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Read:  D.P. Gordon: Hospital slang for patients  
Luhrmann 84-118

I. Today we focus on how we study institutions—describe them, analyze them—and consider some theoretical issues

A. Possible methodologies:

1. Examine the institution itself

   a. For example, the institution of American psychiatry

      1) **Discuss**: what did we learn about Mass General’s psychiatry unit from the video “Back from Madness”?

         a) How reliable is your information, do you think?

         b) What are some possible sources of bias in the video?

         c) *Always* keep in mind the nature of your sources of information

         e) How does the video convey the impression that a balanced, informative picture was being drawn, as opposed to one created by a public relations firm?

         c) What are areas you think were not covered because they would produce an unfavorable impression of MGH?

   b. The Rosenhan et al. article you will read later on analyzes inpatient psychiatric units from a critical, “outsider” perspective

      1) **Discuss**: how much analysis of institutions does Luhrmann provide?

      2) Luhrmann studied a number of inpatient psychiatric institutions

      3) Her main concern is to examine the institution of psychiatry as a whole
2. Second approach: looking at an institution over time

   a. Institutions may be studied over time both ethnographically and historically, through archival documents

      1) The Fadiman and Farmer books present both present-day and historical material

         a) The authors rely on what’s called oral history as well—living people’s accounts of the past

         b) Discuss: examples of institutions analyzed in Fadiman

      2) The video we’ll see called “The Lynchburg Story,” on compulsory sterilization in Virginia, relies on archives and oral history

      3) As does the video we’ll see titled “Simple Courage,” on leprosy in Hawai‘i in the 19th and 20th centuries

      4) Discuss: Hahn’s methodology of following the internist Barry around

         a) What kinds of information can Hahn gather about the institutions of medicine, hospitals, internal medicine, that historians working in archives can’t?

         b) What are the drawbacks to the kind of “shadowing” research Hahn employs?

3. Third approach: compare several similar institutions

   a. Luhrmann does this

4. Fourth: examine the way a given institution relates to, is embedded in, other institutions

   a. We’ll see in Farmer a concern with how medicine intersects with politics

   b. A film about the first decade of the AIDS epidemic in the US, “And the Band Played On,” shows the inner workings of research science and epidemiology
1) Shows government agencies like the Center for Disease Control trying to get more funding

c. The Davis piece we read on PMS looks at psychiatric and biological research

5. Fifth: cross-cultural comparison: compare institutions that are really not very similar

a. The Obeyesekere piece: Sri Lanka and the West

b. And of course Fadiman is an example of cross-cultural comparison as methodology

c. The Lock essay “On Dying Twice” compares the US and Japan

d. The Payer article we’ll read later on looks at medicine in four Western countries

  1) Although all four countries subscribe to Western medicine, practices and policies vary from country to country due to cultural differences, albeit slight ones

6. Sixth: doing close readings of a society’s texts, or careful examination of its rituals, to uncover meanings, values

a. Martin’s “Medical Metaphors” is an example

  1) As was my discussion of gynecology textbooks at the end of the Oct. 1 lecture

b. Gordon’s close reading of hospital slang employs this approach

c. The Katz article “Ritual in the Operating Room” we’ll read later on is another example

  1) But here the author “reads” the operating room behavior as if it were a text, looking for symbols, analyzing the behavior as ritual

d. All of these are examples of what we call interpretive analysis
II. Why study the institution of medicine?

A. First reason is obvious: it is important in itself

B. Second reason: provides a window on the world

1. For example, doctors in the US and Great Britain used to perform cliterodectomies\(^1\)
   
   a. We see these as mistakes. But they aren’t *random* mistakes, and so they are revealing

   1) **Discuss:** speculate why would physicians ever think that excision of the clitoris would restore a woman to health?

   b. As I said last time, you’ll miss the point if you see these doctors, as bad, or simply mistaken, and leave it at that

III. Theories concerned with power are necessary for understanding institutions

A. **Ideology\(^2\)**

1. A system of meanings and values which are the expression or projection of a particular class interest (one of several possible definitions)

   a. I mentioned the sterilization of over 70,000 people in this country

   b. These programs reveal an ideology (which inspired a movement)

   1) One very pervasive at the time: Eugenics

   c. **Discuss:** what is eugenics?

   d. What are some examples of 21\(^{st}\) century behavior driven by eugenic ideology?

