

Sept. 14, 2009

2 Study questions on Gladney 1-24, Nagel 3-13, Eriksen, 1-17

1. Gladney discusses difficulties he encountered doing fieldwork on a nationality in China. What were they? What is the stereotypical anthropological fieldwork, and how did his differ from this model?
2. Why do you think so many people thought that China's population was culturally very homogeneous?
3. Describe the criteria that are used to distinguish the 56 "official" nationalities.
4. What are some of the reasons China pays attention to its nationalities?
5. Discuss some of the criteria the Chinese state has employed for recognizing various groups in China to be Han or an official nationality.
6. Discuss the reasons some groups in China right before the Peoples' Republic of China was established, wanted to be considered official nationalities
7. Gladney compares the Han dynasty to the Roman Empire. Discuss the points he makes.
8. A way to better understand China is to imagine what Europe would have been if the unity of the Roman Empire had lasted until now. Do you see them as equivalent? Discuss.
9. Gladney discusses a "new feeling" in China, a "revalorization" of something. Discuss what it is, why you think this shift happened.
10. Discuss the north-south tensions that Gladney describes. Do you know of similar tensions in other countries?
11. Why did scholars feel that ethnicity in China was inconsequential?
12. Nagel says that at the time of the earliest European contact with North America there were no American Indians. Discuss.
13. Nagel says that American Indians are an ethnically plural population. Then she says that, "Indians" are no more or less real a group than are "Kurds," "Africans," "Latinos," "blacks," or "Arabs." Discuss.
14. Nagel says that seeing American Indians as an ethnic group is problematic. Why?
15. Are Indian tribes *sovereign nations*? If not, should they be? If not, are they *nations*? How do they differ from immigrant ethnic groups?

16. Nagel says American Indians, both tribes and the larger category “Indian,” are ethnic groups. Argue in favor of this position. Against it.
17. How are American Indians a minority, as Vine Deloria suggests (Nagel, pp. 8-9)?
18. What is “ethnogenesis”? Apply it to American Indians. Apply it to the Hui.
19. Discuss the history of the meaning of the word “ethnic”
20. Describe the main points to Marx’s understanding of social class. Weber’s. What does “class” mean to you?
21. On p. 14 Eriksen discusses “plural states”—that is, that contain more than one ethnic group. Can you name a state that is *not* a plural state?

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

21A.226 Ethnic and National Identity
Fall 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.