### THE **HUMAN** INTERFACE

### IN THREE DIMENSIONAL COMPUTER ART **SPACE**

**By** Jennifer **A.** Hall

### B.F.A. Kansas City Art Institute **1980**

Submitted to the Department of Architecture in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master **Of** Science in Visual Studies at the Massachusetts Institute **Of** Technology

October **1985**

Copyright **1985.** JAHall. **All** rights reserved.

The author hereby grants MIT permission to reproduce and to distribute publicly copies of this thesis document in whole or in part.

1 /

**I/**

Signature of author......en.. . .. .. r Hall Department of Architecture October **16, 1985**  $\overline{I}$ 

Certified **by. ..** Professor Otto Piene Director, Center For Advanced Visual Studies Thesis Supervisor

Accepted by............... .......... .................... Professor Nicholas Negroponte Chairman, Department Committee for Graduate Student

Rotch **MASS.** INST. TECH **OCT**  UBRARIES

Rotch

### Acknowledgement

I am grateful to The Center For Advanced Visual Studies, The Architecture Machine Group, and the patience of the many involved individuals who allowed me the freedom to construct my own special space at MIT.

**My** thanks, also, to Patrick Purcell, **my** Professor, Aesthetic Confidant, and friend, and to my editor, Shelly Roberts, who saved not only me, but countless inocent victims, hours of tedious paper crunching. **:)**

### Table of Contents

## Section I





 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ 



### Introduction

The interactive bridge cannot be reasoned with, cannot be communicated with in human terms, and **by** its very nature is capable only of repetitive rigid responses. It is a link, a location between two situations. An Interface is a surface regarded as the common boundary of two bodies or spaces. It is the study of these bonded bodies or spaces that enables us to understand what happens to each when they interact.

We use our sensory impressions that reach us from the external spatial world surrounding us to construct the mental model of our universe. This includes all that we think, all that we create. Human output spawns from the same universal sensory pool. It is this pool of human perception that ties together relationships that appear, at first encounter, relatively un-associated. With this raw material we compile, associate and communicate.

Our most identifiable source for self-identification is locating our physical beings in a spatial context. The second is the psychic understanding of that context.

Physical constituents which link us to another person, object or idea, are not, in and of themselves, interactive, but merely the structural bond or surface interface. It requires the passage of the creative psyche across this bridge to create what we have come to know as The Human Interface.

. . .

#### Defining **3D** Space

### Physical Computer Space

The spatial qualities of a computer begin with the system itself. The computer is, of course, a physically embodied machine and, as such, cannot violate natural law. Internal rivers of electrons flow through banks **of** resistors, clippers, and signal boosters, placed in rows on circuit boards inserted into slots of the machine. Memory is organized as a system of cells which represents each bit of data, making computer space ultimately composed of discrete data points. There can be no space without matter. The machine not only occupies space, but also formats memory in even geometric patterns. In most machines, every byte or word has a number associated with it, which is called its address.

Computer memory can also be thought of as a bank of randomly accessible and variable information. The processor need not know which portion of data it wants, but identifies with a simple vector style coordinate system to locate the needed information. In either case, the computer is a workspace or region of storage that can never quite break free of its physical or spatial origins. It is therefore only natural to think of a computer as having a logical area that has plasticity and dimension.

### Logical Programming Space

The addressing, or numbering of space is derived from analytical geometry, and is as old as Descartes, at least three centuries. His numbering system awoke spatial perception from a bodiless, finite, culturally defined state into the pure extension of idea. Ideas could be associated with numbers, and numbers associated with ideas. The duality of computer space requires that a programmer think in both physical terms and abstractions, pragmatically and philosophically.

> "The computer programmer lives in two worlds simultaneously: his space is a<br>logical entity (like the space of the  $logical$  entity (like the space of topologist), and yet it is logically realized in the transistors of storage. In this way... it resembles Einstein's space which is mathematical and abstract<br>and still claims to be the space of the and still claims to be the space of world of experience."

> > <Bolter 84>

The physical space of the machine. is subject to terrestrial limitations, yet the logical properties remove it from its mechanical origins. Mathematics, intertwined with physics, allowed the mechanics of Newtonian geometry to be put into correspondence with algebraic numbers. As in Euclidean and Riemannian spaces, numbers could then be evaluated in relation to each other in linear and graphic terms. Mathematical space had become a coordinate system.

### Impressed Graphic Space

"Elements **of** data cannot be manipulated endlessly; they cannot remain forever in the central processor. At sometime, they must be deposited."

<Bolter 84>

As long as computers use devices such as hardcopy and the cathode-ray tube, they will continue to output all graphics onto the two dimensional world of paper and silvered surface. Here an image is virtually denotated. Dimension is implied **by** the apparent convergence of space taught to us **by** the Renaissance masters Piero Della Francesca and Michelangelo.

Figure **1.**



It is on this designed surface that optical interpolation occurs. Monocular cueing is not an inherent function of human optical perception, but is easily learned at an early age. <Benton 84> The creation of this type of impressed exhibition space is the melding of apparent optical depth and human understanding of those laws.

Perhaps the genuine spatial reality of **3D** computer graphics lies, literally, behind the tube. As in vector or raster scan, the convergence of rays upon the screen emanates from the tube's **yoke. This** will be the only time prior to reception, when the information will obtain any sculptural dimension as an optical phenomenon. Beams of light are shot from the yoke at the same time as the viewers project their own ablility to receive and perceive onto the surface, meeting and causing a common interface plane.

