

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.712 Introduction to Representation Theory  
Fall 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.

## 7 Structure of finite dimensional algebras

In this section we return to studying the structure of finite dimensional algebras. Throughout the section, we work over an algebraically closed field  $k$  (of any characteristic).

### 7.1 Projective modules

Let  $A$  be an algebra, and  $P$  be a left  $A$ -module.

**Theorem 7.1.** *The following properties of  $P$  are equivalent:*

(i) *If  $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$  is a surjective morphism, and  $\nu : P \rightarrow N$  any morphism, then there exists a morphism  $\mu : P \rightarrow M$  such that  $\alpha \circ \mu = \nu$ .*

(ii) *Any surjective morphism  $\alpha : M \rightarrow P$  splits, i.e. there exists  $\mu : P \rightarrow M$  such that  $\alpha \circ \mu = \text{id}$ .*

(iii) *There exists another  $A$ -module  $Q$  such that  $P \oplus Q$  is a free  $A$ -module, i.e. a direct sum of copies of  $A$ .*

(iv) *The functor  $\text{Hom}_A(P, ?)$  on the category of  $A$ -modules is exact.*

*Proof.* To prove that (i) implies (ii), take  $N = P$ . To prove that (ii) implies (iii), take  $M$  to be free (this can always be done since any module is a quotient of a free module). To prove that (iii) implies (iv), note that the functor  $\text{Hom}_A(P, ?)$  is exact if  $A$  is free (as  $\text{Hom}_A(A, N) = N$ ), so the statement follows, as if the direct sum of two exact sequences is exact, then each of them is exact. To prove that (iv) implies (i), let  $K$  be the kernel of the map  $\alpha$ , and apply the exact functor  $\text{Hom}_A(P, ?)$  to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0.$$

□

**Definition 7.2.** A module satisfying any of the conditions (i)-(iv) of Theorem 7.1 is said to be **projective**.

## 7.2 Lifting of idempotents

Let  $A$  be a ring, and  $I \subset A$  a nilpotent ideal.

**Proposition 7.3.** *Let  $e_0 \in A/I$  be an idempotent, i.e.  $e_0^2 = e_0$ . There exists an idempotent  $e \in A$  which is a lift of  $e_0$  (i.e. it projects to  $e_0$  under the reduction modulo  $I$ ). This idempotent is unique up to conjugation by an element of  $1 + I$ .*

*Proof.* Let us first establish the statement in the case when  $I^2 = 0$ . Note that in this case  $I$  is a left and right module over  $A/I$ . Let  $e_*$  be any lift of  $e_0$  to  $A$ . Then  $e_*^2 - e_* = a \in I$ , and  $e_0 a = a e_0$ . We look for  $e$  in the form  $e = e_* + b$ ,  $b \in I$ . The equation for  $b$  is  $e_0 b + b e_0 - b = a$ .

Set  $b = (2e_0 - 1)a$ . Then

$$e_0 b + b e_0 - b = 2e_0 a - (2e_0 - 1)a = a,$$

so  $e$  is an idempotent. To classify other solutions, set  $e' = e + c$ . For  $e'$  to be an idempotent, we must have  $ec + ce - c = 0$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $ece = 0$  and  $(1 - e)c(1 - e) = 0$ , so  $c = ec(1 - e) + (1 - e)ce = [e, [e, c]]$ . Hence  $e' = (1 + [c, e])e(1 + [c, e])^{-1}$ .

Now, in the general case, we prove by induction in  $k$  that there exists a lift  $e_k$  of  $e_0$  to  $A/I^{k+1}$ , and it is unique up to conjugation by an element of  $1 + I^k$  (this is sufficient as  $I$  is nilpotent). Assume it is true for  $k = m - 1$ , and let us prove it for  $k = m$ . So we have an idempotent  $e_{m-1} \in A/I^m$ , and we have to lift it to  $A/I^{m+1}$ . But  $(I^m)^2 = 0$  in  $A/I^{m+1}$ , so we are done.  $\square$

**Definition 7.4.** A complete system of orthogonal idempotents in a unital algebra  $B$  is a collection of elements  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in B$  such that  $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij} e_i$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i = 1$ .

**Corollary 7.5.** *Let  $e_{01}, \dots, e_{0m}$  be a complete system of orthogonal idempotents in  $A/I$ . Then there exists a complete system of orthogonal idempotents  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  ( $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij} e_i$ ,  $\sum e_i = 1$ ) in  $A$  which lifts  $e_{01}, \dots, e_{0m}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction in  $m$ . For  $m = 2$  this follows from Lemma 7.3. For  $m > 2$ , we lift  $e_{01}$  to  $e_1$  using Lemma 7.3, and then apply the induction assumption to the algebra  $(1 - e_1)A(1 - e_1)$ .  $\square$

## 7.3 Projective covers

Obviously, every projective module over an algebra  $A$  is a direct sum of indecomposable projective modules, so to understand projective modules over  $A$ , it suffices to classify indecomposable projective modules.

Let  $A$  be a finite dimensional algebra, with simple modules  $M_1, \dots, M_n$ .

**Theorem 7.6.** (i) *For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  there exists a unique indecomposable projective module  $P_i$  such that  $\dim \text{Hom}(P_i, M_j) = \delta_{ij}$ .*

(ii)  $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\dim M_i) P_i$ .

(iii) *any indecomposable projective module over  $A$  is isomorphic to  $P_i$  for some  $i$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $A/\text{Rad}(A) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{End}(M_i)$ , and  $\text{Rad}(A)$  is a nilpotent ideal. Pick a basis of  $M_i$ , and let  $e_{ij}^0 = E_{jj}^i$ , the rank 1 projectors projecting to the basis vectors of this basis ( $j = 1, \dots, \dim M_i$ ). Then  $e_{ij}^0$  are orthogonal idempotents in  $A/\text{Rad}(A)$ . So by Corollary 7.5 we can lift them to orthogonal idempotents  $e_{ij}$  in  $A$ . Now define  $P_{ij} = Ae_{ij}$ . Then  $A = \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\dim M_i} P_{ij}$ , so  $P_{ij}$  are projective. Also, we have  $\text{Hom}(P_{ij}, M_k) = e_{ij}M_k$ , so  $\dim \text{Hom}(P_{ij}, M_k) = \delta_{ik}$ . Finally,  $P_{ij}$  is independent of  $j$  up to an isomorphism, as  $e_{ij}$  for fixed  $i$  are conjugate under  $A^\times$  by Proposition 7.3; thus we will denote  $P_{ij}$  by  $P_i$ .

We claim that  $P_i$  is indecomposable. Indeed, if  $P_i = Q_1 \oplus Q_2$ , then  $\text{Hom}(Q_l, M_j) = 0$  for all  $j$  either for  $l = 1$  or for  $l = 2$ , so either  $Q_1 = 0$  or  $Q_2 = 0$ .

Also, there can be no other indecomposable projective modules, since any indecomposable projective module has to occur in the decomposition of  $A$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

## References

- [BGP] J. Bernstein, I. Gelfand, V. Ponomarev, Coxeter functors and Gabriel's theorem, Russian Math. Surveys 28 (1973), no. 2, 17–32.
- [Cu] C. Curtis, Pioneers of Representation Theory: Frobenius, Burnside, Schur, and Brauer, AMS, 1999.
- [CR] C. Curtis and I. Reiner, Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras, AMS, 2006.
- [FH] W. Fulton and J. Harris, Representation Theory, A first course, Springer, New York, 1991.
- [McL] S. MacLane, Categories for a working Mathematician: 2nd Ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics 5, Springer, 1998.