2 during reprogramming. O4NR-MEFs were transduced with Klf4 and c-Myc and grown in Dox (blue bars); transduced with OKM (no Dox; green bars); or transduced with OKM (no Dox) and grown in 1 mM VPA (red bars). OKM-expressing MEFs were transduced with Sox2 or treated with kinase inhibitors or vehicle (0.1% DMSO, v/v) for 10 days. At day 12, resultant colonies were selected upon supplementation of growth media with neomycin. Colonies that survived were stained for AP and counted 3 days later. Error bars, standard deviation (n =

Staerk et al.

3). Complete names, descriptions and concentrations of the kinase inhibitors used in this assay are provided in Table S2. (c) Activation of c-Src signaling by JK239 (10 μ M) or TGF β signaling with TGF β 1 ligand (10 ng/mL) inhibits 4-factor reprogramming. O4NR-MEFs were transduced with Sox2, c-Myc, Klf4 and treated with Dox to initiate Oct4 expression. 12 days later, resultant colonies were selected upon supplementation of growth media with neomycin. Colonies that survived were AP stained and counted 3 days later. Error bars, standard deviation (n = 3).

Page 8

NIH-PA Author Manuscript