One of the only display devices available today that exploits the potential of **3D** graphics is the hologram. The procedure for acquiring the data from' the computer is surprisingly simple. **A** display screen can be thought of as a **porthole or viewing zone** into the digitized world of the computer's graphic reality. First, the viewing zone is algorithmically fixed, much like choosing the proper lens for a camera. When this area has been defined, the programmer can place computer-defined objects into the pre-designated arena. This is only one of an infinite number of angles that these objects can be viewed from. By moving the **camera** or viewport, and recording each new perspective, the true dimensionality of the space can be tapped. This technique is known as "keyframing", and is used often in animation. The difference here is that instead **of** displaying each consecutive frame in time, all the information is recorded onto the same piece of emulsion. This emulsion is then used in the holographic technique instead of a real object. The product is a display that takes advantage of our ability to see with two eyes and works on an optical function known as visual disparity.

This is a fine technique for creating a **3D** artificial reality. Basically, it is a reality of a collection of patterns and process, the latter being capable of producing, destroying, and modifying the former <Newell/Simon **82>.** The most important properties of these patterns are that they can designate objects, process, or other patterns, and that when they designate process, they can be interpreted. It is a microcosm unto itself. The question that' erises is, does this finite interpretation of reality have the same intrinsic capabilities as the world in which we exist? Has the nature of the world within the creator's mind been captured **by** the computer process perhaps through some underlying and universal law?

### Neurological Representations

The instruments that we make are, naturally, extensions of ourselves both physically and psychologically. When using instruments skillfully, we internalize aspects of them in the form of kinesthetic and perceptual habits. In this sense, they not only become extensions of our perceptions, but modify them, thus altering the basis of our effective relationship to ourselves. The creation and rendering of an artificial reality has not only ornamental significance, but symbolic as well. We make in our own image and likeness, and looking at what we make is like holding up the proverbial mirror. From these representations, we construct modified internal representations or models in our brains, revise conceptions which continue to alter our approach to making sense of the world.

> "The basic idea of cognitive science is that intelligent beings are semantic engines **-** in other words, automatic formal systems with interpretations under which they consistently make sense." <Haugeland **82>**

Given this premise, it is then possible to understand the physical correlation between humans and- machines, yet somehow, it is difficult to accept that a human as a machine is a reasoned principle. Simply put, we have not yet made a machine that comes anywhere close to bioelectrical potential.

The model of human as machine helps us understand ourselves better only when we take a conscious part in the act of the computer's decision making processes. Machine works as model system only when it is used in conjunction with people. In Biology, the **compartment theory provides** sophisticated methods for cases where reactions of open systems are compared with conventional closed systems. Open systems show inherent characteristics which seem to contradict the usual physical laws as they produce huge quantum leaps in logic. These gaps are often considered to be the vital characteristics of life, explainable only **by** introducing soul-like or entelechial factors into the organic happening.

Artificial intelligence does not have the vital force or principle directing growth and life. It is a model once removed **by** algorithm to the real thing. Moreover, creativity in people can be perceived as being irrational or illogical behavior to a machine. **A** computer can never be creative because it systematically claims information. Without the human interface, the computer's best effort is inherently psychol, a misrepresentation or illusion **of** life. Intelligence is not theory only, it is also action. Intelligence is not merely a real Software String Searcher,

which is apparently conceptually smart, but physically no more than a jukebox, that is, no more than a mechanism for finding matching electrical impulses and outputing them appropriately. Dualism is the concept that mental states are states of the soul, i.e. the human's moral and emotional nature. Feelings like anger and elation come from the soul. And the physical manifestation of these emotions is how the soul interacts with the body. Once again, you cannot relax the laws of physics.

### Computer as Artifact

We can think of computer memory as an artifact, <sup>a</sup> socially constructed space that usually functions in predictable ways in order to serve our technological society. Artifacts are almost always less sophisticated than the leading researches of science because they must be built upon ground that is already intellectually quite simple. In this sense, electronic space is used for ornamental and predictable symbolic significance.

Figure 2.

ХZ		Y1 Y2 X1 X2 Y1		$\sqrt{2}$	
1825 0479 0678 0743 0481 0831 0612 0716 0					
1828 8477 8674 8739 8475 8813 8682 8697 8					
1927 8478 8678 8743 8484 8833 8618 8715 8					
1823 8476 8679 8742 8482 8833 8614 8718 60					
1819 8476 8574 8738 8477 8815 8684 8782 87					
1826 8478 8679 8743 8484 8832 8618 8717 82					
1825 8478 8677 8743 8482 8831 8611 8718					
1819 8477 8673 8738 8476 8814 8684 8695					
1827 8478 8677 8743 8484 8831 8611 8717					
1825 8478 8678 8744 8481 8833 8612 8715					
8474		<b>8674 8739 8478</b>	$\mathcal{L}$		

Page **11**

We can also see how computer memory has properties that, collectively, can take us back to even more intuitive notions of what an artifact means. For instance, numbers in computer terms are as discrete and corporeal as even a pythagorean of ancient times would have them. Moreover, all aspects of internal computer space is finite, like the universe in most cosmologies.

