## 7 ETHNICITY, STATE, NATION

Read: Eriksen, 2002. Ethnic identity and ideology: 59-77, Ethnicity in history: 78-96 David Maybury-Lewis, 2002. Ethnic groups: 47-79

- I. Today we'll discuss
  - A. Pre-modern and modern
    - 1. Differences and similarities between national states of the modern era and earlier formations
    - 2. Review how nationalism/nations developed
  - B. We'll continue to review nationalism and the role of ethnic identity
- II. Pre-modern period
  - A. Tribes
    - 1. **DISCUSS**: What do you think of when you hear this word?
      - a. "Other"
      - b. Exotic
        - 1) Examples from the movies?
    - 2. Tribal societies have classically been seen as:
      - a. Small-scale (not large populations)
      - b. With their interaction structured around:
        - 1) Kinship networks and face-to-face interaction
    - 3. "Tribal" is an anthropological classification, although a problematic one
      - a. Pre-modern, small-scale unit applied to three types of subsistence modes
        - 1) Hunter-gatherers
        - 2) Horticulturalists

- a) Subsistence mode lacking the plow and draft animals
- b) Note that horticulturalist societies can in fact be very large—some African kingdoms an example
- 3) Nomadic pastoralists
- B. "Tribal" societies characterized by these subsistence modes are contrasted with the great pre-modern dynastic states
  - 1. Which were characterized by an agrarian subsistence mode, the fourth: plow and draft animals
    - a. "The fertile crescent": Babylonia, etc. were agrarian
  - 2. Some pre-modern states were horticulturalist
    - a. New World (Aztec, Maya, Inca)
  - 3. In general these great ancient states developed where water was scarce (exception: Maya)
    - a. One hypothesis (overly simple) argues that civilizations developed in arid zones because there was a need to organize to develop the technology that allowed for optimal water distribution
    - b. As well as develop a socio-political organization that ensured an appropriate distribution
    - c. More familiar: feudal agrarian states in Europe
  - 4. These states weren't integrated nationally
    - a. Their subjects were locally integrated, under the rule of a member of the nobility who collected tribute
    - b. Socialization and social control were largely handled locally
    - c. Armies tended to be professional, without the ideology of "moral duty of all to fight for their country" we find in nations
  - 5. Compared with modern nation-states these earlier dynastic states
    - a. Placed few demands on the majority of their citizens

- 1) Note: oppression, exploitation could be brutal
  - a) Building Egyptian, Mayan pyramids
- 2) But few demands on them *as citizens*—not much state bureaucracy
- b. No nation-building was needed because the boundaries were always shifting, no sense of a need to have populations within the borders share a culture, language
  - 1) The subject populations just needed to be loyal to the rulers, pay taxes, consent to be conscripted as labor (e.g., road-building), etc.
- c. Pre-modern dynastic kingdoms in Europe weren't "French" or "British" as they are understood today
  - 1) Inhabitants were *subjects* to a monarch, not *citizens*

## III. Modernity

- A. Ethnic identity formation and boundary maintenance increase
  - 1. Ethnic identities tend to attain their greatest importance in situations of
    - a. Flux, potential ambiguity
    - b. Change
    - c. Resource competition
    - d. Threats against boundaries
- B. Ethnic identity formation and attention to boundary maintenance are found in societies undergoing modernization:
  - 1. Industrializing
  - 2. The scale increases: a large number of people might participate in the same economic system
  - 3. Ideologies based in kinship, feudalism and religion were no longer capable of organizing people efficiently

- 4. Eriksen provides a number of examples from Africa showing how ethnic formation changes, adapts
- C. **DISCUSS**: what characteristics do the pre-modern great agrarian states and modern states share?
- IV. Nationalism and ethnicity
  - A. Note that "isms" refer to sets of beliefs, theories, ideologies
    - 1. **DISCUSS**: meaning of "ideology"? Eriksen's argument about ethnic ideology?
    - 2. Two main—often interrelated—meanings for ideology
      - a. First, a pejorative meaning: a given ideology is in fact an illusion, a set of false ideas
      - b. Second meaning: the set of ideas that arise from a definite social class or group
  - B. Definitions of the ideology of nationalism and the associated problems?
    - 1. Gellner: a political principle which holds that the political and the national unit should be congruent
      - a. But, asks Eriksen, what is "the national unit"?
    - 2. Another way to define nationalist ideology: the belief that ethnic boundaries should not cut across political ones
    - 3. Nationalism holds that the political organization should be ethnic in character in that it represents the interests of a particular ethnic group
    - 4. Another definition: ethnic ideologies that hold that their group should dominate a state
  - C. So, nationalism is an ideology about culture and power
    - 1. Self-conscious culture
      - a. By now we understand that nation-building requires "talk about culture"
    - 2. Nationalism *reifies* culture—**DISCUSS**

- a. Enables people to talk about their culture as though it were a thing, a constant
- D. Theories about the causes of the rise of nationalism
  - 1. All scholars mention the role played by modernization
  - 2. All scholars mention the role played by industrialization
  - 3. An article by Segal and Handler<sup>1</sup> argue that the rise of nationalism in Europe and the Americas must be seen in the larger context of colonization
    - a. They insist that analyses of nationalism must look at the entire global picture
    - b. They provide a critique of Euro-centric views of the development of nationalism
    - c. They argue that all analyses of nationalism have to examine the political economy of colonialism and the role of racial ideologies in justifying it
    - d. For instance, they argue that we cannot look at British nationalism's evolution without looking at Ireland as a colony; and at the Atlantic coast colonies; at the British West Indies
  - 4. Various authors, in addition to Segal and Handler, argue that much of the theoretical characterization of nationalism does not fit the nation-building processes that take place in former colonies
    - a. For example, Eriksen's example of Trinidad: its nationalism is built not on autochthonous, indigenous people and their culture, nor on ancient folkways being re-discovered
      - 1) Rather, it's built on forging a nation out of 2 immigrant populations: African and E. Indian
      - 2) Nationalism consequently looks very different in countries like Trinidad
      - 3) **DISCUSS:** Eriksen's discussion of the changing ethnic formation of East Indians and blacks in Trinidad?