2. The differences between Lynchburg and contemporary eugenic ideologies:

   a. Lynchburg involved clinicians and lawmakers deciding that some people were not fit to be parents

---


b. And coercively sterilizing them
   1) In some cases deceptively

c. Today we have the phenomenon of “designer babies”—egg donation, amniocentesis possibly followed by abortion

d. Differences:
   1) Who is making the decision, and the goal
   2) Nowadays it is parents who decide about egg donation, and whether a fetus is an acceptable one or not
   3) Explicit eugenics legislation works to prevent a population from ever reproducing
   4) Whereas today, would-be parents might try again to have a child

e. But if enough people engage in this kind of selective behavior, the overall profile of the population might be affected eventually

f. Discuss: international examples?
   1) Sex selection in India and China has had very pronounced effects on the population
   2) Singapore’s pronatalist policies were founded on explicitly eugenicist principles
      a) Ostensibly to encourage highly educated women to reproduce more, and poorly educated women to reproduce less—to improve the gene pool
      b) The policies were in fact driven by ethnic nationalist goals:
         (1) Highly educated women in Singapore are disproportionately Chinese; poorly educated women are Hindi and Malay
3. **Discuss:** other medical examples revealing ideology at work?
   a. Why do people buy into an ideology of thinness so thoroughly that it can result in death?
   b. Why do women (and men) inject a poison, botox, into their foreheads?
   c. **Discuss:** examples from your papers?

B. Let’s employ Antonio Gramsci’s notion of *hegemony* to explore this issue of ideology further

1. He developed the concept of *hegemony*, which is a more supple, nuanced concept than the concept of ideology
   a. One with greater explanatory power

2. “Ideology” can be too static a concept for these kinds of analysis: hegemony is conceived of as a process

3. The notion of hegemonic power (hegemony) looks at
   a. The way people *choose* to participate in power relations even though they do not seem to be in their best interest
   b. Why do they accept systems of dominance, even fervently believe in them?

   1) **Discuss:** examples in medicine?

4. From our position as outside observers, we find such acceptance puzzling and disturbing

5. One advantage of the concept of hegemony is the challenge it poses to earlier notions of “false consciousness”
   a. “False consciousness” says that people not located at the top of social systems that distribute power, authority, prestige, etc. very unequally continue to buy into these systems, continue to support the ideological structures that exploit and oppress them, because the system “brainwashes” them

---

1) Embedded in the notion of ideology is the idea that these exploited and oppressed people make such choices because they’ve been brainwashed by the ideological system

2) So that they can’t see what’s going on

6. But this kind of explanation is too simple

a. It suggests that these people are dupes of the system

b. In effect, the explanation denies agency to those being exploited or otherwise harmed

7. But people aren’t stupid

8. Of course some people are indeed physically coerced: threatened, imprisoned, etc.

a. But most systems of dominance don’t depend on this kind of coercion

9. So if we try to figure out why people inject poison into themselves

a. We might discuss the hegemonic position of powerful multinational Big Pharma corporations

1) When they try to sell the drugs and devices they manufacture

2) They do market research and figure out how to do it most successfully

b. If we’re studying pharmaceutical multinational corporations as hegemonic institutions we have to figure out what’s in it for the consumers in these exchanges (a successful advertisement inspires a response—the consumer buys the product; this is an exchange)

1) Figure out what are the benefits

2) Because if there always are benefits, we can’t conclude that these people are simply duped.

3) They’re making “reasonable” choices, given their goals, even though we disagree with these choices and consider them disadvantageous to the people making the choices
10. **Discuss:** other examples of unfortunate (from an outsider viewpoint), but “reasonable” (from an insider viewpoint) choices in medicine?

11. Note that hegemony has to continually be renewed, recreated, defended, and modified
   
a. And that it is also continually resisted, limited, altered, challenged:
   
1) Wherever there is hegemony there is *counter*-hegemony—resistance to the power structure, the choices it provides, the choices it encourages

b. **Discuss:** examples of counter-hegemony in medicine?

IV. Formulate hypotheses about the functions of health care institutions: what they *do*, what they accomplish

   A. First is the obvious one: when successful they restore sick people to health

   B. Second is the category of *psychological* functions

   1. The D.P. Gordon piece analyzes the psychological functions of slang

      a. He says the hypothesis that the function of derogatory language is to release tension is not true—the most seriously ill are not joked about

      b. Rather, he argues that such slang expresses frustration over care that is given but not needed, not deserved, or not useful (because they’re going to die anyway)

   2. Favret-Saada suggests that beliefs in witchcraft and the possibility of becoming un-bewitched—restoring the farm to health—serves psychological functions

   C. Gordon also discusses a *social* function of slang: helping the group build rapport

      1. “…not support the claim that hospital slang for patients is an outlet for personal reactions to illness and suffering, but the group concern of hospital staff to provide meaningful, useful service”

      2. Gordon also suggests the slang serves to build distance between individuals, esp. the superior to the subordinate
3. Possible social *control* functions in therapeutic institutions:

a. The nurses and *bomohs* administering to victims of spirit possession in Aiwa Ong’s analysis of a multinational factory in Malaysia

1) Are engaged in a form of social control that serves the company’s economic interests

2) This is control, strict control, but not coercion itself

b. Cliterodectomies can be seen as a form of social control:

1) Control the behavior of those who underwent surgery and, presumably, the behavior of other women who also received the message