Yet through all the universal attributes, the **process** of computing is usually experienced as a relatively private and personal affair. The **act** of personal computing is one of intellectual and spatial seclusion. It is during these computer intimacies, that an emotional bonding to the machine occurs.

Figures **3-5.**



Page **13**

During this bonding period, our bodies along with our minds, are blended together, and move towards a hodological experience of space <Lewin 74>. Here we connect to our machines through sensoral techniques **by** creating a mental map of our destination. In this case, the destination is the computer as object, as surface, and as philisophical surrounding. This is a cognitive mapping technique which offers the participant a metaphoric state of multi-layered transmission procedures.

Figure **6.**



The language through which a programmer commands a machine is selectively opaque; it masks absolute addresses but allows the exploitation **of** variable names for representing or addressing the subject. The region or workspace in which memory is stored is an enigma, for it becomes virtually transparent. In other words, the physical shell of a computer and its peripherals are designed to be as invisible as possible.

### Figure **7.**



This duality between opaque and transparent structure makes a computer workstation a wonderous locale. The CRT can become the visible expression of an intellectual or emotional condition while the physical presence of the hardware remains a symbol in and of itself.

Figure **8.**



We have carefully programmed ourselves to make the physical structure of display apparatus transluscent, remaining only as a conceptual frame for outgoing information. In time, our frames become less and less obvious, leaving us conscious of only half the icon. But in fact, each aspect of the artifact, the invisible tool and the visible manifestation of that tool, makes up the total experience of these machines.

### Machine Vision

### Qualitative Considerations **Of** Machine Vision

Machine vision, which is, literally, the acquisition of information external to the computer through optical sensors, is one sensory technique that bridges computer language and the world outside the computer's reality. This type of interface helps remove computers from their own internal modeling of human behavior, and, instead, uses the acquisition of **real,** or outside, information, ultimately producing a more accurate account of spatial perspective. In physical terms, machine vision helps create a more dynamic or sensitive system.

In only a gross technical analogy does machine vision work the same as the vertebrate's organs of sight. Both use lenses to **focus** the image, disparity to interpolate the three-dimensional world, and, perhaps most crucial, are peripheral to a more important central processing area.

But at closer comparison, the similarities end abruptly. We find that there is no machine technique available which accurately simulates the spectacular craftsmanship of the human eye. The computer has no external associated structures such as the eyelids, and eyelashes, to screen quantities and qualities of light falling on the retina, which together, aid in the overall function of "seeing." Within the internal in the overall function of "seeing." Within the internal structure of the eye, light converges upon the thin wall of the retina through the fluid vitreous body. This delicate, multi-layered photosensitive device detects electro-magnetic radiation, sorting and converting wavelengths to neuro-electronic pulsed information. Today's machine vision is equipped with no such subtle features, nor is the process as elaborate.

The use **of** relatively crudely ground lenses and inadequate photosensitive devices will eventually be replaced with equipment of finer properties. High quality translators could, in theory, produce machines with sufficient speed to examine detailed syntactic rules **by** installing large dictionaries and look-up tables. Many machine vision experts feel that the quantitative factors of refined hardware, sufficient speed and sufficient detailed syntactic rules make **true** machine vision an unrealistic endeavor.

Even if a technically equivalent machine vision peripheral could eventually be produced, the machine's interpretation of the outside reality would, compared to bio-mechanical optics, fundamentally remain inadequate. Though it would draw its information from external sources, data would still be processed or understood from the context of its own internal natural language. The computer will never truly understand the difference between synthetic and externally derived data. When a computer receives optical information, it **understands** the visual scene in purely syntactic structure, and relates to the process in the same linear logic. The machine exercises its intelligence in problem-solving **by** modifying symbol structures until it produces a solution structure. Solutions will therefore remain as represented symbolic constructs.

The conclusion is therefore three-fold. First, the creation of realistic simulated worlds is within the reach of a machine's ability. Secondly, the accuracy of an artificially intelligent machine to adequately mirror the world in which we exist remains that of a heuristic hypothesis. Thirdly, optical hardware for data acquisition is used when the simulation or facsimile of real world space is not acceptable.

### **Gesture Analysis**

People/Machine relationships are empirical kinships where, naturally, we expect the computer to become the extension or additional compiler for our own bodies and psyche. In turn, we are, however, also extending the physical space of computer as well. If the computer could externally obtain raw data from the physical world, there could be a blending of the physical world into the digital reality of computer graphics. Optical peripherals help the computer literally reach out beyond its own hardware boundaries making the machine's physical space potentially as large as its field of vision. In the case of body tracking, the performer can be thought of *as* actually becoming part of the computer, i.e. of the machine, not just the hardware, but the programmed living machine. In this case, the living machine is an extension of both the human's and the computer's capabilities. In a philosophical sense, it certainly is appropriate that machines look to their creators for the acquisition of their data.

#### Computer Constructed Motion

An early attempt to record human movement such as Labanotation used a pictogram method for recording dance. This was the predecessor to techniques used in computer simulation today.

The Dynamic Technique starts with a simulation of force of muscle and the mass which it moves <Hatze **81>. A** graphic representation reacts to a pre-designed set of rules that are addressable **by** changing programmed variables such as force, speed and theoretical physical limitations. The product is a reality of simulated constructs. The better the constructs the better the simulated reality.