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Daniel Segal and Richard Handler, 1992. How European is nationalism? *Social Analysis* 32: 1-15.

- 5. A new kind of nationalist ideology is required if former colonies are to successfully develop into nation-states, if they are to be capable of creating cohesion and loyalties
- 6. Benedict Anderson's argument about nationalism: it posits an **imagined community** 
  - a. *Imagined* because members of nations will never know the vast majority of their fellow members; *community* because the ideology holds that members share a culture
  - b. The same goes for large ethnic groups: much of this characterization fits Eriksen's and Maybury-Lewis's discussions of ethnic groups: imagined ethnic community
  - c. What's special to the nationalist "imagined community" is that it is seen to be embedded in the state
  - d. The national state is imagined by its members as both inherently limited and sovereign
  - e. The ideology holds that members' loyalty and attachment should be directed towards the state and the legislative system
    - 1) Rather than predominantly towards members of their kin group, religion or village

## 7. Ethnic groups

- a. Often are modern phenomena
- b. Not to say there weren't kin groups, languages, cultures, etc., before
- c. Most pre-modern states ruled over multiple ethnically distinct populations
- d. A few polities (political units) were pluriethnic—Maybury-Lewis gives an example of the three Khanates of Bukhara, Khiva, and Kokland in Central Asia before the Tsarist rule in the 1870s
  - 1) Different ethnic groups occupied different ecological niches

- e. But most pre-modern states were ruled by an elite speaking one language, practicing one religion, etc., who dominated the other populations residing within the state's borders
- 8. Both Eriksen and Maybury-Lewis make the point that an ethnic group is created by people *deciding* one exists and is important
  - a. Maybury-Lewis: everyone has a latent qualification for ethnic association: language, skin color, live in places that have a history
  - b. Ethnicity comes into play only when one or more of these criteria are *activated* and said to be the defining characteristics of a group
- E. Obviously nationalist ideology serves the interests of the state
  - 1. Which needs popular support
- F. What would the benefits to citizens be?
  - 1. The nation-state offers security and is seen to offer stability
    - a. Scholars suggest that at a time of fragmentation of life-worlds, including moral worlds, and the geographical mobility increasingly required by "free labor"
    - b. The offer of such stability and security may be very appealing
  - 2. Nationalism creates a sentiment of wholeness and continuity with the past
  - 3. Transcends the alienation or rupture between individual and society produced by modernity
- G. Nationalism, like any "ism," needs believers
  - 1. Nationalism works to change the abstract, anonymous nature of national identity
  - 2. What are the processes that result in "true believers"?
    - a. Anderson says that nationalism derives its force from the way it combines political legitimation and emotional power
  - 3. The goal is to create beliefs accompanied by passion, strong sentiment
  - 4. The force and persistence of national identity depends in part on arousing such sentiment

- a. Example: people willing to die for their nation
- 5. Symbols and rituals that have the power of creating loyalty and a feeling of belongingness
- 6. How to maintain and reinforce nationalist sentiments, loyalties?
  - a. **DISCUSS**: Examples?
  - b. Monuments?
    - 1) Viet Nam Memorial
  - c. Ceremonies?
    - 1) John F. Kennedy's funeral
    - 2) **DISCUSS**: a more recent example?
- 7. These symbols and rituals have instrumentalist functions as well as expressive/affect ones
  - a. Example: Inauguration of the U.S. president
    - 1) Chief justice swears in the incoming president, whose left hand is on the Bible
    - 2) **DISCUSS**: other examples?
- 8. Also: the goal of constructing the nation as moral
  - a. **DISCUSS**: examples?
- 9. Symbols, metaphors appropriated from people's everyday experience
  - a. That work to transform the anonymous, abstract state
  - b. One is metaphoric kinship
  - c. Eriksen's example: In Iran the Islamic Revolution in 1979, the US was depicted as an adulterous infidel who raped and mistreated Iran, depicted as a woman—as a mother-country
  - d. Ruling classes of a nation often look to religion and myth for its symbolism

- e. The symbols may suggest violence
  - 1) Martyrs: Abraham Lincoln's assassination
  - 2) Military parades on Independence Day
    - a) The state has a monopoly on legitimate violence
    - b) Citizens can feel secure
- f. Much of the symbolism involves other nations, highlight the ethnic/cultural differences; sometimes the racial differences
  - 1) Theme of competition
  - 2) Conflicts
    - a) At the beginning of the Iraq war, when France didn't support the U.S. position: "Liberty fries" rather than "French fries"
    - b) "Kindergarten" a forbidden word during World War
      - (1) "Hamburgers" became "Salisbury steak"
  - 3) Nation-states fight metaphoric wars in international sports
    - a) Official—Olympics
    - b) **DISCUSS**: unofficial examples?
  - 4) Assertions of cultural superiority
    - a) Example of France claiming that French food, wine, cosmetics, language are superior

## 21A.226 Ethnic and National Identity Fall 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.