Another widely used technique is one commonly called keyframing. The general packaging of this simulation concept is a line to line function. Literally frame **by** frame,

interpolation between two pre-defined postures gives the model a route to travel in a predetermined amount of time. Used in conjunction with this, analytical movement descriptors such as Cutting's walking algorithm <Cutting **78>** may be used. In this example, motion is determined **by** describing fifteen key points on a walking figure as a vector-valued oscillation.

Figure **9.**



In **1980** movement on film was first analyzed **by** digitally grabbing frame **by** frame to deduce three-dimensional locations on key points <O'Rourke **80>.** Highlights found on the subject constitute a mapping from object space to freedom positional information <Maxwell 74>. This technique, known as Pattern Recognition, as used in the Moving Light Display technique, was a first attempt to draw raw data from a real world source. The obvious flaw is that once the information is decoded onto the surface of film, all true three-dimensional definitions have been stripped away. Its strength lies within the computers ability to interpret changes in line and two-dimensional form.

#### **Computer Controlled Tracking**

Towards the late 70's research began in the specification or tracking of articulated motion directly. Almost all of these techniques use stereoscopic triangulation from a pair of digitizing devices to determine spatial location. <Maxwell **82>** Most of these techniques were developed specifically for use in tracking human motion. Now intermediate media such as film could be bypassed producing a closer, more accurate rendering of the subject.

One of these, the Coda-3 System monitors up to **8** landmarks or locations on the body at one time. Optical scanning sweeps **3** fan-shaped beams of light across the field of view to sense reflection. Another, the Selspot System uses light emitting diodes worn on the human body to locate each critical limb in a spatial context. The lights are then picked up **by** cameras connected to the computer. It is from this technique that The Op-Eye system for tracking the body was developed.

### **Body Tracking**

**Body Tracking For Imagery**

**Generally,** most three-dimensional human scale digitizing systems have been designed for use in the fields **of** biomechanics, neurology and sports mechanics. With current research in robotics, tracking systems are being considered within the study of high resolution static displacements and attitude in wind tunnel operations. The prime purpose of these researches is to acquire data for the statistical analysis of human motion.

The following project uses similar techniques as those mentioned above with one fundamental difference: the act of body tracking is an imagery-data acquisition tool which helps produce an imagery-based product.

Optical Body Tracking research is an offshoot project of MIT's Graphical Marionettes Project <Bolt **81/** Maxwell **82/** Ginsburg **83/** Purcell 84/ Lewis 84>. Its prime purpose is the creation of trackdata for the animation of computer generated figures.

### **Hardware/LEDware Overview**

**The** Op-Eye Body Tracking System offers an inexpensive alternative to the Coda **3** or Selspot systems, providing a similar three dimensional coordinate system to the computer. In this case, the system tracks the corresponding position of the joints (nodes) in the human body. The performer wears a suit which has light emitting diodes (LEDs) sewn into the fabric (LEDware). Each band of diodes emits a specific signature pulse which differentiates and locates each limb in free space.



# Figures **12-13.**





Optical hardware consists of four two-axis lateral effect diodes made by United Detectors Technology. These sensors detect the position of a spot of light on its surface. Both the tracked LEDs and two-dimensional position information is then obtained via four electrode connections at the edge of each detector. Using LEDs and sensors sensitive to the infrared range avoids optical interference (optical noise) with existing or ambient light. This also avoids the complexity of a camera system that would demand further elaborate data processing and expense.

Figure 14.



The sensors do not require precise focus as the detectors sense the centroid of the light spot on its surface.

The signals from each detector are fed to the central interface module, Op-Eye, where they are first amplified then digitized for processing in a manner compatible with the IBM **PC** series of micro-processors.

### Graphical Marionettes/Scripting **By** Enactment

The Tracking Suit is used as an alternative to modeling motion of the human body. It is the animator's way of achieving fluid motion without the difficulty of motion analysis and tedious programming. The user wears LEDware to create motions that are optically received and stored as files within the IBM-PC. **A** real-time stick figure body is displayed to check continuity.

Figure **15.**



These files can later be filtered and used, in conjunction with larger databases on mainframes, to create more developed animated sequences..





One long-term project is to create a filing system full of trackdata motions much like the cell animation system used at most animation houses. The difference is that all motions can be stored, tree-structure fashion, and easily called into memory for use. If the movement of a hand grasping a small, delicate object is needed, the animator goes to the **HAND**
Directory and checks for names like Grasp or delicate. Ideally, there would be a number of different grasping files found that can be loaded back into the micro-processor and looked at in the real-time stick-figure resolution. With a choice then made, the animator need only call up this movement **by** name, joint or node location, and speed-within the scripting of the animation. Each movement can be used as many times, in as many locations, and speeds as desired without the need actually to create the movement again. The process **of** developing a computer animation then becomes a **job** of data cut-and-paste, a more natural form for creating an animated sequence.

Figure **18.**



Another possible use for trackdata in the development of a total animation system is as an addition to a feedback technique called adaptive motion <Zeltzer **85>.** This process gives power to certain hierarcical software that drives the potential configurations of a motion. In other words, a certain amount of spatial knowledge can be given to an object or figure existing within dataland. This object or figure can be trained to maneuver throughout the constraints of that environment. Such examples of automatic collision detection are currently being implemented in software. But to avoid a collision, information about the physical nature of the object in motion is also important. If the object has any of the kinematic and behavioral complexities of a person, it might be better to have help from an example. If the problem of, for example, the performance of joint motion under a certain set **of** involved conditions exceeds the knowledge base **of** the implemented software, trackdata could help establish the rules of behavior.

Theoretically, the allocation of searching through and finding the appropriate trackdata file could be all done in the task-level of the software. By checking similar trajectories between the motion in software, and the trackdata file, the animation could try to repair an awkward or incorrect motion **by** altering it with a trackdata alternative.

The ultimate goal would be to have hierarchical software

that would allocate tasks to other portions of the system making possible the simulation of more complex motions. This would be accomplished **by** having the **task-level** offer the guiding-level a few alternatives to all questionable motion before the data reaches the scripting or animator-level.

The fundamental difference between Computer Constructed Motion and Computer Controlled Tracking lies in how natural the final product looks. Each of these techniques is attempting to arrive at the same naturalness, but are starting from polar opposite positions. Constructed motion strives to best simulate the workings of the body while the tracking technique attempts to retain the initial life qualities of the data as it is digitally processed. The assumption is that it is better to begin with the natural form of motion to produce a better product.

## **Signing As Computer Input**

Personal computation **by** definition should be a private process. **A** goal in developing these systems is to retain this element while enabling the system's interactive capabilities to mature. The use of a gestural glove can help minimize physical inhibition with the hope of seeing a more personalized interchange.

This Body Tracking tool is geared toward the handicapped as both a learning and a communications enhancer. Software has been designed to help teach the student of sign language, especially those with fine motor dysfunctions, **by** using a simple and intimate setup. The student wears the glove to practice hand positions in front of a graphic monitor equipped with sensors.

Figure **19.**



**A** Real-time stick figure representation of the hand's position simply mirrors the student's attempts. When a hand position closely resembles a letter of the alphabet or word stored in the software look-up table, that letter or word is printed on the screen. In this fashion, sentences and paragraphs can be constructed as fast as the student can sign.

Figures 20-22.



Page **36**

#### Concept

Series of resistors, capacitors, and other electronic devices are used to make a physical model such that the electrical elements produce a set of electrical qualities. These currents and voltages behave isomorphically with the variables of the body and its motion. In this case, the relation between physical element and conceptual element is explicitly abstracted. Both the human body and its electronically reflected image is preferred in a general and "felt" analogy.

## IMAGE /'IM-IJ/

**N 1: A** likeness or limitation of a person or thing. 2: **A** visual counterpart of an object formed **by** a device (as a mirror or lens). **3: A** mental picture or conception; impression, idea, concept.

VB **1:** To create a representation of. 2: to bring up before the imagination.

Figure **23.**



Page **37**

#### Conclusion

Generally, we can think of all we make as a physical and phychic extension of ourselves. Being the territorial creatures we seem to be, extending personal and collective territories has obvious logical advantages. The more we make the more we can validate our own existences. Whether our tools be utilitarian or symbolic (such as a cooking bowl and its aesthetic design, respectively), their function is to transmit our own nature into our surrounding reality.

Computers not only carry the logical formats found in pure mathamatics, but appear to display discrete levels **of** interactive responses which, until now, have been attributed exclusively to living organisms. We expect these machines to receive, assimilate, and output correct solutions, which also until now, has been attributed exclusively to thinking organisms. We have given them artificial vision, speech, touch, smell, taste, and motor functions. They are able to reproduce their own kind. They have even produced reasonable attempts at making **intelligent** correlations between their internal and external worlds. We continue to build these tools in our own image and likeness, yet they still don't have the characteristics that we attribute to true life qualities. Ironically, we have given computers attributes which simutaneously mirror and transcend the logic of our own existance.

#### Illustrations

Figure **1.**

Mirror Cell

Frame from a computer animation.

**30** Seconds.

Inside a three-dimensional computer environment flat surfaces spin in free space. Reflected from these surfaces is the landscape sceen from the viepoint of the camera. As the camera travels through the environment and the flat surfaces rotate, the images reflected in the mirrors are constantly updated.

Figure 2.

## Data **Display**

Data monitor displaying two separate sets **of** two-dimensional locations, received **by** two separate sets of optical sensors. The sensors are receiving data at approximately 40 hertz, therefore, the screen is being refreshed with a new set of data points about once every 30th of a second. This data will be saved into a file that will later drive objects and figures for animation.

**Figure 3-5.**

**Pulsating Orifice**

**3 frames** from a computer animation

**5** Second loop

The wall of the animated orifice is driven **by** scripted software. Each individual 'clot' rotates in X and Y around their own origins. The camera receives its location from trackdata. The trackdata was created **by** the author **by** placing her chest against the face of a monitor located next to optical sensors. The final product is a kenetic wall of red polygons and a camera that heaves into the space in the middle, and then out.

**Figure 6.**

**Computer Pulsed Island.**

detail, computer installation

Orifice Animation on a gold-leaf monitor reflects onto the chest of a stylized women's body.

## **Figure 7.**

Computer Pulsed Island

detail, computer installation

Computers painted red, black and white, sit on an island of white sand. Graphics which pulse from the center of the screen outwards, change from black to red, and back again.

Figure **8.**

**Computer Pulsed Island**

computer installation

. White monitor in foreground displays a digitized. picture of a women's body which echos features from both the black stylised body towards the left, and the pure white sand beneath it.

Figure **9.**

Stick Figures

Two overlayed frames from a test animation.

Figure **10.**

Model in LEDware

Collecting upper body trackdata for Marching Animation. Band

Figure **11.**

Model in LEDware

Collecting upper and lower body trackdata archives.

Figure **12-13.**

Dancer in LEDware

Collecting upper and lower body trackdata for animation.

## **Figure 14.**

**Model in LEDware**

Checking stick-figure for continuity of motion..

**Figure 15.**

**Stick figure in pieces**

Real-time stick figure is separated into four sections. The top two squares are what each seperate sensor is tracking from the uppper body. The lower two squares are what they are tracking of the legs.

**Figure 16.**

**Frame from Marching Band Animation**

**20 seconds**

Each of the animated band members were assembled from trackdata in the scripting level.

Figure **17.**

Frame from Eggman Animation

Eggman was assembled from trackdata in the scripting level, and then produced through the keyframing technique.

Figure **18.**

Frame from Cloudpeople Cell animation

**30** seconds

Both software and trackdata driven figures share this animated space where gravity is non-existant.

Figure **19.**

LEDware/Glove

There are **15** critical joints in the human hand. Each joint has an associated strip of LEDs sewn into the glove.

 $\sim$ 

Figure 20-22.

From real-world to dataland

The individual nodes of the hand are tracked and displayed on the screen with or without the connecting lines. From this information alone, the modeling of a more refined hand can be executed.

Figure **23.**

 $\sim$ 

Electronic window

# References

<Bolter 84> **D.J.** Bolter Turings Man: Western Culture In The Computer Age Carolina Press 1984 **pg 97**

<Bolter 84> **D.J.** Bolter IBID 1984 **pg 81**

**<Benton 84> S.** Benton Lecture, MIT 1984

<Newell/Simon **82> A.** Newell and **H.A.** Simon Computer Science as Empirical Inquiry Mind Design MIT Press

**1982**

<Havgeland **82> J.** Havgeland Semantic Engines Mind Design MIT Press **1982**

<Lewin 84>

K. Lewin

Space Newtworks: Towards a Hodological Space Design for Urban Man, Starting with a Cognitive/Perceptual notation PHD Thesis, Mit Mitropoulos, University of Edinburgh 1974

<Hatze **81>**

H. Hatze

Musocybernetic Control Models of Skeletal Muscle University of South Africa Press

**1981**

<Cutting **78> J. E.** Cutting Behavior Research Methods and Instrumentations 10(1):91-94 **1978**

<O'Rourke **80>**

**J.** O'Rourke

Image Analysis of Human Motion

PHD Thesis, University **Of** Pennsylvania

**1980**

#### <Maxwell **82>**

**D.** Maxwell

Graphical Marrionette: A Modern Day Pinocchio Master Thesis, MIT

**1982**

<Bolt **81>**

R. Bolt

Proposal for the Development of a Graphical Marrionette Techinical Report, MIT Architecture Machine Group **1981**  $\sim$   $\sim$ 

<Ginsburg **83>**

**C.** Ginsburg

Human Body Motion as Input to an Animated Graphical Display Master Thesis, MIT

**1983**

<Purcell 84>

P. Purcell

Graphical Marionettes Project

Progress Report to NHK Corporation

1984

<Lewis 84>

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

**J.** Lewis

Computer Animation of Human Figures in Conversation and Action Master Thesis, MIT

1984

<Zeltzer>

**D.** Zeltzer

Towards an Integrated View of **3D** Computer Animation Paper for AMT, MIT

**1985**

Section II

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\bar{z}$ 

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ 

 $\sim$ 

 $\sim 10^{11}$ 

 $\sim$   $\sim$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$ 

#### **Appendix**

#### **General Comparison**

The following is a comparative evaluation between the existing Op-Eye Tracking System (MIT), and The WATSMART Spatial Motion Analysis and Recording Technique available from Northern Digital, Waterloo, Ontario. The method of operation for both systems are quite similar as observed in the general f'unctional overviews below. Fundamentally, they are both non-contact **3D** digitizing, state of the art tracking devices. The important difference lies within how controlled the data acquisition technique is making clearer trackdata files. Is it worth upgrading existing Body Tracking hardware and software, or is it advantageous to buy into a pre-existing package such as the WATSMART.

Good trackdata is a function of proper triggering and synchronization within the data collection technique. What has been overlooked with the current system is the importance of camera setup and proper hardware calibration. Whenever one or

more cameras/sensors are physically moved, the system must have the proper facilities to reconstruct its **3D** mathematical constructs. There is no such updating technique existing with the OP-Eye system. This camera registration is done automatically **by** the Watsmart **by** use of a calibration program provided with the system and takes only a few minutes to run. The alignment procedure requires the placing **of** a tubular steel frame containing 22 pre-surveyed **LED** markers in view of all cameras in use. The calibration file produced **by** this procedure must be used as an input to the **3D** linear transform process for all data collected **by** that particular camera setup.

**.** This calibration technique was considered for the Op-eye, and is conceivable to some extent. There is, though, one major drawback. There are already too many arbitrary hardware devices built into the system, which makes it virtually impossible to correctly calibrate. In its initial conception there were no standards established for relative/absolute accuracy. These standards are traditional and critical to any optic lab installation. Existing trackdata files are, therefore, only accurate for gross motor functions. The raw data is extremely noisy and has to be low-passed filtered to such excess that the product is not accurate for analysis and barely acceptable for use in animation. The final evaluation must be made **by** direct comparison of trackdata made from each system.

The Watsmart also includes software to increase the **3D** accuracy for modeling purposes. It is easy to obtain yaw, pitch, and roll of models as well as compute valid **3D** data in situations where there are few or no markers within common view of at least two cameras. This is extremely important, for visual obscurity is one of the prime reasons for excess optical noise in the current system.

Unfortunatly, all optical tracking devices have the similar problem of background lighting and reflections. Many surfaces that appear as black in visible wavelengths are actually quite reflective in the near infrared light red region. Care must be taken in setting up an experiment so that all surfaces in position to reflect directly from markers into a camera are anti-reflective. Unobvious problems occur' because the camera receives both the true marker optical signal and its reflection at the same time causing an apparent shift in the marker position measured. This is due to the fact that the camera averages all infrared light it sees, and therefore a reflection cannot be separated out from the objective image.

The Op-Eye Package was constructed over a period of two

years. **All** technical specifications are averages between standards featured in specifications obtained in lab findings of the Architecture Machine Group, MIT. the owner's manual and actual

The Watsmart is a total package. **All** technical specifications are averages between standards featured in a preliminary technical description manual and the findings of The Center for Bioelectrical Engineering, MIT.

## Hardware

- **1)** 4 bi-lateral effect diode cameras
- 2) LEDware
- **3) A** camera controller and power chasis
- 4) **-**
- **5) A** computer and controller board
- **6) -**
- **7)** Adequate cables to interconnect all the above

## **Software**

- $1) -$
- 2) Define the number of nodes and their location
- **3)** Datacollection and storage of raw data
- 4) Raw data to **3D** information using **3D** linear transformation tecniques
- **5)** Produce **2D** stick figure graphics
- **6) -**

## Op-Eye Package

## Technical Specifications

**A/D** Resolution: 12 bit. **1** part in 4096 Spectral Range: **350-1100** nano meters Approximate Saturation Level: lOmW/cm2 Typical Position Linearity: **0.5%** in central **25%** of detector/ **3%** in central **75%** of detector Minimum Detectable Intensity at Detector Surface: High gain **(10** to the 7th ohms) 2uW =10v (full scale) Programmble Gains **1,10,100,500**

#### **Watsmart Package**

#### **Hardware**

- **1) One or more** high resolution infrared cameras
- 2) Variety of infrared diodes (IRED)
- **3)** Camera controller and power chasis
- 4) Marker strobing controllers **(8** markers each)
- **5) A** computer and controller board

**6) A** pre-surveyed calibration frame to facilitate quick camera setup and ensure positional accuracy

**7)** Adequate cables to interconnect all the above

## Software

**1) 3D** re-construction/calibration used when the sensors are moved

2) Parameter software to define an experiment in terms of the number of markers used, the frequency (frame rate) of data collection, duration of collection, etc.

**3)** Data collection and disk storage

4) **3D** linear transformation software that changes raw data to a more useable **3D** format.

Watsmart Package

Software (Cont.)

5)Produces **2D** stick figure graphics in real time

**6)** Perform general functions such as Butterworth of Spline filtering, velocity and acceleration derivation and graphical examination of both data and its derivatives.

## Technical Specifications

Camera resolution: 1:406 or **0.00025** of field

Absolute accuracy: Corrected to 0.002 of full range (optional to **.00025)**

Field of view:35 degrees w/ supplied 22 mm custom lens (vert. and hor.) 45 degree diagonal angle. Optional **90** degree diagonal custon lens.

Distance: **.75** to **10** meters, dependent on emission power if **LED** marker

.Sensitivity: Infrared light in spectrum.range **800** nanometers to **1050**

Aggregate max.sampling rate: **8,000** markers/second/camera

Op-Eye Optional Position Indicator United Detector Technology **3939** Landmark Street Culver City, Ca. **90230 (213)** 240-2250

Watsmart Spatial Motion Analysis and Recording Technique Northern Digital 415 Phillip Street Waterloo, Ontario **N2L3X2 (519)** 884-5142

الأفادين

Badler, **N.,** and Smoliar, **S.,** "Digital Representations **Of** Human Movement", Computing Sureys, Vol. **11,** No. **1,** March **1979, pp19-38.**

Baker, Kenneth(editor), Brave New World?. Pergamon Press, Elmsford, N.Y., **1982.**

Bet-telheim, Bruno. The Empty Fortress: Infantile Autism amd the Birth of the Self. The Free Press, New York, **1967.**

Bolt, Richard **A.** Spatial Data-Management. Technical Report, MIT Architecture Machine Group, March, **1979.**

Bolt, Richard **A.** "Proposal For The Graphical Marionette". Technical Report. MIT Architecture Machine Group Report, **1981**

Bolt, Richard **A.** The Human Interface, Where People And Computers Meet. Lifetime Learning Publications, **A** Division **Of** Wadsworth, Inc., Belmont Ca., 1984.

Bolter, David **J.** Turing's Man: Western Culture In The Computer **Age.** University **Of** North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, **N.C..** 1984.

Burham, David. The Rise **Of** the Computer State. Vintage Books, **A** Division **Of** Random House. New York, 1984.

Cutting, **J.E. "A** Program to Generate Synthetic Walkers as Dynamic Point-light Displays". Behavior Research Methods and Instrumentation 10(1):91-94, **1978.**

Danzinger, James **N.,** Williams H. Dutton. Rob Kling, and Kenneth L. Kraemer. Computers And Politics: High Technology in American Local Governments. New York: Columbia University Press, **1981.**

Dechert, Charles R. The Social Impact University **Of** Notre Dame Press. London **1966. Of** Cybernetics.

De Fleur, Melvin L., and Ball-Rokeach, Sandra. Theories **Of** Mass Communications. New York, New York: Logman Inc., **1982.**

Dertouzos, Michael L., and Joel Moses. The Computer Age: **A** Twenty-year View. Cambridge, Ma.: MIT Press. **1979.**

Evans Christopher, The Micro Millennium. New York: Viking Press, **1979.**

Federal Information Sources And Systems **1980, A** Directory Issued **By** The Comptroller General. **1980** Congressional Sourcebook Series. Washington, **D.C., 1980.**

Ferrarini, Elizabeth M., Confessions **Of** An Infomaniac. Sybex Inc. Berkley, Ca., 1984.

Fink, **D. G.** Computers And The Human Mind. Anchor Books, Doubleday Co., Inc. Garden City, **N.J. 1966.**

Ginsberg, Carol. "Human Body as Imput To Animate Graphical Display". Master Thesis, MIT. May, **1983.**

Goethals, T. Gregor. The TV Ritual. Universalist Association. Beacon Press Books, **1981.**

Hatz, H., Mycrocybernetic Control Models of Skeletal Muscle. University **Of** South Africa Press, **1981**

Haugeland, John. Mind Design. MIT Press, Cambrige, Ma. **1981.**

Higgins, Joseph R. Human Movement: An Intergrated Approach. The **C.V.** Mosby Company, St. Louis, Mo., **1977.**

Hutchinson, **A.** Labanotation: The System **Of** Analyzing And Recording Movement. London, 1954.

Hulteen, Eric Andrew. "The Human Interface To Personal Computers". Master Thesis, MIT, June, **1980.**

Kidder, Tracy. The Soul **Of A** New Machine. Avon Books, **N.Y.C. 1981.**

Krippendorff, Klaus. Communication And Control In Society. Gordon And Breach Science Publishers, N.Y., N.Y., **1979.**

Laban, Rudolf von. Principles **Of** Dance And Movement Notation. Bristol, Great Britan: **J.W.** Arrowsmith Ltd. **1975.**

Lewis, John. "Computer Animation **of** Human Figures in Conversation and Action". Masters Thesis, MIT, June, 1984.

Maxwell, Rae Delle. "Graphical Marionette: **A** Modern Day Pinocchio". Master Thesis, MIT, June, **1983.**

Mitropoulos, Efitmos **G.** "Space Networks: Towards Hodologocal Space Design For Urban Man, Starting With **A** Cognitive/Perceptual Notation". PhD Thesis, University **of** Edinburgh, 1974.

Negroponte, Nicholas and Lippman, Andrew and Bolt, Richard. "Transmission **Of** Presence". **A** proposal submitted to the Cybernetics Technology Division, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency. The Architecture Machine Group, MIT, **1983.**

Nora; Simon, and Alain Minc. The Computerization **Of** Society. Cambridge, Ma.: MIT Press, **1980.**

O'Rourke, **J.** Image "Analysis **Of** Human Motion". PHD Thesis, University **Of** Pennsylvania, **1980.**

Pheiffer, John. The Thinking Machine. **J.B.** Lippincott Co. Phildelphia, **1962.**

Purcell, Patrick. "Graphical Marionettes Project: Progress Report To NHK Corporation". Architecture Machine Group, MIT Cambrige Ma., Febuary, 1984.

Roberts, Shelly. I'm Sorry, But I Don't Speak Hexidecimal. Write Protect Publishing. New York, NY. **1985.**

Rose, Frank. "Wired To God: Accolytes **Of** High Tech". Vanity Fair, August 1984, Volume 47 Number **8, pg.** 40.

Rybczynski, Witold. Taming The Tiger: **A** Struggle To Control Technology. Viking Penguin Inc. **N.Y.C. 1983.**

Smith, Brian Reffin. Soft Computing: Art And Design. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Workingham, England, 1984.

Sussman, Lenard R. "Glossary For International Communications". The Media Institute, Washingtion **D.C., 1983.**

Turkle, Sherry. The Second Self Computers And The Human Spirit. Simon And Schuster, **N.Y.C.,** 1984

Weiner, Norbert. The Human Use **Of** Human Beings: Cybernetics And Society. Garden City, **N.J.:** Doubleday, 1954.

Winner, Langdon. "Mythinformation: Romantic Politics In The Computer Revolution". **A** paper delivered to. the Politics And Technology Department, **U.C.** Santa Cruz.
Zeltzer, David. **"3D** Movement Simulation", Siggraph **1982** Tutorial, July **1982.**

Zeltzer, David. "Towards and Integrated View of **3D** Computer Animation", Paper Delivered for AMT, MIT. September **1985.**

 $\sqrt{100}$  etc.